



Are higher antidepressant plasma concentrations associated with fall risk in older antidepressant users?

A. C. Pronk^{1,2} · E. P. van Poelgeest^{1,2} · L. J. Seppala^{1,2} · K. J. Ploegmakers^{1,2} · B. H. Stricker³ · K. M. A. Swart⁴ · S. C. van Dijk⁵ · S. Oliai Araghi^{3,6} · L. C. P. G. M. de Groot⁷ · N. M. van Schoor^{2,8} · R. A. A. Mathôt⁹ · N. van der Velde^{1,2}

Received: 19 October 2022 / Accepted: 29 December 2022 / Published online: 19 January 2023
© The Author(s) 2023

Key summary points

Aim To explore the association between antidepressant plasma concentrations and fall risk in older users.

Findings An association was found between baseline TCA plasma concentration and fall risk within users. No association was found between the SSRIs plasma concentrations and fall risk.

Message The association between TCA plasma concentration and fall risk needs to be replicated before its relevance to clinical practice can be established.

Abstract

Purpose Antidepressants are well-established fall-risk increasing drugs (FRIDs) and therefore falls should be considered an important adverse drug event (ADE) of antidepressants. However, not all antidepressant users experience fall incidents and factors associated with increased fall risk among antidepressant users are incompletely understood. Our objective was to explore whether antidepressant plasma concentrations are associated with falls in older antidepressant users.

Methods For this study, we included antidepressant users of the multicenter B-PROOF study. Fall incidents were recorded prospectively using fall calendars. Antidepressant plasma concentrations were analyzed by Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC–MS) at baseline and at 2 years follow-up. The associations between the observed antidepressant concentration and fall risk were assessed using Cox proportional hazard and logistic regression models and adjusted for potential confounders.

Results In total 93 selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) and 41 antidepressant (TCA) users were identified. There was a significant association between baseline TCA plasma concentration and fall risk within users (HR 2.50, 95% CI 1.07–5.87, crude model). In the adjusted model, there were no significant associations between concentrations of SSRIs and fall risk.

Conclusion There might be an association between plasma concentrations of TCAs and the risk of falling in older users. However, these results need to be interpreted with caution considering the small sample size and accompanying limitation of confinement to crude analyses. Therefore, these novel findings need to be replicated in a larger cohort, preferably including adjustment for potential confounders and more frequent measures of plasma concentrations is needed.

✉ A. C. Pronk
a.c.pronk@amsterdamumc.nl

¹ Internal Medicine, Section of Geriatric Medicine, Amsterdam UMC location University of Amsterdam, Meibergdreef 9, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

² Aging and Later Life, Amsterdam Public Health, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³ Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

⁴ General Practice, Amsterdam UMC location Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Boelelaan 1117, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁵ Department of Geriatrics, Franciscus Gasthuis & Vlietland, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

⁶ Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

⁷ Division of Human Nutrition and Health, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands

⁸ Epidemiology and Data Science, Amsterdam UMC location Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1117, Amsterdam, Netherlands

⁹ Hospital Pharmacy–Clinical Pharmacology, Amsterdam UMC location University of Amsterdam, Meibergdreef 9, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Keywords Accidental falls · Antidepressants · Older adults · Pharmacokinetics · Adverse drug events · Plasma concentration

Introduction

Falls in older adults are a major public health problem and associated with substantial health care costs and decreased quality of life [1]. Annually, one-third of individuals over the age of 65 falls at least once and 20% of these falls lead to severe injuries [2]. Well established fall risk factors are fall-risk-increasing drugs (FRIDs) [3] and antidepressants use has consistently been associated with increased fall risk [4]. Therefore, falls should be considered a common adverse drug event (ADE) of antidepressants [5]. However, not all antidepressant users fall and un(der)treated depression also increases fall risk [6, 7]. It is therefore important to identify for whom the risk–benefit ratio of antidepressant use is detrimental. Which individual factors in antidepressant users contribute to fall risk, however, is not completely understood. A dose–response relationship between antidepressants and the incidence of falls has been demonstrated in older adults [8, 9]. However, in older adults, there is substantial inter-individual variation in drug pharmacokinetics and dynamics [10, 11]. Also, often polypharmacy and multimorbidity are present, predisposing to high prevalence of drug–drug and drug–disease interactions [12]. This makes predicting (adverse) drug effects based on given dosage difficult. Therefore, the availability of antidepressant plasma concentrations could be of value in predicting fall risk in antidepressant users.

