Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Food Hydrocolloids



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodhyd

Mild processing and addition of milk fat globule membrane in infant formula may better mimic intragastric behavior of human milk: A proof of concept trial in healthy males



Julia J.M. Roelofs^{a,*}, Reina S. Tjoelker^b, Tim T. Lambers^b, Paul A.M. Smeets^a

^a Division of Human Nutrition and Health, Wageningen University, Stippeneng 4, 6708 WE Wageningen, the Netherlands ^b FrieslandCampina, Stationsplein 4, 3818 LE, Amersfoort, the Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T		
<i>Keywords:</i> Infant formula Emulsion stability Gastric behavior Digestion MRI	Background: During breastfeeding the macronutrient composition of breastmilk changes gradually from relatively low-fat (foremilk) to relatively high-fat (hindmilk), initially exposing the gastrointestinal tract to a relatively low fat concentration. In contrast, infant formulae (IF) are homogenous. Mild processing and addition of milk fat globule membrane (MFGM) may impact gastric emulsion instability, potentially impacting the phased release of nutrients as observed during breastfeeding.		
	experimental minimally processed IF (EF) with an altered fat-globule interface and a control IF (CF). <i>Methods</i> : Twenty healthy males participated in this double-blind randomized crossover trial. Gastric MRI scans and blood samples were obtained before and after consumption of 600 ml CF or EF over a 2-h period. Outcomes included gastric top layer formation, total gastric volume, and blood parameters (free fatty acids (FFA), insulin, glucose, and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR-)metabolomics).		
	Results: EF showed an earlier onset (13.4 min, $p = 0.017$), smaller maximum volume (49.0 ml, $p = 0.033$), and a shorter time to maximum top layer volume (13.9 min, $p = 0.022$), but similar AUC ($p = 0.915$) compared to CF. Total gastric volume did not show a treatment*time effect. Insulin concentrations were lower for EF. FFA and glucose did not differ. EF yielded higher serum concentrations of phospholipid- and cholesterol-related metabolites.		
	<i>Conclusion:</i> An EF displayed faster gastric creaming than a CF, thereby potentially better mimicking the behavior of breastmilk which leads to phased release of nutrients into the intestine. Overall physiological benefits of this difference in gastric behavior remain to be studied further in infants.		

1. Introduction

Breastmilk (BM) provides the best nutrition for the growth, development and health of infants (Victora et al., 2016; WHO, 2003). In case BM is not or not sufficiently available, or in case parents choose to feed their infant otherwise, infant formula (IF) is the only safe alternative to provide adequate nutrition (Dewey, 2003; WHO, 2003). Nevertheless, several reviews and meta-analyses report that differences in infant feeding may have different health effects. For instance, a longer duration of breastfeeding is associated with up to 26 % reduced odds of overweight or obesity later in life (Harder et al., 2005; Victora et al., 2016; Weng et al., 2012). One of the many differences between BM and IF is the change in fat content during a feed. During breastfeeding, the milk fat content and thus the caloric density of BM, slowly increases from low in foremilk, to relatively high in hindmilk (Daly et al., 1993; Forsum & Lonnerdal, 1979; Hytten, 1954; Italianer et al., 2020; Kent et al., 2006). With breastfeeding, the gastrointestinal tract will thus initially be exposed to a lower fat concentration. In addition, it is known that the fat content of BM varies greatly between individuals and shows diurnal variation (Khan et al., 2013). In contrast, the fat content of IF is stable during a feed and throughout the day. Physiologically, these differences may impact feed intake as e.g. the changes in fat content during breastfeeding are thought to influence satiation and overall feed intake (Per-ez-Escamilla et al., 1995).

Received 8 September 2023; Received in revised form 26 January 2024; Accepted 30 January 2024 Available online 30 January 2024



^{*} Corresponding author. Stippeneng 4, 6708 WE, Wageningen, the Netherlands.

E-mail addresses: julia.roelofs@wur.nl (J.J.M. Roelofs), reina.tjoelker@frieslandcampina.nl (R.S. Tjoelker), tim.lambers@frieslandcampina.nl (T.T. Lambers), paul.smeets@wur.nl (P.A.M. Smeets).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2024.109839

⁰²⁶⁸⁻⁰⁰⁵X/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

List of abbreviations		
BM	Breast Milk	
BMI	Body Mass Index	
FDR	False Discovery Rate	
FFA	Free Fatty Acids	
IF	Infant Formula	
MFGM	Milk Fat Globule Membrane	
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging	
NMR	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance	
SGF	Simulated Gastric Fluid	
001	Simulated Gastrie Flata	

Both BM and IF are essentially oil-in-water emulsions (Wang et al., 2023). The fat globules in BM are covered by a biological membrane called the milk fat globule membrane (MFGM). This membrane not only stabilizes the emulsion, but also facilitates digestion (Lopez, 2020; Thum et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2023). In contrast to BM, the fat globules in IF are primarily stabilized by proteins such as whey proteins and caseins (McCarthy et al., 2012). Under gastric conditions, as a result of pepsin-mediated protein digestion, these emulsions will destabilize, causing coalescence and creaming of the milk fat globules which results in a high-fat top layer (Kunz et al., 2005). Due to their different fat globule interface and coherent emulsion stabilities, BM and IF destabilize at different rates in the stomach (Bourlieu et al., 2015; Camps et al., 2021; Chai et al., 2022).

