

Review WUR - WENR

STRUBIAS Market study

Dries Huygens & Hans Saveyn, 2018. *DRAFT market study for recovered phosphates salts, ash-based materials and pyrolysis materials in view of their possible inclusion as Component Material Categories in the Revised Fertiliser Regulation. Interim Report. Circular Economy and Industrial Leadership Unit Directorate B – Growth and innovation, Joint Research Centre – European Commission*

This review of Wageningen University & Research – Wageningen Environmental Research (WUR –WenR (Alterra)) gives general remarks, answers on questions and detailed remarks.

General remarks

1. The interim report reads well, the index is logical and transparent. Some general remarks are made.
2. A comment made earlier is repeated. The term nutrient recovery rules is the current phrase for recovering valuable components from renewable sources used by the European Commission. As such the term is rightly used. In a technical report however it serves to specify what is meant with nutrient recovery rules as the term literally does not cover all value giving components of STRUBIAS materials. Acid neutralising value (liming materials) and organic carbon are in strict sense excluded from nutrient. Why not rules for renewable fertilising products?
3. The approach of the economic impact given in chapter 7 is questioned. Given benchmark, historical overview and forecast follow models from a linear economy. Although the report is placing the STRUBIAS material in a correct setting of cascading approach (e.g. lines 367-370; 2654-2657, 2887-2890), the economic impact is not taking into account this cascade of processes. The linear approach gives not the STRUBIAS products their merits. Often nutrient recovery is the result of a side-activity while the major process is another activity (e.g. biogas production, manure processing to reduce transport of water, waste control). The major process makes the business case, not the recovery of nutrients. Economic models serving circular economy are being developed. An example is Volaro et al 2016 (see Annex I with references). The economic impact should not be based on current prices of mineral fertilisers as a benchmark but - to stimulate circular economy - should use a more integral approach and a calculation of a market-based cost-benefit price (E.G. Volaro et al, 2016).
4. Additives (e.g. sodium sulphate, e.g. Line 1972) are addressed. Their effect of the quality is not taken into account in the assessment of the quality of the fertilising products which is considered an omission. The advice is given to include additives when assessing agronomic and environmental aspect of STRUBIAS materials.
5. Annex I gives the references. Publications in the public domain can be addressed by using the link.

Questions

Question 1

Question 1, sales prices

P salts and ashes are sold in the Netherlands and traded within EU27. Biochar that are confronted with end-of-waste criterions have not been regulated and are thus not yet in the market. Biochars for clean wood or peat are produced and traded but are not part of the STRUBIAS materials.

Recovered P salts (struvite) is traded in the Netherlands. Aquaminerals BV (<http://aquaminerals.com/en/home/>) trades struvites of Waste Water Treatment Plants amongst others to ICL fertilisers. There are three or four other intermediates (Ostara, Heijmans, Waterstromen and/or HVC). Struvite serves as a secondary raw material for fertiliser production. A similar purpose, a different production process, has struvite that is exported to France (Groupe Roullier). Fertilising products based on these struvites fulfil current requirements of the EU regulation on fertilisers 2003/2003. Sales prices of the use of these struvites as secondary raw materials are not available in the public domain. Estimates

are given by Ros et al (2014), Schoumans et al (2014) and pers. communications with actors in this field leading to a rough estimate of 50-90 €/ton.

Sales prices reflects the total concept of processing techniques of which nutrient recovery are part of. Business cases of processes that deliver STRUBIAS materials are company owned. Information requested has been shared with RBC environment for their LCA analysis for poultry litter ash of BMC Moerdijk and the K struvite of Stichting Mestverwerking Gelderland.

Sales prices for biochar are not available in the public domain. Pers. Communications lead to a broad range of estimates (0 - > 500 €/ton). However there is no trade yet. Estimates cannot be verified.

Question 1, physical form and to whom materials are sold

Struvites are solid products with crystals. Suspensions are not traded (yet).

Poultry litter ash is a powder. For specifications see Ehlert and Nelemans (2015c).

