

Journal Pre-proof

Fruit and vegetable intake of females before, during and after introduction of three bundled food system interventions in urban Vietnam and Nigeria.

Giulia Pastori, Inge D. Brouwer, Meike Siemonsma, Hans Verhoef, Le Thi Huong, Thi Thanh Le Xuan, Truong Tuyet Mai, Folake O. Samuel, Oluyemisi F. Shittu, Toluwalope E. Eyinla, Brice Even, Ricardo Hernandez, Mark Lundy, Alan de Brauw, Sigrid Wertheim-Heck, Kate Ambler, Gennifer Meldrum, Amanda De Filippo, Elise F. Talsma



PII: S2475-2991(23)26634-2

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cdnut.2023.102050>

Reference: CDNUT 102050

To appear in: *Current Developments in Nutrition*

Received Date: 31 January 2023

Revised Date: 31 October 2023

Accepted Date: 23 November 2023

Please cite this article as: G. Pastori, I.D. Brouwer, M. Siemonsma, H. Verhoef, L.T. Huong, T.T. Le Xuan, T.T. Mai, F.O. Samuel, O.F. Shittu, T.E. Eyinla, B. Even, R. Hernandez, M. Lundy, A. de Brauw, S. Wertheim-Heck, K. Ambler, G. Meldrum, A. De Filippo, E.F. Talsma, Fruit and vegetable intake of females before, during and after introduction of three bundled food system interventions in urban Vietnam and Nigeria., *Current Developments in Nutrition*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cdnut.2023.102050>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of American Society for Nutrition.

Title

Fruit and vegetable intake of females before, during and after introduction of three bundled food system interventions in urban Vietnam and Nigeria.

Authors

Giulia Pastori¹, Inge D. Brouwer^{1,2}, Meike Siemonsma¹, Hans Verhoef¹, Le Thi Huong³, Thi Thanh Le Xuan³, Truong Tuyet Mai⁴, Folake O. Samuel⁵, Oluyemisi F. Shittu⁵, Toluwalope E. Eyinla⁵, Brice Even⁶, Ricardo Hernandez⁶, Mark Lundy⁶, Alan de Brauw², Sigrid Wertheim-Heck¹, Kate Ambler², Gennifer Meldrum⁶, Amanda De Filippo⁶, Elise F. Talsma¹

¹ Global nutrition chair group, Division of Human Nutrition and Health, Wageningen University and Research, the Netherlands

² International Food Policy Research Institute, USA

³ Institute for Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Hanoi Medical University, Vietnam

⁴ National Institute of Nutrition, Vietnam

⁵ Department of Human Nutrition and Dietetics, University of Ibadan, Nigeria

⁶ The Alliance of Bioversity International and the International Center for Tropical Agriculture, Italy

This work was undertaken as part of the CGIAR Research Program on Agriculture for Nutrition and Health (A4NH), with support from the CGIAR Trust Fund. Data was collected under the Fruit and Vegetable Intake in Vietnam and Nigeria (FVN) project funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) and the UK Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office (FCDO), project nr OPP1182727. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

All authors have no conflict of interest.

Corresponding author: Giulia Pastori, Stippeneng 4, 6708 WE Wageningen, the Netherlands,
giulia.pastori@wur.nl

Running title: Food system interventions to increase FV intake

Abbreviations

DQQ Dietary quality questionnaire

FV Fruit and vegetable

FVN Fruit and vegetable intake in Vietnam and Nigeria

ICC Interclass correlation coefficient

LMIC Low- and middle-income countries

NA Not applicable

T1-6 Timepoint 1-6

Journal Pre-proof

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background** Low fruit and vegetable (FV) intake in low- and middle- income countries,
3 associated with non-communicable diseases and micronutrient deficiencies, requires food
4 system interventions FV addressing affordability, acceptability and accessibility. Periodic FV
5 intake monitoring during interventions informs progress towards achieving increased intakes
6 and contributes to understanding the effectiveness of these interventions. **Objective** This study
7 evaluates the trend in FV intake before, during and after implementation of a set of nutrition-
8 sensitive food system interventions addressing accessibility, affordability and acceptability to
9 increase FV consumption over a 1-year period in Vietnamese and Nigerian low-income urban
10 and peri-urban females. **Methods** We used the Diet Quality Questionnaire to assess FV food
11 groups consumption among 600 Vietnamese (Hanoi) and 610 Nigerian (Ibadan) females,
12 before, during and after the interventions (Vietnam: July 2020 - September 2021; Nigeria:
13 November 2020 – December 2021). A FV score was compared between exposure groups with
14 (mixed) count modelling. The trend in consumption of individual FV groups was analysed
15 with mixed logistic regression. **Results** The FV score was stable over time and a small
16 increase was observed after the intervention period especially in Nigeria and in urban
17 Vietnam. A decrease in the total score was observed in peri-urban Vietnam. Fluctuations were
18 detected in the probability of consumption of individual FV groups over time especially
19 within the fruit groups, probably due to seasonal availability. The degree of exposure could
20 not explain differences in FV intake. **Conclusions** We found a marginal increase in the
21 proportion of females consuming FV during the interventions in both countries. The FV score
22 appeared to be a simple, quick and easy to use indicator for monitoring diversity, variety and
23 consumption.

24

25 **Teaser Text**

26 Fruit and vegetable consumption of low-income Vietnamese and Nigerian females slightly
27 increased over one-year-period while being exposed to nutrition sensitive interventions using
28 an integrated approach at food system level.

29 **Keywords:** Food system, fruit and vegetable intake, diet quality, low- and middle-income
30 countries, innovations, monitoring, acceptability, accessibility, affordability, women.

31 **Introduction**

32 The intake of fruits and vegetables (FV) is particularly low in low- and middle-income
33 countries (LMICs) where over 80% of the population [1], [2] fail to meet the daily intake
34 requirement of 400 grams as recommended by the WHO [3]. FV play an important role in
35 preventing micronutrient deficiencies and diet-related non-communicable diseases [3]. The
36 health benefits are attributed to their high content of essential minerals, vitamins,
37 phytochemicals and dietary fibre. These nutrients are often deficient in many diets across the
38 globe [4], [5]. Low intake of FV is recognized as a risk factor for the global burden of disease
39 and is associated with a risk of cancer, stroke, cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality
40 [6]. Improving FV intake is a key strategy for increasing diet quality.

