Land Resource Study

13 A Forest Inventory of Part of the Mountain Pine Ridge, Belize

Land Resources Division/Oversees Development Administration/Foreign and Commonwealth Office Scanned from original by ISRIC – World Soil Information, as ICSU World Data Centre for Soils. The purpose is to make a safe Jepository for endangered documents and to make the accrued nformation available for consultation, following Fair Use Suidelines. Every effort is taken to respect Copyright of the naterials within the archives where the identification of the Copyright holder is clear and, where feasible, to contact the originators. For questions please contact <u>soil.isric@wur.nl</u> ndicating the item reference number concerned.

A Forest Inventory of Part of the Mountain Pine Ridge, Belize

i

EDITORIAL NOTE

During the preparation of this report the country of British Honduras was renamed Belize. Belize is therefore used throughout the report, but as the maps accompanying the report had reached an advanced state of preparation before the announcement of this change they still bear the name British Honduras.

A Forest Inventory of Part of the Mountain Pine Ridge, Belize

By

M S Johnson and D R Chaffey (with a contribution by C J Birchall)

Land Resource Study No. 13

Land Resources Division, Tolworth Tower, Surbiton, Surrey, England 1973

THE LAND RESOURCES DIVISION

The Land Resources Division of the Overseas Development Administration, Foreign and Commonwealth Office, assists developing countries in mapping, investigating and assessing land resources, and makes recommendations on the use of these resources for the development of agriculture, livestock husbandry and forestry, it also gives advice on related subjects to overseas governments and organisations, makes scientific personnel available for appointment abroad and provides lectures and training courses in the basic techniques of resource appraisal.

The Division works in close co-operation with government departments, research institutes, universities and international organisations concerned with land resources assessment and development planning.

CONTENTS

LIST	OF	TABLES	iv
LIST	OF	FIGURES	v
LIST	OF	MAPS	v
PART	1.	INTRODUCTION	1
		Preface Acknowledgments Abstract Résumé Descriptors for Co-ordinate Indexing Summary of Results Summary of Conclusions	1 1 1 2 2 4
PART	2.	THE PROJECT	5
		Origin of the Project Programme of Work Team Composition	5 5 5
PART	3.	THE ENVIRONMENT	9
		PHYSICAL ASPECTS	9
		Location Climate Geology Topography Water Soil (by C. J. Birchall) Fire Vegetation Fauna	9 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 21
		HUMAN ASPECTS	22
		History Population Past Forest Management: 1956 Working Plan Land Tenure Current Land Use Communications Markets	22 23 23 26 27 28 28
PART	4.	OBJECTIVES AND METHODS	29
		Objectives Sampling Strata Relaskop Measurements Regeneration Count Volumetric Measurements Other Observations	29 29 30 30 30 31

v

PART	5.	RESULTS OF THE INVENTORY	33
		Forest/Vegetation Types Stand Tables Standing Volume Volume Table Regeneration	33 33 33 34 34
PART	6.	CONCLUSIONS	79
		Exploitable Growing Stock Seedling Regeneration Silviculture Utilisation Research	79 79 80 80 81
PART	7.	REFERENCES AND RELATED WORKS	83
APPE	NDIXES		
1.	Soil P	ofile Descriptions	87
2.	Calcula	ation of Standing Volume in m ³ /ha	93
3.	Calcula Whole I	ation of Gross Volume in Three Pine Forest Types in Project Area	95
4.	List of	f Common and Botanical Names of Plants Mentioned in the Text	97
5.	'Old Gi	rowth Pine': Stand Tables and Volumes	99

LIST OF TABLES

•

•

1.	Summarised area statement for the whole project area	2
2.	Mean numbers of stems of pine per unit area in the whole project area	3
3.	Standing volume of pine in the whole project area	3
4.	Regeneration of pine in the whole project area	3
5.	Mean annual rainfall at 3 stations	10
6.	Temperature 1965-70 at Augustine and Cooma Cairn	10
7.	Incidence of fire inside Control Area 1963–70 caused by lightning and by human agency	14
8.	Provisional figures from the 1970 population census for settlements in the Mountain Pine Ridge	23
9.	Lumber production 1955-70	26
10.	Pine lumber production from thinnings, 1967-70	26
11.	Forest/vegetation types identified and mapped	30
12.	Area statement showing composition and total areas of 34 management blocks	35
13.	Stand tables showing numbers of stems of pine per unit area and the distribution according to size class in three pine forest types in 34 management blocks	37

14.	Stand tables for 3 pine forest types showing mean numbers of stems of pine per unit area and the distribution according	
	to size class for the whole project area	47
15.	Standing volume of pine per unit area in three pine forest types in 34 management blocks	51
16.	Summary statement of the standing gross volume of pine in 34 management blocks	58
17.	Overall mean standing volume per unit area in three pine forest types	60
18.	Summary statements of standing gross volume of pine in three pine forest types in the whole project area	60
19.	Local volume table for Pinus caribaea and P. oocarpa	61
20.	Regeneration of pine (<i>Pinus caribaea</i> and <i>P. oocarpa</i>) in four forest/vegetation types in 34 management blocks	62
21.	Regeneration of <i>Pinus caribaea</i> in four forest/vegetation types in five management blocks	74
22.	Regeneration of <i>Pinus oocarpa</i> in four forest/vegetation types in five management blocks	100
23.	Stand Tables Showing Numbers of Stems of 'Old Growth' Pine Per Unit Area and the Distribution according to Size Class in 34 Management Blocks	100
24.	Standing Volume of 'Old Growth' Pine Per Unit Area in 34 Management Blocks	108
25.	Summary Statement of the Standing Gross Volume of 'Old Growth' Pine in Three Pine Forest Types in the Whole Project Area	117

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Monthly rainfall 1958-70 at Augustine and Cooma Cairn	7
2.	Mean monthly relative humidity 1965–70 at Augustine and Cooma Cairn	7
3.	Temperature at Augustine and Cooma Cairn: mean monthly maximum 1965–70 and highest monthly maximum 1966–70	8
4.	Temperature at Augustine and Cooma Cairn: mean monthly minimum 1965–70 and lowest monthly minimum 1966–70	8
5.	Stem number curve for pine forest type 1.1	48
6. ·	Stem number curve for pine forest type 1.2	49
7.	Stem number curve for pine forest type 1.3	50

TEXT MAP

Location

.

.

Ì

ł

,

SEPARATE MAP

Forest/vegetation types (2 sheets)

6

PARTS 1 - 7

PART 1. INTRODUCTION

PREFACE

This report is the result of an inventory study made during 1969-70 of one of the principal areas of pine forest in Belize. It is published with the permission of the Belize Government. Although the main part of this study was a forest enumeration a considerable amount of other information was collected during the course of the work and is included in the report as background material. The text was written by D R Chaffey.

The project covered by this report was one of three forest inventories undertaken by the Land Resources Division between 1968 and 1971, the other two being inventories of the Chiquibul Forest Reserve and of the southern Coastal Plain.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors record their appreciation for the help and cooperation received from the two successive Chief Forest Officers, the late R M Waters and L S Lindo, and from the Forest Department's Counterpart Officer, O Rosado. The willing assistance given by the junior staff is acknowledged as is that given by the labourers engaged on the project. The authors also record their gratitude to Dr H C Dawkins, MBE, of the Commonwealth Forestry Institute for his generous advice on statistical matters and assistance in the computation of data.

Much valued help with the often tedious extraction and processing of data was given by Mrs M T Alford and Mrs A Y Johnson of the Land Resources Division and by two former members of LRD, M J Burke and D D French. Thanks are due to the Directorate of Overseas Surveys and to the Cartographic Unit of LRD for the preparation of maps and diagrams.

Among others whom the authors wish to mention for providing assistance or information are the following: J H Fateson, Geologist, Institute of Geological Sciences, London, K Gibson, Director of Surveys, D R Hunt, Botanist, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, B H Moody, formerly Divisional Forest Officer, Augustize, G R Proctor, Botanist, Institute of Jamaica.

ABSTRACT

The results of an inventory of pine in 240 $\rm km^2$ (90 mi²) of the Mountain Pine Ridge Forest Reserve are presented. The Mountain Pine Ridge is an area of naturally regenerated pine which is managed primarily for timber production but also for other secondary land uses, the most important of which is in-forest grazing of livestock. The forest inventory included the assessment of the volume of standing timber and of the replacement stocking.

By the interpretation of air photography of scale 1:10 000 and 1:20 000, nine forest/vegetation types were identified and mapped. The map accompanies this report. Four of the nine forest/vegetation types were sampled. Three of the four are pine forest types, distinguished from each other by canopy density, and these were sampled for both timber stocking and regeneration. The fourth type sampled is grassland with pine seedlings, which was sampled only for regeneration.

The project area comprises 34 management blocks. Results were computed separately for each forest/vegetation type sampled in each block.

As a background to the forest inventory, relevant aspects of the environment are described, with emphasis on soils and vegetation. The soils in the project area were the subject of a smallscale field study, the observations from which are presented. The principal type of vegetation is savanna, the distribution of which depends on the incidence of fire as well as on climate and soil.

RÉSUMÉ

Les résultats d'un inventaire des pins contenus dans une superficie de 240 km² de la Réserve Forestière de Mountain Pine Ridge est présentée. Mountain Pine Ridge est une région de pins naturellement régénérée, qui est exploitée principalement pour la production de bois mais aussi pour d'autres utilisations secondaires des terres, dont la plus importante est le pâturage de bestiaux en forêt. L'inventaire forestier comprenait l'estimation du volume de bois sur pied et du repeuplement.

Par l'interprétation de photographies aériennes à l'échelle de 1/10 000 et 1/20 000, neuf types de forêt/végétation furent identifiés et représentés sur carte. La carte est annexée à ce rapport. Quatre de ces neuf types de forêt furent échantillonnés au hasard. Trois de ces quatre types sont des forêts de pins, se distinguant l'une de l'autre par la densité de cime des pins, et dont l'échantillonnage aléatoire fut effectué afin d'en déterminer le volume d'arbres sur pied ainsi que le peuplement de régénération. Le quatrième type échantillonné est la prairie avec des sauvageons de pin, dont l'échantillonnage aléatoire fut effectué afin d'en évaluer seulement le repeuplement.

La superficie couverte par le projet comprend 34 blocs de gestion. Les résultats furent calculés séparément pour chacun des types de forêt/végétation dans chaque bloc.

Pour servir de fond à l'inventaire forestier, des aspects pertinents du milieu biophysique (l'environnement) sont décrits, en soulignant les sols et la végétation. Les sols de la superficie couverte par le projet furent le sujet d'une petite enquête sur les lieux, dont les observations sont exposées. Le type principal de végétation est la savane, dont la distribution est subordonnée à l'incidence des feux, aussi bien qu'aux sols et climats.

DESCRIPTORS FOR CO-ORDINATE INDEXING

Climate/geology/geomorphology/soil description (morphology, survey and mapping)/soil profile/ soil sampling/photogrammetric application/fauna/pedology/crown density/forest classification/ forest damage and protection/forest enumeration/forest exploitation and product/forest fire/ forest inventory/forest management/forest mapping/forest mensuration/forest sampling/forest pasture/forest stocking (potential crop)/forest working plant/forest yield/multiple use forest/ natural regeneration system/natural stand/Pinus/Pinus caribaea/silviculture/stand density/stand description/stocking density/timber producing forest/tree individual measurement/tree volume measurement/wood use/plant ecology/savanna/tropical grassland/tropical woodland/vegetation stratification/history/environment/Belize/soil physical aspect/land use (current).

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Area

Table 1 shows the total project area and its composition in terms of (a) forest/vegetation types carrying a stocking of pine and (b) other land.

	Area				
	ha	ac	% of total		
Total project area	24 422	60 346	100		
Pine forest*	18 956	48 840	78		
Grassland with pine seedlings	3 191	7 884	13		
Other land	2 275	5 611	9		
* Includes pine savanna	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			

TABLE 1 Summarised area statement for the whole project area

Timber stocking

Stem numbers Table 2 shows the distribution of the growing stock between three size categories, taking the project area as a whole. The values shown are mean values and are not qualified by estimates of precision.

d.b	h.		Number of stems				
CM 🕚	() in	per ha	per ac	% of total			
≥5.0	≥2.0	497	201	100			
≥7.6	≥3.0	281	114	57			
≥15.2	≥6.0	61	25	12			
≥25.4	≥10.0	10	4	2			

TABLE 2Mean numbers of stems of pine per unitarea in the whole project area

Standing volume Minimum estimates of the standing volume of pine in three size classes are shown in Table 3. Figures quoted are at the probability level of 95%.

. . :

The standing volume per management block varies considerably. Of the 34 management blocks which comprise the project area the lowest stocked has an estimated minimum total volume of 1 370 m³ (48 300 ft³) and the highest stocked a volume of 10 670 m³ (376 600 ft³). For growing stock of d.b.h. 25.4 cm (10.0 in) and above, estimated minimum volume per block ranges from 60 m³ (2 100 ft³) to 3 480 m³ (122 900 ft³).

d.b.h.		Minimum estimate		
cm	in	m3	ft ³	% of total
≥7.6	≥3.0	224 500	7 927 000	100
≥ 15.2	≥6.0	155 300	5 484 000	70
≥25,4	≥10.0	70 500	2 488 000	31

TABLE 3 Standing volume of pine in the whole project area

Regeneration

Regeneration is taken to include pine of d.b.h. less than 5.0 cm (2.0 in). The estimates of regeneration stocking (at 95% probability) made for the various forest/vegetation types in each management block range from nil to 1 343 stems/ha (543 stems/ac). The total areas regenerated at four different rates of stocking are given in Table 4.

TABLE 4 Regeneration of pine in the whole project area

Minimum s	stocking	Area				
stems/ha	stems/ac	ha	ac	% of total project area		
250	101	17 532	43 322	71		
500	202	12 623	31 191	51		
750	304	7 232	17 870	. 30		
1 000	405	2 869	7 089	12		

In most of the 34 management blocks regeneration is largely or exclusively of Pinus caribaea. In five blocks a significant proportion of the regeneration is of P. occarpa.

SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS

1. There will not be a significant increase in the present low rate of recruitment to minimum exploitable size for 10-15 years. Once the stocking of exploitable material does start to increase it will do so quickly and a preponderance of mature trees can be foreseen.

2. In the long term (ie 25 years plus) the present growing stock in all three pine forest types has the potential to produce fully stocked mature forest.

3. The reintroduction of controlled burning appears to be worth considering for most of the project area, both to inhibit encroachment by broadleaved weed species and to prevent the accumulation of fuel.

4. The paucity of sawlog material now and in the near future tends to encourage interest in the possibility of utilising some or all of the growing stock, before it reaches sawlog size, for the manufacture of industrial wood-based products. The limited supply of even small material which will be available suggests that the project area would be able to support an operation only of a type capable of economic production on a small scale.

5. The incomplete knowledge of certain subjects relevant to the management of the forest indicates a need for research. Subjects particularly deserving study may be summarised as follows:

- i. The ecology of *Pinus caribaea* and *P. oocarpa* and implications for silvicultural practice;
- ii. The rate of growth of pine, ways in which it can be increased and the response to thinning;
- iii. Artificial regeneration of both pine and merchantable broadleaved species.

PART 2. THE PROJECT

ORIGIN OF THE PROJECT

The inventory of the Mountain Pine Ridge evolved from a recommendation made in 1966 by the Forestry Adviser to the then Ministry of Overseas Development (Logan 1966). He advocated that the development of the pine resource should be given priority in the future programme for the government's forest estate and that the total standing volume of pine be increased by means of natural regeneration, the success of which was already being demonstrated in the Mountain Pine Ridge. A forest inventory of the Mountain Pine Ridge formed part of the proposals subsequently drawn up for projects to be undertaken in Belize by the Land Resources Division (Brunt, 1967). The other projects included in these proposals and subsequently carried out were a survey of the soils and agriculture of the Belize Valley and a forest inventory of the Chiquibul Forest Reserve. At a later date a third forest inventory - of the pine in the southern Coastal Plain was requested and undertaken.

Initially it was envisaged that the pine inventory should take the form of a classification of regeneration stocking on a height basis, this to be done largely from air photographs. In 1969 the proposed scope of the study was widened at the request of the Belize Forest Department to include a full-scale ground enumeration of both standing timber and regeneration. The study was to be confined to the more accessible parts of the Mountain Pine Ridge where fire protection had been effective for some years and which were considered to be already regenerated.

The project, as it finally came to be carried out, had four broad objectives; these are described in detail in Part 4 but can be stated briefly as follows:

- 1. The estimation of the standing volume of pine
- 2. The assessment of pine regeneration
- The construction of a volume table for pine to enable the volume of a standing tree to 3. be estimated from simple field measurements
- The mapping of the pine resource. 4.

PROGRAMME OF WORK

The programme of work was as follows:

October-December 1969	Air photo	interpretation,	mapping of	f vegetation	types.
-----------------------	-----------	-----------------	------------	--------------	--------

January-May 1970 Design of sample layout, field enumeration, preliminary calculations.

Final computation of results; brief investigation of soils; July 1971-March 1972 preparation of report.

The project area was divided by the Forest Department into 34 management blocks. Each management block was subdivided by stereoscopic examination of the relevant air photography into nine forest/vegetation types, of which four contained pine. Each of these four types in each of the 34 management blocks was randomly sampled for timber stocking and regeneration. The methods by which this was done are described in Part 4.

TEAM COMPOSITION

The project was undertaken by a team of three forest officers assisted by three forest guards and 36 labourers. The labour was divided into six inventory gangs. Two of the officers, M S Johnson, the Senior Forest Officer, and D R Chaffey, were from the Land Resources Division and the third, O Rosado, was provided by the Belize Forest Department as Counterpart Officer. Forest guards and labour were also provided by the Forest Department. A brief investigation into the soils of the Mountain Pine Ridge was made by C J Birchall, Soil Surveyor attached to the LRD Belize Valley Project. The inventory of the Mountain Pine Ridge was, together with the other projects undertaken in Belize by the Land Resources Division, in the charge of the LRD Project Manager. R Rose-Innes acted in that capacity until December 1969 and R N Jenkin was Project Manager thereafter. 5





C CROWN COPYRIGHT 1972

Compiled and drawn by Directorate of Overseas Surveys

PART 3. THE ENVIRONMENT

PHYSICAL ASPECTS

LOCATION

The Mountain Pine Ridge Forest Reserve occupies most of the area known until recently as the Great Southern Pine Ridge. It is bounded on the south and west by the Macal River and its continuation the Eastern Branch. The reserve extends north almost to the village of San Antonio and its easterly extremity lies at the divide between the headwaters of the Macal and Sibun Rivers on Sibun Hill. Augustine, the administrative centre and chief settlement, is located slightly west of the middle of the reserve and is approximately 160 km (100 mi) from Belize City, 80 km (50 mi) from Belmopan and 50 km (30 mi) from San Ignacio. The only other settlement of any size, San Luis, is 10 km (6 mi) south of Augustine.

The project area, which amounts to 250 km^2 (100 mi²), is confined to that part of the reserve lying east of the continuous zone of broadleaved forest, which occupies the northern and western margins of the reserve, and west of the Brunton Trail which runs along the divide between the watersheds of the Macal and the Eastern Branch. The south-west corner of the project area extends south of the Brunton Trail to the Macal River and is bounded on the east by the Forest Department road called Angel's Avenue. The location and boundary of the project area are shown on the location map.

CLIMATE

The overall pattern of climate is variable from year to year but consists broadly of a dry season lasting from February to May, followed by hot stormy weather during the middle months of the year; cooler temperatures are experienced from about September onwards, the onset of which coincides with the period of heaviest rainfall, and the weather then remains cool and wet until the arrival of the next dry season. During the latter months of the year and until the following February, short spells lasting perhaps three or four days of particularly cool drizzly weather may occur sporadically; these spells are called northers as they are associated with cold northerly winds. Towards the end of the dry season and during the transition to the wettest period of the year the combination of high temperature, low relative humidity and a high incidence of electrical storms presents a situation of serious fire risk.

Meteorological data have been recorded at three stations: Augustine (since 1949), Cooma Cairn (since 1958) and Mai Fire Lookout (1960-68). For several of the years quoted, data for some climatic factors are fragmentary or missing. The climate at Mai and Augustine is probably fairly typical of that of the granite basin. Figures for Cooma Cairn are more applicable to the hilly eastern part of the reserve.

Comprehensive tables showing monthly and mean annual values for the various climatic parameters which have been measured in the Mountain Pine Ridge, based on all available data up to 1970, are given by Walker (1972).

Rainfall

Table 5 gives an impression of the amount of rainfall in the reserve and Figure 1 shows the distribution of rainfall throughout the year.

Most of the Mountain Pine Ridge lies in the rainshadow of the Mayo Mountain massif and so the rainfall which it experiences is lower than it otherwise would be. This is illustrated by the comparison shown in Figure 1 of the rainfall values for Augustine and of the more exposed Cooma Cairn.

Precipitation is probably exceeded by evaporation for up to 6 months of most years (Wright *et al.*, (1959)). Charter (1941) and others have concluded that in the moist tropics drought periods can be said to occur whenever the monthly rainfall fails to attain 100 mm (4 in) in a month (see also Beard 1953). From the histograms in Figure 1 it can be seen that the mean monthly rainfall can be less than 100 mm in any month of the year.

Station .	Domind	Raint	fall	Poriod	Rainy days	
Station	Period	mm	in	rei Iou		
Augustine	1949-70	1 631	64.2	1951-70	154	
Mai	1960-68	1 532	60.3	1962-68	173	
Cooma	1958-70	2 101	82.7	1962-70	195	
Source: Belize Forest Department						

TABLE 5 Mean annual rainfall at 3 stations

Temperature

Temperature figures for Augustine and Cooma Cairn are shown in Table 6. Insufficient data are available for Mai Lookout for that station to be worth inclusion. Figures 3 and 4 compare graphically the parameters included in the table and show their monthly variation throughout the year.

TABLE 6	Temperature	1965-70	\mathbf{at}	Augustine	and	Cooma	Cairn	

Station		Mean		annual		Highest		Lowest	
Station	max	maximum minimum		mum	maximum		minimum		
	°c	° _F	°C .	°ғ	°C	°F	°C	° _F	
Augustine	29	. 84	19	67	39	102	6*	42	
Coome	24	76	18	64	28*	83	6*	43	

Relative Humidity

The mean monthly relative humidity at Augustine in the period 1965-70 varied between 70% (May) and 90% (January), with an annual mean of 82%. Corresponding figures for Cooma Cairn over the same period are 72% (May), 92% (January) and 83% (annual mean). Figure 2 compares graphically the relative humidities of Augustine and Cooma Cairn and shows their variation throughout the year. Data for Mai Lookout are too few to be worth inclusion.

Wind

Prevailing winds are onshore from the east, from which direction persistent light winds blow throughout the dry season. During the middle months of the year conditions are less predictable and the generally calm conditions are occasionally interrupted by strong depressions approaching from the east or north-east which may produce winds of high velocity and, in extreme conditions, circular storms of hurricane force. From October until the onset of the dry season, winds remain predominantly easterly to north-easterly, except for the occasional short spells already mentioned, during which cool winds blow from the north. Wind is an important factor in fire hazard and winds of hurricane force can cause severe mechanical damage to the crowns of standing pine; in extreme conditions, trees may be windthrown.

GEOLOGY

The Mountain Pine Ridge occupies the north-west corner of the Maya Mountain massif, the uplifted fault block which is the dominant geological and topographical feature of the country.













The central and southern part of the reserve and the greater part of the project area consists of granite intruded during early Triassic times, from which subsequently deposited Cretaceous-Eocene limestone has been eroded away. The mantle of karstic limestone remains in the northwest corner of the reserve, continuous with the massive Vacca Plateau, and there are occasional isolated limestone hills outlying. To the east the granite is rimmed by the north-west extremity of the crescent shaped lip of the Maya Mountain Plateau, a steeply folded formation of quartz-rich metamorphosed Paleozoic sediments dating from Pennsylvanian to approximately middle Permian times. Rocks of the same series of sediments circumscribe the granite to the north and south. Traversing the granite basin in a straight line running NE-SW is a prominent discontinuous vein of dolerite known locally as the quartz ridge. There are a number of other smaller post-Triassic intruded dykes.

Beds of plateau alluvium, banded sands and gravels overlying the granite to a depth of up to 5 m (16 ft) occur on the interfluves in the western part of the area at an elevation of about 540 m (1 800 ft). These deposits are attributed by Dixon (1956) to former interference with drainage from the hills by the limestone mantle. Wright *et al.* (1959) suggest that much of this part of the reserve may have become in post Cretaceous times a shallow lake which eventually broke through the surrounding limestone in the south and west to drain into the Macal River and Eastern Branch.

The Paleozoic sediments of the Mountain Pine Ridge and the soils overlying them are among the oldest in the country. The rocks comprise both arenaceous (conglomerates and sandstones) and argillaceous (shales and phyllites) sediments which, according to Dixon, belong to two series representing temporally distinct cycles of sedimentation. More recently, Bateson and Hall (1970 and in press) and Kesler *et al.* (1971) have produced evidence which appears conclusively to indicate that the sediments of the Maya Mountains belong to only one series, the Santa Rosa Group.

TOPOGRAPHY

The Mountain Pine Ridge is a dissected upland plateau comprising a gently undulating central basin bordered on the west and north by cone karst or 'cockpit' formation and rimmed on the east by a ridge of high rolling hills. The height of the ground above sea-level varies from about 300 m (1 000 ft) on the Eastern Branch to just over 900 m (3 000 ft) on the Ridge. The mean elevation of the granite basin is about 500 m (1 600 ft). The area is circumscribed on three sides - north, south and west - by the Belize River and its tributary the Macal/Eastern Branch. Drainage into the river system is by two main catchments both originating on the ridge, one south into the Macal and the other west, across the granite basin into the Eastern Branch. Only a few small watercourses escape northwards to disappear underground in the limestone lying between the Reserve and the Belize River.

The watershed of the Macal was largely excluded from the project area. Because of the steepness of its slopes and the associated difficulties of access it is not regarded as being adequately fire protected.

Drainage into the Eastern Branch is by four sizeable watercourses. The largest of these, the Rio On, drains about half the project area, in the north and north-east, by a dendritic system of tributaries. In the southern part of the project area the drainage pattern is roughly parallel with a prevailing direction of SE-NW. The gradient of the Rio On and its tributaries is generally steeper than those of the creeks in the south and has led to the development of deep valleys. These continue across the limestone fringe in the west where the watercourse has cut right through to the underlying rocks. Further south the gentler stream gradient is associated with rather flatter country and along some of the tributaries crossing the limestone, surface deposition of calcium carbonate occurs with the formation of fragile sheets. At the southern and western edges of the reserve the ground falls steeply away to the deeply incised valley of the main river.

Although the topography of the granite basin is gently undulating with generally gentle slopes, there are occasional massive exposures of bare rock, usually at hill tops or at other breaks in slope. The valleys dissecting the granite basin are evenly V-shaped and are separated by broad, fairly flat interfluves. The watercourses are strewn with large granite boulders and along the larger creek beds there are more or less continuous exposures of rock.

The hills rimming the Mountain Pine Ridge on the east are steep and are deeply fissured by numerous small watercourses. The valleys are steep sided, typically with a marked change of slope at full flood level where the valley sides become nearly vertical. The ridges between the

valleys have well defined crests. Screes occur where disturbance has been caused artificially, notably by roadbuilding. In the valley bottoms there are exposures of rock in the form of ledges or vertical walls. Cuts made for roadbuilding along ridge sides reveal the steeply folded profile of the underlying rock.

A peculiar erosion feature of some of the steepest hills, most of which lie outside the inventory area, is the formation of regular concentric terraces about 1.5 m (5 ft) apart. Wright *et al.* (1959) hypothesise a sequence of solifluction to account for the formation of these terraces and suggest that as the process appears to have stopped and the slopes are now in equilibrium with prevailing conditions, erosion must at some point in the past have been subject to a sudden acceleration.

WATER

The Mountain Pine Ridge is well provided with surface streams in which water of good quality flows throughout the year.

The hills in the east act as a reservoir for the catchments of the reserve and maintain the headwaters rising in them even during the dry season. During periods of particularly heavy rain, run off is rapid and flash flooding occurs, especially on the large watershed of the Rio On.

The natural abundance of surface streams in the reserve is important from the point of view of fire protection. The water courses in the granite basin are all readily accessible to fire fighting equipment. As the headwaters in the hills lie at the bottom of very deep valleys they cannot easily be reached by vehicles and so do not constitute a source of water for fire fighting.

SOIL (by C J Birchall)

The first study to be carried out on the soil of the Mountain Pine Ridge was by Charter (1941) in a rapid reconnaissance survey of soils north of the Maya mountains. He classified them in the Croja suite as soils developed over materials with a low lime status and good external Within this suite he recognised a Blancaneau series of soils developed over certain drainage. of the weathering products of granite and the Chalillo series developed over the quartzites of the Maya Series of rocks. In January and March of 1952 F C Darcel completed a reconnaissance survey of the area for the Colonial Development Corporation and described (Darcel, 1952) over 100 auger samples from a number of localities but unfortunately the maps showing these localities are not readily available. The area was surveyed again as part of the reconnaissance survey of the whole of Belize carried out by the land use survey team comprising Wright, Romney, Arbuckle and Vial in 1952-1954. As a result of this work a report was produced (Wright et al. 1959) together with maps showing the distribution of the major soil types throughout the The soils of the Mountain Pine Ridge were classed as the Pinol set and described as country. mature soils in the development sequence from yellow latosolic to red-yellow podsolic, formed on lime-poor parent material. More recent work in the area has been done by Furley in 1966 with the University of Edinburgh expedition to Belize (Furley, 1968). He studied the variation of certain soil characteristics with slope along three transects in the eastern part of the area. at Baldy Beacon, Cooma Cairn and Granite Cairn.

For the purposes of the present report it was considered that more precise information on the relationships between soil-forming parent material, slope type and vegetation cover, together with relevant material extracted from the above authors mentioned, would provide a useful background to a study of the pine forests of the area. A total of 24 pits were examined in traverses located on the Cooma Cairn Road, on the Navel Road and on the Chiquibul Road near San Luis sawmill. These traverses were located to cover as many as possible of the vegetation types mapped by the forest inventory team and to incorporate a variety of slope and rock types. A brief summary follows of observations made. Descriptions of the more important soil types and locational map references are given in Appendix 1.

The most widespread soil on granite parent material was found to be the Pinol coarse sandy clay loam as classified by Wright *et al.* This soil has developed over a thick mantle of weathered granite and has a complete range of pine densities growing on it. The soil consists of a shallow loamy sand to sandy loam topsoil containing much quartz sand and fine gravel, overlying mottled gritty clay loam and mottled gritty clay which grades gradually into weathered granite. Quartz sand and concretionary ironstone pebbles are sometimes found on the surface of this soil and the moderately leached topsoil is susceptible to sheet and gully erosion. On some footslopes with broken ridge vegetation this soil has a variant with a deep dark sandy clay loam topsoil. In small depressions which are receiving drainage waters from adjacent slopes a heavier textured soil was found with a gritty clay loam topsoil and a strongly mottled sticky gritty clay subsoil.

The Pinol loamy coarse sand occurs most commonly on granite plateau sites in the western part of the Mountain Pine Ridge. It is possible that these soils are formed on old river gravels deposited by streams flowing westward into the Macal River. The profile consists of a shallow loamy sand to sandy loam topsoil overlying a deep sand or loamy sand subsoil overlying gritty clay loam at 0.9-1.2 m (3-4 ft). These soils generally support a vegetation of grass savanna with pine but there may be an increase in oak species in slightly depressed sites which are receiving ground waters from adjacent slopes.

In creek bottoms in granite areas darkly coloured deep soils rich in organic matter are found. The texture of these soils varies from sandy loam to sandy clay loam in the topsoil to silty clay loam and clay in the subsoil. The accumulation of fine material and of drainage waters in these soils due to movements downslope means that the subsoil is waterlogged for most of the year.

Soils developed on the Santa Rosa slates differ from those developed on granite in that they have redder, siltier subsoils and contain less coarse quartz sand. On steep hillsides shallow soils are developed containing many fragments of shattered purple slate and these correspond to the Pinol sandy clay hill soil mapped by Wright *et al.* as occurring fairly extensively in the northern periphery of the Mountain Pine Ridge. The textures of these soils were found to be loams and clay loams rather than clays and contain a high percentage of silt. The surface of these soils is a virtual rock pavement of fragmented angular stones derived from the weathering of strongly cleaved and folded purple and grey phyllite. On gentler slopes deeper soils are found; these have been mapped by Wright *et al.* as Pinol sandy clay loam, occurring in small areas in the north-east, south-east and south-west parts of the Mountain Pine Ridge.

Soils formed on alluvial material are of very limited extent in the Mountain Pine Ridge and are found only on the flat valley bottoms of a few streams in the south-west of the area. They tend to be free draining soils of loam texture and support a vegetation of low broadleaved forest.

