Mass spectrometric fragmentation patterns discriminate C1- and C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides from their non-oxidised and reduced forms
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ABSTRACT

Lytic polysaccharide monoxygenases (LPMOs) are powerful enzymes that degrade recalcitrant polysaccharides, such as cellulose. However, the identification of LPMO-generated C1- and C4-oxidised oligosaccharides is far from straightforward. In particular, their fragmentation patterns have not been well established when using mass spectrometry. Hence, we studied the fragmentation behaviours of non-, C1- and C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides, including their sodium borodeuteride-reduced forms, by using hydrophilic interaction chromatography and negative ion mode collision induced dissociation - mass spectrometry. Non-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides showed predominantly C- and A-type cleavages. In comparison, C4-oxidised ones underwent B-/Y- and X-cleavage close to the oxidised non-reducing end, while closer to the reducing end C-/Z- and A-fragmentation predominated. C1-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides showed extensively A-cleavage. Reduced oligosaccharides showed predominant glycosidic bond cleavage, both B-/Y- and C-/Z-, close to the non-reducing end. Our findings provide signature mass spectrometric fragmentation patterns to unambiguously elucidate the catalytic behaviour and classification of LPMOs.

1. Introduction

An important step in the valorisation of lignocellulose is the enzymatic degradation of the (hemi-)cellulosic fraction into fermentable monosaccharides (Ragauskas et al., 2006). Hereto, hydrolytic polysaccharide degrading enzymes were considered unique for a long time. Therefore, they are abundantly present in commercial enzyme cocktails. Nevertheless, lytic polysaccharide monoxygenases (LPMOs) have been shown to boost hydrolases via oxidative cleavage of β-(1→4)-linkages in recalcitrant polysaccharides, such as cellulose. However, the identification of LPMO-generated C1- and/or C4-oxidised oligosaccharides is far from straightforward. In particular, their fragmentation patterns have not been well established when using mass spectrometry. Hence, we studied the fragmentation behaviours of non-, C1- and C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides, including their sodium borodeuteride-reduced forms, by using hydrophilic interaction chromatography and negative ion mode collision induced dissociation - mass spectrometry. Non-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides showed predominantly C- and A-type cleavages. In comparison, C4-oxidised ones underwent B-/Y- and X-cleavage close to the oxidised non-reducing end, while closer to the reducing end C-/Z- and A-fragmentation predominated. C1-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides showed extensively A-cleavage. Reduced oligosaccharides showed predominant glycosidic bond cleavage, both B-/Y- and C-/Z-, close to the non-reducing end. Our findings provide signature mass spectrometric fragmentation patterns to unambiguously elucidate the catalytic behaviour and classification of LPMOs.

Abbreviation: ESI-CID-MS/MS, electrospray ionisation - collision induced dissociation - mass spectrometry; Mt. Myceliophthora thermophila C1; LPMO, lytic polysaccharide monoxygenase; PGC, porous graphitic carbon chromatography; RP-UHPLC, reversed phase - ultra high performance liquid chromatography; HILIC, hydrophilic interaction chromatography; HPAEC-PAD, high performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection; NaBH₄, sodium borohydride; NaBD₄, sodium borodeuteride; RAC, regenerated amorphous cellulose; Asc, ascorbic acid; SPE, solid phase extraction; FA, formic acid; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid.
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The identification of these C1- and C4-oxidised carbohydrate structures is far from straightforward, albeit various analytical approaches have been developed as thoroughly reviewed previously (Eijsink et al., 2019; Monclaro & Filho, 2017). Among those analytical approaches, high performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD) and matrix assisted laser desorption/ionisation - time of flight - mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) are mostly used. Although HPAEC allows identification of LPMO-oxidised compounds based on their elution time, hereto, not commonly available standards of oxidised oligosaccharides are required. The more complex the oxidised oligosaccharides are, which have been generated, for example LPMO-oxidised branched xyloglucano-oligosaccharides (Kojima et al., 2016; Ladeveze et al., 2017; Nekiumaite et al., 2016; Petrovic et al., 2018), the more challenging the identification of C1- or C4- (or both) oxidation is based on their elution time. MALDI-TOF-MS can be used to detect oxidised oligosaccharides based on m/z values, but the determination of C1- and C4-oxidised oligosaccharides is far from easy. MALDI-TOF-MS cannot distinguish isomers and specifically cannot distinguish i.e. the geminal diol form of C4-oxidised from the same oligosaccharide but C1-oxidised having the same m/z (Forsberg et al., 2011; Frommhagen et al., 2016; Frommhagen et al., 2015). Therefore, mixed C4-, C1- or both C1-/C4-oxidised oligosaccharides cannot be distinguished by this method. To overcome these challenges, other methods, which combine chromatography directly with mass spectrometry have been studied. Thus obtained mass spectrometric fragmentation patterns are seen as distinct signatures, and differ for each oligosaccharide structure (Chai et al., 2001; Kool et al., 2013; Plemninger et al., 2002b; Westphal, Kuhlman et al., 2010; Westphal, Schols et al., 2010). Various attempts to separate and identify LPMO-generated oxidised products have been reported, for example by using reversed phase - ultra high performance liquid chromatography (RP-UHPLC), porous graphitic carbon chromatography (PGC) and hydrophilic interaction chromatography (HILIC) techniques connected to electrospray ionisation - collision induced dissociation - mass spectrometry (ESI-CID-MS/MS) (Bennati-Granier et al., 2015; Frommhagen, van Erven et al., 2017; Isaksen et al., 2014; Westereng et al., 2016). However, most intriguingly, a systematic study to generate MS/MS fragmentation patterns of the different chromatographically separated C1- and C4-oxidised oligosaccharides has not been performed yet.