41 Dietary intakes and choices for FV are driven by complex combinations and interactions of
42 psychosocial, socio-economic and environmental factors, related to food system activities
43 [7]–[10]. Accordingly, there is evidence that interventions focusing on the food environment,
44 behaviour change communication, subsidies and taxes are effective strategies to promote FV
45 consumption [11]. Therefore, a food system approach is needed to make healthy foods, such
46 as FV, accessible, acceptable and affordable to people with the ultimate goal to improve their
47 quality of diets [12].

48 With this rationale, the “Fruit and Vegetable Intake in Vietnam and Nigeria” (FVN) project
49 was implemented in the context of urban and peri-urban Vietnam and Nigeria to increase FV

50 intake among low-income urbanites through a bundle of three food system interventions
51 addressing accessibility, affordability and acceptability of FV. These interventions included
52 diverse retail-level innovations designed and implemented by small-scale FV (in)formal
53 vendors, a client-specific coupon system, and promotional campaigns about the importance of
54 eating FV daily. The interventions were implemented over a 12-month period in 2020/2021 in
55 purposely selected low-income urban and peri-urban areas in Hanoi and Ibadan, where FV
56 consumption is low [13], [14], and unprivileged females are at higher risk low-quality diets
57 [15]. (In)formal open-air FV vendors were targeted by the interventions, because low-income
58 urbanites mainly depend on these more traditional vending structures [16]. In urban Hanoi,
59 these structures contribute to 70% of the food intake among low-income populations [17], and
60 also across sub-Saharan Africa traditional markets and informal traders remain the main
61 source of fresh foods for low- to middle- income urbanites [18], [19].

62 Periodic monitoring of FV intake throughout the period of intervention [20] could provide
63 information on progress in achieving increased intakes, and contribute to the final evaluation
64 of the interventions, to assess whether the planned objectives are being met, and contribute to
65 the limited knowledge and understanding of the effectiveness of nutrition interventions [11],
66 [21]. The repetitive nature of the data needed in such periodic monitoring asks for a simple,
67 intuitive, replicable and non-invasive tool and indicator. Thus, the FV score, previously
68 validated by the authors in the FVN project using the Diet Quality Questionnaire (DQQ) [22]
69 captures well the total FV intake and variety among FV food groups [23], and it is a
70 promising tool to provide a preliminary evaluation of the effect of the interventions and
71 comparison across countries.

72 This study aimed to assess the changes of FV intake and of single FV food groups
73 consumed over the period of the interventions using the FV score derived from the DQQ. It

74 also evaluated the association between FV food group consumption and degree of exposure to
75 the interventions, in urban Vietnamese and Nigerian females targeted by the FVN project.

76 **Methods**

77 *Study population*

78 The participants were females aged 18-49 years from low-income households living in Hanoi,
79 Vietnam, and Ibadan, Nigeria. Pregnant and lactating females were excluded from the study.

80 In both cities, one urban and one peri-urban area were selected for the high prevalence of low-
81 income households: Đống Đa and Hà Đông in Hanoi, and Abàeja and Bagadajé in Ibadan.

82 Participants were selected from the lists of households residing in the selected areas that
83 included at least one female aged 18-49 years, provided by community health workers in
84 Vietnam and the local project team in Nigeria. Part of the respondents were recruited in 2019,
85 at the beginning at the FVN project, and part in 2020. The reason of the two different rounds
86 of recruitment is the large dropout of females after a break of the project imposed by COVID-
87 19 pandemic. When it became possible to start with the implementation of the interventions,
88 new respondents were selected to replace the dropout using the same selection method. Data
89 analysis for this study comprised only those that stayed in the study and the replacements of
90 the dropouts, excluding those lost to follow-up during the period of the interventions.

91 *FVN project*

92 The three FVN interventions initially aimed at improving i) the accessibility by enlarging the
93 diversification of the FV assortment of FV vendors, ii) the affordability by means of a client-
94 specific coupon system and iii) the acceptability through a promotional campaign about the
95 importance of eating daily FV. All country-specific interventions were then further developed
96 based on data on the dietary intake [24] and knowledge, attitude and practices around FV
97 consumption [25], [26] of the study population, barrier analysis [27], product seasonality [28],
98 [29] and market assessment [30], [31] of the studied areas (Supplementary materials, Tables

99 S1-S2). Although the first intervention (i) initially envisaged to focus solely on accessibility,
100 in the end, it focused on affordability and acceptability, as a result of the participatory co-
101 creation method employed [16]. Different innovations were implemented, such as improved
102 point of sales and product display (Nigeria), improved marketing (Vietnam and Nigeria),
103 delivery of nutritional information to consumers (Vietnam and Nigeria), improved food safety
104 and customer service practices (Nigeria) and set-up of a loyalty card system (Vietnam). This
105 intervention was implemented for eight months, in both countries.

106 The second intervention (ii) consisted of the distribution of coupons of two different monetary
107 values (Vietnam: 30,000/60,000 Vietnamese dong; Nigeria: 400/800 Nigerian naira) to
108 purchase a selection of fruit items (8 in Vietnam and 9 in Nigeria) from selected FV vendors.
109 In Vietnam, coupons were delivered to randomly selected sample households on a biweekly
110 basis, first by a delivery service and then by community health workers two months after the
111 project began. In Nigeria, sets of coupons were delivered to randomly selected sample
112 households by project staff on a weekly basis. In both countries, coupons expired two weeks
113 after they were received by households and could be redeemed at the retail outlets of
114 participating vendors. The coupon intervention lasted five months in both countries.

115 The third intervention (iii) involved a series of neighbourhood-specific campaigns aimed to
116 promote the importance of adequate daily FV consumption, which were developed and
117 reviewed through a series of four co-creation workshops engaging low-income residents from
118 the study areas. In Vietnam, communication materials (pamphlets, posters) focused messaging
119 around the health benefits of FV, variety, seasonality, WHO recommended intake of 400
120 g/day, food safety and home production, and they were disseminated by local health centres
121 through social media platforms, market events, training courses, and loudspeaker
122 announcements (Hà Đông only). In Nigeria, messaging in the communication materials
123 (pamphlets, posters, branded merchandise, jingles, dramas and expert talks) highlighted

124 disease prevention, WHO recommended intake of 400 g/day, affordability, food safety, home
125 production, variety and seasonality, and campaigns were carried out through radio stations,
126 primary health care centres, religious centres and schools.