Measuring drug concentrations to guide dosing of medicines, optimize treatment response and minimizing ADE, is called therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM). Especially within psychiatry this is a widely used approach [13]. Whether antidepressant plasma concentrations is associated with fall risk, however, has not been studied before. Potentially antidepressant plasma concentration measurements could guide clinical decision making in falls prevention. For instance, with regard to decisions on deprescribing or exchanging the antidepressant to a safer alternative. Therefore, our objective was to explore whether fall risk in older adults is related to the antidepressant concentration in plasma, and whether plasma antidepressant concentrations could serve as a biomarker in clinical practice in falls prevention. We hypothesized that antidepressant users with higher exposure in plasma would have a higher risk of falling compared to those with lower concentrations.

Methods

Trial design and participants

For this explorative study, antidepressant users from the multicenter B-PROOF (B-Vitamins for the Prevention of Osteoporotic Fractures; ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00696514) study were included. A detailed description of the trial was published previously [14]. In short, B-PROOF was a large multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial for which persons 65 years and older with mildly elevated homocysteine levels were recruited to participate between 2008 and 2011, for a follow-up period of 2–3 years. A total of 2,919 participants were included. The primary aim was to assess whether the addition of vitamin B12 and folic acid to vitamin D therapy prevented osteoporotic fractures. Because the intervention did not affect fall-related outcomes, data could be used for the current observational study [15]. The Medical Ethics Committee of Wageningen University approved the study protocol of the B-PROOF study [14]. Before entering the study, all participants gave their written informed consent. All experiments were conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Antidepressant usage

Participants were considered antidepressant user based on pharmacy prescription and self-reported usage data. Based on the number of users, participants using TCAs: amitriptyline (N06AA09), nortriptyline (N06AA10), and the SSRIs: citalopram (N06AB04), escitalopram (N06AB10), fluoxetine (N06AB03), fluvoxamine (N06AB08), paroxetine (N06AB05), sertraline (N06AB06) and the serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (SNRI) venlafaxine (N06AX16) [16] were selected.

Usage was defined as having a prescription [based on pharmacy dispensing record data, obtained from the Dutch Foundation for Pharmaceutical Statistics (SFK)]. Participants having prescriptions up to 30 days prior blood withdrawal at baseline and/or follow-up visit were selected. To capture more potential users, also participants with a prescription of up to 30 days after the withdrawal date were selected as some participants might have not had a refill in the 30 days before. For both SSRIs and TCAs, this concerned two participants. In case of missing pharmacy data, usage was defined in case of self-reported usage based on questionnaires at baseline and follow-up.

To determine used dosage, defined daily dose (DDD) was used. DDD is a statistical measure of drug consumption and is the assumed average maintenance dose per day for a drug used for its main indication in adults [16]. The average prescribed daily dose was based on the prescription (or self-reported data) in closest proximity to the date of baseline blood sampling.

Exposure: assessment of antidepressant concentration in plasma

Blood samples were obtained from participants in the morning. Participants were in a fasted state, or had had a light breakfast. Venous blood was drawn in an EDTA tube at both baseline and follow-up study visits, and stored at -80°C until analysis.

The plasma concentrations of the following antidepressants and their corresponding (active) metabolites were analyzed using LC–MS with electrospray ionization (Thermo Finnigan TSQ Access, Waltham, MA, USA): amitriptyline (and its metabolite nortriptyline), nortriptyline, citalopram (and its metabolite des(methyl)-citalopram), fluoxetine (and its metabolite norfluoxetine), fluvoxamine, paroxetine, venlafaxine (and its metabolite desmethylvenlafaxine) and sertraline (and its metabolite norsertraline). A detailed description of the analysis method can be found in the supporting information (Supplementary information Appendix S1). With regard to the antidepressants with (active) metabolites, for the analyses, in line with clinical practice, the sum was taken of amitriptyline, fluoxetine, venlafaxine and their respective active metabolites. Some amitriptyline and nortriptyline users had plasma concentration below the lower limit of quantification (LLQ) of the LC–MS method. In these samples, it was possible to determine semi-quantitative concentration values (Appendix S1).

Outcome

The primary outcome was time to first fall during follow-up. Falls were defined as “an unintentional change in position resulting in coming to rest at a lower level or on the ground” as recommended by the Prevention of Falls Network Europe [17]. Falls were reported prospectively using fall calendars, which the participants filled in weekly and returned to the research team every three months. Participants were contacted via telephone in case of missing or unclear data. Participants were followed until their first fall incident until their drop-out date or the date of their last calendar, date of death or end of the study, which ever came first [14]. We used the data from fall calendars as a binary variable (yes/no) for the analyses of plasma concentrations at follow-up.