In a few studies, CID-MS/MS of oxidised cello-oligosaccharides has been performed, albeit mainly in the positive ion mode. The positive ion mode is known to provide multiple MS/MS fragments with high intensity, but the presence of multiple sodium (and ammonium) adducts complicates the spectra obtained (Deery et al., 2001; Harvey, 2000; Kailémia et al., 2014; Reis et al., 2003). Alternatively, CID-MS/MS of oligosaccharides can be performed in negative ion mode. This technique has been shown for non-oxidised oligosaccharides to give lower background noise, thus clearer spectra, and the fragmentation behaviours are suggested to be more specific and predictable (Chai et al., 1998; Chai et al., 2001; Gao et al., 2015; Harvey, 2005a, 2005b; Lawson et al., 1990).

Furthermore, reduction of oligosaccharides has been shown to assist in their unambiguous separation and identification. Well known is the sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) initiated reduction of oligosaccharides to avoid α/β-anomers in various chromatographic approaches and co-occurring loss of the signal (Abdel-Aker et al., 1951; Barr et al., 1996; Beeson et al., 2012; Hantus et al., 1997; Kawasaki et al., 2000; Mazumder & York, 2010; Vinogradov et al., 2002; York et al., 1996). At the same time, sodium borodeuteride (NaBD₄) has been shown to label the reducing end of carbohydrates, which is helpful for the structural determination of many different oligosaccharides (Ring & Selvendran, 1978; Selvendran & King, 1989; Xie et al., 2004).

In this study, we investigated the CID-MS/MS fragmentation patterns of non-oxidised, LPMO-generated C1- and C4-oxidised cellooligosaccharides in negative ion mode. Moreover, these cello-oligosaccharides were reduced by using NaBD₄ and fragmentation behaviours of the resulting oligosaccharides were studied. It is hypothesised that oxidised cello-oligosaccharides show distinct signature fragmentation patterns compared to their non-oxidised and reduced forms. Unambiguous signature fragmentation patterns of C1- and C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides will allow further understanding of LPMO mechanisms and their oxidised products.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Carbohydrates, cellulose substrate and other chemicals

Galactose, NaBD₄ and ammonium acetate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Cellobiose, cellotriose, cellotriose, cellopentaoese and cellohexaoase were purchased from Megazyme (Bray, Ireland). Regenerated amorphous cellulose (RAC) was prepared from Avicel PH-101 (Sigma-Aldrich) as described previously (Frommhagen et al., 2015). Ascorbic acid (Asc) and sorbitol were purchased from VWR International (Radnor, PA, USA). All water used was produced by a Milli-Q system (Millipore, Molsheim, France), unless mentioned otherwise.