127 All three interventions targeted consumers and FV vendors within the selected study areas.

128 Therefore, the first intervention at the vendor level and the promotional campaign targeted all
129 selected respondents. In contrast, the coupon system followed a randomized control trial
130 design with part of respondents receiving the intervention (coupons) and others not (control
131 group). A total of 600 Vietnamese and 610 Nigerian females were included at FVN baseline,
132 which declined to 494 Vietnamese and 473 Nigerian at end-line. The main reasons for loss to
133 follow-up were the unwillingness to continue, the unavailability at the time of interviews or
134 migration outside the study area.

135 *Ethical approval*

136 Ethical approvals for the aforementioned research project was obtained prior to the start of the
137 study from Hanoi Medical University Institutional review Board in Hanoi (45-18/HMU-IRB)
138 and University of Ibadan/University College Hospital Ethical review Committee (UI/UCH-
139 ERC) in Nigeria (HnhREC/05/01/2008a), and the International Food Policy Research
140 Institute's Institutional Review Board (IFPRI IRB-007490). The randomized controlled trial
141 associated with the affordability intervention was registered with the American Economic
142 Association's registry (AEARCTR-0007701). All participants signed an informed consent
143 before the start of the study and confirmed the consent by phone before the subsequent data
144 collection rounds.

145 *Study design and dietary assessment*

146 The study was designed as a panel and participants were followed for one year. Dietary intake
147 data was collected every two months for a total of six timepoints (T1 – T6). The first
148 assessment (T1) was performed before the start of the interventions; T2, T3, T4, and T5

149 during the interventions; and T6 post-interventions. Dietary assessment at T6 was performed
150 3 months after the end of the interventions in Vietnam, and immediately after the end of the
151 interventions in Nigeria. The 3-months delay of data collection faced in Vietnam was because
152 the planned home visits were restricted by governmental directives imposed from July to
153 September 2021 to limit the spread of COVID-19 pandemic. Data on FV intake were
154 measured in Vietnam between 28 July 2020 and 27 September 2021; and in Nigeria between
155 24 November 2020 and 15 December 2021. Data were collected with a DQQ, a simple,
156 relatively quick method and of low burden for interviewers and participants [22]. The
157 questionnaire consists of 29 dichotomous questions (yes/no) on the food groups consumed the
158 previous day, including a list of country specific sentinel food items within the same food
159 group. The DQQ was administered as part of a larger survey in T6, but it was administered in
160 the first module to minimize any potential effects of survey fatigue, which could cause
161 differences between answers in T6 and T1 through T5. Additionally, a questionnaire was
162 administered at T1 to obtain socio-demographic information and at T6 to assess the self-
163 reported exposure to the interventions. The latter included multiple-choices questions about
164 each intervention. Respondents were asked to report whether they noticed or used specific
165 components of the interventions, showing supporting images of the interventions. Due to the
166 governmental restrictions to limit the spread of COVID-19, data were collected via phone
167 and, when possible, in-person interviews performed by local researchers using digital forms in
168 KoboToolbox software [32] in both countries.

169 *Variables*

170 The six FV groups (*dark green leafy vegetables, vitamin A rich orange vegetables, other*
171 *vegetables, vitamin A rich fruits, citrus, other fruits*) from the DQQ were used to create the
172 FV score as the main outcome. The FV score ranges from 0, meaning no FV groups
173 consumed during the previous day, to 6, when all FV food groups were consumed. It was

174 assumed that a higher score indicated a higher and more diverse intake of FV at population
175 level [23]. For individual FV groups, a dichotomous score (0-1) was created to indicate
176 whether the food group was consumed or not at each timepoint. Based on the self-reported
177 information, respondents were categorized into four groups according to exposure to the
178 interventions in the previous year: *not exposed* (0), *exposed to one intervention* (1), *exposed to*
179 *two interventions* (2) and *exposed to all interventions* (3). As the degree of exposure was
180 assessed only at T6, the association between FV score and exposure to the interventions was
181 investigated only with data from respondents who were interviewed at T6.

182 *Data analysis*

183 Data was first explored with descriptive statistics for socio-demographic information at
184 baseline and FV food groups consumed at each timepoint. Potential confounders and effect
185 modifiers were identified for all studied associations. The confounders assessed were (1) area,
186 age and household size because FV intake might vary based on individual and household
187 characteristics [27]; (2) baseline FV score because influences the possible changes in
188 consumption; and (3) education, occupation and food insecurity because underprivileged
189 females possibly have a lower FV intake [33], [34]. These indicators were also studied at each
190 timepoint to check for confounders that could have been introduced by loss to follow-up [35].
191 The only effect measure modifier that was assessed was area since availability and
192 accessibility of FV groups and exposure to the interventions could vary between locations
193 [36]. As area was found to be an effect measure modifier in all models for Vietnam, we
194 decided to analyse data separately for urban and peri-urban areas for both countries.
195 The change in the total FV score (ranging from 0 to 6) at population level over the six
196 timepoints was analysed with a generalized Poisson regression, selected because the count
197 data were found to be under-dispersed [37]. Timepoints were included in the model as
198 independent variables and the FV score as a dependent variable. A random intercept and

199 random slope were added to fulfil the assumption of independency of measurements within
200 persons.

201 The changes in the probability of consumption of individual FV groups were analysed over
202 time with mixed effects logistic regression models. Having consumed or not a specific FV
203 group on the previous day was the dependent variable of each model, timepoints were the
204 independent variables and estimated coefficients reflected probabilities of consumption.

205 For both analysis, measurement dependency was assessed by the likelihood ratio tests and
206 Intraclass Correlation Coefficients (ICC). Random intercept and a random slope were added
207 to correct for the measurement dependency only in the models with $ICC > 0.05$ [38], [39]. For
208 these models, the differences between the model with or without random intercept and
209 random slope were checked. If no difference was found, the simplest model was kept.