Some aspects of the fertility of the soils of Mountain Pine Ridge have been studied by Darcel. Wright et al. and Furley. Darcel found the pH to be low on both granite and slate soils with ranges from 4.0 to 5.3 and with subsoils slightly more acid than the topsoils. All soils were found to be highly deficient in available phosphate and potash and low in soluble manganese, although high to very high in soluble aluminium. Wright et al., found soils of the Pinol set to be low in natural fertility due to their senile stage of development and for the establishment of fodder pasture grasses all three major nutrients, nitrogen, phosphate and potash, had to be applied. Furley found pine ridge soils to be acid and leached of nutrients. He discovered little variation in the amounts of phosphorous according to slope but the overall reserves were low. Potash, although in short supply generally, showed a marked increase in footslope sites away from actively eroding streams. Values for loss on ignition increased in valley bottom sites to as much as 27% dry soil weight. All authors remarked on the susceptibility of the Pinol soils to erosion, and gullying is a common feature on the Forest Department roads. Sheet erosion is also thought to be very active and may account for the thin layer of coarse quartz sand often present on the surface of soils in granite areas. The availability of water in most soils of the Pinol set is low except in soils found in receiving sites for drainage waters. On the open savannas the shallow topsoil is rapidly saturated during periods of heavy rain and is equally readily dried. Because of the low water retaining capacity of the topsoil and the impermeability of the subsoil, drought is a feature which the vegetation has to endure for much of the year.

FIRE

Fire is an integral part of the environment of the Mountain Pine Ridge. Table 7 shows the incidence of fire in recent years in the Fire Control Area, which coincides approximately with the project area. The overall pattern is of a small number of fires each dry season with a major fire involving several square miles every few years. Before fire protection was undertaken the frequency and size of fires were presumably both greater and major fires probably occurred almost annually.

		Lightning			Human agenc	у
Year		Ar	ea*		A	rea*
	NO.	ha	ac	NO.	ha	ac
1963	3	>2	6	4	55	135
1964	6	1 283	3 170	5	735	1 816
1965	3	5	12	4	120	297
1966	2	4	10	0	0	0
1967	4	12	30	1	518	1 280
1968	8	823	2 034	0	0	0
1969	2	<1	2	1	32	80
1970	5	74	184	2	>1	3
Source: B	elize Forest	Department			••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
* Area fi	gures founded	off to nearest	ha and nearest	ac		

TABLE 7Incidence of fire inside the Control Area 1963-70 caused
by lightning and by human agency

The grass sward of the savanna is readily flammable in dry weather and typically produces a fast moving, relatively cool ground fire which burns off the dry grass on the surface without killing the roots. A sward of new grass becomes established within a few weeks of a fire. The species of pine which occurs on the savanna (*Pinus caribaea*) is not well adapted for resistence to fire and trees under about 3 m (10 ft) in height are easily killed by cambial scorching. Moody (1964) records, from an enumeration made after a 400 ha (1 000 ac) fire in the Mountain Pine Ridge, mortality of 90% or more in regeneration up to 2 m (7 ft) in height; for trees of 3 m (10 ft) the mortality was 38%. The stems of mature trees may ignite in a very hot fire resulting from the combustion of a large accumulation of fuel. Such fires are liable to occur in areas of dense *Tripsacum* grass and fern, where the shrub layer has become particularly well developed through absence of fire over a period of years. True crown fires seldom if ever occur because of the low stocking of standing trees.

The importance of lightning as a major cause of fire appears to have been underestimated in the past possibly because, in the absence of fire records for the Mountain Pine Ridge, the greater importance of human agency in causing fires in the lowland savannas encouraged the belief that the same factors must operate in the Mountain Pine Ridge. Hummel (1926), discussing pine ridge fires in the country as a whole, does not mention lightning as a cause of fire. Wright *et al.*, (1959) stress the importance of runaway milpa fires spreading from the broadleaved forest surrounding the Mountain Pine Ridge but say that lightning fires occasionally occur as a result of dry trees igniting when struck. Hunt (1970) acknowledges the importance of lightning as a major cause of fire and quotes (1962) the rate of spread from a lightning strike as being up to 9 m (10 yd) per minute.

Wolffsohn (1967) gives the same figure for conditions of light wind (8-16 km/h, 5-10 mi/h and relative humidity 60%. At r.h. 40% he states that the rate of spread is 1.6 km/h (1 mi/h) with extensive spotting. Wolffsohn says that extensive fires in dry scrub or bracken may run twice as fast as those in grass.

VEGETATION

The principal type of vegetation in the Mountain Pine Ridge is, as the name of the reserve implies, that known locally by the generic term 'Pine Ridge'. It is this broad vegetation type with which this study is concerned. Its distribution is confined to the non-calcareous parts of the reserve. It consists typically of a generally low stocking of young naturally regenerated pine (*Pinus caribaea*) with an open understorey of small trees, shrubs and suffrutices and

occasional clumps of palmetto (Acoelorraphe wrightii and Schippia concolor). Ground cover is provided by a coarse sward of bunch grasses and sedges. There remains over the area a thin scattering of 'old growth' pine, overmature trees left as seed bearers during exploitation in the decade prior to 1965. The density of the vegetation type as a whole is irregular, relatively dense stands being interspersed with wide stretches of open savanna.

Fire is a critical factor in the ecology of the Pine Ridge. In the absence of regular burning of the shrub layer the pine tends to become replaced by broadleaved species, notably oak (*Quercus* spp.), one of the principal components of the understorey. Fire, however, destroys young pine regeneration, hence the naturally low stocking of the reserve. The present stands of pine are largely the result of fire protection over the past two decades. Because of their youth the trees are still of small size.

The watercourses are fringed by strips of broadleaved forest. In the more gently sloping valleys of the granite basin the hardwood fringes take the form of broad bands extending some distance, perhaps as much as 200 m (650 ft) either side of a watercourse. In the much steeper valleys of the hills the strips of hardwood are more open and narrower, being perhaps only a few metres in width, and often contain some pine. In these steeper valleys off the granite, the woodland fringing the creeks is flanked by dense swathes of dumb cane grass (*Tripsacum latifolium* and tiger bush fern (*Dicranopteris pectinata*). These species, either in mixture or singly, cover the lower slopes of the valleys wherever the tree canopy is sufficiently open. Where the hardwood strip is very reduced or absent the entire valley floor may be covered by a tangled mass of both these species, about 3 m (10 ft) in height. The occurence of these species is not confined to valley bottoms. There are large areas of *Dicranopteris/Tripsacum* on all slopes of the hills edging the Macal valley, where it provides a ground cover under pine.

A second species of pine (*Pinus oocarpa*) occurs at higher elevations, typically in fairly dense stands. It is more a forest-forming species than P. caribaea, which is typically a species of the savannas.

The limestone along the north-west edge of the Mountain Pine Ridge is covered by broadleaved forest continuous with and similar to that occurring on the limestone areas of the Chiquibul Forest Reserve.

In addition to the two species of pine, a number of other tree species of commercial importance occur in the Mountain Pine Ridge. These species occur largely in the strips of broadleaved forest along stream sides and include mahogany (Swietenia macrophylla), nargusta (Terminalia obovata), billy webb (Sweetia panamensis), yemeri (Vochysia hondurensis) and cypress (Podocarpus guatemalensis). Beyond the transition to the limestone, commercial species present include the two primary species, mahogany and cedar (Cedrela mexicana); among the secondary hardwoods occurring are nargusta and santa maria (Calophyllum brasiliense).

Classification of the vegetation

Bartlett (1935) adopts local terminology to describe the Mountain Pine Ridge vegetation. He calls the savanna 'pinar' or 'pinal' and distinguishes three phases, namely the pure 'pinal' and the two variants 'encinal' and 'nanzal'. 'Encinal' means oak woodland and in 'nanzal' the predominant woody species is crabboo (Byrsonima crassifolia). Bartlett recognises two ravine vegetation types: the relatively rich 'cypresal/barrancal', characterised by cypress (Podocarpus guatemalensis) and other species and a vegetation type occurring on the gentler slopes called 'cizal/helechal', characterised by Dicranopteris. Bartlett's scheme has the merit of simplicity and is based on readily observable characteristics of the vegetation.

Lundell (1940) treats the vegetation of the Mountain Pine Ridge under five headings: (i) vegetation of the pine uplands, (ii) vegetation along brooks and in marshes, (iii) vegatation along creeks, (iv) marginal forest and (v) forest of the bordering limestone region.

Wright *et al.*, (1959) classify the vegetation of the Mountain Pine Ridge into three vegetation types, as follows:

- 18 OAK-pine-florazul forest
- 18a OAK-pine-Clusia spp. forest with abundant silver pimento
- 18b SAVANNA grass with scattered pine, oak, Leucothoe sp. Clusia sp. and crabboo.

Hunt (1970) rejects the classification of Wright *et al.* because of its emphasis on arborescent species which only rarely form a canopy sufficiently dense significantly to modify the ecologically dominant bunch grasses and sedges of the herb layer. The same criticism might be made of Bartlett's classification. A further shortcoming in the classification of Wright *et al.* is that it does not distinguish the broadleaved riverain vegetation which occurs along the sides of the major watercourses from the pine types, despite its individuality. Hunt also records some minor floristic inaccuracies in the classification; in particular he points out that florazul (*Tabebuia rosea*) does not appear to occur in the Mountain Pine Ridge except where introduced by planting; also, Wright *et al.* wrongly identify silver pimento (*Schippia concolor*) and confuse it with Accelorraphe wrightii.

Because of its drastic modification by fire, there is little to be gained in trying to fit the Mountain Pine Ridge vegetation into Holdridge's life zone classification (Holdridge 1947, 1966) which attempts to classify potential climatic climax vegetation for the different climatic zones. Data necessary for the computation of a mean annual biotemperature are not available but it is likely that it is near but a little below the critical $24^{\circ}C$ (75°F) which Holdridge takes as the dividing line between tropical and subtropical zones. Taking into account the mean annual precipitation, the Mountain Pine Ridge would appear to fall into the subtropical moist forest category, except perhaps its highest parts which, on the basis of data from Cooma Cairn, would fall into the subtropical wet forest life zone, the rainfall being (at Cooma) just over the 2 000 mm (79 in) borderline.

Hunt (1970) describes the vegetation of the Mountain Pine Ridge under three headings: pine savanna, pine forest and marginal vegetation. He excludes from his study the broadleaved forest which occurs along the sides of creeks and in valleys although he recognises it as distinct from the types he describes in detail. Hunt's classification is followed in the presentation of the following notes.

Savanna Beard (1953) defines savanna as

'... a plant formation of tropical America comprising a virtually continuous, ecologically dominant stratum of more or less xeromorphic herbs, of which grasses and sedges are the principal components, with scattered shrubs, trees or palms sometimes present ... the essential point is that the herb stratum is ecologically dominant'

Beard considers that the vegetation of all tropical American savannas is sufficiently homogeneous for them to be regarded as a single formation. He recognises four main phases, respectively open, orchard, palm and pine, with two fringe types, sedge savanna and high grass savanna. Beard's pine savanna phase appears to correspond to Lundell's pine uplands. Hunt, Beard and others observe that the distribution of the different phases of the savanna appear to be determined by the drainage characteristics of the site. Beard's open and palm phases and the sedge savanna are characteristic of the wet end of the spectrum and the orchard and pine phases are associated with drier conditions. This pattern may be altered by fire. Hunt places Beard's high grass savanna in his marginal vegetation category as it occurs at the edges of forest and is less readily and less frequently burned than the other savanna phases. Hunt lists the characteristic arborescent species, most of which have thick fire resistant bark, as follows:

Acoelorraphe wrightii Byrsonima crassifolia Clethra hondurensis Enallagma latifolia Leucothoe mexicana Myrica cerifera Pinus caribaea Psidium anglohondurense Quercus hondurensis Q. oleoides Q. peduncularis Schippia concolor Ternstroemia tepezapote

Describing the herb stratum, Hunt remarks on its 'deceptive appearance of uniformity under which lies concealed the sensitive interaction and local dominance of several species.' Only the extremes of wet and dry conditions are conspicuous. The wet end of the spectrum is characterised by *Mesosetum filifolium* and *Rynchospora globosa*, and the dry extreme by *Trachypogon angustifolius* and *Paspalum pectinatum*. A number of species composing savanna vegetation are highly specialised in their adaptation to fire. Hunt observes that there is no seasonal flowering of the savanna flora in Belize and that flowering occurs only in response to disturbance of some kind, and particularly in response to burning. The characteristic grasses, sedges and herbs have well developed underground organs of perennation which enable them to withstand and recover quickly from a surface fire. Hunt records the appearance of inflorescences of the sedge *Bulbostylis paradoxa* within 36 hours of burning and its maturation to anthesis in nine days. The characteristic woody species of the savannas tend to have thick fire resistant bark. Burning of the aerial parts of the woody species is followed by vigorous vegetative regrowth. The vegetative and flowering response of savanna species to burning does not occur concurrently in adjacent but unburned areas of savanna.

Unlike some species of *Pinus* (notably *P. palustris*) which occur in the southern United States, as already mentioned, *P. caribaea* is not very well adapted to resist fire. It has a thick bark but lacks any adaptation to protect the terminal bud at the seedling stage. It is possible that it may to some extent exhibit the characteristic growth pattern of fire resistant species, that is the emphasis in the first few years on root development at the expense of aerial growth; provided a seedling survives this early stage it is then able to show a rapid acceleration in height growth which puts its leading shoot out of danger from ground fires. *P. oocarpa* appears not to be adapted to resist fire and this lack of adaptation is in keeping with its being a forest forming species rather than a species of the savannas; older trees, if swept by ground fire, fire, respond by producing thick bark, similar to that of *P. caribaea*, on the lower part of the stem (Lamb, 1966).

Pine forest This vegetation type is most extensive and attains its best development on the sediments forming the steep hills to the east of the granite basin.

The distribution of P. caribaea in Central America generally appears to be subject to an upper height limit of about 600 m (2 000 ft) above sea-level, above which there is a tendency for it to be replaced by P. oocarpa. Much of the higher part of the Mountain Pine Ridge is at about this elevation and the forest represents a transitional phase, with the two species occurring both in pure stands (i.e. except for broadleaved species) and in mixture with each other. McWilliam (1954) describes the pine forest in the Honduras Republic as being a mixture of the two species at elevations between 760 m (2 500 ft and 1 200 m (4 000 ft), while above the latter height P. oocarpa occurs pure or in mixture with oak.

In recent years the collection of cones from the Mountain Pine Ridge which exhibit characteristics intermediate between those attributed to *P. caribaea* and those of *P. oocarpa* indicates hybridisation between the two species at elevations where their respective distributions overlap. McWilliam records similar hybridisation from the Honduras Republic.

Where the pine forms a closed canopy, which P. oocarpa readily does, it becomes truly dominant and shades out many of the species commonly found in the savanna herb stratum. A number of woody shrubs which occur on the savannas are found also in the pine forest and Hunt lists the following:

Byrsonima crassifolia Calliandra houstoniana Clethra hondurensis Leucothoe mexicana Psidium anglohondurense Quercus spp. Ternstroemia tepezapote Inga lindeniana

Ground cover is commonly provided by *Tripsacum* or *Dicranopteris* and a number of other shrubs, herbs and grasses.

Although *P. oocarpa* appears to be more typically a forest species, *P. caribaea* is also capable of forming dense stands. Such stands occur on some better drained soils just outside the reserve boundary.

Marginal vegetation This category as defined by Hunt includes the ecotone between savanna and forest, the vegetation of stream sides and wet places, of savanna margins and of roadsides and waste ground. The floristic and physiognomic characteristics of the marginal vegetation are very variable and a concise description of it is not possible. Clearly, much of the marginal vegetation is of a particularly transient nature as it constitutes a 'tension zone' continually liable to be replaced by forest or to be transformed by fire into savanna. Probably the most stable type of vegetation in this category is that equivalent to Bartlett's 'cypresal' and Lundell's 'vegetation along creeks'. Where a creek is of sufficient size a rich broadleaved forest develops along its banks which is insulated from the savanna on either side by a less stable 'tension zone'. Hunt lists the major constituent tree species as follows:

Cecropia peltata Euterpe sp.* Podocarpus guatemalensis Quercus anglohondurensis Sweetia panamensis Swietenia macrophylla Symphonia globulifera Tabebuia chrysantha Terminalia obovata Vochysia hondurensis Xylopia frutescens

The character and width of the 'tension zone' between savanna and forest depends on a number of factors, especially the nature of the adjacent savanna and forest, and typically is dominated by species of pine and oak. The boundary between the broadleaved forest on the limestone and pine savanna tends to be abrupt because of the sharpness of the geological boundary in the underlying rock. Periodic burning serves to emphasis the vegetational boundary as fire cannot so readily penetrate into the broadleaved forest.

Status of the savanna vegetation

The origin and maintenance of the tropical American savannas has been the subject of several theories and the most comprehensive study of them is that by Beard (1953) to which reference has already been made. Among the more important factors in the ecology of the savannas are the nature of the soil-forming rocks, the seasonal distribution of rainfall, the water relations of the soil and the occurrence of fire.

Savanna occurs on acid infertile soils derived directly or indirectly from siliceous rocks and with poor internal and external drainage. It occurs in regions which experience a distinct dry season. The incidence of fire in savanna is high and, in the Mountain Pine Ridge at least, is not dependent on, although it is enhanced by, human agency.

Charter (1941) proposes an ecological succession from broadleaved forest to mixed broadleaved/ pine forest ('Broken Ridge') to pine to savanna. He attributes this order of succession to contemporaneous soil degradation. The order of succession presented by Charter is the reverse of that which is normally associated with pine species and which is advanced in relation to pine in Belize by Ower (1926). Both Wright *et. al.* (1959) and Hunt (1970) reject Charter's thesis.

Charter's theory assumes a soil maturity sequence involving a progressive leaching of the soil to produce the characteristic sand over clay formation and infilling of depressions by clay transported by surface runoff. The developing profile characteristics of the soil and the levelling of the topography lead to progressively impeded drainage, both externally and internally, so that with the seasonal variation in rainfall conditions of alternate dessication and waterlogging obtain. From the the point of view of plant growth, drought becomes almost perpetual, alternately physical and physiological. The vegetation becomes progressively xeromorphic and the ultimate stage is in Charter's view, a sedge/palmetto community, with pine and oak persisting only on better drained mounds.

The Mountain Pine Ridge differs from the model described above in one important respect, namely in that over most of the area external drainage is sufficiently good that surface runoff is fast enough to prevent physiological drought through waterlogging. Swampy areas occur only in places and elsewhere drought is purely physical.

Charter interprets the high mortality of pine in the Mountain Pine Ridge and elsewhere in Belize in the years 1939 and 1940 (which was directly the result of attack by Scolytid beetles, the spread of which was probably favoured by unusually dry conditions) as evidence of the increasing unsuitability of the savanna sites for the growth of pine.

^{*} This species is listed by Hunt as E. oleracea, an erroneous identification suggested also by Standley & Record (1936) and Wright *et al.* (1959). Proctor (1972) says the species has not been previously described and provisionally calls it E. aff. *macrospadix*.

The role played by fire in relation to the Central American savannas is disputable. Warming (1892), Beard (1953) and others discount fire as a cause of savanna and maintain that its origin is essentially edaphic. This view is in line with Charter's soil maturity sequence. The tendency in the past to underestimate lightning as a cause of fire has already been mentioned and Beard is no exception. He appears to consider fire only as a consequence of human occupation, and discusses fire not as an influence in itself but as an influence of man. He accepts that fire may occur naturally in savanna, once it is established, but maintains that only maninduced fire could have destroyed former broadleaved forest:

'It seems fairly well established that fire can arise from natural causes in savannas, but it would scarcely do so in forest. Thus the retrogression to savanna could only have started after man's arrival.'

This is to say that if they are fire-induced the savannas must date from the time of man's arrival in the Americas, which Beard quotes as being between 10 000 and 25 000 years ago. The degree of specialisation in the savanna vegetation would appear to indicate that adaptation to fire has been taking place over a much longer period than this. Beard's contention that fire cannot occur naturally in broadleaved forest is contradicted by observation in Belize. Lightning fires are facilitated by periodic hurricane damage which results in a marked increment in fuel.

The presentation of savanna as purely an edaphic climax is weakened by the fact that in the Mountain Pine Ridge broadleaved species tend to invade on areas where fire protection has been effective for some years. Charter says that such invasion occurs only on sites where there has been erosion of the savanna soil to expose underlying limestone. Lamb (1966) mentions the predominance of oak over pine on the deeper beds of grit adjacent to the limestone and Charter's explanation may be relevant here although it clearly does not apply to most of the reserve. Luckhoff (1964) suggests that in the absence of fire much of the Mountain Pine Ridge would revert to some type of broadleaved forest; he considers that only in the higher lying parts have the soils become so impoverished that broadleaved forest could no longer be supported. He regards the savanna of the Mountain Pine Ridge, in common with most other *Pinus caribaea* savannas in Central America, as a fire disclimax although, like Beard, he ignores lightning as a cause of fire. Luckhoff's view of the tropical American pine savanna as a man-induced fire disclimax is shared by a number of authors. Among these, Munro (1966) describes from Nicaragua a firedependent succession from broadleaved forest to pine and, in the absence of fire because of fire protection, back to broadleaved forest.

In another upland Pine Ridge in Belize, near San Pastor in the Chiquibul Forest Reserve, there is a well defined pattern of vegetation related to topography and, at least partly, to water availability and drainage. Gently undulating hills are dissected by steep valleys, at the bottom of which rich broadleaved forest occurs, as it does in the Mountain Pine Ridge. Higher up the slopes and in depressions pure oak woodland occurs and over the gently sloping plateaux there is a sparse stocking of pine over dense *Tripsacum*. While the occurrence of broadleaved forest in the valley bottoms can be attributed, as in the Mountain Pine Ridge, to a generally more fertile soil, the marked tendency for even slight depressions to be occupied by oak woodland indicates that moisture availability is an important factor in its distribution. There is ample evidence of recent fire in the San Pastor Pine Ridge and in view of its location it is unlikely that this would be caused other than by lightning.

Clearly the ecological status of the vegetation in the Mountain Pine Ridge and of Tropical American savanna in general deserves further study. The savannas which contain pine are of interest for forestry.

The upland *Pinus caribaea* savannas of Central America include not only the Mountain Pine Ridge, the San Pastor Pine Ridge and other smaller areas of Pine Ridge in Belize but also the Poptún Pine Ridge of Guatemala, extensive areas of pine in the interior of the Honduras Republic and the pine woodland on the offshore island of Guanaja. These dissected uplands differ markedly from the lowland pine savannas in their topography and in related site characteristics. Lowland pine savanna occupies the Coastal Plain of Belize and the fairly level land along the Miskito Coast of Honduras and Nicaragua.

It is possible that the relative importance of soil and fire as factors in the establishment and maintenance of savanna may not be the same for both upland and coastal sites. It is noteworthy that Charter and Beard relate savanna development to soil largely in the context of flat plains. Whether or not the physical site characteristics of the coastal savannas are such that their existence can be satisfactorily explained in terms of soil, it seems probable that in the Mountain Pine Ridge and the other upland pine savannas, the balance between savanna and broadleaved forest is determined primarily by fire, caused naturally by lightning and also, since the arrival of man, by human agency.

Pathology of Pinus caribaea*

There are two pathogenic parasites which appear to be of some importance, namely the dwarf mistletoe Arceuthobium globosum and the cone rust Cronartium conigenum.

The dwarf mistletoe attacks trees of any age, particularly seedlings and saplings. The vigour and rate of growth of the host is reduced and the seed crop is also adversely affected (Boyce 1961). The host becomes predisposed to insect and fungal attack. This species of dwarf mistletoe is known to cause extensive damage to pine in western North America. The rate of spread of the organism is slow because of the short seed flight and may amount to only about 30 m (100 ft) in a century. Spread over longer distances by animal agency is probably rare. Control can be effected by the clear cutting of infection centres with peripheral cutting to control the spread of seed. A true mistletoe (*Psittacanthus* sp.) which occurs on pine has no pathological importance.

The other important parasite Cronartium conigenum is particularly prevalent at the middle elevations 600-750 m (2 000-2 500 ft) a.m.s.l. in the Mountain Pine Ridge. Etheridge (1968) reports that the number of trees bearing infected cones can be as high as 15-25% and that the number of infected cones per tree may average about 8%. The alternate hosts of Cronartium are species of oak. Control of the rust can therefore be effected by removing oak from pine stands. The seriousness of the disease is well established in the south east United States where it habitually destroys about 20% of the cone crop of slash pine (P. elliottii) (Mathews 1964).

The incidence of stem and butt decay is high in mature pine. Fire scars are the commonest site of infection. Williams (1965) records primary infection through fire scars by the fungus *Lentinus pallidus*, which causes cubical brown rot. Etheridge lists nine further species of wood-destroying fungi, isolated from pine in Belize, including two brown rot fungi (*Veluticeps berkleyi* and *Polyporus meliae*) which are pathogens of living forest trees elsewhere in North America and may be of some pathological significance in the Mountain Pine Ridge.

Etheridge records the occurrence of needle tip necrosis on exposed sites but this appears to be only a physiological reaction to exposure and not due to any other organism.

Insects which are harmful to pine are principally of two kinds, termites and bark beetles.

A recent study of termites in relation to pine is that made by Williams (1965). This was preceded by a more general study on termites in Belize by Harris (1959), who identified *Coptotermes niger* Snyder as the species found infesting standing mahogany and pine. Williams concentrated on this one species and found that infestation is always secondary to heartrot (caused definitely by *Lentinus pallidus* but probably also by other rot fungi) as the termite cannot satisfactorily penetrate or feed on sound heartwood. Thus termite infestation is most commonly associated with rot-infested fire scars. *C. niger* is normally present in the bark of mature trees, whether they are sound or not, where it forages for food. The inability of *C. niger* to initiate primary attack and the fact that secondary attack appears to add little to the damage done by the heartrot renders control unnecessary.

Scolytid bark beetles are a serious pest of Caribbean pine in Belize and in the neighbouring territories. According to Etheridge the most important species is probably Dendroctonus frontalis Zimm., although he records Ips calligraphus Germar as also causing fatalities. Ordish (1966) says there are probably two species of Dendroctonus responsible for serious damage in the neighbouring Honduras Republic, D. mexicanus and D. mexicans; one of these species may be identical with that named by Etheridge. The injurious effect of Dendroctonus beetle infestation is due to the fungus Ceratocystis sp. which the insect transmits. Fungal infection can not only prove fatal but also degrades the timber by staining the sapwood blue.

Heavy beetle infestations appear to occur periodically at fairly long intervals and may be induced by the presence of large areas of densely stocked stands of pine of low vigour. Such stands provide favourable breeding grounds for the beetle and are in effect 'thinned' by a Scolytid beetle infestation. Vigorous trees are able to resist attack by the normal reaction of resin exudation. Ordish suggests that the occurence of sudden epidemics, rather than a more moderate persistent level of infection, may be indicative of the immaturity of the association between the beetle and the fungus, which is neither symbiotic nor commensal.

^{*} Although this chapter refers specifically to *Pinus caribaea* it is probable that some or all of the pests and diseases described may also affect *P. oocarpa*.

A heavy infestation of bark beetles in the Mountain Pine Ridge is recorded for the year 1939 (Belize Forest Department, 1940). Subsequent aerial reconnaissance indicated a mortality of at least 75%. During the years 1963-65 pine forests in the Honduras Republic were subjected to a very damaging *Dendroctonus* attack. Haider (1967) records the rate of destruction as being as high as 40% in some regions with almost total destruction in more restricted areas.

Prevention by means of good forest hygiene and adequate thinning is probably more effective than control. Insecticidal treatment is virtually precluded by cost and impracticability, as it has to be done from the ground. Biological control measures are still at an experimental stage.

A shoot borer *Rhyaconia frustrana* Comstock is recorded as affecting *Pinus caribaea* in Belize by Loock (1950) and Browne (1968) but its importance, if any, in the Mountain Pine Ridge is not known.

FAUNA*

.

From the infrequency with which the larger animals other than domestic livestock are sighted in the Mountain Pine Ridge, the impression is gained that the population of wild species is low. This impression may to some extent be due to the ready availability of surface water and ground cover over the whole area, which renders unnecessary the concentration of animals in restricted locales.

The most spectacular of the mammals are the larger members of the cat family, namely jaguar (*Felis onca*) and puma (*F. concolor*). These two species are predatory upon the cattle population which grazes in the reserve as well as upon other wild mammals and so are destroyed when possible. Two smaller species of cat, the ocelot (*F. pardalis*) and the tiger cat (*F. glaucula yucatanica*) also occur. The cats are not hunted commercially in the Mountain Pine Ridge.

Species of mammal hunted for meat in the reserve are deer (Odocoileus truei), armadillo (*Tatusia* spp.), gibnut (*Coelogenys paca*) and the wild pigs, peccary (*Dicotyles tajacu*) and warrie (*D. labiatus*).

Other mammals worthy of note are tapir (*Tapirella bairdi*), kinkajou (*Potos flavus*), quash (*Nasua nasica*), fox (*Urocyon cinereo argentus*), bush dog (*Galictis barbara*), various anteaters (*Tamandua spp.*) and opossums of the genera *Caluromys*, *Didelphis*, *Marmosa* and *Philander*. The bat population is numerous and varied and includes blood lapping species which prey upon domestic livestock. A number of species of rat occur, some or all of which harbour the disease Dermal Leishmaniasis.

Among the reptiles the crocodile (*Crocodylus* sp.) still occurs in the less accessible reaches of some of the larger streams but, althoug a protected species, its numbers have been severely reduced by illegal hunting because of the high value of the skin. There are numerous species of snake and lizard.

The bird population exhibits a particularly rich variety of species and includes a number of winter migrants from North America. The three largest species are the ocellated turkey (Meliagris ocellata), which is protected, and the curassow (Crax rubra rubra) and guan (Penelope purpurascens purpurascens), both of which are hunted for meat. A smaller game bird species, the chachalaca (Ortalis vetula intermedia) is locally abundant. Other conspicuous bird species include various parrots, the melodious blackbird (Dives dives dives) and the cattle egret (Ardoela ibis ibis). A number of hawks occur, of which the most commonly seen is the John Crow or turkey vulture (Cathartes aura).

Among insects deserving mention is the leaf cutting ant (Atta sp.) which defoliates a wide variety of plant species. The biting fly (*Simulium* sp.) is abundant in the Mountain Pine Ridge as it is elsewhere in the country. The reserve supports a large and varied population of butterflies and moths. A number of species of termite occur and are discussed by Williams (1965). The species Coptotermes niger is the most important in relation to pine. Scolytid beetles of the genera Dendroctonus and Ips occur and, together with termites, are discussed elsewhere.

^{*} The notes on fauna given here are based largely upon the authors' own observations and impressions and are not intended to be regarded as authoritative. The principal source of specific names referred to in writing these notes is Wright *et al.* (1959).

HUMAN ASPECTS

HISTORY

Little or nothing is known of the history of the Mountain Pine Ridge before the middle of this century. There is no evidence of occupation or cultivation during the period of the Maya civilisation in the region (300-600 A.D.) although it is likely that the promimity of Maya centres on the nearby limestone may have had some effect on the area. It is probable for example that fires lit for agricultural purposes would have spread on to the pine savannas just as they have done in more recent times.

Hooper (1887) records a visit to a portion of the Great Southern Pine Ridge at about thirty miles south of the Cayo and this appears to be the earliest written reference to the area which later came to be known as the Mountain Pine Ridge. Remarkably, Hummel (1921) in a report which was regarded as the standard work on the forests of Belize, makes no specific reference to the area. Logging of the Chiquibul Forest to the south was already in progress in the 1920s and the trail through Augustine to the Guacamallo crossing of the Macal was one of the principal access routes. A trail from San Antonio to Augustine, which is now in disuse, is shown on maps produced during the 1930s.

The Mountain Pine Ridge was gazetted a Forest Reserve in 1944 and given the classification of protection forest. Fire protection was introduced the following year and was by purely manual methods, except for the use of mules, until 1948 when a minimal amount of mechanical equipment was provided. Practically the whole area was burned in 1949, demonstrating the inadequacy of the organisation as it then stood. According to a brief report on the history of forestry in Belize (Belize Forest Department), most of the older pine of the new generation dates from 1949.

With the acquisition of more mechanical equipment during the 1950s an impressive programme of road building got under way and the area effectively under fire control was increased at the rate of 1 200 ha (3 000 ac) per year. In 1952 the Reserve was reclassified a production forest. In 1954 an airstrip was constructed at Augustine and in the same year improvements made to the main Augustine-Cayo road were such that the truck extraction of lumber from the two sawmills operating in the Reserve became possible throughout the year for the first time. This road was pushed right through to Guacamallo on the Macal in 1956.

Between 1948 and 1961 five fire lookout towers were constructed, of which four remain in use, and in 1956 a telephone network was installed for communication between lookout towers and Augustine. Although it was maintained and expanded in the following years, the telephone system had the disadvantage that it was easily put out of action by lightning.

It is probably true to say that the work done in the 1950s in the Mountain Pine Ridge in road construction, fire protection and the creation of Augustine Forest Station, marks the zenith of the Forest Department's achievement over the years.

While the advances made in the fire protection of the Mountain Pine Ridge have been well chronicled in the Forest Department's annual reports, the history of other aspects of the management of the Reserve is less adequately recorded. An enumeration of the granite basin was carried out in 1953 (Williamson and Wolffsohn, 1953) and in 1955 the first long-term felling licence was issued. In 1956 a Working Plan was drawn up for the whole Reserve.