2.2. Generation of non-, C4- and C1-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides by MtLPMO9E and MtLPMO9I from RAC

RAC was suspended in 50 mM ammonium acetate buffer (pH 5.0) to a concentration of 2 mg/mL. Subsequently, each LPMO from Myceliophthora thermofila C1 (MtLPMO9E (MTCTH_79765, UniProt ID: G2Q7AS) and MtLPMO9I (MTCTH_2299721, UniProt ID: G2Q774)) was added to the corresponding RAC suspension (in the presence of 1 mM Asc (final concentration)) at a concentration of 2 μM. The expression, production and purification of MtLPMO9E and MtLPMO9I together with determination of their protein content and purity are described in Supplementary information and Fig. S1. Control reactions were performed without the addition of Asc. MtLPMO9E incubations were carried out at 50 °C and those with MtLPMO9I at 30 °C by using an Eppendorf Thermomixer comfort, placed in a vertical direction, at 800 rpm (24 h reaction; 500 μL total volume). All incubations were performed in duplicate. The reactions were stopped by immediately separating supernatants and pellets through centrifugation 22,000 × g, 15 min, 4 °C in a table centrifuge. The resulting supernatants were collected and cleaned up directly or after reduction with solid phase extraction (SPE) as described in section 2.3 prior to analysis.

2.3. Reduction of non- and oxidised-cello-oligosaccharides with NaBD₄ and clean-up with SPE

Reduction was performed by adding 200 μL freshly prepared 0.5 M NaBD₄ to 200 μL of the standard mixture (containing cellobiose, cellotetraose, cellopentaose and cellohexaoase, 50 μg/mL each) and of supernatants obtained from the MtLPMO9E- and MtLPMO9I-RAC incubations at room temperature (20 °C) for 20 h. A clean-up procedure for reduced and non-reduced samples was carried out by using SPE with Supelclean™ ENV-Carb™ columns (3 mL, Sigma-Aldrich). The SPE column was activated with 1.5 mL 80 % (v/v) acetonitrile in water with 0.1 % (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), followed by adding three times 1.5 mL water. Samples were loaded on the column and washed four times with 1.5 mL water to remove unbound compounds. Bond oligosaccharides were eluted with two times 1.5 mL 60 % (v/v) acetonitrile in water with 0.05 % (v/v) TFA and the obtained samples were dried under a stream of nitrogen at room temperature (20 °C). The dried samples were dissolved in 50 μL water prior to analysis.
2.4. Analytic methods

2.4.1. HPAEC-PAD analysis for profiling oligosaccharides

All samples, NaBD₄-reduced and non-reduced forms of (SPE cleaned) cello-oligosaccharides having a degree of polymerisation (DP) of 2-6 and NaBD₄ reduced and non-reduced forms of (SPE cleaned) supernatants of RAC incubated with MtLPMO9E or MtLPMO9I, were analysed by using HPAEC with an ICS-5000 system (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) equipped with a CarboPac PA-1 column (2 mm ID × 250 mm; Dionex) in combination with a CarboPac PA guard column (2 mm ID × 50 mm; Dionex). The system was further equipped with PAD. Two mobile phases were (A) 0.1 M NaOH and (B) 1 M NaOAc in 0.1 M NaOH were kept under helium flushing and the column temperature was 20 °C. The elution profile applied has previously been described (Frommhagen et al., 2016). Samples were diluted five times before analysis.