210 To study the association of exposure to the interventions and the FV score, we developed a
211 count model with exposure to intervention as independent variable and FV score as dependent
212 variable. FV scores at T5 and at T6 were compared to the degree of exposure to the
213 interventions. T5 was chosen because participants were most likely to have been exposed to
214 the interventions during the previous year; and T6 provided information on the lasting effect
215 on FV score post-interventions. Area was included as covariate only in the models of Vietnam
216 as this was found to be associated to exposure to the interventions and FV score. For each
217 model all covariates were tested and the final model was selected based on the lowest Akaike
218 Information Criterion and Bayesian Information Criterion. Data analysis was performed with
219 Stata [40] software and performed separately for Vietnam and Nigeria.

220 **Results**

221 *General characteristics*

222 In Vietnam, half of the participants were from Đống Đa (50%) and the mean age of the study
223 population was 35 (8.2) years (**Table 1**). On average, females lived in a household of 5

224 people, had two children and were married (92%). Females were mainly employed with a
225 regular salary (44%) and in Hà Đông, more people were employed in *crop production and*
226 *livestock raising* compared to Đống Đa (14% and 0.3%, respectively). In general, most
227 participants *finished high school* (33%) but participants in urban area were more likely to be
228 higher-educated.

229 In Nigeria, 48% of the participants were from Abàeja and the mean age of the study
230 population was 35 (8.3) years. On average, females lived in a household of 5 people, had 3
231 children and were married, either monogamously (77%) or polygamously (9%). Most females
232 had finished *secondary school* (57%) and *trading* was the most dominant employment sector
233 (52%) followed by working in the *artisan/handicraft* (26%) sector. *Trend of total F&V score*

234 In Vietnam, Đống Đa had a lower FV score at T1 compared to Hà Đông (3.46, 95%CI 3.34 -
235 3.59 versus 2.60, 95%CI 2.50 - 2.70) (**Figure 1A**). In Đống Đa, the FV score was relatively
236 stable over time from T1 to T5 and increased by almost one point from T5 to T6 (from 2.85,
237 95%CI 2.73 - 2.97 to 3.73, 95%CI 3.58 - 3.88). In contrast, a downward trend in FV score
238 was shown in Hà Đông from T1 to T5. However, it slightly increased from T5 to T6. At T6
239 Đống Đa had a higher FV score compared to Hà Đông. In Nigeria, the two areas followed a
240 similar trend in mean FV scores over time (**Figure 1B**). The F&V score was relatively stable
241 over all timepoints and ranged from 3.00, 95%CI 2.87 – 3.13 to 3.48, 95%CI 3.34 – 3.62. In
242 both areas, a small increase in the mean FV score was observed between T1 and T3.
243 Moreover, the FV scores at T6 were slightly higher compared to T1 in both areas.

244 **[Figure 1A-B]**

245 *Trend of single FV groups*

246 The trend of consumption over time differed for the individual FV groups and differences
247 were observed between areas in the Vietnamese population (**Figure 2A-F**). In general, low

248 consumption of *vit A-rich orange vegetables* was observed and the probability of
249 consumption increased over time for *Đông Đa*, whereas it decreased for *Hà Đông*. The
250 probability of consumption of *dark green leafy vegetables* was high and stable throughout the
251 year for both areas. The trend of consumption observed for the *other vegetables* was stable
252 from T1 to T5. However, it increased at T6 in *Đông Đa*, whereas in *Hà Đông* it increased at
253 T2 and T3, but decreased afterwards with the lowest probability at T6. The probability of
254 consumption of *vit A-rich fruits* was low all year round but increased over time for *Đông Đa*,
255 with probabilities twice as high at the last three timepoints; whereas, in *Hà Đông*, the highest
256 probabilities were observed at T1 and T4. A large variation in consumption levels over time
257 was shown within the *citrus* group. The highest probabilities were observed at T2 and T3,
258 which were 3-4 times higher compared to T1 and T5 in both areas. Large variation over time
259 was also shown for the *other fruit* group with the highest probabilities of consumption at T1,
260 T5 and T6 in both areas.

261 In Nigeria, the trend of consumption over time differed for the individual FV groups, but they
262 were similar in the two areas (**Figure 3A-F**). The probability of consumption for the three
263 vegetable groups was high and stable. A small increase was observed in the probability of
264 consumption of *vit A-rich orange vegetables* from T1 to T2 and of *dark green leafy*
265 *vegetables* from T1 to T3, with a small decrease from T3 to T6. The probability of
266 consumption of the *other vegetables* group was the highest and the most stable over time. The
267 probability of consumption of *vit A-rich fruits* was low all year round except from T2 to T3
268 when consumption doubled. Most variation over time was observed for *citrus* and *other fruits*
269 groups. The probability of consumption of *citrus* dropped at T3 to a level more than twice as
270 low as T1; it increased between T3 and T6 reaching the same level as T1. In contrast, *other*
271 *fruits* group showed an upward trend between T1 and T3. First, the probability almost
272 doubled, and then it decreased between T3 and T6.

273 **[Figure 2A-F]**

274 **[Figure 3A-F]**

275 *Association between exposure to the interventions and FV score*

276 In the total of 494 Vietnamese participants interviewed at T6, 18.4% of the population
277 reported not being exposed while 26.7%, 34.0% and 20.9% reported being exposed to one,
278 two or three interventions, respectively. The mean FV scores of the exposure groups were
279 relatively similar but slightly higher at T6 compared to T5, ranging from 2.65, 95%CI 2.50 -
280 2.79 to 2.85, 95%CI 2.68 - 3.0 at T5, and from 3.11, 95%CI, 2.87 - 3.34 to 3.46, 95%CI 3.22 -
281 3.70 at T6 (**Figure 4A-D**). In the total of 473 Nigerian participants interviewed at T6, all
282 reported to be exposed, of which 4.2%, 37.2% and 58.6% of the population was exposed to
283 one, two or three interventions, respectively. The mean FV scores of the exposure groups
284 ranged from 2.97, 95%CI 2.55 – 3.40 to 3.42, 95%CI 3.29 – 3.56 at T5; and from 3.20,
285 95%CI 2.72 – 3.68 to 3.42, 95%CI 3.29 – 3.56 at T6. No large differences were observed in
286 mean FV score between the exposure groups both at T5 and T6.