In addition to protein composition, formula processing also influences emulsion stability, mainly by heating-induced protein denaturation (Ye et al., 2020). Particularly whey proteins are sensitive to this and form complexes with caseins upon heating (Anema et al., 2004; Fox et al., 2015; Guyomarc'h et al., 2009; Mulet-Cabero et al., 2019). The formation of these complexes results in a higher emulsion stability because more whey proteins are absorbed at the fat-globule interface stabilized by caseins (Raikos, 2010). More severe heat treatment of IF may thus impact gastric emulsion stability resulting in slower coalescence and creaming in the stomach. This study therefore studied if mild processing and addition of MFGM will induce earlier coalescence and creaming, and thus the formation of a high-fat top layer. Thereby potentially mimicking the aforementioned initial exposure to a relatively low fat concentration along the gastrointestinal tract as observed with breastfeeding. Other studies have already shown that a decreased emulsion stability can result in quicker gastric emptying (Marciani et al., 2007, 2009) and an altered post-prandial response in lipids (Acevedo--Fani & Singh, 2022).

Overall, BM is known to have a faster gastric emptying rate compared to IF (Meyer et al., 2015; van Den Driessche et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2023). This was also confirmed by Camps et al. (2021) with the use of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) in adults.

The advantage of using MRI in digestive research is that it allows for visualization and quantification of intragastric processes such as gastric emptying and emulsion stability (Smeets et al., 2021). However, due to ethical constraints, MRI cannot be used to assess gastric processes in healthy infants for research purposes.

Therefore, in this proof-of-concept study, gastric emulsion stability, gastric emptying, and post-prandial plasma metabolomics of an experimental IF, produced with a reduced heat-load and enriched in MFGM, was compared with a control IF in healthy adults. To complement this *in vivo* study, *in vitro* digestions were performed under both simulated infant and adult conditions to investigate emulsion stability of the formulae under gastric conditions. Since we were not able to perform *in vivo* measurements in infants, the *in vitro* digestion allowed us to compare adult and infant conditions. Moreover, this allowed for validation with *in vivo* findings. It was hypothesized that the different fatglobule interface resulting from differences in formula composition and processing would result in faster gastric emulsion instability thereby potentially better mimicking the phased-release of nutrients in the intestine as observed with breastfeeding.

2. Participants and methods

2.1. In vitro digestion

In vitro gastric digestion was performed using a semi-dynamic digestion model based on the international INFOGEST standard simulating infant and adult gastric conditions as described in Lambers et al. (2023). Digestion units contained 2.5 ml (infant), or 6 ml (adult) simulated gastric fluid (SGF), containing 30 mM HCl (Sigma-Aldrich) and 300 U/ml pepsin (Sigma-Aldrich, P6887) for infant or 100 mM HCl and 1000 U/ml pepsin for adult conditions, at the start of the experiment to simulate the fasting state. 60 ml formula was added immediately (adult) or with a feed flow of 3 ml/min (simulating a typical infant feeding time of 20 min) and SGF with a flow of 0.39 ml/min (infant) or 0.72 ml/min (adult) was added until sampling pH (i.e. pH = 5.75, 5.5, 5.0, and 4.5) using preprogrammed DAS-box scripts. Subsequently, protease inhibitors (Pepstatin A, 5 µM, Sigma-Aldrich) were added to stop the enzymatic reactions and visually analyze layer formation over time at t = 0, 5, 15, and 30 min. During the simulated digestion, samples were taken at pH values representing both the early and later phases of gastric digestion (pH = 5.75, 5.5, 5.0, and 4.5 (Bourlieu et al., 2014)) and layer formation was visually assessed with the use of photographs.

2.2. In vivo trial

2.2.1. Design

This study was a double-blind randomized crossover trial in which healthy men underwent gastric MRI scans and blood sampling at baseline and after consumption of two formulae. The primary outcome was gastric top layer formation resulting from emulsion instability. Secondary outcomes were total gastric volume, and blood parameters related to metabolic responses (Roelofs et al., 2024; van Eijnatten et al., 2023), including free fatty acids (FFA), glucose, insulin, and a range of (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)-based) metabolites (Soininen et al., 2015; Würtz et al., 2017). In addition, subjective ratings of appetite (hunger, fullness, thirst, desire to eat, and prospective consumption) and nausea were collected (Noble et al., 2005). The study procedures were approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of Wageningen University in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 as revised in 2013. The study was registered with clinicaltrials.gov under number NCT05224947. All participants signed an informed consent.

2.2.2. Participants

Twenty healthy (self-reported) males aged 18–45 y and with a BMI between 18.5 and 25 kg/m² were included. Participants were excluded if they reported an allergy or intolerance for cow's milk, lactose, soy and/or fish, gastric disorders, or regular gastric complaints, used medication that affects gastric behavior, smoked more than 2 cigarettes per week, had an alcohol intake >14 glasses per week, or had a contraindication to MRI scanning (including but not limited to pacemakers and defibrillators, ferromagnetic implants, and claustrophobia). Since female sex hormone levels are known to influence gastrointestinal function, only males were included in the study (Gonenne et al., 2006; Lajterer et al., 2022; Soldin & Mattison, 2009). Participants were recruited via digital advertisements (e-mail and social media). In total, 20 men participated in the study (age: 25.5 ± 5.8 y, BMI: 21.9 ± 1.5 kg/m²) (Supplementary Fig. 1).

2.2.3. Treatments

A routine cow's milk-based formula (control IF, CF) and an experimental mildly processed formula (i.e. low-temperature pasteurization) comprised of skimmed milk, a native whey protein concentrate (Hiprotal® Milkserum 60 Liquid, FrieslandCampina), and a MFGMenriched whey protein concentrate (Vivinal® MFGM, FrieslandCampina, containing 69–76 % (m/m) protein, 6–10 % (m/m) phospholipids) (experimental IF, EF) were used. Both products met the nutritional requirements of infants (0–6 months) (Table 1), were produced specifically for this study, and were produced under food-grade conditions. Participants consumed 600 ml of the formulae at approximately 37 °C as prescribed in the preparation instruction of both formulae.