2.4.2. HILIC-ESI-CID-MS/MS for elucidating fragmentation patterns

Oligosaccharides in non-reduced and NaBD₄-reduced forms of (SPE cleaned) cello-oligosaccharides (DP 2-6) and NaBD₄-reduced and non-reduced forms of (SPE cleaned) supernatants of MtLPMO9E- or MtLPMO9I-incubated RAC samples were separated and analysed by using HILIC-ESI-CID-MS/MS. A Vanquish UHPLC system (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with an Acquity UPLC BEH Amide column (Waters, Millford, MA, USA; 1.7 μm, 2.1 mm ID × 150 mm) and a VanGuard pre-column (Waters; 1.7 μm, 2.1 mm ID × 150 mm) was used. The column temperature was set at 35 °C and the flow rate was 0.45 mL/min; injection volume was 2 μL. Water (A) and acetonitrile (B), both containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid (FA) (all were UHPLC-grade; Biosolve, Valkenswaard, The Netherlands) were used as mobile phases. The separation was performed by using the following elution profile: 0–2 min at 82% B (isocratic), 2–42 min from 82% to 60% B (linear gradient), 42–42.5 min from 60% to 42% B (linear gradient), 42.5–49 min at 42% B (isocratic), 49–50 min from 42% to 82% B (linear gradient) and 50–60 min at 82% B (isocratic). The mass (m/z) of separated oligosaccharides was on-line detected by an LTQ Velos Pro mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) equipped with a heated ESI probe. MS data were obtained in negative ion mode with the following settings: source heater temperature 400 °C, capillary temperature 250 °C, sheath gas flow 50 units, source voltage 2.5 kV and m/z range 300-1500. As MS/MS settings, CID with a normalised collision energy was set at 35%, with a minimum signal threshold of 5000 counts at an activation Q of 0.2 and activation time of 10 ms. Mass spectrometric data were processed by using Xcalibur 2.2 software (Thermo Scientific).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Negative ion mode CID-MS/MS fragmentation of non-, C1- and C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides separated by HILIC

The aim of this research was to define specific signature fragmentation pathways for non-, C1- and C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides by using HILIC-ESI-CID-MS/MS in negative ion mode. The specific signature fragmentation patterns of the NaBD₄-reduced forms of the non-, C1- and C4-oxidised oligosaccharides are discussed in section 3.2.

A mixture of commercially available non-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides with a DP of 2-6 (Glc₂-6) was used as the standard (Fig. S2 and S3). C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides (Glcₙ*) were generated by incubating MtLPMO9E with RAC only when Asc was present (Fig. S2 and S3). We confirmed its C4-specific oxidative cleavage with previously reported data of other C4-specific LPMOs, in particular by comparison of HPAEC elution behaviours (Fig. S2) and MALDI-TOF mass spectra (Frommhagen et al., 2016; Frommhagen, van Erven, et al., 2017; Kadowaki et al., 2018). In addition to Glcₙ* in the MtLPMO9E-RAC-digest, Glc₂-4 were also generated (Fig. S2, F and Fig. S3, B), which were confirmed by their elution time identical to the standards (Glc₂-4) by HPAEC (Fig. S2 and S3) and by their m/z-values in HILIC-ESI-MS (Fig. S4). C1-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides (Glcₙ#) were generated by incubating RAC with MtLPMO9I in the presence of Asc. Notably, we discovered a new C1-specific LPMO (Fig. S2 and S3) and confirmed its regioselectivity based on the comparison with previously reported data of RAC incubated with C1-specific MtLPMO9B and MtLPMO9D (Frommhagen et al., 2016; Frommhagen, Westphal, et al., 2017). Apart from Glcₙ#, Glc₂-6 were present in the MtLPMO9I-RAC-digest (Fig. S2, D and Fig. S3, C) and their identities were similarly defined as just described for the Glc₂-4 present in the MtLPMO9E-RAC-digest. It should be noted that minor peaks next to each DP of Glcₙ were also observed of which the identities remain so far unknown. Although these minor peaks might be of utmost importance to understand LPMO’s mode-of-action in more detail, their exact nature and significance are out of the scope of this research and not further studied here.

Mass spectra of Glcₙ and Glcₙ# analysed in full-scan mode with HILIC-ESI-MS indicated that these oligosaccharides were present mainly as their single charged deprotonated ([M - H]-) and formate adducted ([M + FA - H]-) products, while Glcₙ# were present only in their [M - H]-form (Fig. S4). In addition, the analysed m/z of Glcₙ# (m/z - 2 compared to the m/z of Glcₙ of the same DP) indicated that the C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides were in their 4-ketoalldose form. Previously reported geminal diol forms of Glcₙ# (m/z - 16 compared to the m/z of Glcₙ of the same DP (Isaksen et al., 2014; Westereng et al., 2016)) were not observed under the analytical conditions used. The Glcₙ# were observed as aldonic acids based on their m/z-values (m/z - 16 compared to the m/z of Glcₙ of the same DP; Fig. S4).
separation of DP3, 4 and 5 within Glc\_n, Glc\_n* and Glc\_n# (Fig. 1), with well distinguishable m/z-values, allowed generation of their MS/MS fragmentation patterns. Hereto, the [M - H\(^{-}\)] products were preferred, because fragmentation of [M + FA - H\(^{-}\)] products was either not obtained or resulted in complex spectra with various formate adducted fragments.