287 **[Figure 4A-D]**

288 **Discussion**

289 In this study we investigated the consumption trend of the total and single FV food groups
290 during the FVN project in urban and peri-urban Vietnamese and Nigerian females. While the
291 total FV consumption did not vary strongly over the intervention period in either country, the
292 intakes of single FV group, especially fruits, fluctuated over time. In Vietnam, we also found
293 differences in FV consumption and changes herein between urban and peri-urban areas.
294 In both countries, we found that the total FV score remained stable over the study period, with
295 only a slight increase in both countries. This finding suggests that the number of females

296 consuming FV and the diversity and variety of FV consumed did not drastically change over
297 the intervention period.

298 It is uncertain whether this finding indicates that the quantities consumed were stable over
299 time, because the FV score on the DQQ does not directly capture information on the
300 quantities consumed since only consumption (yes/no) of FV food groups is reported. A
301 validation of the FV score previously carried out in the same population in the FVN project
302 by the authors, showed that a higher FV score was correlated with a higher FV intake,
303 stronger in Nigeria ($\beta=0.62$, $p<2e-16$) than Vietnam ($\beta=0.21$, $p=60.4e-14$) [23]. In settings
304 where a low proportion of females consume FV, an increase in this proportion would indicate
305 an increase in FV intake [41]. However, in settings where FV are commonly consumed by
306 most of the females but in inadequate amounts, the FV intake can only be improved by
307 increasing portion sizes. In the first setting the FV score will capture the change, but in the
308 latter will not be able to reflect changes in intake. This could also explain the stable score of
309 vegetable intake as on average 98% and 96% of the population consumed vegetables in
310 Vietnam and Nigeria, respectively. For fruits, in both countries, these percentages were lower
311 (62% in Vietnam and 71% in Nigeria), and hence, the fluctuation may better reflect the
312 changes in amounts of fruit consumed.

313 Contrary to the relative stability of total FV score, we did see large variation in consumption
314 of individual FV food groups over the year and more for fruits than for vegetables in both
315 countries. These trends largely followed the seasonal availability of FV, a major determinant
316 of consumption in a population that relies on short food chains, where availability, diversity
317 and affordability are shaped by the seasons [42]. The consumption of *citrus fruits* during the
318 dry season and *vitamin A rich fruit and vegetables*, and *other fruits* during wet season follow
319 the peaks in availability of these fruits [28], [29]. The FV score is indeed a suitable indicator
320 to detect seasonal fluctuation of consumption because of its dichotomous nature. A higher

321 score reflects the consumption of the food group, implying that specific FV are available and
322 accessible at a certain time of the year. As, according to a preliminary cross-sectional study by
323 Herforth et al. [41], the FV component of the DQQ positively correlates with FV
324 consumption, the fluctuation of the FV score in our study shows periods of low and high
325 intake of fruits through the year.

326 In Vietnam, we found an increased intake trend of total FV in the peri-urban area, but not in
327 the urban area. This was mainly due to an increase in the proportion of females reporting
328 consumption of *vitamin A rich fruits*, *vitamin A rich orange vegetables* and *other vegetables*.
329 Although we do not have primary data on own production of our study population, the
330 difference between peri-urban and urban areas could be partially explained by the production
331 of FV by households in the peri-urban area. As Hà Đông was recently added to the city
332 boundaries, several peri-urban households still have vegetables and fruit tree gardens used for
333 household consumption [43]. This production could have also contributed to maintaining
334 consumption during the intervention period, which was characterized by disruptions of
335 transportation and markets, fluctuation of prices, and limited mobility due to COVID-19
336 pandemic [44]. Moreover, limited access to wet markets in urban areas and widespread
337 absence of storage facilities for fresh foods may have affected food choices and consumption
338 [45]. Availability of own produce might have mitigated these effects in peri-urban areas while
339 households in urban areas might have been compelled to reduce their FV consumption [45].
340 Due to the non-randomized placement of two of the three interventions and the absence of a
341 control group, we cannot attribute changes in our outcome variables to the interventions.
342 These prevented controlling for the effect of temporal factors influencing the study outcome
343 other than the interventions, such as COVID-19 measures put in place to limit the spread of
344 the pandemic. We may speculate that being exposed to the interventions protected females
345 from COVID-19 related disruptions to the food system possibly leading to decrease in FV

346 consumption. Some studies are indicating this negative effect on diet quality [46], [47] while
347 others suggest an increased intake because of the believed boosted immunity [48]–[50].

348 However, the design of and data available from our study does not allow us to test this
349 hypothesis directly.

350 To note an effect, we associated the FV score to the degree of exposure to the interventions,
351 i.e. having been involved in 1, 2 or 3 of the interventions. This was based on self-reported
352 experienced exposure, which might have been underreported as the promotional campaign in
353 the market environment and local vendors could have been unconsciously experienced by the
354 respondents but not reported. In addition, the loss to follow-up of 20% could have introduced
355 a selection bias at T5 and T6. People exposed to the interventions could have more likely
356 stayed involved in the study because they were more aware of the benefits of FV. However,
357 sociodemographic characteristics and the baseline FV score of people lost to follow-up were
358 comparable to the participants that were involved until the end.

359 Overall, monitoring the program outcomes over the period of the interventions allowed to
360 identify the direction and trend of FV intake. Although the effect of the interventions on
361 consumption needs to be interpreted with caution due to the above-addressed limitations in
362 the study design, absence of information on own production especially in the peri-urban areas,
363 and the multifactorial nature of consumption, this study highlights the relevance of a
364 comprehensive approach of simultaneously addressing multiple causes of low FV
365 consumption through three bundled food system interventions. In this study, the FV score
366 was selected as indicator because it is simple to administer, quick and relatively cheap.

367 Although it did not directly provide information about FV quantities, it is a proxy of
368 quantities and other aspects of FV intakes, such as diversity, variety and fluctuation over the
369 seasons were captured. Additionally, the use of FV score and count modelling allowed
370 comparison across different contexts and a broader outcome range compared to a binary

371 score. Furthermore, the longitudinal study design is appropriate for monitoring nutrition
372 interventions and capturing seasonal effects. Lastly, implementation of the interventions in an
373 urban and a peri-urban area of two different countries provided accurate insights of FV
374 intakes in different settings and contexts.

