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Introduction
Bioinformatics is an interdisciplinary field of life science. It encompasses the integration of mathematics, statistics along with computer science to interpret and understand biological data. The scope of bioinformatics research and analysis ranges from the analysis of protein sequence and genomics data, to the development of workflow and data management systems, from genome annotation and protein function prediction, to the mining of biological literature and images. Recently, the astronomical accumulation of omics data, boosted by advanced sequencing and molecular technology, has become the main driving force for the development of bioinformatics and the training of bioinformaticians. The main task is to develop reliable and feasible procedures through mathematical/statistical modeling, facilitating the interpretation of biological phenomena.

Plants are of immense significance for life on earth. As the primary staple food source, crop plants are crucial for humans to survive and thrive. The important foci of crop research are domesticating varieties superior in quality and quantity and enhancing their adaptation capacity to biotic and abiotic/environmental stress factors. Nowadays, crop plants are investigated at various levels, including genomics, proteomics, molecular genetics and breeding. However, knowledge is still limited in areas like genetic architecture, population structure and domestication model. This shows the need for fundamental and applied bioinformatics research, developing and applying novel computational methods and tools for the analysis and integration of crop omics data. The cross-talk of high-throughput data and bioinformatics calls for advanced approaches in functional genomics and population genetics, to solve the scientific questions underlying modern agriculture. Moreover, it is necessary to develop relevant databases, software, tools, and web resources to ease access for the research community.

This PhD thesis focuses on applying bioinformatics methodology to two topics: the discovery of repeats in protein sequences and the analysis of crop plant omics data. In this introductory chapter, I first give a brief overview of the history of bioinformatics, followed by a more detailed discussion on sequence analysis methodologies, pattern recognition algorithms and database management principles for biological data. Then I review the methodology of applying next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology and bioinformatics approaches to crop omics research, combined with the formulation of scientific questions in crop functional genomics and population genetics. Finally, I present the outline and contribution of this thesis.
1.1 Bioinformatics

During recent decades, bioinformatics has become recognized as a pervasive technology, made possible by the marriage of biology and computer science. It is widely accepted that the term ‘bioinformatics’ was first used in the early 1970s by Paulien Hogeweg and Ben Hesper. They defined it as ‘the study of informatic processes in biotic systems’ (1). From this perspective, bioinformatics deals with computational and mathematical approaches for understanding how biological systems process information, following the central dogma of molecular biology as observed in the sequences of the genome, transcriptome and proteome, as well as the cellular phenotype. More recently, bioinformatics has become a branch of science aiming to extract knowledge from biological data, which includes collecting, manipulating and modelling data for analysis, visualization or prediction through the development of algorithms, software and pipelines.

With the development of bioinformatics, the profession of bioinformatician emerged. Margaret Dayhoff, who developed a number of algorithms and tools for protein sequence analysis (2,3), is commonly believed to have been the first bioinformatician (4). Currently, a bioinformatician is regarded as a person with core competencies including using current techniques, skills, and tools necessary to process, analyze and interpret biological measurement data, and applying statistical methods in the contexts of molecular biology, genomics, medicine, and/or population genetics (5). Besides these competencies, both academic and industrial communities expect more professional capacities from bioinformaticians, such as in-depth knowledge in one or more areas of biology, proficiency in programming, script development and database construction, and a thorough understanding of algorithms for data integration, text mining and machine learning applied to biological big data. In short, a full-fledged bioinformatician should be a “missing link” to bridge the multiple disciplines that need to come together to solve pressing problems in biology.

Although the information flow of molecular biology starts from the DNA sequence, bioinformatics started with protein sequence analysis in the early 1960s, as the first sequencing method was for proteins (6). In this period, pioneering bioinformaticians developed tools and algorithms for determining protein primary structure (3) and making pairwise protein sequence alignments (7). With the development and improvement of the Sanger chain termination method (8) for DNA sequencing, the paradigm of bioinformatics gradually shifted to the integrated analysis of protein and DNA sequences, which commonly includes comparisons between sequences from different organisms, inferences of the phylogenetic relationships via orthologous sequences, and discovery of patterns in sequences. At the same time, the demands for comprehensive sequence analysis tools boosted the development of classical bioinformatics software and packages, such as Staden (9), GCG (10), and MUMmer (11), some of which are still in widespread use today. Moreover, centralized bioinformatics databases such as the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA), GenBank and DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) were established and integrated to standardize
data formats defining minimal information for reporting nucleotide sequences and facilitating data sharing (12).

With the initiation of the Human Genome Project (HGP) (13) and the growth of the Internet, the 1990s saw the beginning of a new era of bioinformatics. It was not only the dawn of genomics, spurred by multiple genome sequencing projects, but also of proteomics, promoted by advances in protein sequence pattern recognition algorithms and computational 3D structure prediction methods (14). At the same time, the World Wide Web (WWW) allowed the release of online bioinformatics resources and packages, such as UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot (15), PubMed (16), and wEMBOSS (17,18), most of which, following the philosophy of free software, were released in a free and open-source manner. With this, building web services with easy-to-use graphical interfaces for bioinformatics tools has steadily become routine for bioinformaticians.

Since the early 2000s, the popularization of NGS technologies (19), which can sequence millions of fragments of DNA molecules in a single machine run, increased the need for bioinformatics to offer more sophisticated algorithms, exploiting the higher available computational hardware capacity. It also led to a larger number of professional bioinformaticians that graduated from newly started education programmes. At the same time, the impressive decrease of sequencing costs accelerated the generation of biological “big data”, currently beyond the exabyte (20) level. The statistics of GenBank reveal a staggering increase from the first release in December 1982 of 606 sequences to the latest release in February 2022 of more than 236 million sequences (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/statistics/).

Recently, third-generation sequencing (TGS) technologies (21) emerged, which allow real-time single molecule sequencing by omitting the conventional PCR amplification step indispensable in the NGS protocol. One of the most significant advantages of TGS is the ultra-long reads they generate (22), which are crucial to gain high-resolution contiguous reference genomes by spanning repeats that hamper genome assembly based on short reads (23). Although the early TGS protocols had a high sequencing error rate, optimized strategies such as the circular consensus sequencing (CCS) on the PacBio (24) platform and new base-calling algorithms (25) on the nanopore platform promise better sequencing accuracy comparable to NGS protocols. Therefore, bioinformatics work has shifted to long-read mapping and assembly algorithms (26).

Today, bioinformatics faces multiple chances together with challenges, such as interpreting big biological data with advanced machine learning and deep learning methods, ensuring the reproducibility of results by coordinating collaborations and formulating uniform standards among bioinformatics communities, and proper integration of computer science and biology courses and training into academic bioinformatics curricula. There is a growing consensus that biology and bioinformatics are so intertwined that eventually, it may become unnecessary to distinguish one from the other. Integrated systems biology could make the next leap of modelling the living
cell, organs or whole organisms. Such models should include complete genomes, transcriptomes, metabolomes, phenomes and environments and take all interactions between these into account simultaneously (27,28).

1.2 Biological sequence analysis and management

1.2.1 Biological sequence alignment

Biological sequence analysis is a fundamental task in bioinformatics to make sense of the vast accumulated biological data. It is a challenging task as biological sequences encode for most of the complexity of molecular biology, although they can be represented as simple strings of bases or amino acids. These strings do not convey the immense richness of biological signals, as they have been shaped by multiple evolutionary forces, such as natural selection and genetic drift.

One of the most fundamental tasks in molecular biology is to establish the relatedness of genes or proteins. Similarity of two biological molecules at the sequence level suggests that they are homologous, i.e. share a common ancestor. To evaluate the similarity of biological sequence pairs, identifying a plausible alignment between them is intuitive and effective. Dynamic programming is the methodology for finding an optimal alignment given a specific score scheme describing the probability of an amino acid substitution, such as point accepted mutation (PAM) matrices (2) and blocks substitution matrices (BLOSUM) (29). The introduction of probabilistic matrices considers the features that constrain primary sequence evolution to grant the biologically most likely alignment the highest score. For sequences with high similarity and of roughly equal size, global alignment is more practical. For finding regions containing similar motifs in divergent sequences, local alignment is more appropriate. Dynamic programming can be applied to produce global alignments via the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm (30) and local alignments via the Smith-Waterman algorithm (31).

The classical methodologies developed for pairwise sequence alignment can be extended to multiple sequences alignment (MSA). In MSA, sequences are aligned by bringing similar characters into the same column of the alignment, which could reflect the evolutionary history of the sequences. Progressive alignment approaches use dynamic programming to build an MSA, starting with the two most similar sequences and then progressively adding less similar sequences to the initial alignment (32). This approach has the inherent limitation that it is sensitive to initial alignment error. Iterative approaches were therefore developed to enhance the alignment quality by obtaining information from repeated alignment procedures (33). The applications of MSA for biological sequence analysis are more extensive than pairwise sequence alignment. For example, phylogenetic prediction algorithms often begin with producing an optimal MSA as the first step toward making a phylogenetic tree.
1.2.2 Pattern recognition in biological sequences

A pattern can be defined “as the opposite of a chaos” (34). Patterns in biological sequences could be genes, sequence motifs or protein domains with functional implications. Pattern recognition in bioinformatics is concerned with developing and applying systems that learn to solve a given problem using a set of biological data, each represented by some features. The development of statistical pattern recognition algorithms is mainly concerned with theory and methods including clustering, dimensionality reduction and classification (35). In DNA and protein sequence analysis, pattern matching and detection algorithms have been widely used to explore and exploit specified and novel patterns. Due to the fivefold higher variety of sequence characters in proteins, it is much easier to detect patterns of sequence similarity between protein sequences than between DNA sequences (36). Once biologists started to read protein sequences and genomes, they learned that large parts of gene and genome sequences consist of periodic patterns (37). This led to the question what possible biological role they might have. Repeat patterns in DNA sequences have been widely investigated, leading to a plethora of detection algorithms and the discovery of roles for DNA repeat elements in diverse biological processes (38,39). Nevertheless, repeat patterns in protein sequences have different implications. Repeated amino acids could participate in the formation of secondary and three-dimensional structures of proteins to create and alter protein function.

In principle, identifying repeats from protein sequences is achieved with pattern recognition (35). It should start from the explicit definition and classification of various amino acid repeats by considering repeat unit features regarding their sequence pattern and potential biological significance. Based on the repeated amino acid unit’s complexity, similarity, and distance within a protein, a protein with a repeat embedded amino acid sequence could be classified as complex or simple, tandem or sequentially interspersed, perfect or imperfect repeat-containing protein (RCP). Various sequence pattern recognition strategies can detect different repeat patterns, such as string suffix trees (40), complexity measurements (41), discrete Fourier and stationary wavelet transforms (42), hidden Markov model (HMM) based self-comparisons (43), and trained neural networks (44). Nevertheless, multiple repeat patterns are commonly intertwined within one RCP so that no single algorithm can uncover all different cryptic repeat patterns. A rational strategy is to identify repeat fragments by multiple algorithms on the same RCP, then merge or distinguish these based on their positions and repeat unit patterns.

1.2.3 Searching and managing biological databases

Biological databases play a central role in bioinformatics. They offer scientists access to a wide variety of biologically relevant data, including genomic sequences, population variations, information on gene structure and protein family classification of an increasingly broad range of organisms. They provide fertile ground for biologists to better design and interpret their experiments in the laboratory, fulfilling the promise of bioinformatics of advancing and accelerating biological discovery (45).
Database search is remarkably useful for finding the function of genes or proteins whose sequences have been determined in the laboratory. In addition, the biological function of particular sequences in model organisms could help predict the function of similar sequences in other organisms. Thus, an important application of database searching is to identify similar sequences. Such searches have become commonplace and are greatly facilitated by programs such as basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) (46). BLAST was designed to accelerate database search using a heuristic method. In contrast to the original version of the Smith-Waterman algorithm, BLAST searches are not guaranteed to find the optimal alignments. They limit the search space by scanning a database for possible short sequence matches before performing more rigorous alignments, which is essential to save the search time. BLAST was the first program to apply rigorous statistics to obtain scores for local sequence alignments. The NCBI BLAST server (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST) is probably the most widely used sequence analysis facility in the world and provides similarity searching to all currently available sequences.

The centralized and primary databases generally store data generated directly from sequencing and experimental platforms, annotated by automated pipelines. Secondary databases on the other hand contain information that was mined from primary databases. The users of secondary databases commonly focus on specific species or research topics. They have more refined requirements for database content, interface and analysis tools. Constructing such databases should follow the principles of friendly-to-use, fast-to-query, intuitive-to-analysis and informative-to-learn (47). Secondary databases also take advantage of data mining and database management methods to integrate multiple cross-references extracted from other data resources. Moreover, regular maintenance and timely updates of these biological databases are essential to the scientific community. For example, all databases published in the NAR Database Issue (48) are expected to be maintained under the same URL for at least five years after the publication date. Graduation or retirement of the database developers is not a valid reason for the termination of the database.

1.3 Studying integrative methodology on plant omics

1.3.1 Omics technologies and bioinformatics methodologies

Omnics technologies are used to measure the entire complement of a given level of biological molecules and information. Primary applications are the detection of genes (genomics), mRNA (transcriptomics), proteins (proteomics), metabolites (metabolomics), and phenotypes (phenomics) in a specific biologic sample in a non-targeted and non-biased manner. It encompasses a variety of new high-throughput technologies to analyze very large numbers of biological data in a combination of procedures, by which to enable a system-level understanding of correlations and dependencies between molecular components (49-51).
It is believed that the initiation of high-throughput omics was triggered by the revolution in NGS technologies. In the early 2000s, strategies of massively parallel sequencing of clonally amplified DNA molecules that are spatially separated in a flow cell were developed (52). Although different academic and industrial platforms were developed in the early stages, the sequencing by synthesis system of Illumina/Solexa (http://www.illumina.com) has become the dominant standard, as it has tailored sample preparation and data generation protocols which find the balance between performance and cost. NGS methodologies were next successfully applied to map and quantify transcriptomes by altering the library preparation protocols for mRNAs, non-coding RNAs and small RNAs, an approach commonly called RNA-seq. RNA-seq came with all the advantages of NGS including high-throughput, high accuracy for quantifying expression levels, and high levels of reproducibility (53). Next to DNA and RNA sequencing, a number of high-throughput methodologies aimed at other aspects of omics, such as mass spectrometry (54) for proteomics, ChIP-seq (55) for interactomics, and DNA methylation sequencing (56) for epigenomics.

Essentially, bioinformatics methodologies for omics constitute a crossover between bottom-up hypothesis-driven and top-down data-driven approaches, which is indispensable to integrate the acquisition, analysis and management of multiple omics data with genome-scale statistical and mathematical modelling and simulation (57). During recent decades, bioinformatics developed a score of methods for sequence analysis, in terms of sequence assembly, genome annotation, comparative genomics, genetics and population genomics, computational evolutionary biology; for expression analysis, in terms of gene expression, protein expression, metabolite profiling; for structural bioinformatics, in terms of genome 3D chromatin modelling, RNA secondary structure prediction, protein structure prediction, homology modelling; for network and system biology, in terms of biological network analysis, gene co-expression analysis, molecular interaction networks; and for data management, in terms of database and web service development, data curation, data visualization, workflow management, and so on.

1.3.2 Perspective of crop omics
A global food crisis can soon develop, given the rapid growth of the world population and the lagging pace of yield increase for major crops, including rice, maize, wheat, and sorghum. Domestication, design, and development of genetically improved, stress-resilient, and environmental adapted crops have become the research priority. The crop omics perspective necessitates the convergence of low-cost genome sequencing with improved computational power and high-throughput molecular phenotyping technologies to accelerate the identification of genes and/or loci underlying important agronomic traits relevant to food production and quality (58).

As the basis of crop omics, the first essential step is assembly and decoding of the reference genomes. This was a challenge for NGS based methodologies as the size and dynamic nature of the plant genome are complicated and diversified (59,60). Plants
tend to have more multigene families and a higher frequency of polyploidy than other forms of life (61). This commonly resulted in an expanded and repeat-rich genome that cannot be fully determined by short reads. Paralogy is a substantial issue in plant genomics, so a series of compensation methods were required to obtain the high quality reference genome (62). The development of long read sequencing technologies (21) significantly improved the situation, together with advanced chromosome physical mapping protocols such as BioNano optical mapping (63) and chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) (64). This yielded higher assembly quality for complex plant genomes with lower computational prices (65) and prompted scientific communities to construct many complete plant genomes (66,67).

Based on fully assembled and well-annotated crop reference genomes, crop improvement will depend on comparisons of individual plant genomes. Some of the best opportunities may lie in using combinations of new genetic mapping strategies and evolutionary analyses to direct and optimize the discovery and use of genetic variation. Besides, conventional molecular population genetics using a limited numbers of DNA-based markers has evolved to population genomics, adapting to the increased availability of genome-wide DNA variation data of many individuals in natural crop populations (68). However, the high levels of nucleotide diversity in crop genomes poses challenges. For example, the maize and human genomes are similar in size, but an average pair of maize individuals differ at ten times more sites than any two humans do (69). This is due to the higher incidence of interspecific and introgressive hybridization between crop subpopulations, regarded as an important mechanism for their adaptive evolution (70). Next to SNPs and short insertions/deletions (InDels), larger genome structure variations induced by transposon translocation or polypoidization events could impact crop genotypes associated with crucial agronomic traits for their domestication and improvement.

Currently, hundreds of plant reference genomes have been assembled and annotated along with the generation of large amount of omics data. Accordingly, several integrated plant hub databases were developed such as Phytozome (71), Ensembl Plants (72) and Gramene (73). These are crucial for researchers from broad plant science communities to access the data and make comparative genomics analyses available. For some widely used plants such as major crops, the need for specific secondary databases is growing. Example databases include MaizeGDB (74) for maize, WheatGenome.info for wheat (75), and RAP-DB (76) for rice. These contain general genomic datasets such as genome sequence, gene models, functional annotation, and polymorphic loci of these crops. They also integrate information on the variome and phenome such as breeding status, population structure and kinship, linkage disequilibrium (LD) mapping, and phenotype data for multiple agronomic traits. The experiences of constructing these databases could be applied to studying other crops, such as sorghum, the fifth cereal crop in the world.
1.4 Applying multiple bioinformatics approaches to sorghum populations and functional genomics

1.4.1 Background of sorghum genomics
Sorghum is a grass species which diverged from rice ~50 million years and from maize ~12 million years ago (77,78). It uses the C4 carbon fixation photosynthetic process. Its relatively small genome (diploid, ten chromosomes, ~730M, ~34,000 genes) (79,80) makes sorghum an appealing model organism for C4 grass species with a more complex genome, such as wheat and sugarcane (77). As sorghum improvement has relied on public research more heavily than that of genetically modified crops such as corn or soybean, many genetic resources of sorghums serve a dual purpose for academic and commercial pursuits (81). Since the first release of the sorghum reference genome (BTx623) sequenced by the Sanger method in 2009 (79) and the improved assembly and annotation later by supplementary NGS protocols (80), two genomes of sorghum varieties have recently been de novo assembled using TGS technologies (82,83). Although comparisons with maize genomes showed a higher degree of collinearity and structural conservation in sorghum genome (84), it has been argued that the expected genomic diversity of sorghum populations should be higher than observed (81). The underestimation is due to the limited number of accessions being sequenced, that do not represent the full spectrum of diversity in the sorghum germplasm. A pan-genome panel represented as the nonredundant collection of genes and/or DNA sequences (85) in sorghum is required. This will allow to better study genetic mechanisms of variation, which should incorporate more divergent genotypes and wild relatives along with closely related but phenotypically divergent germplasms. Nevertheless, the cost of constructing a pan-genome panel consisting of dozens of de novo assembled sorghum varieties is still high. Using whole-genome resequencing, variome data has been collected in population genomics studies involving thousands of genotypes representing diversified gene pools of sorghum.

1.4.2 Domestication and breeding history of sorghum population
Recent decades have a strong interest in the origins of crop domestication (70,86), which are vital to understand crop evolutionary mechanisms and enhance crop agricultural traits. The current abundance in population genomics data generated by advanced omics technology provides unprecedented opportunities to study crop domestication and breeding. Sorghum is the fifth major cereal crop originating from Africa, which was initially domesticated as early as 4,000-6,000 years ago (87,88). Differing from rice, maize and wheat that are primarily cultivated as food sources, sorghum has multiple end uses as food, feed, fodder, fuel, fibre, broom and beverage. Four major breeding subpopulations with diversified agronomic traits are in cultivation worldwide, including grain sorghum with high seed yield and quality, sweet sorghum with juicy and sugary stem, forage sorghum with good tillers and biomass production, and broom sorghum with long fibres of panicle (89,90). Besides, sorghum was subjected to multiple domestication processes, in which selections of wild relatives happened in different regions at various time points (86,87).
Sweet sorghum is a unique cultivated subgroup, distinguished by its juicy and sugar-rich stem at maturity. The juicy stem allows transporting and storing mass and minimizes postharvest loss of fermentable sugars. Furthermore, sorghum has higher energy utilization efficiency and drought tolerance capacity than maize and sugarcane, which is fundamental to exploiting sweet sorghum's potential as a second generation biofuel crop (91). Promoted by a large scale program for the development of sweet sorghum cultivars that started in the 1970s (92), studies on essential genes altering stem juicy and sugar content of sweet sorghum were performed. The Dry locus, which controls the pithy/juicy stem trait, was discovered over a century ago (93). Moreover, previous studies identified a major quantitative trait locus (QTL) for midrib colour, sugar yield, juice volume, and moisture at $\sim 51.8$ Mb on Chromosome 6 (94). Nevertheless, few studies investigated the origin and evolution of Dry locus molecular basis, and its impact on the domestication and breeding of sweet sorghum.

### 1.4.3 Studying sorghum population and functional genomics via bioinformatics approaches

Recent advances in omics have substantially increased research opportunities for sorghum. As cost is no longer an obstacle, acquiring high-density markers of whole-genome variations across hundreds of varieties is now possible. Analyzing such data, classical genetic and population biology theory needs to be supported by novel bioinformatics and statistical approaches to help better explain the biological processes.

In sorghum molecular breeding research, it is essential to identify candidate genes corresponding to specific functions affecting crucial agronomic traits. Map-based cloning is the traditional approach, using segregation populations derived from the cross of two parental lines. However, as recombination within the biparental populations is typically limited, the mapped regions are commonly too large to quickly identify the underlying genes. Further fine mapping is formidable task that requires tedious work in the field and laboratory. To tackle the problem, a strategy is to gather a large number of natural sorghum varieties and obtain sufficient natural variation covering the candidate locus by whole-genome resequencing. A genome-wide association study (GWAS) can then be performed to fine map the candidate genes.