Biochar is fine powdery product but can be pelletised. Product is available from a pilot plant based on gasification of a solid fraction of pig slurry but there is no trade yet.

Question 1, evolution of sales prices

All technologies in a TRL 8 phase are younger than 10 years. Next requested information is in not part of the public domain and thus the question cannot be answered.

Question 2

Question 2, Cost for REACH registration

General information (In Dutch: <https://www.chemischestoffengoedgeregeld.nl/veelgestelde-vragen/zoeken/onderwerp/registratie/onderwerp/registratie-algemeen>).

Struvite

Berlin Wasser (lead registrant) are proposing a simple cost-sharing scheme with prices for "dossier access" (right to refer) as follows:

4 k€ for production <100 tonnes per year struvite, dry weight

7 k€ for 100-1,000 tonnes per year

> 1 000 tonnes: to be defined if needed

Additional information's (In Dutch): <https://www.nutrientplatform.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/20171027-Factsheet-Hoe-zit-het-met-struviet-en-de-wet.pdf>

Poultry litter ash, information of BMC Moerdijk

Partner of Ash Consortium: €15k dossier

ECHA: €40k

Biochar

Exempted of REACH registration? No estimate available.

Question 2, Cost of compliance under existing national end-of-waste or similar regimes.

Struvite

Struvite as one of the recovered P salts, is regulated in the Netherlands. Free trade is possible and thus lead not to compliance cost. However phosphate use is regulated in the Netherland and leads to sampling costs and cost for analyses (~100 € per sample per freight).

Poultry litter ash, information of BMC Moerdijk

Poultry litter ash is not traded in the Netherlands but exported to other EU countries.

Costs in Belgium 1,5 k€ per permit for a period of 5 years and 1 k€/year for additional analyses. In France 1 k€ for obligatory analyses.

Biochar

No obligatory costs yet. To meet voluntary standards of the European Biochar Certificate 1500 €/sample.

Question 2, Estimated cost for compliance for P-fertilisers derived from primary raw materials

Guideline: 120 \$/ton rock phosphate 32% P₂O₅.

Question 2, Cost associated to acquiring waste permits in other countries

See: <https://www.ilent.nl/onderwerpen/afvaltransport-in-europa-evoa/vraag-en-antwoord/regeling-eg-verordening-overbrenging-afvalstoffen>

For struvite and biochar not known. For poultry litter ash 5-8 k€

Question 2, Cost of sampling and analysis through accredited laboratories

Recovered P salts, Ash-based materials, Pyrolysis materials

Please note that given estimates are for routine analysis by accredited laboratories. Conformity assessment based on designated analytical methods of EU regulation 2003/2003 require other methods which have higher price labels.

Value giving components (nutrients, acid neutralising value (NV) and organic matter)

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Per mineral: | 21-23 €/ sample |
| Per solubility (NAC, water): | 21-23 €/sample |
| NV: | 20 €/sample |
| Heavy metals and metalloids: | 100 €/sample |
| PAH16+PCB: | 160 €/sample |
| PCDD/F: | 550 €/sample |
| Estimated cost per ton poultry litter ash: | 0.60 €/ton ash |

Question 2, Standards, national, ISO/EN

Fertilising products meeting requirement of EU regulation 2003/2003¹ follow standards given in ANNEX IV – Methods of Sampling and Analysis.

¹ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:02003R2003-20160101&from=EN>

National standards² are given in Annex Ac of the Implementation Regulation of the Fertiliser Act (In Dutch).