During the 1950s there were a number of revisions made of the area included in the Reserve, these being the consequence of progressively more accurate mapping. In 1959 the Reserve boundary was completely redefined in accordance with recommendations made by Wright *et al.* (1959) and the area of the Reserve was given as 46 571 ha (113 588 ac).

As the management of the Mountain Pine Ridge consisted essentially of giving fire protection to the natural regeneration and because the necessary road-building had been largely completed, the Reserve suffered less than other parts of the forest estate from the implementation of Downie's recommendation in 1959 that the budget allocation of the Forest Department should be halved (Downie, 1959).

The impact of the Downie Report was overshadowed in 1961 by hurricane Hattie. Fifteen per cent of the mature pine in the central part of the Mountain Pine Ridge was estimated to be windthrown and, on the more outlying slopes, windthrow was as high as 90% (Belize Forest Department, 1962). Crown damage to pine was severe throughout the Reserve and included the destruction of potential seed crops. Broadleaved species, especially oak, suffered more than pine. Damage to young pine regeneration was negligible. The greatly increased fire danger posed by the debris from hurricane Hattie was recognised by the Forest Department and, following recommendations made by an adviser from the Forest Research Department at Ottawa, steps were taken to improve the Department's fire control capability. The most important of the recommendations in relation to the Mountain Pine Ridge concerned the greater use of radio telephones and the acquisition of more and better fire-fighting equipment. Radios had been introduced to the Mountain Pine Ridge on a small scale (three sets) in 1961 before the hurricane and, by 1962, a complete network was established linking the Mountain Pine Ridge to the headquarters in Belize City and to other forest stations. During the next few years the radio network was progressively strengthened and the stock of mechanical equipment increased.

In 1965 a 23% enumeration of the mature growing stock was undertaken. The area enumerated was roughly the same as that covered in the present study. The detailed results of this enumeration were not produced. The Annual Report for 1966 records a change of emphasis from engineering (i.e. road construction) to silviculture and management (Belize Forest Department, 1967).

The use of the Mountain Pine Ridge for the grazing of cattle dates back at least to the 1920s. Dunlop (1921) records that cattle used for timber extraction in the adjacent hardwood forests were grazed on the Mountain Pine Ridge. He also mentions plans for grazing beef cattle in the area. The 1949 Annual Report records the fact that cattle on the pine savannas in the Mountain Pine Ridge were observed to suffer less in that year from the effects of drought than those in the Belize Valley (Belize Forest Department, 1952). Several owners were at that time sending cattle to the Reserve for grazing. In 1949 the Commonwealth Development Corporation started negotiations for the development of cattle-raising in the Mountain Pine Ridge. They in fact started a cattle/sheep operation but it soon failed and was abandoned. The present cattle operation was built up during the 1960s.

POPULATION

Population figures for the Mountain Pine Ridge are given in Table 8.

Although census figures for earlier years are not available it is certain that the population of the Mountain Pine Ridge is declining. There is a tendency for families to move their homes to San Ignacio and Santa Elena, leaving the head of the household to commute to his place of work in the Mountain Pine Ridge on a fortnightly basis. This tendency is indicated in the figures given in the table by the marked imbalance between the numbers of males and females recorded.

Settlement	Total	Males	Females	Households
Augustine	223	149	74	49
San Luis	143	102	41	56
Total	366	251	115	105

TABLE 8Provisional figures from the 1970 population census for settlements
in the Mountain Pine Ridge

PAST FOREST MANAGEMENT: 1956 WORKING PLAN

The Mountain Pine Ridge was not subject to management according to a recognised plan prior to 1956. The only form of management in the early years of the reserve was fire protection. In 1956 a Working Plan was drawn up (Wolffsohn, 1956) for both the Mountain Pine Ridge and the Chiquibul Forest Reserves to apply during the following decade. In 1960 the Plan was amended (Wolffsohn, 1960) to take into account the reduction in expenditure following recommendations made by Downie (1959). The amended Plan was to remain current for the remainder of the original Working Plan period, i.e. until 1965.

The Plan defined a Pine Working Circle comprising three felling series. Of these the Western Felling Series was the most important and accounts for most of the present project area. Exploitation in the Pine Working Circle was to be by selection and subject to a minimum girth limit of 107 cm (42 in). This size limit was taken to represent a production period of 45 years.

. . :

Western Felling Series

Prescriptions for the management and exploitation of the Western Felling Series were based upon the results of the 1953 enumeration of the mature growing stock in the granite basin (Williamson and Wolffsohn, 1953). The 1953 enumeration area and the Western Felling Series approximately coincide.

From the enumeration, which covered an area of 14 260 ha (35 240 ac), it was estimated that the standing volume of pine of exploitable size (i.e. girth 107 cm, 42 in) was 87 800 m³ (3 099 000 ft³). This is equivalent to a mean stocking of 6.2 m³/ha (88 ft³/ac) and, in terms of stem numbers, represented a density of 6.4 trees/ha (2.6 trees/ac).

The area of the Western Felling Series was given as 13 160 ha (32 500 ac). A 15-year felling cycle was prescribed and a sustained annual yield of 1 360 000 bdft (6 200 m^3 , 217 600 ft³)* was forecast, this being the rate of cutting necessary to remove within the first felling cycle the total standing volume of exploitable timber indicated by the 1953 enumeration. The prescribed cut required the exploitation of a total area of about 1 000 ha (2 500 ac) per annum, this to be made up of coupes to be defined annually.

The long-term aim of management was stated to be the conversion, by the end of the first rotation, from a selection system to a uniform system of clear-felling with the retention of seed-bearers. The more immediate aim of management was to improve stocking by progressively increasing the area of fire-protected regeneration. Each annual coupe was to be surrounded by a road, control-burnt and then exploited. After exploitation it then became part of the floating regeneration block and was to be kept under intensive fire protection. Once the regeneration reached a stage at which it was capable of withstanding a cool fire it was to be control-burnt. Controlled burning was thereafter to be repeated at intervals of three to four years. According to the initial 1956 Plan the regeneration block was to include compartments up to the point where they were considered to be capable of withstanding an uncontrolled fire, at which point controlled burning would be discontinued. The attainment of this stage was taken to require a growing period of eight years. With an annual coupe of 1 000 ha (2 500 ac) and a regeneration period of eight years, the regeneration block was to amount to a total of 8 100 ha (20 000 ac). In the later revision of the Plan, a compartment was to be considered part of the regeneration block until it received its first thinning. Controlled burning was prescribed on a continuing basis and after the commencement of thinning it was to be carried out on a cycle coinciding with that of the thinning.

Along the outer perimeter of the Western Felling Series, a 400 m (0.25 mi) strip was to be burnt annually to prevent the spread of fire into the area from the adjoining Pine Ridge.

Northern Felling Series

The area was given as 9 200 ha (22 800 ac) and included the steep poorly stocked area to the north and east of the granite basin. According to the original version of the Working Plan exploitation was to be on an indeterminate cycle and to be subject to a girth limit of 157 cm (62 in) but in the later revision of the Plan this limit was given as 107 cm (42 in). In the revised Plan of 1960, a small part of the Northern Felling Series, known as the little granite basin, was transferred to the Western Felling Series.

A maximum of 2 000 trees was to be cut in any one year on a selection system. The 1956 Plan included mention of the amenities afforded by the area, distinct from timber production, notably those making the area suitable for recreation. Much of the Northern Felling Series is outside the project area.

Southern Felling Series

The area was given as 30 500 ha (75 370 ac) and included the steep, fairly well regenerated part of the Reserve bordering the Macal River and some areas of Pine Ridge outside the Mountain Pine Ridge Forest Reserve. This felling series was considered to be doubtfully economic because of its topography. Exploitation, if carried out, was to be by selection and subject to a girth limit of 107 cm (42 in). Provision was made for part of the Southern Felling Series to be included if necessary in the regeneration block of the Western Felling Series and to be subjected

* Conversions based on FAO roundwood conversion factor: 1 000 bdft = 4.53 m^3 (Forestry Commission, 1960).

to controlled burning. In the 1960 revision of the Plan a tentative estimate of forecast yield from the Southern Felling Series was given as 2 800 m³ (100 000 ft³) per annum or 2 500 trees. Exploitation was to be by systematic coupes.

Effectiveness of the 1956 Working Plan

Progress made during the early years of the Working Plan period towards the most immediate object of management - fire protection - was considerable and has already been described. Certain other prescriptions made in the Plan proved to be overoptimistic, notably those concerned with the question of forecast yield and, of comparatively less importance, those relating to the silvicultural use of fire.

The replacement stocking upon which maintenance of the forecast yield depended proved inadequate for the level of exploitation which was maintained during the years 1955-70. Depletion of the mature growing stock was accelerated by the occurrence in 1961 of hurricane Hattie. The greatly increased outturn of lumber associated with salvage cutting in the years following the hurricane can be seen from the statement of sawn lumber production given in Table 9. In 1965 the felling licence first issued in 1955 was renewed and its terms modified to restrict felling to 'old growth' trees without size limit, as it had become clear that the growing stock had been overcut. Pine sawmilling operations ceased in 1971 because the supply of commercially exploitable timber was exhausted.

The prescriptions relating to the use of controlled fire as a silvicultural measure were never seriously put into effect. Early difficulties experienced in controlling fires, once started, led to a policy of complete fire prevention. The result of this policy has been the marked development of broadleaved woodland over much of the reserve. This type of woodland could in time replace the Pine Ridge. An added complication is the role played by the oak species as secondary host to the cone rust *Cronartium conigenum*. Oak is therefore removed by hand-cutting which is time-consuming and expensive as well as being only short-term in its effect.

Exploitation: Timber Production

Exploitation of the reserve commenced on a serious scale in 1955. Since that year there has been only one major long-term licensee although substantial quantities of lumber were produced by a second licensee during the period 1959-67. Table 9 shows the amount of sawn lumber produced from the Mountain Pine Ridge since 1955. It is clear from the table that the early 1960s were the years of highest production and it was during these early years that the larger-sized material was cut. By the end of the decade, small-sized logs only were entering the mill. The small log size and the declining overall volume available rendered the operation progressively less economic until logging operations ceased in 1971.

It can be seen from Table 9 that production has been mainly from pine but that other species have been exploited in small quantities. The other species cut are principally secondary hardwoods but include also the two primary species, mahogany and cedar. Nargusta is important among the secondary hardwoods, which also include cypress, billy webb and yemeri.

Pine lumber is produced also from thinnings and Table 10 shows the volume cut during the years 1967-70, for which period records are available.

Exploitation: Seed Production

The Mountain Pine Ridge has been a major source of seed of *Pinus caribaea* for which there is a high export demand. The amount of seed collected has fluctuated widely. Production increased from about 90 kg (200 lb) per annum in the early 1950s to 700-900 kg (1 500 - 2 000 lh) per annum in the late 1950s and in 1961. The severe hurricane of 1961 destroyed the 1962 crop and appreciably damaged the 1963 crop. Production recovered to some extent in the mid 1960s and in 1965 370 kg (815 lb) was collected. Since that year seed production has been considerably reduced, with the removal of most of the larger trees, and current levels of production are low.

		Pine		Primary	y hardw	∕oods≁	Second	lary hard	lwoods+
Year	Sawn- wood	Rour equi	dwood valent	Sawn- wood	Roun equi	idwood valent	Sawn- wood	Rour equi	ndwood valent
	'000 bdft	m3	ft ³	'000 bdft	m3	ft ³	'000 bdft	m ³	ft ³
1955 1956/7 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{r} 4 & 500 \\ 4 & 810 \\ 4 & 240 \\ 5 & 030 \\ 5 & 830 \\ 10 & 120 \\ 18 & 570 \\ 17 & 470 \\ 15 & 720 \\ 10 & 360 \\ 8 & 810 \\ 8 & 520 \\ 8 & 540 \\ 6 & 950 \\ 5 & 060 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16 11 4 18	60 40 20 70	2 200 1 500 600 2 500	18 80 358 848 471 166 69 179 316	70 320 1 420 3 360 1 870 660 270 710 1 250	2 500 11 200 50 100 118 700 65 900 23 200 9 700 25 100 1 44 200
Total	33 971	134 530	4 756 000	49	190	6 800	2 505	9 930	350 600

TABLE 9 Lumber production 1955-70*

* Roundwood equivalents based on FAO conversion factor: 1 000 bdft = 3.96 m^3 (Forestry Commission, 1960)

 \star The absence of data in some years indicates 'no recorded production'

Source: Belize Forest Department

	Sawnwood	Roundwood equivalent		
Year	'000 bdft	m ³	ft ³	
1967 1968 1969 1970	91 121 143 128	360 480 570 510	12 700 16 900 20 000 17 900	
 Total	483	1 920	67 500	

TABLE 10 Pine lumber production from thinnings, 1967-70*

LAND TENURE

The project area is in the Mountain Pine Ridge Forest Reserve, which is Crown land and is managed as a part of the Belize Forest Department's Western Division. Local administration of the Reserve is carried out from the Department's divisional headquarters at Augustine.

CURRENT LAND USE

The Mountain Pine Ridge is subject to and is managed for multiple land use. Five land uses can be identified, all compatible with each other at present levels of use and all spatially integrated. The two most important uses are timber production and in-forest grazing of cattle. The other uses are public recreation, armed forces training and biological field studies. Timber production is the subject of much of the rest of this report and descriptions only of the four other land uses are given here.

In-forest Grazing

One third of the Reserve, an area of about 12 000 ha (30 000 ac), is licensed as a cattle range. The present and probably final stocking of cattle is of the order of 4 000 head. The animals range freely throughout the inventory area but are discouraged from straying outside the granite basin by the poverty of the pasture and the steepness of the terrain on the surrounding hills. It was originally intended (Belize Forest Department, 1966) that the mean stocking rate should be less than 1 head per 4 ha (10 ac), but the current level of stocking exceeds that figure. Because of the tendency for cattle to congregate in certain attractive localities, the stocking level is in fact very variable but can in some parts of the Reserve be considerably higher than the figure quoted above.

The stock in the Mountain Pine Ridge are breeding stock - Brahmin bulls and various breeds of cow which produce calves for fattening on two lowland farms which are part of the overall enterprise. The cattle operation has been costly to establish but the degree of success achieved to date has been disappointing because of low calving rates which partially reflect the low plane of nutrition afforded by the Pine Ridge pastures.

The grass sward in the Reserve appears to be getting poorer as a result of cattle grazing. The frequency of palatable species, especially that of dumb cane grass (*Tripsacum latifoloim*), has declined markedly since the commencement of grazing on a large scale and there is severe trampling in some seasonally wet areas (Hunt, 1970; Lamb, 1966). The decline in the frequency of *Tripsacum* and of some other formerly dense-growing species has been a distinct advantage from the point of view of reducing fire hazard.

Public Recreation

The Mountain Pine Ridge is acknowledged to be both scenically and climatically the most attractive part of the mainland of the country. Recreational demand in the Reserve has in the past been low, at least partly because of the difficulty of getting to the area from Belize City. Day visits to the area from Belize City or from any town other than San Ignacio have been virtually out of the question because of the time and distance involved. Local demand for prolonged visits is limited for various reasons, not least because of cost. With the relative promimity of the new capital Belmopan, with its growing population, the demand for reacreation in the Mountain Pine Ridge can be expected to increase.

There is currently one small hotel operating in the Reserve, catering principally for tourists from overseas, and situated near the Privassion airstrip. Demand for the services provided by this hotel, both locally and from overseas visitors, appears to be poor. Relatively cheap accommodation is provided by the Forest Department at Augustine and the accommodation here is frequently fully occupied, especially on holiday weekends. The Forest Department have built Canadian pattern picnic shelters in the area, one near Augustine and the other just outside the Reserve boundary; no charge is made for the use of these facilities.

Despite the attractions of the Mountain Pine Ridge, its recreational use seems likely to remain largely locally based. Tourists from overseas are likely to want rather more than the Mountain Pine Ridge has to offer at present. Probably the only way of developing the use of the Mountain Pine Ridge by tourists would be to include a visit to the area as merely one part of a more varied programme, that is to make the Mountain Pine Ridge a recognised stopover point in Central American holiday tours. To do this will require a degree of organisation and cooperation between the various interests concerned not yet achieved. Any marked increase in recreational use would conflict with other uses, particularly with timber production. The greater the number of people in the Reserve, the greater the risk of fire arising through human agency. However, as Cate (1963) and others have shown, the considerable experience of forest management for multiple use in the United States has demonstrated that public recreation and the fire protection of productive forest need not be incompatible.
Biological Field Studies

The rich variety of animal and plant species in the Mountain Pine Ridge, coupled with its pleasant climate and good internal road communications, make the area particularly suitable for field studies in the biological sciences. Demand to date has tended to be on a small scale, largely from academic institutions in the United States. Substantially increased demand both from this source and locally is foreseeable. Plans for the development of Augustine into a recognised field centre, with the construction of appropriate facilities, have been put forward by interested parties, but the execution of such plans would depend largely on the attitude of other land users in the area, notably the Forest Department.

Armed Forces Training

The Mountain Pine Ridge is regularly used as a training area. Conflict with the forestry use of the Reserve arises because of fire risk. The army has come to be recognised as an important cause of fire because in the past fires have resulted from the use of explosives such as signal flares and also from the careless use of cigarettes. Firing practice is restricted to an area of pine savanna outside the Reserve and the impact area is burnt annually to prevent the accumulation of fuel as fires starting in the impact area could easily spread into the Reserve.

COMMUNICATIONS

Road communications between the Mountain Pine Ridge and the rest of the country are poor, there being only one all-weather road link between the Reserve and the Western Highway. The quality of much of this road is low and in wet weather it can become impassable to all except four-wheel drive vehicles. Road communications inside the Reserve are good, an adequate network of goodquality graded earth roads having been built up over the years for the purpose of fire protection. Within the Reserve the roads remain passable to four-wheel drive vehicles throughout the year.

Two airstrips are operational in the Reserve, one at Augustine and one at Privassion about 20 km (12 mi) north of Augustine. Both of these are usable by light aircraft. To date, the Mountain Pine Ridge has not been included in any of the scheduled flights operating in the country. There is a third disused airstrip a few miles south of San Luis.

VHF radio communication between the Forest Office at Augustine and the other Forest Department offices in Belize City and Belmopan and the divisional offices is maintained via a relay station at Cooma Cairn. Radio communication with other parts of the country is also possible via the police network, through the police relay station on Sibun Hill.

MARKETS

Markets for pine lumber are now exclusively local, although in the past considerable quantities have been exported, notably to Jamaica. Sawn pine lumber is currently (May 1971) sold at a government controlled price of 17 cents per bdft or \$72 per m³, \$2 per ft³ (\$BH1 = £0.25). With the closing down of the only commercial sawmill in the Mountain Pine Ridge in 1971, the only lumber sold from the Reserve now is from thinnings cut and converted by the Forest Department. This lumber is disposed of in Belize City.

Pine seed has in the past been exported to a number of territories. The demand for seed remains high and in excess of the quantity which can be supplied.

PART 4. OBJECTIVES AND METHODS

OBJECTIVES

The broad objective of the forest inventory was to quantify the growing stock of pine in the project area. The type of information to be collected and the subsequent treatment of the data were governed by the immaturity of the forest and by considerations of management and possible utilisation. The detailed objectives are defined as follows:

1. Estimation of the standing volume of pine.

- i. To estimate the standing volume of pine in each of the 34 management blocks and in the project areas as a whole. Initially it was agreed that 25.4 cm (10 in) d.b.h. be chosen as the minimum size of growing stock to be included. The precision of estimate required was ± 20% sampling error. It became apparent that very little of the 'young growth' pine was over 25.4 cm (10 in) d.b.h. and that only very imprecise estimates of volumes over that size could be achieved within the budget and time available using the relsakop sampling technique already embarked upon. For this reason and because there was the possibility of using sizes smaller than 25.4 cm (10 in) d.b.h. and over 15.2 cm (3 in) d.b.h. and
- ii. To show by means of stand tables the size class distribution for each of the 34 management blocks and for the project area as a whole.

2. Assessment of pine regeneration. To assess the amount of pine regeneration by number of stems, classified by height. Regeneration was taken to comprise stems of d.b.h. < 5 cm (2 in). The precision of estimates required was $\pm 20\%$.

3. Construction of pine volume table. To construct a single variable local volume table for both *Pinus caribaea* and *P. oocarpa*. Data for this table were to be collected as far as possible only from 'young growth' trees.

4. To produce by air photo interpretation a map of the project area showing the distribution of pine forest and pine regeneration according to a canopy density classification. The map was required both for planning the field sampling and for subsequent management.

SAMPLING STRATA

The project area was divided into 34 management blocks, each of which was treated separately. Vegetation type mapping was done by stereoscopic examination of air photographs of scale 1:10 000 for the northern half and 1:20 000 for the southern half. Nine forest/vegetation types were recognised and mapped and four of these types were sampled for timber stocking and regeneration. Three of the sampling strata were pine forest types between which distinction was made on the basis of canopy density as seen on air photographs. The fourth type sampled was grassland with small pine regeneration. Table 11 shows the nine types mapped and the characteristics by which they are differentiated.

Forest/vegetation	on type Distinguishing features
1. Pine fores	t
1. 1* 1. 2* 1. 3*	(more than 70 Canopy closure % (40-70 (less than 40
2. Broadleave	i forest
2.1 2.2	Without pine Mixed pine/broadleaved forest
3. Grassland	
3.1 3.2*	Without pine With pine seedlings
4. Swamp	
4.1 4.2	Palmetto Grass
* Types sampled	

TABLE 11 Forest/vegetation types identified and mapped

RELASKOP MEASUREMENTS

Sampling of the three pine forest types and of type 3.2 was done by point sampling with the standard metric Bitterlich Spiegel Relaskop. Sample points were randomly distributed in each of the four types in each of the 34 management blocks. A total of approximately 30 sample points was used in each block for type 1.1, 50 for types 1.2 and 1.3 and 10 for types 3.2. Trees of d.b.h. 5 cm (2 in) or more, and of all species, were included in the relaskop count; their diameters were measured by caliper and recorded to the nearest mm as the mean of two measurements taken at right angles. The total height of the tallest pine trees counted was measured by relaskop to the nearest 0.5 m (1.5 ft) and recorded. Pines judged to be mature and overmature were recorded as 'old growth' on the field records. They were recognised on the basis of crown and bark characteristics.

REGENERATION COUNT

Regeneration of trees of less than 5 cm (2 in) d.b.h. was sampled by circular plots each of area 0.01 ha (0.025 ac) centred on the randomly distributed relaskop points. Stems falling within a plot were recorded and classified according to height. Three height classes were recognised: 0-1.5 m (0-5 ft), 1.5-3 m (5-10 ft) and more than 3 m (10 ft). Sampling of type 3.2, grassland with pine regeneration, was done by randomly distributed clusters of circular 0.01 ha (0.025 ac) plots. Each cluster contained 10 such plots and so had a total area of 0.10 ha. Data were collected in the same way as for the other pine vegetation types.

VOLUMETRIC MEASUREMENTS

Quantitative data for the construction of a volume table were collected from 187 randomly selected trees of both Pinus caribaea and P. oocarpa. Measurements were made of a sample of approximately 20 trees in each 5 cm (2 in) diameter class up to 55 cm (22 in) and the parameters measured were as follows: diameter at breast height, butt diameter (defined as diameter 15 cm, 6 in above ground), top diameter (defined as 10.8 cm, 4.3 in overbark), mid-point diameter, height to top diameter and total height. Diameter measurements were made to the nearest millimetre (0.04 in), each measurement being taken both under and overbark, and recorded as the mean of two measurements taken at right angles, Height measurements were taken to the nearest centimetre (0.4 in).

OTHER OBSERVATIONS

In addition to the quantitative sampling data collected at each sample point, a number of other observations were also recorded, namely stand condition, degree of canopy closure, situation, slope, aspect, drainage, underlying rock and vegetation type.

PART 5. RESULTS OF THE INVENTORY

FOREST/VEGETATION TYPES

The distribution of the forest/vegetation types is shown on the map which accompanies this report. The area of each type in each of the 34 management blocks and in the project area as a whole is shown in Table 12. The 34 management blocks are numbered from 2 to 35.

STAND TABLES

Stem numbers per unit area for a single d.b.h. class are derived from relaskop counts by the formula N = T/g

where N = number of stems per ha T = counting factor (i.e. 1 or ¼) g = basal area in m² of 1 tree

Stand tables showing mean numbers of stems per unit area (unqualified by estimates of precision) for each of the three* forest/vegetation types in each management block separately are given in Table 13. Stand tables for each of the three pine forest types over the project area as a whole are given in Table 14. The stem numbers given in Table 14 are also mean values; they are based on the figures given in Table 13 and are weighted according to the area of each type in each block. Figures 5-7 are stem number curves which illustrate graphically for each forest type the size class distribution shown in Table 14.

The d.b.h. classes shown in the stand tables each have a range of 5 cm (2 in). The smallest complete class for which figures are given is the 10 cm (3.9 in) class, which extends from 7.6 cm (3.0 in) to 12.5 cm (4.9 in). Stems of d.b.h. 5.0 - 7.5 cm (2-3 in) are shown separately and are also included in the summary columns of both tables.

The 15 cm (5.9 in) d.b.h. class is subdivided to show the number of stems of d.b.h. equal to and above 15.2 cm (6.0 in). The 25 cm (9.8 in) d.b.h. class is subdivided to show the number of stems of d.b.h. 25.4 cm (10.0 in) and above. These subdivisions are necessary in order to group the growing stock into the three size categories which are required for standing volume (described below) and are included in the summary columns of Tables 13 and 14. The two diameters which divide the three size categories are also shown in the stem number curves.

A stand table for 'old growth' pine alone is given in Appendix 5 (Table 23).

STANDING VOLUME

Volume figures are presented for three size categories of growing stock, as described in Part 4, divided according to diameter breast height as follows:

- 1. d.b.h. 7.6 cm (3.0 in) and above
- 2. d.b.h. 15.2 cm (6.0 in) and above
- 3. d.b.h. 25.4 cm (10.0 in) and above

Table 15 shows the mean stocking of each of three* pine forest types in each management block. These figures are derived by converting stem numbers per unit area into volume per unit area by use of the local volume table for pine (Table 19). Volume figures are added for each management block and converted to gross volumes in Table 16.

^{*} Although sampling by relaskop was done in four forest vegetation types as described in Part 4, stand tables and volume figures relating to total growing stock are presented for only three. Rates of stocking for material of d.b.h. 5 cm (2 in) and above in type 3.2 (grassland with pine seedlings) is negligible and and stem number distributions and standing volumes were calculated for this type only for 'old growth'.

The reliable minimum estimates quoted in Table 15 and used to derive those given in Table 16 are based on estimates of precision at the probability level of 95%. An example of the calculation involved is shown in Appendix 2.

Table 17 shows the weighted mean volume of pine per unit area in each of the three pine forest types, taking the 34 management blocks as a whole. Minimum estimates are based on estimates of precision at 95% probability. The method of calculation is illustrated in Appendix 3.

Table 18 shows the gross volume in each of the 34 management blocks and in the whole project area. The minimum estimates given in Table 18 are based on the estimates of precision used for Table 17. Estimates of precision are valid only if variances of the 34 samples are homogeneous. Use of the simple F max test of variance homogeneity described by Sokal and Rohlf (1969) indicates that the Mountain Pine Ridge sample variances do not meet the requirement of homogeneity. The minimum estimates given in Tables 17 and 18 must therefore be regarded with caution as they may be over-optimistic. Sampling errors and hence the disparity between means and minimum estimates are appreciably reduced by combining the results of all the samples, as can be seen from a comparison of Tables 15 and 17.

It follows that the summed minimum estimates for the whole project area shown in Table 18 are substantially higher than those given in Table 16. The differences between the gross volume figures of the two tables (and a small proportion of the differences in minimum estimates) is due to the inclusion in Table 18 of estimates for unsampled areas for which volume figures are excluded from Table 16. The area figures quoted in Tables 16 and 18 are not comparable. Those in Table 16 are total block areas and include all types of land. Those in Table 14 refer only to pine forest.

Despite the shortcomings mentioned it is considered that as the total number (i.e. 34) of management blocks for which results are being combined is fairly high, the minimum estimates quoted in Table 17 and 18 have some practical value, especially for the smaller-sized growing stock. The values obtained by summing reliable minimum estimates for each block, in Table 16, are almost certainly very much lower than the actual standing stocking of the project area.

It can be seen from Table 15 that in two management blocks (numbers 18 and 28), one forest type out of the three was omitted from the sampling. In Table 18 an estimate of the volume on the two unsampled areas has been included, as already stated, based on the forest type means given in Table 17.

Volume figures for 'old growth' pine alone are given in Appendix 5 (Tables 24 and 25).

VOLUME TABLE

The local volume table for *Pinus caribaea* and *P. oocarpa* given in Table 19 is produced from a linear regression of sample tree volume against overbark diameter at breast height. Confidence limits quoted are at 95% probability. The computation of the volume table data was done at the Commonwealth Forestry Institute, Oxford. The volume of each sample tree was calculated by Newton's formula:

V = (a + 4b + C) / 6

where V = stem volume L = stem length from butt (15 cm, 5.9 in above ground) to top height a = cross-sectional area at top height b = cross-sectional area at middle c = cross-sectional area at base.

Stem volume and the dimensions from which it is calculated are all underbark.

REGENERATION

Mean stocking rates for each of the four forest vegetation types sampled in each of the 34 management blocks are shown in Table 20, together with reliable minimum estimates. The latter are derived from estimates of precision at 95% probability calculated in the same way as for volumes, illustrated in Appendix 2.

The regeneration figures in Table 19 are for *Pinus caribaea* and *P. oocarpa* combined. In most of the 34 management blocks regeneration is largely or exclusively of *P. caribaea*. Results for five blocks in which there is a significant proportion of *P. oocarpa* are shown separately for the two species in Table 21 and 22.

