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**Abbreviations**

*A selection of abbreviations from this thesis.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All-Lnc</td>
<td>Long non-coding RNA conserved at the nucleotide level by the Brassicaceae and Cleomaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ath</td>
<td><em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em> (rockcress)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ath-Linc</td>
<td>Long intergenic non-coding RNA from Li et al. 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ae-Lnc</td>
<td>Long non-coding RNA conserved at nucleotide level by 4 Aethionemeae species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brass-Lnc</td>
<td>Long non-coding RNA conserved at the nucleotide level by <em>Arabidopsis thaliana</em> and <em>Aethionema arabicum</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDS</td>
<td>coding sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleo-Lnc</td>
<td>Long non-coding RNA conserved at the sequence level by two Cleomaceae species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cM</td>
<td>centimorgan, unit used as the distance between two genes on a chromosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS</td>
<td>glucosinolates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LncRNA</td>
<td>Long non-coding RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LincRNA</td>
<td>Long intergenic non-coding RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOD</td>
<td>logarithm of odds, used as a significance threshold in QTL analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFE</td>
<td>Minimum Free Energy, used to assess the strength of a secondary structure of a RNA molecule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mya</td>
<td>Million years ago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>Open Reading Frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTL</td>
<td>Quantitative Trait Locus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIL</td>
<td>Recombinant Inbred Line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>Single Nucleotide Polymorphism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGD</td>
<td>Whole Genome Duplication also known as polyplodisation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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General introduction
Chapter 1

Background

The economically important plant family Brassicaceae includes many crops (e.g. cabbage, canola, cauliflower and turnip) and the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Brassicaceae contains 3741 species in 325 genera (Al-Shehbaz 2012) and phylogenetic analyses have identified a larger core-group, with three main lineages, and a smaller sister-clade Aethionemeae (Beilstein et al. 2006, Beilstein et al. 2008, Couvreur et al. 2010, Franzke et al. 2009). More recently, the family has been split into lineages A-F (Huang et al. 2016). Polyploidy, or whole genome duplication (WGD), is a common feature of angiosperm evolution (Soltis et al. 2009, Soltis et al. 2010). The entire Brassicaceae shares a common whole genome duplication event referred to as At-alpha (Edger et al. 2015, Haudry et al. 2013). Brassicaceae are globally distributed over the temperate regions (Hohmann et al. 2015) and contain species that are metal-tolerant (e.g. *Noccaea caerulescens*), salt tolerant (e.g. *Eutrema halophila*), drought tolerant (e.g. *Allysum montanum*) and cold tolerant (e.g. *Draba chionophila*). Important synapomorphies of the Brassicaceae are not restricted to morphological characters like dissymmetric flowers, tetradynamous stamens, ovary with a false septum etc. but also include the ecologically important secondary defence compounds: the methionine-derived glucosinolates (Edger et al. 2015, Stevens 2001).

Being sister to the Brassicaceae core-group sets Aethionemeae at an evolutionary important position for comparative analysis of genome and trait evolution. The monotypic tribe Aethionemeae W. Aiton consists only of the genus *Aethionema*. *Aethionema* species occur mainly at the potential Brassicaceae centre of origin: the Irano-Turanian region (Al-Shehbaz 2012, Couvreur et al. 2010, Franzke et al. 2011, Warwick et al. 2010, Hedge 1976). The Irano-Turanian region harbours three major global biodiversity hotspots (Manafzadeh et al. 2016). *Aethionema* species occur on steep (mostly rocky) slopes between 500-3000m, have simple sessile leaves, are annual or perennial, can be diploid or polyploid and have mainly dehiscent fruits, although heterocarpism also occurs (Davis 1965, Hedge 1965), and one nickel hyperaccumulator, *Ae. spicatum* (Reeves & Adigüzel 2008). With only 61 species, *Aethionema* is much smaller than the Brassicaceae core-group. This asymmetrical radiation pattern, a small sister group to a large core group having a shared WGD event, occurs in many of the large plant families (e.g. Poaceae, Solanaceae, Schranz et al. 2012). Although hypothesized in the past (Soltis et al. 2009) it is now known that WGD did not cause this asymmetrical pattern (Haudry et al. 2013, Edger et al. 2015, Schranz et al. 2012, Tank et al. 2015). Schranz et al. (2012) hypothesized that the lag time between a WGD event and the radiation of the core group could explain the asymmetrical radiation pattern.

The important evolutionary position of *Aethionema* was recognized after a genetic study done on the chloroplast *rbcL* gene in 1994 (Price et al. 1994). Before the advances of molecular phylogenetics, analyses of morphological characters led to the placement of Aethionemeae species in the core group (Prantl 1891, Hayek 1911, Schulz 1936). However, the characters used, e.g. fruit morphology and trichome form, were not homologous (Beilstein et al. 2006, Beilstein et al. 2008). Hence, not only Aethionemeae but also other Brassicaceae clades have been revised and replaced using advances in molecular phylogenetics (Al-Shehbaz 2012). The tribe Aethionemeae used to consist of two genera, *Moriera* and *Aethionema*. After renaming the single *Moriera* species, *Moriera spinosa*, to *Aethionema spinosum* (Prantl 1891, Komarov 1934, Al-Shehbaz 2012) the tribe Aethionemeae became monotypic and consisting only of the genus *Aethionema*. 
The annual *Aethionema arabicum* (L.) Andrz. ex DC is an emerging model species for ecologically and evolutionarily interesting phenotypes, e.g. heterocarpism (Lenser *et al.* 2016). Heterocarpic plants have two sets of fruits on the same infructescence: dehiscent fruits with 1-4 seeds, that fall apart at the mother plant and indehiscent fruits, with a single seed, that are spread as such (Mühlhausen *et al.* 2013). Heterocarpism makes it possible to adopt different distribution strategies in variable environments (Lenser *et al.* 2016). *Aethionema arabicum* occurs, like the other *Aethionema* species, on rocky steep slopes in Cyprus, Iran and Turkey and has recently also been found in Bulgaria (Velchev 2015). Due to the very hot summers and cold winters in the Irano-Turanian region, *Ae. arabicum* has its complete life-cycle from the late spring towards early summer (Bibalani 2012). The release of the *Ae. arabicum* genome and transcriptome (Haudry *et al.* 2013, Edger *et al.* 2015) has enabled researchers to incorporate *Ae. arabicum* into a vast set of studies on genetic and genomic evolution. For example, it was found that the *Ae. arabicum* telomerase template domain has less than 25% sequence similarity to that of *A. thaliana* (Beilstein *et al.* 2012). These studies give us an insight in the genomic evolution of *Ae. arabicum* but do not include other aspects of its biology.

**Problem description and research approach**

The road to understand how the whole genome duplication lag-time occurred is not straightforward. It combines all the variables that are at play for the evolution of a plant family, here namely the Brassicaceae. Any path to unravel such a large and complex question requires knowledge about the small-sister clade, *Aethionema*, from different perspectives and evolutionary levels. In this thesis, I attempt to do so by analysing diverse topics: from long non-coding RNAs, to phylogenetics, genome wide diversity analysis to the evolution of defence compounds.

Historically genomic non-coding regions have been seen as junk DNA and relics that natural selection was not able to clean up (Dinger *et al.* 2008, Palazzo & Gregory 2014 and the references therein). The last decades have shown that the genomic non-coding parts can regulate gene expression (Zhang *et al.* 2013). Identifying these elements makes it possible to have a broader look at the regulatory mechanisms of a genome. Putting these elements in an evolutionary framework enables the understanding of the level of conservation, or species specificity, of elements that eventually are able to influence plant phenotype (Wierzbicki 2012). Recently long non-coding RNAs have been identified in plants (Swiezewski *et al.* 2009). Long non-coding RNAs are non-translated, >200nt long mRNAs that can regulate gene-expression (Dinger *et al.* 2008). They can influence the flowering time of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (e.g. COOLAIR and COLDAIR, Jetwaart *et al.* 2012), are involved in seed dormancy in *A. thaliana* (Fedak *et al.* 2016) and can influence male sterility in long day rice accessions (Ding *et al.* 2012a). Although all these studies identified the conservation of long non-coding RNAs by sequence similarity they did not take the positional conservation of sequences into account.

The Irano-Turanian distribution of *Aethionema* species makes it a hard to collect field material due to the current political climate. Combining next generation sequencing techniques and natural history collections makes it possible to access much larger amounts of species and accessions. Natural history collections have been used to understand the ancestral areas of
human developed crops, the worldwide movement of these crops and their pests (Ames & Spooner 2008). Natural history collections can also contain species that have been encountered only once (Sebastian et al. 2010), are now extinct (Zedane et al. 2016), or occur in areas that are currently hard to reach due to political instability. While in the past mainly single markers were used to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships, next generation sequencing provides a wealth of data. Using next generation sequencing on herbarium specimens gives the opportunity to generate data from taxa that might otherwise be hard or impossible to reach. The presence of digitalised herbarium specimens in large databases, e.g. GBIF (2012), makes it possible to access specimens collected and kept all over the world. These databases make it feasible to answer questions on historical biogeography. Combining the evolutionary history of Aethionema with historical biogeography in a time-calibrated manner can tell us the geohistorical events that Aethionema has been through.

The field of population genetics and genomics compares different populations of a single species to assess: population structure, genetic diversity and whether or not such a structure depends on any underlying trait or depends on its environment (Luikart et al. 2003, Givnish 2010). This could also lead to environmental factors that might be important. The level of population structure can provide answers to the levels of migration and speciation that are now taking place. Transcriptome data can help us to understand the genome wide genetic diversity of Ae. arabicum accessions at the genomic functional level. As speciation and natural selection act on the level of phenotypes the difference between populations should also be addressed at the level of ecological important phenotypes.

Natural selection and speciation act at the level of traits. The fitness of a plant and hence the probability of reaching the next generation not only depends on the fertility and flowering-time but also on how plants cope with herbivores. In a race against their herbivores, Brassicales developed glucosinolate defence metabolites (Edger et al. 2015). Glucosinolates (i.e. mustard oils) form a two-component plant defence with their associated myrosinase enzymes (Halkier & Gershenzon 2006). Glucosinolates and myrosinases are spatially separated in a plant cell and form upon contact with each other nitriles and isothiocyanates (Halkier & Gershenzon 2006, Koroleva et al. 2000). While all Brassicales contain glucosinolates the highest diversity of 120 different glucosinolates is found within the Brassicaceae (Edger et al. 2015, Halkier & Gershenzon 2006). The quality and the quantity of mustard oils vary through the plants life stage and depend on the accession (Kliebenstein et al. 2001). The release of the Ae. arabicum genome has already made it possible to use a bottom-up approach to assess the genes that are known from A. thaliana to play a part in the glucosinolate pathway (Hofberger et al. 2013). However, they do not tell what the quantity and quality of Ae. arabicum glucosinolates are, or which genomic locations are involved for glucosinolate regulation. A series of glucosinolate measurements through development of Ae. arabicum and a mapping population make it possible to investigate the polymorphisms and genomic locations of glucosinolate biosynthesis in Ae. arabicum.

Combining different approaches including phylogenomics and historical biogeography, the conservation of non-coding regions, population genomics, and the evolution of an ecologically important trait, is a major challenge. The combination of these different techniques makes it possible to look at the evolution of a species and clade from different angles and hopefully will lead to putting the ‘eco’ back into ‘ecogenomics’.
Research questions and objectives
This thesis approaches the genus *Aethionema* from different perspectives. By studying interspecies diversity, within species genetic diversity and the evolution of ecologically important traits, I present a more complete picture and understanding of *Aethionema* evolution. To accomplish that goal this thesis touches upon the following questions:

a) Are there lineage-specific long non-coding RNAs for *Aethionema* and the Brassicaceae-core?
b) What are the species relationships within *Aethionema*?
c) What is ancestral area of *Aethionema*?
d) What is the genetic diversity of *Aethionema arabicum*?
e) What is pattern of glucosinolate variation along the development of *Aethionema arabicum*?

This thesis tries to answer these questions in a comprehensive way using current sequencing, bio-informatics and analysis approaches.

Thesis outline
I have organized the six chapters of this thesis based on a focal continuum from macro-evolution, to micro-evolution and finally to trait evolution (Fig. 1), although every chapter can stand on its own. They are encased by this general introduction (Chapter 1) and a general discussion (Chapter 6) bringing everything together.

Chapter 2 presents the long non-coding RNAs that are conserved by position but not by sequence within the Brassicaceae and Cleomaceae. With a bio-informatical pipeline based on synteny I recovered the expressed long non-coding RNAs of *Aethionema*, core-group Brassicaceae and Cleomaceae. This chapter exemplifies the importance of the spatial conservation of genes in comparative genomic studies.

Chapter 3 addresses the phylogenetic relationships between the different *Aethionema* species and reconstructs the likely ancestral area in a time-calibrated framework. Using sequence data derived mainly from herbarium specimens, gave us the opportunity to cover 75% of all *Aethionema* species. With 76 chloroplast coding regions and the nuclear ribosomal regions the backbone of the phylogeny of *Aethionema* was resolved and the ancestral area of *Aethionema* assessed.

Wanting to understand what happens at a micro-evolutionary level, I used a population genomics approach in chapter 4 to assess the genetic diversity and signs of selection within the emerging model species *Ae. arabicum*. Using population genomic tools on transcriptomes of pooled tissue of seven individuals showed a clear population structure based on the underlying genomic variation. This population structure is also shown in the ecologically important traits of glucosinolates (and leaf shape).

Chapter 5 dives deeper into the ecologically important glucosinolate secondary metabolites. With a developmental-time series of two *Ae. arabicum* accessions, the change of glucosinolate quality and quantity through plant development is measured. Quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping of a Recombinant Inbred Line population (RILs) derived from these two accessions
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allowed us to identify the genomic locations controlling glucosinolate profiles of leaves, fruits and seeds. This allowed us to link glucosinolate profiles and life history transitions.

The general discussion, Chapter 6, provides a synthesis of all the above chapters and attempts to answer the questions postulated. The various topics are discussed in a broader range. These vary from the role of WGD on speciation, the importance of herbarium genomics for phylogenomics and population genomics and the understanding of trait evolution. Future perspectives and possibilities to follow up on these subjects are presented based on the findings of this thesis.

Fig. 1. Thesis structure
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Abstract

**Background** Long non-coding RNAs (LncRNAs) have been identified as gene regulatory elements that influence the transcription of their neighbouring protein-coding genes. The discovery of LncRNAs in animals has stimulated genome-wide scans for these elements across plant genomes. Recently, 6480 LincRNAs were putatively identified in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Brassicaceae). However, there is limited information on their conservation.

**Results** Using a phylogenomics approach, we assessed the positional and sequence conservation of these LncRNAs by analysing the genomes of the basal Brassicaceae species *Aethionema arabicum* and *Tarenaya hassleriana* of the sister-family Cleomaceae. Furthermore, we generated transcriptomes for another three *Aethionema* species and one other Cleomaceae species to validate their transcriptional activity. We show that a subset of LncRNAs are highly diverged at the nucleotide level, but conserved by position (syntenic). Positionally conserved LncRNAs that are expressed neighbour important developmental and physiological genes. Interestingly, >65% of the positionally conserved LncRNAs are located within 2.5 mb of telomeres in *Arabidopsis thaliana* chromosomes.

**Conclusion** These results highlight the importance of analysing not only sequence conservation, but also positional conservation of non-coding genetic elements in plants including LncRNAs.
Background

Gene regulatory transcripts are crucial in expressing or repressing protein coding genes. For example, gene repression in plants can be maintained by microRNAs (miRNAs, 19-22nt long) and small interfering RNAs (siRNAs, 23-24nt long). While miRNAs are mainly involved with the post-transcriptional gene repression, siRNAs are also involved pre-transcriptional gene repression by the de novo deposition of chromatin marks (Axtell 2013). A new category of RNA dependent gene regulators are Long non-coding RNAs (LncRNAs, longer than 200nt, ORF smaller than 100 amino acids) that can act in the course of pre-transcriptional repression of gene-expression (Zhang et al. 2013, Wierzbicki 2012, Dinger et al. 2008).

Long non-coding RNAs can silence genes by acting as a sequence-specific template for chromatin or associate with downstream proteins (Wierzbicki 2012) and are transcribed from the intergenic (long intergenic non-coding RNAs = LincRNAs), intronic or anti-sense regions (Liu et al. 2012, Zhang & Chen 2013). Recently it has been shown for the LncRNAs COOLAIR in Arabidopsis thaliana (Csorba et al. 2014, Swiezewski et al. 2009) and for the rice LncRNA LDMAR (Ding et al. 2012a, Ding et al. 2012b) how they influence the expression of phenotypically important regulatory genes. COOLAIR (cold induced long antisense intragenic RNA) is transcribed from the Flowering Locus C (FLC) and accelerates the transcriptional repression of FLC during cold by reducing the gene activating chromatin mark H3K36me3 (Csorba et al. 2014). In parallel, the gene silencing chromatin mark H3K27me3 is accumulating at the intragenic FLC nucleation site by a Polycomb-directed process (Csorba et al. 2014). Thus, LncRNAs COOLAIR contributes to the induction of flowering after vernalization. The mutant rice 58S has infertile pollen under long days, while the pollen are variably fertile under short days. Ding et al. (2012a) found that when LncRNA LDMAR is overexpressed in 58S rice recovers fertility under long days. The transcription of LDMAR in 58S is controlled by a negative feedback loop with a siRNA called Psi-LDMAR. Psi-LDMAR is transcribed from the promoter region of LDMAR. Psi-LDMAR induces RNA dependent DNA methylation; this leads to a reduction in the transcription of LDMAR and hence reduces the fertility of 58S under long days (Ding et al. 2012b). These recent discoveries of plant LncRNAs highlight their influence on important fitness traits, e.g. male sterility (LDMAR) and flowering time (COLDAIR, COOLAIR, IPS1) (Swiezewski et al. 2009, Ding et al. 2012a). The influence of
Chapter 2

LncRNAs on regulating chromatin structure shows their involvement to permit plants to respond to environmental cues (Wierzbicki 2012).

LncRNAs have also been identified and studied in other plants, including *Zea mays, Triticum aestivum* and *Oryza sativa* (Li et al. 2007, Li et al. 2014, Xin et al. 2011). These genome-wide identifications of LncRNAs were done using existing EST sequences, full-length cDNA databases and/or full genome tiling microarrays (Li et al. 2007, Li et al. 2014, Xin et al. 2011). Li et al. (2007) (Li et al. 2007) found more than 20,000 putative LncRNAs in rice; although >90% were assigned to being small RNA precursors. A similar result was found in *Zea mays* where ~60% of the LncRNAs are probably small RNAs precursors (Boerner & McGinnis 2012). About 40% of the rice non-exonic transcription active regions seem to be potential non-coding RNAs (Li et al. 2007). Liu et al. (2012) (Liu et al. 2012) found 6480 LncRNAs in the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Brassicaceae). Some of these putative L(i)ncRNAs were further validated with expression pattern analyses, custom microarrays and RNA-seq (Liu et al. 2012, Li et al. 2014, Xin et al. 2011, Li et al. 2007). However all these studies have thus far relied on analyses of only a single species.

Inter-species genome-wide comparisons have shown that protein-coding genes are not only conserved by sequence, but can also be conserved by their position in the genome (e.g. synteny) (Tang et al. 2008). The conservation of a genomic position over different phylogenetic scales can indicate that the position of a given gene is under strong purifying selection (Fridman & Zamir 2003). The genome-wide duplication history of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Brassicaceae) was revealed by the identification and analyses of collinear duplicated blocks that arose from multiple ancient whole genome duplications (Bowers et al. 2003). Recently, the genome of *Aethionema arabicum*, a member of the Tribe Aethionemae in the earliest diverging lineage of the Brassicaceae, was sequenced (Haudry et al. 2013) as well as the genome of *Tarenaya hassleriana* of the Cleomaceae, the sister-family to the Brassicaceae (Cheng et al. 2013). The comparisons of these three genomes provide insights into which genes and intergenic regions may be conserved by position between Brassicaceae-Cleomaceae. However, the genome sequences are not enough to understand their potential functional significance. Hence it is also valuable to have transcriptome data to complement the genome data of species at evolutionary important positions to infer the positional conservation of regulatory transcripts including LncRNAs.