The MS/MS spectra of DP3, 4 and 5 of non-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides (Glc\_3–5) are shown in Fig. S5 and annotated according to the nomenclature developed by Domon and Costello (1988). The MS/MS spectrum of Glc\_3 (Fig. S5) showed two most abundant fragments Z\(_1\) (m/z 161) and C\(_2\) (m/z 341). The C\(_1\) fragment (m/z 179) was also found but in lower abundance. Cross-ring fragments were seen from the ions \(0.2A_2\) (m/z 281) and \(0.2A_3\) (m/z 443), and with consecutive water loss from the ions \(0.2A_2\) - \(2H_2O\), m/z 263) and \(0.2A_3\) (\(0.2A_3\) - \(2H_2O\), m/z 425). This further loss of a water molecule of \(0.2A_3\) fragments into \(0.2A_n\) - \(H_2O\) ions (or annotated as \(2.4X_n\) ions in some studies) has previously been shown to occur (Boulos & Nystrom, 2016; Mulroneys et al., 1999; Quéméner et al., 2015). With increasing DP of non-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides (Glc\_4 and Glc\_5), C-type fragmentation was still predominant as shown by the pronounced relative intensity of C\(_2\) (m/z 341), C\(_3\) (m/z 503) and C\(_4\) (m/z 665). In addition, the most abundant cross-ring fragments \(0.2A_n\) and \(0.2A_n\) - \(H_2O\) resulted from cleavages of the B ring (reducing end) and the B-neighbouring ring. Similar CID-MS/MS results of neutral \(-\beta(1\rightarrow4)\) linked gluco-oligosaccharides ([M - H\(^{-}\)]) with predominant C-type glycosidic cleavage and \(0.2A_n\) cross-ring fragmentation have been described (Chai et al., 1998; Palma et al., 2015; Pfenninger et al., 2002a; Quéméner et al., 2003). Although the MS/MS fragmentation patterns of Glc\_n in negative ion mode can be found in literature, we still provided our MS/MS spectra of Glc\_n here as the reference to better compare to the spectra of other types of cello-oligosaccharides.

The MS/MS fragmentation spectra of DP3 and DP4 of C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides (Glc\_3\* and Glc\_4\*) are shown in Fig. 2. The MS intensity of Glc\_5\* was too weak to obtain decent MS/MS spectra, mainly due to the very low amount of Glc\_5\* present in the MtLPMO9E-RAC-digest. Various attempts, for example increasing the dose of LPMO9E, were performed to increase the yield of Glc\_5\*. However, the yield of Glc\_5\* was not significantly improved (data not shown). It is thought that MtLPMO9E is able to cleave soluble cello-oligosaccharides having DP larger than 5, which has been reported previously (Kadowaki et al., 2018; our MtLPMO9E is MtLPMO9I in this study). Nevertheless, to our opinion, the clear signature fragmentation behaviours and diagnostic fragments of DP3 and DP4 are representative for C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides in general. The MS/MS spectrum of Glc\_3\* indicated B\(_1\) (m/z 159) and C\(_3\) (m/z 177) ions, which were m/z -2 compared to Z\(_1\) (m/z 161) and Y\(_1\) (m/z 179), indicating, as expected, that an oxidised glucosyl unit was present at the non-reducing end (A ring). MS/MS ring-fragments of Glc\_3\*, \(0.2A_2\) (m/z 279) and \(0.2A_3\) (\(0.2A_3\) - \(2H_2O\), m/z 423) were also found, in decreasing abundance towards the A ring. Surprisingly, the fragment \(2.4X_2\) (m/z 281) was detected, which has barely been shown to occur in negative ion mode CID-MS/MS of oligosaccharides so far. Fragmentation of the C4-oxidised cellotriose apparently resulted in loss of the oxidised end via ring cleavage leading to a \(2.4X_2\) (m/z 281) fragment. In the MS/MS spectrum of Glc\_4\*, the three most abundant fragments were B\(_1\) (m/z 159), Y\(_2\) (m/z 341) and Y\(_3\) (m/z 503). Hence, B- and Y-type fragmentation preferably took place at the glycosidic linkage at the right side of the A and A neighbouring ring, most likely influenced by the C4-oxidised group. In contrast, pronounced ions of Z\(_1\) (m/z 161), C\(_3\) (m/z 501) and C\(_4\) (m/z 339) suggested C- and Z-type fragmentation at the glycosidic linkage at the left side of the B ring, similar to the fragmentation of non-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides. This preference was further apparent from the very low intensity of C\(_1\) (m/z 177), Y\(_1\) (m/z 179), B\(_3\) (m/z 483) and Z\(_3\) (m/z 485) fragments. Likewise, for Glc\_3\*, A- and X-type of cross-ring fragmentation of Glc\_4\* was observed as \(2.4X_3\) (m/z 443) and \(2.4X_3\) - \(2H_2O\) (m/z 425). Hence, these \(2.4X_n\) fragments can be seen as diagnostic ions specifically for C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides. The intensity of the \(2.4X_n\) fragments decreased closer to the B ring, observed from the much lower relative intensity of \(2.4X_3\) (m/z 281) and \(2.4X_3\) - \(2H_2O\) (m/z 263). For the B ring and B neighbouring rings \(0.2A_n\) - \(H_2O\) fragments were predominant and decreased in intensity when situated closer to the A ring.