375 **Conclusion**

376 In conclusion, we found a marginal increase in the proportion of urban females consuming FV
377 during the interventions in Vietnam and Nigeria. The FV score appeared to be a simple, quick
378 and easy to use indicator for monitoring diversity, variety and consumption.

379 **Acknowledgements**

380 The authors thank Julia Glaser for the support in data collection and all local enumerators and
381 supervisors involved in data collection. GP, IDB, LTH, TTM, FOS, EFT designed research;
382 GP, MS, XTT, FOS, OFS, TEE, BE, RH, ML, AB, SW, KA, GM conducted research; GP,
383 MS, HV analyzed data; GP wrote the first version of the paper; IDB, MS, HV, FOS, OFS,
384 TEE, BE, ML, AB, SW, KA, GM, ADF, EFT commented on subsequent versions of the
385 paper; GP, IDB, EFT had primary responsibility for final content.

386 All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

387 Data described in the manuscript, code book, and analytic code will be made available upon
388 request pending PhD thesis dissertation publication.

389

References

- [1] S. M. Frank *et al.*, “Consumption of fruits and vegetables among individuals 15 years and older in 28 low- And middle-income countries,” *J. Nutr.*, vol. 149, no. 7, pp. 1252–1259, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.1093/JN/NXZ040.
- [2] J. N. Hall, S. Moore, S. B. Harper, and J. W. Lynch, “Global Variability in Fruit and Vegetable Consumption,” *Am. J. Prev. Med.*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 402-409.e5, 2009, doi: 10.1016/J.AMEPRE.2009.01.029.
- [3] WHO, “Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases. Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation.,” World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2003.
- [4] R. L. Bailey, K. P. West Jr, and R. E. Black, “The Epidemiology of Global Micronutrient Deficiencies,” *Ann. Nutr. Metab.*, vol. 66(suppl 2, no. Suppl. 2, pp. 22–33, 2015, doi: 10.1159/000371618.
- [5] J. L. Slavin and B. Lloyd, “Health Benefits of Fruits and Vegetables,” *Adv. Nutr.*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 506–516, Mar. 2012, doi: 10.3945/an.112.002154.
- [6] D. Aune *et al.*, “Fruit and vegetable intake and the risk of cardiovascular disease, total cancer and all-cause mortality—a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies,” *Int. J. Epidemiol.*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 1029–1056, Oct. 2017, doi: 10.1093/ije/dyw319.
- [7] A. de Brauw *et al.*, “Food system innovations for healthier diets in low and middle-income countries. IFPRI Discussion Paper 1816. ,” International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), Washington, DC, 2019. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2499/p15738coll2.133156>.

- [8] S. A. Hong and N. Piaseu, "Prevalence and determinants of sufficient fruit and vegetable consumption among primary school children in Nakhon Pathom, Thailand," *Nutr. Res. Pract.*, vol. 11, no. 2, p. 130, Apr. 2017, doi: 10.4162/NRP.2017.11.2.130.
- [9] R. Kamga, C. Kouamé, and E. Akyeampong, "Vegetable Consumption patterns in Yaounde, Cameroon," *African J. Food, Agric. Nutr. Dev.*, vol. 13, no. 2, Apr. 2013, doi: 10.4314/ajfand.v13i2.
- [10] I. Raaijmakers, H. Snoek, B. Maziya-Dixon, and T. Achterbosch, "Drivers of Vegetable Consumption in Urban Nigeria: Food Choice Motives, Knowledge, and Self-Efficacy," *Sustain. 2018, Vol. 10, Page 4771*, vol. 10, no. 12, p. 4771, Dec. 2018, doi: 10.3390/SU10124771.
- [11] L. Wolfenden *et al.*, "Consolidating evidence on the effectiveness of interventions promoting fruit and vegetable consumption: an umbrella review," *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 1–21, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1186/S12966-020-01046-Y/TABLES/3.
- [12] FAO, IFAD, UNICEF, WFP, and WHO, "The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2021. Transforming food systems for food security, improved nutrition and affordable healthy diets for all.," FAO, Rome, 2021. doi: <https://doi.org/10.4060/cb5409en>.
- [13] S. M. Nguyen *et al.*, "Compliance to dietary guidelines on fruit and vegetable intake and prevalence of hypertension among Vietnamese adults, 2015," *Eur. J. Prev. Cardiol.*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 39–46, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1177/2047487319867500.
- [14] "Global Nutrition Report | Country Nutrition Profiles - Global Nutrition Report." <https://globalnutritionreport.org/resources/nutrition-profiles/africa/western->

- africa/nigeria/ (accessed Apr. 12, 2023).
- [15] U. Kanungasukkasem *et al.*, “Fruit and vegetable consumption in rural adults population in INDEPTH HDSS sites in Asia,” <https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v2i0.1988>, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 35–43, 2009, doi: 10.3402/GHA.V2I0.1988.
- [16] S. C. O. S. M. L. S. wertheim-heck; F. S. E. T. G. P. H. T. L. R. H. I. B. C. B. Brice Even, “From streets to tables: bottom-up co-creation processes for healthier food environments,” *Unpubl. Manuscr.*, 2023.
- [17] S. Wertheim-Heck, J. E. Raneri, and P. Oosterveer, “Food safety and nutrition for low-income urbanites: exploring a social justice dilemma in consumption policy,” vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 397–420, 2019, doi: 10.1177/0956247819858019.
- [18] N. M. Balineau, Gaëlle, Arthur Bauer, Martin Kessler, “Food Systems in Africa: Rethinking the Role of Markets.,” 2021.
- [19] C. Hannah *et al.*, “Persistence of open-air markets in the food systems of Africa’s secondary cities,” *Cities*, vol. 124, p. 103608, May 2022, doi: 10.1016/J.CITIES.2022.103608.
- [20] J. C. Ruel-Bergeron, A. L. Thorne-Lyman, and M. T. Ruel, “Monitoring and Evaluation in Nutrition Programs,” in *Public Health Nutrition: Essentials for Practitioners*, 2020.
- [21] M. T. Ruel and H. Alderman, “Nutrition-sensitive interventions and programmes: how can they help to accelerate progress in improving maternal and child nutrition?,” *Lancet*, vol. 382, no. 9891, pp. 536–551, 2013, doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)60843-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60843-0).