Test laboratories (not laboratories performing conformity analysis within a framework of enforcement) use standards given in the table.

| Parameter | Standard |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Dry matter | Annex Ac, EN 12880; NEN-ISO 11465 |
| Organic matter (loss of ignition) | Annex Ac |
| P total (mineral acid) | Annex Ac, EN 13346 |
| P soluble in 2% citric acid | EU Regulation 2003/2003; 3.1.3 |
| P soluble in NAC | Annex Ac, EN 15957 |
| P soluble in water | Annex Ac, EN 15958 |
| K total (mineral acid) | Annex Ac, EN 13346 |
| K soluble in water | Annex Ac, EN 15477 |
| Mg total | Annex Ac, EN 15960 |
| S total | Annex Ac, EN 15960 |
| Na total | Annex Ac, EN 15960 |
| Acid neutralising value | Annex Ac, EN 12945 |
| Particle size | Annex Ac, EN 12948 |
| Heavy metals and metalloids | Annex Ac |
| PAH+PCB | Annex Ac |
| PCDD/F | NEN-EN-1948 |

Test laboratories develop their accredited methods based on EN / ISO standards by designate these with different standard codes (can be given on request).

Biochar, analytical method, voluntary European certification (<https://www.eurofins.de/umwelt/analyse-pakete/untersuchung-von-pflanzenkohle/analysis-of-biochar/>)

| parameter / performance | analytical method |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Biochar - Basic Package | |
| sample preparation | DIN 51701-3 |
| water content | DIN 51718 |
| ash content 550 °C | analog DIN 51719/EN 14775 |
| Thermogravimetry | LECO |
| carbon, hydrogen | DIN 51732 |
| nitrogen | DIN 51732 |
| sulphur | DIN 51724-3 |
| oxygen (calculation) | DIN 51733 |
| carbonate-CO ₂ | DIN 51726 |
| Corg (calculation from C _{tot} und C-carbonate) | calculation |
| H/C und O/C | calculation |
| trace metals Pb, Cd, Cu, Ni, Hg, Zn, Cr, B, Mn in microwave | EN ISO 17294-2 /EN 1483 |
| main elements P, Mg, Ca, K, Na, Fe, Si, S in melting digestion | EN ISO 11885 /EN ISO 17294-2 |
| PAK (EPA) | EN 15527 (extraction with toluene) |
| pH-value | DIN ISO 10390 (CaCl ₂) |
| bulk density | DIN 51705 |
| conductivity (salt content) | DIN ISO 11265/BGK, Kap. III. C2 |
| surface area analysis according to BET (incl. pure density) | DIN 66132/ISO 9277 |
| Biochar - Additional Parameters | |
| cross calorific value Ho | DIN 51900 |
| net calorific value, Hu, p | DIN 51900 |
| PCB, dioxins/furans (high resolution) | HRMS |
| water holding capacity (WHC) on fraction <2mm | E DIN ISO 14238, appendix A |
| ash content 815 °C | DIN 51719 |
| volatile matter | DIN 51720 |

² In Dutch uitvoeringsregeling meststoffenwet, bijlage Ac, <http://wetten.overheid.nl/>

Question 3

STRUBIAS materials are relatively new fertilising materials which are in an initial production phase. Quantification of benefits and drawback cannot be supported yet by peer reviewed publication or reports available in the public domain.

Question 3, reduced waste compliance costs

An end-of-waste status (EoW) implies that costs from EVOA fall due (see answer question 2). The STRUBIAS products enter a competing market and although an EoW is reached, the market is reluctant in accepting these new products. Effort is needed to introduce and accept the new STRUBIAS products on the market.

Question 3, reduced externalities

STRUBIAS materials used according to Good Agricultural practices have similar externalities as current mineral fertilisers.

Question 3, potential job creation

Although STRUBIAS materials have a potential to replace current mineral fertilisers, it is not expected that there is an impact on current mineral fertiliser industry (focus 2030). Current mineral fertiliser industries are already using STRUBIAS materials in the production of EU fertilisers meeting requirements of EU Regulation 2003/220. New fertilising materials have a focus for niche markets or can replace current raw materials (rock phosphates). Niche markets will have a slight positive effect on employment and rural economy.

Question 3, impact on rural economy

See given argument above: Niche markets will have a slight positive effect on employment and rural economy.