Here we used the genomes of *Ae. arabicum, T. hassleriana* and *A. thaliana* in addition to our newly generated transcriptome data of four Aethionemae and two Cleomaceae species to understand the conservation of LncRNAs in a phylogenomic context (Fig. 1). We not only analysed the nucleotide conservation of LncRNAs, but also whether or not they were conserved by genomic position. We found that of the LncRNAs that seem sequence-specific (e.g. lineage-specific) to the Cleomaceae, Brassicaceae or Aethionemae, >25% are conserved by position. This positional conservation could tell us more about the putative function of these LncRNAs, and the evolutionary importance of positional conservation of these genomic features.
Materials and Methods

Transcriptome isolation, library preparation and assembly

*Aethionema arabicum*, *Ae. carneum*, *Ae. spinosa*, *Ae. grandiflorum*, *Tarenaya hassleriana* and *Cleome droserifolia* seeds were germinated in sowing soil and grown in the greenhouse at the University of Amsterdam (18°C at night, 20°C day temperature, 12 hours light, 12 hours dark). Table 1 shows the tissues used for RNA isolation. To decrease RNA degradation the tissues were ground in liquid nitrogen and RNA was immediately isolated using PureLink™ RNA mini kit (Ambion, Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA), followed by a DNase treatment using the TURBO DNA-free™ kit (Ambion), according to the manufacturers protocols. The RNA quality and quantity was checked on a 1% agarose gel stained with ethidium-bromide in a 1x TBE buffer and on a NanoDrop 1000© spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). The samples were dried with GenTegraTM (GenVault, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for shipment to the Sequencing Core of the University of Missouri-Columbia. The ds-cDNA library was constructed following the manufacturers protocol of the TrueSeq-RNATM kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The six new transcriptomes used here were selected for mRNA during the cDNA synthesis. Thus all the non-polyadenylated LncRNAs were not sequenced. *Aethionema grandiflorum* and *A. spinosa* were paired-end sequenced with the Illumina Hiseq2000 sequencer on 1x100bp lanes, with 3 lines per lane. The *Ae. arabicum* transcriptome was de novo assembled using Trinity (Grabherr et al. 2011). The *Ae. carneum*, *Ae. grandiflorum* and *A. spinosa* transcriptomes were assembled against the *Ae. arabicum* contigs with NextGene V2.17 © (SoftGenetics, State College, PA, USA) with matching requirements of ≥ 40 bp and ≥ 90% similarity and ≤ 20% present mutations. For each line a consensus sequence was constructed with the following parameter settings: 90% minimum of aligned reads for homozygosity, 25% as the cut-off for aligned read to be heterozygous and 85% as the percentage of reads that are aligned for a homozygote indel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Tissues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Aethionema arabicum &amp; A. carneum</em></td>
<td>Fruits, flowers, buds, apical meristem, leaves and side buds from fully grown plants, leaves and apical meristem from juvenile plants, and the whole seedling including the roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aethionema grandiflorum &amp; A. spinosa</em></td>
<td>Meristem, leaves and young stems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tarenaya hassleriana</em></td>
<td>Buds, open flowers and the apical meristem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cleome droserifolia</em></td>
<td>Young leaves, roots and flowers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genomes, CDSs and LncRNA

The *Aethionema arabicum* and *Tarenaya hassleriana* genomes were downloaded from the CoGe Website (Cheng et al. 2013). The CDSs of *Brassica rapa*, *Arabidopsis lyrata* and *Eutrema halophila* come from the PlantGDB website (Duvick et al. 2008) and the *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Ath) CDS v10 from TAIR (Huala et al. 2001). The proteomes of *Zea mays*, *Oryza sativa*, *Brachypodium distachion*, *Sorghum bicolor* and *Sorghum italica* were downloaded from Phytozome (Goodstein et al. 2012). These latter CDS and proteomes were used in the OrthoMCL analysis (Suppl. Fig. 2) to ascertain that the LncRNAs are lineage specific. The location of Ath LncRNAs (Ath-Lnc) were downloaded from the PLncDB website (Jin et
al. 2013) and used to extract the sequences from the A. thaliana chromosomes (Huala et al. 2001) with an in-house python script. All the genomes present in November 2013 in Phytozome (Goodstein et al. 2012) were downloaded for latter analyses.

**OrthoMCL, BLAST and positional conservation analyses**

OrthoMCL (Li et al. 2003), is based on reciprocal best BLAST hits (RBH) and uses a cluster algorithm (MCL) to cluster the RBHs. Depending on the blast that is performed it is possible to use OrthoMCL with nucleotide or protein sequences. We used OrthoMCL with blastN, query identity = 50% and evalue=1e-10, was used to assign orthologous groups to the lineage of interest (Suppl. Fig. 1 and 11). All BLASTs were done with command-line BLAST (Camacho et al. 2009) against the in-house made database of the Phytozome genomes and/or against the NCBI database with the ‘–remote’ command. The ORF size was assessed through the VirtualRibosome website (Wernersson 2006) for all six frames and with a strict start codon.

The location of the Ae. arabicum transcripts and T. hassleriana transcripts to the nearest genes on their own genomes was assessed with CoGeBlast (Lyons & Freeling 2008). The Ae. arabicum unmasked genome v2.5 and T. hassleriana unmasked genome V4 were used. Only when the transcripts had a query hit of ≥50% and a HSP=1 they were assumed to hit to the correct location on the genome. Alternative splicing was excluded by this assumption, as is also the case for redundant genomic hits. SynFind and GeVo (Lyons & Freeling 2008) were

---

**Fig. 2** Example of collinearity and a positional conservation analysis of a Long non-coding RNA (LncRNA). A) Screenshot from GeVo. GeVo calculates the collinearity of a query sequence with the genome of a subject organism. The query here is the nearest protein-coding gene of Ae. arabicum shown in C, the subjects are Ae. arabicum and A. thaliana. Here there are two collinear regions in A. thaliana. The position of the positionally conserved LncRNA is shown with a pink box, while the protein coding genes of A. thaliana and Ae. arabicum are shown with blue boxes. B) Screenshot from the PLncDB website, shown are the Arabidopsis thaliana LncRNA (pink) and its nearest protein coding gene (blue). C) Screenshot from the CoGe Blast HSP. Pink is the Aethionema arabicum transcript along the Ae. arabicum genome. Blue is the nearest Ae. arabicum protein coding gene. This SynFind and GeVo analyses can be redone with the following link: https://genomevolution.org/rfcnnf
used to assess collinearity between the region of nearest protein coding gene of the LncRNA and the A. thaliana, Ae. arbutum and/or T. hassleriana genome(s). For example: if a protein coding gene of Ae-Lnc was collinear with a region in A. thaliana the ‘GenomeBrowse’ utility of PIncDB (Jin et al. 2013) was used to assess whether there was a LncRNA in the same direction (upstream, downstream or as a natural antisense) that corresponds with the location of the Ae-Lnc (Fig. 2). Hence these are LncRNAs different at the sequence level but are similar at position (see also Additional Fig. 11 for an counter example).

All transcripts were tested to see whether or not they could be micro-RNA precursors. To this end, they were blasted (BlastN) against the mirBase database (Griffiths-Jones et al. 2008). We used the RNAfold server (Gruber et al. 2008) to see whether the transcripts could have a stable secondary structure as a microRNA. The structure was assumed to be stable if the Gibbs free energy was between -30 and -80 kcal/mol.

**Conserved LncRNA and secondary structure**

The conservation of LncRNA was tested according to the pipeline as described in Supporting Fig. 1. This was done with the 10%, 20% and 50% query identity for the OrthoMCL analyses at the beginning of the pipeline.

To assess whether the positionally conserved LncRNAs could have stable secondary structures the RNAalifold and RNAfold servers (Gruber et al. 2008) were used. RNAalifold uses aligned sequences of more than two species, while RNAfold calculates secondary structures based on a single RNA sequence. For the Ae-Lncs we used the transcripts of Ae. arbutum, Ae. grandiflorum, Ae. carneum and Ae. spinosa. Transcripts from the same species (if there present in the OrthoMCL analysis, see above) were used for the Brassicaceae specific LincRNAs. For the Cleomaceae specific LncRNAs of both T. hassleriana and C. drosofolia were used. To compare the positionally conserved LncRNAs the secondary structures of the Ath-Linc were also calculated.

**Results**

**Sequence conservation**

We identified LncRNAs in four Aethionemeae and two Cleomaceae species from transcriptome data. To assess the sequence conservation of these LncRNAs we used OrthoMCL (Li et al. 2003). For the positional conservation we used the CoGe tools SynFind and GeVo (Lyons & Freeling 2008).

We used a previous classification of LncRNAs in Arabidopsis (Liu et al. 2012): 1) LincRNA if the transcriptional unit (TU) was ≥500bp away from the nearest protein coding gene, regardless if on sense or antisense strand. 2) Gene Associated Transcriptional Unit (GATU) if the TU was within a 500bp range of a protein-coding gene. 3) 'TU encoding NAT' if the TU was transcribed from the opposite strand than the sense strand of a protein coding gene. 4) miRNA precursors, which can have long transcripts as precursors.
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We assessed whether the 6480 *A. thaliana* LncRNAs (Ath-Linc) assessed by (Liu et al. 2012) were conserved throughout the Brassicaceae and Cleomaceae (All-Lnc) with an OrthoMCL analysis; a cluster algorithm based on reciprocal best blast hits (Li et al. 2003). The analysis included Ath-Linc and the genomes of *Aethionema arabicum* and *Tarenaya hassleriana* (see Materials and Methods and Suppl. Fig. 1 for details). Because LncRNAs have a higher mutation rate than protein coding sequences (Boerner & McGinnis 2012, Guttman et al. 2009), the analysis was done using increasing sequence similarity cut-off values of ≥10%, ≥20% and ≥50%. Out of the 6480 Ath-Lincs only eleven are conserved by all three species at the genomic level. Out of these eleven conserved Ath-Lincs, only nine are transcribed in all three species based on our RNA-seq data (see below) and the RNA-seq data of (Liu et al. 2012) (Additional Table 1 for the average transcript and ORF lengths of these LncRNAs). Conserved Ath-Lincs were blasted (local BlastN) against the NCBI-database to assess whether the sequences were conserved in other organisms. At3NC056191, with a sequence similarity of ≤20% with the *Ae. arabicum* and *T. hassleriana* transcriptomes and genomes, was homologous in sequence to the 5.8S ribosomal RNA gene and internal transcribed spacer 2 to the oomycete *Albugo laibachii*. The genomically conserved At2NC003370, At4NC004390 and At4NC004390 were conserved across most land plants, including the bryophyte *Physcomitrella patens* (Suppl. Dataset).

We defined a lineage-specific LncRNA that is shared at the nucleotide level by multiple species within our focal lineages (e.g. Brassicaceae, Aethionemae or Cleomaceae), but not found in other lineages. There were fifteen Ath-Lincs that were specific only to the Brassicaceae (Bras-Lnc, Fig. 1). To ascertain that the Ath-Lincs and their corresponding *Ae. arabicum* transcripts were restricted to the Brassicaceae we compared them against the NCBI and Phytozome databases using BlastN, BlastX and TblastX (Material and Methods and Suppl. Fig. 1 for details and cut-off values). Of the fifteen Bras-Lncs, nine were transcribed by *Ae. arabicum* and/or *A. thaliana* (Suppl. Table 3 for the average transcript and ORF length of the *Ae. arabicum* transcripts).

To test for Aethionemae specific LncRNAs (Ae-Lnc) we generated RNA-seq data for four Aethionemae species: *Ae. arabicum*, *Ae. carneum*, *Ae. grandiflorum* and *Ae. spinosa*. We identified 15 LncRNAs Ae-Lncs that were ≥50% similar in sequence between these four Aethionemae species (Material and Methods and Suppl. Fig. 2 for pipeline). These fifteen Ae-Lncs correspond to 15, 15, 16 and 20 transcripts in *Ae. arabicum*, *Ae. carneum*,
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*Ae. grandiflorum* and *Ae. spinosa* respectively (from the total of 19037, 18305, 48609 and 60772 predicted transcripts). The average ORF length (±SD) of the putative LncRNAs across all four species was 145.89 bp (± 10.00 bp) with an average transcript length of 546.83 bp (± 28.63 bp) (Suppl. Table 4 for species specific averages). The Ae-Lnc consisted of two GATUs, four TUs encoding NATs and nine LncRNAs (Suppl. Data and Suppl. Table 2). Two Ae-LncRNAs are micro-RNA precursors for ath-MIR403 and aly-MIR408 (MFE of -71.8 and -74.2 kcal/mol respectively). Although ath-MIR403 is not tissue specifically expressed, under hypoxic conditions it is more present in leaves and whole plants than in roots (Sunkar & Zhu 2004, Moldovan *et al.* 2010). The function and tissue specificity of aly-MIR408 is not known (Ma *et al.* 2010).

For the Cleomaceae-specific LncRNA (Cleo-Lnc), RNA-seq data of *Tarenaya hassleriana* and *Cleome droserifolia* were identically analysed as discussed above for the Ae-Lnc (Suppl. Fig. 2). We identified nine Cleomaceae-specific LncRNA based on 84,967 transcripts for *T. hassleriana* and 54,332 transcripts of *C. droserifolia* with ≥50% sequence similarity. These nine transcripts had an average ORF and transcript-length (±SD) of 181.5 bp (± 7.78bp) and 675.71bp (±201.53bp) respectively (Suppl. Table 3 for species specific lengths). According to the categorization mentioned above, these nine LncRNAs consist of two GATUs, four TUs encoding NATs and 3 putative LncRNAs. We did not identify any putative microRNA precursors.

**Conservation by position of transcribed LncRNAs**

To exclude conserved non-coding sequences (CNSs) and to support functionality we only considered LncRNAs that we detected as transcribed by at least one species.

We analysed the transcribed lineage-specific LncRNAs per clade and whether or not they are conserved by position within the genome of another lineages. Positional conservation was assessed with the CoGe-tools CoGeBlast, SynFind and GeVo (Lyons & Freeling 2008), see Material and Methods for details). Out of the 39 LncRNAs that seemed to be lineage-specific at the nucleotide level (e.g. highly diverged between clades; 15 Bras-Lncs, 15 Ae-Lncs and 9 Cleo-Lncs) twelve were conserved by position in at least one of the other lineages (Fig. 2 for an example and Supporting Figures 3-9 for the others). Depending on the clade (Aethionemeeae specific, Cleomaceae specific or Brassicaceae specific) the percentage of LncRNAs that are not conserved by sequence but are conserved by position in another clade varied between 26%-33% (Fig. 3 and Suppl. Table 2). Figure 4 shows the distribution of the positionally conserved LncRNAs as positioned in the *A. thaliana* genome. Remarkably 66.66% (8 out of 12) of the positionally conserved LncRNAs are within 2.5mb from the chromosome ends, including in the subtelomeric regions (Fig. 4 and Suppl. Table 2). This corresponds with the finding of others that the telomeres and subtelomeric regions, have a higher gene density than the genomic average (Bass & Birchler 2012). This could accordingly indicate the high number of gene regulatory elements.

Table 2 shows the functions of the neighbouring genes to the positionally conserved LncRNAs. The neighbouring genes of Bras-Lnc and Ae-Lnc (ATSG62420, ATSG24270 and AT1G50640) are associated with response(s) to salt stress. The *A. thaliana* genes neighbouring the positionally conserved Brass-Lnc and Ae-Lnc are involved at different
Table 2  Function of the nearest protein coding gene in Arabidopsis thaliana of positionally conserved lncRNAs. ‘Level of Sequence Conservation’ denotes the level of lineage specificity of the lncRNA at the nucleotide level. Ae. Specific = Aethionema Specific; Brass. Specific = Brassicaceae (including Aethionema specific); Cleo Specific = Cleomaceae specific. Ae = Aethionema, Ath = Arabidopsis thaliana, Cleo = Cleomaceae.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Sequence Conservation</th>
<th>Transcribed in</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>A. thaliana lncRNA</th>
<th>A. thaliana Gene</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brass. Specific</td>
<td>Ath</td>
<td>Bras_PDE318</td>
<td>At1NC112890</td>
<td>AT1G80770</td>
<td>Pigment defective 318 (PDE318)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brass. Specific</td>
<td>Ath</td>
<td>Bras_Root</td>
<td>At2NC078030</td>
<td>AT2G47750</td>
<td>Morphological Effect: Root Growth. Encodes GH3.9, a member of the GH3 family auxin-responsive genes. gh3.9-1 mutants had greater primary root length.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brass. Specific</td>
<td>Ath</td>
<td>Bras_SaltStress</td>
<td>At5NC030470</td>
<td>AT5G24270</td>
<td>Response To Salt Stress. Encodes a calcium sensor that is essential for K+ nutrition, K+/Na+ selectivity, and salt tolerance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brass. Specific</td>
<td>Ath</td>
<td>Bras_SeedCoat</td>
<td>At5NC103231</td>
<td>AT5G67180</td>
<td>Morphological Effect: Seed Coat Mucilage. Target of early activation tagged (EAT) 3 (TOE3).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ae. Specific</td>
<td>Ae</td>
<td>Ae_NuclStruc</td>
<td>At1NC016180</td>
<td>AT1G13230</td>
<td>Required for growth promotion and enhanced seed production mediated by the endophytic fungus Piriformospora indica in Arabidopsis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ae. Specific</td>
<td>Ae</td>
<td>Ae_SaltStress</td>
<td>At1NC070280</td>
<td>AT1G50640</td>
<td>Response To Salt Stress and Involved in Leaf Senescence. Ethylene Responsive Element Binding Factor 3 (ERF3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ae. Specific</td>
<td>Ae</td>
<td>Ae_StomatalDev</td>
<td>At1NC099220</td>
<td>AT1G70410</td>
<td>Morphological Effect: Stomatal Development. Beta Carbonic Anhydrase 4 (BCA4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ae. Specific</td>
<td>Ae</td>
<td>Ae_RepairPSII</td>
<td>Group1797</td>
<td>AT1G75690</td>
<td>Physiological Effect: Repair of Photosystem II. Low Quantum Yield of Photosystem II 1 (LQY1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ae. Specific</td>
<td>Ae</td>
<td>Ae_Miro2</td>
<td>Group4790</td>
<td>AT3G63150</td>
<td>Physiological Effect: Embryo Genesis and Mitochondrial Morphogenesis. Miro-Related GTP-ASE 2 (MIRO2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleo. Specific</td>
<td>Cleo</td>
<td>Cleo_bHLH</td>
<td>Group4645</td>
<td>AT3G57800</td>
<td>Basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) DNA-binding superfamily protein. Transcription Factor Family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleo. Specific</td>
<td>Cleo</td>
<td>Cleo_Unknown</td>
<td>Seed_Group2679</td>
<td>AT3G06020</td>
<td>Regulation of shootmeristem size (FAF4).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleo. Specific</td>
<td>Cleo</td>
<td>Cleo_CCD1</td>
<td>Group4801</td>
<td>AT3G63520</td>
<td>Specifically expressed in vascular tissue. Carotenoid Cleavage Dioxygenase 1 (CCD1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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levels of morphological and physiological development. These range from influencing root growth, to the development of stomata, to repairing photosystem II, to embryogenesis and mitochondrial morphogenesis (Table 2).

Some LncRNAs have been shown to have a stem-loop secondary structure (Ding et al. 2012a, Flintoft 2013, Novikova et al. 2012). We looked whether our positionally conserved LncRNAs have putative stable secondary structures and whether or not there are common features between the positionally conserved LncRNA (Fig. 5 and Suppl. Fig. 10). The stability of a secondary structure is determined by its Minimum Free Energy (MFE), assuming that the lower the energy, the more stable the structure is (Zuker & Stiegler 1981). Hence we regard structures with a MFE ≥ -80 kcal/mol as unstable. The secondary structures of the Ae-Lnc and their Ath-Linc counterparts are hence unstable (Fig. 5). The two Cleo-Linc and the Brass-Linc are more stable (Fig. 5). In accordance to the secondary structures found with other LncRNAs (Ding et al. 2012a, Flintoft 2013, Novikova et al. 2012) all the stable structures have long stems and big loops on one side (Fig. 5).

Discussion
As more complete genomes become available, it is possible to use genetic collinearity in addition to sequence similarity to address questions of conservation of non-coding sequences in a phylogenomic context. Using a comparative approach with the sister families Brassicaceae and Cleomaceae, we found LncRNAs are positionally conserved and expressed,
but highly diverged at the nucleotide level. Hence here we found plant LncRNAs that are conserved by position but not by sequence, while the LncRNAs that are conserved by sequence are not conserved by position. While this result has been described earlier in comparative animal studies (Batista & Chang 2013), to the best of our knowledge our work represents the first example of this trend in plants.

Long (intergenic) non-coding RNAs have been shown to affect the expression of their neighbouring genes (Batista & Chang 2013), thus suggesting the importance of positional conservation in properly regulating adjacent genes encoding various traits. For example the positionally conserved LncRNAs found here are adjacent to genes involved in: response to salt stress, affecting important physiological functions (e.g. Photosystem II repair mechanism) or influencing morphological structures (e.g. root growth).

We based our analysis of positional conservation on the latest available genomes of *Aethionema arabicum*, *Tarenaya hassleri*iana and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The latest published *Aethionema arabicum* genome is >85% of its total genome size (Haudry et al. 2013) and the latest published genome of *Tarenaya hassleri*iana is >94% of its total genome size (Cheng et al. 2013). Although these genomes have already been published our analyses are always limited by quality of the genome assembly.

Long non-coding RNAs are a potentially important feature of gene regulation and genomes of eukaryote organisms. To date, research into LncRNAs is more extensive in vertebrates than plants. Twenty-five out of the forty-eight functionally verified vertebrate LncRNAs have been conserved between human and mouse at >50% sequence similarity (Pang et al. 2006). Liu et al. (2012) (Liu et al. 2012), whose data has been explored here, found that <2% of all the putative LncRNAs they found in *A. thaliana* are conserved across the plant kingdom. A similar number has been found by comparing maize (monocot) LncRNAs and *A. thaliana* (eudicot) (Li et al. 2014). The LncRNAs of legumes show only 5% sequence conservation in non-legume plants (Wen et al. 2007). A much higher percentage of the *Zea mays* LncRNAs, <25%, are conserved in the closely related species sorghum (Li et al. 2014). Here we found that out of a total of 39 transcribed LncRNAs are diverged at the nucleotide level, twelve are conserved by position. This is more than 30% of the LncRNAs that we found in the transcriptomes of Aethionemaeae and Cleomaceae.

Studies that take the position of LncRNAs into account primarily assume sequence conservation and additionally analyse whether or not those LncRNAs are also conserved by position. However in a comparison between zebra fish and humans Batista and Chang (2013) found that LncRNAs with weak sequence conservation can still be fully functional, because they are still structurally and positionally conserved. Here we show similar results in plants: positional conservation of LncRNAs with weak sequence similarity between distantly related species.