- [22] A. Herforth *et al.*, “Development of a Diet Quality Questionnaire for Improved Measurement of Dietary Diversity and Other Diet Quality Indicators (P13-018-19),” *Curr. Dev. Nutr.*, vol. 3, no. Supplement_1, p. nzz036.P13-018-19, 2019, doi: 10.1093/cdn/nzz036.P13-018-19.
- [23] G. Pastori *et al.*, “A simple fruit and vegetable score is a valid tool to assess actual fruit and vegetable intake,” *Br. J. Nutr.*, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.1017/S0007114523001125.
- [24] G. Pastori *et al.*, “Baseline dietary intake report Fruits and Vegetables intake: Results from Vietnam and Nigeria,” Wageningen, The Netherlands, 2020.
- [25] G. Pastori *et al.*, “HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE REPORT RESULTS FROM VIETNAM FRUITS AND VEGETABLES INTAKE RESULTS FROM VIETNAM,” 2020.
- [26] G. Pastori *et al.*, “HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE REPORT FRUITS AND VEGETABLES INTAKE RESULTS FROM NIGERIA,” 2020.
- [27] A. De Filippo *et al.*, “Barrier analysis for adequate daily fruit and vegetable consumption among low-income residents of Hanoi, Vietnam and Ibadan, Nigeria,” *Glob. Food Sec.*, vol. 31, p. 100586, 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2021.100586>.
- [28] Bioversity International and NIN, “Seasonal availability, sources, preferences and use of fruits and vegetables in Hanoi, Vietnam,” 2019.
- [29] I. Brouwer, G. Kennedy, and F. Samuel, “Seasonal availability, sources , preferences and use of fruits and vegetables in Ibadan, Nigeria,” *Bioversiyt Int. Unversity Ibadan*, 2019.

- [30] R. Hernandez, M. L. Hoang, and H. Tran, “Market Level Assessment Report Fruits and Vegetables intake Vietnam and Nigeria-Vietnam report,” pp. 1–36, 2019.
- [31] Oluyemisi O. Shittu, “Increasing Fruit and Vegetable Intake on Low-Income Population in Vietnam and Nigeria Through Food Systems Innovations NIGERIA MARKET LEVEL ASSESSMENT REPORT,” pp. 1–77, 2021.
- [32] “KoboToolbox.” <https://www.kobotoolbox.org/>.
- [33] P. H. Nguyen *et al.*, “Micronutrient intakes among women of reproductive age in Vietnam,” *PLoS One*, vol. 9, no. 2, p. e89504, 2014.
- [34] G. N. Onyeji and R. A. Sanusi, “Diet quality of women of childbearing age in South-east Nigeria,” *Nutr. Food Sci.*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 348–364, Dec. 2018, doi: 10.1108/NFS-06-2017-0134.
- [35] J. Lopez Bernal, S. Cummins, and A. Gasparrini, “The use of controls in interrupted time series studies of public health interventions,” *Int. J. Epidemiol.*, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 2082–2093, Jul. 2018, doi: 10.1093/ije/dyy135.
- [36] J. Amo-Adjei and A. Kumi-Kyereme, “Fruit and Vegetable Consumption by Ecological Zone and Socioeconomic Status in Ghana,” *J. Biosoc. Sci.*, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 613–631, 2015, doi: 10.1017/S002193201400025X.
- [37] G. Verbeke, G. Molenberghs, and D. Rizopoulos, “Random Effects Models for Longitudinal Data,” in *Longitudinal Research with Latent Variables*, K. van Montfort, J. H. L. Oud, and A. Satorra, Eds. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 37–96.
- [38] R. H. Heck, S. Thomas, and L. Tabata, *Multilevel modeling of categorical outcomes*

- using *IBM SPSS*. Routledge, 2013.
- [39] S. Wu, C. M. Crespi, and W. K. Wong, “Comparison of methods for estimating the intraclass correlation coefficient for binary responses in cancer prevention cluster randomized trials,” *Contemp. Clin. Trials*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 869–880, 2012.
- [40] “StataCorp. 2021. Stata: Release 17.” .
- [41] A. W. Herforth, D. Wiesmann, E. Martínez-Steele, G. Andrade, and C. A. Monteiro, “Introducing a suite of low-burden diet quality indicators that reflect healthy diet patterns at population level,” *Curr. Dev. Nutr.*, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 1–14, 2020, doi: 10.1093/cdn/nzaa168.
- [42] C. L. Gilbert, L. Christiaensen, and J. Kaminski, “Food price seasonality in Africa: Measurement and extent,” *Food Policy*, vol. 67, pp. 119–132, 2017, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2016.09.016>.
- [43] J. T. H. Cheung, J. Lok, S. Gietel-Basten, and K. Koh, “The Food Environments of Fruit and Vegetable Consumption in East and Southeast Asia: A Systematic Review,” *Nutrients*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–33, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.3390/NU13010148.
- [44] Y. Kang, A. Baidya, A. Aaron, J. Wang, C. Chan, and E. Wetzler, “Differences in the early impact of COVID-19 on food security and livelihoods in rural and urban areas in the Asia Pacific Region,” *Glob. Food Sec.*, vol. 31, p. 100580, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1016/J.GFS.2021.100580.
- [45] P. T. Thanh and P. B. Duong, “The COVID-19 pandemic and the livelihood of a vulnerable population: Evidence from women street vendors in urban Vietnam,” *Cities*, vol. 130, p. 103879, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.1016/J.CITIES.2022.103879.