Question 3, benefits of restoring soil organic carbon for soil fertility

Biochar can contribute to restoring soil organic carbon (Beesley et al (2018), Lal (2009), Thomas and Gale (2015) and Wu et al (2016)). These peer-reviewed articles point on a potential. Other STRUBIAS materials have an equal potential as current fertilisers used according to good agricultural practices.

Question 3, cost associated to new logistics

Costs for new logistics or restructuring are not expected for STRUBIAS materials. A reduction of cost is expected if the total of cascading processes is taken into account (e.g. avoided transport of water of manure).

Question 3, implication for restructuring

Costs for new logistics are not expected for STRUBIAS materials. Restructuring, e.g. the building of a new plant for nutrient recovery can be an additional cost. However, these cost are part of a cascading approach of processing a feedstock. Cost connected to recovery of nutrients is modest compared to the total business case.

Question 3, agricultural equipment adaptations

Adaptions of agricultural equipment is not expected unless liquids are produced with a low concentration of nutrients. Than the equipment has to be modified. Current equipment can handle concentrated liquid fertilisers up to 2 m³/ha. Liquid slurries can be applied with a minimum of ~ 10 m³. A application rate of 5 m³/ha asks for specialised equipment (~50 k€).

Question 4

Question 4, evolution

WUR WENR (formerly Alterra) is conducting long term field trials with mineral phosphorus fertilisers. The field experiments started in 1972/1986 and are still continued. A synthesis report is currently in review and will be available in the public domain within 2 months (Ehlert et al, 2018). Agronomic results of slags from metallurgic industry and fertilising products based on slags on sandy soils are reported. These slags performed equal to super phosphate or better (sugarbeet) which is contributed to a liming effect.

Although the field experiments are continued, the treatments with these slag based products had to be ended as the products are not available any more on the market.

Question 4, data on metals/metalloids

Data are published by Dittrich and Klose (2005).

Question 4, information on production process

The market for fertilising products based on slag ended amongst others due to changes in the production process and the use of P poor iron ores. New process technologies for primary metal production are developed to reduce the environmental impacts of metal production (Norgate et al 2007) and increasing efficiencies (Rudyka, 2017).

Question 4, agronomic efficiency

See Spiegel et al (2001), Lindenthal et al (2003) and Ehlert et al (2018).

How the newest products from modified metallurgic industry perform remains unclear. Perhaps EUROSLAG can provide information (<http://www.euroslag.com/applications/fertilizer/>).

Question 4, Evolution average sale prices

Perhaps EUROSLAG can provide information (<http://www.euroslag.com/applications/fertilizer/>).

Question 5

Question 5, eligible input materials

A new development is the production of calcium phosphate (most likely DCP) by dissolution of phosphorus from co-digested solid fraction of pig slurry. This process is currently in a TRL 7 level but will change at the end of this year to a TLR 8 system. This plant is called (In Dutch) the *Groene Mineralen Centrale* (Green Mineral Plant) and will be built at Groot Zevert Vergisting B.V. in Beltrum the Netherlands (<http://www.groenemineralencentrale.nl/nl/groene-mineralen-centrales-0>).

This plant is one of five plants on nutrient recovery plants of the EU project SYSTEMIC (<https://systemicproject.eu/>).

Current tests show the following composition of the calcium phosphate. The fertilising product contains currently calcium phosphate, organic matter and calcium sulphate. WUR-WENR is adapting the processes (called RePeat) to higher mineral P contents, lower water contents and lower contents of organic carbon. Table 1 gives insight in the state of the art. Schoumans et al (2010, 2014 and 2017) give information on the development and experiments leading to RePeat.