2.2.4. Study procedures

Participants were instructed to consume the same meal the evening prior to both test days. After this the overnight fasting period of minimally 12 h started. Drinking water was allowed up to 2 h prior to their visit. Upon arrival at Hospital Gelderse Vallei (Ede, The Netherlands), a cannula was placed in an antecubital vein, a blood sample was taken, a baseline MRI scan was performed, and subjective ratings on appetite and nausea were obtained. Subsequently, participants consumed one of the two formulae from a cup while in an upright position. Mean (\pm SD) ingestion time was 2.1 (\pm 0.9) min (CF: 2.3 \pm 0.9 min, EF: 2.0 \pm 0.9 min). Subsequently, gastric MRI scans were performed at 5-min intervals during the first 30 min after the start of consumption. After that, scans were made every 10 min, up until 2 h. Blood samples were taken at t = 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90 and 120 min. In addition, participants were asked to verbally rate their appetite and nausea on a scale from 0 (not at all) to 100 (very much) every 10 min, up to 90 min (Noble et al., 2005) (Fig. 1).

2.2.5. MRI scanning

Participants were scanned in a supine position with the use of a 3 T Philips Ingenia Elition X MRI scanner (Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands). A 2-D Turbo Spin Echo sequence (37 4-mm slices, 1.4 mm gap, 1×1 mm in-plane resolution, TR: 550 ms, TE 80 ms, flip angle: 90°) was used with breath hold command on expiration to fixate the position of the diaphragm and the stomach. The scan lasted approximately 20 s.

2.2.6. MRI image analysis

Gastric top layer volume and total gastric content volume were manually delineated with the use of the program MIPAV (Medical Image Processing, Analysis and Visualization Version 7.4.0, 2016) to obtain the number of voxels located within the stomach (Fig. 2) (McAuliffe et al., 2001). Top layer volume and total gastric volume were calculated for each time point by multiplying the number of voxels with the voxel size, taking into account gap distance (MATLAB (2021b)). A representative example of a complete time series for both formulae is shown in the Supplementary Fig. 2.

The stomach contents were independently delineated by two researchers. To ensure that both researchers delineated total gastric volume and top layer in the same manner, the scans of both sessions of three participants were assessed by both researchers. The delineations were then discussed, and consensus agreements were made to ensure similar assessment by both observers. After this, scans were delineated by one of the two researchers. 15 % of the sessions were analyzed in duplicate to check for potential differences. For top layer volume this resulted in an

Table 1

Nutrient composition of the experimental mildly processed formulae containing MFGM and control formulae.

Composition per 100 ml	Control	Experimental	
Energy (kcal (kJ))	66 (276)	67 (280)	
Protein ^[N+6.25] (g)	1.4	1.3	
- Casein ^[N*6.25] (g)	0.5	0.5	
- Whey protein ^[N*6.25] (g)	0.9	0.8	
Fat (g)	3.5	3.4	
Carbohydrate (g)	7.0	7.3	
Galacto-oligosaccharides (g)	0.4	0.4	
Phospholipids (mg)	29	49	

interclass correlation coefficient of 0.903 (95 % CI: 0.849–0.938) which indicates good reliability. For total gastric content volume this was 0.997 (95 % CI: 0.993–0.998), indicating excellent reliability (Koo & Li, 2016).

2.2.7. Blood sample collection and analysis

Blood samples were drawn from the IV cannula into sodium-fluoride, serum-, and EDTA tubes. After collection, the serum tubes were allowed to clot for 60 min. All tubes were centrifuged at 1000 g for 10 min. The sodium-fluoride and serum tubes were centrifuged at 22 $\,^\circ\text{C}$ and the EDTA tubes at 4 °C. The aliquots were stored at -80 °C until they were analyzed in bulk. To determine glucose concentrations, the sodiumfluoride plasma samples were processed using the Atellica CH Glucose Hexokinase 3 (GluH 3) assay kit and quantified using the Atellica CH analyzer (Siemens Healthineers, Netherlands) by a clinical chemistry laboratory (Ziekenhuis Gelderse Vallei, Ede, The Netherlands). The lower detection limit was 0.2 mmol/l and a maximum intra-assay CV of 4.5 %. The EDTA plasma samples were processed and insulin was quantified using an enzymatic immunoassay kit (ELISA, Mercodia AB, Sweden). The lower detection limit was 1 mU/L and inter-assay CVs ranged from 3.3 to 19.1 %. For the quantification of FFA, EDTA plasma samples were processed and quantified with an enzymatic kit (Instru-Chemie, Delfzijl, The Netherlands). The lower detection limit was 0.008 mmol/l and inter-assay CVs ranged from 0.2 to 20.9 %. Quantification of 250 (NMR-based) metabolites was performed in the serum samples using ¹H NMR metabolomics (Nightingale Health Ltd, Helsinki, Finland, https://nightingalehealth.com/) (Soininen et al., 2015; Würtz et al., 2017).

2.2.8. Sample size

Sample size was calculated for the primary outcome top layer formation. The calculation was based on Camps et al. (2021) who found that an intake volume of 200 ml IF resulted in a top layer of 17 ± 2.3 ml in adults. Therefore, it was expected that intake of 600 ml IF would result in a top layer of approximately 50 ml. With a larger intake volume, the magnitude of individual differences was expected to increase. Thus, it was estimated that the deviation from control would increase to approximately 13 ml. The minimal MRI-detectable difference in top layer deemed clinically relevant was estimated at 10 ml. Based on a two-sided test, an α of 0.05 and a power of 0.9, it was estimated that 20 complete datasets were needed. The calculation was done using: http://hedwig.mgh.harvard.edu/sample_size/js/js_crossover_quant. html.