Covariates

Participant data were collected at baseline and follow-up using a questionnaire that included data on age, gender, smoking, alcohol consumption, comorbidities and history of falls. During study visits, data were collected on (self-reported) medication use, body mass index (BMI), blood pressure, serum creatinine, physical performance, handgrip strength, cognitive status, depressive symptoms and presence of pain. For screening of depressive symptoms, the 15-item version of the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-15) was used. Cognitive performance was assessed by the mini-mental state examination (MMSE). For pain, the EUROqOL-item 4 was used (pain or other complaints). Baseline use of concomitant medication was grouped based on the ATC coding system, and polypharmacy was defined as usage of five or more medications [18]. Serum creatinine levels were used to calculate kidney function according to the Cockcroft and Gault formula.

Statistical analyses

If antidepressant use was reported, and plasma concentration was undetectable, the respective concentration was set at half of the LLQ. For amitriptyline and nortriptyline, where also semi-quantitative concentrations below LLQ were available, half of the lowest detectable concentration was taken. The values of LLQ were fluoxetine: $20\ \mu\text{g/L}$, sertraline: $5\ \mu\text{g/L}$, paroxetine: $5\ \mu\text{g/L}$, (es)citalopram: $5\ \mu\text{g/L}$, amitriptyline: $22\ \mu\text{g/L}$ and nortriptyline: $22\ \mu\text{g/L}$. An overview of the numbers with undetectable plasma concentrations can be found in Supplementary information Table S1. Because the number of participants in the various individual antidepressant groups were too low for the analyses, the individual antidepressants subclasses needed to be pooled into two groups: SSRIs and TCAs. Although venlafaxine is a selective serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (SNRI), we analyzed venlafaxine combined with the SSRIs. Since the dose of venlafaxine in our cohort did not exceed 150 mg (mg), SNRIs mainly inhibit reuptake of serotonin and thus closely resemble SSRIs [19].

Relationship between drug exposure and fall risk was analyzed with plasma concentrations expressed on both a continuous and categorical scale. If a participant used two or more antidepressants concomitantly, only the antidepressant with the highest concentration was included in the analyses. This concerned in total 4 participants at baseline and 1 at follow-up. First, the continuous plasma concentration levels of the individual antidepressants were analyzed. After that, we standardized the concentrations of the different antidepressants by creating Z-scores to be able to combine the continuous concentrations. Second, for each antidepressant, the median of the plasma concentration was calculated and

the concentrations were reclassified into a binary category (below (which was set as reference) or above the median). Third, to explore the influence of the highest plasma concentrations, the antidepressant plasma concentrations were divided into four categories. The reference category contained the concentrations below the LLQ. After that, the concentrations above LLQ were equally divided into tertiles. For the TCAs, the remaining number of participants per category was too low. Thus the reference category contained the lowest tertile and the concentrations below LLQ and the middle and highest tertile were combined. The same was applied for the SSRI follow-up concentrations.

Baseline characteristics were compared between fallers and non-fallers in antidepressant users. Differences between groups were tested using Chi-square test, *t* test or a Mann–Whitney *U* test (categorical and continuous non-normally and normally distributed data, respectively). To study the association between the antidepressant plasma concentration at baseline and falls during follow-up, Cox proportional hazard models were used to calculate hazard ratios (HRs). First, we built a model adjusted for age and gender (model 1). Second, the following covariates were selected as potential confounders based on a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) and included in the models if they changed the HR of the association by $\geq 10\%$ (model 2): region, BMI, smoking, alcohol, pain, depressive symptoms, MMSE, number of medication and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). If covariates could not be added, due to the lack of 10 fall events per covariate [20], only the unadjusted model is presented. For follow-up visit, logistic regression models were used to calculate odds ratios (ORs) for the association between concentration and fall risk prior to follow-up visit. The same models as described for the Cox proportional hazard models were applied.

To assess whether antidepressant plasma concentrations were correlated with dosage, we calculated Pearson correlation coefficients. Since there were no signs of multicollinearity (correlation coefficient < 0.5) between plasma concentration and dosage, as a possible marker for example for disease severity, was added to model 2 to test whether dosage could be a confounder.