Block							Forest/v	vegetati	ion ty	pe											-	
			Pine	forest			Broad	lleaved	fores	t		Gra	assland	•		Swan	ıp		∴Ot	her	Tota	a l
	1.1	L	1	. 2	1.	3 .	2.	1	2	. 2	3.	1		3.2	4.	1	4.	2		_		`
	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac
2	300	740	159	393	111	273	20	50	0	0	0	0	106	262	0	0	0	0	7	17	702	1 735
3	245	606	302	746	126	310	75	185	21	51	0	0	52	128	0	0	0	0	1	3	821	2 029
4	219	540	264	653	275	679	14	34	· 0	0	1	3	29	70	1	3	0	0	2	4	803	1 988
5	226	557	213	525	328	811	4	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	55	0	0	0	0	793	1 959
6	170	420	319	788	289	714	3	7	0	0	0	0	8	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	789	1 949
7	140	345	407	1 006	169	418	2	4	0	0	0	0	11	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	729	1 802
8	66	164	257	634	546	1 349	2	5	0	0	0	0	74	182	1	2	0	0	0	0	945	2 336
9	19	48	185	456	215	532	18	44	6	14	0.	0	153	378	8	21	0	0	0	0	604	1 492
10	211	522	358	885	169	418	0	0	0	0	0	0	130	320	0	0	0	0	0	0	868	2 144
11	100	246	92	228	207	512	0	0	0	0	0	0	105	259	0	0	0	0	0	0	504	1 244
12	107	265	146	361	136	336	1	3	0	0	0	0	299	739	0	0	0	0	12	30	701	1 733
13	178	439	159	393	153	378	8	20	0	0	0	0	368	909	1	2	0	0	0	0	867	2 141
14	90	222	280	692	360	890	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	198	1	2	0	0	0	0	811	2 005
15	58	142	173	428	123	303	98	242	0	0	0	0	117	288	19	46	0	0	13	32	600	1 482
16	135	333	209	516	132	325	91	226	0	0	0	0	9	22	4	9	0	0	0	0	579	1 430
17	91	224	165	407	110	272	81	200	0	0	2	4	38	93	0	0	0	0	0	0	486	1 201
18	134	332	103	255	167	412	5	12	0	0	0	0	195	482	4	11	4	10	0	0	613	1 515
19	90	223	152	376	268	662	54	133	86	212	0	0	41	102	0	0	2	6	1	3	695	1 716
20	152	375	218	539	114	282	10	24	16	38	0	0	24	59	18	44	0	0	0	0	552	1 362
* Figu of s	ires have small area	been rou	unded to	o whole nu	umbers a	fter con	version	from im	peria	l to m	etri	e unit	s. Thi	s accou	ints 1	for so	ome a	ppar	ent ai	nomalie	es in cor	iversion

TABLE 12 Area statement* showing composition and total areas of 34 management blocks

-

35

ļ

, '	<u></u>	<u></u>							TABLE	12 (C	ontin	ued)											
	Block						I	Forest/v	vegetat	ion ty	pe												i
				Pine	forest			Broad	lleaved	fores	t		Gı	rassland			Swam	p		0	ther	Tota	1
		1	.1	1	2	1	. 3	2.	1	2.	2	3	. 1	3.	2	4	.1	4	. 2				
		ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac	ha	ac
	21	134	331	139	343	139	342	70	174	26	65	0	0	64	159	29	71	0	0	0	0	601	1 484
	22	65	160	227	562	135	332	106	261	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	6	14	0	0	540	1 335
	23	71	176	193	476	43	106	24	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	20	0	0	340	839
	24	201	496	180	445	196	483	54	134	0	0	12	30	14	34	50	123	1	2	0	0	707	1 746
	25	156	386	142	352	80	198	0	0	4	10	0	0	76	188	0	0	2	4	0	0	460	1 138
	26	316	781	355	877	320	790	10	24	0	0	3	8	230	568	0	0	0	0	17	41	1 250	3 089
	27	245	605	152	375	69	170	41	100	0	0	4	9	24	60	8	20	0	0	17	41	558	1 379
36	28	147	364	244	604	98	241	29	71	0	0	2	4	0	0	15	36	13	32	4	9	550	1 360
0,	29	71	175	207	512	64	158	246	608	0	0	3	7	46	113	9	22	0	0	0	0	646	1 595
	30	299	738	280	691	157	388	216	534	3	· 8	10	25	8	21	5	11	0	0	0	0	978	2 416
	31	309	763	160	396	190	470	36	88	3	8	0	0	155	384	15	36	3	8	0	0	871	2 152
	32	78	192	402	994	182	450	150	370	0	0	0	0	11	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	822	2 032
	33	151	374	165	407	299	738	73	181	22	53	0	0	280	693	0	0	0	0	0	0	989	2 445
	34	74	184	63	155	72	178	0	0	111	275	0	0	237	586	0	0	0	0	0	0	558	1 378
	35	88	217	273	674	442	1 092	80	198	0	0	0	0	208	513	1	2	0	0	0	0	1 091	2 696
	Total all Blocks	5 134	12 686	7 342	18 143	6 480	16 013	1 619	4 000	297	733	36	89	3 191	7 884	211	522	39	96	73	180	24 422	60 346

TABLE 12 (Continued)

L

						-				d.b.b	class	cm (in))						C	i.b.h.	cm (in)	
Block	Туре	Area ha (ac)	d.b.h. 5.0-7.5 cm (2.0-3.0 in)	10 (3.9)	1 (5) < 15. 2 (6. 0)	(5 .9) ≥ 15.2 (6.0)	20 (7.9)	2 (9. < 25. 4 (10. 0)	5 8) > 25.4 (10.0)	30 (11.8)	35 (13.8)	40 (15.8)	45 (17.7)	50 (19.7)	55 (21.7)	60 (23.6)	65 (25.6)	70 (27.6)	> 5 .0 (2.0)	≥ 7.6 (3.0)	≥ 15. 2 (6.0)	> 25. 4 (10. 0)
		300	89.9	122. 3	63. 7	43.8	45.8	10.7	5.8	9.6	1.7	1.6	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	396	306	120	19
2	1.1	(740)	36.4	49.5	25.8	17.7	18.5	4.3	2.4	3.9	0.7	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	160	124	48	8
2	1.2	159 (393)	124. 8 50. 5	179. [°] 3 72.6	41.8 16.9	35.3 14.3	21. 2 8. 6	4.1	1.7 0.7	2. 1 0. 8	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.2	0.5 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	411 166	286 116	65 26	5 2
2	1.3	111 (273)	111.6 45.2	126.0 51.0	35.3 14.3	11.4 4.6	11. 6 4. 7	1.9 0.8	0.9 0.4	1.0 0.4	Q.5 0.2	0.5 0.2	0. 1 0. 0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	301 122	189	28	3
3	1.1	245 (606)	209. 8 84. 9	235. 2 95. 2	48.5 19.6	58.6 23.7	44. 1 17. 8	8. 5 3. 5	7. 0 2. 8	4.9 2.0	2.4 1.0	2, 2 0.9	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.2	0. 2 0. 1	0 . 1 0. 1	0 .0 0.0	0.0 0.0	622 252	412 167	128 52	17 7
3	1.2	302 (746)	179. 0 72. 4	147.0 59.5	24. 2 9. 8	14.7 5.9	9.8 4.0	2.6 1.0	3. 1 1. 3	6.5 2.6	2.8 1.1	0.5 0.2	0 . 1 0. 0	0 . 1 0. 0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	390 158	211 86	40 16	13
3	1.3	126 (310)	117.6 47.6	90.6 36.7	17.0 6.9	7.7 3.1	10.3 4.2	1.5 0.6	4.7 1.9	1.5 0.6	0.8 0.3	0.1 0.1	0 .0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0 .0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0 .0 0.0	252 102	134 54	26 11	7
4	1.1	219 (540)	214. 0 86. 6	159. 2 64. 4	63. 6 25. 7	23.8 9.6	29. 2 11. 8	12. 0 4. 9	6.8 2.8	6.5 2.6	2.6 1.1	1.3 0.5	0.3 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	520 210	306 124	83	18 7
4	1.2	264 (653)	166. 8 67. 5	170. 7 69. 1	49. 1 19. 9	19. 1 7. 7	26.7 10.8	8.0 3.2	3. j6 1. 5	4 .0 1.6	1.5 0.6	0.8 0.3	0.3 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	451 182	284 115	64 26	10

.

TABLE 13 Stand tables showing numbers of stems of pine per unit area* and the distribution according to size class in three pine forest types in 34 management blocks

						_				d.b.h	. class	cm (in)							d.b. h .	cm (in)
Block	Туре	Area ha (ac)	d.b.h. 5.0-7.5 cm (2.0-3.0 in)	10	1 (5	.5 .9)	20	(9	25 . 8)	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	63	70	> 5.0	≥ 7.6	≥ 15.2	≥ 25. 4
				(3.9)	< 15.2 (6.0)	≥ 15.2 (6.0)	(7.9)	< 25.4 (10.0)	≥ 25.4 (10.0)	(11.8)	(13.8)	(15.8)	(17.7)	(19.7)	(21.7)	(23.6)	(25.6)	(27.6)	(2.0)	(3.0)	(6.0)	(10.0)
4	1.3	275	174. 3	137.8	20.4	6.9	10.4	3. 2	2. 5	1. 9	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	358	184	26	5
		(679)	70.5	55.8	8.3	2.8	4.2	1.3	1.0	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	145	74	10	2
5	1.1	226	476.8	418.6	58.8	46.2	70.9	22. 2	7.8	12.5	1.5	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 117	640	162	23
		(557)	192.9	169.4	23.8	18.7	28.7	9.0	3.2	5.1	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	452	259	66	9
5	1.2	213	530.0	357.2	46. 2	23.8	42. 9	13.0	3.9	4.6	1.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 024	494	90	11
		(525)	214.5	144.6	18.7	9.6	17.4	5.3	1.6	1.9	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	414	200	37	4
5	1.3	328	288. 7	115. 5	11.6	4. 2	4.8	1.0	0.5	0.6	0. 1	0. 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	427	138	11	1
		(811)	116.9	46.7	4.7	1.7	1.9	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	173	56	4	0
6	1.1	170	320.8	150.6	30.2	0.0	14. 5	7.1	0.8	9.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	535	214	33	11
		(420)	129.8	60.9	12.2	0.0	5.9	2.9	0.3	3.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	216	86	13	5
6	1.2	319	254. 1	218.7	32.6	7.2	6.6	4.6	3.8	4.3	1. 8	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	534	280	29	10
		(788)	102.8	88.5	13.2	2.9	2.7	1.9	1.5	1.7	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	216	113	12	4
6	1.3	289	198. 3	79.9	8.1	3.0	6.5	2.8	1. 3	2.4	1. 1	0. 1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	303	105	17	5
		(714)	80.3	32.3	3.3	1.2	2.6	1.1	0.5	1.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	123	43	7	2
7	1.1	140	378.2	141.0	19.0	10.2	9. 1	2, 4	0.7	7.1	2. 2	0.0	0. 2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	570	192	32	10
		(345)	153.1	57.1	7.7	4.1	3.7	1.0	0.3	2.9	0.9	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0. 0	0.0	231	78	13	4
7	1.2	407	258.6	135. 7	30.0	9.2	9.2	2.2	4.7	4.1	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	455	196	31	10
		(1 006)	104.6	54.9	12.1	3.7	3.7	0.9	1.9	1.7	04	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	184	79	12	4

TABLE 13 (Continued)

TABLE 13 (Continued)

									ć	l.b.h. c	lass cm	(in)								d.b.h.	cm (in)	
Block	Туре	Area ha (ac)	d.b.b. 5.0-7.5 cm (2.0-3.0 in)	10	1 (5.	.5 .9)	20	2 (9.	5 8)	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	≥ 5.0	27.6	≥ 15.2	≥ 25. 4
				(3.9)	< 15.2 (6.0)	≥ 15.2 (6.0)	(7.9)	< 25.4 (10.0)	≥ 25.4 (10.0)	(11.8)	(13.8)	(15.8)	(17.7)	(19.7)	(21.7)	(23.6)	(25.6)	(27.6)	(2.0)	(3.0)	(6.0)	(10.0)
7	1.3	169	278.0	39.0	9. 2	2.5	2. 9	1.4	0.9	1. 3	0.7	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	336	58	10	3
ļ		(418)	112.5	15.8	3.7	1.0	1.2	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	136	23	4	1
8	1.1	66 (104)	330.4	293.4	68.4	31.8	39.5	11.4	3.3	3.4	1.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	783	453	91	9
		(104)	133.7	118.7	21.1	12.9	16. 0	4.0	1.3	1.4	0.5	0.1	.0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	317	183	31	
8	1.2	257	376.6	193. 9	30.3	16.6	10.4	1.9	2.4	1. 2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	634	257	33	4
		(634)	152.4	78.5	12.3	6.7	4.2	0.8	1.0	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	256	104	13	2
8	1.3	546	284. 2	109.2	7.6	2.4	3.6	0.6	1.0	1.5	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	410	126	9	3
		(1 349)	115.0	44.2	3.1	1.0	1.5	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	166	51	4	1
	1 1	19	137.6	280.3	51. 2	19. 1	34.6	5.7	3.6	3. 2	1. 2	0. 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	537	399	68	8
	1.1	(48)	55.7	113.4	20.7	7.7	14.0	2.3	1.5	1.3	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	217	161	27	3
•	1 2	185	109. 1	84.1	50.4	26.7	25. 5	2.2	1.1	1.4	1.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	302	193	58	4
		(456)	44.2	34.0	20.4	10.8	10.3	0.9	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	122	78	23	I
9	1 2	215	105. 3	75.0	10.0	5.5	6.3	1.0	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	204	99	14	1
	1	(532)	42.6	30.3	4.1	2.2	2.6	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	83	40	6	1
10	1 1	211	221. 3	210.8	45.8	50, 9	30.7	3.8	2.5	2.0	0.0	1.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	569	348	92	6
		(522)	89.6	85.3	18.5	20.6	12. 4	1.5	1.0	0.8	0.0	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	230	141	37	2
10	1.2	358	297.0	136.8	9.8	14. 1	11. 2	3.3	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0. 0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0	474	177	31	2
		(885)	120.2	55.4	4.0	5.7	4.5	1.3	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	192	72	12	1
10		169	240.6	94.8	7.9	2, 3	3.8	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	351	110	8	1
	1.5	(418)	97.4	38.4	3.2	0.9	1.5	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	142	45	3	0
11	1.1	100	124. 1	267.1	62. 1	46.1	39. 0	4.3	3.5	5.5	1.0	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	553	429	100	10
		(246)	50.2	108.1	25.1	18.7	15.8	1.7	1.4	2.2	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	224	174	40	4

.

39

.

Γ										d	.b.h. c	lass cm	(in)							d	l.b.h. (m (in)	
B	lockt	Туре	Area ha (ac)	d.b.h. 5.0-7.5 cm (2.0-3.0 in)	10 (3.9)	1 (5. < 15. 2 (6. 0)	5 9) ≥ 15.2 (6.0)	20 (7.9)	2 (9. < 25. 4 (10. 0)	5 8) ≥ 25.4 (10.0)	30 (11.8)	35 (13.8)	40 (15.8)	45 (17.7)	50 (19.7)	55 (21.7)	60 (23.6)	65 (25.6)	70 (27.6)	> 5.0 (2.0)	≥ 7.6 (3.0)	≥ 15.2 (6.0)	≥ 25.4 (10.0)
	11	1.2	92 (228)	265.3	195. 9 79-3	37.0 15.0	15.6	20.8	4.7	2.8	1.4	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	545 221	280	47	6
	11	1.3	207 (512)	143. 0 57. 9	107.2 43.4	16.9 6.8	4.4	7.0	2. 0 0. 8	1.6	0.8	0. 4 0. 6 0. 2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	284 115	141	13	3
	12	1.1	107 (265)	299. 0 121. 0	278.0 112.5	87.5 35.4	56. 3 22. 8	63. 7 25. 8	16. 7 6. 7	7.9 3.2	7.4 3.0	0. 9 0. 4	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0. 1 0. 0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	818 331	519 210	153 62	17 7
4	12	1.2	1 46 (361)	333. 0 134. 8	336.6 136.2	67.6 27.4	26.1 10.5	31.8 12.9	5.4 2.2	5. 2 2. 1	3.7 1.5	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0	0. 2 0. 1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0 . 0 0. 0	810 328	477 193	73 29	10 4
	12	1.3	136 (336)	251.6 101.8	151.1 61.2	30.3 12.2	13.5 5.5	7.3 3.0	1.6 0.7	0.6 0.3	0.9 0.4	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	458 185	206 83	24 10	2 1
	13	1.1	178 (439)	269. 2 108. 9	279.5 113.1	81.4 32.9	49. 2 19. 9	69.8 28.3	9.3 3.8	2.9 1.2	5.0 2:0	1. 0 0. 4	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	767 310	4 98 202	137 56	9 4
	13	1.2	159 (393)	95.6 38.7	94. 9 38. 4	43.8 17.7	25.3 10.3	26. 4 10. 7	3.3 1.3	1.2 0.5	2.4 1.0	0.9 0.4	0.7 0.3	0. 1 0. 1	0. 1 0. 0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	295 119	199 81	60 24	5 2
	13	1.3	153 (378)	147.3 59.6	98.2 39.7	24.0 9.7	12. 9 5. 2	11. 2 4. 5	1. 8 0. 7	1.9 0.8	1. 2 0. 5	0.4 0.2	0.1 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	299 121	152 61	30 12	4
	14	1.1	90 (222)	412.6 167.0	211. 1 85. 4	79.6 32.2	19. 1 7. 7	37.3 15.1	7.0 2.8	3.6 1.5	4.4 1.8	1.8 0.7	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	777 314	364 147	73 30	10 4
	14	1.2	280 (692)	211.7 85.7	151.5 61.3	26.3 10.6	7.2 2.9	9.6 3.9	2.9 1.2	2. 1 0. 8	3.5 1.4	0.4 0.2	0.5 0.2	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	416 168	204 83	26 11	7 3

TABLE 13 (Continued)

								d	.b.h. c	lass cm	(1n)										d.b.h.	cm (in)	•
Bl	ock	Туре	Area ha (ac)	d.b.h. 5.0-7.5 cm (2.0-3.0 in)	10	1 (5.	5 .9)	20	2 (9.	5 .8)	30	35	40	45	50_	55	60	65	70	≥ 5.0	≥ 7.6	> 15.2	> 25. 4
					(3.9)	< 15.2 (6.0)	≥ 15. 2 (6. 0)	(7.9)	< 25.4 (10.0)	≥ 25.4 (10.0)	(11.8)	(13.8)	(15.8)	(17.7)	(19.7)	(21.7)	(23.6)	(25.6)	(27.6)	(2.0)	(3.0)	(6.0)	(10.0)
		1 3	360	110. 1	78.1	19.2	7.9	7.0	2.6	1.5	1.5	0.7	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	229	119	21	4
			(890)	44.6	31.6	7.8	3.2	2.8	1.1	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	93	48	9	2
.	_		58	194. 4	320.4	100.6	60.6	82.9	20. 2	6.1	6.9	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0. 0	0.0	793	599	178	14
		1.1	(142)	78.7	129.7	40.7	24.5	33.6	8.2	2.5	2.8	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	321	342	72	6
	5	1 2	173	198.9	287. 9	94. 2	43. 8	35. 0	5.8	2.2	2, 5	1. 2	0. 2	0. 3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	672	473	91	6
1		1.4	(428)	80.5	116.5	38.1	17.7	14.2	2.3	0.9	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	272	191	37	3
Γ,	5	1 2	123	171.7	137. 0	29.5	10. 2	8.1	1.8	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	360	188	21	1
		1.3	(303)	69.5	55.4	12.0	4.1	3.3	0.7	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0. 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	146	76	9	1
ŧГ,	e		135	115. 1	212. 3	100.4	45. 2	76.7	20.5	11.2	10.5	1.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	`0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	590	479	166	24
		1.1	(333)	46.6	85.9	40.6	18.3	31.0	8.3	4.5	4.3	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	240	194	67	10
	6	1.2	209	83. 2	143. 9	46.7	28. 2	43.0	11.1	6.7	5.2	1.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	369	286	96	13
	_		(516)	33.7	58.2	18.9	11.4	17.4	4.5	2.7	2.1	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	149	116	39	5
1	6	1.3	132	106.0	149.6	40.9	16.0	26.6	7.2	1.8	2.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	350	244	54	4
			(325)	42.9	60.5	16.5	6.5	10.8	2.9	0.7	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	142	99	22	2
1	7	1.1	91	113.8	143. 8	40.7	33. 8	19.5	5.0	5.9	5.9	1.7	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	372	258	73	15
			(224)	46.1	58.2	16.5	13.7	7.9	2.0	2.4	2.4	0.7	0.5	<u></u> . 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	150	104	30	6
,	7	1.2	165	123.9	104.2	30.2	18.4	16.6	11.1	5.4	7.1	2.6	1.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	321	197	63	17
			(407)	50.2	42.2	12.2	7.4	6.7	4.5	2.2	2.9	1.1	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	130	80	26	7
1	7.	1.3	110	83.3	103.5	18.2	12.1	15.5	4.9	2.9	3.1	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	244	161	40	7
			(272)	33.7	41.9	7.4	4.9	6.3	2.0	1.2	1.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	99	65	16	3
	8	1 1	134	78.4	104.7	39.8	8.5	14.9	4.9	4.0	1.9	1.2	0.9	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	260	182	37	9
	5	***	(332)	31.7	42.4	16.1	3.4	6.0	2.0	1.6	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	150	74	15	4

TABLE 13 (Continued)

41

.

									d	.b.h. c	lass cm	(in)							b	.b.b. c	m (in)	
Block	Туре	Area ha (ac)	d.b.h. 5.0-7.5 cm (2.0-3.0 in)	10 (3.9)	1 (5. < 15. 2	5 9) ≥ 15. 2	20 (7.9)	2 (9. < 25. 4	5 8) ≥ 25. 4	30 (11.8)	35 (13.8)	40 (15.8)	45 (17.7)	50 (19.7)	55. (21.7)	60 (23,6)	65 (25.6)	70 (27.6)	≥ 5.0 (2.0)	≥ 7.6 (3.0)	≥ 15.2 (6.0)	≥ 25.4 (10.0)
					(8.0)	(6.0)	· · _	(10.0)	(10.0)												 	
18	1.2	103	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s,	n.s.	n. s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n. s.	n. s.	n. s.	n. s.	n.s.	n.s.	n. s.	n.s.	n. s.
		(255)	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	D.S.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	0.8.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
	1.0	167	119.5	66.8	19.5	11.4	13.6	3.3	1.8	1.7	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	239	119	33	5
18	1.3	(412)	48.4	27.0	7.9	4.6	5.5	1.3	0.7	0.7	0.2	0,1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	97	48	13	2
10		90	205. 7	176. 4	45. 9	15. 9	20.4	4.0	2.8	6.9	2. 7	0.4	0. 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0. 0	482	276	53	13
19	1.1	(223)	83.2	71.4	18.6	6.4	8.3	1.6	1.1	2.8	1.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	195	112	22	5
		152	227.2	175. 2	62.2	31.8	55.0	23. 1	10.6	12.7	3.7	0.5	0. 0	0. 2	0. 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	602	375	138	28
19	1.2	(376)	92.0	70.9	25.2	12.9	22.3	9.4	4.3	5.1	1.5	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	244	152	56	11
3		268	115. 2	81.7	17.5	5.8	9.0	4.8	1.8	4.0	1.1	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	241	126	27	7
19	1.3	(662)	46.6	33.0	7.1	2.4	3.6	2.0	0.7	1.6	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	98	51	11	3
		152	473. 2	465. 0	106. 2	38.1	34.2	6.7	4.6	4. 2	1.3	0.8	0.0	0. 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 134	661	90	11
20	1.1	(375)	191.5	188.2	43.0	15.4	13.8	2.7	1.8	1.7	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	459	268	36	5
	1.	218	206.6	155. 5	29.2	12. 1	23. 2	4.9	2.8	5.8	3.7	0.1	1.7	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	446	239	55	15
20	1.2	(539)	83.6	62.9	11.8	4.9	9.4	2.0	1.1	2.3	1.5	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	180	97	22	6
		114	122. 4	96. 1	16.3	7.1	11.3	3.1	2.1	4.1	2.4	0.8	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	266	144	31	10
20	1.3	(282)	49.5	38.9	6.6	2.9	4.6	1.3	0.8	1.7	1.0	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.V	0.0	0.0	108	58	13	4
		134	155. 3	180.8	45.0	32. 3	42.1	9.3	7.6	6.4	3. 4	1.8	0.4	0.2	0.1	0. 1	0.0	0.0	485	330	104	20
21	1.1	(331)	62.8	73.2	18.2	13.1	17.0	3.8	3.1	2.6	1.4	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	196	133	42	8
	1.0	139	233.9	199. 0	48.4	25. 8	29.2	5.6	2.3	5.9	3. 2	1.7	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	556	322	75	14
21	1.2	(343)	94.7	80.6	19.6	10.4	11.8	2.2	0.9	2.4	1.3	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	225	130	30	6
	1.	139	124. 8	158. 1	39.0	17.6	18.7	3.7	1.7	2.2	1. 5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	368	243	46	6
21	1.3	(342)	50.5	64.0	15.8	7.1	7.6	1.5	0.7	0.9	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	149	98	19	2

TABLE 13 (Continued)

42

-

										TAB	LE 13	(Cont	inued)										
										đ	.b.h. c	lass cm	(in)							d	l.b.h. d	cm (in)	
	Block	Type	Area ha (ac)	d.b.h. 5.0-7.5 cm (2.0-3.0 in)	10 (3.9)	1 (5. < 15. 2 (6. 0)	5 9) ≥ 15.2 (6.0)	20 (7.9)	2: (9. < 25. 4 (10. 0)	5 8) ≥ 25. 4 (10. 0)	30 (11.8)	35 (13.8)	40 (15.8)	45 (17.7)	50 (19.7)	55 (21.7)	60 (23.6)	65 (25.6)	70 (27.6)	≥ 5.0 (2.0)	≥ 7.6 (3.0)	≥ 15.2 (6.0)	≥ 25. 4 (10. 0)
	22	1.1	65	181.8	280.3	110.6	61.9	56.2	12.6	5.5	3.3	2.1	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	715	533	143	12
			227	202.2	326 9	97.2	25.1	42.0	.5.1	2.2	1.3	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	289	216	58	5
	22	1.2	(562)	81.8	132.2	33.3	14.7	17.6	3.7	1.3	2.2	0.9	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	288	206	41	12
			135	177.6	140.3	19.0	13.9	16.1	3.6	2.0	1.9	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	376	198	38	5
	22	1.3	(332)	71.9	56.8	7.7	5.6	6.5	1.4	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	152	80	16	2
ļ			71	68.7	200. 2	85.3	54.4	70.5	11.9	3. 3	6.1	2. 2	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	504	435	150	13\
	23	1.1	(176)	27.8	81.0	34. 5	22.0	28.5	4. 8.	1.3	2.5	0.9	· 0. 3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	204	176	61	5
ſ	23	1.2	193	163. 1	149.0	51.7	42.8	86.9	20.3	6.5	7.2	2.5	0.9	0. 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	531	368	167	17
4			(476)	66.0	60.3	20.9	17.3	35.2	8.2	2.6	2.9	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	215	149	68	7
ω	23	1.3	43	128.0	124. 0	28.9	18.5	15. 1	1.7	0.9	0.8	0.2	0. 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	318	190	37	2
			(106)	51.8	50.2	11.7	7.5	6.1	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	129	77	15	1
	24	1.1	201	111. 3	187.2	62.3	37.9	53.3	6.8	5. 9	4.6	1.7	1. 3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	472	361	112	14
			(496)	45.0	75.8	25.2	15.3	21.6	2.8	2.4	1.9	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	191	146	45	6
	24 -	1.2	180	150.6	340.6	73.1	33.0	33.8	6.8	3, 3	3.8	1.3	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0. 0	0.0	0.0	647	496	83	9
1			(445)	60.9	137.8	29.6	13.4	13.7	2.8	1.4	1.5	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	262	201	33	4
	24	1.3	196	55.6	118.3	29.1	15.6	21.4	3.4	2, 0	0.9	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	247	191	44	4
			(483)	22.5	47.9	11.8	6.3	8.7	1.4	0.8	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100	דד	18	1
	25	1.1	156	297.4	363.5	66.4	32.5	49.7	19.0	5, 3	5. 2	2.3	1.0	0. 3	0. 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	842	545	115	14
		ļ	(386)	120.3	147.1	26.9	13.2	20.1	7.7	2.2	2.1	0.9	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	341	221	47	6
	25	1.2	142	287.0	291.7	87.3	38.9	50.3	9.9	5. 7	10.4	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	783	496	117	18
			(352)	116.2	118.0	35.3	15.7	20.3	4.0	2.3	4.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	317	201	47	7

•

[]									d	.b.h. c	lass cm	(in)							d	.b.h. c	m (in)	
Block	Туре	Area ha	d.b.h. 5.0-7.5 cm	10	1 (5	5 9)	20	2 (9.	5 8)	30	35	40	45	50	55	50	65	70	≥ 5.0	≥ 7.6	≥ 15.2	> 25.4
		(ac)	(2.0 0.0 11)	(3.9)	< 15.2 (6.0)	≥ 15.2 (6.0)	(7.9)	< 25.4 (10.0)	≥ 25.4 (10.0)	(11.8)	(13.8)	(15.8)	(17.7)	(19.7)	(21.7)	(23.6)	(25.6)	(27.6)	(2.0)	(3.0)	(6.0)	(10.0)
25	1.3	80 (198)	225.6 91.3	142.0 57.5	28.7	12.7 5.1	14.9 6.0	3.2	1.7	2.0	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	432	206	35	4
ļ		316	221.3	377 8	96 1	41 1	52.7	6 1	6.9	3.9	29	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	800	588	114	14
26	1.1	(781)	89.5	152.9	38.9	16.6	21.3	2.5	2.8	1.6	1.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	328	238	46	6
26	1.0	355	432.1	301.6	63.7	27. 2	16. 2	8.2	3.0	4.9	2.5	1.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	861	429	63	12
20	1.2	(877)	174.9	122.0	25.8	11.0	6.6	3.3	1.2	2.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	348	173	26	5
		320	275.5	153.0	13.7	11. 1	13.5	5.1	2. 1	1.6	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	477	201	34	5
20	1.5	(790)	111.5	61.9	5.5	4.5	5.5	2.1	0.8	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	193	81	14	2
27		245	371.9	364.8	144.8	67.1	58.6	7.1	1.7	2.9	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 019	647	138	5
	1.1	(605)	150.5	147.6	58.6	27.2	23.7	2.9	0.7	1.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	412	262	56	2
27	1 2	152	441. 9	264.4	33, 8	13, 5	15.0	2.9	1.7	3.5	0.8	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	778	336	38	7
	1.2	(375)	178.8	107.0	13.7	5.5	6.1	1.2	0.7	1.4	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	315	136	15	3
27	1 3	69	139.5	132.9	31.7	12.4	11.6	2.3	2.2	1.8	0.8	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	336	196	32	5
-	1.5	(170)	56.4	53.8	12.8	5.0	4.7	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	136	79	13	2
28	1.1	147	204.8	235.8	65. 0	36.5	42.0	7.1	1.9	4.5	2.4	0.3	0. 1	0. 2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	601	396	95	10
		(364)	82.9	95.4	26.3	14.8	17.0	2.9	0.8	1.8	1.0	0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	243	160	39	4
28	1.2	244	216.9	175.8	29.0	13.4	24.7	5.3	2.6	3.4	1.8	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	474	257	52	9
		(604)	87.8	71.2	11.7	5.4	10.0	2.2	1.0	1.4	0.7	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	192	104	21	3
28	1.3	98	n. s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n. s.	n. s.	n. s.	n.s.	n. s.	n.s.	n.s.	n. s,	n,s.	n. s.	n.s.	n. s.	n.s.	n. s.	n.s.
		(241)	D.S.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n .s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	D.S.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	D .s.	D .S.	n.s.
29	1.1	71	205.0	176.9	29.5	21. 2	13.3	3.1	2.5	5.1	1.7	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	459	254	48	10
		(175)	83.0	71.6	11.9	8.6	5.4	1.2	1.0	2.1	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	186	103	19	4

TABLE 13 (Continued)

									d	.b.h. c	lass cm	(in)							d	.b.h. c	n (in)	_
Block	Туре	Area ha	d.b.h. 5.0-7.5 cm (2.0-3.0 in)	10 (3,9)	1 (5.	5 9)	20 (7,9)	2 (9,	5	30 (11.8)	35 (13.8)	40 (15.8)	45 (17.7)	50 (19.7)	55 (21.7)	60 (23.6)	65 (25.6)	70	≥ 5.0 (2.0)	≥ 7.6 (3.0)	≥ 15. 2 (6, 0)	≥ 25.4
		(ac)	(2.0 0.0 11)		< 15.2 (6.0)	≥ 15.2 (6.0)		< 25.4 (10.0)	≥ 25.4 (10.0)				-			-					(0,0)	(10.0)
29	1.2	207	195.3	293.6	66.4	17.6	25.6	8.2	1.2	2.2	0.7	0.7	0. 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	611	416	56	5
		(512)	79.0	118.8	26.9	.7.1	10.4	3.3	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	247	168	23	2
29	1.3	64	50.1	65.7	17.1	7.8	8.5	4.0	1.1	2.1	0.7	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	157	<u>,</u> 107	24	4
		(158)	20.3	26.6	6.9	3.2	3.4	1.6	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	64	43	10	2
30	1.1	299	641.6	347.0	96. 1	36. 1	62.6	13.8	5.0	5.4	1.8	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 210	568	125	13
		(738)	259.7	140.4	38.9	14.6	25.3	5.6	2.0	2.2	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	490	230	51	5
30	1.2	280	196. 4	226. 2	74.5	47.6	43. 5	7.7	4.1	5.5	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	607	411	111	12
		(691)	79.5	91.5	30.1	19.3	17.6	3.1	1.7	2.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	246	166	45	5
30	1.3	157	257.3	153.9	31. 1	13.9	15.1	4.5	3. 5	2.9	0.5	0. 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	483	226	41	7
		(388)	104.1	62. 3	12.6	5.6	6.1	1.8	1.4	1.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	196	91	16	3
31	1 1	309	311.2	254. 8	77.1	27.7	37.0	7.2	5.3	6.8	1.7	1.5	0.8	1.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	732	421	89	17
		(763)	134.0	103.1	31.2	11.2	15.0	2.9	2.1	2.8	0.7	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	296	170	36	7
31	1.2	160	191. 3	254.8	61.3	16.5	19.6	6.4	3.9	6.0	2.2	1.8	1.0	0.1	0. 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	565	374	58	15
		(396)	77.4	103. 1	24.8	6.7	7.9	2.6	1.6	2.4	0.9	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	229	151	23	6
31	1.3	190	133. 1	86.0	20. 2	7.9	14.0	3.0	2.3	3.0	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0,	0.0	0.0	271	138	32	7
		(470)	53.9	34.8	8.2	3.2	5.7	1.2	0.9	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	110	56	13	3
32	1.1	78	178.2	151.0	34.4	10.6	38.9	12.3	6.1	18, 3	4. 2	1. 2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	456	278	92	30
		(192)	72.1	61.1	13.9	4.3	15.7	5.0	2.5	7.4	1.7	0.5	0.2	0. 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	185	112	37	12
32	1.2	402	190. 1	167.5	29.5	15.0	33.0	13.6	8.4	8.4	2.7	1.5	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	470	280	83	22
		(994)	76.9	67.8	11.9	6.1	13.4	5.5	3.4	3.4	1.1	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	190	113	34	9

TABLE 13 (Continued)

						-			đ	.b.h cl	ass cm	(in)			-				d.	b.h. cm	(in)	·· ··
Block	Type	Area ha	d.b.h. 5.0-7.5 cm	10	1 (5	.5 .9)	20	2 (9	25). 8)	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	≥ 5.0	2 7.6	≥ 15. 2	≥ 25.4
		(ac)	(2.0-3.0 11)	(3.9)	< 15.2 (6.0)	≥ 15.2 (6.0)	(7.9)	< 25.4 (10.0)	≥ 25.4 (10.0)	(11.8)	(13.8)	(15.8)	(17.7)	(19.7)	(21.7)	(23.6)	(25.6)	(27.6)	(2.0)	(3.0)	(6.0)	(10.0)
00		182	144. 7	96.9	14. 4	4.7	12. 3	3.0	1.8	1.4	1. 0	0.3	0. 2	0.0	0.0	0. 0	0.0	0.0	281	136	25	5
32	1.3	(450)	58.6	39.2	5.8	1.9	5.0	1.2	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	114	55	10	2
33	1.1	151	213. 9	177.8	36.0	18.9	19.9	2.3	2.3	5.0	3.9	2.2	1.3	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	485	271	57	16
		(374)	86.5	72.0	14.5	7.6	8.1	0.9	0.9	2.0	1.6	0.9	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	196	110	23	6
33	1.2	165	14. 0	52.6	24. 5	2. 1	11.8	5.8	2.4	5. 2	3.4	1.7	0.7	0.9	0.2	0. 0	0.0	0.0	125	111	34	15
		(407)	5.6	21.3	9.9	0.8	4.8	2.3	1.0	2.1	1.4	0.7	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	51	45	14	6
33	1.3	299	114.6	90.0	15.8	6.1	14. 2	3.6	3. 2	4.7	1.6	0.9	0.4	0. 1	0.1	0. 0	0.0	0.0	256	141	35	11
		(738)	46.4	36.4	6.4	2.4	5.7	1.5	1.3	1.9	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	103	57	14	4
34	1 1	74	160. 4	153. 3	27.0	12. 3	6.5	6.2	2. 7	7.9	4.4	0.3	1.2	0.8	0.2	0. 1	0.1	0.0	383	223	43	18
51		(184)	64.9	62.1	10.9	5.0	2.6	2.5	1.1	3.2	1.8	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	155	90	17	7
24		63	172.2	146.0	8.1	4.6	17.1	5.4	4.4	10.4	4.6	2.9	2.8	1.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0. 1	380	208	54	27
34	1.2	(155)	69. 7	59.1	3.3	1.9	6.9	2.2	1.8	4.2	1.8	1.2	1.1	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	154	84	22	11
34	13	72	181.3	81.5	13. 5	7.5	9.4	2.2	0.8	3.1	2.2	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	303	122	27	8
	1.0	(178)	73.4	33.0	5.5	3.0	3.8	0.9	0.3	1.3	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	123	49	11	3
35	1.1	88	70.2	79.6	20. 7	10.4	9.0	3. 5	4. 0	1.8	0.6	1.0	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	201	131	31	8
		(217)	28.4	32.2	8.4	4.2	3.6	1.4	1.6	0.7	J. 3	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	81	53	13	3
35	1.2	273	195. 0	109.9	19.5	8.4	11. 2	6. 1	3. 9	6.4	3. 5	1. 7	0.9	0.0	0.4	0. 0	0.0	0.0	367	172	43	17
		(674)	78.9	44.5	7.9	3.4	4.5	2.5	1.6	2.6	1.4	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	149	70	17	7
35	1.3	442	54. 5	57.7	8.5	2.0	6.5	3.1	1.6	2.3	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	138	83	16	5
		0 092)	22.0	23.3	3.4	0.8	2.6	1.3	0.7	0.9	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	56	34	7	2

- - ----

TABLE 13 (Continued)

.