2.2.9. Statistical analysis

For gastric top layer formation, the time at which the top layer appeared (onset) was compared between treatments. In addition, the maximum top layer volume and time to maximum top layer volume was identified for each individual. All three parameters were compared between treatments with a paired *t*-test and with a linear mixed model that included baseline gastric volume as covariate. AUC of the top layer and total gastric volume over time were also calculated for each individual using the trapezoidal rule and compared with paired t-tests. Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated for baseline gastric volume and top layer characteristics.

Differences in gastric top layer volume over time were tested with the use of a generalized linear mixed model using a zero-inflated Poisson distribution, testing for main effects of time, treatment, and treatment*time interactions. Baseline gastric volume was included as a covariate, due to its effect on digestion (Camps et al., 2021). Differences in total gastric volume over time were tested using linear mixed models, with time, treatment, and treatment*time interactions as fixed factors and baseline gastric volume as a covariate. Tukey HSD corrected post-hoc t-tests were used to compare individual time points.

Differences in plasma concentrations of FFA, glucose, insulin, serum NMR-based metabolites, and subjective ratings over time were tested by



Fig. 1. Overview of a test session.



Fig. 2. Illustration of a stomach MRI time series from one participant with top layer volume (blue) and total gastric volume (light red) delineated for the control IF and experimental IF.

using linear mixed models, testing for main effects of time, treatment, and treatment*time interactions. Baseline values were added as covariate. False discovery Rate (FDR) correction was used for the NMR-based metabolites (Storey, 2002).

Normality of the data was confirmed with quantile-quantile (QQ) plots of the residuals. For insulin, FFA and prospective consumption a logarithmic transformation was applied to create a normal distribution. All statistical analyses were performed using the R statical software (version 4.0.2). The significance threshold was set at p = 0.05. Data are expressed as mean \pm SE unless stated otherwise.

3. Results

3.1. In vitro digestion

To investigate emulsion stability of the EF and CF under gastric conditions, *in vitro* digestions were performed under both simulated infant and adult conditions (Supplementary Fig. 3). Under both simulated infant and adult conditions, the EF displayed an earlier, i.e., at a higher pH, onset of layer formation compared to the CF. In addition, the formed lipid layer in the EF appeared to be more dense compared to the CF.

3.2. In vivo trial

3.2.1. Gastric top layer formation

Onset time of the high-fat top layer, maximum top layer volume, and time to maximum top layer volume are described in Table 2. The onset of

Table 2

Differences in top layer formation b	between the two	formulae ($mean \pm SE$.).
--------------------------------------	-----------------	------------	---------------	-----

Characteristic	Control	Experimental	Mean difference (95 % CI)	<i>P-</i> value
Onset time (min)	39.5 ± 4.4	26.1 ± 3.3	13.4 (2.7–24.1)	0.017
Maximum top layer volume (ml)	$\begin{array}{c} 203.4 \pm \\ 23.5 \end{array}$	154.6 ± 10.9	49.0 (4.4–93.6)	0.033
Time to maximum top layer volume (min)	41.3 ± 4.7	$\textbf{27.4} \pm \textbf{3.5}$	13.9 (2.3–25.7)	0.022
AUC (ml*min)	$\begin{array}{c} 5163 \pm \\ 642 \end{array}$	5090 ± 444	72.7 (-1343–1488)	0.915

the top layer was 13.4 ± 5.1 min earlier for the EF compared to the CF (p = 0.017, 26.1 ± 4.4 min, and 39.5 ± 3.3 min for the EF and CF respectively) (Supplementary Fig. 4). When baseline gastric volume was added as covariate the effect became more significant with a mean difference of 13.6 ± 3.6 min (p = 0.001). Time to maximum top layer volume was shorter and maximum top layer volume was lower for the EF (p = 0.022 and 0.033, respectively). Top layer AUC did not differ between treatments (p = 0.915).

Although the AUC was similar for both formulae, top layer volume over time showed a significant treatment by time interaction (p < 0.001) with lower volumes for the EF at all time points except t = 100.

The individual curves for top layer volume over time can be found in Supplementary Fig. 5.

J.J.M. Roelofs et al.

3.2.2. Total gastric volume

Total gastric volume showed a linear decline over time for both formulae (Fig. 3), with higher volumes for the EF (treatment effect, mean difference = 15.0 ml, p < 0.001). However, treatment by time interaction was not significant (p = 0.323). Total gastric volume AUC tended to be lower for the CF (p = 0.063).

3.2.3. Correlations

Baseline gastric volume correlated with the top layer onset time for both the EF (r = -0.82, p < 0.001) and the CF (r = -0.72, p < 0.001) (Supplementary Fig. 6). Baseline gastric volume were also correlated with maximum top layer volume (r = 0.65 for EF, p < 0.001 and 0.46 for CF, p < 0.001) and time to maximum top layer (r = -0.80 for EF, p = 0.002 and r = -0.71 for CF, p = 0.046).

3.2.4. Postprandial blood response

No treatment effects were found for postprandial plasma FFA and glucose (p = 0.763 and 0.325, respectively) (Supplementary Fig. 7). However, plasma insulin concentrations were lower for the EF (p = 0.040) (Fig. 4). No treatment by time interaction was observed for these three parameters (p = 0.835, 0.881, and 0.547, respectively).

Treatment effects were found for 63 of the NMR-based metabolites (Supplementary Fig. 8). Differences were mainly found in cholesteroland phospholipid-related metabolites, which all had lower levels for the CF. None of the metabolites showed a significant treatment by time interaction.