- [46] B. B. Balana, A. Ogunniyi, M. Oyeyemi, A. Fasoranti, H. Edeh, and K. Andam, “COVID-19, food insecurity and dietary diversity of households: Survey evidence from Nigeria,” *Food Secur.*, pp. 1–23, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.1007/S12571-022-01312-W/TABLES/14.
- [47] A. E. Obayelu, O. A. Obayelu, K. K. Bolarinwa, and R. A. Oyeyinka, “Assessment of the Immediate and Potential Long-Term Effects of COVID-19 Outbreak on Socioeconomics, Agriculture, Security of Food and Dietary Intake in Nigeria,” *Food Ethics*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–22, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1007/S41055-021-00085-W/TABLES/1.
- [48] O. Eyawo, A. M. Viens, and U. C. Ugoji, “Lockdowns and low- and middle-income countries: building a feasible, effective, and ethical COVID-19 response strategy,” *Global. Health*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1–5, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1186/S12992-021-00662-Y/METRICS.
- [49] O. Ariyo, F. Samuel, T. Eyinla, O. Leshi, B. Brai, and W. Afolabi, “Food-Related Coping Strategies Adopted By Some Households During Covid-19 Lockdown in Nigeria,” *Open J. Med. Res. (ISSN 2734-2093)*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 153–162, 2021, doi: 10.52417/ojmr.v2i2.301.
- [50] B. Celik and S. Dane, “The effects of COVID-19 Pandemic Outbreak on Food Consumption Preferences and Their Causes,” *J. Res. Med. Dent. Sci.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 169–173, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Bilal-Celik-2/publication/341678973_The_effects_of_COVID_-_19_Pandemic_Outbreak_on_Food_Consumption_Preferences_and_Their_Causes/links/5ecec6881299bf1c67d206069/The-effects-of-COVID-19-Pandemic-Outbreak-on-Food-Consumpt.

Table 1 Sociodemographic characteristics of the study population of Hanoi, Vietnam and Ibadan, Nigeria at baseline measurement per area.

Distribution over areas	Vietnam			Nigeria		
	Hanoi (total)	Đông Đa (urban)	Hà Đông (peri-urban)	Ibadan (total)	Abàeja (urban)	Bagadajé (peri-urban)
n	600	297	303	610	296	314
Urban area , % (n)	49.5 (297)	100 (297)	0	48.5 (296)	100 (296)	0
Age, mean \pm SD¹	35.1 \pm 8.2	35.2 \pm 8.5	35.1 \pm 7.9	34.7 \pm 8.3	34.7 \pm 8.4	34.7 \pm 8.2
Household size, mean \pm SD²	4.8 \pm 1.9	4.9 \pm 1.5	4.7 \pm 2.3	5.2 \pm 2.0	4.9 \pm 1.9	5.4 \pm 2.1
Number of children, mean \pm SD^{1,2}	2.0 \pm 0.9	2.2 \pm 0.9	1.7 \pm 0.8	2.8 \pm 1.8	2.6 \pm 1.8	3.1 \pm 1.7
Main occupation, % (n)³						
Crop production/livestock	7.2 (43)	0.3 (1)	14.0 (42)	0.3 (2)	0.7 (2)	0.0 (0)
Trading	11.9 (71)	13.8 (41)	10.0 (30)	52.1 (318)	48.0 (142)	56.1 (176)
Salary employment	44.4 (265)	52.2 (155)	36.7 (111)	10.5 (64)	11.8 (35)	9.2 (29)
Non-agriculture daily labourer	16.3 (97.3)	8.4 (25)	24.0 (73)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Unpaid housework	5.9 (35)	6.4 (19)	5.3 (16)	1.3 (8)	0.7 (2)	1.9 (6)
Artisan/Handicraft	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	26.2 (160)	25.3 (75)	27.1 (85)
Other	14.4 (86)	18.9 (56)	10.0 (30)	9.5 (56)	13.5 (40)	5.7 (18)
Highest education level, % (n)^{1,2}						
Primary school	4.9 (29)	1.4 (4)	8.4 (25)	19.3 (118)	16.6 (49)	22.0 (69)
Secondary school	24.1 (144)	10.4 (31)	37.7 (114)	57.4 (350)	55.7 (165)	58.9 (185)
High school	32.7 (196)	31.3 (93)	34.0 (103)	NA	NA	NA
Tertiary institution	37.4 (224)	55.6 (165)	19.2 (58)	20.8 (127)	24.7 (73)	17.2 (54)
Other	1.0 (6)	1.4 (4)	0.7 (2)	2.5 (15)	3.0 (9)	1.9 (6)
Marital status, % (n)^{1,2}						
Single	5.7 (34)	7.1 (21)	4.4 (13)	10.0 (61)	12.5 (37)	7.6 (24)
Married, monogamous	91.8 (550)	90.2 (268)	93.3 (277)	77.0 (470)	73.6 (218)	80.3 (252)
Married, polygamous	NA	NA	NA	9.0 (55)	7.5 (22.2)	10.5 (33)
Other	2.5 (15)	2.7 (8)	2.4 (7)	3.9 (24)	6.4 (19)	1.6 (5)

NA = not applicable; ¹ 1 missing value in Nigeria; ² 6 missing value in Vietnam, ³ 3 missing values in Vietnam

Figure 1A-B. Predicted mean FV score with 95% CI at six timepoints of females from A) Đống Đa (urban) and Hà Đông (peri-urban), Hanoi and B) Abàeja (urban) and Bagadajé (peri-urban), Ibadan. No evidence was found for confounding by area, age, household size, baseline FV score, education, occupation and food insecurity.

Figure 2A-F. Mean probabilities of having consumed individual FV groups at each timepoint with 95% CI in Hanoi, Vietnam for Đống Đa (urban) and Hà Đông (peri-urban). Timepoint 1=pre-interventions, 2-3=two interventions implemented, 4-5=three interventions implemented, and timepoint 6=post-interventions.

Figure 3A-F. Mean probabilities of having consumed individual FV groups at each timepoint with 95% CI in Ibadan, Nigeria for Abàeja (urban) and Bagadajé (peri-urban). Timepoint 1=pre-interventions, 2-3=two interventions implemented, 4-5=three interventions implemented, and 6=post-interventions.

Figure 4A-D. Mean FV score with 95%CI compared between exposure groups at T5 (n=521 Vietnam; n=505 Nigeria) and T6 (n=494 Vietnam; n=473 Nigeria) for Vietnam (A-B) and Nigeria (C-D). 0=not exposed, 1=exposed to one intervention, 2=exposed to two interventions, 3=exposed to all interventions. The model was adjusted for area in Vietnam. FV score of the non-exposed could not be calculated as all participants were exposed to at least one intervention.