Genome-wide variations data provide a wealth of research material for sorghum population genomics to address new scientific questions. Population structure and phylogenetic relationships are fundamental aspects in sorghum population genomics. These result from the individual survival, dispersal, and reproduction histories, which reflect sorghum’s evolution and breeding background. The demographic history and geographic differentiation of sorghum population is important because it shapes patterns and levels of extant genetic diversity (95). Previous demographic analyses rested on assumptions based on archaeological evidence. Confirmation of these assumptions is necessary to infer the time of the possible domestication bottlenecks, which was crucial in reducing genetic diversity and eroding sorghum fitness. Besides, it is useful to infer the potential split and mixture events and conceivable migration
events within and between sorghum populations using statistical models (96) on genome-wide allele frequency data. To learn how natural and artificial selection have shaped genes and their regulation network, thereby influence the diversity and fitness of the sorghum population, we can perform whole-genome screens with measures of population genetics/genomics parameters, such as nucleotide diversity, population divergence, and tests of selection via statistical models (68,97).

1.5 Contribution of this thesis
In this thesis, I present a number of contributions in managing and analysing large amounts of genome, proteome and variome data. In Chapter 2 and Chapter 3, I focus on proteomics, reviewing and integrating protein repeat detection algorithms and constructing a repository for collecting, cataloguing and managing protein repeats. Chapter 4 reviews NGS technologies and their application in plant genomics and breeding. In Chapter 5, I describe the construction of a repository for sorghum variome data and show how it can be used to study evolution and support breeding. In Chapter 6, we demonstrate the importance of variomes in a study on an essential trait of sorghum. Finally, in Chapter 7, I discuss the contribution and limitations of the thesis and present an outlook on future developments.
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Understanding and identifying amino acid repeats
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Abstract
Amino acid repeats (AARs) are abundant in protein sequences. They have particular roles in protein function and evolution. Simple repeat patterns generated by DNA slippage tend to introduce length variations and point mutations in repeat regions. Loss of ordinary and gain of abnormal function owing to their variable length are potential risks leading to diseases. Repeats with complex patterns mainly refer to the functional domain repeats, such as the well-known leucine-rich repeat and WD repeat, which are frequently involved in protein-protein interaction. They are primarily derived from internal gene duplication events and stabilized by ‘gate-keeper’ residues, which play crucial roles in preventing inter-domain aggregation. AARs are widely distributed in different proteomes across various taxonomic ranges and are especially abundant in eukaryotic proteins. However, their specific evolutionary and functional scenarios are still poorly understood. Identifying AARs in protein sequences is the first step for the further investigation of their biological function and evolutionary mechanism. In principle, this is an NP-hard problem, as most of the repeat fragments are shaped by a series of sophisticated evolutionary events and become latent periodical patterns. It is not possible to define a uniform criterion for detecting and verifying various repeat patterns. Instead, people have developed different algorithms based on different strategies to cope with varying patterns of repeat. In this review, we attempt to describe the amino acid repeat-detection algorithms currently available and compare their strategies based on an in-depth analysis of the biological significance of protein repeats.

2.1 Introduction
Amino acid repeats (AARs) are abundant in protein sequences either as periodic elements in structural proteins such as collagens, keratins, silk and cell wall proteins, or as structural modules in functional proteins such as transcription factors, receptors, ion channels, histones, ubiquitins and calcium storage proteins. Table 2.1 shows some well-known examples of human repeat-containing proteins (RCPs) gathered in the UniProt/Swiss-Prot Knowledgebase (http://www.uniprot.org/). For example, the major prion protein (PRIO_HUMAN) contains an N-terminal repeat region with several octamers (PHGGGWGQ); the extra-embryonic spermatogenesis homeobox 1 protein (ESX1_HUMAN) has a sequence motif PPxxPxPPx repeated nine times and the alpha-1 type I collagen protein contains a repeat of various lengths of the periodic tri-amino acid GPP. The giant muscle protein Titin composed of 34 350 amino acid residues (TITIN_HUMAN) contains several types of repeating domains. Single amino acid repeats (SAARs) are also common, such as the polyQ repeats in the Forkhead box protein P2 (FOXP2_HUMAN), the androgen receptor (ANDR_HUMAN) and the Huntington’s disease (HD) protein (HD_HUMAN). Other SAARs including polyL, polyA and polyH can also be found in many other proteins. RCPs are distributed in all life kingdoms, and especially abundant in eukaryotes (1).

It is known that some AARs such as the leucine rich repeats (LRRs) form the structural framework for protein-protein interaction, and the repeat fragment in zinc finger transcription factors binds to cis-elements of DNA promoters. AARs can also cause
problems such as the mis-folding of prion proteins (2). Furthermore, modification of repeat length may introduce abnormal function. A typical case is the expansion of polyQ, resulting in several neurological disorders such as mental retardation, HD, inherited ataxias and muscular dystrophy.

Table 2.1 Some examples of amino acid repeats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UniProt ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>AA</th>
<th>Repeat Pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SECR_HUMAN</td>
<td>Secretin</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>polyL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIO_HUMAN</td>
<td>Major prion protein</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>(PHGGGWGQ)_4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANKR1_HUMAN</td>
<td>Ankyrin repeat domain-containing protein 1</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>Ankyrin repeat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASQ2_HUMAN</td>
<td>Calsequestrin-2</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>D/E-Rich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESX1_HUMAN</td>
<td>Homeobox protein ESX1</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>(PPxxPxPxP)_5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR1_HUMAN</td>
<td>WD repeat-containing protein 1</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>WD repeat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBC_HUMAN</td>
<td>Polyubiquitin-C</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>Ubiquitin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXP2_HUMAN</td>
<td>Forkhead box protein P2</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>polyQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRRN1_HUMAN</td>
<td>Leucine-rich repeat neuronal protein 1</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>Leucine Rich Repeat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANDR_HUMAN</td>
<td>Androgen receptor</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>polyQ, polyG, polyP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRBP2_HUMAN</td>
<td>Sterol regulatory element-binding protein 2</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td>polyS, (PQ)_n, (SGSS)_2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO1A1_HUMAN</td>
<td>Collagen alpha-1(I) chain</td>
<td>1464</td>
<td>(GPP)_n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC1A_HUMAN</td>
<td>Brain calcium channel I</td>
<td>2505</td>
<td>polyQ, polyH, polyG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD_HUMAN</td>
<td>Huntington disease protein</td>
<td>3142</td>
<td>polyQ, polyP, polyT, polyE, HEAT domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLL2_HUMAN</td>
<td>Histone-lysine N-methyltransferase MLL2</td>
<td>5537</td>
<td>(S/P-P-P-E/P-E/A)_15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TITIN_HUMAN</td>
<td>Titin</td>
<td>34350</td>
<td>Several types of repeating domains: TPR WD RCC1 PEVK Kelch Z Ig repeats</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Classification of amino acid repeat patterns at sequence level

Mathematical and statistical methodologies can be applied to study the particular functional and evolutionary background of an AAR. Several approaches have been proposed to classify AARs into different categories depending on the characteristics of repeat units, including the sequence similarity among repeat units, the distance between adjacent repeat units and the complexity of the sequence pattern of the repeat units.

The first approach is to classify AARs according to the similarity among the repeat units. Based on this approach, AARs can be classified into two main groups: perfect repeats and imperfect repeats. The repeat units in perfect repeat fragments are identical, e.g. AAAAAAAA and PQPQPQPQ, whereas the repeat units in imperfect repeat
fragments are not exactly the same, e.g. AAWAAAA and QQQLQQFL. Imperfect repeats with highly variable, but still recognizable, repeat units are also called divergent repeats.

The second approach for repeat classification is based on the distance between adjacent units. AARs can be classified as tandem repeats (TRs) or non-tandem repeats (NTRs). The units in TRs are continuously distributed in the repeat sequence, whereas the units in NTRs are sequentially interspersed.

The third approach takes the complexity of the sequence pattern of the repeat units into consideration. Based on this approach, AARs can be roughly classified as simple repeats or complex repeats. Simple repeats generally refer to the continuous or interrupted runs of single amino acid residues or short peptides. The regions in a protein sequence containing simple repeats are often called simple sequences (SSs) or low complexity regions (LCRs). On the other hand, most of the complex repeats usually have sophisticated patterns of repeat units with variable lengths ranging from 10 to >100 residues, and these complex repeats patterns are frequently recognized as repeated protein domains (3).

In practice, it is rather difficult to strictly distinguish the different classes owing to the complicated patterns of AARs. For example, some domain repeats also contain SSs, such as the abundant leucine residues found in an LRR domain. And in the case of point mutations or insertions/deletions (INDELs), the original perfectly repeated units in proteins could gradually evolve into non-perfect tandem repeats (NPTRs).

The above approaches used to classify AARs are all based on the protein sequence. However, they are insufficient to reveal the biological significance of AARs, as proteins play their functional roles by folding into particular secondary and tertiary structures, which are difficult to deduce through amino acid patterns at sequence level. Data from several experiments show that proteins with similar tertiary structures may share low sequence identity (4,5). And similar functional domains of proteins do not necessarily correspond to recognizable sequence repeat patterns (3,6-8). Therefore, in-depth study of protein repeats requires better understanding of the correspondence of repeat sequences with their structures and functions. In addition, the acquisition of such biological knowledge is more sophisticated than simply classifying sequential repeat data.

2.3 Biological significance of different patterns of AARs

Biologically, different amino acid repeat patterns imply different functional and evolutionary backgrounds. Repeats with simple patterns, such as single AARs, mainly exist in intrinsically unstructured regions (IURs) of proteins (9,10). Such protein regions that do not fold into a 3D structure commonly have functions related to molecular recognition and molecular assembly (11,12). Single amino acid or trinucleotide repeats like polyQ are involved in neurodegenerative diseases such as HD.
(13), where their length variations often result in either loss of normal or gain of abnormal function (14,15).

Most SAARs are presumed to be originally derived from replicative DNA slippage (16) in the coding region. Expansion of some SAARs might also result from unequal chromosomal crossover, such as the polyA in the human HOX13 gene (17). In general, perfect amino acid runs are inherently mutable and are frequently interrupted by point mutations (18) to become simple sequences (19).

In addition to SAARs, sequential tandem repeats (PTRs and NPTRs) with highly similar units are prevalent in protein sequences. We have found that ~13% of all proteins deposited in the public protein databases contain at least one tandem repeat fragment. And >40% of the tandem repeats are PTRs, while ~60% PTRs are single amino acid runs (1). Errors in sequencing and automatic annotation procedures might have introduced some false-positive PTRs into the public protein knowledgebase. However, this cannot undermine the biological significance of frequently occurring PTRs in protein sequences, especially considering the fact that functional PTRs are being continuously experimentally identified, and most of them are conserved among orthologous proteins (20-22).

Consistent with this scenario, conservation of amino acid tandem repeats is a strong indication for biological relevance. The phylogenetically conserved repeat fragments among orthologous proteins should have a conserved function, such as the conserved polyQ regions in primate FOXP2 proteins (23). In contrast, however, variable repeat unit length in corresponding regions of orthologous proteins indicates a different scenario. These repeats are probably going through a rapid change driven by selection (24). More interestingly, tandem repeats have been shown to play an important role in micro-evolution by catalysing the rapid production of genetic and phenotypic variation among organisms (25-28).

Repeats with complex patterns have comparatively stable structures and conserved functions, which are generally called domain repeats. Domain repeats are among the most common protein motifs in the Pfam database (29), such as LRRs, Zinc finger repeats, Ankyrin repeats and Tetratricopeptide repeats (TPRs) (30). These domain repeats are mostly involved in transcription regulation, cell-cycle control and signal transduction (31-34) and widely spread in the proteomes of different species across different life kingdoms (35). Many genes containing these domain repeats in the coding region are significant in certain diseases (36), as sequence identity increases the chance of protein aggregation (37) and mis-folding. Domain repeats are thought to have evolved through internal gene duplications arising from recombination events (3,38), such as unequal crossing over (39) and exon shuffling (40). The duplications may involve several domains at a time (3,41) In addition, a number of specific sequence-based signals such as the ‘gate-keeper’ residues (41) play a crucial role in preventing
inter-domain aggregation. Therefore, these repeat patterns are generally obscure at sequence level, and a sophisticated search is required to detect them.

2.4 Repeat Detection Strategies

During the past decade, several strategies for the identification of AARs from protein sequences have been reported. Among these approaches, the three major ones are self-comparison, pattern recognition and complexity measurement. Table 2.1 shows the algorithms and publicly available tools including online resources that can be used to detect AARs of various types. In the following section, we will give a brief introduction to the amino acid repeat-detection strategies focusing on the general principles behind these strategies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2.2 Repeat detection algorithms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Method</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-comparison</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COACH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPRpred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPRO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat Finder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHrep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHrepID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pattern recognition</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPETITA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complexity measurement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMPLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Others</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSTREAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apriod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LocRepeat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPfind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reptile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUFFIX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NPTR=non-perfect tandem repeat; PPP=pseudo-periodic partitions.

2.4.1 The self-comparison strategy

One of the most intuitive strategies to detect repeat patterns in protein sequences is the self-comparison method. The idea of this approach is rather simple, i.e. comparing a
protein sequence to itself. Sequence comparison is a fundamental bioinformatics method that has been extensively used to search similar regions among biological sequences. The global sequence alignment method was first proposed in the 1970s (61) and focuses on finding the optimal alignment of two entire biological sequences using dynamic programming. Soon after, the Smith-Waterman local alignment algorithm (62) was developed to recognize the better aligned sub-regions between two sequences in order to show meaningful biological relevance.

On aligning a sequence with itself for the purpose of identifying repeat patterns, the sub-optimal alignments become obscured by the best (and most obvious) alignment. This optimal alignment should be excluded from the initial search. The reliability of identifying sub-optimal alignments of protein sequences using the dynamic programming method has been evaluated (62). A very distinguishing feature of this method is the use of a scoring system that gives scores to paired amino acids and penalties to unmatched gaps. Substitution matrices such as PAM (63) and BLOSUM (64) are the basis of the scoring system and represent the specific evolutionary relevance among different amino acids. More specifically tuned scoring matrices have also been proposed. These matrices take special features of amino acids such as polarity, electrostatic charge, structure, molecular volume and codon bias (65) into account. One of the greatest advantages of using a scoring system for identifying sub-optimal alignments is that statistical models can be applied to define reliable criteria (66,67).

In principle, the self-alignment repeat-detection methods are the extension of an alignment-based homology-detection approach. Thus, they have inherited characteristics that are more suitable for detecting divergent internal repeats in protein sequences. The units of these repeats generally have low identities and ambiguous boundaries, but share evolutionarily conserved sites or motifs, which are presumed to have crucial functions. As such, the accurate definition of repeat length and repeat number according to substantial biological significance is a sophisticated problem. And this is especially true for detecting repeat patterns without prior knowledge, also called ‘de novo’ repeat detection. On the other hand, the algorithms depending on prior knowledge, such as REP, COACH and TPRpred (42-44), generally search repeat patterns from sequence databases by profiles constructed with known repeat families using hidden Markov models (HMMs) (68). Therefore, the repeat patterns identified by these programs are usually well-known, and some of them are experimentally studied functional protein domain repeats.

It is generally believed that detecting repeat patterns with a self-alignment-based method is a feasible strategy. However, it also has some flaws and limitations. First, the computational complexity of performing self-alignment is high, as the general complexity for a sequence with n amino acids is $O(n^2)$ for both time and space. Fortunately, this problem is not too serious for protein sequences, as their average length is around 320 AA (69). And the computational capacity of current computer hardware is powerful enough to handle this problem within acceptable time and space.
In addition, several optimization strategies have been recently applied to sequence alignments, such as the implementation of the Smith–Waterman algorithm with the new technology of graphics processing units (GPUs) (70), and the parallel computing version of the REPRO (71) algorithm (72) can handle much longer sequences within a reasonable time.

One of the main purposes for detecting AARs is to find novel repeat patterns and infer their functional and evolutionary roles. As the majority of repeat patterns in protein sequences have not been well studied, de novo repeat-detection algorithms are more widely used, such as PEPRO, Internal Repeat Finder, RADAR, TRUST, HHrep and HHrepID (45-50,56,57). All of them identify repeats using the self-comparison strategy, but differ in some aspects. For example, Internal Repeat Finder assumes that the statistically significant sub-optimal alignment scores should have a Poisson distribution (47). TRUST uses the particular strategy on sub-optimal alignments, which could increase the chance and reliability to identify divergent repeats (46). HHrep (48) and its optimized version HHrepID (50) compares a sequence with itself by the HMM–HMM (73) strategy, which looks for the sub-optimal alignments using a profile HMM constructed by iterations of PSI-BLAST (74).

2.4.2 The pattern recognition strategy
The second strategy to detect AARs from protein sequences uses the conventional method of pattern recognition. The two main algorithms of this strategy are the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) and neural networks.

DFT has been widely applied in the research area of signal processing. Generally, it can decompose signals into constituent frequencies, so that the cryptic patterns hidden in the signals could be analysed intuitively. Early studies showed that DFT can be used to detect periodic patterns in collagen protein (75), but also has some fundamental difficulties which limit its usage (45). The accuracy of DFT-based methods is easily biased by the length variation of the repeat units caused by mutations or INDELs, as this will weaken the periodical pattern of the transformed Fourier spectral amplitudes.

Some recent algorithms make efforts to provide better discrimination on Fourier spectral amplitudes using newly developed methods. For example, REPETITA yields better accuracy than self-alignment methods on detecting protein solenoid repeats (51) by introducing several optimized strategies of the DFT-based method (51). In addition, the stationary wavelet packet transform has been widely used in bioinformatics and computational biology in recent years (76). As a state of the art optimization DFT algorithm (77), it has been shown to have good quality on detecting protein repeat patterns (78).

The neural network-based method is another well-studied pattern-recognition strategy, which is also capable of identifying similar patterns in protein sequences (79). A well-established neural network is able to associate homologous patterns in the protein
sequence with the input patterns and can be trained to adapt the patterns. Several neural network algorithms show good accuracy and time efficiency on protein homologue detection. LSTM is able to combine amino acid properties with patterns and does not rely on pre-defined scoring matrices for similarity measurements (52). The ARD neural network is designed to identify specific alpha-rod repeat patterns and has been applied to the analysis of Huntingtin protein sequences (53).

2.4.3 The complexity measurement strategy

The third approach of identifying AARs takes complexity measurement into consideration. LCRs are widely distributed in protein sequences. LCRs commonly contain particular repeat patterns that have continuous repetitions of very short units, such as the SAARs and cryptically simple sequences (19). Apparently, these repeats have special functional and evolutionary properties that differ from the repeats with more complex patterns and longer units. Their typical short unit length makes both the self-comparison and the pattern recognition-based strategies less well suited to identify LCR repeats efficiently.

Fortunately, several algorithms have been introduced to detect repeats involved in LCRs, most of them using a strategy to measure the complexity of sequences within a sliding window. As for complexity measuring, SIMPLE (19) awards simplicity score to the central amino acid of each window, and is most suitable for detecting short unit cryptic repeats. SEG (80), DSR (81), and CARD (82) are based on Shannon entropy (83), which displays several limitations when decoding complex protein sequences (43).

The main drawback of sliding windows-based algorithms is that they all require a pre-specified window size, and repeats that are longer or shorter than the window is not detectable. On the other hand, non-sliding window algorithms show more flexibility on detecting repeats in LCRs. GBA (54) constructs a graph for each protein sequence, and finds short subsequences as LCR candidates through traversing. Coronado et al. (84) introduce the composition-modified scoring matrices to identify LCRs within cell wall proteins of fungi. These algorithms are an important complement to the sliding window-based algorithms.

2.4.4 Other strategies

As described above, the self-comparison strategy and the pattern recognition strategy are mostly suitable for detecting divergent repeats, whereas the complexity measurement strategy is mostly suitable for detecting simple unit repeats. In addition, exclusive and optimized strategies for sequential tandem repeats are also particularly useful. Sequential tandem repeats implicated in the amino acid fragments with tandem repeat patterns are comparatively more explicit than divergent repeats. They are widely spread in many proteomes across wide taxonomic ranges, but are still insufficiently studied.
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Hamming distance (85) and edit distance, also called Levenshtein distance (86) are widely used for measuring the similarity of sequential tandem repeats (87-90). Differing from hamming distance, which only accounts for point mutations, edit distance-measuring algorithms also consider insertions and deletions. In addition, Apriod (56) and LocRepeat (57) focus on finding the ‘pseudo-periodic partitions’, which are gradually evolved patterns among repeat units. Given that NPTRs are originally evolved from PTRs, Xstream (55) and REPfind (58) detect NPTRs based on the extension of exact repeats seeds, which could decrease the computational complexity of both time and space.

Most of the repeat-detection algorithms can identify PTRs together with other repeat patterns incidentally. But as some of the PTRs are nested in larger NPTR fragments, which can hardly be distinguished by the common strategies, an exclusive algorithm for detecting PTRs is also necessary. For example, the suffix tree-based strategy is supportive to identify all PTRs in a protein sequence with linear time complexity (60). Reptile uses a ‘brute-force’ strategy to detect PTRs from the proteins of parasite antigens (59). Following the definition of statistically significant repeat runs in protein sequences (91), the cut-off sizes of five, four, three and two of the repeat unit repetitions are common criteria for identifying mono-amino, di-amino, tri-amino and all other repeats, respectively.

2.5 Summary and perspective

Identifying repeat patterns in proteins is the first step towards the understanding of their physiological function and evolutionary mechanism. During evolution, these patterns become so intricate that no single algorithm is adequate to identify all of them. There is no doubt that an in-depth investigation of their biological background is required to choose proper algorithms for the identification of specific patterns. In general, self-comparison algorithms are suitable to detect de novo repeats with complex patterns. Pattern recognition-based algorithms are suitable to detect repeats with low sequence identities but high intrinsic biological similarities. Complexity measurement-based algorithms can be applied to detect repeats with simple patterns involved in LCRs. For the tandem repeats that have more sequentially repetitive patterns, one should consider the strategies that measure the similarity of repeat units by edit or hamming distance.

The biological significance of protein repeats has been discussed for years. Internal duplication in genomes is one of the most important evolutionary mechanisms for species to adapt the environment (92-94). As a result, repetitive patterns at the DNA level such as interspersed microsatellites and tandem tri-nucleotide repeats are prevalent. Intragenic repeats are presumed to have potential roles on generating functional variability (95,96). Moreover, repeats in coding regions corresponding to AARs are more likely to go through adaptive competition (24,97,98). Therefore, protein repeats are increasingly considered interesting, with potentially large functional consequences (99). At the same time, their variable characters and involvement in disorder and diseases have been scientific puzzles for a long time. Frequently asked
questions are: are the characteristics of similar repeat patterns coherent in different proteomes across life kingdoms? Could the functional and evolutionary roles of certain repeats correspond to their particular characters, such as position bias, GC content constraints and codon usage? How could the conserved functions of particular repeats have been evolved by selection? And what structure and sequence-based strategies does the cell employ to prevent repeats from aggregation?