TABLE 14	Stand tables for three pine forest types showing mean numbe	ers of	stems	of pine	per	unit	area*	and	the	distribution	according	to
	size class for the whole project area											

								d	.b.h. c	lass co	(in)							d	.b.h. c	m (in)	
Туре	Area ha	d.b.h. 5.0-7.5 cm	10) (5	15 . 9)	20	2 (9.	5 8)	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	2 5.0	2 7.6	≥ 15.2	25. 4
	(ac)	(2.0-3.0 in)	(3.9)	< 15.2 (6.0)	≥ 15. 2 (6.0)	(7.9)	< 25.4 (10.0)	≥ 25.4 (10.0)	(11.8)	(13.8)	(15.8)	(17.7)	(19.7)	(21.7)	(23.6)	(25.6)	(27.6)	(2.0)	(3.0)	(6.0)	(10.0)
,,	5 134	262. 3	247.4	68.4	36.2	43. 1	9.3	4.8	b. ù	1.8	0.9	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	680	418	103	14
1.1	(12 686)	106.1	100.1	27.7	14.7	17.4	3.8	2.0	2.4	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	275	169	42	6
1 2	7 342	228.9	196. 1	43. 7	20.8	25, 2	7.0	3.7	4.7	1.8	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.1	0,0	0.0	0.0	533	304	64	11
	(18 143)	92.5	79.3	17.7	8.4	10.2	2.8	1.5	1.9	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	216	123	26	5
1 2	6 480	166. 4	103. 3	17.5	7.5	10. 0	2.7	1.7	1.9	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	312	146	25	3
	(16 013)	67.2	41.8	7.1	3.0	4.0	1.1	0.7	0.8	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	126	59	10	2

• Figures for both stems/ha and stems/ac have been rounded to nearest 0.1. This accounts for some apparent anomalies in conversions of low stem numbers.

.

FIGURE 5

Stem number curve for pine forest type 1.1



FIGURE 6

Stem number curve for pine forest type 1.2



FIGURE 7

Stem number curve for pine forest type 1.3



Block	Туре	Ar	ea	d.b.h.**		ean	Relia minimum	ble estimate+
		ha	ac		m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac
2	1.1	300	740	a b c	21.0 17.1 9.0	300 244 129	14.0 10.0 3.5	200 143 50
2	1.2	159	393	a b c	10.7 7.0 2.1	153 100 30	8.4 5.0 1.0	120 71 14
2	1.3	111	273	a b c	6.4 3.6 1.4	91 51 20	4.8 2.3 0.4	69 33 6
3	1. 1	245	606	a b c	22.7 17.8 8.5	324 254 121	13.5 9.5 2.7	193 136 39
3	1.2	302	746	a b c	10.2 7.6 5.3	146 109 76	7.5 5.0 3.0	107 71 43
3	1.3	126	310	a b c	5.0 3.3 1.4	71 47 20	3.5 2.0 0.6	50 29 9
4	1.1	219	540	a b c	17.2 13.3 6.9	246 190 99	10. 3 7. 4 3. 2	147 106 46
4	1. 2	264	653	a b c	14.3 10.0 4.4	204 143 63	10.2 6.9 2.7	146 99 39
4	1.3	275	679	a b c	6.3 3.9 1.9	90 56 27	4.7 2.6 1.2	67 37 17
5	1.1	226	557	a b c	29. 2 21. 2 8. 2	417 303 117	23. 0 14. 8 4. 0	329 211 57
5	1.2	213	525	a b c	18.2 11.7 4.0	260 167 57	12.7 7.1 1.7	181 101 24
5	1.3	328	811	a b c	3.6 1.1 0.4	51 16 6	2.0 0.6 0.1	29 9 1

TABLE 15 Standing volume of pine per unit area* in three pine forest types in 34 management blocks

* Figures for m^3/ha have been rouned to nearest 0.1, those for ft^3/ac to nearest whole number This accounts for apparent anomalies in the conversions of small volumes.

** a ≥ 7.6 cm (3.0 in)

 $b \ge 15.2 \text{ cm} (6.0 \text{ in})$

 $c \ \geqslant 25.4$ cm (10.0 in)

+ Where sampling errors of 100% or higher were obtained, the reliable minimum estimate is zero. n.s. = not sampled

TABLE 15 (Continued)

Block	Type	Aı	ea	d.b.h.**	M€	an	Reli minimum	able estimate+
	-02-	ha	ac		m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac
6	1.1	170	420	a b c	10. 8 7. 9 5. 2	154 113 74	6.2 2.7 0.5	89 39 7
6	1.2	319	788	a b c	9.6 5.7 3.8	137 81 54	7.4 3.5 1.8	106 50 26
6	1.3	289	714	a b c	4. 2 3. 1 1. 9	60 44 27	2.9 1.9 1.0	41 27 14
7	1.1	140	345	a b c	8.9 6.4 4.9	127 91 70	5. 1 2. 8 1. 7	73 40 24
7	1. 2	407	1 006	a b c	8.0 5.2 3.4	114 74 49	5.8 3.1 1.6	83 44 23
7	1.3	169	418	a b c	2.7 1.8 1.1	39 26 16	1.5 0.9 0.4	21 13 6
8	1.1	66	164	a b c	17.4 11.3 3.4	249 161 49	12.7 6.7 1.2	181 96 17
8	1.2	257	634	a b c	6.9 3.7 1.1	99 53 16	4.7 2.0 0.4	67 29 6
8	1.3	546	1 349	a b c	3.3 1.6 1:0	47 23 14	2.3 0.8 0.4	33 11 6
9	1.1	19	48	a b c	14.0 8.6 2.9	200 123 41	10. 1 5. 4 0. 9	144 77 13
9	1.2	185	456	a b c	8.8 5.8 1.5	126 83 21	4.5 2.6 0.0	64 37 0
9	1.3	215	532	a b c	3.0 1.6 0.5	43 23 7	1.6 0.8 0.2	23 11 3
10	1.1	211	522	a .b c	13.5 9.4 2.7	193 134 39	5.8 3.7 0.5	83 53 7
10	1.2	358	885	a b c	5.5 3.4 0.8	79 49 11	3.1 1.3 0.3	44 19 4
10	1.3	169	418	a b c	5.5 3.1 1.2	79 44 17	1.3 0.0 0.0	19 0 0

TABLE 15 (Continued)

ł

Block	Туре	Ar	'ea	d.b.h.**	М	ean	Rel: minimum	iable estimate ⁺
		ha	ac		m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac
11	1.1	100	246	a b c	16.8 11.3 3.8	240 161 54	13.0 8.3 1.4	186 119 20
11	1.2	92	228	a b c	10. 2 6. 7 2. 3	146 96 33	7.5 4.7 1.0	107 67 14
11	1.3	207	512	a b c	4.5 2.5 1.2	64 36 17	3.6 1.8 0.7	51 26 10
12	1.1	107	3 265	a b c	24.6 18.3 5.6	351 261 80	17.7 12.5 2.4	253 179 34
12	1.2	146	361	a b c	16.1 10.0 3.1	230 143 44	13. 3 8. 0 1. 8	190 114 26
12	1.3	136	336	a b c	4.3 1.8 0.5	61 26 7	3. 0 1. 0 0. 1	43 14 1
13	1.1	178	439	a b · c	20. 2 13. 8 3. 0	289 197 43	12. 8 8. 3 1. 3	183 119 19
13	1.2	159	393	a b c	10.0 7.3 2.5	143 104 36	6.1 4.1 0.4	87 59 6
13	1.3	153	378	a b c	5.6 3.5 1.3	80 50 19	4.3 2.5 0.7	61 36 10
14	1.1	90	222	a b c	15. 0 9. 5 3. 4	214 136 49	10.9 5.8 1.3	156 83 19
14	1.2	280	692	a b c	7.8 4.9 3.0	111 70 43	5.7 3.1 1.6	81 44 23
14	1.3	360	890	a b c	4.8 3.2 1.5	69 46 21	3. 9 2. 3 0. 9	56 33 13
15	1.1	58	142	a b c	27.6 20.0 4.6	394 286 66	21.0 14.4 2.5	300 206 36
15	1.2	173	428	a b c	17.4 9.8 2.7	249 140 39	13.0 7.1 1.3	186 101 19
15	1.3	123	303	a b	4.9 2.2 0.4	70 31 6	3.9 1.5 0.1	56 21 1

TABLE 15 (Continued)

•

Block	Type	Ar	ea	d.b.h.**	Me	an	Reli minimum	able estimate ⁺
	-5 pc	ha	ac		m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac
16	1.1	135	333	a b · c	28.5 22.2 8.1	408 318 115	22. 9 16. 8 4. 8	327 240 69
16	1.2	209	516	a b c	16. 1 12. 1 4. 5	230 173 64	12.4 8.7 2.8	177 124 40
16	1.3	132	325	a b c	8.8 5.7 1.4	126 81 20	6.8 3.9 0.7	97 56 10
17	1.1	91	224	a b c	13.7 10.4 5.4	196 149 77	9.6 6.5 2.9	137 93 41
17	1.2	165	407	a b c	13.9 11.6 6.8	199 166 97	9.8 7.7 3.5	140 110 50
17	1.3	110	272	a b c	7.5 5.4 2.4	107 77 34	5.4 3.4 1.2	77 49 17
18	1.1	134	332	a b c	10.4 8.0 4.5	149 114 64	7.0 4.8 2.2	100 69 31
18	1.2	103	255	a b c	n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s.
18	1.3	167	412	a b c	5.3 4.1 1.9	76 59 27	3.6 2.5 0.8	51 36 11
19	1.1	90	223	a b c	12.8 9.0 5.3	183 129 76	7.8 4.2 1.2	111 60 17
19	1.2	152	376	a b c	26.8 22.2 10.5	383 317 150	20.3 15.6 6.2	290 223 89
19	1.3	268	662	a b c	6.6 4.8 2.9	94 69 41	5.0 3.4 1.9	71 49 27
20	1.1	152	375	a b c	20.7 11.1 4.2	296 159 60	15. 2 7. 7 2. 2	217 110 31
20	1.2	218	539	a b c	14.3 12.3 7.3	204 176 104	10.2 8.2 4.6	146 117 66
20	1.3	114	282	a b c	8.6 6.7 4.7	123 96 67	6.9 5.1 3.3	99 73 47

TABLE 15 (Continued)

Block	Type	Ar	ea	d.b.h.**	Me	an	Relia minimum	able estimate ⁺
DIOON	-52-	ha	ac		m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac
21	1.1	134	331	a b c	21.6 17.3 9.2	309 247 131	15.2 11.3 5.0	217 161 71
21	1.2	139	343	a b c	16.8 12.6 7.1	240 180 101	13. 1 9. 1 4. 3	187 130 61
21	1.3	139	342 ⁻	a b c	8.7 5.9 2.3	124 84 33	6.6 4.1 1.4	94 59 20
22	1.1	65	160	a b c	24. 3 16. 7 4. 8	347 239 69	18.8 11.0 1.5	269 157 21
22	1.2	228	562	a b c	20. 1 12. 7 4. 6	287 181 66	16. 3 9. 1 2. 1	233 130 30
22	1.3	135	332	a b c	7.3 4.8 1.7	104 69 24	5.5 3.3 0.9	79 47 13
23	1.1	71	176	a b c	23. 9 17. 8 5. 2	341 254 74	17. 0 11. 5 2. 5	243 164 36
23	1.2	193	476	a b c	24. 6 21. 3 6. 6	351 304 94	20. 1 16. 6 4. 0	287 237 57
23	1.3	43	106	a b c	6.2 3.6 0.7	89 51 10	4.6 2.4 0.1	66 34 1
24	1.1	201	496	a b c	19.0 14.4 5.1	271 206 73	14.6 10.4 2.6	209 149 37
24	1.2	180	445	a b c	16. 9 9. 9 3. 5	241 141 50	12. 3 6. 1 1. 0	176 87 14
24	1.3	196	483	a b c	7.9 5.4 1.3	113 77 19	6.0 3.7 0.6	86 53 9
25	1.1	156	386	a b c	22.5 16.1 7.5	321 230 107	17.4 11.3 3.8	249 161 54
25	1.2	142	352	a b c	21.3 14.9 7.5	304 213 107	17.1 11.1 4.8	244 159 69
25	1.3	80	198	a b c	7.2 4.8 1.5	103 69 21	5.5 3.8 0.9	79 54 13

TABLE 15 (Continued)

.

Block	Type	Ar	ea	d. b. h. **	M€	ean	Reli: minimum	able estimate ⁺
	-0 F .	ha	ac		m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac
26	1.1	316	781	a b c	21. 8 13. 7 5. 1	311 196 73	15. 8 8. 9 2. 1	226 127 30
26	1.2	355	877	a b c	15.4 9.3 4.8	220 133 69	11.2 6.4 2.5	160 91 36
26	1.3	320	790	a b c	7.0 4.5 1.6	100 64 23	5.3 3.0 1.1	76 43 16
27	1.1	245	. 605	a b c	22.4 12.5 1.7	320 179 24	16.7 8.3 0.6	239 119 9
27	1.2	152	375	a b c	9.8 5.5 2.6	140 79 37	7.4 3.6 1.4	106 51 20
27	1.3	69	170	a b c	7.3 4.4 2.1	104 63 30	5.5 3.2 1.4	79 46 20
28	1.1	147	364	a b c	17. 1 12. 1 4. 4	244 173 63	12.6 8.1 2.5	180 116 36
28	1.2	244	604	a b c	11.6 8.0 4.0	166 114 57	9.0 5.6 2.6	129 80 37
28	1.3	98	241	a b c	n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s.
29	1.1	71	175	a b c	10.8 7.5 4.4	154 107 63	6.2 3.6 1.5	89 51 21
29	1.2	207	512	a b c	13.2 7.3 2.7	189 104 39	9.4 4.5 1.1	134 64 16
29	1.3	64	158	a b c	5.2 3.7 1.7	74 53 24	2.9 2.2 0.7	41 31 10
30	1.1	299	738	a b c	23.2 13.2 4.3	331 189 61	15.2 7.7 1.6	217 110 23
30	1.2	280	691	a b c	18.7 13.3 4.7	267 190 67	14. 2 9. 6 3. 2	203 137 46
30	1.3	157	388	a b c	8.4 5.5 2.4	120 79 34	6.4 3.9 1.8	91 56 26

TABLE 15 (Continued)

Block	Type	A	rea	d. b. h. **	M	ean	Reli minimum	able estimate ⁺
	-0 -	ha	ac		m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac
31	1.1	309	763	a b c	21.7 15.8 9.2	310 226 131	15.0 9.8 4.9	214 140 70
31	1.2	160	396	a b c	16.7 14.0 12.2	239 200 174	12.8 10.0 7.9	183 143 113
31	1.3	190	470	a b c	6.7 5.1 3.3	96 73 47	5.3 3.6 1.6	76 51 23
32	1.1	78	192	a b c	21. 8 18. 7 11. 9	311 267 170	17.8 14.5 7.8	254 207 111
32	1.2	402	994	a b c	18.6 15.4 8.8	266 220 126	15.0 11.8 6.6	214 169 94
32	1.3	182	450	a b c	5.9 4.1 2.1	84 59 30	4.7 3.1 1.2	67 44 17
33	1.1	151	374	a b c	17.6 14.1 10.6	251 201 151	13. 1 9. 9 6. 7	187 141 96
33	1.2	165	407	a b c	12.4 10.8 8.5	177 154 121	8.7 7.2 5.2	124 103 74
33	1.3	299	738	a b c	9.3 7.4 5.0	133 106 71	7.7 5.9 3.8	110 84 54
34	1.1	74	184	a b c	17.3 14.4 11.8	247 206 169	12.2 9.3 7.2	174 133 103
34	1. 2	63	155	a b c	20. 2 18. 2 15. 0	289 260 214	14. 9 12. 9 10. 5	213 184 150
34	1.3	72	178	a b c	7.8 6.2 4.4	111 89 63	6.0 4.7 3.1	86 67 44
35	1.1	88	217	a b c	7.9 6.2 3.9	113 89 56	4.6 3.1 1.6	66 44 23
35	1. 2	273	674	a b c	11.5 10.0 7.9	164 143 113	7.2 5.8 3.7	103 83 53
35	1.3	442	1 092	a b c	4.6 3.5 2.2	66 50 31	3.0 2.0 1.1	43 29 16

					Gross v	volume				Relial	ole minim	um estimat	e	
Block	Ar	ea			ˈd.b.h. c	cm (in)				(l.b.h. cm	(in)		
			≥ 7.6	(3.0)	≥ 15. 2	2 (6.0)	≥ 25.	4 (10.0)	> 7.6	(3.0)	≥ 15. :	2 (6.0)	≥ 25.	4 (10.0)
	ha	ac	'o m ³	'oo ft ³	'o m ³	'oo ft ³	'o m ³	'oo ft ³	'o m ³	' 00 ft ³	'o m ³	'oo ft ³	'o m ³	'oo ft ³
2	702	1 735	871	3 076	664	2 346	319	1 126	607	2 143	405	1 430	125	442
3	821	2 029	927	3 274	707	2 497	386	1 363	601	2 124	409	1 444	164	581
4	803	1 984	928	3 275	663	2 340	320	1 1 2 9	624	2 204	416	1 468	174	616
5	793	1 959	1 166	4 117	764	2 699	284	1 002	856	3 0 2 3	505	1 785	130	459
6	789	1 949	611	2 158	406	1 433	265	934	425	1 502	213	750	95	335
7	729	·1 802	496	1 751	332	1 171	226	797	333	1 176	181	638	96	338
. 8	945	2 336	472	1 668	257	908	105	372	330	1 166	139	493	40	141
9	604	1 492	254	897	158	558	44	156	137	483	76	267	6	21
10	868	2 144	575	2 030	372	1 315	106	374	255	902	125	440	21	75
11	504	. 1 244	355	1 254	226	800	84	297	274	966	164	584	38	133
12	701	1 733	557	1 966	366	1 294	112	396	424	1 499	264	933	53	189
13	867	2 141	604	2 134	415	1 467	113	399	391	1 379	251	887	40	142
14	811	2 005	526	1 858	338	1 193	169	595	399	1 406	222	783	89	314
15	600	1 482	521	1 841	313	1 104	78	277	395	1 394	225	794	38	135
16	579	1 430	838	2 958	628	2 217	222	784	658	2 324	460	1 625	133	468
17	486	1 201	437	1 542	345	1 220	188	663	309	1 089	224	790	97	344
18*	613	1 515	228	805	176	620	92	325	154	543	106	375	43	152
19	695	1 716	700	2 470	547	1 932	285	1 006	513	1 811	366	1 293	156	551

TABLE 16 Summary statement of the standing gross volume of pine in 34 management blocks

58

* Volume on 103 ha (255 ac) of forest type 1.3 excluded

,

TABLE 16 (Continued)

					Gross	volume				Relial	ole minim	um estimat	e	
Block	Ar	ea			d.b.h.	cm (in)				(l.b.h. cm	(in)		
			≥ 7.6	6 (3.0)	≥ 15.5	2 (6.0)	≥ 25.	4 (10.0)	≥ 7.6	i (3.0)	≥ 15. 3	2 (6.0)	≥ 25. 4	(10.0)
	ha	ac	'om3	'oo ft ³	'o m ³	'oo ft ³	'om3	'oo ft ³	'o m3	'oo ft ³	'o m ³	'oo ft ³	'o m³	'oo ft ³
20	551	1 362	724	2 558	513	1 812	277	976	532	1 879	354	1 250	171	605
21	601	1 484	644	2 274	489	1 7 27	254	897	478	1 686	335	1 183	146	517
22	540	1 335	713	2 518	462	1 630	159	560	567	2 001	323	1 140	70	246
23	340	839	671	2 370	553	1 953	167	591	528	1 866	412	1 456	95	337
24	707	1 746	841	2 970	573	2 025	191	675	633	2 234	391	1 382	82	290
25	460	1 138	711	2 511	501	1 770	236	832	558	1 971	364	1 287	135	476
26	1 250	3 089	1 460	5 155	898	3 172	383	1 352	1 067	3 766	604	2 134	190	672
27	558	1 379	748	2 642	420	1 484	96	338	560	1 977	280	990	46	161
28*	550	1 360	534	1 887	373	1 318	162	573	405	1 430	256	903	100	354
29	646	1 595	383	1 353	228	806	98	346	257	908	133	469	38	134
30	978	2 416	1 349	4 765	854	3 014	298	1 052	953	3 364	560	1 978	166	585
31	871	2 152	1 065	3 761	809	2 857	542	1 915	769	2 716	531	1 876	308	1 088
32	822	2 032	1 025	3 620	840	2 965	485	1 712	827	2 922	644	2 274	348	1 229
33	989	2 445	749	2 643	612	2 163	450	1 589	572	2 019	445	1 570	301	1 062
34	556	1 378	312	1 100	266	939	214	754	227	803	184	649	142	501
35	1 091	2 696	587	2 072	482	1 703	347	1 226	370	1 306	274	968	164	578
All blocks summed	24 420	60 343	23 582	83 279	16 550	58 446	7 757	27 394	16 988	59 993	10 841	38 285	4 040	14 267
* Volume	e on 98 ha	(241 ac)	of forest	type 1.3 exc	cluded									

Туре					Me	an					Minimun	n estimate		
	AI	rea			d.b.h.	cm (in)					d.b.h. cm (in)			
			> 7.6	(3.0)	≥ 15. 2	2 (6.0) \geq 25.4 (10.0)		≥ 7.6 (3.0)		≥ 15.2 (6.0)		≥ 25.4 (10.0)		
	ha	ac	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ha	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ha	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac
1.1	5 134	12 686	19.5	279	13. 9	198	6. 0	86	18. 3	261	12. 8	183	5.3	76
1.2	7 342	18 143	13. 7	196	9.7	139	4.8	69	13.0	186	9.2	131	4.4	63
1.3	6 480	16 013	5. 7	81	3. 7	53	1.8	26	5.4	77	3.5	49	1.7	24

TABLE 17 Overall mean standing volume per unit area in three pine forest types

TABLE 18 Summary statement of the standing gross volume of pine in three pine forest types in the whole project area

					Gross	volume			Minimum estimate					
Туре	A	rea			d.b.h.	cm (in)					d.b.h. cm (in)			
		> 7.6 (3.0)		≥ 15.2 (6.0)		> 25.4 (10.0)		≥ 7.6 (3.0)		> 15.2 (6.0)		≥ 25.4 (10.0)		
	ha	ac	'oo m ³	'ooo ft ³	'oo m ³	'ooo ft ³	'oo m ³	'ooo ft ³	'oo m ³	'000 ft ³	'oo m ³	'ooo ft ³	'oo m ³	'000 ft ³
1.1	5 134	12 686	1 004	3 544	712	2 515	309	1 092	940	3 318	657	2 320	273	964
1.2	7 342	18 143	1 005	3 549	716	2 527	354	1 252	956	3 375	672	2 373	324	1 145
1.3	6 480	16 013	369	1 302	242	854	118	417	350	1 230	224	791 ·	107	379
3 types summed	18 956	48 840	2 377	8 393	1 670	5 894	782	2 761	2 245	7 927	1 553	5 484	705	2 488

Log_e volume m ³ underbark = 3.028(log_e d.b.h. cm overbark) - 11.34										
d. ove	b.h. rbark	Volume u	nder bark	Confidence limits						
Cm	in .	m ³	ft ³	± m ³	\pm ft ³					
10	3.9	0.013	0.46	0. 002	0.07					
15	5.9	0.043	1.52							
20	7.9	0. 103	. 3.64	0. 006	0.21					
25	9.8	0. 203	7.17							
30	11.8	0.353	12.46	0. 017	0.60					
35	13.8	0. 563	19.88							
40	15.8	0. 844	29.80	0. 049	1.73					
45	17.7	1. 205	42.55							
50	19.7	1.658	5 8.54	0.122	4.31					
55	21.7	2. 213	78.14							
60	23.6	2.880	101.69	0.254	8.97					

TABLE 19 Local volume table for Pinus caribaea and P. oocarpa

•• ••

•

· . .

Type	Area		Height	Me	ean	Reliable min estimate +		
1300	ha	a ac class**	class**	stems/ha	stems/ac	stems/ha	stems/ac	
			a	100	40	13	5	
1.1	300	740	b	184	74	10	4	
			с	0	0	0	0	
			d	284	115	68	28	
			a	238	96	150	61	
1.2	159	393	b	158	64	104	42	
· · · ·			c	19	8	5	2	
			d	414	168	294	119	
			a	127	51	45	18	
1.3	111	273	b	52	21	20	8	
			с	0	0	0	0	
			d	180	73	96	39	
			a	0	0	0	0	
3.2	106	262	ь	0	0	0	0	
0.2			с	0	, 0	0	0	
			d	0	0	0	0	
			a	356	144	77	31	
1 1	245	606	b	500	202	88	36	
1.1	~ 10	000	c	200	81	0	0	
			d	1 056	427	241	98	
			a	475	192	171	69	
1.2	302	746	b	329	133	173	70	
	502		c	64	26	17	7	
			d	867	351	455	184	
			a	1 005	407	297	1 20	
1.3	126	310	b	412	167	181	73	
	1.00			95	38	23	9	
			d	1 512	612	734	297	
			a	270	109	0	0	
1	52	128	b	309	125	0	0	
3.2			~		20	0		
3.2		1	i c i		29		1 0	
	1.1 1.2 1.3 3.2 1.1 1.2 1.3	1.1 300 1.2 159 1.3 111 3.2 106 1.1 245 1.2 302 1.3 126	1.1 300 740 1.2 159 393 1.3 111 273 3.2 106 262 1.1 245 606 1.2 302 746 1.3 126 310	1.1300740 $a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$ 1.2159393 $b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$ 1.3111273 $a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$ 3.2106262 $b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$ 1.1245606 $b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$ 1.2302746 $a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$ 1.3126310 $a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$	1.1300740a b c d100 184 0 2841.2159393a b c 19 d238 158 158 19 d1.3111273a b c 19 d127 52 c 0 0 d3.2106262b b c 0 001.1245606a b 	1.1 300 740 a b c 100 c 40 74 0 0 1.1 300 740 b 184 c 74 0 0 1.2 159 393 a 238 c 96 158 1.2 159 393 a 238 b 96 158 1.2 159 393 a 238 b 96 158 1.3 111 273 a 127 c 51 2 21 c 51 21 21 c 1.3 111 273 b 52 c 21 0 0 0 3.2 106 262 a 0 c 0 0 0 3.2 106 262 b 0 c 0 0 0 1.1 245 606 a 356 b 144 20 202 1.1 245 606 b 300 202 200 202 81 2133 1.2 302 746 a 475 b 192 329 1.3 126 310 a 1 005 b 407 31512	1.1 300 740 a b c 100 184 40 74 13 10 0 6 1.2 159 393 a b 238 c 96 158 c 150 68 1.2 159 393 a b 238 c 96 158 c 150 68 1.2 159 393 a b 238 c 96 158 c 150 64 1.3 111 273 a b 127 c 51 2 45 20 0 1.3 111 273 a b 127 c 51 2 45 20 0 3.2 106 262 a b c 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3.2 106 262 a b c 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1.1 245 606 a b c c 356 200 200 202 144 88 0 1.1 245 606 b b c c 329 329 133 33 173 173 1.2 302 746 a b c c 310 1005 b c 407 33 297 297	

TABLE 20 Regeneration of pine (Pinus caribaea and P. oocarpa) in four forest/vegetation types in
34 management blocks*

	·····	r <u> </u>	- <u></u> 1	· · · · · ·					
Block	Туре	A	rea	Height class ac	Me	an	Reliable min. estimate +		
		ha	ac		stems/ha	stems/ac	stems/ha	stems/ac	
4	1. 1	219	540	a b c d	289 141 78 507	117 57 32 205	136 48 25 295	55 19 10 119	
4	1. 2	264	653	a b c d	302 216 88 606	122 87 36 245	91 120 42 413	37 49 17 167	
4	1.3	275	679	a b c d	464 206 100 769	188 83 40 311	341 121 59 582	138 49 24 236	
4	3. 2	29	70	a b c d	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	
5	1. 1	226	557	a b c d	814 1 800 343 2 257	329 728 139 1 197	297 451 333 1 112	120 183 135 450	
5	1.2	213	525	a b c d	693 723 140 1 557	280 293 57 630	417 393 71 902	169 159 29 365	
5	1.3	328	811	a b c d	900 695 0 1 595	364 281 0 645	558 356 0 1 073	226 144 0 434	
5	3. 2	0	0	a b c d					
6	1.1	170	420	a b c d	490 231 86 807	198 93 35 327	287 110 39 0 522	116 45 16 211	
6	1. 2.	319	788	a b c d	482 207 40 729	195 84 16 295	338 121 15 527	137 49 6 213	
6	1.3	289	714	a b c d	695 270 29 993	281 109 12 402	512 191 12 785	207 77 5 318	
6	3. 2	8	21	a b c d	1 083 293 8 1 383	438 119 3 560	0 0 0 185	0 0 0 75	

TABLE 20 (Continued)

:

TABLE 20 (Continued)