3.2.5. Appetite & nausea ratings

There were no significant treatment effects for hunger, fullness, prospective consumption, and thirst (p = 0.751, 0.340, 0.706, and 0.757 respectively). Desire to eat tended to be lower for the CF (p = 0.078). Nausea was overall rated lower for the EF (p = 0.023), although all scores remained around zero. None of the ratings showed a significant interaction between treatment and time (p = 0.820, 0.575, 0.257, 0.662, 0.718, and 0.890 respectively). The graphs are shown in Supplementary Fig. 9.

4. Discussion

This study compared gastric top layer formation, gastric emptying, and post-prandial plasma metabolomics of an experimental minimally processed IF containing MFGM with that of a control IF. Onset of the high-fat gastric top layer was earlier for the EF and top layer volume was lower compared to the CF. For both formulae, total gastric volume emptied almost linear over time, with a higher volume for the EF. No treatment differences were found in post-prandial plasma concentrations of FFA, insulin, and glucose. NMR-based metabolomics mainly showed differences in postprandial serum phospholipid and cholesterol related metabolomics, with higher concentrations for the EF.



Fig. 3. Mean \pm SE total gastric volume over time of the two formulae.



Fig. 4. Mean \pm SE plasma insulin concentrations over time after ingestion of the formulae.

Gastric top layer volume over time was lower for the EF and the layer was formed ~13 min sooner for the EF. This is in line with the observations in the in vitro digestion, which demonstrated layer formation at higher pH (i.e., in an earlier phase of gastric digestion) for the EF under both simulated infant and adult conditions. The lower gastric volumes for the EF are likely the result of the earlier top layer formation, resulting in a more even distribution over time. This earlier onset of emulsion destabilization is in line with our hypothesis and can most likely be explained by the mild processing. As a result of the lower heating compared to the CF, fewer whey proteins are denatured and complexed with caseins at the fat globule interface. This in turn causes the emulsion to be less stable under gastric conditions as caseins, resulting from pepsin-mediated hydrolysis, will coagulate quickly under gastric conditions (Anema et al., 2004; Fox et al., 2015; Guyomarc'h et al., 2009; Raikos, 2010). In the CF top layer formation occurred later, most likely as a result of a slower hydrolysis of the casein-whey complex that stabilizes the emulsion. A faster gastric lipid layer formation may potentially better mimic the phased-release of nutrients in the intestine overall as observed with breastfeeding where the gastrointestinal tract will initially be exposed to a relative low-fat fraction (foremilk) followed by exposure to a relatively high-fat fraction (hindmilk) (Daly et al., 1993; Forsum & Lonnerdal, 1979; Hytten, 1954; Kent et al., 2006; Saarela et al., 2005).

For both formulae, gastric emptying over time followed a linear pattern, with lower gastric volumes for the CF after 30 min. However, individual timepoints did not show a significant difference and the AUC did not significantly differ between treatments, indicating that the effect is small. Moreover, this small effect also did not result in significant differences for FFA and glucose. Therefore, it should be questioned whether this small treatment difference in gastric volume is clinically relevant.

Plasma insulin showed a higher initial postprandial peak for the CF. As total levels of carbohydrates were only slightly higher in the EF, these observations are likely explained by the insulinotropic effect of proteins (Rietman et al., 2014). Most likely, the slower destabilization of the emulsion for the CF led to a more protein-rich fraction initially reaching the intestine compared to the EF, thereby initially promoting insulin secretion more prominent. Surprisingly few data is available with respect to post-prandial insulin responses after consumption of human milk, likely resulting from ethical challenges of (serial) blood sampling in infants. Interestingly, an adult study comparing, amongst others, human milk with bovine milk, human milk displayed the lowest insulin response (Gunnerud et al., 2012).

As identified previously by Camps et al. (2021), baseline (fasted) gastric juice volume was correlated with top layer formation. Higher baseline gastric juice volume was strongly associated with an earlier onset of the top layer. This is likely explained by its low pH and the presence of pepsin. When there is more gastric juice, the gastric pH will

initially be lower and more pepsin will be available, resulting in an overall quicker coalescence and creaming. Relative to Camps et al. (2021) (200 ml), a larger ingestion volume (600 ml) was chosen to minimize the influence of baseline gastric juice. Nevertheless, a strong correlation between fasting gastric juice volume and subsequent top layer formation was also observed in the current study. This underscores the relevance of taking baseline gastric content volumes into consideration, also because these show large day-to-day and intra-individual variability (Grimm et al., 2018). Interestingly, one of the participants did not show any top layer formation for both formulae. Although this participant did not show abnormal baseline gastric juice volumes, it could have been that this participant had low pepsin activity. It is known that there are large interindividual variabilities in pepsin activity (Walther et al., 2019).

Post-prandial concentrations of phospholipid and cholesterol related metabolites were higher for the EF. Most likely, this can be explained by the addition of MFGM-enriched whey to this formula, which, as compared to normal whey, is enriched with phospholipids and cholesterol (Venkat et al., 2022). No treatment differences were found in post-prandial plasma FFA and glucose concentrations. This is likely due to the overall similar nutritional composition of the two formulae concerning fat and carbohydrate source. In addition, especially for the FFA, the measurement time was relatively short in the current study. Plasma FFA concentrations rise during fasting and drop as soon as food is ingested. This drop happens due to the meal-induced secretion of insulin, which suppresses intracellular lipase and thereby lowers the release of FFA into the circulation (Albrink & Neuwirth, 1960; Fielding, 2011; Lairon et al., 2007). This is in line with the plasma FFA concentration changes found in this study, which showed an initial decrease after ingestion of the IF. Therefore, it is often recommended to measure FFA concentrations for a longer period. For example, Lairon et al. (2007) recommend a period of 6-8 h.