The insufficient understanding of protein repeats is not only due to the difficulty of identification, but also because of the lack of an integrated repository for large-scale investigation and comparison of repeats among a variety of proteomes across different kingdoms. To that end, we developed ProRepeat (http://prorepeat.bioinformatics.nl), which integrates non-redundant tandem repeats detected by several algorithms from the UniProt (69) and RefSeq (100) protein databases and offers powerful analysis tools for finding biologically interesting properties of query results. In addition, we also integrated ProRepeat with ProGMap - a tool we developed for the integration of annotation resources for protein orthology (101). With this set-up, we will be making large-scale orthologous comparisons on protein repeats over a broad taxonomy range especially eukaryotes in the near future.
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Abstract
ProRepeat (http://prorepeat.bioinformatics.nl/) is an integrated curated repository and analysis platform for in-depth research on the biological characteristics of amino acid tandem repeats. ProRepeat collects repeats from all proteins included in the UniProt knowledgebase, together with 85 completely sequenced eukaryotic proteomes contained within the RefSeq collection. It contains non-redundant perfect tandem repeats, approximate tandem repeats and simple, low-complexity sequences, covering the majority of the amino acid tandem repeat patterns found in proteins. The ProRepeat web interface allows querying the repeat database using repeat characteristics like repeat unit and length, number of repetitions of the repeat unit and position of the repeat in the protein. Users can also search for repeats by the characteristics of repeat containing proteins, such as entry ID, protein description, sequence length, gene name and taxon. ProRepeat offers powerful analysis tools for finding biological interesting properties of repeats, such as the strong position bias of leucine repeats in the N-terminus of eukaryotic protein sequences, the differences of repeat abundance among proteomes, the functional classification of repeat containing proteins and GC content constrains of repeats’ corresponding codons.

3.1 Introduction
Amino acid tandem repeats, as one of the most prevalent patterns in protein sequences, have inspired the interests of researchers for many years in terms of their pathological, functional and evolutionary roles. According to the patterns of units, repeats in proteins can be generally classified into several categories.

Single amino acid repeats (SAARs), also known as homo peptides, have the simplest repeat unit. Some of the SAARs have been extensively studied as they are involved in numbers of human neurodegenerative diseases, such as those with variable polyglutamines (polyQ) and polyalanines (polyA) (1). Since they are important modulation factors on protein–protein interactions (2,3), the insertions, deletions, substitutions, as well as growing or shrinking of the repeats result in either loss-of-function or gain of abnormal function (4,5) by altering the conformation of protein tertiary structures. As for other types of SAARs, leucine runs are mainly located in the N-terminus of eukaryotic proteins, which are presumed to be involved in the signal peptide (6). Higher frequency of proline repeats in mammalian proteomes is considered to significantly contribute to network evolution (7). In addition, histidine repeats play a crucial role in the localization of human proteins to the nuclear speckle compartment (8).

Amino acid tandem repeats with complex unit patterns have also been studied frequently. Different from SAARs, most of them are comparatively conserved in their structure. Well-known patterns include the leucine rich repeats (LRRs) that commonly act as the structural framework for the formation of protein-protein interactions (9), the ankyrin repeats that contain the binding site for the huge titin proteins that are involved
in muscle ultrastructure and elasticity (10,11), and the polyubiquitins that are synthesized as repetitive polyproteins (12).

Although the biological significance of particular amino acid tandem repeats has been demonstrated continually during the past years in several model organisms, no convincing conclusions can be drawn until now. Questions are posed on several aspects: Is the role of similar repeat patterns coherent in different proteomes across the kingdoms of life? Could the functional and evolutionary roles of certain repeats correspond to their particular characteristics, such as position bias, GC content constraints and codon usage? How could the conserved functions of particular repeats have evolved by natural selection? Why are repeats so common in protein sequences even under the scenario that their instable characteristics often cause disorder and disease (5,13,14)? And what are structural and sequence-based strategies to prevent repeats from possible aggregation (15,16)?

The dilemma of contradicting explanations of the role of repeats is partly because of the lack of repositories for large-scale investigation and comparison of repeats among the variety of proteomes across different kingdoms. Several databases of amino acid repeats were constructed during the recent decade. Unfortunately, some of these databases are no longer accessible or functional, such as COPASAAR (17), RepSeq (18) and ProtRepeatDB (19). As for the remaining ones, TRIPS gathered repeats generated from a very old version of SwissProt (year 1999) (20), RCPdb offers the codon usage bias data of homopeptides (SAARs) of 13 completely sequenced eukaryotic species (21), and the PolyQ database collects the sequences of all human proteins containing runs of seven or more glutamine residues (22).

To change the incompatible situation between the rapid increase of protein sequence data and the lack of a large scale, well-annotated protein repeat repository, we have constructed an online database of protein repeat sequences (ProRepeat, http://prorepeat.bioinformatics.nl/). ProRepeat recruits both perfect and approximate tandem repeats from all taxa of UniProtKB (23) and supplied by 85 complete sequenced and well annotated eukaryotic proteomes. ProRepeat also gathers the corresponding nucleotide sequences of the repeat fragments for the purpose of codon usage analysis. The latest update of ProRepeat is based on the datasets of UniProtKB release 2011_05 and RefSeq (24) release 40. An easy-to-use web interface was designed for users to query the database, and to perform statistical analyses on the query results. We believe that ProRepeat provides the user community with a useful resource for the exploration of function and evolution of protein repeats.

3.2 Repeat detection and dataset generation
We collect three types of repeat patterns including perfect tandem repeats (PTRs), approximate tandem repeats (ATRs) and simple sequences (SSs) in proteins. The PTRs were detected using an in house developed C/C++ procedure we implemented based on the suffix tree algorithm which identifies all perfect tandem repeats in a protein
sequence (25), the ATRs were detected by XSTREAM (26) and the SSs were detected by SIMPLE (27). Following the definition of statistically significant repeat runs in protein sequences (28), we used cutoffs of five, four, three and two of the repeat unit repetitions to identify mono-amino, di-amino, tri-amino and all other repeats, respectively.

It is possible that different algorithms identify repeats with the same unit and overlapped position in the same protein. To remove this redundancy, we developed a PL/SQL procedure which distinguishes between unique and overlapping repeats. The repeats datasets were merged followed by a sorting step based on the identifier of repeat containing proteins (RCPs), repeat unit and the position of the repeat. The repeats with the same unit and overlapping position within the same protein were merged into a single fragment. If the begin and end positions of these repeats were also the same, only one of them was retained as they were actually the same repeat identified by different algorithms. We classified perfect and approximate repeats separately and marked them as such in the database, so that the user can search them individually.

The repeat datasets were generated based on the protein entries collected in UniProtKB release 2011_05. For the convenience of comparative analysis, we also generated the repeat datasets of the completely sequenced eukaryotic proteomes based on RefSeq release 40. For the selection of completely sequenced eukaryotic proteomes, we obtained the list of the complete published eukaryotic organisms from the genomes online database (GOLD) (29). For each organism, we compared the number of ORFs given by GOLD with the number of proteins collected by RefSeq. If the two numbers were approximately consistent, i.e., the difference was <5%, we considered the proteome collected by RefSeq as complete and retrieved repeats from it. Thus, ProRepeat contains repeats from 85 complete sequenced eukaryotic proteomes including 14 vertebrates, 8 plants, 22 fungi, 12 insects and 29 other organisms. The gene ontology cross references of RCPs were generated based on GOA (30), and RefSeq annotations for gene ontology. The corresponding nucleotide sequences of the repeat fragments were obtained via EMBL and RefSeq cross-references within each UniProtKB and RefSeq protein entry, respectively.

3.3 The web interface
The ProRepeat database can be accessed using an intuitive web interface. An Introduction page provides information about the types of repeats that the database contains, the tools that were used to create the database, and the background of functional studies of repeats. The Statistics page lists several characteristics of the database, like the abundance of different repeat types across the different life kingdoms. The Help page offers practical examples to help users find interesting repeats and perform online analyses. On the Query page, users can search for repeats in one or more species, using annotations of RCPs including entry ID, protein description and gene name. Users can also specify the repeat unit, unit length, repeat sequence length, number of units and position of repeat in the protein sequence. For all the repeat units,
ProRepeat offers two additional options. For example, the repeat unit of two repeat fragments DEDEDEDE and EDEDEDED could be identified as DE and ED, and defined as isomorphic repeats. By switching on the ‘Isomorphic Unit Search’ option, users can obtain all cyclic permutations of this repeat pattern. With the ‘ProSite SyntaxSearch’ option, users can specify a regular expression as search pattern used by the ProSite database. In addition, ProRepeat classifies the repeats as PTRs and ATRs defined by the similarities of repeat units. Users can choose either ‘Perfect Tandem Repeats’, ‘Approximate Tandem Repeats’ or ‘All Repeats’ (both PTRs and ATRs).

Figure 3.1 The statistical analysis result of repeat properties for PTRs of all taxa in UniProt with the ‘Isomorphic Search’ option on, and the default evidence at protein level.
Users can query individual species of 85 eukaryotic complete proteomes obtained from RefSeq through their taxonomic names, or from broader taxonomic ranges collected from the UniProt Knowledgebase. The query results are displayed as interactive web pages in tabular format. Columns contain information about the position and length of the repeat, as well as the corresponding protein. Clicking on a repeat brings up a page with the corresponding DNA sequence and the codon usage pattern. Users can save the query results in Microsoft Excel format, or perform a secondary search using the query results. ProRepeat provides users with an online tool to perform statistical analyses on the query results. For example, the query results of PTRs from all species in UniProt at protein level can be analyzed to show various properties including the repeat abundance in different organisms, the gene ontology annotation of the RCPs, the position bias of repeats, the distributions of GC content of repeat codon, the unit length and repeat fragment length (Figure 3.1).

3.4 Preliminary Analysis of Protein Repeats

Based on UniProtKB datasets, ProRepeat gathers ∼3.75 million repeat fragments contained in 2 million RCPs from 0.1 million organisms. The distribution of repeats over eukaryota, bacteria, archaea and viruses are shown in Table 3.1. The relative repeat abundance normalized by the number of proteins of the different kingdoms indicates that eukaryotic proteins are four times more likely to have tandem repeats than prokaryotic proteins, and the possibility of having tandem repeats in viruses and prokaryotes is similar. This supports the idea that large numbers of protein repeats arose after the divergence of prokaryota and eukaryota (31).

Table 3.1 Repeat abundance in four kingdoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kingdom</th>
<th>Repeat Number</th>
<th>Repeat abundance (%)</th>
<th>Protein abundance (%)</th>
<th>Relative abundance (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eukaryota</td>
<td>1,163,368 ATR 1,195,655 63.10 27.2 2.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>498,071 705,575 32.20 63.9 0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaea</td>
<td>12,584 18,631 0.85 1.8 0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viruses</td>
<td>75,109 68,821 3.85 6.9 0.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aPercentage of repeats in four kingdoms, bPercentage of proteins in four kingdoms based on UniProtKB (0.2% unclassified entries are not listed), cPercentage of protein abundance divided by percentage of repeat abundance.

There is a long-standing debate about the roles of repeats in proteins. Some early viewpoints ascribe large amounts of SSs to ‘junk protein’ (32) as few of them have identified stable tertiary structures (33) and are thought to be non-functional. However, more and more evidences show that they are not just ‘junk’ peptide sequences (34) and might have particular function and structure (35). Important subsets of SSs, in particular cryptic and identical SAARs, have been reported to be actively evolving (36-38). As a result, the evolutionary footprint and functional implication of repeats which are being modulated by selection could be inferred from their properties. For example, in Drosophila and Arabidopsis, the RCPs are mostly involved in gene regulation, signaling and developmental processes, but significantly under-represented in the
process of DNA recombination and DNA replication. In addition, the positional distribution of repeats in proteins of Drosophila and Arabidopsis is also non-random (39,40).

Using ProRepeat, we made a comparison of repeat properties including repeat length, RCPs length, repeat position and repeat codon usage in model organisms across different kingdoms (Table 3.2). In general, glutamic acid (E), serine (S), glutamine (Q), proline (P), alanine (A) and leucine (L) are widely used by SAARs in all taxa, but the pattern varies between different taxa. For example, polyL and polyP are preferred by prokaryotes and eukaryotes, respectively; *Drosophila melanogaster* uses polyQ more frequently than most of the other organisms; polyE is extremely abundant in Hepatitis delta virus, and for human immunodeficiency virus, although polyE has the highest frequency, arginine (R) is actually the most commonly used amino acid (near 80%) when approximate SAARs are combined. When looking at the N-terminal perfect SAARs, polyL is the most popular especially in eukaryotes and bacteria, in which they play functional roles, for instance, in signal peptides (6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Most abundant SAARs(%)</th>
<th>N/C SAARs</th>
<th>GC1</th>
<th>GC2</th>
<th>L1</th>
<th>L2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>E(45.3), A(27.0), N(8.6)</td>
<td>SA/INP</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDV</td>
<td>E(99.6), P(0.4)</td>
<td>Na/Na</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>L(32.0), A(29.5), G(9.4)</td>
<td>LAT/GAV</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. subtilis</em></td>
<td>A(23.8), L(19.8), S(19.8)</td>
<td>LKA/KSG</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. fulgidus</em></td>
<td>E(22.0), V(18.0), L(18.0)</td>
<td>ER/KTL</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. jannaschii</em></td>
<td>E(25.9), K(22.2), L(11.1)</td>
<td>ILE/KGR</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. cerevisiae</em></td>
<td>S(24.0), Q(18.7), N(11.7)</td>
<td>SQN/KDQ</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. thaliana</em></td>
<td>S(27.2), G(12.3), P(11.5)</td>
<td>SLE/GES</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. elegans</em></td>
<td>S(14.9), T(13.8), Q(13.6)</td>
<td>SLQ/QGS</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>1103</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. melanogaster</em></td>
<td>Q(31.9), A(15.2), S(11.3)</td>
<td>QAS/QAS</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>1338</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. rerio</em></td>
<td>S(21.4), E(17.6), P(13.1)</td>
<td>LAG/ESK</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>1286</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. gallus</em></td>
<td>E(17.7), P(15.1), S(13.4)</td>
<td>LAG/ESK</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. musculus</em></td>
<td>E(19.2), P(14.6), A(11.6)</td>
<td>LAG/EPA</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. sapiens</em></td>
<td>E(16.0), P(16.0), A(14.3)</td>
<td>LAG/ESP</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/C SAARs, most abundant N- and C-terminal SAARs corresponding to 5% and 95% of RCP length, respectively; the middle point of the repeat fragments is defined as the position of repeats; GC1, genomic GC content; GC2, average GC content of repeat codon; L1, average length of RCPs; L2, average length of repeat fragments. Na: not available.

The positive correlation between GC content and genes rich in coding repeats has also been noticed in recent years (41-43), which suggests that the formation and evolution of coding repeats is constrained by sequence composition at the genome level. Other studies also indicate that the length of the coding sequence is directly proportional to higher GC content (44) as the stop codon has a bias toward A and T, thus the shorter the sequence the higher the AT bias (45). To investigate this, we used ProRepeat to compute the average GC content of repeat codons across taxa. The result shows that
the average GC content of repeat codons is much higher than the genome GC content in nearly all species (Table 3.2). This is especially true in eukaryotes which have higher repeat abundance than prokaryotes and viruses. On the other hand, although the average length of RCPs is much greater than the average length of proteins in different kingdoms, i.e. 361 AA in Eukaryotes, 267 AA in Bacteria and 247 AA in Archaea, respectively (46), the relationship between GC content and the length of RCPs is not very strong.

3.5 Future Directions
To cope with the fast development of genome sequencing and annotating, we have been keeping ProRepeat updated to the latest version of the protein databases UniProtKB and RefSeq protein. Furthermore, as our repeat integrating strategy merges different datasets generated by different algorithms, we will integrate more repeat patterns into ProRepeat detected by more algorithms in the future.

Comparing specific repeat fragments among orthologous RCPs is a widely used strategy to discover their potential evolutionary and functional roles. The former analysis across Drosophila, rodents and primates (13,37,39,42) shows its reliability. As ProRepeat contains data over a broad taxonomy range, it may serve as an excellent platform to perform orthologous analysis on repeats. To meet such requirements, we are currently integrating ProRepeat with ProGMap - the integrated annotation resource for protein orthology (47) we developed earlier. With this setup users can compare repeats among orthologous RCPs in ProRepeat.
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Abstract
The invention and application of Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) technologies have revolutionized the study of genetics and genomics. Much research that would not even be considered a decade before is now being executed in many laboratories as routine. In this chapter, we first introduce the development and consequences of NGS technology, including a comparison of the available NGS platforms and a summary of the rapidly accumulated genome sequencing data. Then we provide a perspective on how NGS-based methodologies have been applied to examine the genomes and proteomes of sorghum populations. Finally, we list NGS-based applications for further genetic improvement and breeding of sorghum.

4.1 Introduction
Decoding the genome of a species has become one of the most challenging tasks for biologists and bioinformaticians over the recent decades. It is essential to know an organism's genome sequence to understand all biological implications following the central dogma. The early genome sequencing projects mainly targeted viruses and bacteria (1,2) with small and compact genomes, as the experimental and computational sequence assembly procedures in those days were costly and time-consuming. With the development and optimization of the Sanger sequencing method (3) at the beginning of the 1990s, more and more eukaryotic organisms with larger and more complex genomes have been successfully sequenced. The first batch of sequenced organisms, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*, are regarded as model organisms. Knowing their genome was particularly relevant because these organisms are usually amenable to experimental manipulations such as cultivation, transformation, and inbreeding.

During this decade, exciting discoveries have been published based on the study of genomes. Sequencing the genomes of more essential and particular species, such as human beings, became possible. With the efforts of numerous scientists, the Human Genome Project (HGP), one of the most significant international collaborative scientific projects in human history, spent at least 30 years and three billion US$. The success of the HGP started a new era of genome sequencing and computational biology. It determined the three billion nucleic acid bases and the ~19,000 genes (4) in the human genome and drastically improved bioinformatics tools and algorithms for data analysis.

Furthermore, the competition and cooperation through the HGP project also stimulated the rapid progress of industrial sequencing technologies. As a result, several massively parallel DNA sequencing platforms were marketed soon after the HGP finished, employing a similar sequencing-by-synthesis strategy with different single-molecule amplification protocols (5). The new platforms, which are commonly called NGS machines, included 454, Illumina, and SOLID. They significantly increased the sequencing throughput with a dramatic decrease in instrumental and labor expenses. With the wide use of NGS technologies, the average cost of sequencing declined from approximately $1 per base to less than $1 per million bases.
Consequently, more and more laboratories choose to employ NGS-based methods for research, in addition to the traditional low throughput protocols. At the same time, the large data volumes generated by NGS platforms brought many new problems in terms of quality control, manipulation, storage, analysis and sharing of data. Many bioinformatics algorithms and tools have been developed to process the NGS data. The combination of these opportunities and challenges led to the development of the interdisciplinary research field.

### 4.2 The Rapid increase of genome sequencing projects

The recent years have witnessed an explosive expansion of genome sequencing projects promoted by the rapid development of sequencing technologies. According to published statistics from Genome Online Database (GOLD) (6), a steep increase in the number of genome sequencing projects appeared around 2011 when the NGS technologies matured (Figure 4.1). However, the growth slowed down in the wake of the peak due to the inherited read length limitations of the NGS platforms. As genomes with highly repetitive content cannot be assembled completely from short reads, many of them remained in a draft state with numerous unfilled gaps and thousands of unplaced scaffolds (contigs). The turning point came after 2015, owing to the development of the third-generation sequencing (TGS) technologies and novel scaffolding approaches, such as the chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) (7) and BioNano optical maps (8). These new technologies triggered a new wave of genome sequencing projects.

![Image: Genome Totals in GOLD (by year and status)](image)

**Figure 4.1 The rapid increase of genome sequencing projects**
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Figure 4.2 Genome Projects according to Phylogenetic Groups

The statistics (June 2022; https://gold.jgi.doe.gov/statistics) show a total of 472,698 sequencing projects. More than 30,000 new sequencing projects have been started during 2020 despite the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic at the beginning of the year. Along with the bacterial genome projects, the increasing number of more complex eukaryotic genomes and metagenomes is noticeable (Figure 4.2)

4.3 Next generation sequencing methods

4.3.1 From first-generation sequencing to the second-generation sequencing
First-generation sequencing protocols such as the Sanger method were widely used in many important sequencing projects in the early days. Over the past decades, Sanger sequencing has been improved to achieve read lengths up to ~1,000 bp, per-base accuracy as high as 99.999%, and cost as low as $0.50 per kilobase (5). Nevertheless, the Sanger protocol cannot fulfill the requirements of current genome sequencing applications. Promoted by the rapid progress of instrument and algorithm development, several second (also termed as next-generation) generation (NGS) sequencing platforms have been developed and are now available.

4.3.2 NGS platforms
The key steps in the next-generation sequencing protocol generally include DNA sample preparation, DNA sample fragmentation, DNA fragment amplification, sequencing by synthesis (SBS) of the DNA fragments - commonly called reads - and assembly of the sequenced reads by bioinformatics tools. Several frequently used sequencing platforms are reviewed below.
Table 4.1 Comparison of frequently used sequencing platforms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>3730XL</th>
<th>HiSeq 2000</th>
<th>Ion Torrent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amplification</td>
<td>Clonal plasmid</td>
<td>Bridge PCR</td>
<td>emPCR on bead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>DCT(^a)</td>
<td>Pyro-sequencing</td>
<td>H(^+) detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument cost</td>
<td>$376k</td>
<td>$690k</td>
<td>$67.5k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yields per run</td>
<td>1.9-84 kb</td>
<td>600 Gb</td>
<td>1 Gb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read length</td>
<td>800-900 bp</td>
<td>100 bp</td>
<td>200 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reagent cost</td>
<td>$96</td>
<td>$23,610</td>
<td>$925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Mb</td>
<td>$1600-$2400</td>
<td>$0.04-$0.07</td>
<td>$1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error rate</td>
<td>0.1-1%</td>
<td>&gt; 0.1%</td>
<td>~ 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantage</td>
<td>Low cost of small study</td>
<td>Most output at lowest cost</td>
<td>Fast run, low cost, and trajectory to longer read</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantage</td>
<td>High cost for large study</td>
<td>High capital cost and computation need</td>
<td>Unreliable for long homopolymer region</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data are obtained from (9,10) and official documentations of Illumina and Life Technology.

\(^{a}\)Dideoxy chain termination

The Illumina/Solexa amplifies DNA fragments by a bridge amplification approach (9) and can yield large amounts of data per run with a very low error rate. Illumina is commercially successful in the sequencing market as it launched different products to suit different scales of sequencing applications, such as the HiSeq and Miseq series platforms. As a result, Illumina has become the most widely used platform. The Ion Torrent from Life Technologies is another platform that uses semiconductors instead of optical devices in the SBS step (11). It does not detect the light signal from fluorescent dyes but measures the pH change resulting from the release of the H\(^+\) ion. The sequencing running cycle of Ion Torrent is the shortest among all the NGS platforms, so it has been used with some urgent sequencing applications for small genomes like pathogenic viruses or bacteria (12).