A summary of the MS/MS fragmentation patterns of the (C4-oxidised) cello-oligosaccharides, including signature fragments, is given in Table 1.

The MS/MS fragmentation of C1-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides (aldonic acids, Glc\_n#, Fig. 3 and Fig. S6) was very distinct from the fragmentation obtained for Glc\_n and Glc\_n*. In the Glc\_3\* MS/MS
spectrum (Fig. 3), abundant B₁ (m/z 161) and C₁ (m/z 179) ions were observed, which represented the cleavage of the non-reducing end glucosyl unit (A ring). Apparently, glycosidic linkage cleavage next to, or in the neighbourhood of the aldonic acid residue was less favoured. In addition, A-type cross-ring fragments were detected, especially from cross-ring cleavage of the carboxyl end (B ring; 2,4A₃ (m/z 383)). Likewise for Glc₃#, MS/MS of Glc₄# (Fig. 3) showed predominant cross-ring cleavage on the oxidised B ring and B neighbouring ring (2,4A₄ (m/z 545) and 2,4A₃ (m/z 383), respectively; Table 1). Again 0.2Aν- and 2,4Aν-fragments; not on A and B rings, but on the neighbouring rings of A and B

Table 1
Summary of fragmentation patterns of five types of cello-oligosaccharides observed in HILIC-ESI-CID-MS/MS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sugar type</th>
<th>Structure (β-(1→4)-glucosyl backbone)</th>
<th>Glycosidic bond cleavage</th>
<th>Cross-ring cleavage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-oxidised</td>
<td></td>
<td>C-ions are predominant</td>
<td>0.2Aν- and 2,4Aν-fragments; 0.2Aν-fragments are predominant on B ring, but decreases closer to A ring; 2,4Aν-fragments on B ring and B neighbouring ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-oxidised</td>
<td></td>
<td>Both B-/Y- and C-/Z-fragments; B/Y-cleavage is predominant directly next to A ring, but decreases closer to B ring; C-/Z-cleavage is predominant directly next to B ring but decreases closer to A ring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-oxidised</td>
<td></td>
<td>Both B-/Y- and C-/Z-fragments; glycosidic bond cleavage is less pronounced compared to ring cleavage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced non-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Both B-/Y- and C-/Z-fragments; glycosidic bond cleavage is predominant closer to A ring, but decreases closer to B ring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced C4-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Both B-/Y- and C-/Z-fragments; glycosidic bond cleavage is predominant closer to A ring, but decreases closer to B ring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced C1-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Both B-/Y- and C-/Z-fragments; glycosidic bond cleavage is less pronounced compared to ring cleavage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Negative ion mode CID-MS/MS fragmentation of reduced non- and C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides separated by HILIC