Table 1 Composition of the calciumphosphate fertiliser (pilot experiments)

| Parameter | Unit | Ca~P~S | Ca~P~S |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------|
| | | Slurry | Dried |
| Dry matter | (%) | 12% | 80% |
| Organic matter | (% of dm) | <25% | <25% |
| pH | (-) | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| P ₂ O ₅ –total | (% of dm) | 12 – 14 | 12-14 |
| P ₂ O ₅ – NAC | (% of dm) | 12 – 14 | 12-14 |
| N | (% of dm) | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| S | (% of dm) | 10 | 10 |
| CaO | (% of dm) | 16 | 16 |
| MgO | (% of dm) | 6 | 6 |
| K ₂ O | (% of dm) | 3 | 3 |

- The product originates from anaerobically digested animal manure (pig slurry) and is produced by Groot Zvert Vergisting BV in Beltrum.
- The product is hygienised by thermal treatment (70 °C).
- The phosphorus is for 97% NAC-soluble
- Sulphate is for about 50% present as CaSO₄/MgSO₄ and for 50% water-soluble K₂SO₄/Na₂SO₄
- GZV will produce about 800-1000 ton product per year (80% d.m) from 2019 onwards, with a possibility to double the production at a later stage.

Question 5, associated technological readiness

Currently TLR 7, at the end of 2018 the plant is build and has a TLR 8 level. This process will be full scaled in 2019 but requires some fine tuning.

Question 5, cost/gate fees

The business case is given by Schoumans et al (2017).

Question 6

Question 6, outlook other fertilising products and C-rich pyrolysis materials

Currently (March 2018) the EU project SYSTEMIC (<https://systemicproject.eu/>) conducts an inventory on the following fertilising product based on animal manure and other biomass:

I. Nitrogen fertilising products:

Ammonium sulphate from an ammonia stripper/scrubber;

Ammonium nitrate from an ammonia stripper/scrubber;

NK concentrate of reverse osmosis;

N concentrate of reverse osmosis and evaporation;

Struvite/K-struvite.

II. Other fertilising products:

Other phosphorus salts;

Potassium concentrate;

Organic soil amendment after removal of nutrients;

Liming materials (Limed products).

Targeted are EU Projects on Research and Development.

The results will be available in May 2018 (<https://systemicproject.eu/>)

Question 6, outlook ashes forest-based industry

Wood ashes are not used as fertilising product in the Netherlands. Exemption is a (ever so small) niche market on farm following biological production methods. There is an interest in recycling in forests (Oosterbaan et al, 2013). Recycling requires guidance (Mead et al, 2012). Recycling is confronted with conflicting interests (Riding et al, 2015). An outlook lacks if principles of a linear economy are followed, a specific circular approach is needed (Mead et al, 2012, Riding et al, 2015). Fertilisation once in the 20-30 years of forest might require adapted environmental standards for fertilising products than fertilisation products which are used each year.

Detailed remarks

The table gives detailed remarks.