One of the limitations of the study is the use of adults instead of infants because of ethical considerations associated with MRI. The gastrointestinal tract of infants is not yet completely developed and therefore differs from that of adults. Amongst others, the minimum gastric pH of infants is higher compared to that of adults (3-4 compared to 0.5-2.3) and less pepsin is secreted (Poquet & Wooster, 2016). Since the pH influences the activity of pepsin and gastric lipase, this impacts digestive processes in the stomach, among which the coalescence and creaming into a high-fat top layer. The higher pH in infant gastric conditions, would most likely result in a slower destabilization of the emulsion. However, as both formulae were measured in adults, it is expected that the differences between treatments will remain similar. Moreover, in the semi-dynamic in vitro digestion model the difference between both formulae were observed under both infant and adult digestion conditions. Combined, these results thus suggest that the differences in coalescence and creaming that were observed will also occur in infants.

MRI usually requires participants to be scanned in a supine position. Studies have shown that sitting in an upright position accelerates gastric emptying compared to a supine position (Jones et al., 2006; Spiegel et al., 2000). However, these effects are small and since participants were scanned in the same position for both treatments, we expect the differences between treatments to remain similar. Moreover, from the perspective of infant nutrition a supine position after feeding is realistic.

In conclusion, this study shows that an experimental minimally processed infant formula containing MFGM-enriched whey had an accelerated gastric creaming as compared to a control formula. No effects on overall nutrient absorption over time were found, except for the cholesterol- and phospholipid-related metabolites which can most likely be attributed to the presence of MFGM-enriched whey in the experimental formula. Although the overall physiological consequences remain to be identified, a faster high-fat gastric top layer formation of infant formulae may potentially better mimic the phased-release of nutrients in the intestine as observed with breastfeeding where the gastrointestinal tract will initially be exposed to a relative low-fat fraction (foremilk) followed by exposure to a relatively high-fat fraction (hindmilk).

Conflict of interest and funding disclosure

Julia JM Roelofs: no conflicts of interest, Reina S. Tjoelker: employed at FrieslandCampina, Tim T. Lambers: employed at FrieslandCampina, Paul A.M. Smeets: no conflicts of interest.

Source of support

FrieslandCampina.

Ethical statement and registration

Ethical approval for the involvement of human subjects in this study was granted by the Medical Ethical Committee of Wageningen University (Reference number: NL7 7717.091.21, dated November 1st, 2021) and is in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 as revised in 2013. This study was registered with clinicaltrials.gov under number NCT05224947.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Julia J.M. Roelofs: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Methodology. Reina S. Tjoelker: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. Tim T. Lambers: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. Paul A.M. Smeets: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

This study was funded by FrieslandCampina and Reina Tjoelker and Tim Lambers are employed by FrieslandCampina. All other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by FrieslandCampina and Reina Tjoelker and Tim Lambers are employed by FrieslandCampina. All other authors declare no conflicts of interest. We thank Romy de Haas, Natacha Benbernou, Caya Lindner and Joris de Jong for assisting with data collection and João Paulo for advice on statistical analysis. The use of the 3 T MRI was made possible by WUR Shared Research Facilities.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2024.109839.

References

Acevedo-Fani, A., & Singh, H. (2022). Biophysical insights into modulating lipid digestion in food emulsions. *Progress in Lipid Research*, 85, Article 101129. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.plipres.2021.101129

Albrink, M. J., & Neuwirth, R. S. (1960). Effect of previous starvation on the response of plasma lipids and free fatty acids to a fat meal. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 39(3), 441–446.

J.J.M. Roelofs et al.

Anema, S. G., Lowe, E. K., & Li, Y. (2004). Effect of pH on the viscosity of heated reconstituted skim milk. *International. Dairy. Journal.*, 14(6), 541–548.

Bourlieu, C., Ménard, O., Bouzerzour, K., Mandalari, G., Macierzanka, A., Mackie, A. R., & Dupont, D. (2014). Specificity of infant digestive conditions: Some clues for developing relevant in vitro models. *Critical Review. Food Science. Nutrion.*, 54(11), 1427–1457.

Bourlieu, C., Ménard, O., De La Chevasnerie, A., Sams, L., Rousseau, F., Madec, M.-N., Robert, B., Deglaire, A., Pezennec, S., Bouhallab, S., Carrière, F., & Dupont, D. (2015). The structure of infant formulas impacts their lipolysis, proteolysis and disintegration during in vitro gastric digestion. *Food Chemistry*, 182, 224–235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.03.001

Camps, G., van Eijnatten, E. J., van Lieshout, G. A., Lambers, T. T., & Smeets, P. A. (2021). Gastric emptying and intragastric behavior of breast milk and infant formula in lactating mothers. *Journal of Nutrition*, 151(12), 3718–3724.

Chai, C., Oh, S., & Imm, J. Y. (2022). Roles of milk fat globule membrane on fat digestion and infant nutrition. Food Science Animal Resources, 42(3), 351–371. https://doi.org/ 10.5851/kosfa.2022.e11

Daly, S., Di Rosso, A., Owens, R. A., & Hartmann, P. E. (1993). Degree of breast emptying explains changes in the fat content, but not fatty acid composition, of human milk. *Experimental Physiology: Translation and Integration*, 78(6), 741–755.

Dewey, K. (2003). Guiding principles for complementary feeding of the breastfed child.

Fielding, B. (2011). Tracing the fate of dietary fatty acids: Metabolic studies of postprandial lipaemia in human subjects. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 70(3), 342–350.

Forsum, E., & Lonnerdal, B. (1979). Variation in the contents of nutrients of breast milk during one feeding. Nutrition Reports International, 19(6), 815–820.

Fox, P., Uniacke-Lowe, T., McSweeney, P., O'Mahony, J., Fox, P., Uniacke-Lowe, T., McSweeney, P., & O'Mahony, J. (2015). Heat-induced changes in milk. *Dairy chemistry and biochemistry*, 345–375.