Non- and C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides were successfully reduced by NaBD₄ to their alditol forms (RD-Glcₙ and RD-Glcₙ*) and analysed by HPAEC (Fig. S7), while the obtained gluconic acid forms of the C1-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides cannot be reduced and remained in their aldonic acid form (Fig. S7). Through NaBD₄ reduction, the B ring of Glcₙ was converted to the alditol form with one deuterium ion inserted, which led to m/z of 3 higher compared to the non-reduced Glcₙ with the same DP (Fig. S8). Similarly to Glcₙ, Glcₙ* were reduced at their reducing end, however, the C4-oxidised A ring was also reduced; 0.2Aν- and 2,4Aν-fragments; not on A and B rings, but on the neighbouring rings of A and B

Fig. 3. Negative ion mode CID-MS/MS spectra of C1-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides DP3 (Glc₃#, m/z 519.2) and DP4 (Glc₄#, m/z 681.3). See Fig. S6 for DP5 (Glc₅#, m/z 843.3); average spectra across chromatographic peaks. The fragments are annotated according to Domon and Costello (1988). Blue solid arrows indicate most abundant fragments, while dashed arrows indicate fragments with relatively lower intensity. Carboxyl groups are indicated in red. A and B ring represent the non-reducing and gluconic acid end, respectively. C1-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides were generated by RAC incubated with MtLPMO9I in the presence of Asc (Fig. S2) (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).
reduced with addition of one deuterium ion, which was evident from the m/z observed. RD-Glc_n* resulted in an m/z of 4 higher compared to the non-reduced Glc_n with the same DP (Fig. S8). Constituent monosaccharide analysis after TFA hydrolysis showed that reduction of the A ring led the formation of glucosyl as well as galactosyl units (Fig. S9). HPAEC monosaccharide chromatograms clearly showed that galactose was generated in RD-Glc_n*, but not in RD-Glc_n after TFA hydrolysis. The generation of galactose after reduction of C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides and TFA hydrolysis was also described by Beeson et al. (2012). It can be hypothesised that the reduction of the C4-oxidised glucosyl residue leads to the formation of a hydroxyl group at the C4-carbon atom, whose conformational orientation is in equilibrium between the equatorial or axial position. The formation of the two types of RD-Glc_n* was also seen from the HPAEC chromatogram (Fig. S7). As RD-Glc_n* with glucosyl or galactosyl units have the same m/z values in HILIC-ESI-MS, we used RD-Glc_n* to indicate both (Fig. S8). RD-Glc_3-5 and RD-Glc_3-5* ([M - H]-) were well separated by HILIC (Fig. 4), allowing accurate characterisation of their MS/MS fragmentation behaviours. An overview of fragmentation behaviours of the reduced cello-oligosaccharides is shown in Table 1.

The MS/MS fragments of RD-Glc_n (Fig. 5 and Fig. S10) showed again distinct cleavage patterns. In the MS/MS spectrum of RD-Glc_3 (Fig. 5), B1 (m/z 161) and C1 (m/z 179) were abundant ions and mainly generated from the A ring. Closer to the B ring, glycosidic bond fragmentation was less pronounced indicated by the low relative intensity of B2 (m/z 323) and C2 (m/z 341). The same fragmentation was observed from the high relative intensity of Y2 (m/z 344) and Z2 (m/z 326) and low abundance of Y1 (m/z 182). Cross-ring fragmentation occurred, mainly seen from 2,4A2 (m/z 221) and more abundant 0,2A2 -H2O (m/z 263). RD-Glc_4 exhibited a similar trend of fragmentation as determined for RD-Glc_3 (Fig. 5). Again, glycosidic bond cleavage preferably occurred at the right side of the A ring and decreased closer to the B ring: Z3 (m/z 488) and Y3 (m/z 506) were the most abundant ions followed by Y2 (m/z 344), B1 (m/z 161) and C1 (m/z 179). Cross-ring fragmentation (2,4A2, 2,4A3) was indicated, like for RD-Glc_3, but to a lesser extent. The MS/MS fragmentation patterns as described above were also obtained for RD-Glc_5 (Fig. S10), demonstrated by the abundant ions of Y4 (m/z 647), B4 (m/z 485), C3 (m/z 503), B2 (m/z 323) and Z2 (m/z 326).