| Observation | Location in document | Correction/alternative proposal | Techno-scientific rationale that supports the comment raised | Reference to techno-scientific data |
|---|----------------------|--|---|--|
| Citation data Van Dijk et al 2016 | 342, 886, 1124, 3940 | 234 | Data of Van Dijk et al, 2016 are cited from presumably appendix A of the article. Most the Raw data are cited, however sometimes the Balanced data are cited. Why is not clear. | dna (does not apply) |
| Data for Cu and Zn differ from data of manures from the Netherlands | 538-540 | See annexes of Romkens and Rietra (2008) | Farming systems do differ between EU27. | Romkens & Rietra (2008) |
| MBA = BMA (Bureau MestAfzet) Data of BMA can be updated | 586 | See table of BMA (2017) | Data of BMA are updated each year | BMA (2017) |
| Tarra is not taking into account | 627 | Export of soil with the (root) crops (tarra) should be taken into account | Minerals are also 'lost' from the field by exporting soil with harvested products | dna |
| Updated value for the Netherlands | 665 | For the Netherlands 40.3 million kg P ₂ O ₅ in manure is processed (not only STRUBIAS materials). | Update of the survey | CDM 2017 citing BMA 2017 |
| feedstock | 710-711 | Fibrophos incinerate combinations of feedstocks. BMC Moerdijk has virtually a mono incineration of poultry litter. | Fibrophos incinerate combinations of feedstocks. BMC Moerdijk has virtually a mono incineration of poultry litter. | http://www.fibrophos.co.uk/ https://www.bmcmoerdijk.nl/en/home.htm |
| Pant = plant | 923 | Pant = plant | | |
| See general remarks on cascading processes | 1449-1457 | STRUBIAS materials are derived from processes that are part of larger, cascading processes. The total of these processes makes the business case. | See general remarks on cascading processes on the first page of this review. | |
| Cg = Cd | 1728 | Cg = Cd | | |
| That reduce... | 1772 | The conditions of incineration and the additives (e.g. sodium sulphate) determine if a reduction of the P-solubility and plant availability occur. | that reduce = that can reduce.. reduction is minimal for designated feedstock's supported by additives (see ASH DEC line 1973). | |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---|--|---|
| Woehler = Whoëler | 2011 | Woehler = Whoëler | The Whoëler reaction is described. The Woehler reaction is a different reaction involved in the synthesis of ammonium cyanate | |
| Derived from phosphate rock | 2183 | P-fertilisers derived from phosphate rock = regular mineral P fertilisers. | DCP is a regular EG fertiliser that is derived from animal bones or phosphate rock. | EU fertiliser regulation 2003/2003 Annex I. A.2. Phosphatic fertilisers 4 |
| More data on ashes | 2233, 2274-2278 | See references | More data are available | Ehlert and Nelemans (2015a, 2015b), Ehlert (2017) |
| Climatic conditions | 2597-2600 | The experimental conditions of the pot experiments can be different from those of the field experiments at the same latitude. Has this been taken into account. If not, the figure is questionable. Advice is given not to include the figure if climatic conditions of pot experiments have not been taken into account. | | |
| 7.1.3 Price settings | 2770 - > | Price settings of CMC are considerably lower than those for PFC | | |
| Table 10 | 2848-2851 | Is this standardised to rock phosphate with 32% P ₂ O ₅ ? If not, the table is confounded with different qualities. | | |
| alternative | 2998 | Manure is an alternative P source | | |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------|---|---|---|
| could | 3341 | could = are | Currently fertiliser industries are blending STRUBIAS materials in fertilising products that meet current EU requirements of EU regulation 2003/2003. The new proposal of the Commission aroused anxiety amongst producers. Fertiliser Europe has organised on March 7 th an workshop on this issue. | https://phosphorusplatform.eu/events/upcoming-events/1583-symbiosis-and-circular-economy-in-fertilizers |
| Update data | 3579 | Data can be updated for the Netherlands | See line 665 | CDM 2017 |
| Not justified | 3893 | Biogas yields from manure are too modest and co-materials are too expensive. | | |
| De Graaff et al (2017 | 4013 | More cases can be added, De Graaff is citing Ehlert and Nelemans (2015a, 2015b) | | Ehlert and Nelemans (2015a, 2015b) |
| 0.90 | 4016, 4041 | This is not in line with Figure 9. PUE in Figure 9 is larger than 1.25 | | |
| Appendix 1 lacks | 4358 | | | |
| danger | 5009 | Addition of sulphuric acid prior of the digestion process caused a severe risk on evolution of H ₂ S and should strongly be discouraged. | | |