Block	Type	Area		Height	Me	an	Reliable min. estimate ⁺		
2		ha	ac	Class	stems/ha	stems/aç	stems/ha	stems/ac	
7	1.1	140	345	a b c d	531 352 124 1 007	215 142 50 408	334 211 64 687	135 85 26 278	
7	1. 2	407	1 006	a b c d	797 342 90 1 229	323 138 36 497	541 252 10 922	219 102 4 373	
7	1. 3	169	418	a b c d	985 364 100 1 449	399 147 40 586	599 263 59 0	242 106 24 0	
7	3. 2	11	28	a þ c d	1 287 537 92 1 914	521 217 37 775	490 233 23 839	198 94 9 340	
8	1.1	66	164	a b c d	259 122 75 456	105 49 30 185	150 65 35 276	61 26 14 112	
8	1. 2	257	634	a b c d	552 291 70 913	223 118 28 369	385 219 39 709	156 89 16 287	
8	1.3	546	1 349	a b c d	703 291 80 1 063	284 118 32 430	477 207 41 774	193 84 17 313	
8	3. 2	74	182	a b c d	835 125 34 995	338 51 14 403	332 18 0 441	134 7 0 178	
9	1.1	19 O	48	a b c d	63 31 26 120	25 13 11 49	22 4 0 57	9 2 0 23	
9	1.2	185	456	a b c d	333 88 12 433	135 36 5 175	141 8 0 189	57 3 0 76	
9	1.3	215	532	a b c d	482 114 27 622	195 46 11 252	160 49 0 293	65 20 0 119	
9	3.2	153	378	a b c d	355 25 5 385	144 10 2 156	105 11 0 137	42 4 0 55	
Plack	Tuno	A	rea	Height class	Mea	n	Reliable min. estimate ⁺		
-------	---------------------------------------	----------	------	-----------------	----------	-----------	--	----------	--
BIOCK	Type	ha	ac	class	stems/ha	stems/ac	stems/ha	stems/ac	
				a	359	145	88	36	
10	1.1	211	522	b	310	125	145	59	
				с	69	28	21	8	
				d	738	299	330	134	
				a	483	195	313	127	
10	1.2	358	885	b	611	247	351	142	
				c	120	49	57	23	
				d	1 252	507	815	330	
		100	410	a	652	264	464	188	
10	1.3	169	418	D	(16	290	468	189	
				C d	122	49 602	55 1 109	44	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			u	1 490	003	1 108		
10	2.0	120	200	a	721	292	462	187	
10	3.2	130	320	D	530	214	119	48 17	
					124	556	44	11	
				u	1 313				
				a	587	238	385	156	
11	1.1	100	246	b	381	154	183	74	
				c	197	80	41	17	
		ļ		d	1 165	471	697	282	
				a	681	276	468	189	
11	1.2	92	228	b	290	117	207	84	
		1	}	c	75	30	38	15	
				d	1 048	424	786	318	
				a	1 148	465	597	242	
11	1.3	207	512	b	495	200	232	94	
				C	67	27	26	11	
		ļ		d	1 709	692	941	381	
				a	1 247	505	826	334	
11	3.2	105	259	b	206	83	145	59	
]		ļ	c	8	3	0	0	
				d	1 449	586	1 034	418	
				a	371	150	113	46	
12	1.1	107	265	b	436	176	110	45	
				с	176	71	77	31	
		<u>_</u>		d	982	397	441	178	
				a	369	149	205	83	
12	1.2	146	361	b	512	207	189	76	
	1	1	1	C	100	40	47	19	
	ļ	 		a	977	395	512	201	
10		100	0.00	a	610	247	424	172	
12	1.3	136	336	b	669	271	375	152	
	1			C .	80	32	5	2	
				d	1 351	547	882	357	
				a	840	340	541	219	
12	3.2	299	739	b	154	62	32	13	
			Į	C C	3	1	0	0	
	1			d	987	399	654	265	

TABLE 20 (Continued)

TABLE 20 (Continued)

į

Block	Thing	Ar	ea	Height	Mea	n	Reliable min. estima	
BIOCK	туре	ha	ac	class	stems/ha	stems/ac	stems/ha	stems/ac
13	1.1	178	439	a b c d	410 460 187 1 010	166 186 76 409	167 201 106 519	68 81 43 210
13	1.2	159	393	a b c d	288 127 59 474	117 51 24 192	194 69 7 450	79 28 3 182
13	1.3	153	378	a b c d	667 451 69 1 186	270 183 28 480	438 244 22 792	177 99 9 321
13	3. 2	368	909	a b c d	847 361 32 1 243	343 146 13 503	590 204 0 840	239 83 0 340
14	1.1	90	222	a b c d	1 024 584 197 1 782	414 236 80 721	576 364 74 1 098	233 147 30 444
14	1.2	280	692	a b c d	1 042 744 114 1 846	422 301 46 747	734 503 29 1 180	297 204 12 478
14	1. 3	360	890	a b c d	1 273 408 198 1 710	515 165 80 692	834 296 0 1 235	338 120 0 500
14	3. 2	80	198	a b c d	1 518 341 16 1 874	614 138 6 758	1 065 227 1 1 343	431 92 0 543
15	1. 1	58	142	a b c d	136 88 33 258	55 36 13 104	71 31 3 146	29 13 1 59
15	1. 2	173	428	a b c d	184 228 186 526	74 92 75 213	117 84 22 197	47 34 9 80
15	1.3	123	303	a b c d	412 370 80 862	167 150 32 349	249 220 2 537	101 89 1 217
15	3. 2	117	288	a b c d	851 507 23 1 381	344 205 9 559	578 267 0 929	234 108 0 376

Reliable Area Mean min. estimate + Height Block Type class ha stems/ha stems/ac stems/ha stems/ac ac а b 1.1 с d a 1.2 b с d a 1.3 b С d a n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. 3.2 b n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. С n.s. n.s. d n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. a b 1.1 с d а 1.2 b С d a 1.3 b с d a 3.2 b с d 1 195 a $\boldsymbol{102}$ 1.1 b с d **n**.s. n.s. a n.s. n.s. 1.2 b n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. С n.s. n.s. d n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. a 1.3 b С $\mathbf{24}$ 1 037 d 1 516 1 120 a 3.2 b с d 1 548

TABLE 20 (Continued)

TABLE 20 (Continued)

Block	Type	Area Height Mean min		Relia min. es	Reliable 1. estimate +			
Drook	1500	ha	ac .	class	stems/ha	stems/iac	stems/ha	stems/ac
19	1.1	90	223	a b c d	229 249 124 610	93 101 50 247	135 125 57 396	55 51 23 160
19	1.2	152	376	a b c d	315 309 147 770	127 125 59 312	212 174 17 501	86 70 7 203
19	1.3	268	662	a b c d	267 344 67 678	108 139 27 274	115 177 7 373	47 72 3 151
19	3. 2	41	102	a b c d	1 089 811 109 1 993	441 328 44 807	0 0 8 0	0 0 3 0
20	1. 1	152	375	a b c d	115 210 854 1 180	47 85 346 478	39 95 282 571	16 38 114 231
20	1. 2	218	539	a b c d	188 300 407 895	76 121 165 362	65 87 45 332	26 35 18 134
20	1. 3	114	282	a b c d	356 393 283 1 032	144 159 115 418	189 218 122 634	76 88 49 257
20	3.2	24	59	a b c d	850 556 66 1 471	344 225 27 595	354 188 0 593	143 76 0 240
21	1.1	134	331	a b c d	129 113 58 300	52 46 23 121	21 17 7 96	8 7 3 39
21	1.2	139	343	a b c d	408 333 16 757	165 135 6 306	251 191 3 480	102 77 1 194
21	1. 3	139	342	a b c d	469 213 26 707	190 86 11 286	272 136 10 454	110 55 4 184
21	3. 2	64	159	a b c d	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.

TABLE (20 Continued)

Block Type	Туре	Ar	ea	Height	Mea	in	Reliable min. estimate +		
		ha	ac	Class	stems/ha	stems/ac	stems/ha	stems/ac	
22	1.1	65	160	a b c d	469 242 231 941	190 98 93 381	233 22 5 719	94 9 2 291	
22	1.2	227	562	a b c d	302 187 130 614	122 76 53 248	100 154 49 450	40 62 20 182	
22	1.3	135	332	a b c d	605 312 191 1 041	245 126 77 421	380 223 41 739	154 90 17 299	
22	3.2	0	0	a b c d					
23	1.1	71	176	a b c d	168 193 82 443	68 78 33 179	78 150 22 255	32 61 9 103	
23	1.2	193	476	a b c d	588 192 47 827	238 78 19 335	290 114 25 465	117 46 10 188	
23	1.3	43	106	a b c d	713 387 57 1 162	289 157 23 470	452 228 28 738	183 92 11 299	
23	3.2	0	0	a b c d					
24	1.1	201	496	a b c d	206 150 46 402	83 61 19 163	61 69 14 185	25 28 6 75	
24	1.2	180	445	a b c d	450 336 146 948	182 136 59 384	303 232 89 702	123 94 36 284	
24	1.3	196	483	a b c d	261 176 37 474	106 71 15 192	108 63 0 186	44 25 0 75	
24	3.2	14	34	a b c d	404 281 53 739	163 114 21 299	119 142 15 348	48 57 6 141	

TABLE (20 Continued)

Block Type		Area		Height	Mea	an	Reliable min. estimate ⁺	
DIOCK	1jp¢	ha	ac	class	stems/ha	stems/ac	stems/ha	stems/ac
25	1. 1	156	386	a b c d	707 393 181 1 324	286 159 73 536	435 268 100 926	176 108 40 375
25	1. 2	142	352	a b c d	976 421 132 1 503	395 170 53 608	522 178 52 822	211 72 21 333
25	1.3	80	198	a b c d	1 273 579 154 2 006	515 234 62 812	583 336 16 1 086	236 136 6 439
25	3. 2	76	188	a b c d	770 555 147 1 472	312 225 59 596	0 84. 0 129	0 34 0 52
26	1. 1	316	781	a b c d	457 537 293 1 287	185 217 119 521	181 159 81 507	73 64 33 205
26	1.2	355	877	a b c d	390 327 90 831	158 132 36 336	259 199 31 576	105 81 13 233
26	1. 3	320	790	a b c d	709 700 514 1 836	287 283 208 743	436 353 117 1 031	176 143 47 417
26	3.2	230	568	a b c d	325 233 51 607	132 94 21 246	109 68 2 202	44 28 1 82
27	1.1	245	605	a b a d	346 661 314 1 346	140 267 127 545	138 197 51 526	56 80 21 213
27	1.2	152	375	a b c d	300 351 104 755	121 142 42 306	148 193 418 412	60 78 169 167
27	1.3	69	170	a b c d	383 259 41 683	155 105 17 276	220 151 8 429	89 61 3 174
27	3.2	24	60	a b c d	742 251 4 997	300 102 2 403	186 41 0 235	75 17 0 95

Reliable Area Mean min. estimate $^+$ Height Block Type class stems/ha stems/ac ha stems/ha stems/ac ac a 1.1 b с d 1 098 a 1.2 b с d n.s. а n.s. n.s. n.s. 1.3 b n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. С n.s. n.s. n.s. d n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. а 3.2 b с d а 1.1 b . с d а 1.2 b С d а 1.3 b с d а n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. 3.2 b n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. с n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. d n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. a 1.1 b С d 1 887 1 018 а 1.2b с d а 1.3 b . С d 1 463 1 012 a 3.2 b с d

TABLE 20 (Continued)

.

T.	ABL	Е	20	(Cont	i	nue	ed)
----	-----	---	----	---	------	---	-----	-----

Block Type		A	rea	Height	Me	an	Reliable min. estimate +		
DIUCK	туре	ha	ac	class	stems/ha	stems/ac	stems/ha	stems/ac	
31	1. 1	309	763	a b c d	325 253 138 719	132 102 56 291	92 114 57 330	37 46 23 134	
31	1.2	160	396	a b c d	646 389 227 1 262	261 157 92 511	444 267 121 933	180 108 49 378	
31	1.3	190	470	a b c d	702 564 140 1 406	284 228 57 569	463 336 35 947	187 136 14 383	
31	3.2	155	384	a b c d	148 54 5 206	60 22 2 83	42 16 0 59	17 6 0 24	
32	1.1	78	192	a b c d	100 52 72 224	40 21 29 91	0 0 8 0	0 0 3 0	
32	1.2	402	994	a b c d	135 113 93 346	55 46 38 140	59 46 43 179	24 19 17 72	
32	1.3	182	450	a b c d	891 380 52 1 320	361 154 21 534	559 245 18 957	226 99 7 387	
32	3. 2	11	26	a b c d	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	
33	1.1	151	374	a b c d	386 104 237 728	156 42 96 295	145 37 70 442	59 15 28 179	
33	1.2	165	407	a b c d	368 34 9 411	149 14 4 16€	173 0 0 214	70 0 0 87	
33	1.3	299	738	a b c d	705 175 48 927	285 71 19 375	390 93 20 600	158 38 8 243	
33	3.2	280	693	a b c d	1 006 104 11 1 121	407 42 4 454	482 0 2 608	195 0 1 246	

Plack	Type	Ar	ea.	Height		lean Reliable min.estimate		able timate +
DIUCK	Type	ha	ac	class	stems/ha	stems/ac	`stems/ha	stems/ac
34	1.1	74	184	a b c d	170 202 146 518	69 82 59 210	79 115 57 307	32 47 23 124
34	1.2	63	155	a b c d	128 235 168 550	52 95 68 223	50 93 470 237	20 38 190 96
34	1.3	72	178	a b c d	283 338 162 783	115 137 66 317	199 180 118 505	81 73 48 204
34	3. 2	237	586	a b c d	755 404 13 1 172	306 163 5 474	309 230 0 589	125 93 0 238
35	1.1	88	217	a b c d	691 184 25 900	280 74 10 364	165 97 5 304	67 39 2 123
35	1.2	273	674	a b c d	823 277 40 1 140	333 112 16 461	557 202 15 820	225 82 6 332
35	1.3	442	1 092	a ··· b c d	896 164 16 1 076	363 66 6 435	538 108 4 687	218 44 2 278
35	3.2	208	513	a b c d	1 757 318 4 2 079	711 129 2 841	1 079 162 0 1 324	437 66 0 536

TABLE 20 (Continued)

,

.

.

Block	Туре	A	rea	Height	Mea	an	Reli min. es	able timate +
		ha	ac		stems/ha	stems/ac	stems/ha	stems/ac
20	1. 1	152	375	a b c d	69 41 128 239	28 17 52 97	7 16 0 74	3 6 0 30
20	1.2	218	539	a b c d	86 136 275 496	35 55 111 201	17 12 0 121	7 5 0 49
20	1.3	114	282	a b c d	341 370 233 944	138 150 94 382	179 209 86 571	72 85 35 231
20	3. 2	24	59	a b c d	850 556 66 1 471	344 225 27 595	354 188 0 593	143 76 0 240
21	1.1	134	331	a b c d	74 81 42 197	30 33 17 80	0 0 0 8	0 0 0 3
21	1.2	139	343	a b c d	400 316 16 733	162 128 6 297	246 180 3 473	100 73 1 191
21	1. 3	139	342	a b c d	457 174 17 648	185 70 7 262	264 99 4 405	107 40 2 164
21	3. 2	64	159	a b c d	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.

 TABLE 21 Regeneration of Pinus caribaea in four forest/vegetation types in five management blocks*

** a < 1.5 m (5 ft) b 1.5 - 3.0 m (5 -10 ft)

c > 3.0 m (10 ft) d total

Block	Туре	Ar	ea	Height	Mea	ın	Reliab min. esti	able timate ⁺
	-01	ac	ha	class	stems/ha	stems/ac	stems/ha	stems/ac
33	1.1	151	374	a b c d	229 82 212 524	93 33 86 212	11 22 44 114	4 9 18 46
33	1.2	165	407	a b c d	293 34 9 336	$119\\14\\4\\136$	107 0 0 147	43 0 0 59
33	1.3	299	738	a b c d	694 164 33 891	281 66 13 361	378 83 10 559	153 34 4 226
33	3. 2	280	693	a b c d	1 006 104 11 1 121	407 42 4 454	482 0 2 608	195 0 1 246
34	1.1	74	184	a b c d	120 130 98 348	49 53 40 141	37 65 14 171	15 26 6 69
34	1.2	63	155	a b c d	120 213 160 513	49 86 65 208	43 70 25 196	17 28 10 79
34	1.3	72	178	a b c d	207 205 79 491	84 83 32 199	91 63 15 255	37 25 6 103
34	3.2	237	586	a b c d	747 386 8 1 141	302 156 3 462	313 201 0 540	127 81 0 219
35	1.1	88	217	a b c d	650 163 13 825	263 66 5 334	120 73 0 222	49 30 0 90
35	1.2	273	674	a b c d	804 252 39 1 094	325 102 16 443	535 168 13 767	217 68 5 310
35	1.3	442	1 092	a b c d	872 150 14 1 036	353 61 6 419	518 96 3 645	210 39 1 _261
35	3.2	208	513	a b c d	1 755 316 4 2 075	710 128 2 840	1 075 153 0 1 320	435 62 0 534

TABLE 21 (Continued)

,

Block T	Type	AI	ea	Height	Mea	an	Reli min. es	able timate ⁺
DICCK	13.00	ha	ac	class**	stems/ha	stems/ac	stems/ha	stems/ac
20	1.1	152	375	a b c d	46 169 726 941	19 68 294 381	6 50 277 316	2 20 112 128
20	1.2	218	539	a b c d	102 164 132 398	41 66 53 161	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
20	1.3	114	282	a b c d	15 22 50 87	6 9 20 35	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
20	3.2	24	59	a. b c d	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
21	1.1	134	331	a b c d	55 32 16 103	22 13 6 42	0 0 0 16	0 0 0 6
21	1.2	139	343	a b c d	8 16 0 25	3 6 0 10	0 0 0 6	0 0 0 2
21	1.3	139	342	a b c d	11 39 9 59	4 16 4 24	1 20 1 31	0 3 0 12
21	3.2	64	159	a b c d	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.
* All ff + Where n.s. = r	gures round sampling e not sampled	l led to nea rrors of	urest whole 100% or hi	number gher were obt	i ained, the rel	iable minimum	estimate is zen	······

TABLE 22 Regeneration of Pinus oocarpa in four forest/vegetation types in five management blocks*

c > 3.0 m (10 ft)

d

total

Block	Type	Ar	ea	Height	Mea	ın	Relia min. est	able timate
Dioon	19190	ha	ac	class	stems/ha	stems/ac	stems/ha	stems/ac
33	1. 1	151	374	a b c d	157 22 26 204	64 9 11 83	29 0 0 68	12 0 0 28
33	1.2	165	407	a b c d	75 0 0 75	30 0 0 30	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
33	1. 3	299	738	a b c d	11 11 14 37	4 4 6 15	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
33	3. 2	280	693	a b c d	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
34	1. 1	74	184	a b c d	50 71 48 170	20 29 19 69	3 3 8 24	1 1 3 10
34	1.2	63	155	a b c d	8 23 8 38	3 9 3 15	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
34	1.3	72	178	a b c d	76 133 83 293	31 54 34 119	0 32 5 67	0 13 2 27
34	3.2	237	586	a b c d	8 18 5 31	3 7 2 13	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
35	1.1	88	217	a b c d	41 22 13 75	17 9 5 30	0 7 0 19	0 3 0 8
35	1. 2	273	674	a b c d	19 25 2 46	8 10 1 19	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
35	1.3	442	1 092	a b c d	24 14 2 40	10 6 1 16	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
35	3.2	208	513	a b c d	3 2 0 5	1 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0

TABLE 22 (Continued)

PART 6. CONCLUSIONS

EXPLOITABLE GROWING STOCK

Taking the project area as a whole, it is clear that with the present low standing volume of pine and the negligible proportion of the growing stock which has reached or is near the minimum exploitable size(i.e. 107 cm, 42 in girth or 34 cm, 13 in d.b.h.) that the resumption of exploitation for saw-timber must await recruitment from material which is at present only small.

Sample plot data recorded by the Forest Department from the Mountain Pine Ridge indiciate a mean annual increment in d.b.h. of about 1 cm (0.4 in). Thus it takes five years for a tree to pass through a single 5 cm d.b.h. class. On the basis of this rate of growth it can be seen from the stem number curves (Figures 5-7) that there will not be a significant increase (shown by the change in slope) in the present low rate of recruitment to minimum exploitable size for 10-15 years. To achieve the modest stocking of 50 exploitable trees per ha (20 stems/ac) would take, even in the absence of exploitation, 15-20 years.

The steep gradient of the curves signifies that once recruitment to exploitable size does start to increase, it will proceed quickly: a preponderance of mature trees can be foreseen, following from the predominance of small material now. Such a pattern is to be expected, bearing in mind the recent history of exploitation and the tendency for pine to form even-aged stands.

The stem number curves suggest that there will be for a considerable time appreciable differences between the three pine forest types in terms of the stocking of exploitable trees and the yield which can be obtained from them. If it is assumed that trees are harvested as they reach exploitable size (ie yield = recruitment), but ignoring loss by thinning, the annual yield after, say, 20 years for type 1.1 is likely to be of the order of 25 exploitable trees per ha (10 stems/ ac), which represents approximately 12 m^3 /ha (172 ft³/ac). Comparable figures for type 1.2 are 15 stems/ha (6 stems/ac) or 8 m³/ha (114 ft³/ac), while the yield after 20 years for type 1.3 is likely to be only about 6 stems/ha (2.4 stems/ac) or 3 m³/ha (43 ft³/ac).

In the longer term (ie 25 years plus), as the growing stock matures and as thinning and exploitation have a greater effect on the dense than on the less dense stands, the difference in stocking between the forest types should diminish and the yield throughout the project area become more uniform. The summarised stand tables (Table 14) show that if material presently below the 10 cm (3.9 in) diameter class is taken into account, all three forest types have the potential to produce eventually a mean stocking of exploitable trees equivalent to that to be expected from plantations*.

SEEDLING REGENERATION

In the long term the present stocking of regeneration (i.e. stems of d.b.h. less than 5 cm, 2 in d.b.h.) is relevant. The regeneration figures show (Table 4) that 71% of the total project area carries a minimum stocking of pine seedlings of 250 stems/ha (101 stems/ac), a level of stocking which may be taken as an arbitrary but lenient criterion of success. From the area breakdown given in Table 12, it follows that only 10% of the project area (i.e. broadleaved forest, swamp and 'other') can be regarded as incapable of carrying naturally regenerated pine forest. The total potential area of pine - that is pine forest types 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 and grassland types 3.1 and 3.2 - is 222 km² (86 mi²), of which one fifth or 4 700 ha (12 100 ac) has a minimum stocking of less than 250 stems/ha (101 stems/ac).

Very much meaning cannot be attached to regeneration figures except in the context of a particular management block and forest/vegetation type, because regeneration is significant only in relation to the stocking of larger material. A low regeneration count, for example, can be obtained from both (a) an area with few trees of any size and (b) a well stocked stand which has passed through the regeneration stage.

^{*} Luckhoff (1964) quotes a final stocking rate of 321 stems/ha (130 stems/ac) for *Pinus caribaea* in plantations in South Africa.

SILVICULTURE

Thinning

The low stocking of the principal species, *Pinus caribaea*, indicates that only a low yield can be expected from thinnings. Although average figures conceal the considerable variation in stand density, it seems clear that only light thinning will be justified in the two denser pine forest types (1.1 and 1.2), with virtually none in type 1.3. Heavier thinning may be appropriate in the denser stands of *P. occarpa*.

Broadleaved weed species

The encroachment on to the Pine Ridge by broadleaved species, especially oak, apparently as a result of fire protection, suggests that there may be reason to consider the reintroduction of controlled burning. The advantage of burning over both cutting and chemical control is that, as well as reducing competition to pine from broadleaved species, it prevents the accumulation of combustible materials on the forest floor. Burning is also comparatively cheap. There is no reason why the silvicultural use of fire should not be perfected as a routine technique in the Mountain Pine Ridge as it has been in pine forest in the southern United States. An important point in relation to controlled burning is that fire-scarring of standing trees by too hot a fire should be avoided because of the known tendency for decay organisms to enter through fire scars.

Repeated burning should perhaps not be practiced in stands of *P. oocarpa*. This species' fire tenderness, its tendency to form a closed canopy, so inhibiting the spread of weed species, and its association with a lush ground cover of *Tripsacum/Dicranopteris* which is not readily flammable but which, once ignited, produces an intense fire, all suggest that repeated burning may be inappropriate in *P. oocarpa* forest. This is not to say that fire may not have a role at certain stages in the production period, probably, for example, before final felling and regeneration. The five management blocks which contain a significant proportion of *P. oocarpa* regeneration are in those parts of the project area where controlled burning would be most difficult because of the steep terrain.

UTILISATION

In view of the number of years which must elapse before sawmilling can start again, the possibility of utilising material below sawlog size for industrial wood-based products is of interest. Investigations are currently being made by the Tropical Products Institute into the suitability for industrial use of *Pinus caribaea* from the Mountain Pine Ridge and elsewhere in Belize. Possibilities being studied are pulp, particle board and cement bonded building board. The only conclusion which can be drawn from the inventory results is that as the supply of even small material will be very limited, any industrial operation dependent for its raw material largely or entirely upon the Mountain Pine Ridge would have to be of a kind capable of economic small-scale production.

To put into perspective the present standing volume of material of d.b.h. 7.6 cm. (3 in) and above (see Table 18), it represents about a year's supply for a pulp mill (using 295t/day, 300 ton/day) or 10 years' supply for a particle board plant (using 30t/day, 30 ton/day).

Prospects for the establishment of a wood-based industry depend of course on a number of factors in addition to the quantity and quality of available raw material. In the context both of Belize and Central America generally, markets are likely to present a serious problem irrespective of technical considerations.

Other outlets which may exist for small material, for example construction and fencing, are of interest in relation to thinnings. Ways in which the utility of early thinnings can be increased, such as preservative treatment, may be worth investigating.

RESEARCH

The lack of adequate information on and the incomplete understanding of certain aspects of the pine resource and its environment which are relevant to forest management indicate a need for research. Directions which research should take have been suggested by two successive Forestry Advisers - Logan (1966) and Wyatt-Smith (1970). Subjects which one or both have recommended as deserving research are as follows:

- 1. The conditions favouring the natural regeneration of pine, including the role (if any) of fire
- 2. The control of invading broadleaves species, including the use of controlled burning
- 3. The rate of increment of pine and the response to thinning
- 4. The success of both pine and broadleaved timber species, grown under plantation conditions

These recommendations remain valid and warrant consideration. The first two listed, which embrace the fire ecology of the savanna, are particularly relevant at the present stage of development of the pine resource.

To the recommendations above may be added the suggestion that methods should be investigated of increasing the low rate of growth of the naturally regenerated pine. In particular, aerial application of fertiliser, especially phosphate, might be considered.

PART 7. REFERENCES AND RELATED WORKS

BARTLETT H H	1935	A method of procedure for field work in tropical American phytogeography based upon a botanical reconnaissance in parts of British Honduras and the Peten forest of Guatemala. <i>Publs</i> <i>Carnegie Instn</i> no. 461, 1-25.
BATESON J H and HALL I H S	1971	Revised geologic nomenclature for pre-cretaceous rocks of British Honduras. Bull. Am. Ass. Petrol Geol. 55, 529-530.
BATESON J H and HALL I H S		The geology of the Maya Mountains. London : Institute of Geological Sciences. (in press).
BEARD J S	1953	The savanna vegetation of northern tropical America. Ecol. Monogr 23, 149–215.
BELIZE FOREST DEPARTMENT	1940	A. Rep. For. Dep. 1939.
BELIZE FOREST DEPARTMENT	1952	А. Rep. For. Dep. 1949.
BELIZE FOREST DEPARTMENT	1962	A. Rep. For. Dep. 1961.
BELIZE FOREST DEPARTMENT	1967	A. Rep. For. Dep. 1966.
BELIZE FOREST DEPARTMENT	No date	History of forestry in British Honduras. Internal Rep. For. Dep. Belize.
BOYCE J S	1961	Forest pathology. New York : McGraw-Hill
BROWNE F G	1968	Pests and diseases of forest plantation trees. Oxford : Clarendon Press.
BRUNT M A	1967	Project proposals for forest inventory studies of the Chiquibul and Pine Ridge forests, and for an agricultural development study of the Belize Valley, British Honduras. <i>Internal Proj.</i> <i>Prop. Land Resour. Div. Dir. Overseas Surv.</i> PROP/01/67.
CATE D F	1963	Recreation and the US Forest Service : A study of organizational response to changing demands. Stanford, California: Stanford University (Thesis).
CHARTER C F	1941	A reconnaissance survey of the soils of British Honduras north of the central metamorphic and igneous massif with special reference to their utilisation in agriculture. Port of Spain : Government Printer.
DARCEL F C	1952	A reconnaissance soil survey of the south-western section of the Mountain Pine Ridge. Unpubd. Rep. Commonw. Devel. Corp.
DARCEL F C	1952	A reconnaissance soil survey of the northern section of the Mountain Pine Ridge. Unpubd. Rep. Commonw. Devel. Corp.
DIXON C G	1956	Geology of Southern British Honduras. Belize : Government Printer.
DOWNIE J	1959	An economic policy for British Honduras. Belize : Government Printer

DUNLOP W R	1921	Report on the economic and natural features of British Honduras in relation to agriculture, with proposals for development. Belize : Government Printer.
ETHERIDGE D E	1968	Preliminary observations on the pathology of <i>Pinus caribaea</i> Morelet in British Honduras. <i>Commonw. For. Rev.</i> 47, 72-80.
FURLEY P A ed.	1968	General report of the expedition to British Honduras - Yucatan 1966. Edinburgh : University of Edinburgh.
GREAT BRITAIN FORESTRY COMMISSION	1960	Conversion tables for research workers in forestry and agri- culture. London : HMSO.
HAIDER A	1967	Methods applied to survey of pine forests in Honduras C. A. Meddr norske Skogsfors Ves. 22, 17–48.
HARRIS W V	1959	Notes on termites injurious to forestry in British Honduras. Emp. For. Rev. 38, 181–185.
HOLDRIDGE L R	1947	Determination of world plant formations from simple climatic data. <i>Science NY</i> 105, 367-368.
HOLDRIDGE L R	1966	The life zone system. Andansonia 6, 199-203.
HOOPER E D M	1887	Report upon the forests of Honduras. India : Kurnool Collectorate Press.
HUMMEL C	1921	Report on the forests of British Honduras with suggestions for a far reaching forest policy. Belize : Government Printer.
HUNT D R	1962	Some notes on the pines of British Honduras. <i>Emp. For. Rev.</i> 41, 134–145.
HUNT D R	1970	Some observations on the pine savannas of British Honduras. Internal Rep. R. Bot. Gdns, Kew.
KESLER S E BATESON J H JOSEY W L CRAMER G H and SIMMONS W A	1971	Mesoscopic structural homogenity of Maya series and Macal series, Mountain Pine Ridge, British Honduras. Bull. Am. Ass. Petrol Geol. 55., 97-103.
LAMB A F A	1966	Report on further data from the forests where <i>Pinus caribaea</i> and <i>Pinus oocarpa</i> are indigenous, gathered between 24 June and 22 August 1966. Unpubd Memo Commonw. For. Inst., Oxford.
LOGAN W E M	1966	Visit of Forestry Adviser to British Honduras. Tech. Asst. Rep. Minist. Overseas Devel.
LOOCK E E M	1950	The pines of Mexico and British Honduras. A report on the recommaissance of Mexico and British Honduras during 1947. Bull. Dep. For. Un. S. Afr. no. 35.
LUCKHOFF H A	1964	The natural distribution, growth and botanical variation of <i>Pinus caribaea</i> and its cultivation in South Africa. <i>Annale . Univ. Stellenbosch</i> 39, no. 1.
LUNDELL C L	1940	Botany of the Maya area : Miscellaneous papers, XIV. The 1936 Michigan - Carnegie botanical expedition to British Honduras. <i>Publs. Carnegie Instn.</i> no. 522, 1-57.
MATHEWS F R	1964	Some aspects of the biology and the control of southern cone rust. J. For. 62, 881-884.
McWILLIAM J R	1954	Caribbean pine (<i>Pinus caribaea</i>). Some notes on its development and characteristics in Central America. <i>Res. Notes Qd Forest</i> <i>Serv.</i> no. 4.

MOODY B H	1964	Fire enumeration 1964. Mountain Pine Ridge. Internal Rep. For. Dep. Belize.
MUNRO N	1966	The fire ecology of Caribbean pine in Nicaragua. Proc. 5th A. Tall Timb. fire Ecol. Conf. Tallahassee,Florida 1966: 67-83.
ORDISH G	1966	Pine bark beetle in Honduras. Span 9, 121-123.
OWER L H	1926	The geology of British Honduras. Belsize : Clarendon Press.
PROCTOR G R	1972	Private communication (Institute of Jamaica).
SOKAL R R and ROHLF F J	1969	Biometry. The principles and practice of statistics in biological research. San Francisco : W H Freeman and Co.
STANDLEY P C and RECORD S J	1936	The forests and flora of British Honduras. <i>Publs Field Mus.</i> nat. Hist. no. 350.
WALKER S H	1972	Summary of climatic data for British Honduras. Suppl. Rep. Land Resour. Div. Overseas Dev. Adm. no. 3.
WARMING E	1892	Lagoa Santa. K. danske Vidensk. Selsk. Skr. 6, 150-487.
WILLIAMS R M C	1965	Termite infestation of pines in British Honduras. Overseas Res. Publ. no. 11
WILLIAMSON A C and WOLFFSOHN A L A	1953	Report on enumeration survey of pine in the granite basin of the Mountain Pine Kidge Forest Reserve. Internal Rep. For. Dep. Belize.
WOLFFSOHN A L A	1956	The Mountain Pine Kidge and Chiquibul Forest working plan, period 1/1/57 to 31/3/65. Internal Rep. For. Dep. Belize.
WOLFFSOHN A L A	1960	The Mountain Pine Ridge and Chiquibul Forest Working plan for 1960–1965. Internal Rep. For. Dep. Belize.
WOLFFSOHN A L À	1967	Post hurricane fires in British Honduras. Commonw. For. Rev. 46, 233-238.
WRIGHT A C S ROMNEY D H ARBUCKLE R H and VIAL V E	1959	Land in British Honduras. Report of the British Honduras land use survey team. London : HMSO.
WYATT SMITH J	1970	Visit of Forestry Adviser to British Honduras (3–6 March 1970). Internal Rep. Overseas Dev. Adm.

.