Gonenne, J., Esfandyari, T., Camilleri, M., Burton, D., Stephens, D., Baxter, K., Zinsmeister, A., & Bharucha, A. E. (2006). Effect of female sex hormone supplementation and withdrawal on gastrointestinal and colonic transit in postmenopausal women. *Neuro-Gastroenterology and Motility*, 18(10), 911–918.

Grimm, M., Koziolek, M., Kühn, J.-P., & Weitschies, W. (2018). Interindividual and intraindividual variability of fasted state gastric fluid volume and gastric emptying of water. European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics, 127, 309–317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2018.03.002

Gunnerud, U., Holst, J. J., Östman, E., & Björck, I. (2012). The glycemic, insulinemic and plasma amino acid responses to equi-carbohydrate milk meals, a pilot- study of bovine and human milk. *Nutrition Journal*, 11(1), 83. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2891-11-83

Guyomarc'h, F., Nono, M., Nicolai, T., & Durand, D. (2009). Heat-induced aggregation of whey proteins in the presence of κ-casein or sodium caseinate. *Food Hydrocolloids, 23* (4), 1103–1110.

Harder, T., Bergmann, R., Kallischnigg, G., & Plagemann, A. (2005). Duration of breastfeeding and risk of overweight: A meta-analysis. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 162(5), 397–403.

Hytten, F. (1954). Clinical and chemical studies in human lactation.—I, II, and III. British Medical Journal, 1(4855), 175.

Italianer, M. F., Naninck, E. F., Roelants, J. A., van der Horst, G. T., Reiss, I. K., Goudoever, J. B.v., Joosten, K. F., Chaves, I., & Vermeulen, M. J. (2020). Circadian variation in human milk composition, a systematic review. *Nutrients*, 12(8), 2328.

Jones, K. L., O'Donovan, D., Horowitz, M., Russo, A., Lei, Y., & Hausken, T. (2006). Effects of posture on gastric emptying, transpyloric flow, and hunger after a glucose drink in healthy humans. *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, 51(8), 1331–1338.

Kent, J. C., Mitoulas, L. R., Cregan, M. D., Ramsay, D. T., Doherty, D. A., & Hartmann, P. E. (2006). Volume and frequency of breastfeedings and fat content of breast milk throughout the day. *Pediatrics*, 117(3), e387–e395.

Khan, S., Hepworth, A. R., Prime, D. K., Lai, C. T., Trengove, N. J., & Hartmann, P. E. (2013). Variation in fat, lactose, and protein composition in breast milk over 24 hours: Associations with infant feeding patterns. *Journal of Human Lactation*, 29(1), 81–89.

Koo, T. K., & Li, M. Y. (2016). A guideline of selecting and reporting intraclass correlation coefficients for reliability research. *Journal of chiropractic medicine*, 15(2), 155–163.

Kunz, P., Feinle-Bisset, C., Faas, H., Boesiger, P., Fried, M., Steingötter, A., & Schwizer, W. (2005). Effect of ingestion order of the fat component of a solid meal on intragastric fat distribution and gastric emptying assessed by MRI. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging: An Official Journal of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 21(4), 383–390.

Lairon, D., Lopez-Miranda, J., & Williams, C. (2007). Methodology for studying postprandial lipid metabolism. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 61(10), 1145–1161.

Lajterer, C., Levi, C. S., & Lesmes, U. (2022). An in vitro digestion model accounting for sex differences in gastro-intestinal functions and its application to study differential protein digestibility. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 132, Article 107850.

Lambers, T. T., Wissing, J., & Roggekamp, J. (2023). In vitro gastric digestion of an experimental infant formula containing both intact and hydrolyzed milk proteins. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 106(7), 4524–4532.

Lopez, C. (2020). Dairy lipids in infant formulae to reduce the gap with breast milk fat globules: Nutritional and health benefits associated to opportunities. *Dairy Fat Products and Functionality: Fundamental Science and Technology*, 355–398.

Marciani, L., Faulks, R., Wickham, M. S., Bush, D., Pick, B., Wright, J., Cox, E. F., Fillery-Travis, A., Gowland, P. A., & Spiller, R. C. (2009). Effect of intragastric acid stability of fat emulsions on gastric emptying, plasma lipid profile and postprandial satiety. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 101(6), 919–928. Marciani, L., Wickham, M., Singh, G., Bush, D., Pick, B., Cox, E., ... Gowland, P. A. (2007). Enhancement of intragastric acid stability of a fat emulsion meal delays gastric emptying and increases cholecystokinin release and gallbladder contraction. *American Journal of Physiology - Gastrointestinal and Liver Physiology, 292*(6), G1607–G1613.

McAuliffe, M. J., Lalonde, F. M., McGarry, D., Gandler, W., Csaky, K., & Trus, B. L. (2001). Medical image processing, analysis and visualization in clinical research. In Proceedings 14th IEEE symposium on computer-based medical systems. CBMS 2001.

McCarthy, N. A., Kelly, A. L., O'Mahony, J. A., Hickey, D. K., Chaurin, V., & Fenelon, M. A. (2012). Effect of protein content on emulsion stability of a model infant formula. *International Dairy Journal*, 25(2), 80–86.

Meyer, R., Foong, R.-X. M., Thapar, N., Kritas, S., & Shah, N. (2015). Systematic review of the impact of feed protein type and degree of hydrolysis on gastric emptying in children. *BMC Gastroenterology*, 15(1), 1–10.

Mulet-Cabero, A.-I., Mackie, A. R., Wilde, P. J., Fenelon, M. A., & Brodkorb, A. (2019). Structural mechanism and kinetics of in vitro gastric digestion are affected by process-induced changes in bovine milk. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 86, 172–183.

