



In vitro inhibitory activities of two browse extracts on larval exsheathment of goat nematodes

G. Mengistu^{a,b}, H. Hoste^{c,d}, W.H. Hendriks^{a,e}, W.F. Pellikaan^a

^aAnimal Nutrition Group, Department of Animal Sciences, Wageningen University, P.O. Box 338, 6700 AH, Wageningen, the Netherlands

^bDepartment of Animal, Rangeland and Wildlife Sciences, Mekelle University, P.O. Box 231, Mekelle, Ethiopia

^cUMR 1225 IHAP INRA/ENVT, 23 Chemin des Capelles, Toulouse F-31076, France

^dUniversité de Toulouse, INP-ENVT, 23 Chemin des Capelles, Toulouse F-31076, France

^eDepartment of Animal Health, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80163, 3508 TD, Utrecht, the Netherlands

Background

Economic losses due to infections with gastrointestinal nematodes (GINs) represent a major challenge for small ruminant producers in outdoor grazing systems¹. *Haemonchus contortus* and *Trichostrongylus colubriformis* are the most widely distributed GINs in the tropics and sub-tropics. Forages possessing plant secondary metabolites (PSM) have potential use as alternative natural treatments, either as herbal drugs or nutraceuticals². Such approach provides a practical, sustainable^{3,4} and affordable⁵ alternative for control of GINs. The anthelmintic properties of tannins have been extensively studied⁶, but these properties depend on the source and type of tannins, and on the presence of other PSM.

Objectives

The present study aimed at examining anthelmintic properties of two tannin-containing browse against *H. contortus* and *T. colubriformis* infective larval stage (L₃), and to compare larval susceptibility to extracts.

Materials and Methods

Leaves of *Capparis tomentosa* (CT) and *Dodonea angustifolia* (DA) extracted with 70/30% acetone/water



Determination of condensed tannins was by the modified Butanol-HCl method⁷; and total phenols using Folin Ciocalteu method⁸

Condensed tannins: (6.8, CT); (9.3, DA) Abs₅₅₀nm/g DM
Total phenols : (10.2, CT); (66.2, DA) mg TA eq./g DM

Larval Exsheathment Inhibition Assay (LEIA)

L₃ (ca. 1000 L₃/ml) from monospecifically infected goats

Treatments: 1200, 600, 300, 150 and 0 (control) µg extract/ml Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)

L₃ treated for 3hrs, then centrifuged, washed 3× with PBS and artificial exsheathment induced using 40 µl exsheathment fluid

L₃ exsheathment recorded microscopically at 0, 20, 40, and 60 min

$$\% \text{ Exsheathed} = \frac{\text{Exsheathed}}{\text{Ensheathed} + \text{Exsheathed}} \times 100$$



Fig. (A) Ensheathed L₃, 100×; (B) Exsheathed L₃, 400×; (C) L₃ sheath, 100×

Results

Table 1

Dose dependent effect of browse extracts on *H. contortus* (HC) and *T. colubriformis* (TC) exsheathment (%)

Nematode species	Browse species	Dose (µg/ml PBS)					SEM	P-value
		0	150	300	600	1200		
HC	<i>C. tomentosa</i>	97	89	72	8	0	4.07	<0.0001
	<i>D. angustifolia</i>	100	70	57	10	0	10.39	<0.0001
TC	<i>C. tomentosa</i>	95	70	62	5	0	5.43	<0.0001
	<i>D. angustifolia</i>	80	64	56	16	0	9.79	<0.0001

Table 2

EC₅₀ (Effective concentration at 50% inhibition, µg/ml PBS) values for *H. contortus* (HC) and *T. colubriformis* (TC) from browse extracts

Nematode species	Browse species	EC ₅₀	Confidence interval (95%)	
			Upper	Lower
HC	<i>C. tomentosa</i>	333	383	289
	<i>D. angustifolia</i>	276	425	132
TC	<i>C. tomentosa</i>	360	500	260
	<i>D. angustifolia</i>	387	491	217

Conclusions

The two browse extracts exhibited anthelmintic properties against both nematode species and the EC₅₀ values showed higher susceptibility of *H. contortus*. These *in vitro* Results suggest the possible use of both browse species for simultaneous control of *H. contortus* and *T. colubriformis*. There is, however, a need to confirm results *in vivo*.

References

- FAO (2002) FAO animal production and health paper. 153. Italy, Rome;
- Oliveira et al. (2011) Rev. Bras. Parasitol. Vet. 20, 155–160;
- Sokerya and Preston (2003) Livestock Res. Rural Dev. 15,8;
- Max et al. (2007) J. Anim. Sci. 37, 97-104;
- Ben Salem et al. (2008) Small. Rum. Res. 77, 174-194;
- Hoste et al. (2012) Vet. Parasitol. 186, 18–27;
- Makkar (2003) FAO/IAEA working document. pp 4-6, Vienna, Austria;
- Grabber et al. (2013) J. Agric. Food Chem. 61,2669-2678.

Acknowledgements

This work has been funded by the Netherlands Fellowship program (NUFFIC), Wageningen Institute of Animal Sciences (WIAS) and INRA, Toulouse.