P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS (version 28.0, IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Study population

A total of 132 antidepressant users were identified from the B-PROOF cohort. Of those, 59.8% reported a fall during

follow-up. In Table 1, the baseline characteristics of fallers and non-fallers in antidepressant users are shown. Fallers were more often female, experienced more falls in the 12 months prior to study enrollment and more frequently used benzodiazepines concomitantly. The antidepressants most often used were paroxetine (34.6%) and amitriptyline (23.5%). The range of plasma concentrations were fluoxetine: < 20 –366 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (fluoxetine + norfluoxetine), fluvoxamine: 126–330 $\mu\text{g/L}$, sertraline: < 5 –91 $\mu\text{g/L}$, paroxetine: < 5 –370 $\mu\text{g/L}$, citalopram: < 5 –174 $\mu\text{g/L}$, escitalopram: 24.4–28.6 $\mu\text{g/L}$, amitriptyline: < 22 –77.7 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (amitriptyline + nortriptyline), nortriptyline: < 22 –329 $\mu\text{g/L}$. Median concentrations of the individual antidepressants did not differ significantly between fallers and non-fallers, both at baseline and follow-up (Supplementary information Table S2). In supplementary information Table S3 present an overview of the reference values for therapeutic and (potential) toxic concentrations. Paroxetine, (es)citalopram plasma concentrations were in therapeutic range, but relatively low. Sertraline and amitriptyline plasma concentration were below therapeutic range. Nortriptyline plasma concentrations were higher in fallers compared to non-fallers (non-significant) and at follow-up above therapeutic range.

SSRI concentration at baseline & time to first fall

The results of the association between plasma concentrations of SSRIs at baseline and time to first fall are presented in Table 2. There were no significant associations between baseline plasma concentration and time to first fall in SSRI users.

SSRI concentration at follow-up visit and fall risk

The results of the association between plasma concentrations of SSRIs at follow-up and fall risk prior study visit are presented in Supplementary information Table S4. An increased fall risk was found for users with concentrations in the middle tertile compared to users with the lowest concentrations (OR 4.67, 95% CI 1.09–19.9). However, after adjusting for confounders this association disappeared.

TCA concentration at baseline and time to first fall

The results of the association between plasma concentrations of TCAs and time to first fall are presented in Table 3. A significantly increased fall risk was seen for higher TCA plasma concentrations compared to the lowest plasma concentrations (HR 2.50 (1.07–5.87)).

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of antidepressant users

	Non-fallers N=53	Fallers N=79	P value*
Age (years) ^a	73.4 (5.7)	74.4 (5.9)	0.33
Gender ^b			0.02*
Male	22 (41.5)	18 (22.8)	
Female	31 (58.5)	61 (77.2)	
Body mass index (kg/m ²) ^a	27.3 (4.0)	27.0 (3.6)	0.69
Alcohol use (yes) ^b	42 (79.2)	55 (69.6)	0.22
Smoking (current) ^b	12 (22.6)	13 (16.5)	0.67
Depressive symptoms (GDS) ^c	2 (1–3)	2 (1–5)	0.60
MMSE score ^c	28 (27–29)	28 (26–29.5)	0.71
Pain (yes) ^b			0.77
No pain	20 (37.7)	25 (31.6)	
Some pain	29 (54.7)	47 (59.5)	
Severe pain	4 (7.5)	7 (8.9)	
Physical performance ^{c#}	8 (4–10)	7 (4–9)	0.27
Handgrip strength maximum (kg) ^a	29.6 (9.7)	26.6 (9.1)	0.08
Walking aid use (yes) ^b	15 (28.3)	22 (27.8)	0.96
Number of falls in 12 months prior to study enrolment ^b			0.002*
No falls	31 (75.6)	26 (41.9)	
One fall	7 (17.1)	19 (30.6)	
Two or more falls	3 (7.3)	17 (27.4)	
eGFR (ml min ⁻¹ 1.73m ²) ^c	70.0 (60.1–80.7)	65.3 (54.7–76.8)	0.19
Vitamin D (25OH) (nmol/l) ^a	53.6 (24.7)	53.2 (25.7)	0.92
Number of medications ^a	4.1 (2.4)	4.7 (2.3)	0.12
Polypharmacy (yes) ^b	12 (30)	28 (70)	0.12
Benzodiazepine use (yes) ^b	4 (7.5)	20 (25.3)	0.01*
SSRI use	37 (69.8)	56 (70.9)	0.89
TCA use	17 (32.1)	24 (30.4)	0.84
Opioid use (yes) ^b	2 (3.8)	10 (12.7)	0.08
Antipsychotic use (yes) ^b	4 (7.5)	5 (6.3)	0.79

Polypharmacy: use of five or more medications

GDS geriatric depression scale, MMSE mini-mental state examination, eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate according to Cockcroft and Gault formula

*Statistically significant at P value < 0.05

#Physical performance: combined score of the walking test, chair stand test and tandem stand test (maximum = 12)

^aMean (SD)

^bPresented as n (%)

^cMedian (IQR; 25–75%)

TCA concentration at follow-up visit and fall risk

There were no significant associations between plasma concentrations at follow-up visit and fall risk prior to study visit (Supplementary information Table S5).