Noble, B., Clark, D., Meldrum, M., ten Have, H., Seymour, J., Winslow, M., & Paz, S. (2005). The measurement of pain, 1945–2000. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 29(1), 14–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2004.08.007

Perez-Escamilla, R., Cohen, R. J., Brown, K. H., Rivera, L. L., Canahuati, J., & Dewey, K. G. (1995). Maternal anthropometric status and lactation performance in a low-income Honduran population: Evidence for the role of infants. *American Journal* of *Clinical Nutrition*, 61(3), 528–534.

Poquet, L., & Wooster, T. J. (2016). Infant digestion physiology and the relevance of in vitro biochemical models to test infant formula lipid digestion. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research*, 60(8), 1876–1895.

Raikos, V. (2010). Effect of heat treatment on milk protein functionality at emulsion interfaces. A review. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 24(4), 259–265.

Rietman, A., Schwarz, J., Tomé, D., Kok, F. J., & Mensink, M. (2014). High dietary protein intake, reducing or eliciting insulin resistance? *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 68(9), 973–979.

Roelofs, J. J. M., van Eijnatten, E. J. M., Prathumars, P., de Jong, J., Wehrens, R., Esser, D., Janssen, A. E. M., & Smeets, P. A. M. (2024). Gastric emptying and nutrient absorption of pea protein products differing in heat treatment and texture: A randomized in vivo crossover trial and in vitro digestion study. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 149, Article 109596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2023.109596

Saarela, T., Kokkonen, J., & Koivisto, M. (2005). Macronutrient and energy contents of human milk fractions during the first six months of lactation. Acta Paediatrica, 94(9), 1176–1181. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.2005.tb02070.x

Smeets, P. A., Deng, R., van Eijnatten, E. J., & Mayar, M. (2021). Monitoring food digestion with magnetic resonance techniques. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 1–11.

Soininen, P., Kangas, A. J., Würtz, P., Suna, T., & Ala-Korpela, M. (2015). Quantitative serum nuclear magnetic resonance metabolomics in cardiovascular epidemiology and genetics. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics*, 8(1), 192–206.

Soldin, O. P., & Mattison, D. R. (2009). Sex differences in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. *Clinical Pharmacokinetics*, 48(3), 143–157.

Spiegel, T. A., Fried, H., Hubert, C. D., Peikin, S. R., Siegel, J. A., & Zeiger, L. S. (2000). Effects of posture on gastric emptying and satiety ratings after a nutritive liquid and solid meal. American Journal of Physiology - Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology, 279(2), R684–R694.

Storey, J. D. (2002). A direct approach to false discovery rates. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society - Series B: Statistical Methodology, 64(3), 479–498.

Thum, C., Wall, C., Day, L., Szeto, I. M. Y., Li, F., Yan, Y., & Barnett, M. P. G. (2022). Changes in human milk fat globule composition throughout lactation. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.835856. A Review [Review].

van Den Driessche, M., Peeters, K., Marien, P., Ghoos, Y., Devlieger, H., & Veereman-Wauters, G. (1999). Gastric emptying in formula-fed and breast-fed infants measured with the 13C-octanoic acid breath test. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition*, 29(1), 46–51.

van Eijnatten, E. J. M., Roelofs, J. J. M., Camps, G., Huppertz, T., Lambers, T. T., & Smeets, P. A. M. (2023). Gastric coagulation and postprandial amino acid absorption of milk is affected by mineral composition: A randomized crossover trial. *medRxiv*., Article 23295475. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.13.23295475, 2023.2009.2013.

Venkat, M., Chia, L. W., & Lambers, T. T. (2022). Milk polar lipids composition and functionality: A systematic review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 1–45.

Victora, C. G., Bahl, R., Barros, A. J., França, G. V., Horton, S., Krasevec, J., Murch, S., Sankar, M. J., Walker, N., & Rollins, N. C. (2016). Breastfeeding in the 21st century: Epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *Lancet*, 387(10017), 475–490.

Walther, B., Lett, A. M., Bordoni, A., Tomás-Cobos, L., Nieto, J. A., Dupont, D., Danesi, F., Shahar, D. R., Echaniz, A., & Re, R. (2019). GutSelf: Interindividual variability in the processing of dietary compounds by the human gastrointestinal tract. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research*, 63(21), Article 1900677.

Wang, X., Roy, D., Acevedo-Fani, A., Ye, A., Pundir, S., & Singh, H. (2023). Challenges in simulating the biochemical environment of the infant stomach to assess the gastric digestion of infant formulae. *Current Opinion in Food Science*, Article 100984.

Weng, S. F., Redsell, S. A., Swift, J. A., Yang, M., & Glazebrook, C. P. (2012). Systematic review and meta-analyses of risk factors for childhood overweight identifiable during infancy. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 97(12), 1019–1026.

Who. (2003). Global strategy for infant and young child feeding. World Health Organization.

Wu, Z., Zheng, S., Yuan, X., Ji, Y., Li, H., DuoJie, R., SuoNan, A., Li, H., & Yu, J. (2023). Effect of the addition of milk fat globule membrane materials to the construction of fat globule interface in infant formula. International Journal of Dairy Technology.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0307.13039 Würtz, P., Kangas, A. J., Soininen, P., Lawlor, D. A., Davey Smith, G., & Ala-Korpela, M. (2017). Quantitative serum nuclear magnetic resonance metabolomics in large-scale

epidemiology: A primer on -omic technologies. American Journal of Epidemiology,

186(9), 1084–1096. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwx016
Ye, A., Wang, X., Lin, Q., Han, J., & Singh, H. (2020). Dynamic gastric stability and in vitro lipid digestion of whey-protein-stabilised emulsions: Effect of heat treatment. *Food Chemistry, 318*, Article 126463.