APPENDICES 1 - 5

,

APPENDIX 1. SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS*

In the soil descriptions given below, map references quoted apply to 1:50 000 scale maps, DOS 4499 (series E 755). The locations of the three traverses along which sampling was done are as follows: i. Cooma Cairn road: Sheet 24, grid reference 941,853 to 935,842 ii. Navel road: Sheet 29, grid reference 970,770 to 970,778 Sheet 28, grid reference 863,717 to 865,729 iii. Chiquibul road: PINOL COARSE SANDY CLAY LOAM Map sheet 24, grid reference 937.5.847 Location: Mid slope Situation: Slope: 10% NW Aspect: Forest/vegetation type: 1.3 Horizon Depth cm (in) Description 0-8 (0-3.2)Very dark greyish brown (2.5Y 3/2), moist; unmottled; sandy 1 loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable consistence, moist; few small interstitial, small and medium tubular, and small vesicular pores; few medium and large subangular quartz grains; few small ants; many small fibrous and small and medium woody roots; clear, smooth boundary to: 2 8-20 (3.2-7.9) Brownish yellow (10YR 6/6), moist; unmottled; gritty sandy clay loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable consistence, moist; common small interstitial and tubular, and few medium tubular pores; common to many small medium and large subangular quartz grains, few large subangular feldspar grains, few small subangular quartz stones; common small fibrous and few small woody roots; clear, smooth boundary to: 3 20-35 (7.9-13.8) Yellow (10YR 7/8), moist; common small and medium distinct and prominent red mottles; gritty sandy clay; moderate fine to medium subangular blocky structure; firm consistence, moist; few small interstitial and tubular pores; common medium and large subangular quartz grains, few large subangular feldspar grains, few small subangular quartz stones; few small fibrous roots; wavy, gradual boundary to: Yellow (10YR 8/8), moist; many medium and large prominent 4 35-120 (13.8-47.2) red and few medium distinct brownish yellow mottles; gritty sandy clay; weak fine to medium subangular blocky structure; firm consistence, moist; few small interstitial and tubular pores; common large subangular quartz grains, few medium and large rotting feldspar grains, few small rotting feldspar stones; few small fibrous roots; boundary not observed to:

* Descriptions are made from soil pits to a depth of 60 cm (24 in); horizons below that depth are described from auger samples and structure and pores could not be observed.

Horizon	Depth cm (in)	Description
5	120-140 (47.2-55.1)	Brownish yellow (10YR 6/8), moist, many medium and large prominent red mottles; gritty sandy clay; firm consistence, slightly moist; common to medium and large subangular to angular quartz grains, few medium and large rotting feld- spar grains, few small rotting feldspar stones; few small fibrous roots; boundary not observed to:
6	140-200+ (55.1-78.7+)	Red (2.5YR 5/8), moist; common medium distinct brownish yellow mottles; gritty sandy clay; firm consistence, slightly moist; common medium and large quartz and rotting feldspar grains, few small quartz and rotting feldspar stones.

PINOL LOAMY COARSE SAND

Location:	Map sheet 28, grid reference 866,722.5
Situation:	Upper slope
Slope:	6%, slightly concave
Aspect:	NW
Forest/vegetation type:	1.1

Horizon	Depth cm (in)	Description
1	0-6 (0-2.4)	Brown (10YR 4/3), moist; unmottled; loamy sand; very weak fine subangular blocky structure; loose consistence, moist; many small interstitial and tubular and few medium tubular pores; many small, medium and large quartz grains; many small fibrous and few small woody roots; clear, smooth boundary to:
2	6-35 (2.4-13.8)	Reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6), moist; unmottled; sand; weak medium subangular blocky structure; loose consistence, moist; many small interstitial and tubular and few medium tubular pores; many small medium and large quartz grains; many small fibrous and few small woody roots; wavy, gradual boundary to:
3	35-80 (13.8-31.5)	Brownish yellow (10YP 6/8), moist; unmottled; sand; very weak coarse subangular blocky structure; loose consistence, moist; many small interstitial, few small and medium tubular and vesicular pores; many small medium and large quartz grains, few small subangular quartz stones; common small fibrous roots; boundary not observed to:
4	80-140 (31.5-55.1)	Reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/8), moist; common medium and large prominent red mottles; gritty sandy clay loam; firm consistence, moist; common large quartz grains, few small subangular quartz stones; few small fibrous roots; boundary not observed to:
5	140-180+ (55.1-70.9+)	Many prominent mottles of light grey, yellow, reddish yellow and red, moist; gritty clay; firm consistence, slightly moist; common large quartz grains; common large grains and small stones of rotting feldspar.

PINOL CE	REEK SOIL*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Location: Map sheet		sheet 29, grid reference 970,774
Situation	Val	ley bottom
Forest/ve	getation type: 2.2	
Horizon	Depth cm (in)	Description
1	0-12 (0-4.7)	Very dark greyish brown (2.5Y 3/2), moist; unmottled; sandy loam; very weak subangular blocky structure; very friable consistence, moist; common small interstitial and small and medium tubular pores; common small and few medium quartz grains; many small fibrous, common small and medium woody roots; smooth gradual boundary to:
2	12-25 (4.7-9.8)	Very dark greyish brown (2.5Y 3/2), moist; unmottled; silty sandy loam; very weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable consistence, moist; common small interstitial and small and medium tubular pores; common small and few medium quartz grains; common small fibrous and woody roots; wavy, gradual boundary to:
3	25-50 (9.8-19.7)	Very dark greyish brown (2.5Y 3/2), moist; unmottled; silty sandy loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable consistence, moist; common small interstitial and small and medium tubular pores; common small and few medium quartz grains; common small fibrous and small medium woody roots; smooth gradual boundary to:
4	50-80 (19.7-31.5)	Very dark grey to dark grey (5Y 3-4/1), moist; common small and medium faint brown mottles; silty clay loam; weak coarse angular blocky structure; firm consistence, moist to very moist; common small tubular and interstitial pores; common small and few medium quartz grains; common small fibrous and woody roots; boundary not observed to:
5	80-140 (31.5-55.1)	Black (10YR 2/1), moist; unmottled; silty clay loam; structureless; sticky consistence, wet; few small and medium interstitial pores; no coarse material present; common small fibrous and woody roots; boundary not observed to:
6	140-170+ (55.1-66.	9+) White coarse sand. Few small and medium and many large subangular to subrounded quartz grains. Few medium and large subrounded feldspar grains. Few small subangular to subrounded quartz stones.
PINOL SA	NDY CLAY LOAM HIL	L SATL **

nır

Location:	Map sheet 29, grid reference 970,771
Situation:	Planar hill top

Forest/vegetation type: 3.2

Horizon Depth cm (in) Description

Yellowish brown (10YR 5/4), moist; unmottled; stony sandy loam; weak, fine subangular blocky structure dominated by stones; friable consistence, moist; common small inter-stitial and small and medium vesicular pores; common small 1 0-12 (0-4.7) quartz grains, many small, medium and large angular and weathered purple subangular phyllite stones; many small fibrous roots; wavy, clear boundary to:

* Not recognised by Wright et al. (1959)

** Equivalent to the Pinol sandy clay hill soil described by Wright et al. (1959)

Horizon	Depth cm (in)	Description
2	12-35 (4.7-13.8)	Brownish yellow (10YR 6/8), moist; few small and medium prominent red mottles especially around weathered stones; stony silty loam; weak to moderate subangular blocky struc- ture dominated by stones; slightly firm consistence, moist; common small and medium interstitial and tubular and few medium vesicular pores; few large phyllite grains, common small quartz grains, common small, medium and few large angular to subangular purple to red weathering phyllite stones; few millepedes; common small fibrous roots; irregular clear boundary to:
3	30-70 (13.8-27.8)	Yellow (10YR 7/8), moist; common to many medium and large prominent red mottles formed from soft weathered phyllite stones; silty loam; weak coarse angular blocky structure; friable consistence, slightly moist; common small tubular and few small interstitial pores; few large phyllite grains, common small, medium and large soft weathered phyllite stones, common small quartz grains; few to common small fibrous roots; abrupt broken boundary to:
4	70+ (27.8+)	Grey phyllite, weathering purple at edges, dip 170 ⁰ , strike 30 ⁰ , strong cleavage at 60 ⁰ .

PINOL SANDY CLAY LOAM

.

Location:		Map sheet	z 24, grid reference 940,851
Situation:		Mid slope	
Slope:		12%	
Aspect:		S	
Forest/veget	tation type:	1.2	
Horizon	Depth cm (i	n)	Description
1	0-10 (0-3.9)		Brown (10YR 4/3), moist; unmottled; sandy clay loam; moderate, medium subangular blocky structure; slightly firm consistence, moist; common small interstitial and tubular and few medium and large tubular pores; few small, medium and large quartz grains; many small fibrous and few small and medium woody roots; smooth clear boundary to:
2	10-25 (3.9-9.8)		Reddish yellow with common brown stains on ped faces (7.5YR 6/6), moist; few small distinct red mottles; sandy clay loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; slightly firm consistence, moist; common small interstitial and tubular and few medium and large tubular pores; few small, medium and large quartz grains, few small and medium, angular to subangular slate stones; common small fibrous and few small medium and large woody roots; smooth gradual boundary to:
3	25-40 (9.8-15.8)	Reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/8), moist; common small and medium faint to distinct red mottles; gritty sandy clay; weak coarse angular blocky structure; firm consistence, moist; common small tubular, few small interstitial and medium tubular pores; few small and medium and common large quartz grains, few small subangular quartz stones; few small and medium subangular phyllite stones; few small ants; common small fibrous roots; irregular gradual boundary to:

Horizon	Depth cm (in)	Description
4	40-120 (15.8-47.2)	Reddish yellow (7.5YR 7/8), moist; many medium and large prominent red mottles; gritty sandy clay; moderate coarse angular blocky structure; firm consistence, moist; few small interstitial and common small tubular pores; few small and medium and common large quartz grains; few small fibrous roots; boundary not observed to:
5	120-160 (47.2-63.0)	Many mottles of red, dark red, yellow and reddish yellow; gritty sandy clay; firm consistence, moist; few small and medium and common large quartz grains; common rotted slate stones in the form of dark red mottles.

ALLUVIAL SOIL*

0

Location; Situation:		Map sheet 28, grid reference 865,720	
		Valley bottom	
Forest/ve	getation type	2.2	
Horizon	Depth cm	in) Description	
1	0-10 (0-3.9)	Very dark greyish brown (10YR 3/2), moist; unmottled; loam; strong fine subangular blocky structure; friable consis- tence, slightly moist; common small and medium interstitial and tubular, few medium tubular, few medium and large vesicular (with worm casts) pores; common small and few medium quartz grains; many small fibrous, common small woody and few medium woody roots; clear smooth boundary to:	

2 10-22 (3.9-8.7) Very dark greyish brown (10YR 3/2), moist; unmottled; loam; strong fine to medium subangular blocky structure; friable consistence, slightly moist; common small interstitial and tubular, few medium tubular and medium and large interstitial, few medium and large (with worm casts) pores; common small and few medium quartz grains; few large earthworms, common small fibrous, few small woody roots; clear smooth boundary to:

- 3 22-45 (8.7-17.7) Very dark greyish brown (10YR 3/2), moist; unmottled; sandy loam; moderate fine to medium subangular blocky structure; very friable consistence, moist; common small interstitial and tubular, few medium tubular, few medium and large (with worm casts) vesicular pores; common to many small, medium and few large quartz grains; common small fibrous, few small woody roots; gradual smooth boundary to:
- 4 45-55 (17.7-21.7) Dark brown (10YR 3/3), moist; unmottled; sandy loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; very friable consistence, moist; common small interstitial, few small medium and large tubular pores; common to many small medium and few large quartz grains; common small fibrous, few small woody roots; clear smooth boundary to:
- 5 55-110 (21.7-43.3) Brown (10YR 4/3), moist; unmottled; loamy sand; weak coarse angular blocky structure; loose consistence, moist; common small interstitial and few small and medium tubular pores; many small and medium and few large quartz grains; few small fibrous roots; boundary not observed to:

• Not recognised by Wright et al. (1959).

Horizon	Depth cm (in)	Description
6	110-125 (43.3-49.2)	Brown (10YR 4/3), moist; common small and medium faint and distinct grey, yellowish brown and brownish yellow mottles; loamy sand; loose consistence, moist; many small and medium quartz grains; boundary not observed to:
7	125-205+(49.2-80.7+)	Greyish brown (10YR $5/2$), moist; few small faint brownish yellow mottles; sand; loose consistence, moist; many small

and medium quartz grains.

APPENDIX 2. CALCULATION OF STANDING VOLUME IN m³/ha

.

Example: Block 32, pine forest type 1.1, d.b.h. ≥ 7.6 cm

Observed values y of mean volume per ha obtained from relaskop counts as follows:

21.11832.54713.35528.21619.37112.75928.61033.40231.688	21.89337.63819.24838.9377.94735.32519.81114.83629.085				$13.901 \\ 23.795 \\ 24.939 \\ 17.779 \\ 17.653 \\ 22.074 \\ 23.089 \\ 0.000$		
Sum of observed values			ξ у	=	589.016		
No. of observations			n	=	27		
Mean	ξy/n	=	$ar{\mathbf{y}}$	=	21.815		
Squared sum			(f y) ²	÷	346 939.8		
Sum of squared observations			ξy^2	Ŧ	15 537.7		
Correction factor	(ξ y) ² /n	=	Cf	=	12 849.6		
Sum of squares	ξy^2 -Cf	=	SSy	-	2 688.0		
No. of degrees of freedom	n-1	=	Dfy	=	26		
Sample variance	SSy/Dfy	=	Vy	=	103.386		
Standard deviation	√Vy	=	SDy	=	10.168		
Coefficient of variation	100 SDy/y	=	CV%	=	46.615		
Sampling fraction			f	=	0	(point	sampling)
Variance of mean	(Vy/n)(1-f)	=	Vy	=	3.829		
Standard error	$\sqrt{v}\bar{y}$	×	SEy	=	1.957		
Student's t at $p = 0.05$, $Dfy = 26$					2.056		
Reliable minimum estimate	ÿ-(t x SEÿ)		RME	=	17.791		
Sampling error	$100t \ x \ SEy/y$		E%	=	18		
Approximate no. of sampling units required for E% = 20	$(CV\% \ x \ t/e\%)^2$				23		

APPENDIX 3. CALCULATION OF GROSS VOLUME IN THREE PINE FOREST TYPES IN WHOLE PROJECT AREA

Example: Forest type 1.3, d.b.h. ≥ 25.4 cm

.

Block	Mean volume y	Area w	Gross volume wy	Number of samples	Sample variance	Variance of mean	
	m ³ /ha	ha	3	n	Vy	Vÿ	w ² Vy
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 29\\ 30\\ 31\\ \end{array}$	1.4 1.4 1.9 0.4 1.9 1.1 1.0 0.5 1.2 1.2 1.2 0.5 1.3 1.5 0.4 1.4 2.4 1.9 2.9 4.7 2.3 1.7 0.7 1.3 1.5 1.6 2.1 $n.s.*$ 1.7 2.4 3.3	$111 \\ 126 \\ 275 \\ 328 \\ 289 \\ 169 \\ 546 \\ 215 \\ 169 \\ 207 \\ 136 \\ 153 \\ 360 \\ 123 \\ 132 \\ 110 \\ 167 \\ 268 \\ 114 \\ 139 \\ 135 \\ 43 \\ 196 \\ 80 \\ 320 \\ 69 \\ 64 \\ 157 \\ 190 \\ 190 \\ 10$	$ \begin{array}{r} 155 \\ 176 \\ 523 \\ 131 \\ 549 \\ 186 \\ 546 \\ 108 \\ 203 \\ 248 \\ 68 \\ 199 \\ 540 \\ 49 \\ 185 \\ 264 \\ 317 \\ 777 \\ 536 \\ 320 \\ 230 \\ 30 \\ 255 \\ 120 \\ 512 \\ 145 \\ 109 \\ 377 \\ 627 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 46\\ 45\\ 52\\ 40\\ 55\\ 58\\ 35\\ 48\\ 46\\ 60\\ 51\\ 49\\ 51\\ 50\\ 56\\ 53\\ 51\\ 55\\ 57\\ 54\\ 42\\ 47\\ 48\\ 48\\ 46\\ 46\\ 46\\ 32\\ 48\\ 51\\ \end{array}$	11.7 7.4 6.4 0.6 10.2 8.6 3.0 1.0 23.1 3.7 2.0 4.9 5.8 1.1 6.6 17.1 13.6 12.8 25.8 10.3 7.6 4.3 4.7 5.1 2.9 6.1 8.7 4.2 38.1	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.16\\ 0.12\\ 0.02\\ 0.19\\ 0.15\\ 0.09\\ 0.02\\ 0.50\\ 0.06\\ 0.04\\ 0.10\\ 0.11\\ 0.12\\ 0.32\\ 0.27\\ 0.23\\ 0.27\\ 0.23\\ 0.45\\ 0.19\\ 0.18\\ 0.91\\ 0.10\\ 0.11\\ 0.06\\ 0.13\\ 0.14\\ 0.09\\ 0.75\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 080 \\ 2 & 540 \\ 9 & 075 \\ 2 & 152 \\ 15 & 869 \\ 4 & 284 \\ 26 & 830 \\ & 925 \\ 14 & 281 \\ 2 & 571 \\ & 740 \\ 2 & 341 \\ 14 & 256 \\ & 303 \\ 2 & 091 \\ 3 & 872 \\ 7 & 530 \\ 16 & 520 \\ 5 & 848 \\ 3 & 671 \\ 3 & 281 \\ 1 & 683 \\ 3 & 842 \\ & 704 \\ 6 & 144 \\ & 619 \\ & 573 \\ 2 & 218 \\ 27 & 075 \\ \end{array}$
32 33	2.1 5.0	182 299	382 1 495	46 63	7.5 22.6	0.16 0.36	5 300 32 184
34 35	$ \begin{array}{c} 4.4 \\ 2.2 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c c} 72 \\ 442 \end{array}$	317 972	43 53	20.1 15.4	0.47	2 347 56 656
* n.s.	= not sample	d .	I	J	L	<u> </u>	ł
	٤w	= 6	383				
	ξ Wy	= 11	651				
	€ w ² v	√y = 281	492				
	Type	e mean		٤ wy/٤w	- Y	1.825	
	Type	e variance	of mean	ξ w ² Vy∕(ξw)	$2 = V\bar{Y}$	= 0.007	
	Stu	dent's t a	t p =0.05, Di	$f\mathbf{y} = 32$		2.037	
	Sam	oling erro	r	$100t \sqrt{V\bar{\tilde{Y}}}/\bar{Y}$	= E %	9.3	
	Gros	ss volume		(8 w) Y	= t w y	= 11 651	

95

APPENDIX 4. LIST OF COMMON AND BOTANICAL NAMES OF PLANTS MENTIONED IN THE TEXT

COMMON NAMES*

Billy webb; Sweetia panamensis Benth. Cabbage palm (= mountain cabbage palm); Euterpe sp. (= E. aff. macrospadix Oersted) Caoba; Swietenia macrophylla King Caribbean pine; Pinus caribaea Morelet var. hondurensis Barr & Golf. Cedar, cedro; Cedrela mexicana Roem. Cortez; Tabebuia chrysantha (Jacq.) Nich Crabboo; Byrsonima crassifolia (L.) DC. Cypress; Podocarpus guatemalensis Standl. Dumb cane grass; Tripsacum latifolium Hitchcock Dwarf mistletoe; Arceuthobium globosum Hawkins & Wiens Florazul; Tabebuia rosea (Bertol.) DC. (syn. T. pentaphylla (L.) Hemsl.) Mahogany; Swietenia macrophylla King Mistletoe; Psittacanthus sp. Nargusta; Terminalia obovata (R. & P.) Steud. Oak; Quercus anglohondurensis (Lundell) McVaugh Q. hondurensis Trel. Q. oleoides Schlecht & Cham. Q. peduncularis Neé var. sublanosa (Trel.) C.H. Muller Palmetto; Acoelorraphe wrightii (Griseb.) Wendl. Schippia concolor Burret Pine, pino; Pinus caribaea Morelet var. hondurensis Barr & Golf. P. oocarpa. Schiede var. ochoterenai Mart. Polewood; Xylopia frutescens Aubl. Santa maria; Calophyllum brasiliense Camb. var. rekoi Standl. Silver pimento; Schippia concolor Burret Slash pine (USA); Pinus elliottii Engleman Tiger bush (fern); Dicranopteris pectinata (Willd.) Underw. Trumpet; Cecropia peltata L. Waika chewstick; Symphonia globulifera L.f. Yemeri; Vochysia hondurensis Sprague

BOTANICAL NAMES

Acoelorraphe wrightii (Griseb.) Wendl.; palmetto Arceuthobium globosum Hawkins & Wiens; dwarf mistletoe Bulbostylis paradoxa (Spring.) Lindm. Byrsonima crassifolia (L.) DC.; crabboo Calliandra houstoniana Benth. Calophyllum brasiliense Camb. var. rekoi Standl.; santa maria Cecropia peltata L.; trumpet Cedrela mexicana Roem.; cedar, cedro Ceratocystis sp. Clethra hondurensis Britton Clusia spp. Cronartium conigenum (Pat.) Hedge & Hunt Dicranopteris pectinata (Willd.) Underw.; tiger bush (fern) Enallagma latifolia (Mill.) Small Euterpe oleracea Mart. Euterpe sp. (= E. aff. macrospadix Oersted); (mountain) cabbage palm Inga lindeniana Benth.

• The common names given are those found by the authors to have wide local usage and/or mentioned in the text. More complete lists of the common names of plants in the Mountain Pine Ridge (and elsewhere in Belize) are given by Standley and Record (1936) and by Wright *et al.* (1959).

Lentinus pallidus Berk & Curt. Leucothee mexicana (Hemsl.) Small Leucothoe sp. Mesosetum filifolium Hubbard Myrica cerifera L. Paspalum pectinatum Nees Pinus caribaea Morelet var. hondurensis Barr & Golf .: Caribbean pine, pine, pino P. elliottii Engleman: slash pine (USA)
P. oocarpa Schiede var. ochoterenai Mart.; pine, pino P. palustris Miller Podocarpus guatemalensis Standl., cypress Polyporus meliae Underw. Psidium anglohondurense (Lundell) McVaugh Psittacanthus sp.: mistletoe Quercus anglohondurensis (Lundell) McVaugh; oak Q. hondurensis Trel.: oak Q. oleoides Schlecht & Cham.; oak Q. peduncularis Neé var. sublanosa (Trel.) C.H. Muller; oak Rhynchospora globosa Roem. & Schult. Schippia concolor Burret; palmetto, silver pimento Sweetia panamensis Benth.; billy webb Swietenia macrophylla King: caoba, mahogany Symphonia globulifera L.f.: Waika chewstick Tabebuia chrysantha (Jacq.) Nich: cortez T. rosea (Bertol.) DC. (syn. T. pentaphylla) (L.) Hemsl.); florazul Terminalia obovata (R. & P.) Steud.; nargusta Ternstroemia tepazapote Schlecht. & Cham. Trachypogon angustifolius (Kunth) Nees Tripsacum latifolium Hitchcock; dumb cane grass Veluticeps berkleyi Cke Vochysia hondurensis Sprague; yemeri Xylopia frutescens Aubl.; polewood

Ē

APPENDIX 5

'OLD GROWTH PINE': STAND TABLES AND VOLUMES

									d.b.	h. clas	ss cm (in)								d.b.h. cm (in)				
Block	Туре +	Area ha	10 (3.9)	1 (5	5 .9)	20 (7.9)	(25 9.8)	30 (11.8)	35 (13.8)	40 (15.8)	45 (17.7)	50 (19.7)	55 (21.7)	60 (23,6)	65 (25.6)	70 (27.6)	≫7.6 (3.0)	≥15.2 (6.0)	≥25.4 (10.0)			
	6	(ac)		<15.2 (6.0)	>15.2 (6.0)		<25.4 (10.0)	>25.4 (10.0)															
2	1.1	300 (740)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.1 0.5	0.8 0.3	1.0 0.4	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	3.3 1.3	3,3 1,3	3.3 1.3			
2	1.2	159 (393)	0.0 0.0	0,0 0,0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.8 0.3	0.3 0.1	0.3 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.1	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2, 1 0, 9	2, 1 0, 9	1.3 0.5			
2	1.3	111 (273)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.4 0.2	0.3 0.1	0, 1 0, 0	0 .0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.2 0.5	1,2 0,5	0.9 0.4			
3	1.1	245 (606)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.8 0.3	0.3 0.1	0.2 0.1	0 . 2 0, 1	0 . 2 0. 1	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.8 0.7	1.8 0.7	1.8 0.7			
3	1.2	302 (746)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.2	0.4 0.2	0.4 0.2			
3	1.3	126 (310)	0,0 0,0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.2	0.4 0.2	0.1 0.1			
3	3.2	52 (128)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.7 0.7	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.4 0.2	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.9 1.2	2,9 1,2	1.2 0.5			
4	1.1	219 (540)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.3 0.5	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.2 0.5	0.9 0.4	0.7 0.3	0.3 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	4.5 1.8	4.5 1.8	3.2 1.3			
4	1.2	264 (653)	$\begin{array}{c} 2.5\\ 1.0 \end{array}$	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.9 0.8	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.1	0.6 0.2	0.6 0.3	0.6 0.3	0,3 0,1	0,1 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.1 0.0	0,0 0,0	0.0 0.0	7.1 2.9	4.6 1.9	2.7 1.1			

TABLE 23 Stand tables showing numbers of stems of 'old growth pine' per unit area* and the distribution according to size class in 34 management blocks

* Figures for both stems/ha and stems/ac have been rounded to the nearest 0.1; this accounts for some apparent anomalies in conversion of low stem numbers

n.s. = not sampled

+ For each block, figures are given only for types in which mean stocking \ge 0.1 stems/ha (0.04 stems/ac)

> For this symbol read \geq throughout this table

			d.b.h. class cm (in)										· · · · ·	d.b.h. cm (in)						
Block	Type	Area ha	10 (3.9)	1 (5	5 .9)	20 (7.9)	.2 (9	5 .8)	30 (11.8)	35 (13.8)	40 (15.8)	45 (17.7)	50 (19.7)	55 (21.7)	60 (23.6)	65 (25.6)	70 (27.6)	≥7.6 (3.0)	≥15.2 (6.0)	≥25.4 (10.0)
		(ac)		<15.2 (6.0)	>15.2 (6.0)		<25.4 (10.0)	>25.4 (10.0)												
4	1.3	275 (679)	1.2 0.5	0.3 0.1	0.9 0.4	3.7 1.5	0.7 0.3	1.1 0.4	0.5 0.2	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	8.7 3.5	7.1 2.9	1.8 0.7
5	1.1	226 (557)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.5 0.2	0.5 0.2
5	1.3	328 (811)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.8 0.3	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0,0 0,0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.2 0.5	1.2 0.5	0.2 0.1
6	1.1	170 (420)	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0, 2 0, 1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.8 0.3	0.8 0.3	0.8 0.3
6	1.2	319 (788)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.6 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.8 0.3	0.8 0.3	0.2 0.1
6	1.3	289 (714)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.3	0.7 0.3	0.5 0.2
7	1.1	140 (345)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.9 0.4	0.9 0.4	0.9 0.4
7	1.2	407 (1 006)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.0 0.4	0.0 0.0	2.9 1.2	1.4 - 0.6	0.7 0.3	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	6.0 2.4	6.0 2.4	5.0 2.0
7	1.3	169 (418)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.3	0.7 0.3	0.4 0.2
8	1.1	66 (164)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.7 0.3	0.8 0.3	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.0 0.8	2.0 0.8	2.0 0.8
8	1.2	257 (634)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0, 2 0, 1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.2 0.1
8	1.3	546 (1 349)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.3 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.3	0.7 0.3	0.7 0.3
8	3.2	74 (182)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.0 0.8	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.0 0.8	2.0 0.8	0.0 0.0
9	1.2	185 (456)	0.0 0.0	1.3 0.5	1.9 0.8	1.9 0.8	2.2 0.9	0.4 0.1	0.3 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0,0 0,0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	8.2 3.3	6.9 2.8	0.9 0.3
9	1.3	215 (532)	0.7	0.3	0.7 0.3	1.9 0.4	0.1 0.0	0.2	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	2.4 1.0	0.6 0.2

TABLE 23 (continued)

.

			d.b.h. class cm (in)												d.b.h. cm (in)					
Block	Туре	Area ha	10 (3.9)	1 (5	5 .9)	20 (7.9)	2 (9	5 .8)	30 (11.8)	35 (13.8)	40 (15.8)	45 (17.7)	50 (19.7)	55 (21.7)	60 (23.6)	65 (25.6)	70 (27.6)	≥7.6 (3.0)	>15.2 (6.0)	≥25.4 (10.0)
		(ac)		<15.2 (6.0)	>15.2 (6.0)		<25.4 (10.0)	>25.4 (10.0)												
10	1.1	211 (522)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1,5 0.6	0.6 0.3	0.5 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	3.2 1.3	3.2 1.3	1.7 0.7
10	1.2	358 (885)	2.3 0.9	1.2 0.5	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.6 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	4.6 1.8	1.0 0.4	0.6 0.2
10	1.3	169 (418)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.3	0.7 0.3	0.5 0.2
10	3.2	1 30 (320)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.3 0.1	0.3 0.1
11	1.1	100 (246)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0 .5 0.2	0.5 0.2	0.5 0.2
11	1.2	92 (228)	0,0 0,0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.6 0.2	0.0 0.0	0,0 0,0	0.5 0.2	0.2 0.1	0.5 0.2	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.9 0.8	1.9 0.8	1.3 0.5
11	1.3	207 (512)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.5 0.2	0.4 0.2	0.2 0.1	0.5 0.2	0.3 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.2 0.9	2.2 0.9	1.1 0.5
11	3.2	105 (259)	0.0 0.0	3.7 1.5	0.0 0.0	0.9 0.4	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	4.6 1.9	0.9 0.4	0.0 0.0
12	1.1	107 (265)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.6 0.3	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.8 0.3	0.8 0.3	0.8 0.3
12	1.2	146 (361)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.6 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	1.1 0.4	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.1 0.9	2.1 0.9	1.5 0.6
12	1.3	136 (336)	0.6 0.3	0.7 0.3	0.9 0.4	0.3 0.1	0.8 0.3	0.4 0.2	0.6 0.2	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	4.5 1.8	$\begin{array}{c} 3.3\\ 1.3 \end{array}$	1.3 0.5
12	3.2	299 (739)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.5 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.3 0.5	1.3 0.5	0.8 0.3
13	1.1	178 (439)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.1 0.8	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.3	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.7 1.1	2.7 1.1	0.7 0.3
13	1.2	159 (393)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.3	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0,3 0,1	0.4 0.2	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.0 0.8	2.0 0.8	1.3 0.5
13	1.3	153 (378)	0.0 0.0	2.0 0.8	2.2 0.9	3.7 1.5	0.8 0.3	0.9 0.4	0.7 0.3	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	10.8 4.4	8.8 3.6	2.1 0.8

TABLE 23 (continued)