4.3.3 Third-generation sequencing

The main limitations of the current NGS platforms are the DNA fragmentation and amplification steps. The random fragmentation and PCR process inevitably introduces bias due to the specific characters of certain genomes, such as GC content and repeat content. To solve these problems, some post-modern generation (also called third generation) sequencing strategies have been developed. During the most recent decade, third-generation sequencing technologies matured to generate long reads spanning over 10 kb directly reading from DNA and RNA molecules. Two technologies currently dominate the long-read sequencing space: Pacific Biosciences (PacBio) single-molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing (13) and Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) nanopore sequencing (14). The ONT platform can generate longer reads, reaching 60 kilobytes (kb) in average length with 95 to 98% modal accuracy. PacBio high-fidelity (HiFi) reads, although shorter (~15 kb), are >99% accurate (Table 4.2). Long-read sequencing offers several advantages over short-read sequencing to improve \textit{de novo}
Table 4.2 Comparison of frequently used long-read sequencing platforms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Pacific Bioscience Sequel II</th>
<th>Oxford Nanopore PromethION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>Detecting fluorescence events that correspond to the addition of one specific nucleotide by a polymerase</td>
<td>Measuring ionic current fluctuations when single-stranded nucleic acids pass through biological nanopores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principle</td>
<td>Fluorescent</td>
<td>Electrical Conductivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>~30kb (HiFi reads ~15kb)</td>
<td>~60kb (Ultra-long reads &gt;800kb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Read length (bases)</td>
<td>600Gb</td>
<td>250Gb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output/flow cell</td>
<td>~$500,000</td>
<td>~$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Accuracy</td>
<td>HiFi reads &gt; 99%</td>
<td>R10 modal &gt;98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Higher sequencing accuracy in HiFi model</td>
<td>Fast-sequencing; allows direct detection of DNA modifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>Expensive and larger footprint of the sequencing equipment</td>
<td>Historically higher error rate (continues to improve)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data are obtained from (17) and official documentation of Pacific Biosciences and Oxford Nanopore technologies.

assembly, mapping certainty, transcript isoform identification, and detection of structural variants (15,16).

4.3.4 NGS applications and analysis protocols

A complete sequencing project includes not only the generation of the DNA fragment reads, but also the assembly of these reads and the interpretation of the resulting sequence information. According to different biological questions, experimental designs and analysis protocols, NGS applications can be roughly divided into de novo sequencing and assembly, genome re-sequencing, and RNA-sequencing.

De novo sequencing assembles large numbers of reads without prior knowledge of the genome. It is a process similar to constructing a jigsaw puzzle without knowing the whole picture. Early Sanger sequencing applications usually followed large-scale shotgun assembly strategies to deal with the problem, which generally generates relatively low (~10x) coverage of reads and employs the Overlap-Layout-Consensus (OLC) approach to make the assembly. OLC is an intuitive algorithm, which includes three general steps: find Overlapping reads (O), Layout overlapping reads (L) and infer the Consensus sequence (C) (18). The combination of Sanger sequencing and the OLC protocol may give a good result but at a very high computational cost, which becomes one of the main limiting factors of its application range. The wide use of the NGS platforms significantly pushed forward de novo sequencing projects, as the sequencing cost dropped dramatically. Although the reads generated by commonly used NGS platforms are still not as long as those found by the Sanger method, new sample preparation and assembly strategies have been developed to solve the problem. The NGS short reads' most widely used assembly algorithm is based on the De Bruijn Graph (DBG). DBG assembly uses graph theory to infer the genome sequence from the De Bruijn graph formed by all the k-mers contained in all reads. Although the strategy of
using NGS short reads for genome assembly was debated (19,20), more and more *de novo* assemblies of large-scale genomes have been carried out in this way (21,22).

With the rapid increase of available assembled genomes and the concomitant decrease in sequencing cost, the range of NGS applications is no longer limited to *de novo* sequencing. Instead, it has extended to genome re-sequencing. The variations between individual genomes within a species, represented by single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), have been described earlier (23,24). However, early research on SNP identification was mainly based on Expressed Sequence Tag (EST) sequences and array data (25,26), making it very costly for large scale genome-wide mapping. As the sequence quality of these data is usually not high, its use is also limited by the high false-negative rates. NGS technologies have brought a big change to this application, as it allows fast and large-scale parallel identification of mutations between individuals or lines with a reasonable cost. It has been used to perform genome re-sequencing on natural strains of model organisms (27) and to identify SNPs and insertions and deletions (indels) with bioinformatics methods (28). With the development of new analysis tools (21,29) and an increase in computational capacity, mapping more specific genome-wide patterns of genetic variation gradually became the standard re-sequencing procedure, including not only SNPs but also indels, Copy Number Variations (CNVs) and Structural Variations (SVs).

The study of the transcriptome is another application extensively promoted by the spread of NGS technologies. Compared to the DNA microarray, which was the only choice for large-scale gene expression analysis in the early days, the NGS based RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) protocol has advantages in many aspects. First, it can overcome the limitations of microarray-based protocols regarding background signal noise interference and the inconsistency of the expression level among different experimental replicates. The results of RNA-Seq also show high levels of reproducibility, for both technical and biological replicates (30). Furthermore, computational methods designed for RNA-seq data can discover new transcripts and alternative-spliced forms (31,32), which could extend the application scope of DNA microarray. Currently, RNA-seq applications aim not only at the study of mRNAs, but also at noncoding RNAs and small RNAs; not only to the transcriptional structure of genes, but also to their post-transcriptional modifications.

Besides the above applications, other newly invented NGS-based methods are also becoming popular, focusing on different biological questions. For example, directly sequencing the cytosine methylome (methylC-seq) is developed to map the epigenetic regulations. In plants, relevant work has been done in Arabidopsis (33,34), which sheds new light on how plants respond to the environment. Other NGS applications such as chromatin immune-precipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) (35) and whole exome sequencing of target-enriched genomic DNA (exome-seq) (36) are also widely used by more and more researchers.
4.4 NGS application in sorghum crop improvement

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) ranks the fifth most-grown cereal among the principal crops worldwide (USDA 2020). It feeds over 500 million people in nearly 100 countries (37), with an estimated 60 million tons produced yearly on 44 million hectares, making it a remarkable target for genetic improvement. Although this African-originated cereal (38) is grown as a food crop mainly in arid and semi-arid regions, due to its efficiency to produce high biomass under harsh conditions with low inputs (39), it has recently been explored for biofuel production fields, especially sweet sorghum, which accumulates fermentable sugars in the stem. This biofuel-associated trait makes sorghum a versatile crop providing food, feed, fiber and fuel. This C4 plant, belonging to the Poaceae subfamily (grass), which also includes maize, millet, sugarcane, miscanthus and switchgrass, is becoming a model system for biofuel crops and C4 carbon-fixing plants, justifying its early sequencing as the third plant in 2007 (40,41).

4.4.1 Sorghum genome

Rice has been the first fully-sequenced cereal plant (International Rice Genome Sequencing Project 2005). Given its importance as a crop and model plant, its genome is representative of C3 carbon-fixing grasses. As a C4 crop, one of the critical reasons for the sorghum genome sequencing by Patterson et al. (40) is its relatively small genome of 730 Mb compared to more complex and duplicated genomes such as that of the ancient allotetraploid maize (2.3 Gb) (42), believed to have diverged from sorghum 11.9 Mya (43). The BTx623 genotype was used as a reference and sequenced by Sanger Whole Genome Shotgun (WGS), a method also used for earlier genome sequencing projects including *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Arabidopsis Genome Initiative 2000) and *Oryza sativa* (International Rice Genome Sequencing Project 2005). The homozygous and diploid sorghum genome contains 34,496 genes over ten chromosomes, with about 28,000 *bona fide* annotated genes which have orthologues in rice, Arabidopsis and poplar (40). The increased larger sorghum genome size, as compared to the rice genome (roughly 400 Mb), is attributed to the significant proliferation of retrotransposon elements leading to a high repeat content (62%). The number and duplication level of genes and intron and exon sizes are similar to those described in rice or even Arabidopsis (Table 4.3). Despite the high number of genes conserved between sorghum and other sequenced plants, 24% of genes families revealed by the sequencing study are grasses-specific, while 7% are found to be species-specific (40). Ten years later, an improved version of the genome was published. Compared with the original reference genome, the new reference genome size added 29.6 Mb, the number of annotated genes increased by 24% to 34,211, and the sequencing error rate was reduced to 1 per 100 kb (44).

The availability of the sorghum genome sequence has provided new perspectives for sorghum improvement. Enhancing the understanding and knowledge of the genome is an essential step towards analyzing agronomic traits and the physiological progress involved in expressing these desirable traits. The sequenced genome combined with
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NGS technologies has accelerated the pace of scientific discovery, leading to more routine utilization of NGS to analyze sorghum transcript expression, genome variations and grasses evolution (40,43,45,46).

Thanks to the development of TGS technologies, more chromosome-level de novo assembly were generated from other sorghum elite lines with ONT (14) and PacBio (13) platforms. In addition to the high-quality genome sequences from individual sorghum lines, we recently constructed the first sorghum pan-genome, consisting of the genome sequences assembled de novo from 16 diverse sorghum lines. This pan-genome sequence has a size of 955 Mb and contains 44,079 gene families. The percentage of dispensable genes in the sorghum pan-genome is much higher than that reported in Oryza sativa (54%), Glycine max (49%) and Brachypodium distachyon (45%), indicating that sorghum may have greater genetic diversity (47).

4.4.2 Genotyping-by-sequencing in sorghum

Advances in NGS sequencing platforms have accelerated the pace of genetic variation discovery and genotyping, which was previously performed through microarray platforms. Genetic variants are defined by sequence variant types, including SNPs, indels, microsatellites (Simple Sequence Repeats, SSRs) and transposable elements. Structural variations are also considered genetic variations and are designated as large-scale insertions/deletions, i.e., Presence/Absence Variations (PAVs) and Copy Number Variants (CNVs). Genetic variation is a helpful resource in plant breeding. It is responsible for observed phenotypic variation (45,48,49) and can be used to develop molecular markers towards molecular breeding programs, functional and evolutionary studies, association mapping (50,51) or a wide range of other applications. SNPs are now the most commonly used molecular markers in plant breeding and genetic studies but are limited to major crops due to the time and cost associated with their discovery, validation and utilization when processed by traditional molecular methods. SNPs are revealed by comparing two DNA sequences from two or more different accessions. NGS, combined with the accessibility of entire genome sequences, has directly characterized genetic variants at a reduced cost. Whole-genome SNP discovery and genotyping can now be easily accomplished for small genomes via NGS, termed genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) (52-55).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4.3 Features of the sorghum genome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcript</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from http://genome.jgi-psf.org/Sorbi1/Sorbi1.info.html
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Application of genotyping-by-sequencing in sorghum was first reported by Nelson et al. (56), where they sequenced three DNA libraries generated from eight grain sorghum accessions and aligned the resulting 247 M reads to the BTx623 reference genome. They found a higher SNPs distribution at the chromosome ends due to a high content of repetitive sequences near the centromeres. The SNP frequencies detected in sorghum are similar to those described in other plants. Indel discovery revealed higher proportions of in-frame indels than frame-shifting indels and a nonsynonymous-to-synonymous ratio of about 0.8, significantly lower than those calculated for soybean or rice but comparable to Arabidopsis (57-59).

Thus far, sequencing studies in sorghum for genetic variation discovery have been limited to grain sorghum. As sweet sorghum cultivars are gaining interest for biofuel production thanks to the high content of fermentable sugars in their tall stems, understanding the genetic patterns involved in these biofuel-associated traits is the first step towards breeding programs targeting these traits. Zheng et al. (60) conducted a genome-wide genetic variation study using GBS to identify genome regions and metabolic pathways potentially implicated in the sweet sorghum phenotype, found in several local races of bicolor species (61) but so far not genetically distinguished by diversity studies (60,62,63). The cited study informs on the re-sequencing of two sweet sorghum lines, Keller and E-Tian, and a Chinese kaoliang grain sorghum, Ji2731, to compare the sequence polymorphism and structural variants by aligning to the reference sorghum genome. The whole-genome shotgun strategy and Illumina sequencing used in this study yielded 620,72 million 44 bp paired-ends reads, detecting an overall of 1,057,018 SNPs, 99,948 indels, 16,487 PAVs and 17,111 CNVs among the four sorghum cultivars (Fig. 4.3). Fourteen gene families were enriched with large effect SNPs, which are predicted to have a potentially disabling effect on gene function, comprising families involved in biotic and abiotic stress responses. Indel proportions were 9.7%, 75.7% and 14.6% in coding, intronic and UTR regions, respectively, with lengths between 1–10 bp. Larger deletions were less common, with a higher abundance of 3 bp indels detected over the three lines. Frame-shifting indels were rarely encountered in coding regions. Indels and PAVs were observed within the same gene families where large-effect SNPs were located. The average length of PAVs was 2,394 bp, with 1,416 PAVs found in coding regions. CNVs ranged between 2 kb to 48 Mb in length, with 13,427 gains compared to 3,684 losses. A total of 1,442 genes discriminating sweet and grain sorghum participate in particular pathways, including starch and sucrose metabolism, lignin and coumarin biosynthesis associated phenylpropanoid biosynthesis.

As described in previous sections, extensive studies have been conducted on sorghum genetic variations, relationships with non-cultivated sorghum species, evolution, and genome-wide association studies (GWAS). Although data was released on sorghum diversity in the last decades, a limited number of molecular markers and the number and provenance of the sorghum accessions tested have restricted the use and integration
Figure 4.3. Genome-wide variation between three sweet and grain sorghum lines (60). Gene density of chromosomes is visualized by line intensity; the more genes on a chromosome region, the darker the color. The purple and blue colors in the CNV ring represent copy number gain and loss, respectively. For PAVs, the green color stands for the absence of variation, pink for the presence of variation.

of these data for breeding applications due to a lack of sensitivity and resolution to detect many associations.

As discussed in work conducted by Zheng et al. (60) and Nelson et al. (56), new sequencing platforms are bringing new approaches for analyzing genetic variation and SNP discovery in sorghum germplasm. Morris et al. (64) re-sequenced 971 sorghum accessions, combining landraces, breeding material and wide relatives using the Illumina Genome Analyser IIx/HiSeq platform, generating a total of 6.13 million unique 64 bp reads, 95% of which were aligned to the sorghum genome. They identified a total of 265,487 SNPs, equivalent to one SNP every 2.7 kb of the genome; 72% of these were within genes, 99% were located within 10 kb from 27,412 bona fide sorghum genes. High sorghum genome coverage with sufficient SNP markers dramatically increases the resolution for GWAS application, with an estimated minimum of 100,000 SNPs needed to achieve sufficient resolution in sorghum (65). As an example, application in GWAS, strong associations were found in this study for plant height components and inflorescence architecture. The sorghum population structure according to morphological characters and geographic regions proposed
before was also validated through re-sequencing; the evolution of the sorghum races during domestication and crop spreading among several regions displaying different agroclimatic environments was also validated. As a result of this diversity study, it was shown that agroclimatic conditions and stresses had played a significant role, as crucial as geographic isolation, in shaping the diffusion process. Moreover, several domestication-related candidate genes were detected, such as the transcription factor opaque 2 and a region on chromosome 2 harboring a dwarf gene (66). This study resulted in a high number of SNP markers within genes, providing potential tools for Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS) or GWAS application to be integrated into breeding programs as well as for in situ sorghum germplasm conservation. Further increasing the number of sorghum accessions re-sequenced will provide a deeper understanding of genetic patterns between sorghum accessions.

4.4.3 Analysis of the sorghum transcriptome with NGS technologies

NGS applications in sorghum rapidly started after the completion of the genome sequence of BTx623. Transcriptome analysis allows the capture of gene expression variation between tissues and genotypes that control important traits. Transcriptome profiles can be regarded as heritable quantitative traits segregating in a population. Before the sorghum genome sequence release and NGS, transcriptome analyses in sorghum were conducted by cDNA microarrays, measuring in responses to several biotic and abiotic stresses, including the effects of PEG-induced osmotic stress, exogenous abscisic acid (ABA), salt, jasmonic acid and wounding by insects (67-69). As in other organisms, microarray technology has been a high-throughput method of choice in the last decade for transcriptome analysis of sorghum but is now replaced by RNA-seq, providing a deeper insight into plant gene expression and RNA sequences in general, permitting novel genome annotation and non-coding RNA discovery.

4.4.3.1 Transcriptome analysis for abiotic stress response studies

Drought tolerance is a significant trait of interest in sorghum; after millet, sorghum is the most drought-tolerant crop. However, little work has been done on the physiological processes and gene networks involved in this trait in sorghum, in contrast to extensive studies carried out for drought tolerance in rice (70-72), maize (73-75) or the model plant Arabidopsis (76-81). Buchanan et al. (67) studied gene expression response to ABA and PEG-induced osmotic stresses using microarray and revealed 12,982 involved genes. Dugas et al. (82) conducted a whole-genome transcriptome analysis on sorghum using the Illumina GAIIx sequencer. They produced 689.5 million reads of 50 bp, of which 87% were mapped to the sorghum genome: 72% in exons, 3% in introns, 10% in intergenic regions and 15% in splice junctions. Of the 34,144 sorghum genes detected, 84% showed a transcriptional activity. Of these, 92% corresponded to bona fide high confidence protein-coding genes. They also found that between 2,300 and 1,650 transcripts were upregulated, and 2,600 and 700 were downregulated by ABA and osmotic stress, respectively. Further study of the affected transcripts revealed that 29 of the 60 genes studied were considered putative or unannotated, and others were
possibly involved in abscisic acid and 13-lipoxygenase, salicylic acid, jasmonic acid and plant defense pathways.

4.4.3.2 Transcriptome analysis for biotic stress response studies

Another recent deployment of NGS was reported by Mizuno et al. (83) to identify and characterize key genes responsible for resistance to a fungal pathogen. Target leaf spot, caused by the necrotrophic fungus Bipolaris sorghicola (84), is a main foliar disease in sorghum when grown under humid conditions, damaging plant biomass yield. When infected by the fungus, sorghum produces a unique class of phytoalexins, named 3-deoxyanthocyanidins (85). Whole transcriptome sequencing was carried out on BTx623 infected by Bipolaris sorghicola, generating 34 million reads containing 7,674 unannotated transcripts at 6,063 different loci. Differentially expressed transcripts encode genes responsible for biosynthesis of molecules such as 3-deoxyanthocyanidin, or for enzymes catalyzing reactions producing suberin, an important component on the lipophilic cell wall barrier. In response to the pathogen infection, they found that the TCA cycle changed its function from energy production to cell wall components biosynthesis. Also, amino-acid metabolizing enzyme encoding genes were upregulated, activating the phytoalexin synthesis and the sulfur-dependent detoxification pathway.

4.4.3.3 Transcriptome analysis of miRNA components in sorghum

Small RNAs, defined as miRNAs between 18 to 25 nucleotides, are valuable elements of the transcriptome. Once transcribed from DNA, these small RNA fragments methylate to form the complex miRNA:miRNA hybrid (perfect or imperfect) and are loaded onto the RNA induced silencing complex. They act as the regulator by repressing targeted mRNAs, leading to their degradation inside the cytoplasm (86-90). They have been well described in several major crops, with 213 miRNA families reported for Arabidopsis and another 462 miRNAs described and characterized in rice (91,92). Before 2011, only conserved miRNAs had been predicted in sorghum, but none of the studies thus far had revealed novel miRNAs (40,93). Calviño et al. (41) were the first to publish on miRNA characterization in sorghum after a transcriptome study. They exploited the SOLID 3 platform to sequence small RNA fragments extracted from stem tissues at the flowering time of two cultivars (BTx623, grain sorghum and Rio, a sweet sorghum cultivar) and an F2 population segregating for the flowering time and stem sugar content. The sequencing output provided 38,336,769 sequence reads, 60% mapping to the BTx623 genome. Sorghum miRNAs were found with a higher abundance of 25 and 24 nt length classes, and a second peak at 22 nt, higher than those for the 20 and 21 nt classes, was also reported. The sorghum genome sequencing predicted 149 miRNAs belonging to 27 miRNA families (40) while Calviño et al. (41) detected miRNA members from 25 families, with a higher concentration from miR172 family accounting for 6% of the total reads and 15% in the BTx623 library. They also discovered nine new miRNAs.

Shortly after, studies (94,95) discovered 13 novel miRNA families, including seven also conserved in related monocots, and measured their temporal expression and
mRNA targets. They sequenced small RNAs of 18 to 26 nt from a 3-week-old sweet sorghum plant (M81E). Among the 619,010 sequence reads generated, they noticed a 24 nt miRNA abundance peak and a 21 nt peak. They obtained a total of 113 conserved miRNA homologs belonging to 31 miRNA families and, unlike the previous study, the most abundantly expressed miRNA family was miR166. The miR169 and miR444 families are represented with 14 and 12 members, respectively. About 100 genes targeted by the miRNAs were predicted, the majority of which were transcription factor encoding genes and genes likely involved in growth and development processes, nutrient translocation, assimilation pathways as well as responses to biotic and abiotic stresses. Of the 25 predicted target genes, two were validated and annotated in the sorghum genome, underlining the use of NGS for the discovery of novel genes through miRNA sequencing. These studies provide a better understanding of the spatiotemporal regulation of target genes towards the improvement for biomass accumulation, biotic and abiotic stresses and biofuel-associated traits.

The mRNA-sequencing technologies are becoming vastly popular for sorghum transcriptome studies due to several advantages. RNA transcript sequencing provides information on all transcribed genes, sequences, and expressions without genomic sequencing information. Furthermore, these transcript sequences are useful resources towards genome-wide and comparative studies on ortholog genes, species evolution, species-specific novel transcripts and gene discovery. As such technologies also reveal distinct expression levels between duplicated genes, it is a method of choice for studying duplicated genomes such as sorghum.

4.5 Perspectives of NGS in sorghum breeding

NGS has revolutionized the field of plant breeding by enabling the sequencing of crop genomes, discovery of polymorphisms, gene expression studies, genotyping breeding populations, diversity studies and GWAS. Moreover, breeding population development and typing have indirectly evolved as a result of these newer and faster genetic techniques, allowing exploration of genetic variation at a larger scale and lower cost. NGS technologies provide large volumes of genetic data, improving read length and data accuracy, which opens doors toward a broad range of applications in plant sciences, including more complex genomes such as wheat (96).

4.5.1 Next-generation populations

As discussed in the previous sections, essential molecular biology tools previously employed in plant breeding were rapidly replaced by NGS tools. When basic plant breeding populations were limited to simple crosses involving two contrasting parents, this restricted the number of polymorphisms screened and rare alleles detected. New populations, so-called next-generation populations, have recently been designed. Nested Association Mapping (NAM) populations are an excellent example of these. Developed through crossing diverse accessions with a reference parent, NAM populations have been successful as new breeding material in maize (97,98). Another
example is the multi-parent advanced generation inter-cross (MAGIC) populations, which result from intercrossing multiple parents to form better populations (99).

Although next-generation populations are replacing traditional mapping populations, the approach will need to be adapted to each crop as they differ in their reproductive systems. For instance, strictly selfing crops display substantial barriers while intercrossed. Thus, a particular population needs to be designed, taking into account the special physiological properties of the studied crop.