Role of dosage

No dose–response association was found for the different antidepressant groups regarding fall risk in antidepressant users (Supplementary information Table S6).

Table 2 Association between baseline SSRI plasma concentration and time to first fall

Category	N	Model 1 ^a	P value*	Model 2 ^b	P value*
Concentration divided on median	93	0.91 (0.54–1.55)	0.74	1.10 (0.63–1.94)	0.73
Concentrations below LLQ	10	Ref.	0.61		
Lowest tertile	26	1.59 (0.62–4.07)	0.33	–	
Middle tertile	29	1.16 (0.44–3.01)	0.77	–	
Highest tertile	28	1.05 (0.41–2.69)	0.92	–	
Z-score	93	0.96 (0.72–1.27)	0.76	1.09 (0.79–1.52)	0.59

Data are presented in Hazard ratio with 95% confidence interval

Number of events: 57

N number of plasma concentration

^aModel 1: adjusted for age and gender

^bModel 2 of median concentration and Z-score adjusted for DDD, other covariates did not influence the model. Model 2 of category percentiles was not applied considering the limited amount of events

*Statistically significant at $P < 0.05$

Table 3 Association between TCA baseline plasma concentration and time to first fall

	N	Crude model ^a	P value*
Concentration divided on median	41	1.50 (0.67–3.35)	0.32
Concentrations below LLQ and lowest tertile concentrations	31	Ref.	
Middle and highest tertile concentrations	10	2.50 (1.07–5.87)	0.04
Z-score	41	1.25 (0.86–1.81)	0.25

Data are presented in Hazard ratio with 95% confidence interval

Number of events: 24

N number of plasma concentration samples

*Statistically significant at $P < 0.05$

^aModel 1 was not applied due to the limited amount of events

Discussion

Our results showed that the risk of falling in older users of TCAs is possibly associated with drug exposure, whereas no association was found in users of SSRIs. These results need to be interpreted with caution considering the small sample size and subsequently confinement to unadjusted analysis for the TCA users.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess whether antidepressant blood concentrations are associated with fall risk within antidepressant users in a relatively large cohort of older adults. Falls have been established to be an important ADE in community-dwelling older persons. Previous studies have consistently established the association between antidepressant use and falls [4]. However, literature linking antidepressant plasma concentrations and falls is scarce. A first indication that measuring antidepressant plasma concentrations might be of value in falls prevention was demonstrated

in a case series of patients with drug-induced falls. This study suggested that TDM may help identify patients with drug-induced falls and confirm clinical suspicion of an ADE [21]. The observed possible association between TCA concentrations and fall risk is in line with earlier studies that addressed the role of antidepressant plasma concentrations in fall-related outcomes, e.g., orthostatic hypotension (OH) [22]. Significant correlations between serum concentrations of amitriptyline and fluvoxamine and orthostatic blood pressure drop have been demonstrated [22]. OH is considered an important fall risk factor and pathway in drug-related falls [23]. OH results in transient cerebral hypoperfusion upon standing, which may result in a syncope and/or fall. OH is a prevalent ADE in antidepressants, best known for TCAs, but also seen with SSRIs [7]. Furthermore, anticholinergic activity, which can increase fall risk, by among others risk of delirium and visual disturbances, increases with increasing plasma concentrations of nortriptyline (even at therapeutic levels) [24].