TABLE 23 (continued)

			d.b.h. class cm (in)												d.b.h. cm (in)					
Block	Туре	Area ba	10 (3,9)	(15 5,9)	.20 (7,9)	(25 9.8)	30 (11.8)	35 (13.8)	40 (15.8)	45 (17,7)	50 (19.7)	55 (21.7)	60 (23,6)	65 (25.6)	70 (27.6)	≥7.6 (3.0)	≥15.2 (6.0)	≥25.4 (10.0)
		(ac)		<15.2	>15.2		<25.4	>25.4												
				(0.0)	(0.0)		(10.0)	(10.0)												
13	3.2	368 (909)	0.0	0.0	0.9	5.1 2.1	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.6 2.7	2.7	0.3
14	1.1	90 (222)	0.0 0.0	1.9 0.8	1.4 0.6	1.8 0.7	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.6 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	6.2 2.5	4.3 1.7	1.1 0.5
14	1.2	280 (692)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.4 1.0	0.4 0.2	0.7 0.3	1.1 0.4	0.2 0.1	0.5 0.2	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	5.6 2.3	$5.6 \\ 2.3$	2.8 1.1
14	1.3	360 (890)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0,2 0,1	0.0 0.0	0 , 1 0. 0	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.0	0,1 0.0	0.0. 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.1 0.4	1.1 0.4	0.7 0.3
14	3.2	80 (198)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.2 0.5	0.5 0.2	1.2 0.5	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	3.1 1.2	3.1 1.2	1.8 0.7
15	1.1	58 (142)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0 . 0 0. 0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0,0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.2 0.1
15	1.2	173 (428)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.6 0.3	1.3 0.5	0.4 0.1	0.3 0.1	0.8 0.3	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	3.6 1.4	3.6 1.4	1.6 0.6
15	1.3	123 (303)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.9 0.4	0.9 0.4	0.2 0.1
15	3.2	117 (288)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.2 0.5	1.6 0.6	0.1 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.1	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	4.0 1.6	4.0 1.6	0.6 0.2
16	1.1	135 (333)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.6 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.9 0.4	0.9 0.4	0.4 0.1
16	1.2	209 (516)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.2 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.9 0.4	0.9 0.4	0.9 0.4
16	1.3	132 (325)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0 .4 0.2	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.2 0.5	1.2 0.5	0.1 0.1
17	1.1	91 (224)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.3 0.5	0.3 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.9 0.8	1.9 0.8	1.9 0.8
17	1.2	165 (407)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.1 0.4	2.2 0.9	1.5 0.6	0.4 0.2	1.6 0.7	0.0 0.0	0 .4 0.1	0 .1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	7.3 3.0	7.3 3.0	$\begin{array}{c} 2.5\\ 1.0 \end{array}$
17	1.3	110 (272)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0 . 2 0. 1	1.4 0.5	1.5 0.6	0.8 0.3	0.9 0.4	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	4.8 2.0	4.8 2.0	1.8 0.7

				d.b.h. class cm (in)											d.b.h. cm (in)					
Block	Туре	Area ha	10 (3.9)	1 .(5	5 .9)	20 (7.9)	(25 9.8)	30 (11.8)	35 (13.8)	40 (15.8)	45 (17.7)	50 (19.7)	55 (21.7)	60 (23.6)	65 (25.6)	70 (27.6)	≥7.6 (3.0)	≥15.2 (6.0)	≥25.4 (10.0)
		(ac)		<15.2 (6.0)	>15.2 (6.0)		<25.4 (10.0)	>25.4 (10.0)		:										
17	3.2	38 (93)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.2 0.5	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1,5 0.6	1.5 0.6	0.3 0.1
18	1.1	134 (332)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.3	0.0 0.0	0.8 0.3	0.3 0.1	1.2 0.5	0.7 0.3	0.3 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	4.5 1.8	4.5 1.8	3.8 1.5
18	1.2	103 (255)	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s . n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.
18	1.3	167 (412)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.1 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.0 0.4	1.0 0.4	0.6 0.2
18	3.2	195 (482)	3.2 1.3	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.1 0.4	0.5 0.2	0.4 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	5.1 2.1	1.9 0.8	0.8 0.3
19	1.1	90 (223)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	1.5 0.6	1.9 0.8	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	3.8 1.5	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{3.8} \\ \textbf{1.5} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.8 \\ 1.5 \end{array}$
19	1.2	152 (376)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.3	0,9 0.4	0.4 0.2	0.3 0.1	0.4 0.2	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	3.3 1.3	3.3 1.3	1.7 0.7
19	1.3	268 (662)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.4 0.2	0.1 0.0	0.6 0.2	0.3 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1,8 0.7	1.8 0.7	1.1 0.5
20	1.1	152 (375)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.8 0.3	1.1 0.5	0.9 0.3	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.9 1.2	2.9 1.2	2.9 1.2
20	1.2	218 (539)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.8 0.3	0.0 0.0	1.5 0.6	0.6 0.3	2.9 1.2	1.9 0.8	1.1 0.4	0.6 0.3	0.3 0.1	0.1 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	10.0 4.0	10.0 4.0	7.7 3.1
20	1.3	114 (282)	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	1.4 0.6	0.4 0.2	0.9 0.4	1.7 0.7	1.0 0.4	0.6 0.2	0.3 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	6.7 2.7	6,4 2.6	4.6 1.9
20	3.2	24 (59)	4.6 1.8	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.7 0.3	0.9 0.3	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	6.8 2.8	2.3 0.9	2.3 0.9
21	1.1	134 (331)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.6 0.6	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.3	1.2 0.5	0.5 0.2	2.8 1.1	1.3 0.5	0.2 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	8.6 3.5	8.6 3.5	6.3 2.6
21	1.2	139 (343)	0.0 0.0	1.4 0.6	1.0 0.4	1.3 0.5	0,9 0,4	1,5 0,6	1.8 0.7	1.9 0.8	1.2 0.5	0.3 0.1	0.5 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	11.8 4.8	10.4 4.2	7.2 2.9
21	1.3	139 (342)	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.3	0.2 0.1	0.2 0.1	1.2 0.5	0.8 0.3	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	$3.7 \\ 1.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.4\\ 1.4 \end{array}$	2.4 1.0

TABLE 23 (continued)
	r	1	TT				····			(00110		.	··_				· ····			
									d.b.l	h. class	s cm (i	n)	.	,		•		d.b	.h. cm	(in)
Block	Туре	Area ha	10 (3.9)	1 (5	5 .9)	.20 (7.9)	2 (9	5 .8)	30 (11.8)	35 (13.8)	40 (15.8)	45 (17.7)	50 (19.7)	55 (21.7)	60 (23.6)	65 (25.6)	70 (27.6)	>7.6 (3.0)	≥15,2 (6.0)	≥25.4 (10.0)
		(ac)		<15, 2 (6, 0)	>15, 2 (6, 0)		<25.4 (10.0)	>25.4 (10.0)												
22	1.1	65 (160)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.5 0.2	0.5 0.2
22	1.2	227 (562)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.1 0.9	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.9 0.4	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	3.3 1.3	3.3 1.3	1.2 0.5
22	1.3	135 (332)	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1,3 0.5	1.0 0.4	0.8 0.3
23	1.1	71 (176)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0,0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.3 0.1	0.3 0.1
23	1.2	193 (476)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.2	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0
23	1.3	43 (106)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.1 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1, 1 0, 5	1.1 0.5	0.5 0.2
24	1.1	201 (496)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.3	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.6 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.3 0.5	1,3 0,5	0.6 0.2
24	1.2	180 (445)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.3 0.5	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.1 0.8	2, 1 0, 8	0.7 0.3
24	1.3	196 (483)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.5 0.2	0.3 0.1
24	3.2	14 (34)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0,0 0.0	0.5 0.2	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.9 0.4	0.9 0.4	0.9 0.4
25	1.1	156 (386)	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	1.6 0.6	2.2 0.9	0.9 0.4	1,4 0.6	0.8 0.3	0.8 0.3	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	7.8 3.2	7,8 3,2	4.1 1.7
25	1.2	142 (352)	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.8 0.3	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.8 0.3	0.8 0.3	0.0 0.0
25	1.3	80 (198)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.3 0.1	0.3 0.1	0.7 0.3	0.1 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.8 0.7	1.8 0.7	1.2 0.5
26	1.1	2 316 (781)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.0 0.4	0.4 0.1	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.6 0.7	1.6 0.7	1.6 0.7
26	1.2	355 (877)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.8 0.3	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0,0 0.0	1,5 0.6	1.5 0.6	1.0 0.4

TABLE 23 (continued)

									d.b.]	h. clas	s cm (i	n)						d.b	.h. cm	(in)
Block	Туре	Area	10 (3,9)	1 (5	5 .9)	20 (7.9)	2 (9	5 .8)	30 (11,8)	35 (13.8)	40 (15.8)	45 (17.7)	50 (19.7)	55 (21.7)	60 (23.6)	65 (25,6)	70 (27.6)	≥7.6 (3.0)	≥15.2 (6.0)	≥25.4 (10.0)
		ha (ac)		<15.2	>15.2		<25.4	>25.4					,							
				(0.0)	(0.0)		(10.0)	(10.0)												
26	1.3	320 (790)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.8 0.3	0.8 0.3	0.4 0.2
26	3.2	230 (568)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.6 0.6	0.8 0.3	0.7 0.3	0.7 0.3	0.3 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	4.3 1.7	4.3 1.7	1.8 0.7
27	1.1	245 (605)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.2	1.0 0.4	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.4 0.6	1.4 0.6	1.4 0.6
27	1.2	152 (375)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.2 0.5	0.9 0.3	0.7 0.3	2.4 1.0	1.0 0.4	0.5 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	6.7 2.7	6.7 2.7	4.6 1.9
27	1.3	69 (170)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	1.2 0.5	1.2 0.5	1.8 0.7	1.4 0.6	0.8 0.3	0.3 0.1	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	7.1 2.9	7.1 2.9	4.4 1.8
27	3.2	24 (60)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.2	1.1 0.4	0.5 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.0 0.8	2.0 0.8	2.0 0.8
28	1.1	147 (364)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.0 0.8	0.5 0.2	0.8 0.3	1.5 0.6	0.7 0.3	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	5.7 2.3	5.7 2.3	3.2 1.3
28	1.2	244 (604)	0.0 0.0	0.9 0.4	1.4 0.6	3.2 1.3	1.9 0.8	0.8 0.3	2.0 0.8	0.9 0.4	0.5 0.2	0.1 0.0	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	11.8 4.8	10.8 4.4	4.4 1.8
28	1.3	98 (241)	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s . n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s.
29	1.1	71 (175)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.8 0.3	0.3 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.5 0.6	1.5 0.6	1.5 0.6
29	1.2	207 (512)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.3	0.7 0.3	0.7 0.3
29	1.3	64 (158)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.5 0.2	0.1 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 . 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.1 0.4	1.1 0.4	0.3 0.1
30	1.1	299 (738)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.1 0.4	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.3	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.8 0.7	1.8 0.7	0.7 0.3
30	1.2	280 (691)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.3 0.5	0.0 0.0	0.4 0.2	1.2 0.5	0.4 0.2	0 .2 0.1	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	$\begin{array}{c} 3.8\\ 1.5 \end{array}$	3.8 1.5	2.5 1.0
30	1.3	157 (388)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.3 0.1	1.0 0.4	0.1 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0 .0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.1 0.8	2.1 0.8	1.4 0.6

TABLE 23 (continued)

TABLE 23 (continued)

	1				<u></u>		·		d.b.1	n. class	s cm (i	n)						d.b.h	. cm (:	in)
Block	Туре	Area	10 (3, 9)	1 (5	5 .9)	20 (7,9)	2(9	5	30 (11, 8)	35 (13, 8)	40 (15, 8)	45 (17,7)	50 (19,7)	55 (21,7)	60 (23,6)	65 (25, 6)	70	≥7.6 (3.0)	≥15.2 (6.0)	>25.4
		na (ac)		<15.2 (6.0)	>15. 2 (6. 0)		<25.4 (10.0)	>25.4 (10.0)		(1010)	(2000)		(2011)		((10.0)
31	1.1	309 (763)	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.3	0.0 0.0	0.5	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.3 0.1	0.0.	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.7 0.7	1.7 0.7	1.0 0.4
31	1.2	160 . (396)	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.6 0.2	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0	1.4 0.6	0.4 0.2	0.8 0.3	0.4 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	4.2 1.7	4.2 1.7	3.2 1.3
31	1.3	190 ··· (470)	0.6 0.3	0.7 0.3	0.9 0.4	0.2 0.1	0.4 0.2	0.6 0.3	0.6 0.3	0.3 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	4.5	3.2 1.3	1.7 0.7
32	1.1	78 (192)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.3	1.0 0.4	0.4 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2.3 0.9	2.3 0.9	2.3 0.9
32	1.2	· 402 (994)	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.6 0.2	0.8 0.3	0.7 0.3	0.8 0.3	1.0 0.4	0.9 0.4	0.3 0.1	0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	5.2 2.1	5.2 2.1	3.8 1.5
32 [?]	1.3	182 (450)	0.0 0.0;	0.4 0.1	0:8 0.3	1.9 0.8	1.5 0.6	0.7 0.3	0.9 0.4	0.6 0.2	0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	7.0 2.8	6.6 2.7	2.5 1.0
33	1.1	151 (374)	2.6 1.1	2.8 1.1	1.0 0.4	2.0 0.8	0.5 0.2	1.9 0.8	4.4 1.8	3.7 1.5	2.0 0.8	1.2 0.5	0.7 0.3	0.1 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.1 0.0	23.2 9.4	17.8 7.2	14.3 5.8
33 ,	1.2	165 (407)	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	2.9 1.2	2.0 0.8	4.0 1.6	1.8 0.7	2.1 0.8	0.8 0.3	0.7 0.3	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	14.4 5.8	14.4 5.8	11.5 4.7
33	1.3	299 (738)	0.0	0.3 0.1	0.9 0.4	2.4 1.0	1.1 0.4	1.5 0.6	2.0 0.8	0.9 0.4	0.4 0.2	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	9.8 4.0	9.5 3.9	5.1 2.1
33 ;	3.2	280 (693)	0.0	0.0 0.0	1.3 0.5	7.1 2.9	3.7 1.5	2.0 0.8	2.8 1.1	1.7 0.7	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	18.7 7.6	18.7 7.6	6.6 2.7
34	1.1	74 (184)	4.9 2.0	-1.3 0.5	0.0	1.2 0.5	3.8 1.6	1.4 0.6	5.7 2.3	2.8 1.1	2.9 1.2	1.2 0.5	0.8 0.3	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.1 .0.0	0.0 0.0	26.4 10.7	20.2 8.2	15.2 6.1
34	1.2	63 (155)	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	4.8 1.9	1.1 0.4	2.7 1.1	8.1) 3.3	3.6 1.5	2.4 1.0	2.7 1.1	1.0 0.4	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.1 0.0	26.8 10.9	26.8 10.9	20.9 8.5
34 -	1.3	72 (178)	0.7 0.3	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.7 0.3	0.8 0.3	0.4 0.2	2.2 0.9	1.8 0.7	0.7 0.3	0.3 0.1	0.3 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	8 4 3.4	7.7 3.1	5.9 2.4
34	3.2	237 (586),	.0.0 0.0	1.3 0.5	0.9. 0.4	0:6 0.2	1.7 0.7	1.4 0.6	1.6 0.7	1.2 0.5	0.8 0.3	0.1 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	9.7 3.9	8.5 3.4	5.2 2.1
35 _,	1.1	88 (217)	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0 .0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.6 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.9 0.4	0.9 0.4	0.9 0.4
35	1.2	273 (674)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0,0 0,0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.6 0.3	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.1 0.5	1.1 0.5	1.1 0.5
35	1.3	442 (1 092)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.1 0.0	0.2 0.1	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 ⁺ 0.0	0.4 0.2	0.4 0.2	0.4 0.2

		Area			M	ean	Reliable minimum estimate ⁺		
Block	Type ++	ha	ac	d.b.h.**	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac	m ^{3/ha}	ft ³ /ac	
2	1.1	300	740	a b c	2.3 2.3 2.3	34 34 34	0.2 0.2 0.2	3 3 3	
2	1.2	159	393	a b c	1.0 1.0 0.8	14 14 12	0.3 0.3 0.2	5 5 3	
2	1.3	111	273	a b c	0.7 0.7 0.7	10 10 10	0.1 0.1 0.1	2 2 2	
3	1.1	245	606	a b c	2.1 2.1 2.1	30 30 30	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	
3	1.2	302	746	a b c	0.3 0.3 0.3	4 4 4	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	
3	1.3	126	310	a b c	0.1 0.1 0.1	2 2 1	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	
3	3.2	52	128	a b c	0.7 0.7 0.6	10 10 9	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	
4	1.1	219	540	a b c	2.3 2.3 2.1	32 32 30	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	
4	1.2	264	653	a b c	2.3 2.2 2.0	32 32 29	0.6 0.6 0.4	9 9 6	
4	1.3	275	679	a b c	1.2 1.2 0.6	17 17 9	0.6 0.6 0.3	9 9 4	

TABLE 24 Standing Volume of 'Old Growth' Pine Per Unit Area* in 34 Management Blocks

* Figures for m^3/ha have been rounded to nearest 0.1, those for ft^3/ac to nearest whole number. This accounts for apparent anomalies in the conversions of small volumes.

** a ≥ 7.6 cm (3.0 in)

 $b \geqslant 15.2~\text{cm}$ (6.0~in)

 $c \ge 25.4$ cm (10.0 in)

⁺ Where sampling errors of 100% or higher were obtained, the reliable minimum estimate is zero.

n.s. = not sampled

++ Types as given in Table 23.

Reliable minimum estimate⁺ Area Mean Block Type d.b.h.** ft³/ac m³/ha m³/ha ft³/ac ha ac . 32 0.6 9 2.3 a 264 0.6 1.2 653 2.2 32 9 4 b 2.0 29 0.4 6 с 1.2 17 0.6 9 а • ; 9 4 1.3 275 679 b 1.2 17 0.6 9 0.3 0.6 4 С 0.4 5 0.0 0 а 0.0 226 557 0.4 0 5 1.1 b 5 0.4 5 0.0 0 С 3 0.0 0 0.2 а 1.3 328 811 0.2 3 0.0 0 5 b 0 0.1 0.0 1 с 0.0 0 1.2 16 a 16 🗇 0.0 0. 170 420 1.2 6 1.1 b 0 1.2 16 0.0 с 0 0.4 5 0.0 a 0 ۰^{. .} 0.0 319 788 0.4 5 6 1.2 b 0.3 4 0.0 0 с 0.3 5 0.1 1 a ŧ 289 714 0.3 5 . • 0.1 r 6 1.3 b 0.3 Ō 4 0.0 с • . 0.0 0 0.4 6 а 6 7. 1.1 140 · 345 0.4 **0.0**: 0 5 b 1.14 ÷ 0.0 0 0.4 c 6 . 1.7 0.4 6 24 а 407 1.7 24 0.4. 6 7 1 006 1.2 b 22 6 1.6 0.4 С 0.2 0.0 0 3 а 0. ~ 7 169 0.2 0..0 1:3 418 3 b 0.2 2 0.0 0 С ٠ 2.0 28 0.0 0 a 8 66 164 b 2.0 28 0.0 0 1.1 0 . • 2.0 28 0.0 С 2 0.0 0 . •. 0.1 а с. 2 · · ` 8 257 -0.1 0.0 0 634 1.2 b 0.1 0 с 2 0.0 ÷ • 0 • 0.3 5 0.0 а 0.3 0.0 0 8 546 1 3 4 9 5 1.3 . b . с 0.3 5 0.0 0 2 0.0 0 0.1 а . 1 . 0.1 0 8 2 0.0 3.2 74 182 b 0 0.0 0 0.0 с 0 1.1 15 0.0 а 0. 9 14 **0.0**3 185. 1.0 1.2 456 b 0.3 . 4 0.0 0 с

TABLE 24 (Continued)

TABLE 24 (Continued)

Block	Туре	Are	ea	d. b. h. **	Me	ean	Reli mini esti	iable imum imate ⁺
		ha	ac		m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac
9	1.3	215	532	a b c	0.4 0.4 0.2	6 5 3	0.1 0.1 0.0	2 2 0
10	1.1	211	522	a b c	1. 1 1. 1 0. 8	15 15 11	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
10	1.2	358	885	a b c	0.5 0.4 0.3	7 6 5	0.1 0.0 0.0	1 0 0
10	1.3	169	418	a b c	0.2 0.2 0.2	3 3 2	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
10	3.2	130	320	a b c	0.1 0.1 0.1	1 1 1	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
11	1.1	100	246	a b c	0.2 0.2 0.2	2 2 2	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
11	1.2	92	228	a b c	0.9 0.9 0.8	13 13 12	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
11	1.3	207	512	a b c	0.7 0.7 0.6	10 10 8	0.3 0.3 0.2	5 5 3
11	3.2	105	259	a b c	0.2 0.1 0.0	3 1 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
12	1.1	107	265	a b c	0.4 0.4 0.4	6 6 6	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
12	1.2	146	361	a b c	0.7 0.7 0.6	9 9 9	0.1 0.1 0.1	2 2 1
12	1.3	136	336	a b c	0.7 0.7 0.4	10 10 6	0.2 0.2 0.1	2 2 1
12	3.2	299	739	a b c	0.5 0.5 0.4	7 7 - 5	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
13	1.1	178	439	a b c	0.6 0.6 0.4	8 8 5	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
13	1.2	159	393	a · b c	1.1 1.1 1.0	15 15 14	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0

Block Type	Туре	Are	ea	d.bh.**	Me	ean	Rel min est	iable imum imate ⁺
		ha	ac	· · ·	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac	m ^{3/ha}	ft ³ /ac
13	1.3	153	378	a b c	1.4 1.4 0.7	21 20 10	0.6 0.5 0.2	8 8 2
13	3.2	368	909	a b c	0.7 0.7 0.1	11 11 1	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
14	1.1	90	222	a b c	0.8 0.7 0.5	11 10 7	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
14	1.2	280	962	a b c	2.0 2.0 1.7	29 29 24	0.6 0.6 0.4	8 8 6 _{>}
14	1.3	360	890	a b c	0.5 0.5 0.4	7 7 6	0.1 0.1 0.1	2 2 1
14	3.2	80	198	a b c	1.0 1.0 0.8	14 14 11	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
15	1.1	58	142	a b c	0.2 0.2 0.2	3 3 3	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
15	1.2	173	428	a b c	1.1 1.1 0.8	16 16 12	0.3 0.3 0.2	5 5 3
15	1.3	123	303	a b c	0.2 0.2 0.1	2 2 1	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
15	3.2	117	288	a b c	0.6 0.6 0.3	9 9 4	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
16	1.1	135	333	a b c	0.2 0.2 0.1	3 3 2	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
16	1.2	209	516	a b c	0.4 0.4 0.4	6 6 6	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
16	1.3	132	325	a b c	0.2 0.2 0.1	3 3 1	0.1 0.1 0.0	1 1 0
17	1.1	91	224	a b c	0.9 0.9 0.9	12 12 12	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
17	1.2	165	407	a b c	1.7 1.7 1.1	24 24 16	0.2 0.2 0.0	3 、3 0

TABLE 24 (Continued)

TABLE 24 (Continued)

Block	Туре	Ar	ea	d.b.h.**	M	ean	Rel min est	iable imum imate ⁺
		ha	ac		m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac
17	1.3	110	272	a b c	1.0 1.0 0.6	14 14 7	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
17	3.2	38	93	a b c	0.2 0.2 0.1	3 3 2	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
18	1.1	134	332	a b c	2.7 2.7 2.6	38 38 37	0.6 0.6 0.6	9 9 8
18	1.2	103	255	a b c	n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s.
18	1.3	167	412	a b c	0.6 0.6 0.5	8 8 8	0.2 0.2 0.1	2 2 2
18	3.2	195	482	a b c	0.5 0.4 0.2	7 6 3	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
19	1.1	90	223	a b c	1.7 1.7 1.7	24 24 24	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
19	1.2	152	376	a b c	1.4 1.4 1.1	19 19 16	0.2 0.2 0.1	3 3 2
19	1.3	268	662	a b c	0.7 0.7 0.6	10 10 8	0.3 0.3 0.3	5 5 4
20	1.1	152	375	a b c	1.9 1.9 1.9	27 27 27	0.6 0.6 0.6	8 8 8
20	1.2	218	539	a b c	5.4 5.4 5.1	77 77 72	3.1 3.1 2.8	44 44 41
20	1.3	114	282	a b c	2.8 2.8 2.6	40 40 37	1.7 1.7 1.5	24 24 22
20	3.2	24	59	a C C	1.7 1.6 1.6	24 24 24	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
21	1.1	134	331	a b c	4.2 4.2 4.0	60 60 57	1.0 1.0 0.8	14 14 12
21	1.2	139	343	a b c	4.7 4.6 4.3	67 66 61	2.3 2.2 2.0	33 32 29

Block	Туре	Are	ea .	d.b.h.**	Me	ean	Reli mini esti	able mum mate ⁺
		ha	ac		m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac'	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac
21	1.3	139	342	a b c	1.3 1.3 1.2	19 19 17	0.4 0.4 0.4	6 6 5
22	1.1	65	160	a b c	0.5 0.5 0.5	7 7	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
22	1.2	227	562	a b c	0.7 0.7 0.5	10 10 7	0.0 0.0 0.0	
22	1.3	135	332	a b c	0.6 0.6 0.5	8 8 8	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
23	1.1	71	176	a b c	0.2 0.2 0.2	3 3 3	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
23	1.2	193	476	a b c	0.1 0.1 0.0	1 1 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
23	1.3	43	106	a b · · - c	0.3 0.3 0.2	5 5 3	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
24	1.1	201	496	a b c	0.3 0.3 0.2	4 4 3	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0:: : 0
24	1.2	180	445	a b c	0.7 0.7 0.6	10 10 8	0.0 0.0 0.0	7 0 0 0
24	1.3	196	483	e a b c	0.1 0.1 0.1	2 2 2	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
24	3.2	14	34	a b c	0.3 0.3 0.3	4 4 4	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
25	1.1	156	386	a b c	2.7 2.7 2.2	39 39 31	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
25	1.2	142	352	a b c	0.1 0.1 0.0	1 1 *** 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 .0 .0
25	1.3	80	198	a b c	0.6 0.6 0.5	9 9 7	0.2 0.2 0.1	2 2 1
26	1.1	316	781	a b c	0.8 0.8 0.8	11 11 11	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0

TABLE 24 (Continued)

TABLE 24 (Continued)

Block	Туре	Ar	ea	d.b.h.**	Me	ean	Rel: min: est:	iable imum imate ⁺
		ha	ac		m ^{3/ha}	ft ³ /ac	m ^{3/ha}	ft ³ /ac
26	1.2	355	877	a b c	0.5 0.5 0.4	7 7 6	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
26	1.3	320	790	a b c	0.2 0.2 0.2	3 3 3	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
26	3.2	230	568	a b c	1.1 1.1 0.7	15 15 11	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
27	1.1	245	605	a b c	0.5 0.5 0.5	6 6 6	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
27	1.2	152	375	a b c	2.3 2.3 2.0	32 32 28	1.2 1.2 0.9	17 17 14
27	1.3	69	170	a b c	2.3 2.3 1.9	32 32 27	1.4 1.4 1.2	20 20 17
27	3.2	24	60	a b c	0.8 0.8 0.8	11 11 11	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
28	1.1	147	364	a b c	1.7 1.7 1.4	24 24 20	0.6 0.6 0.4	8 8 6
28	1.2	244	604	a b c	2.9 2.9 2.1	42 41 31	1.5 1.5 1.1	22 22 16
28	1.3	98	241	a b c	n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s.	n.s. n.s. n.s.
29	1.1	71	175	a b c	0.8 0.8 0.8	12 12 12	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
29	1.2	207	512	a b c	0.4 0.4 0.4	6 6 6	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
29	1.3	64	158	a b c	0.3 0.3 0.1	4 4 2	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
30	1.1	299	738	a b c	0.5 0.5 0.4	7 7 6	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
30	1.2	280	691	a b c	$1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.3$	21 21 19	0.3 0.3 0.2	5 5 3

Reliable Area minimum Mean $estimate^+$ d.b.h.** Block Type m³/ha ft³/ac $m^{3/ha}$ ft^{3}/ac ha ac 0.5 8 0.2 3 a 388 1.3 157 0.5 8 0.2 3 30 b 0.4 6 0.2 3 С 1.1 15 0.1 2 а 31 1.1 309 763 b 1.1 15 0.1 2 ō 1.0 14 0.0 с 2.4 a 35 0.3 5 160 396 2.4 0.3 5 31 1.2 b 35 0.3 2.3 5 с 33 0.3 1.0 14 4 a 1.3 190 470 0.9 13 0.2 4 31 b с 0.8 11 0.2 $\mathbf{2}$ 1.0 0.0 0 15 a 78 192 1.0 15 0.0 0 32 1.1 b 1.0 0 0.0 с 15 2.7 38 1.3 18 a 2.7 402 994 38 1.3 18 32 1.2b 2.5 35 1.1 16 С 24 1.7 1.1 15 а 32 1.3 182 450 b 1.7 24 1.1 15 1.2 17 9 0.7 \mathbf{c} 10.1 5.9 145 85 a 143 138 151 374 10.0 5.9 84 33 1.1 b 9.6 5.6 81 С 7.7 110 4.6 65 а 33 1.2 165 407 b 7.7 110 4.6 65 7.2 102 4.3 62 С 3.0 43 2.0 28 a 33 1.3 299 738 b 3.0 43 2.0 28 23 \mathbf{c} 2.5 36 1.6 4.1 59 0.0 0 а 280 4.1 59 0 3.2 693 0.0 33 b 2.7 38 0.0 0 с 11.1 159 6.4 91 a 34 1.1 74 184 b 11.0 158 6.3 90 10.2 146 5.8 83 С 204 14.3 8.8 126 a 34 1.2 63 14.3 204 8.8 126 155 b с 13.6 194 8.2 117 3.8 55 2.5 36 а 36 34 1.3 72 178 3.8 55 2.5 b 3.6 51 2.3 33 с

TABLE 24 (Continued)

3.0

2.5

a

b

с

3.2

237

586

34

43

42

36

0.8

0.8

0.4

12

12

Block	Туре	A	rea	d.b.h.**	Me	ean	Rel min est	iable imum imate ⁺
		ha	ac		m ^{3/ha}	ft ³ /ac	m ³ /ha	ft ³ /ac
35	1.1	88	217	a b c	0.5 0.5 0.5	7 7 7	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0
35	1.2	273	674	a b c	1.6 1.6 1.6	23 23 23	0.1 0.1 0.1	2 2 2
35	1.3	442	1 092	a b c	0.6 0.6 0.6	8 8 8	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0

TABLE 24 (Continued)

_

-

,

		Gross volume							Minimum estimate						
Type	Ar	·ea			d.b.h.	cm (in)					d.b.h.	cm (in)			
			>7.6	(3.0)	≥15. 2	2 (6.0)	>25. 4	1 (6.0)	≥7.6	(3.0)	≥15. \$	2 (6.0)	≥25.4	i (10.0)	
	ha	ac	'00 m ³	'000 ft ³											
1.1	5 117	12 644	83	294	83	292	78	274	66	232	65	231	61	215	
1.2	7 027	17 364	117	415	116	411	102	362	101	357	100	353	90	319	
1.3	6 386	15 780	49	173	49	172	39	139	42	148	42	147	33	118	
3 types summed	18 530	45 788	249	882	248	875	219	775	209	737	207	731	184	652	

. .

TABLE 25 Summary Statement of the Standing Gross Volume of 'Old Growth' Pine in Three Pine Forest Types in the Whole Project Area

 \mathcal{A}

PUBLICATIONS OF THE LAND RESOURCES DIVISION

These publications have a restricted distribution and are not available to booksellers. The Division makes a report on each completed project. The report is published as a Land Resource Study or Technical Bulletin only with the consent of the government concerned. The abbreviated titles of the reports in the style of the 'World List of Scientific Periodicals' are Land Resour. Stud. and Tech. Bull. Land Resour. Div. overseas Dev. Admin.

RAWDEN M G and LANGDALE-BROWN I	1961	An aerial photographic reconnaissance of the present and possible land use in the Bamenda Area, Southern Cameroons.*
BAWDEN M G and STOBBS A R	1963	The land resources of Eastern Bechuanaland.
LANGDALE-BROWN I and SPOONER R J	1963	The land use prospects of Northern Bechuanaland*
BAWDEN M G (Ed)	1965	Some soils of Northern Bechuanaland with a description of the main vegetation zones.

LAND RESOURCE STUDIES

SPOONER R J and JENKIN R N	1960	The development of the Lower Mgeta River Area of the United Republic of Tanzania. Land Resource Study No. 1.
BAWDEN M G and TULEY P	1966	The land resources of Southern Sardauna and Southern Adamawa Provinces, Northern Nigeria. Land Resource Study No. 2.*
BAWDEN M G and CARROLL D M	1968	The land resources of Lesotho. Land Resource Study No. 3.*
JENKIN R N and FOALE M A	1968	An investigation of the coconut-growing potential of Christmas Island. Volume 1, The environment and the plantations. Volume 2, Appendixes. Land Resource Study No. 4.
BLAIR RAINS A and MCKAY A D	1968	The Northern State Lands, Botswana, Land Resource Study No. 5.
HILL I D	1969	An assessment of the possibilities of oil palm cultivation in Western Division, The Gambia. <i>Land Resource Study</i> No. 6.
VERBOOM W C and BRUNT M A	1970	An ecological survey of Western Province, Zambia, with special reference to the fodder resources. Volume 1, The environment, Volume 2, The grasslands and their development. Land Resource Study No. 8.**
AITCHISON P J and GLOVER P E	1970	The land resources of North East Nigeria. Volume 2, Tsetse and Trypanosomiasis. Land Resource Study No. 9.*
JOHNSON M S	1971	New Hebrides Condominium, Erromango Forest Inventory. Land Resource Study No. 10.

Out of print.

** Land Resource Study No. 7 has not yet been published.

LAND RESOURCE STUDIES - continued

- 1

İ.

ł

}

÷

AITCHISON P J BAWDEN M G CARROLL D M GLOVER P E KLINKENBERG K LEEUW P N de and TULEY P	1972	The land resources of North East Nigeria. Volume 1, The environment. <i>Land Resource Study</i> No. 9.
BAWDEN M G CARROLL D M and TULEY P	1972	The land resources of North East Nigeria. Volume 3, The land systems. <i>Land Resource</i> <i>Study</i> No. 9.
TULEY P (Ed)	1972	The land resources of North East Nigeria. Volume 5, Appendixes and tables. <i>Land Resource Study</i> No. 9.
BLAIR RAINS A and YALALA A M	1972	The Central and Southern State Lands, Botswana. Land Resource Study No. 11.*
BERRY M J and HOWARD W J	1973	Fiji forest inventory (3 volumes). Land Resource Study No. 12 (in the press).
JOHNSON MS and CHAFFEY D R	1973	Forest inventory of part of the Mountain Pine Ridge, Belize. <i>Land Resource Study</i> No. 13.
TECHNICAL BULLETINS		
CARROLL D M and BASCOMB C L	1967	Notes on the soils of Lesotho. <i>Technical Bulletin</i> No. 1.

1968

A soil survey of Seychelles. *Technical Bulletin* No. 2.*

*Out of print

PIGGOTT C J

R 70130/ 6/73 TP