4.5.2 Molecular marker development
As sequenced genomes are becoming available for most major crops, the development of massive numbers of molecular markers is now becoming feasible with DNA/RNA sequencing approaches, especially for SNP and SSR marker systems, which are commonly used for diversity and Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) mapping studies. With increasing read depth of NGS, SNP detection accuracy is proportionally improving. Using NGS for SSR discovery will be less time consuming than traditional methods. Genome resequencing with NGS such as Roche 454 or Illumina GA has already been described as highly successful and effective for generating thousands of molecular markers for crops by alignment to reference genome sequences. Extensive collections of molecular markers will be highly desired for genetic map construction, GWAS, and diversity studies. Moreover, GBS technology will soon replace laborious laboratory work for discovering and selecting polymorphism markers over parental breeding lines, calculating recombination frequencies and ordering markers on physical maps. GBS is increasing the likelihood of finding and tagging causal polymorphisms on physical maps by generating tens of thousands of usable markers.

Resequencing platforms, together with sequenced genomes, are valuable tools and resources to speed up the discovery of thousands of novel genetic variants among genomes. These variations can be located in agronomic trait-controlled genome regions, and they can be applied in breeding programs to track desirable characters. Using NGS in breeding programs will identify genome-wide diversity even if this was not phenotypically recorded. It could be useful in breeding genetic materials for diverse environments.

4.5.3 QTL mapping and association mapping
SNP and SSR markers discovered through NGS can be used for QTL mapping. With a higher number of mapped markers, the probability of obtaining markers linked or close to genes controlling a QTL increase, which also increases QTL mapping power and accuracy for the tight association. However, since bi-parental QTL mapping is based on segregation between two parents, association mapping (GWAS) has been used for many crops due to NGS technologies. GWAS allows the discovery of genetic differences in extensive germplasm collections and can be directly introduced into breeding programs to map complex traits when many molecular markers are available.
In conclusion, the emergence and maturation of NGS technologies allow the sorghum breeding community to explore genetic and genomic diversity at an unprecedented pace and scale. Many research activities in sorghum research and breeding unimaginable ten years ago can now be performed routinely. It dramatically enhances the genetic improvement and breeding of ideotypes for this important crop.
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CHAPTER 5
SorGSD: a sorghum genome SNP database


Abstract

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) is one of the most important cereal crops globally and a potential energy plant for biofuel production. In order to explore genetic gain for a range of important quantitative traits, such as drought and heat tolerance, grain yield, stem sugar accumulation, and biomass production, via the use of molecular breeding and genomic selection strategies, knowledge of the available genetic variation and the underlying sequence polymorphisms is required.

Based on the assembled and annotated genome sequences of *Sorghum bicolor* (v2.1) and the recently published sorghum re-sequencing data, ~62.9M SNPs were identified among 48 sorghum accessions and included in a newly developed sorghum genome SNP database, SorGSD (http://sorgsd.big.ac.cn). The diverse panel of 48 sorghum lines can be classified into four groups, improved varieties, landraces, wild and weedy sorghums, and a wild relative *Sorghum propinquum*. SorGSD has a web-based query interface to search or browse SNPs from individual accessions, or to compare SNPs among several lines. The query results can be visualized in tables, or rendered as graphics in a genome browser. Users can also look into the SNPs annotations such as synonymous or non-synonymous, start, stop of splice variants, chromosome locations, and links to the annotation on Phytozome (www.phytozome.net) genome database. In addition, general information related to sorghum research such as online sorghum resources and literature references can be found on the website. All the SNP data and annotations can be freely downloaded from the website.

SorGSD is a comprehensive web-portal providing a database of large-scale genome variation across all racial types of cultivated sorghum and wild relatives. It can serve as a bioinformatics platform for a range of genomics and molecular breeding activities for sorghum and for other C4 grasses.

5.1 Background

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) originated from Africa and became an important cereal crop after a long period of domestication and selective breeding (1). Nowadays, it feeds over 500 million people in 98 countries (2), with an estimation of 42 million hectares of cultivated area and 62 million tons of yield per year (FAOSTAT data 2013, http://faostat3.fao.org). In contrast to C3 crops such as rice and wheat, sorghum has the C4 photosynthetic pathway, which leads to higher photosynthetic efficiency under circumstances of intense light, high temperature and low water supply (2-4). As such, sorghum has remarkable drought and heat tolerance, and can produce high yield and biomass in areas of harsh conditions with low inputs. Sorghum is not only used for food, but also cultivated with other important economic end-uses for forage, sugars and biomass. Furthermore, in recent years sorghum has been regarded as a promising bioenergy feedstock (5), which is comparable to other important biofuel grasses such as maize, sugarcane, Miscanthus and switch grass (6,7). Moreover, the compact genome and high degree of genetic synteny to other C4 grasses make sorghum a potential genetic model for molecular design breeding of C4 crops (8,9).
Sorghum’s genome is relatively small (~730 M) and simple (10 chromosomes, diploid) compared to other C4 crops in the Poaceae subfamily, such as maize and sugarcane. The recent completion and availability of a whole genome reference sequence, based on the elite line BTx623, has accelerated the pace of genetic and genomic research in sorghum (10). The genetic basis of a range of important agronomic traits in sorghum has been elucidated, including drought tolerance and maturity (2). Nevertheless, to better understand the genetic basis for the considerable phenotypic variation observed in many more agronomic and bioenergy traits of different sorghum accessions, it is necessary to have insight into genomic variation including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), insertions/deletions (indels) and structure variation (SV).

Recently, various high throughput strategies have been developed for genome re-sequencing (11-13), resulting in a large amount of SNP data being generated for sorghum (14-18). These SNP data, representing high density biomarkers, are a valuable resource for researchers to perform genetic and breeding studies, such as genotyping by sequencing (GBS) (19-21), bulked segregant analysis (BSA) (22), and genome-wide association studies (GWAS) (18,23,24). These studies will not only lead to the highly efficient discovery of key quantitative trait locus (QTLs) or genes relevant to important traits, but also contribute to the understanding of the evolutionary relationship of cultivated and wild Sorghum species and subspecies.

To enhance the utility of sorghum SNP data, we developed a web-based large-scale genome variation database (SorGSD, http://sorgsd.big.ac.cn). SorGSD contains ~62.9 million SNPs from a diverse panel of 48 sorghum accessions divided into four groups, including improved inbreds, landraces, wild/weedy sorghums, and accessions of the wild relative Sorghum propinquum. These SNP data have been annotated and an easy-to-use web interface has been designed for users to browse, search and analyze the SNPs efficiently. SorGSD allows users to query the SNP information and their relevant annotations for individual samples. The search results can be visualized graphically in a genome browser or displayed in formatted tables. Users can also compare SNP data between two or more sorghum accessions. The output of query results can be downloaded for further investigation, or users can bulk download the entire SNP dataset of 48 accessions. SorGSD also manages additional sorghum related information, such as general descriptions of sorghum and its genome, sorghum research institutions around the world, and lists of sorghum literature references.

5.2 Result and discussion

5.2.1 Database content
SorGSD contains ~62.9 million SNPs identified from the re-sequencing data of 48 sorghum lines mapped to the reference genome BTx623. These sorghum lines represent major cultivated races grouped into landraces or improved varieties, and weedy or wild
Figure 5.1 A dendrogram showing the phylogenetic relationships among the diverse set of sorghum lines. Each sample is labelled as follows; the genotype name, sample type (coded, as detailed below), racial type, geographic origin, and total number of SNPs identified. Sample type codes: I improved variety, L landrace, W weedy or wild, M *margaritferum*, P *Sorghum propinquum* (allopatric Asian species being as the outgroup). The sorghum reference genome BTx623 is shown in bold, sweet sorghums are in italic. (Adapted from Mace et al. (16) and redrawn using the tool “Display Newick Trees” under MEGA 6.0, SS79 was added based on the output results of the SNPhylo program (25) using the SNP data).

subspecies. Figure 5.1 shows the phylogenetic relationship among these sorghum lines (16), with the genotype name and group indicated. Racial type and geographic origin
Table 5.1 Distribution of SNPs in different genomic regions of 48 sorghum accessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Type*</th>
<th>Racial type</th>
<th>Geographic Origin</th>
<th>Total SNP numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BxTx623</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi64-7</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>347707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC33745</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>906800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EarlyHegari</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>910890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherekit</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>932505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC163-14E</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Guinea-Caudatum</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>800708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macia</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>776094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC108-14E</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>738756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC237-14E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>865874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B923926</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>652578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B965676</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>646947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M35-1</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Durra</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>799623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R391945-2-2</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1240958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC170-6-8</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>834928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC250-6-6</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum-Bicolor</td>
<td>Ethiopia-EUA</td>
<td>862389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC66-14E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum-Nigricans</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>963728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC62-14E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>996081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Tian</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Kafir</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>434744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Kafir</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>824373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S576</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Kafir</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1291250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS525</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Kafir</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>941482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keller</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>335625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTX7000</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Kafir-Caudatum</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1125422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS614-2-4</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Gnetica</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>1313008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaspar669</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>USA-Sudan</td>
<td>1121780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIS63516</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Durra-Caudatum</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>1014530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QL12</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1073252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I9770</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>961866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS115</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>957449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67371</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>538989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al4</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1140161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR9198</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1235170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Btx442</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Durra</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1524769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC35-14E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Durra</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1228814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC23-14E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Durra</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1362098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yik-olele</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Durra</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1118066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCE3-38432</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Durra</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1715554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P585749</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Durra-Bicolor</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>1446371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P330272</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Drummondii</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1501312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zengada</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Weedy</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1581864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiloo</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Weedy</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1267760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenleaff</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Weedy</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1522107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P226096</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Weedy</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>1956801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P522695</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Margaritiferum</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>1964025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P566430</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Margaritiferum</td>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>1938008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P390519</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Vellciriflorum</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>2995879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AusTBC717061</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Vellciriflorum</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>2003360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somp369-1</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Propinquum</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5200279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somp369-2</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Propinquum</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4993948</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*I - Improved variety; L - Landrace; W - Wild/Weedy; M - Margaritiferum; P - Sorghum propinquum

are also included. Additionally, the total number of SNPs identified per sample is indicated. The two *margaritiferum* cultivars (P1525695 M *Margaritiferum Mali* 1964025 and P1586430 M *Margaritiferum Sierra Leone* 1938008) are separated into a distinct group since they are highly divergent from other *S. bicolor* races (Figure 5.1). Two samples of the allopatric Asian species *Sorghum propinquum* are clustered within a distant group as the outgroup. The SNP numbers of each sample give an overview of the genomic divergence between the reference genome BTx623 and individual genomes. Detailed information about distribution of SNPs in different genomic regions, including genic, intergenic, and intronic regions is provided (Table 5.1). For genic regions, SNPs
Table 5.2 Distribution of major effect SNPs in different grain species and regions in 48 sorghum accessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Geographic Origin</th>
<th>Start Codon</th>
<th>Stop Codon</th>
<th>Splice sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B7x62</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malais64-7</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCS745</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EarlyHepri</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheneki</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1283</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC163-14E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Guinea-Caudatum</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macia</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC108-14L</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC237-14E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>1242</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B925296</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B965767</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M35-1</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Durra</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R319145-2-2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1703</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC170-6-8</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1065</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC252-6-6</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum-Bicolor</td>
<td>Ethiopia-Australia</td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC56-14E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum-Nigriceps</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>1433</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC62-14E</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>1455</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Tian</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Kafir</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Kafir</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1273</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS79</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Kafir</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>2602</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS525</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Kafir</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1353</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keller</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTx7000</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Kafir-Caudatum</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1605</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS614-2</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>1421</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasper669</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>USA-Sudan</td>
<td>1362</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI563516</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Durra-Caudatum</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>1427</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QL12</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1321</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS710</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>1265</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS15</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Durra-Caudatum</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7231</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Caudatum</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1666</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI4</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1416</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB3958</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1735</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTx642</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Durra</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>2114</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC35C-14E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Durra</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1402</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC23-14E</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Durra</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1587</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yik-solite</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Durra</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBCE-38412</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Durra</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC58749</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Durra-Bicolor</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS330272</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Drummondii</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1865</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zengada</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Weedy</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1864</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilo</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Weedy</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenleaf</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Weedy</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1871</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P226606</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Weedy</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>2767</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P352265</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Margaritiferum</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>2318</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P856430</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Margaritiferum</td>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>2525</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P930019</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Verticilliferum</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>4441</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AusTBCF371061</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Verticilliferum</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>2278</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorp369-1</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Propinquium</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9859</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorp369-2</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Propinquium</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9169</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 - Improved variety; L - Landrace; W - Wild/Weedy; M - Margaritiferum; P - Sorghum propinquum

found in specific positions such as start and stop codons, splice donor and acceptor sites are listed (Table 5.2).

All the SNP data shown in the two tables can be easily accessed either as statistical information through the Help page of the database, or through the user interface. The original data of sequencing short reads, the assembled sequence and the SNP data of each accession can be downloaded.
5.2.2 User interface

SorGSD offers three main functions (search, compare and browse), for users to search, display and retrieve the SNPs and their annotations.

The search function provides a user-friendly web interface to query SNP information. Users can search SNPs by specifying chromosomal co-ordinates or the locus ID. Users can also query SNPs based on their genotypes, and predicted variant effects. In addition, users can compare the SNPs between two and more sorghum lines. The query results can be shown as a formatted table which contains the information of ID, chromosome position, genomic location and predicted coding effects, 5’ and 3’ flanking sequences, reference and derived alleles, respectively. SNPs from the stringent set identified by both pipelines (see description in “Methods” and Figure 5.2 for details) are highlighted with a green background in the result page. The output of the query results can be downloaded as flat text or formatted tables for further investigation.

Figure 5.2 Venn diagram of SNPs identified by two pipelines. A. SNPs called by the GATK-based pipeline. B. SNPs called by the SOAPsnp- and realSFS-based pipeline. C. The set of highly reliable SNPs as identified by both pipelines.

SorGSD also provides several data browsing functionalities under the “Browse” pull-down menu. The “Total SNPs” tab lists the SNP numbers on 10 chromosomes of all 48 accessions. Users can select a group, e.g., Landraces, to display the SNP numbers of these accessions within this group. Mouse-clicking these SNP numbers will bring up the list of SNPs of a specific accession. Given that the different location in genes such as coding regions, as well as the non-synonymous information are often of great interest for further study, the “Genic SNP” tab lists several submenus including “Coding SNP”, “Synonymous SNP”, and “Non-synonymous SNP” so that information can be tailored to user requirements.

The “Browse on Chromosome” tab leads to an interactive graphic window to visualize SNPs in a genome browser. Users can customize the visualization interface by selecting different data types, including SNPs, genes, transcripts, allele frequencies, and the SNP density information. Users can obtain a pie chart showing the allele frequency, SNP density in 300 kb windows size, related gene and transcript information.
5.2.3 Help information
SorGSD provides a help resource for users to better access the SNP data, as well as proving links to additional sorghum research related resources.

The help menu provides a “How to” page, which gives a number of examples for users to learn how to search and compare target SNPs. For example, a step-by-step user-guide shows how to obtain non-synonymous SNPs in chromosome 1 of sweet sorghum E-Tian, and how to compare SNPs between sweet sorghum E-Tian and two grain sorghum Ji2731 and Keller. A FAQ page provides answers to a range of frequently asked questions, not only about the content and usage of SorGSD but more broadly about sorghum genomics. Detailed information including software tools, parameters and data sources is presented in the “Pipeline” page. The “Statistics” page shows the SNP numbers distributed in different genomic regions (Table 5.1) and specific genic sites (Table 5.2). The “Data source” page shows the general information of 48 sorghum lines, including their geographic origins, and links to the US Germplasm Resources Information Network (http://www.ars-grin.gov).

The “About” tab contains several pages related to sorghum research. The Sorghum Genome page provides a brief introduction to the reference genome BTx623, including genome size and gene number. The Resource page provides links to online databases, research institutions, sorghum producers and handbooks. The reference page lists selected recently published papers in the fields of sorghum genomics, genetics, QTLs, etc., with links to full lists in PubMed.

5.3 Conclusions and future directions
High coverage resequencing data from two previous sorghum studies (15,16) were used to identify SNPs among 48 sorghum genotypes by combining three SNP calling tools and updating the SNPs datasets using the sorghum reference annotation (Version 2.1). In addition, we annotated the effect of SNP variants on genes of each sorghum accession. SorGSD has already received over two thousand visits from more than 30 countries around the world since it went online a few months ago. During the review process of this manuscript, we were happy to learn that a new website Sorghum Genomics (https://www.purdue.edu/sorghumgenomics) developed at Purdue University became available as a functional gene discovery platform.

We will improve the SNP calling pipeline and the annotation procedure to obtain more accurate SNP data and upload them into the database. Furthermore, we will include additional types of genome variation data detected by newly developed pipelines, including indels and copy number variants (CNVs). At the same time, we will improve the web interface especially in the search function and give more examples in the user guide to help novice users to access the database easily. We will add more analytical functionalities so that users can perform more analyses such as Blast search, sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis.
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SorGSD can serve as a bioinformatics platform to inform wet-lab experiments including biomarker development, allele mining and gene function assessment. In addition to the collaboration among research groups involving in this work, we will collaborate with other domestic and international laboratories in the sorghum research community to sequence and annotate more sorghum accessions in the future.

We will update the database regularly and add SNP datasets with newly available re-sequenced sorghum accessions. We hope that the high density of these SNP data at genomic level collected from the major races of cultivated sorghum as well as other subspecies is a rich repository for a broader research community working in biomarker identification, genetic analysis and molecular breeding, especially for energy plant sweet sorghum cultivation.

5.4 Methods

The construction of SorGSD was a multi-step process. Firstly, the sorghum re-sequencing paired-end raw reads reported in the previously published works were downloaded (15,16). In addition, the paired-end raw reads generated in-house for a sweet sorghum line SS79 were included [unpublished data]. Secondly, the raw reads were mapped to the reference sorghum genome (BTx623) (10) using the BWA program (26). SNPs were identified using the software GATK (27,28), realSFS (http://popgen.dk/angsd/index.php/RealSFS) and SOAPsnp (29) and annotated using SnpEff (30). With the SNP matrix finalized, a web interface was designed for users to browse and search the SNPs and related annotations. Details for the database construction are described below and are also available on the designated website.

5.4.1 Data source

The raw sequencing reads originated from three original datasets. The largest dataset (16) contains 44 sorghum accessions representing the major races of cultivated sorghum as well as their wild relatives. The second dataset (31) contains three accessions of cultivated sorghums. The raw reads of these two datasets can be downloaded from the NCBI sequence read archive (SRA) (accessions SRS378430-SRS378473, and accessions SRX100115-SRX100138). The third dataset contains the paired-end reads of sorghum line SS79, a cultivated sweet sorghum inbred. These data were recently generated in our laboratory using an Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform with insert size of 500 bp (accessible from ftp://download.big.ac.cn/SorghumVB/sra). The average sequencing depth of all sorghum accessions is about 20×, ranging from 12× to 54×.

5.4.2 SNP calling pipeline

After trimming adapters, the clean reads were mapped to version 2.1 of the (http://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html#!info?alias=Org_Sbicolor) reference genome using the BWA program (26), allowing a maximum of five mismatches and disabling long gaps in the mapping procedure. The average mapping rate, unique mapping rate and mapping coverage were 95.7%, 68.1% and 88.1% respectively, excluding the two Sorghum propinquum accessions. The SAM tools package (32) was
used to convert mapping results to BAM format, and then the Picard program (http://picard.sourceforge.net) was applied to eliminate duplicated reads generated during the process of library construction.

Subsequently, the GATK tools (27,28) were used to recalibrate the base quality score to obtain more accurate quality scores for each base and realign reads around known indels. The refined data from all individuals were jointly used to call a raw SNPs set by GATK HaplotypeCaller. Finally, a set of SNPs were identified, using the variant quality score to recalibrate the procedure in GATK. In total, we identified 62,888,582 SNPs across all 48 sorghum lines, corresponding to 15,357,261 sites in the reference genome. The GATK based SNP calling pipeline is similar to that reported in a recent publication (33). SNPs were additionally identified using the pipeline described previously using realSFS (34) and SOAPsnp (29) described by Mace et al. (16). Approximately 28 million highly stringent SNPs were in common between the two SNP identification pipelines (Figure 5.2) with the GATK-based pipeline identifying more SNPs than the SOAPsnp-based pipeline. The total number of SNPs called by the GATK based pipeline was found to be comparable to the study by Evans et al. (35), which employed the CLC Workbench software (CLC Bio-Qiagen, Aarhus, Denmark). All the SNPs identified by the GATK pipeline were stored in SorGSD, with the subset of 28 million highly stringent SNPs highlighted in the results page. Finally, the effect of variants on all the v2.1 predicted gene models for each sorghum accession were predicted and annotated using the SnpEff program (version 4.0e) (30).

5.4.3 Database implementation

The SNP data and their related annotations were formatted into tables and stored in SorGSD using the MySQL database management system (version 5). The web interface of SorGSD was designed by JAVA/JSP (JDK 1.6) under the Apache/Tomcat web server (version 2.0) running under a Linux operation system (CentOS 6). We installed the generic genome browser GBrowse (36) as a chromosome-based visualization tool to display these genomic SNPs and annotations.
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Abstract
Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) is the fifth most popular crop worldwide and a C4 model plant. Domesticated sorghum comes in many forms, including sweet cultivars with juicy stems and grain sorghum with dry, pithy stems at maturity. The Dry locus, which controls the pithy/juicy stem trait, was discovered over a century ago. Here, we found that Dry gene encodes a plant-specific NAC transcription factor. Dry was either deleted or acquired loss-of-function mutations in sweet sorghum, resulting in cell collapse and altered secondary cell wall composition in the stem. Twenty-three Dry ancestral haplotypes, all with dry, pithy stems, were found among wild sorghum and wild sorghum relatives. Two of the haplotypes were detected in domesticated landraces, with four additional dry haplotypes with juicy stems detected in improved lines. These results imply that selection for Dry gene mutations was a major step leading to the origin of sweet sorghum. The Dry gene is conserved in major cereals; fine-tuning its regulatory network could provide a molecular tool to control crop stem texture.

6.1 Introduction
Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) is the fifth most important cereal crop after wheat (Triticum aestivum), rice (Oryza sativa), maize (Zea mays), and barley (Hordeum vulgare). This crop has numerous advantages, including remarkable stress tolerance and high photosynthetic efficiency, and is widely grown in arid or semi-arid areas. Similar to common grain sorghum, sweet sorghum is widely found in many geographical regions, with various landraces, and is considered to be an ideal biofuel crop for first- and second-generation bioethanol production. Sorghum can produce high biomass on marginal lands that are not suitable for food or feed production (1).