We observed a possible increased fall risk for users with higher plasma concentrations of TCA, which is in line with our hypothesis. However, the sample size was small. Furthermore, especially for amitriptyline the measured concentrations were below reference range for therapeutic effect or in therapeutic range, thus we cannot generalize our findings to potentially toxic concentrations. However, older adults are more at risk for adverse events due to altered pharmacokinetics and—dynamics [25]. TDM for TCAs is well-established in clinical practice, both for therapeutic effect and toxicity [26–29]. For SSRIs, the clinical use of TDM is less frequently employed, especially in older adults [30]. For most SSRIs therapeutic reference ranges are wide, evidence for a relationship between drug concentration and therapeutic outcome is weak and risk for toxicity is relatively low compared to TCAs [29, 31, 32]. So in general, available data do not suggest benefit for

(routine) monitoring of SSRI plasma concentrations [31]. However, TDM for SSRIs is recommended in specific populations like advanced age [13, 32]. Since, studies have shown higher exposure to antidepressant concentrations compared to younger patients [33, 34]. And also adequate use of TDM has been shown to be cost effective in older adults [35, 36]. Thus, the lack of applying TDM and lack of lower blood concentrations as target, can possibly contribute to the risk of falls, an important ADE.

An important strength of our study is the prospective nature, using fall calendars. In falls research, this method is considered the golden standard to avoid recall bias [37]. Also, data on medication use were collected thoroughly, using both pharmacy prescription data and self-reported medication lists. Our study also has some important limitations. First, limited power was a problem. Despite the large B-PROOF database, the remaining group of participants that met the inclusion criteria was small. Due to small groups of antidepressant subclasses, we needed to pool the data and needed to standardize and categorize the plasma concentrations. Second, information about plasma concentrations during the fall incident was not available, since such an unplanned event cannot be anticipated for. Thus we only had blood samples from baseline and follow-up study visit. Baseline or follow-up plasma concentrations might not be representative for the plasma concentration at the time of the fall incidents. However, our main interest was to assess whether antidepressant plasma concentrations could serve as a predicting biomarker of future falls in clinical practice. In general, there is often only access to a single measurement if someone presents with a fall. Third, due to small sample size, not all analyses could be adjusted for confounders. In case of SSRIs, most of the confounders did not seem to influence the association between plasma concentration and falls. Thus it is likely that they would not be a major influence for the association between TCA plasma concentrations and falls. Fourth, for participants with undetectable plasma concentrations the respective concentration was set at half of the LLQ. Because participants were included based on prescription and self-reported medication usage data, the participants with undetectable concentrations were considered users. However, this does not guarantee actual usage. Lastly, our cohort consisted of relatively healthy community-dwelling older people. Frail older adults, can exhibit different patient characteristics, different pharmacokinetics which could lead to different results [25].

Conclusion

Our explorative study showed a possible association between plasma concentrations and fall risk in older TCA users, but not in SSRI users. However, this needs to be interpreted

with caution due to the small sample size and accompanying impossibility to perform multivariate analyses in this group. The current study has no direct clinical implications as our findings should be further re-evaluated in a larger cohort. Ideally replication would not only include multivariate assessment, but also include information about medication adherence and concentration measurements around the fall incident. However, this topic is important since it would give us more detailed insight in risk factors determining medication related fall risk and has the potential to personalize clinical decision making, maximizing benefit and minimizing harm in antidepressant use for older persons at risk of falling.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41999-022-00742-1>.

Acknowledgements We thank D. van der Laan and M. Pistorius, of the hospital pharmacy department of the Amsterdam Academic Medical Center, for analyzing the included blood samples and providing us the data to investigate our research question. Also, we thank the participants of the B-PROOF study for their enthusiasm and cooperation. Furthermore, we thank the dedicated team that conducted the study. Especially, A.C.Ham, A.W.Enneman, R.Dhonukshe-Rutten, P Lips and J.van Wijngaarden.

Author contributions ACP, LJS, KJP, EPP, RM, BS and NV were responsible for the conceptualization and methodology of the study. KS, SCD, SOA, LCPGMG and NMS were responsible for data curation. ACP analyzed the data. ACP, LJS, EPP and NV were responsible for interpreting the data and wrote the original draft of the manuscript. All authors reviewed the final version and gave approval of the version to be published.

Funding This work was supported by the Clementine Brigitta Maria Dalderup fund (project numbers 3021 and 3549, receiver N. van der Velde), which is an Amsterdam University fund (<https://www.auf.nl/en/about-the-fund/about.html>). The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The initial B-PROOF was funded by the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw, Grant 6130.0031), the Hague; unrestricted grant from NZO (Dutch Dairy Association), Zoetermeer; Orthica, Almere; NCHA (Netherlands Consortium Healthy Ageing), Leiden/Rotterdam; Ministry of Economic Affairs, Agriculture and Innovation (project KB-15-004-003), the Hague; Wageningen University, Wageningen; VUmc, Amsterdam; Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam; Unilever, Colworth, UK. The sponsors and patients had no role in the design or implementation of the study, data collection, data management, data analysis, data interpretation, or in the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

Data availability Because of restrictions based on privacy regulations and informed consent of the participants, data cannot be made freely available in a public repository. B-PROOF data can be obtained upon request. Requests should be directed toward the principal investigators of the study, who have a protocol for approving data requests.