Annex I. References

- Beesley, Luke, Eduardo Moreno-Jiménez, Jose L.Gomez-Eyles, EvaHarris, Brett Robinson , TomSizmur, 2018. A review of biochars' potential role in the remediation, revegetation and restoration of contaminated soils. Environmental Pollution Volume 159, Issue 12, December 2011, Pages 3269-3282, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.07.023>
- Blok, Chris, Inge Regelink, Jantineke Hofland-Zijlstra, Marta Streminska, Barbara Eveleens en Popko ; Bolhuis, 2016. Perspectives for the use of biochar in horticulture. Bleiswijk : Wageningen UR Glastuinbouw GTB 1388. Project number 3742208600. <http://edepot.wur.nl/370956>
- BMA (Bureau Mest Afzet), 2017. Landelijke inventarisatie mestverwerkingscapaciteit 2017. Bureau Mestafzet & Mestverwerkingsloket, September 2017. <http://www.mestverwerkingsloket.nl/inventarisatie-2017/>
- CDM, 2017, citing BMA 2017. Commissie Deskundigen Meststoffenwet, 2017. Advies Mestverwerkingspercentages 2018. Wettelijke Onderzoekstaken Natuur & Milieu, WUR. WOt-technical report 111. <http://edepot.wur.nl/429589>.
- Dittrich Barbara and Ralf Klose, 2005. Bestimmung und Bewertung von Schwermetallen in Düngemitteln, Bodenhilfsstoffen und Kultursubstraten. Schriftenreihe der Sächsischen Landesanstalt für Landwirtschaft. Freistaat Sachsen.
- Ehlert, P.A.I. and J.A. Nelemans, 2015a. Efficacy of phosphorus of hydrated poultry litter ash; Phosphorus use efficiency of rye grass. Wageningen, Alterra Wageningen UR (University & Research centre), the Netherlands, Alterra report. Commissioned by BMC Moerdijk (<https://www.bmcmoerdijk.nl/en/home.htm>).
- Ehlert, P.A.I. and J.A. Nelemans, 2015b. Efficacy of phosphorus of hydrated poultry litter ash; Phosphorus use efficiency of green bean. Wageningen, Alterra Wageningen UR (University & Research centre), the Netherlands, Alterra report. Commissioned by BMC Moerdijk (<https://www.bmcmoerdijk.nl/en/home.htm>).
- Ehlert, P.A.I. and J.A. Nelemans, 2015c. Efficacy of hydrated poultry litter ash as liming material. Wageningen, Alterra Wageningen UR (University & Research centre), the Netherlands, Alterra report. Commissioned by BMC Moerdijk (<https://www.bmcmoerdijk.nl/en/home.htm>).
- Ehlert, Phillip., 2017. Agronomic Effectivity of Poultry Litter Ash; Comparison of results of pot- and incubation experiments with data from literature. Wageningen, Alterra Wageningen UR (University & Research centre), Alterra report. Commissioned by BMC Moerdijk (<https://www.bmcmoerdijk.nl/en/home.htm>).
- Ehlert, Phillip, Jantine van Middelkoop, Inge Regelink, Janjo de Haan en Willem van Geel. Veeljarige fosfaatveldproeven op gras- en bouwland. Syntheserapport; Wageningen, Wageningen Environmental Research, Rapport (in review).
- Hanserud, Ola Stedje, Francesco Cherubini, Anne Falk Øgaard, Daniel B. Müller, Helge Brattembø. 2018. Choice of mineral fertilizer substitution principle strongly influences LCA environmental benefits of nutrient cycling in the agri-food system. Science Of The Total Environment <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.215>
- Hasler, K., S. Broering, S.W.F. Omta and H.W. Olf, 2015. Life cycle assessment (LCA) of different fertilizer product types. European Journal of Agronomy 69 : 41 - 51. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2015.06.001>
- Huotari, Noora;, Eila Tillman-Sutela, Eila, Mikko Moilanen and Raija Laiho, 2016. Recycling of ash - For the good of the environment? Forest Ecology And Management 348: 226-240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2015.03.008>

Lal, R., 2009. Soils and food sufficiency. A review Agronomy for Sustainable Development Volume 29, Issue 1, pp113-133. DOI: 10.1051/agro:2008044

Lindenthal, T., H. Spiegel, M. Mazorek, 2003. Results of three long-term P-field experiments in Austria - 2nd report: Effects of different types and quantities of P-fertiliser on P-uptake and P-balances. *Bodenkultur*. 54 (1): 11-21.

Luesink, H.H., R. Postma, M.J. Smits, L. Van Schöll, en T.J. de Koeijer, 2016. *Effect afzet mestverwerkingsproducten bij wettelijke status kunstmest of EG- meststof*. Wageningen, LEI Wageningen UR (University & Research centre), LEI Rapport 2016-034.