In vascular plants, stems/shoots have evolved as an important link between roots and reproductive organs by providing strong support and efficient water and nutrient transport. Since the driving force for survival and plant breeding is to maximize reproductive success and yield, most crops, especially cereals, often have dry, pithy, and sometimes hollow stems/shoots at maturity. One remarkable feature of sweet sorghum is that its stems accumulate high amounts of juice and directly fermentable sugars at maturity, in contrast to grain sorghum, which often has dry, pithy stems (2). Hence, sweet sorghum provides an interesting model to examine the roles of stem/shoots in whole plant water transport and carbon partitioning in cereals. The sweet sorghum model is also useful for comparative genomics for plants with stems as the primary harvest targets, such as sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum; (3)). Since sweet sorghum exhibits large variations in stem water content, this crop could be a useful model to study stem water transport by examining within-species genomic variation and hence may provide a new angle to complement similar studies in Arabidopsis thaliana, rice, and the moss Physcomitrella patens (4,5). Identifying the genes controlling stem juiciness and the molecular process underlying how sweet sorghum evolved is a first key step in this analysis.
Functional and population genomics studies on sorghum *Dry* locus

Classical genetic studies have shown that sorghum stem juiciness is governed by a single locus, named *Dry* or *D*, and that dry stem trait is dominant over the juice-rich stem trait (6-8). Previous quantitative trait locus analyses have localized the *Dry* locus between RFLP markers umc34 and txs1030 on Chromosome 6 (9); this locus is also tightly linked to marker loci txp97 (10) and txp145 (11). Further fine mapping efforts have narrowed down the locus to the interval between SSR markers sm06068 and Sb6-2, which harbors only six candidate genes (12), which is consistent with the findings obtained by bulked segregant analysis and deep sequencing analyses (13). Such classical quantitative trait locus mapping results have been further confirmed by genome-wide association study (GWAS) analysis, which identified a major quantitative trait locus for midrib color, sugar yield, juice volume, and moisture at ~51.8 Mb on Chromosome 6 (14). This raises the interesting question of whether the *Dry* locus is an important locus for the origin of sweet sorghum. So far, the molecular nature of the *Dry* locus remains elusive; therefore, it is not possible to examine its selection history during domestication and breeding. This is partially hindered by the continuous variation in the amount of extractible juice among juicy genotypes and among the offspring of crosses between juicy and pithy or juicy and juicy lines (15). Sweet sorghum can be found among different landraces. Previous work combining morphological observations and molecular markers demonstrates that sweet sorghum is of polyphyletic origin (more than one ancestor) and cannot be distinctively separated from grain sorghum lines (16-18). Together, such complications require a new approach to dissect the molecular basis of the origin of sweet sorghum.

We hypothesize that the *Dry* locus is an important selection target that led to the development of sweet sorghum and initiated work on the cloning and characterization of the *Dry* gene using a population genomics approach. We show here that the *Dry* gene encodes a plant-specific NAC transcription factor and that stem juiciness results from loss of function of the *Dry* gene. We provide evidence suggesting that the *Dry* gene is an important first-layer master switch for secondary cell wall biosynthesis. Our findings suggest that sweet sorghum originated as a consequence of intensive breeding selection of the *Dry* locus.

### 6.2 Results

**6.2.1 Variation in the stem juiciness trait in a diverse panel of 241 sorghum lines**

In our previous work comparing the genomic and phenotypic variation between sweet and grain sorghum, we noticed remarkable variation in the stem juice content among four different sorghum lines (19) (Figure 6.1A). This prompted us to further examine this trait in detail using a diverse panel of 241 sorghum lines assembled over the years, which includes one accession of *Sorghum propinquum*, a close relative of sorghum, 42 wild sorghums, and 198 domesticated/cultivated sorghums (Supplemental Data Set 1). At maturity, the water content for wild sorghum and the dry, pithy sorghum lines ranged from 55 to 63% and 46 to 64%, respectively, in contrast to that of the juicy sorghums, which ranged from 66 to 83% (Figure 6.1B).
Figure 6.1. Characterization of pithy/juicy sorghum stems. (A) Cross sections of the middle internodes of sorghum stems at maturity before (top) and after drying (bottom) from different genetic materials (Chinese kaoliang Ji2731, BTx623, sweet sorghum cultivars Keller and E-tian, and an F1 hybrid generated from a cross between Ji2731 and E-tian). Bars = 0.5 cm. (B) Box plot of stem water content for the 241 sorghum lines examined in this study at maturity, including one Sorghum propinquum, 42 wild, 86 dry, pithy sorghums, and 112 juice-rich sorghum lines. The value of stem water content for each sorghum line is the average of more than three individual plants (3-5 plants for 56 sorghum lines, 6-10 plants for 123 sorghum lines, more than 10 plants for 62 sorghum lines). (C) Changes in stem water content during development for Ji2731 and E-tian. The observations were performed in Beijing in 2015 and monitored for developmental stages ranging from NL (emergence of the ninth leaves) to FLS (flag leaf stage), HS (heading stage), FS (flowering stage), AF (1 week after flowering), and MS (milk stage). Values are the means ± se of three individual plants per sorghum line at each developmental stage. For developmental stages, days after sowing were scored for five individual plants and are presented as means ± se. (D) Box plot of stem water content for the two parental lines (each 10 plants) and their F3 RIL lines (174 dominant alleles; 176 recessive alleles) at maturity. Asterisks in (B) and (D) represent significant differences determined by two-tailed Student’s t test at P < 0.01 (Supplemental File 7).

To examine the development and genetics of the trait, we took advantage of a unique feature of Chinese kaoliang sorghum (e.g., Ji2731), which normally has a complete dry, pithy stem at maturity, unlike sweet and juice-rich sorghums (e.g., E-tian, Keller, and BTx623) (Figure 6.1A). In kaoliang sorghum, the water loss process normally began at the jointing stage (NL, the emergence of the ninth leaf) and accelerated after flowering, while the water content in sweet sorghum stems remained at relatively high levels throughout development (Figure 6.1C). We constructed four F2 populations by crossing Chinese kaoliang sorghum Ji2731 with juicy stem sorghum lines E-tian, Keller, and BTx623, respectively. The F1 plants showed the same complete dry, pithy stems as kaoliang Ji2731 (Figure 6.1A), and the F2 individuals showed a 3:1 segregation ratio for the dry/pithy:juicy/sweet stem trait. In the recombinant inbred lines (RILs) that were subsequently developed from the Ji2731/E-tian crosses, the stem water content at maturity in RILs with recessive alleles was 63 to 78%, while that of RILs with dominant alleles was 33 to 55% (Figure 6.1D; Supplemental Table 1). Hence, our results suggest
that a single genetic locus with a completely dominant effect of dry/pithy over juicy/sweet explains this trait.

6.2.2 Genome resequencing and population genomics
To facilitate the identification of the gene underlying the stem juiciness trait, we performed genome resequencing of the 241 sorghum accessions. Whole-genome resequencing generated a total of ∼7.39 G paired-end reads 150 bp in length (∼1.11 Tb in total), obtaining an average sequencing depth of ∼5.67× and an average genome coverage of ∼89.6% (Supplemental Data Sets 2 and 3). After mapping to the sorghum reference genome BTx623 (the whole genome was sequenced as a sorghum reference) (20) and single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) calling, we obtained 31,946,640 high-quality SNPs and 4,266,768 indels (insertions-deletions) from the 241 sorghum accessions (Supplemental Data Sets 2 and 3). Users can download all of the variation data from the sorghum genome variation database (SorGSD) (21).

Figure 6.2. Geographical distribution and genetic analysis of 241 sorghum lines. (A) Map showing the geographic origin of the accessions used in this study. Different symbols indicate different subgroups. The map contains 210 accessions with known location information obtained from Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN; https://www.ars-grin.gov/) and Chinese Crop Germplasm Resources Information System (CGRIS; http://www.cgris.net/); the 31 accessions without location information are not shown. For accessions with only information about the country of collection, the collection sites are depicted in the capitals of the countries; for accessions in which the collection province could be obtained, the collection sites in the provincial capitals are depicted. See also Supplemental Data Set 1. (B) PCA plot of the 241 sorghum lines using 499,130 SNPs filtered with MAF 0.05, max missing rate 0.5, and LD (r²) 0.2. The wild subgroup could clearly be separated from the pithy and juicy subgroups, while the pithy and juicy subgroups are interconnected. Numbers in brackets denote the variance explained by the first and second principal component. (C) LD decay plots for the three subgroups of sorghum. LD is lower in the wild subgroup compared with pithy sorghums but higher in juicy compared with pithy sorghums. See also Supplemental Data Sets 2 and 3.
Figure 6.2A shows the geographic distribution of the panel, which indicates that the panel has captured a fairly broad representation of the genetic diversity of sorghum. Principal component analysis (PCA) based on SNP data showed that Sorghum propinquum and all wild sorghums were clustered together and distinctly separated from the domesticated/cultivated sorghums (Figure 6.2B); among cultivated sorghums, those with dry, pithy stems could largely be separated from those with juicy stems, although there were a few exceptions in both groups. The PCA results, together with the observation that a large proportion of the juicy sorghums are inbred lines and the pithy sorghums are primarily landraces in this collection (Supplemental Data Set 1), imply that the juiciness trait has multiple independent origins among cultivated sorghums with different backgrounds. This notion is supported by the results of linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay analysis (Figure 6.2C), which also confirmed that wild, cultivated juicy, and cultivated dry, pithy sorghums had obviously different decay rates and fell into distinct subgroups.

6.2.3 Identification of the Dry gene by GWAS and map-based cloning

Since our genetic analysis demonstrated that only a single genetic locus controls the pithy/juicy stem trait, we scored the dry pithy stem trait as 1 and the juicy stem trait as 0 and used the SNPs with a minor allele frequency (MAF) ≥ 0.05 for our GWAS. Under the mixed linear model (MLM) with the PCA and familial kinship (K), we detected 79 genetic variants exceeding the significance threshold $[-\log_{10}(P) = 8.06]$ (Figure 6.3A). All 79 genetic variants reside on Chromosome 6 within a 290-kb region ranging from 50,613,891 to 50,904,567 bp, and the most significant locus is at 50,893,225 bp $[-\log_{10}(P) = 12.6]$. Further analysis showed that except for seven variants, the majority of the genetic variants were narrowed down to a 15-kb region from 50,890,065 to 50,904,567 bp on Chromosome 6, harboring only one predicted gene (Sobic.006G147400) (Supplemental Figure 1; all the supplemental figures and tables can be found on https://academic.oup.com/plcell/article/30/10/2286/6099342).

In parallel, we performed classic map-based cloning using segregation populations derived from crosses between Chinese kaoliang sorghum Ji2731 and juicy stem sorghum E-tian, which narrowed down the locus to a 45-kb region from 50,880,569 to 50,925,294 bp on Chromosome 6. This region harbors four candidate genes, coincident with the GWAS mapped region (Figure 6.3C). This region was previously reported to contain a genetic locus named $D$ or $Dry$, with a well-matched model of genetic action that the pithy stem trait was dominant over the juicy stem trait and that the pithy/juicy phenotype was controlled by a single locus (6). Hence, both our GWAS and map-based cloning results confirmed that we rediscovered the important $Dry$ locus controlling the pithy/juicy trait.

In subsequent work to clone the $Dry$ gene, we combined the results from both the GWAS significant region and the map-based cloning interval, and, to be on the safe side, included the four candidate genes in the vicinity of the $Dry$ locus for detailed sequence comparisons and expression analysis. The four genes include three
hypothetical genes (Sobic.006G147350, Sobic.006G147450, and Sobic.006G147500) and a gene predicted to encode a NAC transcription factor (Sobic.006G147400) (Figure 6.3B). A comparison of the genomic sequences between the candidate regions of the parents Ji2731 and E-tian revealed no differences in the coding regions of these three hypothetical genes. For Sobic.006G147400, we successfully amplified the full-length NAC gene in Ji2731, while a 14-kb region containing 3.6 kb of the Dry genomic sequence (including the first two exons) could not be amplified in E-tian or any recessive allele tested (i.e., RILs carrying the dry allele in a mixed Ji2731 and E-tian genetic background) (Figure 6.3B; Supplemental Figure 2A). Furthermore, only the NAC transcription factor gene displayed the expected differential expression patterns between Ji2731/the dominant allele and E-tian/the recessive allele (Supplemental Figure 2B). Similarly, the 14-kb region could not be amplified in Keller and 62 additional juicy sorghum lines (see below for more information). Therefore, we regarded the NAC gene as the functional candidate of the Dry locus.

Figure 6.3. Cloning of the Dry gene in sorghum. (A) Manhattan plot of GWAS of the stem juicy/pithy trait using a panel of 241 sorghum accessions. The red horizontal line depicts the Bonferroni-adjusted significance threshold [-log10(P) = 8.06]. See also Supplemental Figure 1. (B) Diagram illustrating the fine mapping of the Dry gene. In the genetic map, numbers below the lines indicate the number of recombinants versus the total number of individuals examined from both ends. The white bars represent the homozygous regions of E-tian; the dotted bar represents the heterozygous region of Ji2731 and E-tian; and the black bars represent the homozygous regions of Ji2731. In the physical map, the pink arrows/rectangles indicate the Dry gene and its exon regions, and the three blue arrows indicate the three remaining genes within the candidate region. See also Supplemental Table 1. (C) Diagram showing the genomic variation in the vicinity of the Dry locus among Ji2731, E-tian, and the reference genome BTx623. See also Supplemental Figures 2 and 3.
Compared with Ji2731, BTx623 had two large deletions (a ∼1.8-kb deletion in the second intron region and another ∼3-kb deletion in the upstream region) in the Dry gene (Figure 6.3C; Supplemental Figure 2). We also verified erroneous annotation of the Dry gene in the reference genome using rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE). According to the annotation (Sorghum bicolor v3.1.1) in the Phytozome database, the Dry locus has four exons and three introns. However, we found that the first intron (39-bp length) of the Dry gene was transcribed in BTx623, as revealed by our RACE analysis. Meanwhile, a C (Ji2731) to T (BTx623) transition introduces a premature termination codon within the wrongly annotated intron, resulting in the loss of function of the Dry gene in BTx623, which is consistent with the finding that BTx623 has a juicy stem (Supplemental Figure 3).

We introduced both the Dry open reading frame and the genomic sequences of Ji2731 driven by its own promoter and the constitutive ubiquitin promoter, respectively, into sweet sorghum line Keller (with a deletion in the Dry gene similar to that of E-tian) by Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation (Supplemental Figure 4A). Twenty-two independent transgenic plants (T0 plants) were generated, which displayed various degrees of dryness and pithy stems like that of Ji2731 at similar developmental stages. We examined the progeny of transgenic T0 plants with elevated Dry gene expression and found that the water content in T1 transgenic plants was reduced compared with Keller (Figures 6.4A to 6.4C). We also monitored the expression levels of the transgenes and stem water contents in the T2 and T3 generations for transgenic lines PC3 (line harboring pDry:Dry_CDS) and PG1 (line harboring pUbi:Dry_genomic_sequence). A significant difference in stem water content was still observed in the T2 and T3 generations, although the expression levels of the transgene were substantially reduced (Figures 6.4D to 6.4F). Further observations of field-grown
T2 transgenic lines showed that the dry, pithy stem phenotype was retained, and no obvious differences occurred in any other agronomic traits examined (Supplemental Figures 4B and 4C). Hence, we confirm that the NAC transcription factor encoded by the Dry locus controls the pithy/juicy stem phenotype.

6.2.4 Molecular and cellular characterization of the Dry gene
The Dry gene is predicted to encode a protein belonging to the NAC1 transcription factor subfamily (22), with a typical nuclear localization (Figure 6.5A). RNA in situ hybridization showed that Dry transcripts were predominantly found in the vascular bundle and parenchyma tissues in Ji2731, while, as expected, in E-tian, no signals above the background level were detected (Figure 6.5B). Our sequence comparison and yeast two-hybrid assay revealed the presence of the conserved NAC domain at the N terminus and the activation domain at the C terminus, with its self-activating region located from 227 to 259 amino acids (Figure 6.5C; Supplemental Figure 5). Gene expression analysis showed that the Dry gene is predominantly expressed in well-developed pithy stems (including the stem pith and the epidermal sclerenchyma layer of the stem) (Figure 6.5D). Furthermore, an examination of Dry gene expression along the stem internodes revealed that its expression level is generally associated with the degree of stem pithiness, suggesting that the expression level of the Dry gene directly controls the degree of stem pithiness (Figure 6.5E).

6.2.5 Dry regulates cell wall biosynthesis
The results of expression pattern analysis, together with findings for other species, indicate that Dry gene subfamily NAC transcription factors often regulate the cell wall network (23,24). This finding prompted us to examine cell morphology and cell wall composition in sorghum stems. Normal rounded parenchymal cells were found in Ji2731 (containing the functional Dry allele; Figure 6.6A), whereas irregularly shaped cells and striking cell collapse were ubiquitous in the parenchyma of E-tian (containing the null dry allele; Figure 6.6B). Transverse sections observed by transmission electron microscopy further showed the presence of irregularly shaped vessel elements in the juicy variety, in contrast to the fully developed vessel elements in the pithy variety (Figures 6.6C and 6.6D). All of the abnormalities detected in E-tian were also observed in Keller, another sweet sorghum (Figures 6.6G and 6.6I) and were partially restored in transgenic lines expressing the functional Dry allele (Figures 6.6H and 6.6J). The cell wall thickness of fiber cells was substantially reduced in xylem tissues of E-tian and Keller, but the fiber cells of the transgenic lines were much thicker and appeared normal, like those of Ji2731 (Figures 6.6E, 6.6F, 6.6K, 6.6L, and 6.6M). Thus, a range of defects in cell morphology occurred in the juicy, sweet sorghum lines due to the loss of function of Dry.

To better understand the cellular and molecular basis of cell collapse associated with xylem tissues, we analyzed the cell wall compositions and found that juicy sorghum had significantly elevated cellulose content but reduced hemicellulose content in the stem pith (Figures 6.6N and 6.6O; Supplemental Figure 6). Furthermore, the cellulose
Figure 6.5. Cellular and molecular characterization of the Dry gene and DRY protein. (A) Subcellular localization of the DRY-GFP fusion protein in the epidermal cells of *N. benthamiana* leaves. Left, GFP (green) fluorescence images; middle, bright-field images; and right, merged images. p35S:GFP was used as a control. Bars = 40 μm in p35S:GFP and 10 μm in p35S:Dry-GFP. (B) Representative micrographs showing the RNA in situ hybridization with the antisense and sense probes (negative control) to probe cross sections of 1-month-old Ji2731 and E-tian sorghum seedlings showing that higher than background transcript levels were found only in the Ji2731 antisense section. The yellow arrows indicate vascular tissue and parenchyma cells, respectively. The insets within the red squares are 6.25-fold enlarged sections showing the obviously higher levels of the Dry transcripts in vascular tissues and parenchyma cells. Bars = 100 μm. (C) Identification of the transcriptional activation region in the DRY protein via a yeast two-hybrid assay. The deduced functionally important NAM domain is shown in orange. The red box between amino acids 227 and 259 indicates the autoactivation region of DRY. See also Supplemental Figure 5. (D) Relative expression of the Dry gene in different tissues of Ji2731 at the mature stage. LF, leaf; LV, leaf vein; SP, stem pith; ESS, epidermal sclerenchyma layer of the stem; ESI, epidermal sclerenchyma layer of the intercalary meristem; IMP, pith of the intercalary meristem; PA, panicle; RT, root. The expression level in the leaf was set to 1.0. (E) Relative expression of the DRY gene in different internodes of Ji2731 at the heading stage. Top, relative expression of the DRY gene; bottom, pithy phenotypes of different stem internodes. The first internode is near the ground. All data shown in (D) and (E) are means ± se of three technical repeats, and for each data point, four different plants (biological replicates) were tested. The experiments were repeated twice. Statistically significant differences were tested at *P* < 0.05 by one-way ANOVA, and bars with different letters are significantly different (Supplemental File 7).

and hemi-cellulose content of the transgenic lines reverted to levels similar to those of dry, pithy sorghum line Ji2731 (Figures 6.6N and 6.6O; Supplemental Figure 6). Therefore, we postulate that the higher water content retained in juicy sorghum stems could be attributed to changes in cell morphology and cell wall compositions in the stem.
The family of SECONDARY WALL-ASSOCIATED NAC (SWN) proteins includes three subfamilies, VND, SMB, and NST. Phylogenetic analysis revealed high similarity of the DRY protein to the VND subfamily of maize, rice, and Arabidopsis, suggesting that the DRY gene might be a key master regulator of secondary cell wall biosynthesis (Figure 6.7A; Supplemental Figure 7 and Supplemental Files 1 to 3). SWNs activate a battery of downstream MYB transcription factor genes (25). We identified the homologs of these MYB transcription factors in sorghum (Figures 6.7B; Supplemental
Figure 6.8 and Supplemental Files 1, 4, and 5). To further explore the possible involvement of Dry in the cell wall biosynthesis network, we performed RNA-seq analysis of Ji2731, E-tian, transgenic sorghum line 56_11, and negative control line 40_9. Transgenic sorghum line 56_11 was the offspring of PC3 (T0 generation), and the negative control line 40_9 was the null sibling of the transgenic lines. Comparison of the transcriptional profiles between 56_11 versus 40_9 and Ji2731 versus E-tian showed that the expression of the sorghum MYB52/54 homolog was inhibited in the loss-of-function dry gene background, and the homologous genes for cellulose and...
xylan biosynthesis showed the expected altered expression patterns (Figure 6.7C; Supplemental Data Sets 4 and 5) like those of Arabidopsis (28,29). Other relevant MYBs such as MYB20, MYB42, MYB43, and MYB85 (23), as well as their close homologs in sorghum, showed similar expression patterns to those of MYB52/54, likely also acting as negative regulators of cellulose biosynthesis as in other plants (Figure 6.7C; Supplemental Data Set 4). Interestingly, the expression level of the sorghum MYB46/83 homolog was very low in Ji2731 and E-tian and was not detected in transgenic line 56_11 or the negative control line 40_9 (Figure 6.7C; Supplemental Data Set 4). These results suggest that the sorghum MYB46/83 homolog may have a different mode of action from those of Arabidopsis. Finally, we compared the expression levels of nine genes involved in cell wall biosynthesis in the four genotypes and found strong associations between the expression levels of these genes and the presence of Dry/dry gene alleles (Figure 6.7D). Together, we suggest that the Dry gene most likely acts as a regulator of the cell wall biosynthesis network.

6.2.6 The Dry gene in juicy sorghum is under positive selection

The finding that sorghum lines with dry, pithy stems carry the functional Dry alleles, while lines with juicy stems carry nonfunctional alleles, implies that different selection signals could be expected on the Dry locus between the dry, pithy and juicy sorghum subgroups. To test this hypothesis, we performed a XP-CLR (cross-population composite likelihood ratio) (30) scan around the Dry locus region within the pithy cultivated subgroups compared with the wild lines and the juicy compared with the cultivated dry, pithy subgroups. Indeed, in the Dry gene flanking regions, no significant signals were detected in the comparison between the pithy cultivated and wild subgroups (Figure 6.8A), whereas conspicuous positive selection signals were detected when comparing the juicy to the dry, pithy subgroups of cultivated sorghums (Figure 6.8B). Furthermore, a scan of nucleotide diversity following the same grouping showed similar nucleotide diversity (π) values around the Dry locus between the wild and the dry, pithy cultivated subgroups but distinctly different values between the pithy and juicy subgroups (Figures 6.8C and 6.8D). The nucleotide diversity around the Dry locus

Figure 6.8. Comparison of the XP-CLR and nucleotide diversity (π) in the vicinity of the Dry gene within the pithy cultivated subgroups versus the wild accessions and the juicy versus cultivated dry, pithy subgroups. (A) and (B) XP-CLR analysis for two comparisons (pithy versus wild, and juicy versus pithy). The red lines indicate the threshold (5% upper-quantile XP-CLR scores across the whole genome) for each comparison. (C) and (D) show nucleotide diversity (π) analysis for two comparisons (pithy versus wild and juicy versus pithy). The regions shaded in cyan indicate the Dry gene region.
within the juicy subgroup was markedly lower than that of the pithy subgroup, which also indicates strong selection signals. Hence, our analysis provides evidence that intensive positive selection occurred on the *Dry* gene in juicy sorghums but not in dry, pithy sorghums.