The lack of sequence conservation but the presence of positional conservation might be explained by an increase in mutation rate for these regulatory elements. This has already been pointed out by Pang et al. (Pang et al. 2006), who hypothesized, for miRNAs and longer non-coding RNAs, that the type of interaction within a regulatory network can be under selection pressure rather than the sequence of the regulatory element itself. This hypothesis
Fig. 5 Secondary structures and Minimum Free Energy (MFE) of sequence and/or positionally conserved Long non-coding RNAs (LncRNAs). (A) LncRNAs that have both sequence conversation and positional conservation between Arabidopsis (left) and Aethionema (right). (B) LncRNAs that have only positional conservation between Arabidopsis (left) and Aethionema (right) (C) LncRNAs that have both sequence conversation and positional conservation between Arabidopsis (left) and Tarenaya (right) (D) LncRNAs that have only positional conservation between Arabidopsis (left) and Tarenaya (right) (E) The LncRNA conserved by sequence and position in A. thaliana, Aethionema arabicum and Cleomaceae. The colored bar below shows the baseparing probability for every structure.
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would fit well with the regulatory function and the position of LncRNAs. As LncRNAs regulate the expression of their neighbouring protein coding gene their interaction with this gene, and hence their position, rather than their sequence can be under selection.

We compared the secondary structure of the positionally conserved LncRNAs (Fig. 5). In addition to the positional conservation of LncRNAs their secondary structure might also be conserved. The Aethionemae positionally conserved LncRNAs are less stable (higher MFE) than the Cleomaceae positionally conserved. A similar difference in stability is seen in their positionally conserved counterparts in Arabidopsis thaliana. The stability of the LncRNA secondary structure might be a step to subdivide the big group of LncRNAs.

Genomic regions of different species can be similar in sequence and can be completely collinear. However, these sequences should not necessarily be transcribed (Suppl. Table 2). Here we used polyadenylated mRNAs to try to assess conservation of LncRNAs between different species. It has been shown that although LncRNAs can be polyadenylated, they are not always polyadenylated (Di et al. 2014). Consequently the positionally conservation shows only a subset of the plants possible transcripts. Moreover we applied the stringent rule that every LncRNA had to be transcribed in at least two species from the same lineage. Hence these results in a set of highly confident positional conserved LncRNAs that represent only the tip of the iceberg.

The small number of conserved LncRNAs found here is in accordance with the findings in other systems as discussed above (Liu et al. 2012, Wen et al. 2007, Li et al. 2014). The consistent finding of low nucleotide conservation raises new questions about the mutation rate of LncRNAs. Studies have shown that the mutation rate of LncRNAs resembles those of introns (Mattick & Gagen 2001, Pang et al. 2006, Li et al. 2014, Batista & Chang 2013), which could partially explain the lack of sequence similarity between LncRNAs over deep evolutionary time. However, this lack of sequence similarity did not result in a lack of conservation by position, which could indicate a conservation of function as it has been shown earlier that positional conservation also accounts for functional conservation (Batista & Chang 2013, Tang et al. 2008).

The presence of more than 65% of the positionally conserved LncRNAs only within the 2.5 mb of chromosome arms is remarkable and unexpected. In many plants the sub-telomeric regions consist of repeats, called satellites though these are absent in A. thaliana (Bass & Birchler 2012). Their presence varies between species and even individuals within a species (Bass & Birchler 2012). The satellites in the sub-telomeric region typically consist of large A-T rich repeat stretches, which makes bending the DNA easier and the heterochromatin formation tighter, which is shown by the presence of dense heterochromatin blocks (Macas et al. 2002, Bass & Birchler 2012). One suggested function of the presence of these satellite arrays is their support of the chromatin states in the sub-telomeric region (Bass & Birchler 2012). However the absence of satellite arrays in A. thaliana might be compensated by the presence of LncRNAs that regulate the chromatin signatures of the protein coding genes in the sub-telomeric regions. We do not know of a specific reason why positionally conserved LncRNAs should be found only at chromosome ends. Certainly more research is needed to address this finding and the hypothesis stated above.
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Preferably we would have tested whether the positionally conserved LncRNAs are also within 2.5 mb of the chromosome arms of Aethionema arabicum and/or Tarenaya hassleriana. However, chromosomal-level genome assemblies of these species are not available yet. However, we are working on these genome assemblies so that we can address these questions in the near future.

Long (intergenic) non-coding RNAs have been identified by investigating the deleterious effects of knocking out these conserved sequences on various traits, e.g. flowering time, fertility, etc. (Świeżewski et al. 2009, Ding et al. 2012a, Zhang & Chen 2013). These wet-lab experiments are crucial to understand the functionality of any putative pathway (from gene and transcription to fitness effects). They can confirm the lack of small ORFs in LncRNAs and understand the full pathway on which the LncRNA has an effect, whether that is on neighbouring genes or across chromosomes (Hanada et al. 2007, Batista & Chang 2013).

Conclusion
To summarize, we have shown here, using the Brassicaceae and Cleomaceae phylogenomic system that transcribed plant Long non-coding RNAs (LncRNAs) that seem to be only conserved within one lineage at the sequence level are conserved in other lineages at the same genomic position. The positional conservation could also imply a conservation of function but a divergence of sequence. Moreover, >65% of the positionally conserved LncRNAs are located within 2.5 mb of the telomeric region. This emphasizes the gene regulatory role that LncRNAs can have. These results imply that lineage specificity should not only be regarded at the nucleotide level but also at the positional level.
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Tables
Suppl. Table 1. Transcript and ORF length of Tarenaya hassleriana and Aethionemeae transcripts conserve by sequence. The sequence similarities percentages are cut-offs of sequence similarity within OrthoMCL.
Suppl. Table 2. Sheet 1 shows the transcript names of Aethionema arabicum, Tarenaya hassleriana and Arabidopsis thaliana and whether or not they are conserved by position or only by sequence across the different lineages. Sheet 2 shows the distances of the positionally conserved LncRNAs to the nearest end of A. thaliana chromosomes.
Suppl. Table 3. Transcript and ORF length of Aethionemeae and Cleomaceae specific Long non-coding RNAs.
Suppl. Table 4. Transcript and ORF length of Aethionemeae transcripts that are Brassicaceae specific. The sequence similarities percentages are cut-offs of sequence similarity within OrthoMCL.
Suppl. Fig. 1 Pipeline to assess the transcribed (top-panel) and genomic (bottom-panel) Long non-coding RNAs (LncRNA) that are conserved at the nucleotide level throughout the Brassicaceae and Cleomaceae, or are specific to the Brassicaceae.
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Suppl. Fig. 2 Pipeline to assess the LncRNAs specific to Aethionemaeae or Cleomaceae.
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Suppl. Fig. 2 (1867 OrthoMCL groups)
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[Diagram]

Aethionema arabisum (formerly known as "Dock") (VEGI v0.2, unmasked) AA_scaffold59530_71 (chr: AA_scaffold59530 193033-347948)

[Diagram]

Aethionema arabisum (formerly known as "Dock") (VEGI v0.2, unmasked) AA_scaffold592718_256 (chr: AA_scaffold592718 1111340-1300618)
Suppl. Fig. 3-6 Analyses of collinearity and positional conservation of sequentially diversified Aethionemaeae LncRNAs. (A) Screenshot from GeVo. GeVo calculates the collinearity of a query sequence with the genome of a subject organism. The query here is the nearest protein coding gene of *Ae. arabicum* shown in B, the subjects are *Ae. arabicum* and *A. thaliana*. The position of the positionally conserved LncRNA is shown with a pink box, while the protein coding genes of *A. thaliana* and *Ae. arabicum* are shown with blue boxes. (B) Screenshot from the PLncDB website, shown are the *Arabidopsis thaliana* LncRNA (pink) and its nearest protein coding gene (blue). (C) Screenshot from the CoGe Blast HSP. Pink is the *Aethionema arabicum* transcript along the *Ae. arabicum* genome. Blue is the nearest *Ae. arabicum* protein coding gene.
Suppl. Fig. 7-9 Analyses of collinearity and positional conservation of sequentially diversified Cleomaceae LncRNAs. (A) Screenshot from GeVo. GeVo calculates the collinearity of a query sequence with the genome of a subject organism. The query here is the nearest protein coding gene of *Tarenaya hassleriana*, the subjects are *T. hassleriana* and *A. thaliana*. The position of the positionally conserved LncRNA is shown with a pink box, while the protein coding genes of *A. thaliana* and *T. hassleriana* are shown with blue boxes. (B) Screenshot from the PLncDB website, shown are the *Arabidopsis thaliana* LncRNA (pink) and its nearest protein coding gene (blue).
Suppl. Fig. 10 Secondary structures and Minimum Free Energy (MFE) of sequence and/or positionally conserved LncRNAs. (A) LncRNAs that have both sequence conversation and positional conservation between Arabidopsis (left) and Aethionema (right) (B) LncRNAs that have only positional conservation between Arabidopsis (left) and Aethionema (right) (C) LncRNAs that have both sequence conversation and positional conservation between Arabidopsis (left) and Tarenaya (right) (D) LncRNAs that have only positional conservation between Arabidopsis (left) and Tarenaya (right) (E). The coloured bar below shows the basepairing probability for every structure.
Suppl. Fig. 11 Example of an analysis of collinearity and no positional conservation of a sequentially conserved LncRNA. The example is a LncRNA conserved at the sequence level within the Brassicaceae. A) Screenshot from the PLncDB website, shown are the *Arabidopsis thaliana* LncRNA (green) and its nearest protein coding gene (blue). B) Screenshot from the CoGe Blast HSP. Green is the *Aethionema arabicum* transcript along the *Ae. arabicum* genome. Blue is the nearest *Ae. arabicum* protein coding gene. C) Screenshot from GeVo. GeVo calculates the collinearity of a query sequence with the genome of a subject organism. The query here is the nearest protein coding gene of *Ae. arabicum* shown in B, the subjects are *Ae. arabicum* and *A. thaliana*. The query here shows two collinear regions in *A. thaliana*. The position of LncRNA is shown with a green box, while the protein coding genes of *A. thaliana* and *Ae. arabicum* are shown with blue boxes. These SynFind and GeVo analyses can be redone with the following link: https://genomevolution.org/r/fmqj
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Abstract

Premise of the study The Irano-Turanian region harbours three biodiversity hotspots and ~25% of Brassicaceae species are endemic to the region. Aethionema, the sister lineage to the core Brassicaceae, contains ~61 species and occurs mainly in the Irano-Turanian region. The evolutionary important position of Aethionema makes it an ideal reference for broader comparative genetics and genomics. To better understand the evolution of Aethionema, and to bring us a step closer to understand crucifer evolution, a time calibrated phylogenetic tree and biogeographical history of the genus is needed.

Methods Seventy-six plastome coding regions and nuclear rDNA genes derived mainly from herbarium material, covering 75% of all Aethionema species, were used to resolve a time-calibrated phylogenetic tree of Aethionema. The different clades were characterised based on four morphological characters. Historical biogeographical analyses recovered the ancestral area of Aethionema.

Key Results The phylogenetic trees presented of Aethionema have three main well-supported resolved clades. The ancestral area reconstruction and divergence-time estimates are consistent with major dispersal events during the Pliocene from the Anatolian Diagonal.

Conclusion We find that most Aethionema lineages originated along the Anatolian Diagonal, a floristic bridge connecting the east to the west, during the Pliocene. The dispersal of Aethionema correlates with the local geological events, such as the uplift of the Anatolian and Iranian plateaus and the formation of the major mountain ranges of the Irano-Turanian region. Knowing the paleo-ecological context of the evolution of Aethionema facilitates our broader understanding for trait evolution and species diversification across the Brassicaceae.
Background
The Irano-Turanian region harbours three main global biodiversity hotspots (Manafzadeh et al. 2016, Micó et al. 2009, Takhtajan 1986). With ~900 species, this region is also one of the world’s hotspots for Brassicaceae diversity (Koch & Kiefer 2006). Within the Western Irano-Turanian region Davis (1971) defined a floristic break called the Anatolian Diagonal. The diagonal runs from the rain-shadow of the Pontic mountains going southwest towards the Anti-Taurus (Davis 1971). The species diversity seen in the Irano-Turanian region and along the Anatolian Diagonal can be correlated with past tectonic events. The formation of the Alborz, Zagros and Koppeh-Dagh mountain ranges in Iran and the Taurus and Pontic mountain ranges in Turkey are all part of the Alpine orogeny, due to collisions of the African and Arabian plates with the Eurasian plate (Karl & Koch 2013, Davis 1971). In particular, the collision of the Arabian plate with the Eurasian plate during the Miocene accelerated the uplift of the Alborz, Zagros and Koppeh-Dagh mountains and the lifting of the Iranian and Anatolian plateaus (Manafzadeh et al. 2016). The formation and uplift of these areas caused an aridification of the Irano-Turanian region. In addition, the Irano-Turanian region became a melting pot of the climatic features of its surrounding regions (Djamali et al. 2012, Manafzadeh et al. 2016). This makes the Irano-Turanian region a place where species borders cross each other, allowing many species to co-occur and hybridize.

The plant family Brassicaceae (Cruciferae), or mustard family, contains several important vegetable crops (cabbage, broccoli, cauliflower, turnip, etc.) and several model plant species, among which Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. is the best known. The Brassicaceae phylogeny is clearly split into a larger core clade with 324 genera and 3680 species (Al-Shehbaz, 2012) and a much smaller sister clade, containing only the genus Aethionema W.T. Aiton (61 species, The Plants List, 2013, Beilstein et al. 2006, 2008, Couvreur et al. 2010 Franzke et al. 2009, Huang et al. 2015). The divergence between Aethionema and the Brassicaceae core group occurred sometime during the Eocene, between 32-54 million years ago (Mya) (Huang et al. 2016, Hohmann et al. 2015, Edger et al. 2015, Beilstein et al. 2010). Although the ages in Beilstein et al. (2010) and Edger et al. (2015) represent the outer limits for the divergence time, their confidence intervals overlap with the mentioned late Eocene divergence of the other studies (Hohmann et al. 2015, Huang et al. 2016). A more recent study (Cardinal-McTeague et al. 2016) suggests that the most recent common ancestor of all extant Brassicaceae originated somewhere in the broad geographical region of Europe, the Mediterranean Africa, Saharo-Arabian and/or Irano-Turanian regions during the middle Eocene (~43 Mya). Thus, more information about the origin of Aethionema could bring us a step closer in understanding the still unclear origin of the Brassicaceae family.

Aethionema occurs mainly in the western Irano-Turanian region (Hedge & Davis, 1965; Manafzadeh et al., 2016), the hypothesized but not proven centre of origin for Brassicaceae (Al-Shehbaz et al. 2006, Couvreur et al. 2010, Franzke et al. 2011, Warwick et al. 2010, Hedge 1976). Aethionema species have ovate to linear leaves, are mainly perennial (though five species are annual) have mainly angustiseptate (flattened parallel to septum) dehiscent fruits (though some species also have indehiscent fruits making them heterocarpic) and some species produce spines (Lenser et al., 2016; Prantl, 1891). The base chromosome number of Aethionema is likely seven or eight, although this can go up to x=24 due to polyploidy events (Warwick & Al-Shehbaz 2006). The comparison of the now available genome of Aethionema arabicum (L.) Andrz. ex DC. (Haudry et al. 2013) to the genomes
of the Brassicaceae core group showed that *Aethionema* and the rest of the Brassicaceae share the At-alpha whole genome duplication (Edger et al. 2015), have methionine-derived glucosinolates (Hofberger et al. 2013) and positionally conserved long non-coding RNAs and other conserved non-coding sequences (Mohammadin et al., 2015, Haudry et al., 2013). Hence, *Aethionema* shares the known cruciferous synapomorphic traits with the Brassicaceae core group. The evolutionary important position of *Aethionema* facilitates its use in a comparative framework to understand trait evolution and speciation across the Brassicaceae.

The phylogenetic position of the genus *Aethionema* historically has been uncertain. It was assigned to the tribe/sub-tribe of Sinapaceae/ Cochleariinae (Prantl, 1891), Lepidieae/ Iberidinae (Hayek 1911), and Lepidieae/Thlaspidinae (Schulz 1936, Janchen 1942). Based on the chloroplast *rbcL* gene, Price et al. (1994) were the first to infer the sister group relationship of *Aethionema* with the core Brassicaceae. This phylogenetic position has been corroborated by additional studies (Bailey et al., 2006; Beilstein et al., 2006, 2008; Franzke et al., 2009; Couvreur et al., 2010; Warwick et al., 2010). However, five traditionally recognized *Aethionema* species have been synonymized into the Brassicaceae core-group genus *Noccaea*: *N. trinervia*, *N. oppositifolia*, *N. iberidea*, *N. rotundifolia* and *N. apterocarpa* (Al-Shehbaz 2012). These species were moved based on *Aethionema* specific morphological synapomorphies (Al-Shehbaz 2012). Al-Shehbaz et al. (2006) were the first to recognize the tribe Aethionemae, consisting of the genera *Aethionema* and *Moriera*. However, as Prantl (1891) and Komarov (1939) already suggested, *Moriera* is now a synonym of *Aethionema* (Al-Shehbaz 2012) making Aethionemae a unigeneric tribe.

Here we present a comprehensive phylogenomic framework of *Aethionema* based on whole plastome and nuclear ribosomal DNA sequences generated mainly from herbarium specimens. The mixture of a high species coverage with a large number of molecular markers allows us to study the following objectives: i) do the *Aethionema* clades correlate with morphological characteristics, e.g. leaf shape and heterocarpism? ii) What is the divergence time of *Aethionema*? iii) Does the biogeographical distribution and history of *Aethionema* correlate with geological and climatic conditions of the Irano-Turanian region? Knowing the phylogenetic and biogeographical history of *Aethionema* species divergences adds a piece to the puzzle to understand the paleo-ecological context of crucifer diversification.

**Materials and Methods**

**Taxon sampling, sequencing and assembly**

We sampled fifty different *Aethionema* species (*A. turcicum* and *A. transhyrcanum* consisted of two samples each), five species of *Noccaea* (*N. trinervia*, *N. oppositifolia*, *N. iberidea*, *N. rotundifolia* and *N. apterocarpa*) formerly classified in *Aethionema*, and an outgroup species *Cleome droserifolia*, from the sister family Cleomaceae. Thirty-nine of these fifty-eight accessions were sampled from herbaria with the oldest one, *A. membranaceum*, collected in 1867 and the youngest in 2008 (Suppl. Table 2). Nineteen out of the fifty-eight samples were silica-dried samples collected in the field (Suppl. Table 2).

DNA isolation and library preparation was conducted in two batches named as ‘Copenhagen’ and ‘Missouri’, based on the location where the samples were sequenced (Suppl. Table 2).
DNA isolation, library preparation and sequencing for the 'Copenhagen' samples were done according to Bakker et al. (2015). DNA isolation and library preparation for the 'Missouri' samples was conducted as follows. Leaves collected from the Missouri Botanical Garden Herbarium were ground in liquid nitrogen and kept at -20°C until further use. DNA was isolated with the Qiagen DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Quality and quantity checks were done on a NanoDrop 1000© spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). The DNA was eluted with 7% demi-water, sheared using sonication in time laps of 7.5 minutes alternating every 30 sec. on and off and concentrated with the Qiagen Qiaquick PCR purification microcentrifuge kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Library preparation was done with the Illumina TruSeq™ DNA Sample Preparation kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) using the Low-Throughput protocol following manufacturer’s protocol with an insert size varying between 300-500 bp. Final quality check before sequencing was done on a Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The samples were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq-2000 with paired-end 100bp reads at the sequencing core of the University of Missouri in 2011.

Both sample batches were assembled using IOGA v1.6 (Bakker et al. 2015). IOGA is an iterative assembly pipeline that uses a reference file to assemble a genomic component. To assemble the plastid genomes (plastomes), we used the same reference plastomes as in Bakker et al. (2015). For the nuclear rDNA regions, we used the Brassica rapa complete rDNA downloaded from NCBI genbank (gb code: KM538956.1). Samples with fewer than 92,784 reads were discarded. Samples with more than 26 million reads were subsampled before assembly using seqtk (seed=100, subsample size =1,000,000). Suppl. Table 2 contains the assembly summaries. Aethionema diastrophis, A. kopetdaghi, A. caespitosum, A. marashicum and A. papillosum did not have enough read coverage for assembly and were hence discarded. After assembly, there were a total of 46 Aethionema species, the five Noccaea species and the outgroup species Cleome droserifolia.

