




EGU25-10856, updated on 15 Mar 2025
<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu25-10856>
EGU General Assembly 2025
© Author(s) 2025. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Groundwater level management in peat pastures: trade-offs between yield, N₂O and CO₂ emissions

Jan Willem Van Groenigen¹, Jordy Van 't Hull^{1,2}, Erne Blondeau¹, Mart Ros¹ , and Gerard Velthof^{1,2}

¹Wageningen University, Soil Biology Group, Wageningen, The Netherlands

²Wageningen Environmental Research, Wageningen, The Netherlands

Draining peatlands for agricultural use is associated with large emissions of CO₂ to the atmosphere because of peat decomposition. In countries with significant amounts of agricultural peatlands, such as the Netherlands, raising the groundwater level (GWL) using a variety of techniques is explored as a measure to mitigate CO₂ emissions. However, these measures risk trade-offs with yield as well as with N₂O emissions. Here, in two experiments we quantify the effects of GWL management on these trade-offs in pastures on peat soil in the Netherlands. First, in a five year field experiment on an experimental farm N₂O and CO₂ emissions as well as grass yield were measured on four fields differing in ditch water level (DWL; on average -20 cm vs -50 cm) as well as active vs passive groundwater infiltration. Subsequently, we studied GHG emissions in more detail in a one year lab experiment with large (1 m height, 24 cm diameter) undisturbed and unfertilized bare peat columns from the same site as well as from two additional locations. The column experiment also allowed us to explore more constant and more extreme GWLs, ranging from 0 to -150 cm. Under unfertilized conditions, increasing the DWL did not affect CO₂ emissions in the field. However, N₂O emissions decreased from approx. 4.5 to 2.2 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and yield from 10.3 to 8.8 Mg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, both probably reflecting a reduction in N mineralization. At high DWL (-20 cm), active groundwater infiltration resulted in lower CO₂ emissions than either passive infiltration or the control without infiltration. After fertilization, emission factors ranged from 2.5% of applied N for cattle slurry to 5.2% for calcium ammonium nitrate. No significant relations between N₂O emissions and infiltration type or DWL level were detected. In the column experiment, effects of GWL on CO₂ emissions were more pronounced, with highest emissions at a GWL of -80 and a large emission reduction at GWLs close to 0. However, N₂O emissions of the unfertilized columns were strongly increased when GWLs varied between 0 and -20 cm, resulting in higher GHG emissions in terms of CO₂-equivalents than at drier conditions. Our results show complex relations between water management and CO₂, N₂O and yield in peat soils, with no obvious strategy to find an optimum. The results from our column experiment suggest that total inundation without fertilization would result in minimal GHG emissions, but this could obviously not be combined with any traditional forms of farming and may result in methane emissions. Our field experiment suggests that the combination of high DWL with active infiltration systems results in lower CO₂ emissions at a relatively small yield penalty. However, for large-scale implementation of such a system, the costs of the technical setup have to be considered, as well as the relatively small reduction in CO₂ emissions.

How to cite: Van Groenigen, J. W., Van 't Hull, J., Blondeau, E., Ros, M., and Velthof, G.: Groundwater level management in peat pastures: trade-offs between yield, N₂O and CO₂ emissions, EGU General Assembly 2025, Vienna, Austria, 27 Apr–2 May 2025, EGU25-10856, <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu25-10856>, 2025.