Declarations

Conflict of interest KS is an employee of the PHARMO Institute for Drug Outcomes Research. This independent research institute performs financially supported studies for government and related health-

care authorities and several pharmaceutical companies. The other authors have declared that no competing interest exist.

Ethical approval For the B-PROOF study the Medical Ethics Committee (METC) of Wageningen University approved the study protocol [ref 14]. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Informed consent In the B-PROOF all participants gave written informed consent before entering the study.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Hartholt KA, van Beeck EF, Polinder S, van der Velde N, van Lieshout EM, Panneman MJ et al (2011) Societal consequences of falls in the older population: injuries, healthcare costs, and long-term reduced quality of life. *J Trauma* 71(3):748–753
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Home and Recreational Safety. Important facts about falls. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/homeandrecreational/safety/falls/adultfalls.html>. Accessed 1 May 2017
3. Seppala LJ, van der Velde N, Masud T, Blain H, Petrovic M, van der Cammen TJ et al (2019) EuGMS task and finish group on fall-risk-increasing drugs (FRIDs): position on knowledge dissemination, management, and future research. *Drugs Aging* 36(4):299–307
4. Seppala LJ, Wermelink A, de Vries M, Ploegmakers KJ, van de Glind EMM, Daams JG et al (2018) Fall-risk-increasing drugs: a systematic review and meta-analysis: II. Psychotropics. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 19(4):371.e11–371.e17
5. Seppala LJ, Petrovic M, Ryg J, Bahat G, Topinkova E, Szczerbińska K et al (2020) STOPPFall (screening tool of older persons prescriptions in older adults with high fall risk): a Delphi study by the EuGMS task and finish group on fall-risk-increasing drugs. *Age Ageing*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afaa249>
6. Iaboni A, Flint AJ (2013) The complex interplay of depression and falls in older adults: a clinical review. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 21(5):484–492
7. van Poelgeest EP, Pronk AC, Rhebergen D, van der Velde N (2021) Depression, antidepressants and fall risk: therapeutic dilemmas—a clinical review. *Eur Geriatr Med* 12(3):585–596
8. Chen Y, Zhu LL, Zhou Q (2014) Effects of drug pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic properties, characteristics of medication use, and relevant pharmacological interventions on fall risk in elderly patients. *Ther Clin Risk Manag* 10:437–448
9. Coupland CA, Dhiman P, Barton G, Morriss R, Arthur A, Sach T et al (2011) A study of the safety and harms of antidepressant drugs for older people: a cohort study using a large primary care database. *Health Technol Assess*. <https://doi.org/10.3310/hta15280>
10. Lotrich FE, Pollock BG (2005) Aging and clinical pharmacology: implications for antidepressants. *J Clin Pharmacol* 45(10):1106–1122
11. Sultana J, Spina E, Trifirò G (2015) Antidepressant use in the elderly: the role of pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics in drug safety. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol* 11(6):883–892
12. Spina E, Scordo MG (2002) Clinically significant drug interactions with antidepressants in the elderly. *Drugs Aging* 19(4):299–320
13. Hiemke C, Bergemann N, Clement HW, Conca A, Deckert J, Domschke K et al (2018) Consensus guidelines for therapeutic drug monitoring in neuropsychopharmacology: update 2017. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 51(1–02):9–62
14. van Wijngaarden JP, Dhonukshe-Rutten RA, van Schoor NM, van der Velde N, Swart KM, Enneman AW et al (2011) Rationale and design of the B-PROOF study, a randomized controlled trial on the effect of supplemental intake of vitamin B12 and folic acid on fracture incidence. *BMC Geriatr* 11:80
15. Swart KM, Ham AC, van Wijngaarden JP, Enneman AW, van Dijk SC, Sohl E et al (2016) A randomized controlled trial to examine the effect of 2-year vitamin B12 and folic acid supplementation on physical performance, strength, and falling: additional findings from the B-PROOF study. *Calcif Tissue Int* 98(1):18–27
16. WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology. ATC/DDD index. Available from: https://www.whocc.no/atc_ddd_index/
17. Kellogg International Work Group (1987) The prevention of falls in later life. A report of the Kellogg International Work group on the Prevention of Falls by the Elderly. *Dan Med Bull* 34:1–24
18. Gnjidic D, Hilmer SN, Blyth FM, Naganathan V, Waite L, Seibel MJ et al (2012) Polypharmacy cutoff and outcomes: five or more medicines were used to identify community-dwelling older men at risk of different adverse outcomes. *J Clin Epidemiol* 65(9):989–995
19. Debonnel G, Saint-Andre E, Hebert C, de Montigny C, Lavoie N, Blier P (2007) Differential physiological effects of a low dose and high doses of venlafaxine in major depression. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 10(1):51–61
20. Bujang MA, Sa'at N, Sidik T, Joo LC (2018) Sample size guidelines for logistic regression from observational studies with large population: emphasis on the accuracy between statistics and parameters based on real life clinical data. *Malays J Med Sci* 25(4):122–130
21. Hartholt KA, Becker ML, van der Cammen TJ (2016) Drug-induced falls in older persons: is there a role for therapeutic drug monitoring? *Ther Adv Drug Saf* 7(2):39–42
22. de la Rodriguez Torre B, Dreher J, Malevany I, Bagli M, Kolbinger M, Omran H et al (2001) Serum levels and cardiovascular effects of tricyclic antidepressants and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in depressed patients. *Ther Drug Monit* 23(4):435–440
23. Mol A, Bui Hoang PTS, Sharmin S, Reijnierse EM, van Wezel RJA, Meskers CGM et al (2019) Orthostatic hypotension and falls in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 20(5):589–97.e5
24. Chew ML, Mulsant BH, Pollock BG, Lehman ME, Greenspan A, Mahmoud RA et al (2008) Anticholinergic activity of 107 medications commonly used by older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 56(7):1333–1341
25. Drenth-van Maanen AC, Wiltink I, Jansen PAF (2020) Prescribing medicines to older people—how to consider the impact of ageing on human organ and body functions. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 86(10):1921–1930
26. Eap CB, Gründer G, Baumann P, Ansermet N, Conca A, Corruble E et al (2021) Tools for optimising pharmacotherapy in psychiatry (therapeutic drug monitoring, molecular brain imaging and