Annotations, alignment and data matrix construction

The chloroplasts were annotated using the Arabidopsis thaliana chloroplast as a reference. This resulted in seventy-one protein coding genes, 20 tRNAs and 3 rRNAs for every accession. For downstream analyses we used only the protein coding genes and excluded the introns having a total of seventy-six coding sequences. In addition to our samples, we added full plastome data of twenty-seven core Brassicaceae species from Hohmann et al. (2015). These twenty-seven additions are representative species from Brassicaceae lineage I, lineage II and expanded lineage II according to Beilstein et al. (2006), lineage III is not represented. It is unlikely that including lineage III would have altered the results for our study focused on Aethionema. To be consistent throughout all our analyses, we annotated the core group twenty-seven chloroplasts in the same way as our own samples. Nucleotide alignments were made for each gene with the MAFFT (Katoh & Standley 2013) plugin of Geneious v8.8 (Kearse et al. 2012). We made one final data matrix, the chloro-matrix, by concatenating the individual alignments with Sequence Matrix (Vaidya et al. 2011). The chloro-matrix consisted of eighty terminals with 59,360 nucleotides (10,531 variable and 5,959 parsimony informative sites). Suppl. Table 2 shows for each terminal which genes are present and their length. The used chloro-matrix alignment is given in Suppl. Dataset 1.
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We annotated the nuclear rDNA coding regions (18S, 5.8S and 26S) and ITS1 and ITS2 with *Brassica rapa* as a reference. BlastN (Altschul *et al.* 1990), with E-value ≤ e^10 and query identity ≥ 50%, was used to check the newly generated rDNA sequences for bacterial or fungal hits. None were found. The 5.8S, ITS1 and ITS2 sequences of 24 Brassicaceae core-group species, all present in the chloro-matrix, were downloaded from NCBI (Suppl. Table 2). The alignment and concatenation of the rDNA-matrix was done similarly as for the chloro-matrix. The data we generated included all present rDNA coding regions and ITS1 and ITS2, while the core group consisted only of the 5.8S, ITS1 and ITS2 sequences (Suppl. Table 2). The final rDNA-matrix consisted of 5,857 nucleotides (206 variable and 443 parsimony informative sites) and 72 terminals. Suppl. Dataset 2 contains the used rDNA alignment.

**Phylogenetic analyses**

Phylogenetic trees for the chloro-matrix were inferred under maximum likelihood using RaxML v 8.2.4 (GTR+GAMMA, random seed and 1000 bootstrap pseudoreplicates) on the CIPRES science gateway (Stamatakis 2014, Miller *et al.* 2010). To assess whether partitioning a large dataset with 59,360 nucleotides has an effect on the support of the backbone of our phylogenies we used biologically intuitive partitioning schemes as well as one objective assessed by a programme. Four different partitioning schemes were compared: unpartitioned, partitioned by codon position (3 partitions), partitioned by coding region (76 partitions), and one assigned by PartitionFinder (Lanfear *et al.* 2012). PartitionFinder v1.1.1 was used to find a partition scheme among the 76 coding regions and their codon positions using the GTR models of RaxML for models of evolution and the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) for model selection with a greedy search scheme. The different partition schemes were compared using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) following Barrett *et al.* (2016). Maximum Parsimony (MP) analyses were done in PAUP* 4.0a150 (Swofford 2002) with tree bisection reconnection, 75 random addition sequence replicates, 1000 jackknife replicates and 37% deletion probability. A majority rule consensus tree was made with a 50% cut–off of all the saved trees.

For the rDNA-matrix we used the same approach as for the chloro-matrix. The partitioning schemes here were: unpartitioned, partitioned per gene, ITS regions vs. coding genes and a PartitionFinder scheme. Maximum likelihood analyses were run as described above for these partitioning schemes. In addition to a RaxML analyses, we used MrBayes 3.2.6 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist 2001, Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003) for a Bayesian inference of our phylogenies (250 million generations, on four chains, sampled every 250,000th generation, temp=0.05 and diagnfreq=5000).

To assess whether the *Aethionema* clades could be characterized by morphological characters, we scored leaf shape (ovate vs. linear), fruit morph (dehiscent vs. heterocarpic), presence or absence of spines and plant duration (annual vs. perennial) for the forty-six *Aethionema* species using Flora Iranica, Flora of Turkey, publications of recently published species and herbarium sheets (Rechinger, 1968; Hedge, 1965, 1968; Pavlova, 2007; Ertuğrul & Beyazoğlu, 1996).
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Divergence date estimates
We inferred a time calibrated phylogenetic tree using Bayesian methods to understand the timing of speciation of Aethionema using the chloro-matrix. We used an uncorrelated relaxed molecular clock to account for rate variability among lineages as implemented in BEAST v1.8.3 (Drummond et al. 2006, 2012). BEAUti v1.8.3 (Drummond et al. 2012) was used to create a XML file. Secondary calibration of the (lower and upper bound of 47.8-60.6 Mya) crown-node age of Brassicaceae was set as a uniform prior, including confidence intervals, following the most recently published crown node age of the Brassicaceae (Cardinal-McTeague et al. 2016) overlapping with other estimates of the known crown-node age (Hohmann et al. 2015, Edger et al. 2015, Beilstein et al. 2010, Couvreur et al. 2010, Huang et al. 2016). Monophyly of the Brassicaceae family was constrained and the mean root height of the random starting tree was set to 53 Mya. The uncorrelated relaxed clock model had a log-normal distribution substitution rate prior and the Yule speciation model as tree prior. We started seven Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analyses of 150 million generations each, sampling every 1000 steps. Four out of these seven analyses had a posterior and prior ESS>200 as assessed in Tracer v1.6 (Rambaut et al. 2014). Trees from these four runs were combined using LogCombiner v1.8.3 and summarized in TreeAnnotator v1.8.3 with a 10% burn-in of 60,000 trees to get a maximum clade credibility tree with mean heights.

Historical biogeography reconstruction
To understand the geographical distribution and historical patterns of Aethionema, we conducted an ancestral area reconstruction. The digitized herbarium sheets available from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF 2012) were used to estimate the geographical distribution of all used Aethionema species. To reconstruct the ancestral areas of Aethionema, we used specimens belonging to Aethionema sensu stricto (44 species), hence excluding the above-mentioned Noccaea species. Many Aethionema have been collected without GPS data, thus the location of collection and the coordinates were assessed by hand directly from the herbarium sheets (Suppl. Fig. 1, final coordinates used per species: Suppl. Table 2). Forty-one percent of the used herbarium sheets have been curated in the last fifteen years (Al-Shehbaz, Dönmez), reducing misidentifications (Goodwin et al. 2015).

Our data showed that Aethionema species are distributed from the Iberian Peninsula in the west to Afghanistan and Turkmenistan in the east, and from Switzerland in the north to Tunisia in the south. We made 14 area delimitations based on species distribution and geography following the floristic regions of Takhtajan (1986) and the eco-regions of Olson et al. (2001). These regions followed geological barriers (mountains), containing at least two Aethionema species following as much as possible the spatial boundaries of the species. The regions were as follows (Fig. 2): A) western Mediterranean, B) north eastern Mediterranean, C) central Turkey, D) west-central Taurus and Cyprus, E) the Anti-Taurus, F) northern Mesopotamia, G) eastern Pontic mountain range, H) lesser Caucasus area, I) (anti-) Lebanon mountain range, J) Israel mountain ranges, K) coastal areas of the Arabian plate and the northern Nubian shield, L) Alborz-Kopeh Dagh mountain range (Hyrcanian forests), M) Zagros mountains, and N) Hindu-Kush mountains.

Ancestral area reconstruction was done with S-DIVA and BBM in RASP v3.2 (Yu et al. 2015) with maximally three areas allowed for both methods. For both methods we used 20,000 randomly selected post burn-in trees from the combined Beast analyses and the tree
Fig. 1 Cladogram based on the maximum likelihood analysis (GTR+GAMMA, unpartitioned) of the chloro-matrix (76 plastome coding regions). Numbers along the branches are the bootstrap values for different partitioning schemes: unpartitioned/ per codon partition/ PartitionFinder/ partition per gene. **=100% bootstrap value. Bootstrap values in brackets = alternative relationship for that branch. Icons along the Aethionema clade show: linear/ovate leaves, heterocarpic species (two different sized fruits), annual species (pink flowers) and species with spines (spiny branch).
provided by combined tree from our divergence time analysis as a condensed tree. For BBM analyses we ran 30 million generations on four chains, sampling every 10,000th generation, F81 as state frequency and a gamma distribution for the among site rate variation. To be certain that species with more than one representative in our phylogenetic tree would not bias the ancestral area reconstruction we reduced each terminal to one representative. Hence we excluded the duplicate Aethionema turcicum and A. spinosum* from our RASP analyses. Our focus here was to understand the dispersal and vicariance processes and the ancestral area of Aethionema, hence we excluded the core group from our historical biogeographical analyses.

Results

Plastome and nuclear rDNA assemblies

DNA and library preparation was done from herbaria and silica-dried materials on 58 samples from 52 Aethionema specimens (50 different species), five Noccaea species and the outgroup species Cleome drosenifolia from the family Cleomaceae. The sequencing was successful for 51 specimens, of which 45 were different Aethionema species (75% species coverage). The number of reads over all samples ranged from 92,784-103,154,570 before read trimming and quality checks. Trimming and quality checks are incorporated in the IOGA pipeline (Bakker et al. 2015) using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al. 2014). The assembly length of the chloroplasts had a range of 123,681-228,766bp with a read coverage ranging between 8.3-627.2x. The average read coverage of 112.18x corresponds to 89% of the Arabidopsis thaliana chloroplast (154,478bp as present in CoGe (Freeling et al. 2008)). The percentage of chloroplast reads present in the unfiltered dataset ranges from 0.99% to 26.71%. Average GC content was 37.18% (SD±4.78%), a bit higher than the GC content of the A. thaliana chloroplast (36.3%).

We assembled the nuclear ribosomal DNA (rDNA) genes and Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) regions for 42 Aethionema species, the five Noccaea species and C. drosenifolia. The assembly length ranged from 6,688bp to 28,006bp with a read coverage between 20.1-1301.4x. The length of the assembled rDNA sequences was on average 137% compared to the Brassica rapa reference. This is probably due to the iterative character of the assembly pipeline that keeps assembling reads and expanding the contigs. However, as we retrieved the individual rDNA regions from our assemblies the iterative character of the IOGA-pipeline did not have consequence for phylogenetic analyses or ancestral area reconstruction.

Phylogenetic reconstruction of Aethionema

To assess whether partitioning 59,360 markers (chloro-matrix) had an effect on the resolution of the backbone of the Aethionema phylogeny, we used different partition models varying between no partition to a per gene partition (Table 1). The AIC values of the maximum likelihood analyses showed the lowest score for the model found by PartitionFinder for the chloro-matrix, but were the lowest for the per-codon partition for the rDNA-matrix (Table 1). MrBayes analyses of the rDNA-matrix did not converge for two out of the five partitions; hence in addition to the RaxML analyses of the rDNA-matrix we took only the MrBayes unpartitioned, ITS vs. coding genes partition and per gene partitioning analyses into account (Suppl. Fig. 7). The topology of the Brassicaceae core group in our study was the same as...
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in Hohmann et al. (2015). The backbone of the Aethionema chloroplast phylogeny was well supported by all partition models and by the parsimony analysis (Suppl. Fig. 2A) for both the rDNA-matrix (Suppl. Fig. 3) as well as the chloro-matrix (Fig. 1, Suppl. Fig. 2B for branch lengths) supporting the three clades A, B and C that Lenser et al. (2016) found with only two chloroplast markers. Hence, partitioning our large dataset does not have an effect on the already well-supported nodes. One conflicting species is A. transhyrcanum occurring in clade B as well in clade C. However, the herbarium sheets of these samples showed that the C-clade A. transhyrcanum used to be called A. spinosum, hence we assume here that the sheet has been misidentified and regard this sheet as an A. spinosum specimen and we denote it consistently as A. spinosum*. The A, B, C clades can be roughly distinguished by the shape of their leaves and with the presence or absence of spines (Fig. 1). The Aethionema A clade includes the annuals as well as the heterocarpic species and consists mainly of ovate leaf shaped species. The B clade consists mainly of species with linear shaped leaves. All the C clade species have spines. In contrast to Lenser et al. (2016), our data contained all known heterocarpic and spiny species and consisted of many more markers. Hence our analyses give high support values to the independent origin of the annual, heterocarpic and spiny species.

**Divergence date estimates**

* Aethionema and the core Brassicaceae diverged in the Paleogene with the middle Eocene (~48 Mya, 95% HPD = 58.94-37.5 Mya) as its most probable date of origin (Figure S4). The diversification of the A, B clades from the C clade of Aethionema have its most probable origin at the beginning of the Miocene (~24 Mya, 95% HPD=34.58-14.61). This is in accordance with the diversification of the lineages within the Brassicaceae core group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Partition models used in the maximum likelihood analyses for the chloro and rDNA matrix.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chloro-matrix</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpartitioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partitioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PartitionFinder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene partition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>rDNA-matrix</strong></th>
<th>GTR model</th>
<th>Partitioning</th>
<th>No. Partitions*</th>
<th>log L</th>
<th>Number of Free parameters</th>
<th>AIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unpartitioned</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>-17693.13</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>35694.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PartitionFinder</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>-16969.05</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>34265.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITSvsCoding</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Partition</td>
<td>-16969.05</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>34265.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene partition</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>-16772.58</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>33929.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partitioned</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>per codon</td>
<td>-16593.58</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>33687.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) number of partitions used for a model.

Number of free parameters and the AIC values calculated after (Barrett et al., 2016).
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(Suppl. Fig. 4). The Aethionema A and B clade started diversifying approximately ~9 Mya (A clade 95% HPD = 12.94-6.91, B clade 95% HPD = 14.52-6.31), while the diversification of the C clade occurred approximately ~12 Mya (95% HPD = 20.62-5.92). Hence, the diversification of Aethionema species occurred in the Middle Miocene corresponding with orogeny of the mountain ranges in the western Irano-Turanian and the uplift of the Anatolian and Iranian plateaus (Manafzadeh et al. 2016).

Ancestral area reconstruction

In total we used 864 coordinates of Aethionema and Cleome drosenifolia specimens for the ancestral area reconstruction (Suppl. Table 2). In general, ancestral area reconstruction had low support (<50%), both with S-DIVA and BBM (Fig. 2). Fig. 2 shows for all nodes of interest the three regions with the highest probabilities. The RASP results are added as supplementary data (Suppl. Datasets 3 and 4). S-DIVA as well as BBM inferred the ancestral area of Aethionema clade A originated mainly from the northeastern Mediterranean (region B, S-DIVA 93.04%, BBM 24.92%), the combination of BC (the northeastern Mediterranean and central Turkey BBM 6.31%). Clade B was mainly inferred to originate from the Anti-Taurus mountains (BBM; E=4.61%) or from a combination of the eastern Pontic mountain range and the Anti-Taurus (S-DIVA; G=13.1%, E=7.22% and EG=6.54%). According to the S-DIVA analysis, the spiny Aethionema clade C does not have a distinct centre of origin, as all the combinations of the Anti-Taurus mountains, the eastern Pontic mountain range, the Hyrcanian forests, the Zagros Mountains and the Hindu-Kush mountains have the same probability of 6.67%. However, the BBM analysis inferred the eastern Pontic Mountain range and the Hyrcanian forest (G=15.84%, L=9.83% and GL=8.11%) as the origin of the C clade. The most recent common ancestor of Aethionema originated on the west side of the Anatolian diagonal (E and G) as shown by the BBM (E=8.1% and G=7.5%) and the S-DIVA analyses (E=47.14% and G=47.14%). The low probabilities and the lack of a coherent ancestral area reconstruction could be due to a complicated distribution pattern among the Aethionema clades (Fig. 2). To explain the origin of current distribution patterns, the historical biogeographical analysis inferred either 136 dispersal and 25 vicariance events (BBM analysis, Fig. 2) or 109 dispersal and 20 vicariance events (S-DIVA analysis) for Aethionema. There are 43 internal nodes in the Aethionema phylogeny (excluding the core group and the double terminals). Hence 32% (BBM) to 40% (S-DIVA) of the speciation events was followed by a dispersal event and probably allopatric speciation. The dispersal events peaked three or four times throughout the Aethionema evolutionary history. These peaks occur after the major geological events in the Irano-Turanian region (Fig. 2).

Discussion

The Irano-Turanian region has been regarded as the potential centre of origin of the Brassicaceae family (Hedge 1976, Franzke et al. 2011) and is hotspot of species diversity for this economically important plant family. Nevertheless, there are only a few studies, like the present one, exploring biogeographic patterns and evolution of ecologically important characters of plant groups in this area (Özüdoğru et al. 2015 and references therein). Using 76 chloroplast markers and the nuclear rDNA we inferred a time-calibrated phylogeny showing that Aethionema, the sister of the Brassicaceae core group, has diversified along the Anatolian diagonal and dispersed through the Irano-Turanian region due to major geological events. Aethionema species likely dispersed from the Anatolian Diagonal into the
rest of the Western Irano-Turanian area. Their current distribution may have been caused by the closing of the connection of the Tethys Sea with the Indian Ocean, due to the full collision of the Arabian Plate into the Eurasian plate during the Miocene-Pliocene boundary (Davis 1971).

In addition to Aethionema the Irano-Turanian regions seems to harbour the origin of lineages from the Brassicaceae core. Mummenhoff et al. (2001) showed that Irano-Turanian and Mediterranean Lepidium species s.str. are never in a derived position in the Lepidium phylogeny. Although this does not exclude local extinctions of Lepidium species, they concluded that the genus Lepidium has its centre of origin in the Irano-Turanian and Mediterranean region attaining its current global distribution through migrations into North America via the Bering Land Bridge and into Australia via long-distance dispersal from western California, followed by hybridization with South African species (Mummenhoff et al. 2001, 2004; Koch and Kiefer 2006; Dierschke et al. 2009). Using one nuclear marker and one plastome spacer Özudoğru et al. (2015) showed that the genus Ricotia likely originated from the Antalya region or the Anatolian Diagonal. The genus Heldereichia has its highest morphological leaf diversity in the south east of the Anatolian Diagonal (Parolly et al. 2010). With a haplotype analysis Ansell et al. (2011) showed that Arabis alpina had its origin and highest genetic diversity in Anatolia. Moreover, Ansell et al. (2011) showed that the Anatolian Diagonal might have functioned as bridge for the range expansion of A. alpina. Not only species and genera had their origin in the Irano-Turanian region. Karl & Koch (2013) showed that the diversification of the tribe Arabideae happened most likely in Anatolia and the Levantine coast. Hedge (1976) postulated that the most species rich region is probably also the cradle of origin for a lineage. However, this hypothesis does not always hold up. For example, the Andes mountain range contains the highest species diversity of the largest Brassicaceae genus Draba. However the centre of origin of Draba correlates with the region with the highest genetic diversity: the Irano-Turanian region (Jordon-Thaden 2009, Jordon-Thaden et al. 2013).

Our plastome and nuclear rDNA phylogenies provide independent evidence that the genus Aethionema can be split in three main clades (A, B and C). The three clades can roughly be distinguished morphologically based on leaf shape and/or the presence of spines. Our results agree with the maximum likelihood analysis of Lenser et al. (2016), based on only two chloroplast markers and fewer taxa, and resolve that heterocarpic infructescence, annuality and spine formation have independent origins. Aethionema clade A probably originated from the western Anatolian/eastern Mediterranean region, while clade B had an Anatolian Diagonal origin, similar to the origin of the Aethionema (Fig. 2). The north/east – south/west orientation of the diagonal provides the connection between the east-west

Fig. 2 (Next page) Ancestral area reconstruction of Aethionema along a geological time scale. Blocks on the right correspond with the occurrence for every terminal. Grey is used as a background, colour all other colours match with the areas in the inserted map. The three highest S-DIVA and BBM results are given for fifteen nodes with coloured blocks. The colour corresponds with the probability of reconstructed ancestral are (range given along ancestral Aethionema branch) and the letter within the block the representing area. Letters other than A-N denote the following: V= CH, BCN, BCG BCM, BCL, BCH, BCD, BC, C, BCF, CF, BCE; W= EGM, GM, EM, EMN, GLM, ELM, GMN, GLN, EGN, GN, EN, EL, ELN, EUL, GL; X= CDG, DEG, DFG, DG, DJ, CDE, DEF; Y= BCF, BH, B, C, BF; Z=BDG, BDG. Geological events are shown with coloured bars along the graph. They have been adapted from Manafzadeh et al., (2016). The graph on the lower left bottom shows the dispersal and vicariance events from the S-DIVA and BBM analyses.
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directed mountains of the Mediterranean and the Caspian Sea (Ansell et al. 2011, Médail & Diadema 2009). The Anatolian Diagonal has been shown to serve as a barrier for some animal species (Gül 2013, Vamberger et al. 2013) or as a diversification spot for other groups (Stümpel et al. 2016). However, as Davis (1971) already suggested, the Anatolian Diagonal might have served as a land bridge between east and west for plants. This hypothesis has been recognized for the Brassicaceae genera *Lepidium, Draba* and *Arabis*, specially *Arabis alpina* (Mummenhoff et al. 2001; Jordon-Thaden 2009; Ansell et al. 2011; Karl & Koch 2013) and in this research for *Aethionema*.

The dispersal of *Aethionema* happened mainly during the Pleistocene when an ice sheet covered the European continent that did not reach into the Mediterranean and Irano-Turanian region (Ansell et al. 2011, Micó et al. 2009). The temperate climate in the Irano-Turanian region during the glacial periods might have made it possible for *Aethionema* species to disperse, as they tend to grow at high elevations and flower before the summer heat (Bibalani 2012). *Aethionema* dispersed and probably went through allopatric speciation cycles, including hybridization and polyploidization, after the newly formed mountain ranges caused topographical heterogeneity in the Irano-Turanian region. The *Aethionema* diversification coincided with the Arabeideae diversification, the largest Brassicaceae tribe (Karl & Koch 2013). It has been hypothesized that the rapid radiation seen in the Brassicaceae might have been due to the evolution of novel traits (Schranz et al. 2012). The co-evolution of the Pirideae butterflies and Brassicaceae defence compounds (glucosinolates) against the Pirideae caterpillars is an example of a co-evolved novel trait (Edger et al. 2015). Our research puts the evolution of these novel traits in a time frame where local geological changes might have had a hand in the radiation of a plant family and together with it the evolution other kingdoms. However, this hypothesis still needs to be tested by assessing radiation patterns of the different Brassicaceae lineages, including *Aethionema*.