<http://library.wur.nl/WebQuery/wurpubs/fulltext/378271>

Mead, D. and Ch. Smith, 2012. Principles of nutrient management for sustainable forest bioenergy production. *WIREs Energy Environ* 2012, 1: 152-164 doi: 10.1002/wene.3

Norgate, T.E., S. Jahanshahi, W.J. Rankin, 2007. Assessing the environmental impact of metal production processes. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 15: 838-848.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2006.06.018>

Oosterbaan, A., A. de Jong, A. de en A. van Dijk, 2013. Houtas terug naar het bos? , *Vakblad natuur bos landschap* 10 (4) 34-35. Stichting Vakblad Natuur Bos Landschap <http://edepot.wur.nl/274385>

Riding, M.J., B.M.J. Herbert, L. Ricketts, I. Dodd, N. Ostle and K.T. Semple, 2015 Harmonising conflicts between science, regulation, perception and environmental impact: The case of soil conditioners from bioenergy. *Environment International* 75: 52-67.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.10.025>

Römken, P.F.A.M. en R.P.J.J. Rietra, 2008. Zware metalen en nutriënten in dierlijke mest in 2008 : gehalten aan Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb, Zn, As, N en P in runder-, varkens- en kippenmest, *Alterra-rapport 1729*, Wageningen. <http://edepot.wur.nl/2536>

Rudyka, 2017. Steel, Metallurgical Coal, and Coke: Markets and Innovations. A Review of Presentations at Eurocoke Summit 2017 ISSN 1068-364X, *Coke and Chemistry*, 2017, Vol. 60, No. 8, pp. 297-310.

Schoumans, O.F., W. Rulkens, O. Oenema & P.A.I. Ehlert, 2010. Phosphorus recovery from animal manure : technical opportunities and agro-economical perspectives. *Alterra rapport 2158*.

<http://library.wur.nl/WebQuery/wurpubs/fulltext/163684>

Schoumans, O.F., P.A.I. Ehlert, J.A. Nelemans, W. van Tintelen, W.H. Rulkens and O. Oenema, 2014. *Explorative study of phosphorus recovery from pig slurry; Laboratory experiments*. Wageningen, Alterra Wageningen UR (University & Research centre), Alterra report 2514.

<http://library.wur.nl/WebQuery/wurpubs/fulltext/299756>

Schoumans, O.F., P.A.I. Ehlert, I.C. Regelink, J.A. Nelemans, W. van Tintelen and W.H. Rulkens, 2017. Chemical phosphorus recovery from animal manure and digestate; Laboratory and pilot experiments. Wageningen, Wageningen Environmental Research, Report 2849.

<http://edepot.wur.nl/426297>

Spiegel, H, T. Lindenthal, M. Mazorek, M, 2001. Results of three long-term P-field experiments in Austria 1(st) Report: Effects of different types and quantities of P-fertiliser on yields and P-CAL/DL-contents in soils *Bodenkultur* 52 (1): 3-17.

Thomas, S.C. & Gale, N., 2015. Biochar and forest restoration: a review and meta-analysis of tree growth responses *New Forests* 46: 931. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-015-9491-7>

Vollaro, Michele, Francesco Galioto and Davide Viaggi, 2016. The circular economy and agriculture: new opportunities for re-using Phosphorus as fertilizer. *Bio-Based And Applied Economics* 5 (3); 267-285. DOI: 10.13128/BAE-18527

Wu, Haipeng, Cui Lai, Guangming Zeng, Jie Liang, Jin Chen, Jijun Xu, Juan Dai, Xiaodong Li, Junfeng Liu, Ming Chen, Lunhui Lu, Liang Hu & Jia Wan, 2016. The interactions of composting and biochar and their implications for soil amendment and pollution remediation: a review, *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 37:6, 754-764, <https://doi.org/10.1080/07388551.2016.1232696>