- pharmacogenetic tests): focus on antidepressants. *World J Biol Psychiatry*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15622975.2021.1878427>
27. Asberg M, Cronholm B, Sjöqvist F, Tuck D (1970) Correlation of subjective side effects with plasma concentrations of nortriptyline. *Br Med J* 4(5726):18–21
 28. Asberg M, Crönholm B, Sjöqvist F, Tuck D (1971) Relationship between plasma level and therapeutic effect of nortriptyline. *Br Med J* 3(5770):331–334
 29. Ostad Haji E, Hiemke C, Pfuhlmann B (2012) Therapeutic drug monitoring for antidepressant drug treatment. *Curr Pharm Des* 18(36):5818–5827
 30. Hermann M, Waade RB, Molden E (2015) Therapeutic drug monitoring of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in elderly patients. *Ther Drug Monit* 37(4):546–549
 31. Wyska E (2019) Pharmacokinetic considerations for current state-of-the-art antidepressants. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol* 15(10):831–847
 32. Baumann P, Hiemke C, Ulrich S, Eckermann G, Gaertner I, Gerlach M et al (2004) The AGNP-TDM expert group consensus guidelines: therapeutic drug monitoring in psychiatry. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 37(6):243–265
 33. Waade RB, Molden E, Refsum H, Hermann M (2012) Serum concentrations of antidepressants in the elderly. *Ther Drug Monit* 34(1):25–30
 34. Tveit K, Hermann M, Waade RB, Nilsen RM, Wallerstedt SM, Molden E (2020) Use of antidepressants in older people during a 10-year period: an observational study on prescribed doses and serum levels. *Drugs Aging* 37(9):691–701
 35. Lundmark J, Bengtsson F, Nordin C, Reis M, Wälinder J (2000) Therapeutic drug monitoring of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors influences clinical dosing strategies and reduces drug costs in depressed elderly patients. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 101(5):354–359
 36. Ostad Haji E, Tadić A, Wagner S, Dragicevic A, Müller MJ, Boland K et al (2011) Association between citalopram serum levels and clinical improvement of patients with major depression. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 31(3):281–286
 37. Lamb SE, Jørstad-Stein EC, Hauer K, Becker C (2005) Development of a common outcome data set for fall injury prevention trials: the prevention of falls network Europe consensus. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 53(9):1618–1622

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.