Our analyses place the crown node age of the Brassicaceae in the Early Eocene, around 48 Mya. This is congruent with the estimates of Bellstein et al. (2010; ~54.3Mya), Huang et al. (2015; ~42 Mya), Couvreur et al. (2010; ~38 Mya) and Cardinal-McTeague et al. (2016; ~43.4 Mya) and earlier than found by Edger et al. (2015) and Hohmann et al. (2015) (both ~ 32 Mya). There are two main methods to calibrate the age of divergence of a phylogeny, directly through fossils (primary calibration) or by using already published estimates (secondary calibration). When a secondary calibration method is used, the error rates should be taken into account (Franzke et al. 2016). The presently known Brassicaceae fossils are from derived branches in the core group (see Franzke et al. (2016) for an overview). As our focus here is on the divergence of *Aethionema*, a lineage without known fossils, we used the most recent calibration, including error rates, for the most recent common ancestor of the Brassicaceae and Cleomaceae (Cardinal-McTeague et al. 2016). Our estimates of the divergence of *Aethionema* into three clades corresponds to the estimations done until now (Hohmann et al. 2015, Huang et al. 2016, Cardinal-McTeague et al. 2016), taking the confidence intervals into account (Franzke et al. 2016).

We used a combination of silica dried and herbarium specimens to infer the chloroplast and nuclear rDNA phylogenies. The newest sequencing techniques make it possible to use natural history collections in a phylogenomic context, although fewer reads are recovered from older specimens (Bakker et al. 2015). Herbarium specimens have been used to
investigate the movement of domesticated crops (Ames & Spooner 2008) and their pests (Yoshida et al. 2013, Yoshida et al. 2014). Moreover, specimens in natural history collections can be from species that have been encountered only once (Sebastian et al., 2010), are now extinct (Zedane et al. 2016) or occur in areas that are hard to access, due to geographical or political issues, as is the case here. However, as already known for a very long time (but quantified by Goodwin et al. (2015)) the uncritical use of natural history specimens can lead to inaccurate results. Our herbarium samples were curated under supervision of the authors (Al-Shehbaz, Dönmez) and 41% of the herbarium sheets used for the ancestral area reconstruction of Aethionema were identified by one of our researchers (Al-Shehbaz, Dönmez) in the last fifteen years. The latitude and longitude data used for the ancestral area reconstruction were critically assessed by hand from all the available digitized herbarium sheets to be certain of the correct representation in the data set (Suppl. Fig. 1).

To conclude, we found that the centre of origin of the genus Aethionema is most probably along the Anatolian Diagonal. Aethionema dispersed throughout the Irano-Turanian region after the uplift of the Iranian and Anatolian plateaus and the formation of the mountain ranges in Iran and Turkey. Being the sister lineage of the Brassicaceae core group, Aethionema comprise an evolutionary important position for comparative studies within the Brassicaceae. Understanding its phylogenomic history makes it possible for future researches to understand trait evolution within the Brassicaceae.
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Suppl. Fig. 2 A) Maximum Parsimony cladogram based on the chloro-matrix. B) Phylogram of the chloro-matrix (6 chloroplast coding regions) (maximum likelihood analyses, GTR+GAMMA, unpartitioned).
Suppl. Fig. 3 Cladogram based on the maximum likelihood analysis (GTR+GAMMA, unpartitioned) of the rDNA-matrix. Bootstrap values for different partition models (unpartitioned/ITS vs coding region/ per gene partition/ PartitionFinder/ per codon partition) are shown above the branches. Posterior probabilities of the Bayesian inference based on different partition models (unpartitioned/ ITS vs Coding/ per gene partition) are shown below the branches. * = 100% bootstrap support or a posterior probability of 1.
Suppl. Fig. 4 Chronogram of Bayesian dating analyses (BEAST). Horizontal bars represent 95% highest posterior density intervals around mean node ages. The hatched horizontal bar represents the secondary constrain on the root node height following Cardinal-McTeague et al. (2016).
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Abstract

Aethionema species are the sister-group to the core Brassicaceae (including Arabidopsis thaliana) and thus have an important evolutionary position for comparative analyses. Aethionema arabicum (Brassicaceae) is emerging as a model to understand the evolution of various traits. We generated transcriptome data for seven Ae. arabicum genotypes across the species range including Cyprus, Iran and Turkey. Combined flow cytometry and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analyses identified distinct tetraploid (Iranian) and diploid populations (Turkish/Cypriot). The Turkish/Cypriot lines had a higher genome-wide genetic diversity than the Iranian lines. However, one genomic region contained genes with a higher diversity in the Iranian than the Turkish/Cypriot lines. Fifteen percent of the genes in this region were chaperonins involved in protein folding. Moreover, a genome-wide selection analysis found evidence for positive selection of the paralogous GSTF9/10 genes involved in responses to oxidative and drought stress. Additionally, an analysis of glucosinolate profiles, chemical defence compounds of the Brassicaceae, showed a difference in diversity of indolic glucosinolates between the Iranian and Turkish/Cypriot lines. Hence the different Ae. arabicum populations, Iranian vs. Turkish/Cypriot, both show potential adaptations to their local environments.
Genetic diversity of Aethionema arabicum

Introduction
The genus *Aethionema* W.T. Aiton (tribe Aethionemae) is the sister-group to the rest of the Brassicaceae family and thus serves as an important group to study the evolution of cruciferous traits. The fast-flowering annual species *Aethionema arabicum* (L.) Andr. ex DC. (Aethionemae, Brassicaceae) is being utilized as a model for comparative analysis. For example, genetic mapping of *Ae. arabicum* provides the opportunity to understand structural genomic evolution in the light of Brassicaceae genomic blocks (Lysak et al. 2016, Nguyen et al. in prep). Moreover, *Ae. arabicum* is heterocarpic, and completes its life cycle between April and the end of June on the steep stony slopes of the Irano-Turanian region (Lenser et al. 2016, Bibalani 2012). Heterocarpy is defined as the occurrence of two types of fruits on the same infructescence whereby the fruits and seeds differ in size, colour, shape, dormancy and germination (Lenser et al. 2016, Imbert 2002). The short life-cycle and the heterocarpic phenotype are likely adaptations to the unpredictable local growth conditions of *Ae. arabicum*.

*Aethionema arabicum* is native to the stony slopes across the Irano-Turanian region with its most Western and Northern border being Bulgaria (Velchev 2015). The Irano-Turanian region harbours three biodiversity hotspots and is at the interface of the Mediteranean, Saharo-Sindian and Euro-Siberian regions containing 32,000 endemic species (Takhtajan 1986, Manafzadeh et al. 2016, Davis 1965). In the western Irano-Turanian region a floristic break occurs that connects the south-western Turkish mountains with the north eastern Iranian mountains: the Anatolian Diagonal (Davis 1965). Hence the mountains of the Anatolian Diagonal connect the otherwise isolated mainly west-eastern oriented Anatolian mountain ranges (Ansell et al. 2011). The Anatolian Diagonal has been regarded as a barrier for animals (Gül 2013, Vamberger et al. 2013) and a migratory route for plant species (Ansell et al. 2011, Mummenhoff et al. 2001, Jordon-Thaden 2009, Karl & Koch 2013). Twenty-four percent of the Brassicaceae species occur in the Irano-Turanian region (Koch & Kiefer 2006) and it has been hypothesized to be the “ancestral area” or centre of origin for the entire Brassicaceae (Al-Shehbaz et al. 2006, Couvreur et al. 2010, Franzke et al. 2011, Warwick et al. 2010, Hedge 1976). This hypothesis holds for the crucifer genera *Draba*, *Lepidium*, *Ricota* and *Aethionema* (Mummenhoff et al. 2001, Jordon-Thaden 2009, Jordon-Thaden et al. 2013, Özdüoğlu et al. 2015, Mohammadin et al. submitted).

The genus *Aethionema* shares the typical cruciferous traits, e.g. the methionine-derived glucosinolates (GS, i.e. mustard oils) with the Brassicaceae core group (Edger et al. 2015, Hofberger et al. 2013). GS are a novel suite of metabolites developed within the Brassicales as chemical defences against pathogens and herbivores (Hofberger et al. 2013, Edger et al. 2015, Halkier & Gershenzon 2006). Glucosinolates are derived from a basic sugar molecule and an amino acid with a side chain that can be elongated with carbon molecules (Halkier & Gershenzon 2006, Redovnikovic et al. 2008). In addition to their function as defence compounds, GS can also act as attractants and are economically used for their mustard flavour and anti-carcinogenic activity (Halkier & Gershenzon 2006). Although all Brassicales contain GS, the At-alpha WGD event and the arms race between Brassicaceae and its Pieridace herbivores likely increased the GS diversity of Brassicaceae (containing 120+ different compounds (Edger et al. 2015)).
In addition to the phenotypic synapomorphies shared with the rest of the Brassicaceae, *Aethionema* also share the At-alpha WGD event with the rest of the Brassicaceae (Schranz et al. 2012, Edger et al. 2015). WGD, also known as polyploidy, can also be associated with shifts in speciation rates (Koenig & Weigel 2015, Soltis et al. 2009, Zhang 2003, Ha et al. 2009). Genes duplicated by WGD can go through the process of pseudogenization (gene loss), subfunctionalization (partitioning of ancestral functions), neofunctionalization (novel gene function), and/or retain their original gene function (Song et al. 1995, Zhang 2003). Whatever their future may be, duplicated genes provide new material for mutations and therefore new material for natural selection and genetic drift to act upon (Koenig & Weigel 2015).

Polyploidisation can occur by a lack of gamete reduction (autopolyploidy) or by genome hybridization of two species coupled with lack of gamete reduction (allopolyploidy). After an allopolyploid event, polyploid and diploid progenitors have differing chromosome complements, leading to potential immediate reproductive isolation. However reproductive isolation is not always the case. For example, gene flow from the diploid *Capsella rubella* (Brassicaceae) to the tetraploid *Capsella bursa-pastoris* contributes to the genetic variation of *C. bursa-pastoris* (Slotte et al. 2008). Although a WGD event could be a playground for natural selection, many studies show that polyploids are not significantly differentiated from their diploid relatives (Arrigo & Barker 2012), nor are their speciation rates higher (Mayrose et al. 2011).

*Aethionema arabicum* has mainly been studied in a comparative framework with the rest of the Brassicaceae (Mohammadin et al. 2015, Hofberger et al. 2013, Beilstein et al. 2012), to investigate the genome and transcriptome sequences (Haudry et al. 2013, Edger et al. 2015, Mohammadin et al. 2015), long non-coding RNAs and other conserved non-coding sequences (Haudry et al. 2013, Mohammadin et al. 2015), telomeres (Beilstein et al. 2012), and the glucosinolate biosynthetic pathway (Hofberger et al. 2013). To improve our understanding of *Ae. arabicum* evolution, knowledge about the patterns of genetic diversity and structure within the species are required. Here we used a genome-wide approach to understand the genomic diversity between different *Ae. arabicum* accessions sampled widely in the Irano-Turanian region. Analysis of nucleotide polymorphism data derived from *de novo* transcriptome sequencing reveal two clear geographical groups that correlate with ploidy differences found by flow cytometric analyses. Furthermore, GS analysis finds that the geographical clusters differ in their defensive GS profiles. Finally, we also find differences in selection patterns of particular genes across the genome.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant material, RNA isolation, sequencing and assembly**

*Aethionema arabicum* seeds from seven different accessions from Cyprus, Iran and Turkey were sown in sowing-soil in pots (9cmx9cmx10cm) and grown in the greenhouse at the University of Amsterdam (18°C at night, 20°C day temperature, 12:12 light:dark regime) in the winter of 2011. Turk1 and Turk2 were from Konya (Turkey, UTM coordinates: 36.58077 N; 032.27649 E and 37.01166 N; 032.19826 E), Turk3 from Elaziğ, Turkey (no GPS data available). Iran1 came from the Dizin mountains (Karaj, Iran, UTM coordinates: 36.06851 N; 051.19645 E) and Iran2 and Iran3 from the base of the Touchal mountain (Tehran, Iran, UTM
coordinates: 36.06851 N; 051.19645 E). Cyp seeds were from Kato-Moni (Cyp, Cyprus, UTM coordinates 35.326335 N; 33.530596 E). Sampling localities are shown on the map of Fig. 1. RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, library preparation and assembly were done on the same tissues and with the same procedure as for Ae. arabicum in (Mohammadin et al. 2015). The lines were single-end sequenced with the Illumina Hiseq2000 sequencer on 1x100bp lanes, with 3 lines per lane. This resulted in 5Gb of reads per line. Aethionema carneum (Banks & Sol.) B. Fedtsch, also a heterocarpic annual belonging to the same clade as Ae. arabicum, was used as the out-group (Lenser et al. 2016) for selection pattern analyses. The Ae. carneum transcriptomes generated by Mohammadin et al. (2015) were used.

Flow cytometry

In addition to the seven Ae. arabicum plants mentioned above, we sowed Ae. carneum and the Ae. arabicum reference line for flow cytometry measurements (see above for sowing details). The ploidy levels of the eight Ae. arabicum lines and Ae. carneum were measured with a flow cytometer (Partec ClabTM, Munster, Germany). Fully-grown leaves of the same age were used (gain = 340). The nuclei were isolated by mincing the leaves with a razor blade in 1ml of the standard isolation buffer 4,6’-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Dolezelt et al. 1998).

Table 1 Ploidy level and percentage of heterozygosity of Aethionema arabicum lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Ploidy (2x)</th>
<th>Heterozygosity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ae. carneum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turk1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turk2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turk3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SNP calling and filtering

Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) were called with the unified genotyper of GATK (McKenna et al. 2010, DePristo et al. 2011) with the BadCigar read filter, operating over scatter_005 intervals and using the Ae. arabicum genome (Haudry et al. 2013) as a reference. Alignments of the coding regions were made using in house perl scripts producing diploid alignments. The VCF file was filtered with GQ ≥ 40, excluding SNPs with missing alleles. VCFtools (Danecek et al. 2011) was used to filter SNP data, and calculate the number of heterozygous loci per individual and fixed heterozygosity levels. Diploid alignments were made based on the GATK output, with ‘allele_1’ being the same as the reference and ‘allele_2’ containing all the mutations.

To further validate the assignment of potential alleles for heterozygous regions, we additionally called the alleles based on phased SNPs with HapCut (Bansal & Bafna 2008). SNPs with a GQ ≤ 60 were removed before the following analysis. All analyses were done using-
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house perl scripts and MySQL. Phased haplotype blocks were based on the HapCut output (heterozygous SNPs) and the VCF output (homozygous SNPs). Since some of our populations are tetraploid (see Results), we wished to investigate the possibility of allopolyploid origins. However, without knowing the diploid parental genomes, it is not feasible to phase across distinct haplotype blocks. Hence, to investigate the possibility of allopolyploidy we assumed that the haplotypes with more alleles from the reference genome are from one chromosome sequence (allele_1) and the haplotypes with more alternative alleles are from the second chromosome (allele_2).

Population structure analyses

STRUCTURE v 2.3.4 (K=2-5, burn-in period=10,000, 20,000 runs; Pritchard et al. 2000) and PCOA analyses were used for population structure analyses. Both were conducted on a genotype matrix generated with VCFtools (‘–012’ command) on the filtered SNPs. The reference line was added to this matrix as an individual with only homozygous reference alleles. The PCOA analysis was done with the “bigmemory” and “ape” packages in R v 3.2.1 (Kane et al. 2013, Paradis et al. 2004). With the exception of the network tree all figures were made in R v 3.2.1 with the “ggplot2” package (Wickham 2009) and combined with inkscape and GIMP.

Maximum likelihood trees were inferred for the unphased alignments that passed the SNP filters (RAxML v8.2.4, GTR-GAMMA nucleotide model, 1000 rapid bootstraps, random seed=12345 and both Ae. carneum alleles as outgroups, (Stamatakis 2014)). A consensus network was made from all the maximum likelihood trees in SplitsTree (mean edge-weight and threshold = 0.1, Huson & Bryant 2006).

To assess whether the network pattern does or does not depend on tandem duplicates, single copy genes of Ae. arabicum were used for a separate consensus network analyses. We assessed synteny between Ae. arabicum and the 959 Arabidopsis thaliana single copy genes (Duarte et al. 2010) with SynFind in CoGe (Lyons & Freeling 2008). Genes from the unphased alignments with only one syntenic hit and no proxys that occurred in our gene set were used.

We used the first twenty-five genes of the phased dataset to assess whether their network differs from the unphased alignments. However, as these genes gave us the same result as the unphased network we continued with the unphased dataset.

Genome-wide diversity analyses

Following the results of STRUCTURE, PCOA and gene tree network analyses, we further analysed the unphased data. We analysed each allele type separately and split the individuals in two groups: the Iranian group and the Turkish group (including the Cypriotic line) based on ploidy differences. The diploid alignments were separated into allele type with an in-house python script. Population genetic summary statistics were obtained with Phylomorphorama (Bachtrog & Andolfatto 2006) for every allele type separately and averaged per allele to have a per gene per individual specific descriptive. For genome-wide patterns we used our
Fig. 1 Consensus network of 8,969 bi-allelic expressed protein-coding genes of seven Aethionema arabicum lines with Aethionema carneum used as the outgroup. The numbers along the branches show the percentage of trees supporting the branch. Naming of the tips is as follows: LocationPopulation_Allele. Hence Turk1_1 and Turk1_2 are the two alleles of the Turk1 population, coming from Turkey. The inserted map shows the sampling locations; the blue dots correspond to the Turkish and Cypriot individuals, the red one to the Iranian individuals. Inserted is a PCOA plot showing the population clusters with the percentage of variation by the axis within brackets. The bars along the network show the result of a STRUCTURE analysis for K=3, only one bar per population is shown. (A) Shows the structure of the IranX_1 allele.
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Table 2 Population genomic descriptives for Turkish and Iranian lines of Aethionema arabicum. Aethionema carneum was used as the outgroup to calculate the values for segregating sites. N= Total number of the site class, S = number of polymorphic sites, D= number of segregating sites. Averages are shown for the synonymous nucleotide diversity (π) and the pairwise divergence (Dxy) with SD in brackets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Site Class</th>
<th>Nsites</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>π</th>
<th>Dxy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turkey (n=4)</td>
<td>Synonymous</td>
<td>2,545,326.617</td>
<td>46737.5</td>
<td>130091.5</td>
<td>0.0108 (0.0156)</td>
<td>0.0636 (0.0338)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonsynonymous</td>
<td>8,535,539.384</td>
<td>20787.5</td>
<td>51385</td>
<td>0.0014 (0.0025)</td>
<td>0.0075 (0.0074)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran (n=3)</td>
<td>Synonymous</td>
<td>2,624,706.333</td>
<td>4141</td>
<td>171352</td>
<td>0.0012 (0.0039)</td>
<td>0.0705 (0.0301)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonsynonymous</td>
<td>8,774,195.667</td>
<td>4060</td>
<td>70775.5</td>
<td>0.0003 (0.0012)</td>
<td>0.0087 (0.0076)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

genetic linkage map (Nguyen et al., in prep). dN/dS between *Ae. arabicum* and *Ae. carneum* was directly calculated from the Phylogenmorpharama output using the ratio of per site non-synonymous to synonymous substitution rates, also first per allele type and then averaged over both types to get a per gene dN/dS value. Sliding windows were calculated for windows of 50cM with a step size of 8cM using an in-house R script and the genetic map of Nguyen et al. (in prep). *Arabidopsis thaliana* homologs of the *Ae. arabicum* genes were assessed with the SynFind tool CoGe (Lyons & Freeling 2008).

**Glucosinolate isolation and analysis**

Seeds from all lines were imbibed on wet filter paper in the dark for three days at 20°C. Five seeds from the same individual were sown in 12cm round pots, in sowing soil, in the greenhouse at Wageningen University and Research in the summer of 2016. Flowers, fruits and leaves were isolated from 3 adult plants per line, frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at -80°C. Samples were freeze-dried for 24 hours and ground with 4-10 2mm glass beads. Samples that were lighter than 4mg were pooled. Samples between 4-10mg were extracted with 1mL of 80% methanol with an internal standard of 0.05 mM intact 4-hydroxybenzylglucosinolate. The samples were analysed by HPLC-UV following Burow et al. (2006) with the following adjustments of the chromatographic gradient: water (A)-acetonitrile (B) gradient (0-8 min, 10-50% B; 8-8.1 min, 50-100% B; 8.1-10min 100% B and 10.1-13.5 min 10% B; flow 1.0 mL min-1). The *Ae. arabicum* genes of the glucosinolate pathway were based on the *A. thaliana* homologs found by (Hofberger et al. 2013). Using BlastN we assessed whether these genes were expressed in our transcriptome data and whether they were under selection by assessing their presence in our list of genes with SNPs.

**Results**

**Sequencing statistics and flow cytometry**

We sequenced the transcriptomes of seven *Ae. arabicum* lines that are representative of the species distribution range. In addition, we used the already published transcriptome of the reference line of *Ae. arabicum* (Haudry et al. 2013) and of the outgroup species *Ae. carneum* (Mohammadin et al. 2015).
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The *Ae. arabicum* accessions from Turkey, Cyprus, Iran and *Ae. carneum* had an average of 38,689,493.8 (±7,951,220.4 SD) reads before quality trimming. 87.3% of the reads remained after quality trimming (33,776,390.2 ± 6,958,395.1 SD). These were assembled relative to the reference line (Haudry et al. 2013) into an average of 18,881 (± 210.6 SD) contigs with an average length of 803 bp (± 3.1 SD).

