



PRESS RELEASE

Released on 30 May 2023

Plastics circularity needs to become meaningful, inclusive, and practical

Circularity is an established concept in the public debate, and yet, it remains a broad notion that needs a clear definition. A clear definition and purpose of promoting circularity is vital in promoting sustainability in value chains as current actions aiming at achieving circularity can be overly focused on phony effects and contribute to cementing policy and infrastructure that fail to pave the way towards true sustainability.

In a [new paper](#) published today in the Journal of Waste Management, four scientists from Brunel University London, Politecnico di Milano, University of Southern Denmark and Wageningen University & Research remind us that circularity is not necessarily synonymous with sustainability. Therefore, striving to achieve circularity will not always guarantee a sustainable outcome.

Achieving higher circularity of packaging materials, components, and products is now a significant trajectory taken by politicians and businesses to curb the negative environmental impacts caused by packaging life cycles. Circularity has become an established concept in the public debate but still remains a broad notion that needs a precise definition, that goes beyond the use of metrics and indicators that are not fit for purpose or easy to measure such as collection rates, recycling rates, recycled content, biobased content etc... Circularity measured with such indicators fails to capture system realities or broader effects, including potential rebound effects that may negate or even overturn environmental benefits.

As an example of a meaningful and practical approach, the four researchers Ciprian Cimpan, Eleni Iacovidou, Lucia Rigamonti and Eggo U. Thoden van Velzen propose a product-centric circularity scorecard that captures aspects likely to demonstrate a product's environmental sustainability. It is based on 7 simple questions covering the life cycle of a product: production, use and end-of-life. This "common sense" approach resembles the waste hierarchy with a simple priority order that holds true in most cases.

“Current actions aiming at achieving circularity may be overly focused on superficial effects and losing sight of true circular economy goals” the four researchers argue. “Our aim is to trigger a scientific discussion on how to best combine scientific knowledge and research on the flows of materials, components and products through society to achieve the broader objective of sustainability, while keeping up with the timelines imposed by the pace of business and policy decisions. That is why we are making a plea for meaningful circularity goals, to avoid that

business or policy decisions involuntarily contribute to cementing policy and infrastructure that do not contribute to true sustainability”, they conclude.

In a context where the European Commission is proposing to turn the Packaging & Packaging Waste Directive into a Regulation that would be directly applicable in all EU Member States, it becomes of paramount importance that we do not lose sight of actual circular economy goals. In cases where Member States have initiated new laws on packaging waste, and where the business community is committing to ambitious targets to increase the circularity of their products a meaningful, inclusive and practical circularity that promotes sustainability is crucial!

The paper is available here: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2023.04.049>

For further information, contact:

Ike de Haan

Communications Advisor and Press Officer
Wageningen University & Research
ike.dehaan@wur.nl
T: +313 17 489 294

Emanuele Sanzone

Media Relations
Politecnico di Milano
relazionimedia@polimi.it
T: +39 02 2399 2443

Hayley Jarvis

Media Relations Officer
Brunel University London
hayley.jarvis@brunel.ac.uk
T: +44 (0)1895 268176

Sune Holst

Journalist
University of Southern Denmark
suneholst@tek.sdu.dk
T: +45 65 50 82 34