The MS/MS spectra of RD-Glc_n* (Fig. 6 and Fig. S11) displayed overall the same patterns and fragmentation behaviour as obtained for RD-Glc_n, which can be expected given their almost identical structures after reduction. The only difference is the deuterium ion at the C4-
position of the glucosyl A ring of RD-Glc_n* compared to the hydrogen ion for the RD-Glc_n oligosaccharides, resulting in A ring fragments of m/z +1. This mass difference in the ions containing the A ring still allowed to distinguish RD-Glc_n from RD-Glc_n*. As indicated above, reduction also formed A ring galactosyl units, which could have potentially influenced the MS/MS fragmentation patterns of RD-Glc_n*. Yet, due to co-elution these effects cannot be further specified and the absence of cross-A-ring fragments furthermore does not allow to distinguish both structures.

Ions of m/z 162, 180, 324 and 342 in RD-Glc_3* (Fig. 6) were annotated as B1, C1, B2 and C2, respectively, as they obtained m/z +1 compared to the ions generated from RD-Glc_3. Similarly, fragments of m/z 222, 264 and 282 were determined to be \(^{2,4}\lambda_2\), \(^{0,2}\lambda_2\) + H\(_2\)O and \(^{0,2}\lambda_2\), respectively. The similar MS/MS fragments having m/z +1 were also found in RD-Glc_4* (Fig. 6) and RD-Glc_5* (Fig. S11) compared to the corresponding annotate ions in RD-Glc_4 and RD-Glc_5, respectively. In the MS/MS spectra of RD-Glc_3* and RD-Glc_4*, m/z 161 was unexpectedly more abundant than the B1 ions (m/z 162). It is hypothesised that m/z 161 came from the internal glucosyl ions resulting from a double cleavage on RD-Glc_n*. Such double cleavage generating internal glucosyl ions (m/z 161) can also occur for RD-Glc_n, but cannot be distinguished from its B1 ion (m/z 161). This double cleavage has been previously shown on other types of gluco-oligosaccharides in negative ion mode, such as carbohydrate chains of glycoproteins having 3-linked N-acetylgalcosamine units and endoglucanase-digested xylogluco-oligosaccharides (Chai et al., 2001; Quéméner et al., 2015).

In summary, the five types of cello-oligosaccharides analysed showed distinct fragmentation patterns in HILIC-separated negative ion mode CID-MS/MS (Table 1). In general, both glycosidic bond cleavage and cross-ring fragmentation occurred, though they were found to be rather different for each type of cello-oligosaccharides. Predominant C- and \(^{0,2}\lambda_n\) (H\(_2\)O)-fragments were found for non-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides, as also widely described in literature. C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides obtained predominant B-/Y-type fragmentation on the oxidised A ring while C-/Z-fragments were pronounced on the reducing end B ring. Notably, diagnostic \(^{3,5}\lambda_n\) (H\(_2\)O)-ions were annotated for the first time, which distinguish C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides from other ones. Extensive A-type cross-ring fragmentation over glycosidic bond cleavage was found in C1-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides, which is a unique feature of these particular cello-oligosaccharides.

Though reduced non- and C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides showed identical fragmentation patterns, their structures can be distinguished by the different masses of ions containing the A ring. Compared to their non-reduced forms, reduced non- and C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides showed preferred glycosidic bond cleavage closer to the A ring.

4. Conclusions

In this study, fragmentation patterns of non-, C1- and C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides released by LPMOs and the reduced forms of non- and C4-oxidised cello-oligosaccharides were analysed by using HILIC-ESI-CID-MS/MS in negative ion mode. All type of cello-oligosaccharides showed both glycosidic bond and cross-ring cleavage fragments, but the fragmentation pattern of each type is distinct. Based on the outcomes of this research, also other structures of different oligosaccharides containing a β-(1→4)-glucosyl (cellulose-like) backbone, for example branched oxidised xyloglucan-oligosaccharides, can be elucidated by their diagnostic ions and the specific fragmentation pattern. The structural elucidation of these complex (oxidised) oligosaccharides will further help understanding the mode-of-action of LPMOs regarding their ability to oxidatively degrade a range of plant cell wall polysaccharides, including cellulose and xylan. Moreover, it can be envisaged that beyond the LPMO-field, our study contributes to the characterisation of (chemically) oxidised oligosaccharides in general.
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