The heterozygosity levels of the Iranian lines exceeded the percentage of heterozygous loci between the *Ae. arabicum* reference line and the outgroup *Ae. carneum* (Table 1). Flow cytometry analyses showed that the Iranian lines are tetraploid while all the other *Ae. arabicum* lines and *Ae. carneum* are diploid, (Table 1). Thus, the higher degree of observed ‘heterozygosity’ of the Iran lines is caused by (disomic) polyplody.

**Population structure**

A total of 171,916,211 SNPs were called for all individuals against the reference *Ae. arabicum* genome. Filtering reduced this to a total of 22,088,876 bi-allelic sites, representing 9,070 coding genes.

The PCOA and STRUCTURE analyses show the clustering of the Iranian and the Turkish lines (Suppl. Fig. 1, eigenvalues PCOA1=610,115.6, PCOA2=346,738.7, explaining a total of 76.45% of the variation). The PCOA results also show that the reference line is nested with other Turkish lines (Fig. 1).

Out of the 9,070 coding genes with SNPs, there were 8,969 unphased alignments that passed the RAXML criteria. The consensus network of all 8,969 trees show that in 93.5% of the trees the second allele from the Iranian lines split off from the other alleles (Fig. 1). Both alleles of the Turkish lines, the first allele of the Iranian lines and the Cypriotic alleles cluster together. Only 11.5% of the trees support a separation of the first Iranian allele from the Turkish and Cypriotic alleles (Fig. 1). This indicates a similarity of one of the Iranian alleles with the primary Turkish/Cypriotic allele cluster, while the other allele is as separated from the Turkish/Cypriotic cluster similar to the outgroup species *Ae. carneum*. This separation suggests a likely tetraploid origin or an introgression history for the Iranian lines. The network made of 158 single copy genes and of the phased genes showed the same pattern (Suppl. Fig. 2, Suppl. Fig. 3).

Following the above-mentioned ploidy differences between the Iranian and Turkish/Cypriotic (hence called Turkish) lines, we analysed the two geographical groups separately for their genome wide diversity patterns. This was conducted to exclude biases by quantitatively comparing populations with different ploidy levels, although this also assumes a potential allopolyploid origin of the Iranian lines by analysing diversity for the Iranian lines within putative allelic homeologs.

**Population genomic analyses**

The dataset of the Turkish individuals contained a total of 11,080,866 sites, of which 0.61% was polymorphic and 1.64% diverged from *Ae. carneum* (Table 2). The Iranian individuals had a total 11,398,902 sites, with 0.07% polymorphic sites and 2.14% sites diverging from
Table 3 Forty-two *Aethionema arabicum* (Gene) genes with the dN/dS values above 2 and the function of their *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Ath) homologs. Ordering is based on linkage group (LG) of Nguyen et al. (in prep.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>dN / dS Iran</th>
<th>dN / dS Turkey</th>
<th>LG</th>
<th>Ath homolog</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA46G00131</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AT2G22420</td>
<td>Peroxidase superfamily protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA46G00063</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AT2G21390</td>
<td>Coatomer, alpha subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA39G00033</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AT1G17340</td>
<td>SACS5; Phosphoinositide phosphatase family protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA37G00130</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>AT1G16250</td>
<td>Galactose oxidase/kelch repeat superfamily protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA38G00092</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>AT1G69890</td>
<td>Unknown function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA31G00578</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>AT1G73660</td>
<td>SIS8; similarity to MAPKKs. May function as a negative regulator of salt tolerance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA16G00008</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>AT3G46960</td>
<td>SKI2; The gene encodes a DExD/H box RNA helicase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA33G00186</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>AT2G18915</td>
<td>ADAGIO2; encodes a member of F-box proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA26G00586</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>AT3G19130</td>
<td>RBP47B; RNA-binding protein 47B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA26G00557</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>AT3G1878</td>
<td>ACT2; an actin constitutively expressed in vegetative structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA26G00211</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>AT3G14990</td>
<td>ATDJA1A; a homolog of animal DJ-1 superfamily protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA17G00105</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>AT3G23080</td>
<td>Polyketide cyclase/dehydrase and lipid transport superfamily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA60G00134</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AT2G36580</td>
<td>Pyruvate kinase family protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA32G00948</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AT2G27180</td>
<td>Unknown protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA32G00681</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AT2G30170</td>
<td>PCB; a chloroplast PP2C phosphatase required for efficient dephosphorylation of PSII proteins, involved in light acclimation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA32G00635</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AT2G30860</td>
<td>GSTF9; Encodes glutathione transferase from phi class of GSTs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA32G00024</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AT4G00752</td>
<td>UBX domain-containing protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA10G00445</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AT4G26850</td>
<td>GGP; involved in ascorbate biosynthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA53G00888</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>AT3G26782</td>
<td>Tetramericopeptide repeat (TPR)-like superfamily protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA53G00570</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>AT1G61740</td>
<td>Sulfite exporter TauE/SafE family protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA53G00165</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>AT1G32080</td>
<td>Encodes LrgAB/CidAB protein localized to the chloroplast envelope involved in chloroplast development, carbon partitioning and leaf senescence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA102G00285</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>AT4G31340</td>
<td>Myosin heavy chain-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA87G00277</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>AT4G14410</td>
<td>BHLH104; DNA binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA6G00107</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>AT4G19860</td>
<td>Encodes a cytosolic calcium-independent phospholipase A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA3G00143</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>AT4G09970</td>
<td>Unknown protein</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table 3 continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>d N / d S Iran</th>
<th>d N / d S Turkey</th>
<th>LG</th>
<th>Ath homolog</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA27G00216</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>AT5G35910</td>
<td>RPP6L2; a nuclear-localized RPP6-like protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA27G00041</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>AT5G38160</td>
<td>Bifunctional inhibitor/seed storage 2S albumin superfamily protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA55G00047</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>AT5G52060</td>
<td>A member of Arabidopsis BAG (Bcl-2-associated athanogene) proteins.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA44G00394</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>AT5G11420</td>
<td>Unknown protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA41G00046</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>AT5G51150</td>
<td>Mitochondrial import inner membrane translocase subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA65G00058</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>AT1G09970</td>
<td>RLK7 belongs to a leucine-rich repeat class of receptor-like kinase (LRR-RLKs). Involved in the germination speed and the tolerance to oxidant stress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA4AG0198</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>AT5G64650</td>
<td>Ribosomal protein L17 family protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA21G00354</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>AT2G46200</td>
<td>Unknown protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA19G00252</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>AT5G03240</td>
<td>UBC3; encodes ubiquitin that is attached to proteins destined for degradation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA62G00082</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>AT3G53360</td>
<td>Tetrapectype repeat (TPR)-like superfamily protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA61G00625</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>AT3G62980</td>
<td>Tetrapectype repeat (TPR)-like superfamily protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA61G00276</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>AT3G58570</td>
<td>P-loop containing nucleoside triphosphate hydrolases superfamily protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA61G00272</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>AT3G58510</td>
<td>DEA(D/H)-box RNA helicase family protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA2G00087</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>AT1G49540</td>
<td>ELP2; elongator protein 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA2G00072</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>AT1G49340</td>
<td>PI4K; phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase expressed in inflorescences and shoots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA20G00085</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>AT2G20920</td>
<td>Protein of unknown function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA57G00218</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>AT4G19600</td>
<td>Encodes a cyclin T partner CYCT1; plays important roles in infection with Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ae. carneum (Table 2). The synonymous polymorphism of the Iranian lines was much lower than the Turkish lines ($\pi_{\text{Iran}} \sim 0.12\%$ vs. $\pi_{\text{Turk}} \sim 1.08\%$, Table 2), although the Iranian lines come from a very restricted geographical region. The levels of polymorphism of non-synonymous sites were decreased by an order of magnitude for both groups ($\pi_{\text{Iran}} \sim 0.03\%$ vs. $\pi_{\text{Turk}} \sim 0.14\%$, table2).

**Genome-wide selection patterns**

The within population polymorphisms, synonymous substitution rates, and the between species dN/dS ratios were calculated for every gene and plotted relative to their genomic location based on their genetic linkage map positions (Fig. 2). dN/dS ratios were calculated between the outgroup species *Ae. carneum* and the two populations (Iran and Turkey). Of the 9,070 genes that passed the SNP calling restrictions, only 6,867 could be mapped relative to the genome. Of the 2,203 genes that did not map against the linkage map, 587 genes were on scaffolds that have not yet been incorporated in the linkage map. The other 1,616 genes had coordinates beyond than the outer limits of the linkage groups and were hence excluded from additional analyses.

Synonymous nucleotide diversity measures are lower in the Iranian lines compared to the Turkish lines (see above and Table 2). However, at the beginning of linkage group 10 there is a peak in diversity of the Iranian lines that decreases within 10.6cM (Fig. 2A). The synonymous polymorphism level is significantly higher here than in the rest of the transcripts (average $\pi_{\text{Iran}} = 0.016 \pm 0.0096$ SD, Wilcoxon Rank Sum test with continuity correction, W=20482, p<2.2e-16). Within the same 10.55cM interval the Turkish lines have lower but increasing
Table 4 Glucosinolate content of Iranian, Turkish and Cypriot lines of *Aethionema arabicum*. Given are the GS concentrations in umol/g with SD in brackets (n=3). Samples without a SD had to be pooled for GS analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aliphatic</th>
<th>Iranian</th>
<th></th>
<th>Turkish</th>
<th></th>
<th>Cypriot</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3MTP</td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>1.7 (1.1)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.4)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.1 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3MSOP</td>
<td>2.7 (1.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.4)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.8 (3.3)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3MSOOP</td>
<td>0.6 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0 (1.5)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7MSOH</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8MSOO</td>
<td>0.4 (0.1)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.1 (2.1)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6.4 (2.1)</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indolic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13M</td>
<td>0.1 (0)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1 (0)</td>
<td>0.1 (0)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4MO13M</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1 (0)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1MO13M</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4OH13M</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
synonymous substitution rate, although this does not differ from the rest of the transcripts (average $\pi_{\text{syn}}=0.0074 \pm 0.0093$ SD, Wilcoxon Rank Sum test with continuity correction, $W=216,580$, $p=0.29$). This region harbours 59 genes with dN/dS averages of 0.1434 ($\pm$ 0.156 SD, $n=57$) for the Iranian lines and 0.1434 ($\pm$ 0.1666 SD, $n=55$) for the Turkish lines (Suppl. Table 1). dN/dS values were not significantly different from the rest of the genome (Wilcoxon rank sum tests with continuity correction, Iran: $W=122,090$, $p=0.945$; Turkey $W=117,760$, $p=0.6829$). However as the dN/dS values here are below one there is a signal of purifying selection. Most of the genes belong to an enzymatic group, are involved in DNA and/or RNA binding, zinc ion binding or transcription factors (Suppl. Table 1). There are also genes involved in seed maturation, embryogenesis, oxygen sensing, mitochondrial inner membrane proteins and chloroplast chaperonins (Suppl. Table 1).

The averages of non-synonymous versus synonymous substitutions, dN/dS, were significantly higher for Turkey 0.155 ($\pm$ 0.322 SD, $n=6,691$) than for Iran: 0.1507 ($\pm$ 0.287 SD, $n=6,774$, Wilcoxon Rank Sum test with continuity correction, $W=23,458,000$, $p=4.153e-04$). Except for linkage group six, all the linkage groups had some genes that were under selection with a dN/dS above 2 (Fig. 2). There were a total 42 genes with evidence for being under strong positive selection, with dN/dS values above 2 (Table 3). Six out of these 42 genes are protein-coding genes within the organelles, mitochondrial and/or chloroplasts. Nineteen out of 42 genes are selected in both Iranian and Turkish lines. These genes encode fundamental cellular functions, e.g. F-box proteins and RNA helicase family proteins, but also genes that might be involved in responses to salt stress (Table 3). In the Turkish lines there is a strong selection for GST9, which is the tandem duplicate of GSTF10. These genes are involved in the building of the core structure of indolic glucosinolates and induced by drought stress (Sønderby et al. 2010, Ryu et al. 2009). Some of the genes under selection here have extremely high dN/dS values (Table 3), up to dN/dS > 8, these extreme values maybe due to misalignment between paralogous tandem duplicated genes.

**Differences in glucosinolate content**

The Iranian and Turkish lines were very similar in their aliphatic glucosinolate content within their different tissues (Table 4). However, the indolic GS profiles varied: the Turkish and Cypriot lines have a higher diversity of GS components compared with the Iranian lines. Out of the 89 genes of the GS pathway that are syntenic with Ae. arabicum (Hofberger et al. 2013) 39 were expressed in our transcriptomes (Suppl. Table 2). Our filtered unphased SNP-list contained 17 Ae. arabicum syntelogs of the GS pathway (Suppl. Table 2), including genes from the entire biosynthetic pathway, ranging from the sulphatases SOT18, that are involved in the final step of GS compound formation to the side-chain changing GOX5 (Suppl Table 2) (Sønderby et al. 2010). These genes showed similar patterns of variation to the genome-wide analyses, with low genetic diversity for the iranian lines and a higher synonymous $\pi$ for the Turkish lines. However, the *Arabidopsis thaliana* homologs involved in the biosynthesis of the core structure of indolic GS, namely GSTF9 and GSTF10, were under severe positive selection within the Turkish lines. GSTF9/10 had a dN/dS = 4.78 within the Turkish lines and 1.79 within the Iranian lines.
Discussion

Here we present the population structure, genetic diversity and selection pattern of *Ae. arabicum* using a genome wide approach and transcriptome analysis from a wide geographical range. Despite our small sample size, *Aethionema arabicum* populations have different ploidy levels with individuals from Iran being tetraploid while the Turkish and Cypriotic ones are diploid. A network analysis showed that the Turkish and Cypriotic alleles and one Iranian allele cluster together. The other Iranian allele however is as distant from this cluster as the sister species *Ae. carneum*, suggesting tetraploid origin. Genome wide diversity analyses showed that from the genes that are under selection, 14% have organeller functions largely within mitochondria and/or chloroplasts. As selection happens at the level of traits, we analysed glucosinolate (GS) defence compounds between the populations, showing a low diversity of indolic GS in the Iranian lines while the Turkish/Cypriotic lines had a higher indolic concentration and diversity. We found that the *Ae. arabicum* homologs of the tandem duplicated GSTF9/10 are under selection in the Turkish/Cypriotic lines. GSTF9 and GSTF10 are involved in the biosynthesis of GS indolic compounds and respond to pathogens and drought stress.

Flow-cytometry and genetic analyses of *Ae. arabicum* showed that the Iranian individuals studied here were tetraploid and had a low level of indolic glucosinolate compounds, while the Turkish and Cypriotic lines were diploid and had a high and more diverse level of indolic glucosinolates. In addition to the ploidy differences between the Iranian and Turkish/Cypriotic lines, we also observed morphological differences between these populations (personal observations). The Iranian lines have rounder leaves compared to the Turkish and Cypriotic lines. Not all morphological characters differ between Iranian and Turkish/Cypriotic individuals. With only four leaves until flowering, the Cypriotic line is a much faster flowering accession than the Iranian and Turkish lines which have around 9 leaves at flowering (Nguyen *et al.* in prep, personal observation). Future QTL analyses could help identify the genetic basis of variation in flowering time and different GS phenotypes (Nguyen *et al.* in prep, Mohammadin *et al.*, in prep).

The high heterozygosity levels of the tetraploid Iranian lines are consistent with a hypothesis of allopolyploidy. This hypothesis is confirmed by the gene-network analyses, where one allele is incorporated into the Turkish/Cypriotic cluster and the other allele is as distant as the outgroup species *Ae. carneum* (Fig. 1 and Suppl Fig 2 and 3). The assumption made for the gene alignments, phased and unphased, is that one of the alleles is more similar to the *Ae. arabicum* reference genome and the other contains the alternative genotypes, hence we could not detect genetic distance(s) between the parental lines. A variable ploidy level within a plant species has been documented in numerous angiosperm families, e.g. *Andropogon gerardi* (Poaceae) (Keeler 1992) and *Artemisia incana* (Asteraceae) (Dolatyari *et al.* 2013). The Brassicaceae core-group also contains many species with different ploidy levels: *Cardamine yezoensis* from eastern Asia (Marhold *et al.* 2010), many *Draba* species (Brochmann 1993, Jordon-Thaden *et al.* 2013), various *Boechera* species (Schranz *et al.* 2005, Sharbel *et al.* 2005), the European *Biscutella laevigata* (Tremetsberger *et al.* 2002), *Allysum montanum*, *Allysum repens* and *Arabidopsis arenosa* (Śpanieł *et al.* 2011, Wright *et al.* 2014) all have populations with different ploidy levels. A distinct geographical pattern of ploidy levels can be due to ecological adaptations to small differences in environmental conditions. An example of a distinct pattern of occurrence between diploid and polyploid individuals is from the subspecies complex of *Arabidopsis neglecta* subsp. *neglecta* (diploid)
and *Arabidopsis neglecta* subsp. *robusta* (tetraploid). Here the diploid is found above the tree-line in high alpine habitats and the tetraploid occurs below tree-line in different mountain ranges (Schmickl *et al.* 2012).

A genome-wide analysis of the *Ae. arabicum* genetic diversity showed a higher level of synonymous diversity within the Turkish lines and low levels of genetic diversity for the Iranian lines (Fig. 2). While the Iranian lines were sampled from a very limited geographic area, the distribution of the Turkish lines was over a much larger geographical area. The synonymous diversity levels of the two *Ae. arabicum* clusters are comparable with that of *A. thaliana*, *A. lyrata*, *Boechera stricta* and *Capsella grandiflora* showing similar synonymous substitution rates for self-pollinating Brassicaceae species between 0.003-0.023 (Gossmann *et al.* 2010, Slotte *et al.* 2010, Williamson *et al.* 2014). Similarly, the dN/dS ratios of *Ae. arabicum* fall within the range of dN/dS=0.13-0.21 found in the 257 exonic regions of the annual, biannual and perennial species *Arabidopsis lyrata*, *Capsella grandiflora* and *Noccaea paniculata* (Slotte *et al.* 2010) showing a common background selection pattern.

Although the genetic diversity of the Iranian lines was lower than that of the Turkish lines, there was one exceptional genomic region with an elevated level of synonymous nucleotide diversity for the Iranian lines. This region consisted of 59 genes, mainly coding for basic cellular functions. These 59 genes are all under purifying selection, although this is not significantly different from the rest of the expressed coding genes along the genome. More than 15% of the genes of this Iranian peak are chloroplast chaperons, belong to the mitochondrion inner membrane or have other functions involved with the organelles. Chaperons, also called heat shock proteins, are conserved throughout pro- and eukaryotes and are involved in protein folding, especially when cells are stressed (Levy-Rimler *et al.* 2002). They also buffer the destabilization of protein mutations and can as such increase genetic diversity (Tokuriki & Tawfik 2009). Tokuriki and Tawfik (2009) showed that *Escherichia coli* cells without GroEL/GoES chaperons have more than a two-fold decrease of non-synonymous substitution. The GroEL/GoES system is the bacterial homolog of the chaperons we find here that are under purifying selection.

Glucosinolate (GS) profiles vary depending on tissue and at the species level (Brown *et al.* 2003, Kliebenstein *et al.* 2001). The GS composition of *Arabidopsis thaliana* is locally adapted to its ecological environment (Kliebenstein *et al.* 2001). We found a major difference between the *Ae. arabicum* Iranian and Turkish/Cypriot lines, where the former has only one form of indolic GS and the latter contains four different indolic compounds in much higher concentrations. Plants lacking indolic glucosinolates are more susceptible to necrotrophic fungi (Zhang *et al.* 2015 and the references therein). One *A. thaliana* homolog from the GS biosynthesis pathway under selection in the Turkish/Cypriot lines: GSTF9 and GSTF10 involved in indolic GS biosynthesis. Due to our small sample size and the pooled tissues for our transcript isolation we were not able to assess differential gene expression. Although these genes potentially have a role in the formation of the core structure of indolic GS compounds, the diversity of indolic compounds found in our study is mainly due to side chain modifications (Sønderby *et al.* 2010). GSTF9 and GSTF10 are tandem repeats belonging to the plant specific ‘phi’ class of glutathionine transferase (Hayes *et al.* 2005, Bergh *et al.* 2016). Glutathione transferases are in general part of the chemical transformation of toxic compounds, catalysing the conjugation of electrophilic centre with tripeptide glutathione.
Genetic diversity of Aethionema arabicum (GSH) (Coleman et al. 1997, Frova 2006, Hayes et al. 2005). Although GSTF9/10 are tandem duplicates they seem to be expressed under different stressful conditions in A. thaliana. GSTF9 expression increases in cold treated seedlings, while GSTF10 expression increases under drought stress (Ryu et al. 2009). GST10 also belongs to a GST group that produces proteins in response to increased oxidative stress that is elevated by a pathogen attack (Marrs 1996). Thus the positive selection found here on the GSTF9/10 paralogs might indicate the adaptation of the Turkish/Cypriot lines to fungal/pathogen attacks or abiotic stresses such as drought stress.