### 6.2.7 Dry gene haplotypes among sorghum populations

We sequenced the full-length *Dry* genes across 60 sorghum accessions using Sanger sequencing to validate the resequencing data, which included most of the wild sorghums and representative cultivated sorghums (Supplemental Data Set 6). Sanger sequencing verified the variation data, which were then used to classify the *Dry* gene haplotypes across the 241-line sorghum population. In total, 67 variants were identified, including 30 SNPs, 36 indels, and a 1.8-kb PAV (presence and absence variation) in *Sorghum propinquum* and wild sorghums, which classified the *Dry* gene into 23 haplotypes (Figure 6.9A; Supplemental Data Set 7). The *Sorghum propinquum* line represents an independent haplotype with the most variations, and 22 different haplotypes were found for 42 wild sorghums, revealing a high degree of polymorphism (Figure 6.9A). Despite the existence of the diverse haplotypes in the wild sorghum population, these *Dry* gene variants did not lead to frameshifts or changes to conserved sites within the functional domains. Hence, the diverse haplotypes likely maintain the full functions of the encoded DRY proteins, which is consistent with the dry, pithy stem phenotype found in the wild sorghum and wild sorghum relatives (Supplemental Figure 9).

Within the 86 cultivated dry, pithy sorghums, we identified two haplotypes, Pithy_CHN and Pithy_SUD (named according to their most likely geographical origins) (Supplemental Figure 10), which mainly differed in the 1.8-kb PAV of the second intron and were exactly the same as the two wild sorghum haplotypes, 394_type and 353_type, respectively (Figure 6.9A). Due to the higher estimated Tajima’s *D* value for the *Dry* gene within the cultivated dry, pithy sorghum population than the wild sorghum population (pithy versus wild = 1.36 versus 0.83), we speculate that this dramatic decrease in haplotypes in the cultivated pithy sorghum population is due to a recent bottleneck. Such a bottleneck would correspond to a preferential loss of low-frequency variants (31). On the other hand, four juicy stem haplotypes were defined by four new variations, all causing the loss of function of the *Dry* gene by either the 12-bp deletion (Juicy_USA, mostly representing the accessions with no original collecting site information, which were likely bred in the US) within the conserved functional NAC domain, frameshifts (Juicy_IND), premature stop mutations (Juicy_ZIM), or deletion of the gene (Juicy_RSA) (Figure 6.9A; Supplemental Figure 10). An association test of the dry, pithy, and juicy phenotypes of stems across 134 cultivated sorghums (without the Juicy_RSA haplotype, as its *Dry* gene was deleted) showed that the three mutations were significantly associated with the loss of function of the *Dry* gene (P value = 2.37 × 10^{-6} to 3.07 × 10^{-9}). Another important mutation at the 3’ end was linked to mutation site 114 in Juicy_ZIM (Figure 6.9B).
Figure 6.9. **Variant alleles and association test of the Dry gene.** (A) Analysis of variant alleles of the Dry gene for different haplotypes, including one Sorghum propinquum line, 42 wild sorghum lines, and 198 natural varieties. Twenty-three ancestral Dry haplotypes were found among one Sorghum propinquum and 42 wild sorghum lines, two of which were retained in the dry, pithy landraces (86), and four dry haplotypes with juicy stems were found among the improved lines (112). The positions of the variants are shown using the consensus sequence of the Dry gene as a reference, with the start codon designated as position 1. The four most important variants are highlighted in red. Boxes indicate exons, and black bars between the boxes indicate introns. Deduced functionally important NAM domains are shown in blue. ATG, start codon; TGA, stop codon. Different symbols indicate different InDel variants, as described in the footnote. See also Supplemental Figure 9 and Supplemental Data Set 7. (B) Association test of the dry, pithy, and juicy phenotypes of stems across 134 cultivated sorghums; the Juicy_RSA haplotype was not included, as its Dry gene was destroyed. Forty-three variations occur in the Dry gene region in cultivated sorghum. Line, $-\log_{10}(P) = 2.9$. See also Supplemental Figure 1. (C) Phylogenetic tree of 241 sorghum accessions. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using genome-wide SNPs. Different colors indicate different haplotypes of the Dry gene. The scale bar corresponds to 0.03 estimated nucleotide substitutions per site. Numbers in the nodes indicate the bootstrap values from 1000 trials (see also Supplemental Files 1). The alignments are shown in Supplemental Files 6.
Thus, our results suggest a strong association between the juicy/dry, pithy stem phenotype and the Dry haplotypes in cultivated sorghum.

Phylogenetic and population structural analysis further showed that the Pithy_CHN haplotype in cultivated sorghum is likely most closely related to wild sorghum (Figure 6.9C; Supplemental Figure 10 and Supplemental Files 1 and 6). Another obvious link was that the Juicy_IND haplotype might have been derived from the Pithy_CHN haplotype by deliberate breeding selection for the dry alleles (Figure 6.9C). The Juicy_USA haplotype has roughly the same geographical distribution as the Juicy_RSA haplotype (Supplemental Data Set 1 and Supplemental Figure 10; primarily collected from the GRIN database). The Pithy_SUD haplotype appeared to represent an independent subgroup and was closely related to the Juicy_ZIM haplotype. The most prominent juicy haplotype, Juicy_RSA (representing 57% of the juicy stem sorghum lines), with the deletion of the Dry gene, had a mixed background and might have been derived from the Pithy_CHN haplotype via breeding selection.

6.2.8 Conservation of the Dry gene in cereals
Cereal crops such as rice, maize, foxtail millet, wheat, and barley often have dry, pithy and sometimes hollow stems/shoots at the mature stage, which prompted us to examine the possible orthologs of Dry genes in cereals. We found that the genomic region surrounding the sorghum Dry locus shows strong micro-synteny with those of maize, foxtail millet, rice, and Brachypodium distachyon (Figure 6.10A). We examined the features of ~120-kb syntenic blocks in these genes, including 15 genes in sorghum, and found that most of the 15 genes had various numbers of orthologs and similar arrangements in four of these additional grass species (Figure 6.10B). Furthermore, we found that the Dry gene and its orthologs, including GRMZM2G081930 in maize, Seita.7G166500 in foxtail millet, LOC_Os04g43560 in rice, and Bradi5g15587 in Brachypodium distachyon, possess similar gene structures, with three exons and two introns (Figure 6.10C). These results imply that the Dry genes are likely functionally conserved in the five species and that the genomic regions containing the Dry locus in cereals may be derived from a common ancestor. Further work is needed to exploit the molecular functions of these genes.

6.3 Discussion
In this study, we characterized the Dry gene, an important sorghum gene controlling the stem pithy/juicy trait. Using a range of mapping resources, gene expression studies, and transgenic manipulations, we showed that Dry encodes a plant-specific NAC transcription factor. Our population genomics analyses also provided evidence that selection on the Dry gene has implications for the history of sweet sorghum domestication.

The control of stem juiciness in sorghum has been a long-standing question in the sorghum academic and breeding communities. This trait was first shown to be controlled by a single locus, Dry, over a century ago (6). Yet, the simple assay scoring
Figure 6.10. **Collinearity of the Dry locus in cereals.** (A) High collinearity in genomic regions close to the Dry loci in sorghum, maize, rice, *Setaria italica*, and *Brachypodium distachyon*. The genomic map was plotted based on BLASTP results of pairwise genome analyses from CoGe (32); each putative homologous gene-pair is drawn as a gray dot on the dot plot with its x and y position corresponding to the genomic position of each gene in their respective genomes. The dot plot alignments indicate the collinearity of genomic regions. (B) Collinear genomic regions around the Dry loci in *S. bicolor* (JGI v3.1.1), rice (JGI MSU v7.0), maize (JGI B73 v3), *Setaria italica* (JGI, v2.0.39), and *Brachypodium distachyon* (JGI v3.1). Genes are indicated by gray arrowed boxes, and shaded areas connect conserved genes. The 10-kb bar indicates the sizes of regions in sorghum, rice, foxtail millet, and *Brachypodium distachyon*, and the 20-kb bar indicates the sizes of regions in maize. (C) Structural comparison of Dry genes among the five species. The five genes show similar structures, with three exons and two introns. Gray boxes indicate untranslated region (UTR) sequences, black boxes the coding sequence (CDS), and blue boxes the NAM domain coding sequences.

The stem pithy/juicy phenotype described in this study was somehow neglected and has not been followed. Early studies also indicated that white/green midrib color co-segregated with the pithy/juicy trait and was tightly associated with the Dry locus (6-8). Such complications have made it difficult to pin down the juiciness gene(s) over the years, although the Dry locus has been consistently identified as a major-effect quantitative trait locus using various genetic populations and a number of phenotyping parameters, including extractable juice contents, green midribs, sugar yield, and moisture (9,10,12-14).

In this study, we explored the completely dry, pithy stem feature of the Chinese kaoliang sorghum. This feature appears to be more readily distinguishable than the juicy feature and remains quite stable across various growth conditions. This allowed us to...
score the pithy/juicy trait as the qualitative trait, as was done over 100 years ago, and to effectively build high-resolution fine maps in the linkage populations or within-gene associations in the GWAS populations. Much more effort is required to identify the genes associated with water content and their relationships with the Dry gene before we can obtain a holistic picture of the regulation of the juiciness trait in sweet sorghum.

We also noticed a close link between the green/white midribs and dry/Dry alleles. In sorghum natural population, we observed at least three leaf midrib colors, green, yellow, and white, and we did not detect any separation of the linkage between midrib color and the Dry alleles in the advanced RIL populations. This tight association is most likely a consequence of the highly effective visual selection of the leaf midrib phenotype. Hence, at this stage, it does not seem possible to draw any conclusion.

The origin of sweet sorghum remains debatable. Previous work showed that sweet sorghum could be found across all the major sorghum landraces (16-18). Indeed, our results provide evidence to support the argument that sweet sorghum originated following intensive breeding selection of the Dry gene performed simultaneously on various landraces. The observation that no juicy haplotypes were found in wild sorghum or early-domesticated landraces suggests that sweet sorghum with juicy stems occurred later due to breeding selection of the loss-of-function dry gene (Figure 6.11). The purpose for the early selection of juicy sweet sorghum was primarily for high-efficiency syrup production (33), while breeding selection for sweet, juicy sorghum has gradually been intensifying as this crop has come to be recognized as an important biofuel feedstock over the past several decades (1,2,34). The expansion of the Dry gene haplotypes from dry, pithy sorghum to juicy sweet sorghum also points to this tendency (Figure 6.11). Furthermore, the existence of two ancient Dry gene haplotypes in cultivated sorghums (Figure 6.11; Supplemental Figure 10) begs for further analysis of the history of sorghum domestication.

In this study, we provided evidence that the Dry gene might be an important first-layer master switch for the regulation of secondary cell wall biosynthesis in sorghum. Yet, more work is still required, including examining the molecular processes underlying how the Dry gene regulates cell wall biosynthesis and the subsequent accumulation of juice and sugars in the stem and how DRY functions within protein-protein interaction networks. Several sweet sorghum lines possess juice- and sugar-rich stems and have relatively high grain yields (1,2,34,35), implying that it is possible to breed sorghum varieties with dual purposes as a biofuel and food crop. The finding that the Dry gene has conserved orthologs in other major cereals suggests that this gene is an attractive molecular target for developing crop varieties that convert dry, pithy cereal stems into juicy stems for silage production without compromising grain production. Sweet sorghum is especially appropriate for this purpose, as it is regarded as a model bioenergy crop (35) with potential evolutionary relationships with major cereal crops (36), as well as genomic collinearity with other biofuel crops with more complex genomes, such as switchgrass and sugarcane (3).
Figure 6.11. Proposed model illustrating the origin of sweet sorghum via intensive breeding selection of the Dry gene. Twenty-three ancestral Dry haplotypes were found in Sorghum propinquum (red circle) and wild sorghums (blue circles), two of which (blue circles outlined in orange) were maintained in the dry, pithy landraces (yellow circles), and four dry haplotypes (green circles) with the juicy stems were found in landraces/improved inbreds. There is a clear bottleneck effect on the Dry gene during sorghum domestication. The Pithy_CHN haplotype and Pithy_SUD haplotype in cultivated sorghum are likely two independent subgroups. Another obvious link is that the Juicy_IND haplotype was likely derived from the Pithy_CHN haplotype by breeding selection. Each circle represents one haplotype of the Dry gene. The number in the circle corresponds to the distribution frequency of sorghum lines in different haplotypes: the larger the circle, the more sorghum lines. See also Supplemental Data Set 7.

6.4 Methods
(Selected sections relevant to bioinformatics, see http://www.plantcell.org/content/30/10/2286.long for the full Methods text)

Resequencing and SNP Detection
All 241 sorghum lines were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq X Ten platform using paired-end sequencing. Sequencing libraries were constructed using a TruseqNano DNA HT sample preparation kit (Illumina; catalog no. FC-121-4003) following the manufacturer’s recommendations and index codes were added to tag each sample. The insertion length and reads length were 350 and 150 bp, respectively. The average sequencing depth of all sorghum accessions was ∼5.67×. After trimming adapters and filtering low-quality reads (reads with ≥10% unidentified nucleotides [N]; >10 nucleotides aligned to the adaptor, allowing ≤10% mismatches; 50% bases having Phred quality score < 5), the clean reads were mapped to the reference genome BTx623 (v3.1) with Burrows-Wheeler Alignment software (version 0.7.8) using the mem command (37). While mapping, minimum seed length was set to 32 (-k 32), and marking shorter split hits as secondary (-M) was allowed. The SAMtools (version 1.3)
package was used to convert the mapping results to BAM format and to eliminate the duplicated reads and multi-aligned reads (38).

After filtering, the variations in each sorghum accession, including SNPs and InDels, were called separately using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK, version 3.1, HaplotypeCaller) (39) and the SAMtools (version 1.3) (38) package. Co-current variations detected by both tools were extracted after strict filtering. GATK was used to perform BQSR (recalibrating the base quality score) to obtain more accurate quality scores for each base using the co-current variations set as known sites (39). The recalibrated data after BQSR were used for variation detection by HaplotypeCaller in GATK. The co-current variation set (the same as used in the BQSR step) was then used as the “training and truth” set to perform variant quality score recalibration. At the same time, a strictly filtered variation set after GATK calling (the first step calling) was used as the “known” set. In total, 31,946,640 SNPs and 4,266,768 InDels were identified across 241 sorghum lines.

**LD Decay and PCA Analyses**

The LD levels in all three sorghum subgroups (Wild, Pithy, and Juicy) were measured based on the pairwise correlation coefficient ($r^2$) of alleles. The $r^2$ for each pairwise comparison of SNPs was calculated with Haploview (40) using previously described parameters (41), and the distance between each pair of SNPs was recorded. The average $r^2$ value for each distance was calculated, and an LD decay plot for the three sorghum subgroups was created using an in-house R script, in which the LD decay simulation curves were estimated using the smooth.spline function. Based on the LD decay values, the SNPs were filtered with MAF 0.05, maximum missing rate 0.5 and LD ($r^2$) 0.2, then the filtered SNPs were used to perform PCA with GCTA software (42), and the first two eigenvectors were plotted in 2D.

**GWAS**

GWAS for the stem pithy/juicy trait was performed using GAPIT (v2017.08.18) (43) under the MLM (PCA+K) model. SNPs for GWAS were filtered with MAF 0.05, maximum missing rate of 0.5 using VCFtools. The PCA of the filtered SNPs was determined using GCTA software (42). The first five PCs explained 38.95% of the variation and were adopted in the MLM model. The kinship matrix (K) was constructed according to the GAPIT manual.

**Haplotype Analysis of the Dry Gene**

The genomic sequences of $Dry$ gene were amplified from 60 sorghum accessions by PCR using KOD-Plus-Neo (Toyobo; catalog no. KOD-401) and sequenced by Sanger sequencing. The sequences were assembled and aligned to identify the variations. An additional 181 sorghum inbred lines were then selected as the diversity panel to examine the $Dry$ haplotypes.
**Phylogenetic Analysis**

The sorghum NAC and MYB protein sequences were downloaded from plantTFDB (44). The NAC and MYB proteins involved in the secondary cell wall synthesis in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*), maize (*Zea mays*), rice (*Oryza sativa*), and *Arabidopsis thaliana* were chosen as previously reported (23). The protein sequences were aligned with ClustalW, and a neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA 6.0 software (version 6.0.6). Bootstrapping was performed with 1000 replications. The online tool iTOL (45) was used to visualize the phylogenetic tree. The scale bar corresponds to estimated amino acids per site.

**Transcriptome Analysis of Sorghum**

Sorghum plants for the RNA-seq experiments were grown in the experimental fields at the Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Beijing, China) in 2016. At the heading stage, the stem pith in the middle internodes, including the 6th in Ji2731, the 8th in E-tian, and the 7th in transgenic line 56_11 and the negative control 40_9 in the Keller background, were collected for RNA extraction. Three different plants (biological replicates) were processed for Ji2731 and E-tian, respectively. Only one biological replicate was processed for 56_11 and 40_9. Total RNA was extracted using RNAsio Plus reagent (TaKaRa; catalog no. 9108) and purified using DNase I (TaKaRa; catalog no. 2270A). RNA-seq libraries were constructed using a NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB; catalog no. E7530L), and high-throughput sequencing was performed using Illumina HiSeq 2500. Reads generated in the paired-end sequencing were 150 bp long. After removing the adaptor sequences and low-quality reads, the clean reads were mapped to the reference genome BTx623 (v3.1) using HISAT (version 0.1.6) (46). FPKM (fragment per kilobases per million fragments) (47) values were used to measure gene expression levels with HTSeq (version 0.6.1) software (48).

**Selection Signal Scanning**

XPCLR software (version 1.0) (30) was used to calculate the XP-CLR score between the subgroups with a nonoverlapping window size of 10 kb. SNPs for XP-CLR analysis were filtered with a maximum missing rate 0.5, minimum depth 2, minimum genotype quality 5, and only biallelic sites with at least one of the reference alleles were retained. To calculate the XP-CLR score, the average genetic distances for Chromosomes 1 to 10 were estimated as 2.5, 2.6, 2.32, 2.22, 2, 2.6, 2, 2.64, 2.02, and 2.8 centiMbp, respectively, using information from previous studies (11,49). VCFtools software was used to calculate the nucleotide diversity (π) for each subgroup with a window size of 1500 bp and a step of 750 bp (50). Tajima’s D (51) statistic of the Dry gene was calculated using VCFtools (–TajimaD) with a window size across the gene region (Chromosome 6: 50,896,169 to 50,898,604 bp). The number of SNPs used to calculate the Tajima’s D in the wild and pithy population was 37 and 30, respectively.
Population Genetics Analysis
SNPhylo (version 20140701) (52) was used to conduct the phylogenetic analysis. SNPs of all accessions were filtered with minimum depth 2, MAF 0.1, and maximum missing rate 0.5 and LD ($r^2$) 0.2. Sequences were generated from the filtered SNPs and used to perform multiple alignments by MUSCLE (53). The maximum likelihood tree was then constructed by running DNAML programs in the PHYLIPI (54). Bootstrapping analysis for the tree was performed using the “phangorn” package (55), and bootstrap values were estimated from 1000 trials. *Sorghum propinquum* 302546 was used as the outgroup. All of the above procedures were integrated in SNPhylo with the parameters -p 5 -c 2 -l 0.2 -M 0.5 -o 302546 -A -b -B 1000. The online tool iTOL was used to visualize the phylogenetic tree. The scale bar corresponds to estimated nucleotide substitutions per site. The population structure of the panel was determined using fastSTRUCTURE (56). SNPs for structure determination were filtered with MAF 0.05, maximum missing rate 0.5, and LD ($r^2$) 0.2.

Comparative Genomics
For genomic collinearity plotting, BLASTP of SynMap on CoGe was used to perform pairwise genome comparisons (32). Genome sequence data sources were selected for the following cereals: *Sorghum bicolor* (BTx623, id331), rice (Nipponbare, id3), maize (B73, id333), *Setaria italic* (Yugu1, id32546), and *Brachypodium distachyon* (Bd21, id33982). Syntenic gene pairs were identified by DAGChainer (57) and colored based on their synonymous substitution rate as calculated using CodeML from the PAML package (58). The region from 30 to 62 Mb on Chromosome 6 of sorghum and its collinear regions in four other species are shown in the dot plot.

For collinearity plotting of the orthologs, orthologs of genes in the syntenic regions were identified using the PhytoMine tool in the Phytozome database (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/phytomine/begin.do). Sequences of syntenic regions around the *Dry* locus and gene annotations in five species, including *Sorghum bicolor* (JGI v3.1.1), rice (JGI MSU v7.0), maize (JGI B73 v3), *Setaria italic* (JGI, v2.0.39), and *Brachypodium distachyon* (JGI v3.1), were obtained from the Phytozome database. Genes in collinear regions were marked on the sequences with IBS software (59) according to the gene annotations.

For gene structure plotting, structure information was extracted from the annotations in the Phytozome database. Structures of genes were drawn with IBS software (60).

Statistical Test
The two-tailed Student’s $t$-test and one-way ANOVA were performed using SPSS 17.0. For values represented as means ± s.
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Chapter 6 Functional and population genomics studies on sorghum


Discussion
7.1 Contributions and limitations of the thesis

7.1.1 Investigating amino acid repeats across the universal protein sequences

This thesis starts from a systematic review (Chapter 2) of the biological significance of repeat patterns in protein sequences. As multiple repeat patterns are commonly intertwined within one repeat-containing protein (RCP), no uniform algorithm can uncover all cryptic repeat patterns. We explicitly defined and classified amino acid repeats based on repeating unit features, considering their sequence patterns and potential biological significances. We reviewed multiple state-of-the-art amino acid repeat detection algorithms and tools and developed a novel pipeline to recognize and integrate repeat patterns in protein sequences and construct the ProRepeat database (Chapter 3).

Unlike most previous databases containing specific repeat patterns, ProRepeat contains non-redundant perfect tandem repeats, approximate tandem repeats and simple, low-complexity sequences, covering the majority of the amino acid tandem repeat patterns found in proteins. We aim to identify as many repeat fragments as possible by applying multiple algorithms on the same protein, merging or distinguishing them based on repeat positions and unit patterns. ProRepeat found ~3.75 million repeat fragments in two million proteins from 0.1 million organisms (1). It is one of the few curated databases (1,2), allowing researchers to make comparative genomics analysis on multiple repeat properties such as repeat length, RCP length, repeat position and repeat codon usage in model organisms across different kingdoms.

