



# **RAMIRAN 2025**

## **Book of abstracts**

Wageningen, The Netherlands, 15-17 October 2025

## 61. Mitigating ammonia emissions from pig farms implementing source-oriented measures

Levrault, C.M.<sup>a\*</sup>, Seefedpari, P.<sup>a</sup>, de Mol, R.M.<sup>a</sup>, Hol, J.M.G.<sup>a</sup>, Aarnink A.J.A.<sup>a</sup> & van der Fels, J.B.<sup>a</sup>

Wageningen Livestock Research, Wageningen University & Research, The Netherlands <sup>a</sup>; \*Corresponding author, email: cecile.levrault@wur.nl

### Introduction

In the past decades, the European pig sector has intensified to answer the growing food demand. For the animals, this intensification translates in an increase of chronic environmental stressors that have adverse effects on their health, welfare, and productivity (O'Connor et al., 2010). One of the consequences of the higher animal densities that are observed in these intensive production systems (Maes et al., 2020) is the emission of pollutants such as ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) (Zhang et al., 2024). This has repercussions on both indoor and outdoor air quality, with harmful impacts on pigs and workers health as well as on the environment (Donham, 2000; Webb et al., 2014; Philippe and Nicks, 2015). Mitigating its emission is therefore essential.

### Methodology

The NH<sub>3</sub> emissions of four commercial pig barns in the Netherlands were monitored over a period of two years. These emissions were monitored using a combination of real-time measurements taken by commercial sensors and a reference method (wet-chemical analysis (Mosquera et al., 2019)). Source-oriented reduction strategies such as daily manure removal, reduction of emitting area and manure dilution were implemented in a number of rooms of the target pig farms. Their effect on the aforementioned emissions was compared to the levels observed in the conventional pen designs.

### Results and discussion

This study highlighted once again the challenges of monitoring emissions under practical settings. Sampling location, the number of samples taken, the time interval between two observations, and technology used are some of the difficulties encountered in practice. Yet, preliminary results showed the positive effect of these reduction strategies on NH<sub>3</sub> emissions, leading to significantly lower emission factors. Simultaneously, the better indoor air quality observed in these low-emission pens seemed to have positive effects on pigs health (decrease in occurrence of respiratory diseases) as well as on productivity (shorter fattening phase).

### Conclusion

Preliminary analysis show the potential of mitigation strategies on both emissions and animal health. Furthermore, real-time emission monitoring would help farmers track their emissions and enable immediate decision-making for better management. Further analysis will allow for more precise conclusions to be drawn.

### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge De Hoeve Innovatie for their contribution as project partner and the ministries LNV and I&W for funding this project. Also special thanks for the efforts of the four participating pig farmers.

### References

- Donham, K.J. 2000. The Concentration of Swine Production: Effects on Swine Health, Productivity, Human Health, and the Environment. *Vet. Clin. North Am.: Food Anim. Pr.* 16:559–597.
- Maes, D.G.D., et al. 2020. A critical reflection on intensive pork production with an emphasis on animal health and welfare. *J. Anim. Sci.* 98:S15–S26.
- Mosquera, J., et al. 2019. Determination of ammonia concentrations in air from livestock housing systems: Reference method using gas washing as applied by Wageningen Livestock Research. Wageningen Livestock Research.
- O'Connor, E.A., et al. 2010. The impact of chronic environmental stressors on growing pigs, *Sus scrofa* (Part 1): stress physiology, production and play behaviour. *Animal* 4:1899–1909.
- Philippe, F.-X. and B. Nicks, 2015. Review on greenhouse gas emissions from pig houses: Production of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide by animals and manure. *Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.* 199:10–25.
- Webb, J., et al. 2014. Ammonia and odour emissions from UK pig farms and nitrogen leaching from outdoor pig production. A review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 470:865–875.
- Zhang, L., et al. 2024. A systematic review of life-cycle GHG emissions from intensive pig farming: Accounting and mitigation. *Sci. Total Environ.* 907:168112.