While the genome of Ae. arabicum has been used for comparative genetic and genomic studies, little is known about the extant diversity of this species. This is partially due to the current political instability across the Irano-Turanian region, making it hard to collect samples for larger studies. Here the lack of individual and population samples was slightly counter-parted with the large transcriptome datasets generated. This resulted in the finding that the genome-wide genetic diversity was increased within the tetraploid Iranian lines containing genes encoding for chaperonins. Within the Turkish/Cypriot lines, glutathione transferase (GSTs) genes were under selection. Both chaperonins and GSTs are expressed when plant cells are stressed, encouraging the hypothesis that both populations deal with stress-full environments in their own way. A few issues still remain that might be solved with more population samples: whether the diploid and tetraploid individuals occur at distinct locations, whether there has been introgression or allopolyploidy history, and whether the tetraploids are adapted to specific ecological factor(s). Our results are a step forward and present resources that can be used to understand the genetic variation and evolution of Ae. arabicum.
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Suppl. Table 1. Population genomic descriptives and function of Arabidopsis thaliana homologs of Aethionema arabicum genes of the 59 “Iranian peak” genes.
Suppl. Table 2. Population genomic descriptives and function of Arabidopsis thaliana homologs of Aethionema arabicum glucosinolate genes.
Suppl. Fig. 1 STRUCTURE plots showing the clusters of *Aethionema arabicum* populations from Iran (Iran1-3), Turkey (Turk1-3), Cyprus (Cyp), the Reference (Ref) line and *Aethionema carneum* (Ae. carneum) transcribed protein-coding genes, with k between 2-5.
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**Suppl. Fig. 2** Consensus network of 158 bi-allelic expressed protein coding single copy genes of seven *Aethionema arabicum* lines with *Aethionema carneum* used as the outgroup. The numbers along the branches show the percentage of trees supporting the branch. Naming of the tips is as follow: LocationPopulation_Allele. Hence Tur1_1 and Tur1_2 are the two alleles of the Turk1 population, coming from Turkey. IR= Iran and Cyp= Cyprus.

**Suppl. Fig. 3** Consensus network of 25 bi-allelic expressed protein coding genes from a phased alignment of seven *Aethionema arabicum* lines with *Aethionema carneum* used as the outgroup. The numbers along the branches show the percentage of trees supporting the branch. Naming of the tips is as follow: LocationPopulation_Allele. Hence Tur1_1 and Tur1_2 are the two alleles of the Turk1 population, coming from Turkey. IR= Iran and Cyp= Cyprus.
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Abstract
The biochemical defence of plants can change during their life-cycle and impact herbivore feeding and plant fitness. The annual species *Aethionema arabicum* belongs to the sister clade to most crucifers of the Brassicaceae core group. Hence it holds a phylogenetically important position to study crucifer trait evolution. Glucosinolates (GS) are essentially Brassicales-specific metabolites involved in plant defence. Using two *Ae. arabicum* accessions (TUR and CYP) we identify substantial differences in glucosinolate profiles and quantities between lines, tissues and developmental stages. We find tissue specific side-chain modifications in aliphatic glucosinolates: methylthioalkyl in leaves, methylsulfinylalkyl in fruits and methylsulfonylalkyl in seeds. We also find large differences in absolute glucosinolate content between the two accessions (up to ten-fold in fruits) that suggest a regulatory factor is involved that is not part of the quintessential glucosinolate biosynthetic pathway. Consistent with this hypothesis, we identified a single major multi-trait QTL (Quantitative Trait Locus) controlling total GS concentration across tissues in a Recombinant Inbred Line (RIL) population derived from TUR and CYP. With fine-mapping, we narrowed the interval to a 58kb region containing fifteen genes, but lacking any known GS biosynthetic genes. The interval contains homologs of both the sulphate transporter *SULTR2;1* and *FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC)*. Both loci have diverse functions controlling plant physiological and developmental processes and thus are potential candidates regulating glucosinolate variation across the life-cycle of *Aethionema*. 
Introduction

Plant fitness depends on a plant’s ability to reach the next generation. Thus, plants must be able to defend themselves from herbivores and pathogens throughout their life cycle; first during vegetative growth, then at the time of flowering and finally during the production of fruits and seeds. Pest pressure and effects on survival and fitness can differ greatly across the growth of the plant (Van Zandt 2007). Therefore, defensive compound quality and quantity can shift and be modified during various developmental stages of the plant (Brown et al. 2003). Glucosinolates (GS, i.e. mustard oils) and their associated myrosinase enzymes form a two-component chemical plant defense in the Brassicaceae, defending against herbivores and pathogens. GS are nitrogen and sulphur-rich plant metabolites that hydrolyse, upon contact with the myrosinase enzyme, to form the herbivore-deterrent compounds nitriles and isothiocyanates (Halkier & Gershenzon 2006, Sønderby et al. 2010). GS are spatially separated from myrosinase; hence the toxic compounds are only formed after an herbivore attack when the cell is ruptured (Koroleva et al., 2000). The GS biosynthesis additionally has links to fundamental biochemical and developmental processes such as auxin biosynthesis. The Brassicaceae specific IAOX auxin pathway has shared intermediate compounds with indolic GS pathway (Mano & Nemoto 2012). Although all Brassicaceae contain GS, the highest diversity (of 120 different) GS compounds is found within the economically important family Brassicaceae (Halkier & Gershenzon 2006, Edger et al. 2015). This diversity is thought to be due to a combination of gene and genome duplications within Brassicaceae and due to the selective pressure from co-adapting Brassicaceae Pierideae herbivores (Edger et al. 2015).

Arabidopsis thaliana is used as an important system to understand GS biosynthesis and flowering time. GS quality and quantity change throughout the development of A. thaliana (Brown et al., 2003; Petersen et al., 2002) and are influenced by the presence of nutrients, such as sulphur, that are incorporated into GS (Aarabi et al. 2016, Falk et al. 2007). The availability of these compounds can lead to local adaptation of GS pathway genes (Kliebenstein et al., 2001b). GS are derived from amino acids and can accordingly be divided into three main groups: indolic, aromatic and aliphatic. The molecular mechanisms of the GS biosynthesis pathway have been reviewed and described extensively elsewhere (e.g. Halkier and Gershenzon, 2006 and Sønderby et al., 2010). The diversity of aliphatic GS is, among others, due to different chain lengths and side chain modifications. BCAT3 and GS-ELONG genes regulate chain length during the first step in the GS biosynthesis and AOP1-3 and FMO-GS-OX1-5 modify the side chains during the last step of the GS pathway (Sønderby et al., 2010 and the references therein). Arabidopsis thaliana is also an important model species for understanding the molecular mechanisms regulating flowering time (Bouché et al., 2016 and the references therein). The switch from vegetative to a generative state is one of the most important moments in the life-cycle of a plant, moderated by abiotic and biotic cues. A plant needs to defend its vegetative and its new valuable generative tissues against potentially shifting herbivore attacks. Hence, shifts between plant development and plant defence traits can be critical to plant fitness. Jensen et al. (2015) found a link between the GS pathway and the flowering-time. They incorporated the aliphatic side-chain modifiers, GS-AOP genes, in a AOP-0 background and found that they changed the flowering time of A. thaliana. Whether shifts in GS profiles and life-history transitions are seen in other Brassicaceae could establish that this is a general feature of crucifer evolution.
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The annual *Aethionema arabicum* belongs to the sister of the Brassicaceae core and hence is at an important position for genetic and genomic comparisons of trait evolution (Schranz et al. 2012). *Aethionema arabicum* occurs on steep stony slopes mainly in Iran and Turkey, although populations have also been found more distantly such as in Cyprus and Bulgaria (Velchev 2015). Populations of *Ae. arabicum* go through their entire life cycle between April and June, just before the summer heat strikes (Bibalani 2012), although their flowering time varies throughout the species distribution (Nguyen et al. in prep, Mohammadin et al. in prep). *Aethionema arabicum* is being studied to understand the molecular mechanisms of fruit heteromorphism (Lenser et al. 2016). Heteromorphism is when dehiscent and indehiscent fruits occur on the same infructensence and is hypothesized to be a survival strategy in unpredictable environments. The completion of the *Ae. arabicum* genome has made it possible to study and show the synteny of GS genes between *A. thaliana* and *Ae. arabicum* (Haudry et al. 2013, Hofberger et al. 2013). However, the diversity of GS profiles in *Ae. arabicum* is not yet known.

Here we describe the GS content of different tissues and at different developmental stages during a time course. We used two different *Ae. arabicum* accessions (TUR and CYP) differing in their life histories (Nguyen et al. in prep). We found that GS content in the leaves depends on the plants developmental stage. Moreover, we found that GS side-chain modifications are tissue-specific and that the two *Ae. arabicum* accessions had a ten-fold difference of GS concentration in the fruits and a two-fold difference in the leaves. We identified the genomic locations controlling GS profiles in *Ae. arabicum* by multi-trait and multi-environment QTL analyses using RIL populations developed from TUR and CYP. In total, we found five QTLs including one major QTL. Two QTL intervals contain homologs of *BCAT3* and *MYB28*, involved in the regulation of long-chained GS formation (Sønderby et al. 2010). Although none of the GS pathway homologs were located under our major QTL, this interval contains homologs of the sulphate transporter *SULTR1:2* and the flowering time regulator *FLC*. We believe that *Ae. arabicum* is a good system to understand the link between development and defence and that our study is a valuable first step in that direction.

Materials and Methods

Plant material

To measure GS profiles and quantities of *Ae. arabicum* during development, we measured the GS content in seeds, leaves, flowers and fruits of the *Ae. arabicum* lines CYP and TUR originating from Cyprus and Turkey. The description of these lines can be found in Nguyen et al. (in prep.). These lines vary in flowering time and occur in very different habitats within the species range of *Ae. arabicum* (Nguyen et al. in prep.). CYP and TUR are the parents of the F8 recombinant inbred lines (RILs). We measured GS content in seeds (110 RILs), infructescences and leaves (99 RILs) for QTL analyses.

For germination, seeds were placed on a wet filter paper (demi-water) in a Petri dish and sealed with Parafilm. To measure GS content through development for CYP and TUR seeds were imbibed at 18°C. Seeds showing a radical after imbibition were sown directly in 12cm pots, with five in each pot. Seeds for the QTL experiments were stratified at ~ 4°C after which they were incubated at 18°C to allow germination. Seedlings were individually sown
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Fig. 1 Time course of glucosinolate (GS) composition of different tissues of *Aethionema arabicum* accessions CYP and TUR. Shown are the averages and standard deviations. Bars and points with standard deviations have a sample size of n=3. Bars and points without standard deviations were from pooled tissue (hence n=1). All y-axes have a different scale. Numbers in brackets are total GS content in umol/gram dry weight. A) Seed and flower GS. B) Fruit GS. I = immature fruit, M=Mature fruit. Numbers of the abbreviations along the x-axis are the weeks from the start of the experiment. C) Leaf GS: for every line (CYP or TUR) the top graph are the aliphatic GS and the bottom the indolic GS. Highlighted are the number of weeks after which plants start budding (blue) or are in full bloom (pink). D) Ratio of long versus short aliphatic glucosinolates. The ratio is calculated as the sum of all C7 and C8 GS divided by all C3 GS. The x-axis shows the tissues (L=leaves, I=immature fruits, M= mature fruits, F=flower, Seeds=ripened seeds). Numbers following the abbreviations are the weeks after the start of the experiment. Vertical dotted lines divide the graph into the different tissues.
in 10cm pots. Both experiments were conducted in the climate controlled greenhouse, at Wageningen UR with long day conditions (16h light: 8h dark).

To measure GSs during development, parental plants were sampled weekly from the start of the experiment up to eight weeks. *Aethionema arabicum* does not have a rosette; hence cauline leaves of various ages were sampled and pooled to obtain the GS content throughout the plant. Seedlings, leaves, flowers, immature fruits and mature fruits where sampled separately and in triplicate. Sampling was always done at 11:00 AM, taking diurnal GS variation into account (Petersen *et al.* 2002). For the QTL experiment, leaves were collected when the RILs and parental lines had six fully developed leaves. All plants then showed reproductive buds or were fully flowering. Per line, leaves from two individuals were pooled. Reproductive tissues (infructescence, flowers, and fruits) were collected from the main stem of every RIL and parents one month after the start of the experiment. The reproductive tissues are later referred to as fruits. All samples were immediately stored in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. To assess the GS QTL(s) in seeds, we used dry ripened seeds harvested in 2014 from 110 RILs.

Frozen samples were freeze-dried at -40°C for 24 hours. For GS extraction, samples were ground with 3mm glass beads using 2-10mg of material. For the GS measurements through development if there was <5mg of material, samples were pooled from the same tissue of one parent before GS extraction. To analyse the QTL for seed GS ~10mg of seeds from all RILs were used for GS extraction.

**GS extraction and measurements**

2-10mg of freeze-dried leaves or 10mg of seeds were extracted with 1mL of 80% methanol solution (v:v) containing 0.05 mM intact 4-hydroxybenzylglucosinolate as internal standard. Samples were analyzed after desulfation by HPLC-UV as described in (Burow *et al.* 2006) with the following modification of the chromatographic gradient: water (A)-acetonitrile (B) gradient (0-8 min, 10-50% B; 8-8.1 min, 50-100% B; 8.1-10min 100% B and 10.1-13.5 min 10% B; flow 1.0 mL min-1) on a Nucleodur Sphinx RP column (250 x 4.6mm, 5µm, Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). GS were quantified by the peak area of the HPLC-UV chromatogram at 229nm. GS content was normalized to the initial dry weight of the sample (umol/gram).

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses of the development through time was not possible, because of limited sample sizes for all tissues at every time point (n=3 or less due to pooling). Despite the lack of sample size, we found interesting patterns of GS change through time.

The untransformed GS contents were used to for QTL analysis. The linkage map contains eleven linkage groups and is based on 746 SNP from genotype by sequencing analysis of 167 RILs (Nguyen *et al.*, in prep).

Genome-wide QTL analyses were done in Genstat (Payne *et al.* 2009) with a step-size of 5 cM. Single-trait, multi-trait and multi-environment QTL analyses were done for every tissue
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Separately as done by (Wei et al. 2014). This pipeline includes a single interval mapping (SIM) followed by a composite interval mapping (CIM) and a final model selection step for the single trait as well as multi-trait analyses. While single-trait QTL analyses infer QTLs per trait, multi-trait QTL analyses take all the traits simultaneously into account making it possible to assess whether a QTL has a significant effect on a trait. With a backward selection of the found QTLs multi-trait analyses can infer the effect and location of the QTL on every trait (Wei et al., 2014 and the references therein). A multi-environment linkage analysis works in a similar way as a multi-trait analysis, but now the effect of the environment (here the different tissues) on the QTLs per compound is assessed. R/qtl (Broman et al. 2003) was used to assess an interval of 1.5 LOD expanded to the markers to assess the genes underlying the QTL. R/qtl makes it possible to include bootstrapping to get the 1.5 LOD confidence interval. The significant QTLs were named according to their linkage group, followed by a number depending on the QTL location (e.g. Q1.2 would be the second QTL found on linkage group 1).

Hofberger et al. (2013) assessed the homology of the GS pathway between A. thaliana and the Ae. arabicum v1 genome. We used SynFind (Lyons & Freeling 2008) and the Ae. arabicum v2.5 genome in CoGe (Nguyen et al. in prep.; Lyons & Freeling, 2008) to establish if any of the homologs found by (Hofberger et al. 2013) of the GS pathway occur between a one marker-interval from our QTLs. We used WU BLAST from the Arabidopsis information resource (Huala et al. 2001) (with a significance cut-off value ≥ e-10, including introns and UTRs) to confirm the location of homologs between Ae. arabicum and A. thaliana for our major QTL. Moreover, we used the transcriptomes of CYP and TUR from Mohammadin et al. (in prep) to assess whether the genes under major QTLs were expressed and contained Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs). These are transcriptomes from pooled tissues and developmental stages, varying from seed to leaves, and from seedlings to adult plants. SNP quality cut-off value was set as GQ ≥ 40 from the variant calls.

Results
Glucosinolates during plant development in Aethionema arabicum

To investigate if GS contents change through Ae. arabicum plant development (temporal) and to assess the GS composition in different tissues (spatial) we measured the GS compounds of Ae. arabicum for the parental lines CYP and TUR from a seed to a fully generative (including fruits) stage.

There were nine different GS compounds detected in Ae. arabicum (Fig. 1). Aethionema arabicum seeds contain only three GS that are all aliphatic and derived from the amino acid methionine: 3MSOOP, 7MSOH and 8MSO (3-methylsulfonylpropyl, 7-methylsulfinylheptyl and 8-methylsulfinyloctyl glucosinolates respectively, Fig. 1). 8MSO was the only compound that also occurred in flowers, fruits, and leaves. In addition to 8MSO Ae. arabicum leaves and fruits also contained the Met-derived 3MSOP, 3MTP (3-methylsulfinylpropyl and 3-methylthiopropyl glucosinolates) and the indolic tryptophane-derived 1MOI3M, 4MOI3M, 4OHI3M and I3M (indolyl-3-methyl, 4-hydroxy-indolyl-3-methyl, 4-methoxy-indolyl-3-methyl, 1-methoxy-indolyl-3-methyl glucosinolates respectively, Fig. 1). Thus, compounds differ both in chain-length elongation and in side-chain modifications with a different number of oxygen and sulphur atoms creating sulfinylalkyls, sulfonylalkyls and
Table 1 Significant QTLs from single trait analyses in Aethionema arabicum TURxCYP recombinant inbred lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>GS</th>
<th>QTL</th>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Pos. (cM)</th>
<th>Low.-Upper</th>
<th>-Log10(p)</th>
<th>AE</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>PVE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>3MSOP</td>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_973817</td>
<td>151.0</td>
<td>131.92-167.72</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>16.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>3MTP</td>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_827783</td>
<td>153.9</td>
<td>143.57-164.25</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>28.34*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>8MSOO</td>
<td>Q6.2</td>
<td>S40_550522</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>61.78-95.83</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>19.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>8MSOO</td>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_609479</td>
<td>158.1</td>
<td>121.15-167.72</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>12.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>A/I</td>
<td>Q10.1</td>
<td>S61_1993061</td>
<td>124.5</td>
<td>85.19-160.54</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>16.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>A/I</td>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_827783</td>
<td>153.9</td>
<td>123.08-167.72</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>13.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>All GS</td>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_609479</td>
<td>158.1</td>
<td>147.14-167.72</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>27.13*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>3MSOP</td>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_973817</td>
<td>151.0</td>
<td>140.99-160.92</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>30.74*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>3MTP</td>
<td>Q6.2</td>
<td>S58_8426</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>30.92-114.76</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>12.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>3MTP</td>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_827783</td>
<td>153.9</td>
<td>139.37-167.72</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>22.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>8MSOO</td>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_827783</td>
<td>153.9</td>
<td>145.97-161.85</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>37.12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>4OHI3M</td>
<td>Q5.1</td>
<td>S3_4972590</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0-71.94</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>11.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>4OHI3M</td>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_827783</td>
<td>153.9</td>
<td>141.47-166.35</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>25.78*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>All GS</td>
<td>Q6.2</td>
<td>S58_8426</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>0-129.44</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>10.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>All GS</td>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_827783</td>
<td>153.9</td>
<td>141.47-166.35</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>25.78*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>3MSSPOOP</td>
<td>Q8.1</td>
<td>S81_435439</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.73-58.6</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>10.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>3MSSPOOP</td>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_827783</td>
<td>153.9</td>
<td>143.48-164.34</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>26.81*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>7MSOH</td>
<td>Q6.1</td>
<td>S5_745413</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>0-41.18</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>7MSOH</td>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_973817</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>139.57-162.35</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>24.99*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>8MSOO</td>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_973817</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>140.04-161.88</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>25.84*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>All GS</td>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_973817</td>
<td>153.9</td>
<td>146-161.83</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>13.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Glucosinolate Compound. *A/I* = ratio Aliphatic by Indolic *b* QTL name. First number corresponds to Linkage Group. *c* Position along linkage group in centimorgan (cM). *d* Lower and upper bound or QTL from Genstat. *e* Additive effect. Negative effects are from CYP, positive from TUR. Negative or positive effect means that the CYP or TUR allele has a stronger effect. *f* Standard error of additive effect. *g* Percentage of explained variance. *h* major QTL (PVE ≥ 25%, after Burke et al. 2002).
thioalkyls for aliphatic GS and adding methoxy-groups to the indolic GS. The greatest variety of compounds was found in the early developing leaves and fruits of TUR (Fig. 1B and C), although this variation decreased through time in the leaves.

The GS quality and quantity changed through time and varied between tissues (Fig. 1, Suppl. Table 1). In all tissues the ratio of long- vs. short-chained aliphatic GS was skewed towards the short-chain compounds (Fig. 1D). GS content decreases after budding sets in in both CYP and TUR (Fig. 1C and Suppl. Fig. 1). Moreover, CYP shows an increase of aliphatic GS after budding that peaks during flowering (Fig. 1C, Suppl. Fig. 1). It is not clear whether GS also increase in TUR after budding, as we do not have any data for the early seedlings of TUR (week 2). 3MTP and 3MSOP increase in CYP plants towards 13.1 μmol/gram (±4.1 μmol/gram SD) and 8.5 μmol/gram (±1.2 μmol/gram SD), respectively. The indolic I3M rises to a level of 0.2 μmol/gram (±0.1 μmol/gram SD) when CYP is fully flowering and levels off at 0.2 μmol/gram for the rest of the lifecycle. In the TUR leaves 3MTP and 3MSOP both increase to a level of 2.0 μmol/gram each (3MTP ± 1.1 μmol/gram SD and 3MSOP ± 0.7 μmol/gram SD) during flowering. While the short chain GS increase, the long chain 8MSOO decreases in the TUR lines from 3.3 μmol/gram (±3.3 μmol/gram SD) a week before bolting to 0.1 μmol/gram (±0 μmol/gram SD) three weeks after flowering (Fig. 1).