Most of the early studies on RCP focus on specific repeat patterns in limited species (3-6), merely offering fragmentary pieces of the whole puzzle. ProRepeat can help researchers uncover the complete picture of protein repeats’ functional and evolutionary roles in the perspective of universal proteomes across all kingdoms of life. For example, the universal comparison among Eukaryota, Bacteria, Archaea and Viruses performed with ProRepeat supports the idea that large numbers of protein repeats arose after the divergence of Prokaryota and Eukaryota. Previous studies showed a higher percentage of proteins containing repeats within the *Drosophila* genus than in most of other eukaryotes (7). With ProRepeat, we could further identify that *Drosophila melanogaster* uses polyglutamine more frequently than other organisms.

ProRepeat also collected the corresponding coding DNA sequences of the repeat fragments, which is essential to infer their evolutionary backgrounds. Taking the example of the simplest repeat pattern, polyglutamine, which could play different roles in different proteins encoded by different genes or even in orthologous genes in different organisms (3,6-8). Previous studies identified long and conserved tracts of polyglutamine within the protein coded by human FOXP2, the first gene presumed to be involved in the development of linguistic capacity (9). The mixture of CAG and CAA codons of the polyglutamine tracts is one of the critical pieces of evidence to support their functional role (10), as they have a good chance to escape form the DNA
slippage mechanism (11), keeping the conserved functions of the polyglutamine tract in normal individuals. Using ProRepeat, it is convenient to study such repeats and genes.

7.1.2 From comparative genomics to comparative population genomics

Since the accomplishment of sequencing projects for human and other model organisms, methods based on comparative genomics became dominant and successful in locating novel functional elements, illuminating the evolutionary history of known functional elements, and estimating the percentage of functional sites in a genome (12-14). Comparative genomics seeks patterns of evolutionary conservation in aligned sequences between species to identify functional elements (15). It assumes that the mutations in functional elements should be removed by purifying selection, leaving a signature of sequence conservation between species. While traditional species-to-species comparative genomics methods serve to detect functional elements maintained over a long evolutionary history, they are not very sensitive to recently arisen functionality (16). For example, we found that the conservation of some repeat patterns among species is low, although some of these are involved in functional motifs of domains in model organisms. This makes it challenging to deduce their biological roles by traditional comparative genomics. Tandem repeats in proteins have been shown to play essential roles in micro-evolution by catalyzing the rapid production of genetic and phenotypic variation among organisms (7,17-19). Thus, the polymorphism of repeats within a species could offer a snapshot of the evolutionary history.

With the development of NGS technology, whole-genome resequencing generates a large amount of population variation data at a low cost. This boosted comparative population genomics, which integrates and extends methods and paradigms from traditional comparative genomics, population genetics, and evolutionary biology. It represents an exciting new prospect for detecting functional genomic elements, describing their functional relevance, and imputing their evolutionary history (16). Early successful studies in animal (20) and plant (21) demonstrated the utility of comparative population genomics methodology. Recent studies highlighted its power to answer old questions with new accumulated multispecies population variation datasets (22). Research on amino acid repeats also benefited from this: scientists have recently demonstrated that the length of the polyglutamine repeat in the \textit{ELF3} gene correlates with its thermal responsiveness in \textit{Arabidopsis} (23), and that protein-coding repeat polymorphisms strongly shaped diverse human phenotypes (24).

7.1.3 Practice on sorghum comparative population genomics

Sorghum (\textit{Sorghum bicolor}) is the fifth most important cereal crop globally and is among the first ten published plant genomes (25). It has a medium-size diploid genome (~730 Mb) among plants (the median size of sequenced plant genome is 575.5 Mb (26)). Compared to the highly repetitive maize genome (27) and large hexaploid wheat genome (28), the complexity of the sorghum genome is substantially lower. Nevertheless, research on sorghum functional genomics has lagged behind other major crops for a long time. A noted example is the single \textit{Dry} locus controlling sorghum
stem juiciness (29): it took over a century to identify the gene responsible for this agriculturally and commercially important trait.

In Chapter 6, we successfully decoded the Dry gene by comprehensive comparative population genomics approaches. We first resequenced 241 sorghum accessions with a broad geographical distribution, including wild and cultivated lines, which generated one of the most extensive sorghum variome datasets (30-34). We assessed and integrated state-of-the-art pipelines (35-37) and tuned the parameters for sorghum. We then performed GWAS analysis on the natural population of 241 accessions and traditional map-based cloning analysis on the segregation populations derived from crosses between pithy and juicy sorghums. The results demonstrated that GWAS works better than map-based cloning, as it can narrow down Dry locus directly to a single gene. It also demonstrated the advantage of the population genomics approach based on the high-density SNPs generated by NGS technology, compared to the traditional labor-consuming approach based on low-density restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP) and simple sequence repeats (SSR) markers.

We next attempted to trace the domestication history of the Dry gene in sweet sorghum, which is crucial for sorghum biomass and yield breeding applications. We employed multiple classical comparative population genomics approaches and tools. The Dry gene's haplotype analysis highlighted its high degree of polymorphism in the cultivated sorghum population, indicating the polyphyletic origin of sweet sorghum. However, the complete lack of juicy haplotypes in wild sorghum strongly supports its occurrence due to relatively recent and intensive breeding selection, primarily for syrup production. We found strong evidence for this by identifying a strong selective sweep signal between wild and cultivated sorghums within the Dry gene region.

Originating in Africa and subsequently spreading to different continents, sorghum has experienced multiple attempts at domestication and intensive breeding selection for various end uses. However, how these processes have shaped sorghum genomes is not fully understood. Our findings pave the way for developing multipurpose sorghum varieties with juicy stems for biofuel production and other applications concomitant with high grain yield. In addition, the conservation of the Dry gene in cereals shows its potential use in other crops. In addition to characterizing the Dry gene, our work provides tantalizing historical insights into the domestication of sorghum.

In a follow-up study published recently (38), we extend the variome data panel containing 445 sorghum accessions, covering more wild sorghum and four end-use subpopulations with divergent agronomic traits. The population admixture analysis shows the frequent genetic exchanges and gene flows among major sorghum subpopulations. The whole-genome selective signatures during sorghum domestication and improvement demonstrate that the Dry gene, which regulates stem juiciness, was unintentionally selected during the improvement of grain sorghum. Moreover, we extend the comparative population genomics analysis to more domestication and
breeding genes in sorghum and other cereal crops. Via the haplotype analysis of two genes, \textit{Sh1} and \textit{ShTB1}, which control crucial domestication syndromes in sorghum, we propose the domestication model of sorghum. Taken together, these findings provide new genomic insights into sorghum domestication and breeding selection and will facilitate further dissection of the domestication and molecular breeding of sorghum.

7.1.4 Principles to construct longevous biological databases

This PhD thesis presented two biological databases, ProRepeat and SorGSD (\textit{Chapter 3 and Chapter 5}). ProRepeat was first published in 2011 (2) and classified in the “databases of individual protein families” category by \textit{Nucleic Acids Research Database Issue} and the online Molecular Biology Database Collection (39). All the databases collected by the issue are expected to be maintained under the same URL for at least five years after the publication. Graduation or retirement of the database developers is not a valid reason for the termination of the database (https://academic.oup.com/nar/pages/Ms_Prep_Database).

ProRepeat was built on an ORACLE database using an academic license, which is essentially a commercial system with embedded schema, packages and functions. Although ORACLE is a powerful system offering many data mining, optimization and tuning tools, it was not an open-source software with sufficient flexibility to migrating, upgrading and maintaining. For example, the server running ProRepeat suffered a hard disk failure which damaged the database system in the fifth years since it published. The recovery from a backup was hindered by technical problems as our academic license of ORACLE has expired. Therefore, we only recovered part of the original functions of ProRepeat. The lessons we learn from this are not uncommon for biological databases. All amino acid repeat databases we reviewed in \textit{Chapter 3} are not available anymore. Currently, two newly constructed protein tandem repeat databases (PRDB (1) and RepeatsDB (40)) are running well.

SorGSD is a comprehensive web portal providing access to a database of large-scale genome variation across all racial types of cultivated sorghum and wild relatives. It contains the variome data from 48 sorghum accessions published in two independent studies (31,32). Taking lessons from ProRepeat, we employed open-source software (MySQL, Apache/Tomcat web server) and development tools (JAVA/JSP), which are flexible to deploy and come with support from the development community. SorGSD follows the uniform database constructing framework of biodiversity resources maintained by the National Genomics Data Center (NGDC), China National Center for Bioinformation (CNCB) (41) to support its longevity and renewability. Recently, an updated version of SorGSD was published (42). The variome data was expanded to 289 sorghum lines with SNPs and small insertions/deletions (INDELs), aligned to the newly assembled and annotated sorghum genome BTx623 (v3.1). In addition, we added phenotypic data, implemented new tools including ID conversion, homologue search and genome browser, and updated the general information related to sorghum research.
SorGSD provides a valuable resource for sorghum researchers to find variations they are interested in and download high-throughput datasets for further analysis.

7.2 Challenges and opportunities in plants genomics

7.2.1 Development of plant genome sequencing
After the first *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome was released in 2000 (43), only a few model plant and crop genomes were published during the first decade (2000-2010). Sorghum (44) was among these, which was considered a significant breakthrough because it provided an essential foundation and gave new insights for subsequent research. Early plant genome projects utilized bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs) sequenced with the Sanger technology, both labor-intensive and time-consuming. NGS technology significantly increased sequencing capacity and lowered cost, leading to expanded growth in the number of sequenced genomes. Nowadays, more than 1,000 genomes of nearly 800 plant species have been sequenced and published (26). The availability of these genomes, especially the high-quality ones, has facilitated studies of plant population genetics and functional genomics. In addition to a substantial increase in quantity, the quality of reference genomes has also improved. For sorghum, the improved reference genome identified 24% new genes and ~29.6 Mb more sequences with a tenfold reduction of genome error rate (45).

NGS technology has advanced the study of plant genomes and has provided insights into diversity and evolution. However, plant genomes more than animal genomes are often characterized by a high polyploidy, heterozygosity, and repetitiveness (46). This leads to erroneous or incomplete assemblies of genomes generated by NGS. Repetitiveness is one of the significant barriers to reconstructing complete chromosomes. For example, nested long terminal repeats (LTRs) found in a genome can span 20-200 kb, which is beyond NGS reads' resolving capacity. High heterozygosity, with numerous SNPs and SV between homologous chromosomal regions in a diploid or polyploid species, can drastically impede genome assembly algorithms (47). The centromeres, telomeres, and ribosomal DNA repeats remained unassembled in the early assemblies of the *Arabidopsis* genome (43), although it is small and not very repetitive compared to many other plants. Hundreds of plant genomes are still in a draft state, containing numerous unfilled gaps and thousands of unoriented and unplaced contigs (scaffolds).

7.2.2 Obtaining high-quality plant genomes via technology revolution
Third-generation sequencing (TGS) technologies (48) overcome the major limiting factor of NGS, namely short read-length. Two TGS platforms, PacBio and ONT, are currently available, enabling routine generation of read N50 lengths in the 20-50 kb range, that can span large repetitive regions where short reads tend to fail. Once again, technologies are revolutionizing plant genome sequencing and assembly. Nowadays, it is routine to adopt hybrid sequencing strategies that combine short reads, long reads with different insertion sizes, and other scaffolding approaches that provide further
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Genomic information, such as 10X (49), Hi-C (50), BioNano (51), and Strand-Seq (52). Although the long reads generated by the early versions of both platforms have a higher error rate than previous NGS sequencing platforms, they have facilitated genome assemblies with significantly increased genome contiguity, or completeness, compared to previous technologies (53). For example, a sorghum genome assembled by ONT reads reaches a scaffold N50 of 33.28 Mbps and covers 90 percent of the expected genome length (54). Other studies demonstrate high-quality assembly for three even larger plant genomes using ONT and BioNano methods (55). Moreover, many efforts are taken to alleviate the consequences of high error-rate long reads by either experimental or software strategies. For example, PacBio updated its circular consensus sequencing (CCS) approach to generate long high fidelity (HiFi) 15 kb reads with accuracy upwards of 99.8% at a 5x cost increase trade-off (56). Several plant genomes (57-59) have already been sequenced with HiFi reads and exhibited high quality and robustness.

With the improvement of TGS and scaffolding approaches, many draft plant genomes are expected to become finished genomes soon. An update to the Arabidopsis genome using ONT spanned chromosome arms (telomere to centromere) and resolved previously identified gaps (60). Soon after, another study corrected previous assembly errors caused by BAC sequencing due to a long repeat structure in the Arabidopsis genome for relatively little cost (61). The latest assembly of Arabidopsis accession Columbia (Col-CEN) resolves all five centromeres to derive insights into the chromatin and recombination landscapes within the Arabidopsis centromeres and how these regions evolve (62). The next target for plant genome sequencing projects is to build near-complete genomes (63). Except for Arabidopsis, gapless assemblies of more complex crop genomes were published, including rice (64,65), maize (66) and Ginkgo biloba (67). Meanwhile, the scale of plant genome sizes is remarkable, with the currently largest known genome (Paris japonica) having a size of 150 Gb (68), more than 1000-fold the size of the Arabidopsis genome. However, the biggest plant genome sequenced thus far is that of sugar pine at 31 Gb with a contig N50 of only 4.25 Kb (69). The advanced sequencing technologies also allow us to access these more complex genomes. PacBio and Hi-C enabled assembly and phasing of the octoploid sugarcane (70) and the even more complex allotetraploid peanut (71), teff (72), and broomcorn millet genomes (73).

7.2.3 Building plant reference pan-genomes and identifying structure variation

Early resequencing efforts in Arabidopsis (74,75) have demonstrated the vast genetic diversity across diverse accessions in plant species. The high degree of genomic variation observed led to the realization that a single reference genome is not adequate to represent the complete genomic repertoire of a species. A more robust and comprehensive approach to studying plant genomes is pan-genomics, which aims to capture all variation in a species. The pan-genome concept was introduced for the genome analysis of multiple pathogenic isolates of Streptococcus agalactiae (76), represented as the nonredundant collection of genes and DNA sequences in a species
A pan-genome broadly comprises of the core genome and the dispensable genome. In plants, the core genome is indispensable for survival; the dispensable genome may contain genes responsible for adaptation and survival in different environments. Comparison of the core genome and the dispensable genome among wild species and cultivated species can help uncover the effect of domestication.

The construction and interpretation of plant pan-genomes is generally more challenging than in species from other kingdoms. This is partly due to the presence of comprehensive structural variation (SV) in the form of copy number variants (CNVs), presence/absence variants (PAVs), and large-scale chromosomal rearrangements among the genomes in many plant populations. Recent studies show that SVs influence agronomic phenotypes and suggest that SVs induce gene fusion events. SVs can also be used as markers, to resolve complex haplotypes missed by traditional SNP-based GWAS. Identifying functional, evolutionarily relevant SVs is becoming a significant task in plant pan-genomes studies. In early plant genomic studies, SVs were typically identified by resequencing genomes of individuals using short-read sequencing technology, and comparing these to a reference genome sequence. Short-read approaches, however, have been reported to lack sensitivity (only 10% to 70% of SVs detected), exhibit very high false-positive rates (up to 89%), and misinterpret complex or nested SVs. To overcome these issues, some efforts were made to combine multiple SV-calling algorithms into a single pipeline to generate a unified SV call set comprising primarily overlapping calls.

Pan-genomic research in sorghum lags behind other major crops. As the first step to improve this, we recently published a sorghum pan-genome resource. We assembled 13 sorghum genomes representing cultivated sorghum and its wild relatives, and integrated these with three other published genomes to generate a pan-genome of 44,079 gene families with 222.6 Mb of the new sequence identified. We also identified SVs under selection during sorghum domestication and improvement, and demonstrated that this variation had important phenotypic outcomes to improve the crop.

### 7.3 Leveraging bioinformatics for future plant omics

#### 7.3.1 Towards long-read sequencing

Advances in DNA sequencing and high-throughput omics approaches provide researchers with a wealth of population-scale information. Bioinformatics has a fundamental role in exploiting and integrating this fast-accumulating wealth of data. Several large plant genome-sequencing projects, including the 10KP (10,000 Plants) and Earth BioGenome projects, were launched with the aim to sequence, catalogue, and characterize biodiversity. Population scale long-read-based de novo assembly will likely replace short-read-based whole-genome resequencing for pan-genome and population genetics analyses. The increased availability and affordability of long-read sequencing data require new genome assembly tools,
focusing on computational demand, contiguity, completeness, and correctness (91,92). Moreover, the field of sequencing and building plant pan-genomes is still in its infancy. The golden standards for a plant pan-genome are yet to be established. Questions about efficient data structures, algorithms, and statistical methods to perform bioinformatic analyses of pan-genomes gave rise to the discipline of computational pan-genomics (93).

Recent advances in genomic technologies, particularly long-read sequencing and whole-genome mapping, promise the production of high-quality plant genome and pan-genome assemblies and access to a broad range of SVs to assess their potential role in plant phenotypic variation (94,95). A new strategy of constructing an integrated reference pan-genome using a graph methodology is promising for high-quality SVs identification in population-scale (96). In the graph-based pan-genome, the reference and alternative genetic variants determined through genomic comparison are recorded as nodes and edges of a graph, respectively. The graph-based pan-genome enables fast and accurate computation for reads mapping and variation calling, but it is less readable by traditional approaches. Early tools have been developed to craft genome graphs in human (97,98). However, the tools and pipelines for plants are still not yet as mature as those for linear reference genome analyses. In Wageningen the PanTools software package (99) is developed that offers functionality to construct and annotate pan-genomes as well as sequence and homology search functions.

7.3.2 Facing new big data
Data-driven progress is one of the distinct advantages of bioinformatics. New data generated by new technologies helps answer old but significant biological questions or overturn classical but misleading concepts. For example, one of challenges of the protein repeat research is the limited number of manually reviewed records in the UniProt database. Most protein sequences were predicted by automatic annotation pipelines, possibly based on erroneous genome sequencing and assembly, imposing multi-level challenges for genome and protein databases (100). Upcoming technology promises to sequence single proteins at single-amino acid resolution (101). Furthermore, new deep learning algorithms can make highly accurate protein structure predictions by incorporating physical, biological, and bioinformatics knowledge (102,103). Using these high-quality data, the research on protein repeats on a large population scale should become more reliable (24).

Many classical tools and algorithms are resurgent, promoted by the newly generated high-quality data. For example, the widely used MUMmer software for genome alignment has been updated to a new version after more than a decade of stasis. It can now work with input sequences of any biologically realistic length by altering the 32-bit suffix tree data structure to a 48-bit suffix array (104). The graph extension of the classic Burrows-Wheeler transform (BWT) algorithm is used to store the haplotypes and make the index for accelerating read mapping speed on a graph pan-genome (105,106).
The rapid increase in availability of various omics technologies also leads to the dissemination of poorly curated datasets in the form of raw collections or preliminary draft results. Consequently, this can affect the establishment of stable and reliable resources. Advances in gene annotation have lagged behind improvements in genome assembly, and generating accurate gene predictions is still a major limitation. Improving annotation quality will require new technologies and new algorithms to make better predictions of functional genomic elements. Currently, handling the datasets from hundreds of samples is still a huge task, particularly for species with large genomes, such as wheat. To deal with 10K or more genomes from different plant species will be a challenge in the near future. While the development of computing technology may partially solve this problem, new strategies are needed to store, present, and analyze the new big data.

### 7.3.3 Integrating and interpreting multi-omics data

Nowadays, the target of plant omics is shifting from harnessing the potential of modern genome resources and characterizing allelic variations, to creating novel diversity and facilitating their rapid and efficient incorporation in crop improvement programs (107). Some pioneering works start to design future crops by *de novo* domestication (108), high-throughput phenotyping (109), speed breeding (110), genomics selection (111) and gene editing (112). These works generate multi-omics data across a hierarchy of biological scales, such as genome sequence, epigenomic marks, three-dimensional chromosome conformation, gene expression data, organismal phenotypes, and field ecosystem (113). It is a major task for bioinformatics to integrating and interpreting the large, noisy, and heterogeneous data set by further exploiting advanced computational approaches.

Machine learning is a promising approach to tackle the complexity of the multi-omics data (114). Using deep learning (115), the massive omics data provides a rich training resource. The main architectures of deep learning include feed-forward, convolutional and recurrent (116), which are applicable for different omics data including biological sequences, semantic texts and phenomic images at various biochemical, cellular and macroscopic levels. In plant omics and crop improvement, one of the key steps to design the deep learning approach is understanding and integrating information flow among different data levels. In addition, researchers must be aware of the need for sufficient high-quality labelled data for deep learning. Last but not least, although deep learning can achieve high accuracy, interpreting results is more challenging than standard statistical models. A good practice is to compare against simpler machine learning models on the same dataset.
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Summary

The quest for analyzing ever growing volumes of molecular biological data has been a prominent endeavor for bioinformatics for decades. With the advances in sequencing technology, more abundant omics data became accessible. This was accompanied by progress in bioinformatics methodology, to study these data more efficiently. This thesis is dedicated to developing bioinformatics approaches to analyze and manage large-scale genome, proteome and variome data for functional genomics and population genetics studies.

In Chapter 1, I give a brief overview of the history of bioinformatics, followed by a detailed discussion on the analysis of sequences of proteins and genomes, as well as the mining algorithms and managing principles for biological data. Then I discuss the prospects of applying next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology and bioinformatics approaches in crop omics research, combined with the formulation of scientific questions in crop population genomics and breeding.

I start from the mining and comparative genomics analysis of proteome and genome data across multiple species, explore the biological significance of amino acid repeats widely spread in protein sequences across different life kingdoms. In Chapter 2, I introduce the biological context of amino acid repeats and list well-studied repeat-containing proteins (RCP) and their functional roles. Then I review and classify amino acid repeat detection strategies, discuss their algorithmic framework and application context. Since no single algorithm can detect all repeat patterns, I propose that multiple algorithms should be combined to identify different repeat patterns. In Chapter 3, I implement several amino acid repeat detection algorithms, develop integrated data mining and annotation procedures, extract amino acid repeat sequences, annotations, and cross-references from public protein and DNA databases, and construct a specialized database (ProRepeat) to manage and explore protein repeats and do comparative analyses.

In the following chapters, I focus on research in crop plant population genetics and functional genomics promoted by NGS technology. After reviewing the progress of NGS technology and its application to studying sorghum omics and breeding in Chapter 4, I describe the whole-genome resequencing and variation detection across hundreds of sorghum germplasms and the development of a sorghum SNP database (SorGSD) to store the sorghum variome data in Chapter 5. Using the sorghum genomics data, I apply multiple bioinformatics approaches, combined with population genetics and experimental methods, to study the sorghum key gene for a vital breeding trait in Chapter 6. The aim of this analysis is to disclose crucial genes functional and evolutionary roles during sorghum domestication and improvement. In Chapter 7, I first summarize the main results and contributions of this thesis, then discuss the limitations in the thesis that should be improved or are worthy for further study, and finally propose future research directions for bioinformatics in crop research.
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