In almost all sampled tissue CYP has a higher GS content than TUR. The only exception to this are the leaf indolic GS, where TUR starts on average with a higher indolic GS content than CYP (Fig. 1C; CYP = 0 μmol/gram for all indolic GS and TUR 1MOI3M=0.1 μmol/gram; 4MOI3M=0.1 μmol/gram; 4OH3M=0.3 μmol/gram; 3M=0.6 μmol/gram). Although the TUR indolic GS decrease towards 0.1 μmol/gram or even less, the CYP indolic I3M increases through time.

QTL analyses

To understand the genetic regulation of GS in Ae. arabicum we investigated the GS profiles and identified the genomic locations underlying the GS phenotype in Ae. arabicum leaves, fruits and seeds from RILs and their parental lines TUR and CYP. In addition to single-trait QTL analyses we also applied multi-trait and multi-environment QTL analyses to assess the effects of the QTLs on the different compounds and on the different tissues.

The leaf and fruit samples of the RILs were taken after budding or even during flowering and contain only 3MSOP, 3MTP, 8MSOO, 4OH3M and I3M. The segregation spectrum of the RILs was similar for all GS whether they were isolated from leaves, fruits or seeds (Suppl. Fig. 2). However, the GS concentrations depended on compound as well as tissue, with indolics being lower than aliphatics (Suppl. Fig. 2).

For the single-trait single-environment analysis, we found six different QTLs on 4 different linkage groups Q5.1 on LG5, Q6.1 and Q6.2 on LG6, Q8.1 and Q8.2 on LG8 and Q10.1 on LG10 (Table 1). Three of the QTLs (Q6.1, Q6.2 and Q8.2) also occur in the multi-trait and multi-environment QTL analyses (Table 2 and Suppl. Table 2). Q8.2 is a major QTL throughout all our analyses. The single-trait single-environment analysis shows a QTL for the indolic 4OH3M. However, this peak is not present in the multi-environment QTL analysis (Suppl. Table 2).
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The multi-trait single environment analysis shows that 4OH13M is only significantly affected by the QTLs within the fruits (Fig. 2). The indolic 13M significantly interacts with Q8.2 in the leaves (Fig. 2). The multi-trait QTL (Fig. 2) shows that Q8.2 always interacts with all the aliphatic GS in all tissues. There is a difference in interaction between 3MSOP in leaves and fruits: while 3MSOP has a significant interaction with both QTLs in fruits there is only the significant interaction with Q8.2 in leaves (Fig. 2). 3MTP however has a significant interaction with all the QTLs in leaves. Hence the occurrence of 3MTP or 3MSOP seems to be tissue specific. Moreover, compared to leaves and fruits, seeds have two unique loci: Q2.1 and Q8.1.

The multi-trait (Fig. 2) and multi-environment (Suppl. Table 2) analyses both show a large effect from the TUR allele for Q6.2 and Q8.2 in leaves and seeds, and for Q6.2 in leaves and fruits. However, Q2.1 in seeds (for 7MSOH and 8MSOO) had a high effect from the CYP allele. Q8.2 had a strong effect from the CYP allele for the indolic GS, which was also the case for leaf Q6.1 (Fig. 2). The combination of the low GS levels for TUR compared to CYP and the strong effect of the TUR allele on the QTLs suggests that our QTLs are inhibitors of GS synthesis or transport.

As leaves and fruits contain the same compounds, we used a multi-environment QTL analyses to assess the effect of the QTLs on the tissues for every compound. In addition to the already shown QTLs of the single trait single environment analysis and the multi-trait single environment analysis, the multi-environment single trait analysis has three new QTLs: Q1.1, Q3.1 and Q8.3 (Suppl. Table 2). This comparison shows that the QTLs are significantly correlated mainly in fruits. An exception to this is 3MTP where both leaves and fruits are significantly correlated with the QTLs.

Using the homology and synten between A. thaliana and Ae. arabicum of GS pathway genes (Hofberger et al. 2013) we assessed whether any of the genes were potential candidate genes for any of our QTLs. We found BCAT3 was within the confidence interval of Q2.1 and MYB28 was in the confidence interval of Q6.1. None of the GS pathway genes were coded by the Ae. arabicum genes under the major QTL, Q8.2, that appears in every comparison. Using WU-BLAST (Huala et al., 2001; Suppl. Table 3) we identified a total of 87 genes within the confidence interval. Using the raw genotype information from Nguyen et al. (in prep.) we were able to define more precisely the region to 58kb and fifteen genes (Suppl. Table 3). The genes have diverse functions including fatty acids biosynthesis, ethylene-activated signalling, proteolysis, Pollen Ole e1, one unknown protein, the sulphate transporter SULTR2;1 and the FLOWERING TIME LOCUS C.

Eight out of the 15 genes within the 58kB interval had SNPs within the transcriptomes of Mohammadin et al. (in prep; Suppl. Table 3). Although SULTR2;1 has SNPs in this transcriptome dataset the SNP seen in FLC did not seem to have a polymorphism according to our cut-off values (there was one SNP in FLC with GQ=39, our cut-off was GQ ≥ 40).

Discussion

Here we present the correlation between the reproductive phase change and the composition of defence compounds in the annual Brassicaceae Aethionema arabicum. Although the
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Fig. 2 Additive effect of QTLs from a multi-trait QTL analysis for different glucosinolates (GS) from different tissues of Aethionema arabicum. Shown are the Linkage Groups (numbers above the x-axis) with lines in them for the QTL. The middle line is always the main locus; the other two are its closest markers. Positive effects are from the TUR allele, and negative values from the CYP allele. Points represent the tissues (different shapes) and GS compounds (different colours, see legend at bottom of figure) with their standard error (grey whiskers). QTL with a significant (p < 0.05) effect on the GS are denoted with an asterisk. Blue bars along the linkage groups represent the QTL position and candidate genes. All points belonging to the same QTL are off-set for visibility.

GS pathway has been extensively studied in Arabidopsis thaliana, the information from a phylogenetically distant crucifer may elucidate alternative GS regulation. We show that the major genomic location (Q8.2) associating with GS variation contains fifteen genes, among which are the sulphur transporter SULTR2;1 and the FLOWERING TIME LOCUS C (FLC), genes that are not known to be directly involved in the GS biosynthesis pathway.

Aethionema arabicum ripened seeds, from CYP as well as from TUR, have lower GS diversity than Ae. arabricum fresh leaves. This differs from other crucifers, e.g. A. thaliana, Brassica oleracea and B. napus, where the GS diversity and concentration are the highest in the seeds and decrease in the following order in the inflorescence, siliques, leaves and roots (Brown et al., 2003; Sotelo et al., 2014; Velasco et al., 2008). Aethionema arabicum fresh fruits, including seeds, have very high GS levels (Fig. 1) comparable to the levels found in A. thaliana seeds (Brown et al. 2003). While all Ae. arabicum tissues contain indolic GS, their ripened seeds lack these compounds. The difference in indolic GS is also seen between the seeds and leaves of A. thaliana, B. oleracea and B. napus (Brown et al. 2003, Petersen et al. 2002, Sotelo et al. 2014, Velasco et al. 2008, Kliebenstein et al. 2001). Aliphatic GS are known to have a negative effect on the survival and growth of herbivorous insects (Beekweelder et al. 2008) explaining the persistence of aliphatic GS in the two-year-old Ae. arabicum seeds, but also the presence of GS in seeds of Brassicaceae that lack GS in their vegetative tissue (Windsor et al. 2005). The difference between young versus old tissue might indicate a breakdown process of (indolic) GS in the seed cells over time or a
translocation process (away from the seeds) as the seeds ripen, though it might also be correlated to the developmental stage of ripened seeds, hypotheses still to be tested.

The two parental ecotypes used here (CYP and TUR) had a ten-fold difference in GS concentrations in the fruits and two-fold difference concentration in leaves, with CYP always having the higher aliphatic GS concentration (Fig. 1). These differences seem to reflect the extremes found in other Brassicaceae species. For example Italian horseradish (*Armoracia rusticana*) roots can differ up to twenty times in GS concentration depending on the accession (Agneta et al. 2014); American wild radish (*Raphanus raphanistrum*) accessions has more than 20x difference in GS concentrations in its secondary branches of plants from North Carolina vs Mississippi (Malik et al. 2010); and *A. thaliana* leaves can have extremes of more than 10x differences in total GS concentrations (Kliebenstein et al. 2001).

The different tissues of *Ae. arabicum* all show a skewed ratio of long- versus short-chain GS and different side chain modifications. A similar pattern has been shown in *A. thaliana*, where ecotypes with higher amounts of C3 GS had lower C8 to C7 ratios (Kliebenstein et al. 2001). The negative long-short correlation could be a biochemical effect whereby short GS precursors are kept in the chain elongation loop as long as they are not used (Olson-

Table 2 Significant QTLs from multi trait analyses in *Aethionema arabicum* TURxCYP recombinant inbred lines. The percentage of variability explained is shown for every GS compound that had a significant (*\( \alpha \leq 0.05 \)) interaction with the QTL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QTL</th>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>LG(^a)</th>
<th>Pos. (cM)(^a)</th>
<th>-Log(p)</th>
<th>GS(^c)</th>
<th>PVE %(^d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q6.1</td>
<td>S5_745413</td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.57</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>3MTP</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6.2</td>
<td>S40_63849</td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>74.62</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>3MTP</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_827783</td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>153.91</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>3MSOP</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6.2</td>
<td>S40_63849</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>74.62</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>3MSOP</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_827783</td>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>153.91</td>
<td>17.26</td>
<td>3MSOP</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6.1</td>
<td>S5_745413</td>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.57</td>
<td>9.28</td>
<td>7MSOH</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2.1</td>
<td>S13_476613</td>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>167.30</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>3MSOOP</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8.1</td>
<td>S93_383588</td>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>3MSOOP</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8.2</td>
<td>S44_827783</td>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>153.91</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>3MSOOP</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Linkage Group. \(^b\) Marker Position in centimorgans. \(^c\) Significant glucosinolate compounds (\( \alpha \leq 0.05 \)). \(^d\) Percentage of variance explained. \(^e\) Major QTL (PVE \( \geq 25\%\), after Burke et al. 2002).
Manning et al. 2015). Aethionema arabicum has tissue specific oxidation levels of GS side chain modifications: leaves were mainly correlated with 3MTP, while 3MSOP is linked to fruits and 3MSOP occurs only in the flowers and seeds (Fig. 1), this is also reflected in the multi-trait QTLs (Fig. 2). Side chain oxidation (OHP vs 3MSOP) has a negative effect on the weight gain of herbivores (Rohr et al. 2009) presenting an array of testable hypotheses for the ecological effect of highly oxidized GS in Ae. arabicum seeds. Knowing the genetic architecture controlling GS variation in Ae. arabicum can elucidate GS regulation and tissue specific side chain modifications. Moreover the correlation between the long and short aliphatic GS indicates a similar regulatory factor. However, none of the expected GS pathway genes, e.g. MAM’s, CYP’s, GS-OK’s or AOP’s were associated with identified QTLs. Only two of the minor QTLs contain genes involved in the chain elongation: BCAT3 and MYB28 (Beekweelder et al. 2008, Knill et al. 2008). BCAT3 is involved in the chain elongation process and BCAT3 knockouts increase the level of long chain GS compounds (Knill et al. 2008, Sønderby et al. 2010). Although it is not known how MYB28 is involved in the long-chain GS biosynthesis it has been shown that the knockout myb28 blocks the expression of long-chain GS (Beekweelder et al. 2008).

The GS quantity and quality changes throughout the development of Ae. arabicum. This is most clearly seen in CYP where at the onset of budding there is an increase in aliphatic GS (Fig. 1 and Suppl. Fig. 1). Moreover both in CYP and TUR the level of GS decreases after flowering (Fig. 1 and Suppl. Fig. 1). Combining the change in GS throughout Ae. arabicum’s development and the large difference of GS concentration found between CYP and TUR strongly suggests some regulatory factor(s) other than the known genes involved in the GS biosynthesis pathway. The multi-trait and multi-environment QTL analyses indeed show one major QTL (Q8.2) explaining up to 37% of the variation of the lines (Table 1). Preliminary fine mapping this region indicated fifteen genes, among which the sulphate transporter SULTR2;1 and FLOWERING TIME LOCUS C (FLC, one of the MADS-box transcription factors that regulated flowering in Brassicaceae (Lettswaart et al. 2012, Bouché et al. 2016) are the most intriguing candidates.

SULTR2;1 is involved in the root to shoot sulphate transport (Gigolashvili & Kopriva 2014). Sulphur is an essential macronutrient for plant development (Gigolashvili & Kopriva 2014). Sulphur is used in the biosynthesis of several compounds varying from amino acids to proteins, co-enzymes, vitamins and defence metabolites like GS (Gigolashvili & Kopriva 2014, Falk et al. 2007). With at least two sulphur atoms GS can include ~30% of the plants sulphur (Aarabi et al. 2016). The addition of sulphur can increase GS levels with 25%-50%, depending on the amount of sulphur and the treatment (Falk et al. 2007). There are four groups of sulphate transporters: high affinity transporters (SULTR1’s); plastid membrane transporters and low affinity transporters such as (SULTR2’s); transporters of the symbiosome membrane of the legume:rhizobia symbiosis (SULTR3’s); and transporters with an unknown function (SULTR4’s) (Gigolashvili & Kopriva 2014). The low affinity sulphate transporters, such as SULTR2;1 depend more on sulphur availability and hence respond quicker to sulphur deficiency (Falk et al. 2007). Under sulphur deficient circumstances GS are broken down and used as a sulphur source (Falk et al. 2007) while the biosynthesis of GS is repressed (Aarabi et al. 2016). The importance of sulphur for GS and its locality under our major QTL might indicate an indirect relation between sulphur transport and GS formation, especially in Ae. arabicum that originates from steep stony slopes in Irano-Turanian region, where its
developmental time is only between the hot and dry summers and the cold winters. The MADS-Box gene *FLOWERING TIME LOCUS C* (*FLC*) is expressed throughout the life cycle and in many tissues of Brassicaceae species. However, it is primarily known as a floral repressor in meristems where expression is stably repressed upon prolonged cold-treatment or vernalization (Deng et al. 2011, Bouché et al. 2016, Ietswaart et al. 2012). *Aethionema arabicum* is a relatively fast flowering annual and does not require vernalization. Potentially, *Ae. arabicum* *FLC* is involved in the regulation of GS biosynthesis. Our data show that the levels of leaf GS increase at the onset of budding in *Ae. arabicum*. The transition from a vegetative to a generative life stage affects many aspects of the plant biology. For example, the biosynthesis of GS can reduce fitness in *A. thaliana* (Kliebenstein 2004). In *A. thaliana* and *B. napus* even the production of a new leaf leads to an increase of GS concentration in the new leaf compared to the older leaves (Brown et al. 2003). *FLC* has more than 500 binding sites in the *A. thaliana* genome with *CYP79B3* being one of them (Deng et al. 2011). *CYP79B3* belongs to the cytochrome P450 *CYP79* family and is involved in the formation of the core structure of indolic GS (Sønderby et al. 2010). The major QTL (Q8.2) found here in *Ae. arabicum* likely indicates a link between GS biosynthesis and development. Jensen et al. (2015) showed that the introduction of the GS-AOP genes in AOP-0 lines does not change the GS levels, but influences flowering time. This effect depended on the genetic background and could vary between an increase and decrease of flowering time (Jensen et al. 2015). They hypothesized that AOP2 and AOP3 could mediate the cross talk between flowering and defence.

Our *Ae. arabicum* lines show a natural transition from vegetative tissue to generative tissue correlates with a transition in GS content, although it could be regulated vice versa. QTL analyses for GS content of three different tissues all point to one major QTL containing two potential candidates *SULTR2;1* and *FLC*. Future gene expression analysis, transformation experiments, fine mapping and phenotyping of more accessions could help to understand whether and if so in which way these genes and traits are involved in the plants defence pathway.
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General discussion
Chapter 6

The intention of this thesis is to use multiple biological disciplines and approaches to understand the evolution of *Aethionema* (Brassicaceae). In this final chapter is a synthesis of these disciplines. Answers to the questions posed in Chapter 1 are discussed and challenges for future research are highlighted.

**The effects of whole genome duplications**

Whole Genome Duplications (WGD or polyploidisation) greatly alter the genomic content of a species and thus impact speciation (Solits *et al.* 2009). Ohno postulated that gene duplications likely have a major role in evolution (Ohno 1970). He stated that it is easier to duplicate something that already exists than to create something new (Ohno 1970, Wolfe 2001). After polyploidisation, duplicated genes can be retained, get a new function (neofunctionalise), subfunctionalise or lose their function by becoming a pseudo-gene (Comai 2006). Moreover, after a WGD event a genome can fractionate and become diploid again: the process of diploidisation (Comai 2006, Barker *et al.* 2009). Diploidisation does not affect all genomic regions in the same degree. This is due to the different rates of molecular evolution between protein coding genes (Wolfe 2001). For example, compared to genes that remain duplicated those that return to single-copy are under severe selection pressure and are biased towards housekeeping functions (De Smet *et al.* 2013).

A WGD event has a severe effect on a genome and it has been regarded as an evolutionary dead-end and/or as a mechanism driving species radiations (Solits *et al.* 2009, Mayrose *et al.* 2011, Arrigo & Barker 2012). Polyploid individuals seem to have a higher extinction rate than their diploid congeners (Mayrose *et al.* 2011). They have meiotic incompatibility challenges, start with very low population sizes or selection can become inefficient due to the presence of multiple copies of an allele (Arrigo & Barker 2012 and the references therein). There are estimates that 15% of speciation events are due to WGDs (Arrigo & Barker 2012). Traces of ancient polyploidy events, e.g. the gamma WGD event at the basis of the angiosperms (Jiao *et al.* 2011), are found within nearly all plant genomes. Twenty-five percent of the *A. thaliana* genes are retained from ancient polyploidy events (Blanc & Wolfe 2004). This can go up to 67% for *Glycine max* (Schmutz *et al.* 2010). These conserved duplicated gene pairs and the selection pressure on housekeeping genes to be singletons encourages the hypothesis that WGD events are not dead ends, but can lead to the development of novel traits over time (Schranz *et al.* 2012).

The advantages of polyploid individuals seem to be related to severe unstable environments (Kagale *et al.* 2014), when an increase in gene content and expression, increased heterozygosity, neofunctionalisation and epigenetic reprogramming might all be advantageous (Kagale *et al.* 2014, Fawcett *et al.* 2009, Comai 2006). For example, many ancient WGD events coincide at the K-T boundary (Vanneste *et al.* 2014, Kagale *et al.* 2014, Fawcett *et al.* 2009), the major extinction event that caused the extinction of the dinosaurs and the extinction of many plants, i.e. up to ~60% of the North American plant species (Fawcett *et al.* 2009 and the references therein). This probably explains the non-uniform distribution of WGDs: most WGD events are near the tips of the angiosperm phylogeny, when many of the currently known plant families originated, such as the Brassicaceae (Fawcett *et al.* 2009, Mayrose *et al.* 2011, Vanneste *et al.* 2014, Kagale *et al.* 2014).
The diploidisation process following a polyploidisation event causes the re-arrangement of genomic regions. For example, multiple polyploidisation events within the Brassicaceae followed by diploidisation have rearranged the genomic content, although some genomic blocks have been conserved (Lysak et al. 2016). Gene function depends on the sequence as well as the position of a gene (Lyons & Freeling 2008). Genomic position of genes and their regulatory elements should hence be taken into account in analyses on functional conservation (Chapter 2). Incorporating positional conservation might help to separate paralogs from orthologs and can elucidate the conservation of presumed functional genomic units (Chapter 2). Incorporating positional conservation of genetic elements can dilute the old definitions on lineage specificity, as genetic elements can be conserved by position, but can lack conservation on the basis of their sequences (Chapter 2). However, incorporating gene collinearity in gene conservation research can elude specific gene networks that share an evolutionary history (Zao et al. in prep).

**From paleo-ecological circumstances to species genetic diversity**

Speciation depends on the genetic and genomic background within a species and on the biotic and abiotic circumstances. Both genomic background and local (a)biotic factors define the level of plasticity of a species. The historical biogeography of *Aethionema* showed us that the major geological events during the Miocene in the Irano-Turanian region correlate with the diversification of three well-supported *Aethionema* clades (Chapter 3). Local geological events caused local climatic differences that probably enforced the speciation of *Aethionema* from the Anatolian Diagonal, its cradle of origin (Chapter 3).

Local biotic and abiotic perturbations can also define genomic differences within a species. For example, *Arabidopsis arenosa* (Brassicaceae) has diploid populations, occurring mainly on non-serpentine soil while some of the autotetraploids are adapted to serpentine soils (Arnold et al. 2015, Arnold et al. 2016). Similarly, I found ploidy differences in our analysis of *Ae. arabicum* (Chapter 4). Our sample sizes were too small to assess whether there is geological, geographical or (a)biotic separation between these populations. Nonetheless the Iranian tetraploid populations already differ in their defence compounds from their diploid counterparts and these differences seem to have been selected for (Chapter 4). I do not yet know whether or what the effect of these differences is on the fitness of the tetraploid populations. My population genetic analyses did confirm that one of Brassicales key-innovations, glucosinolates, plays a role in the genetic diversity of *Ae. arabicum*.

Natural history collections are a valuable resource, not only for phylogenomics and phylogeography (Wandeler et al. 2007, Bakker et al. 2015, Chapter 3) but also to assess the genetic variation of a species over time. Using markers that have a higher chance in being preserved over time and show allelic variation can help to investigate the change in population dynamics and haplotypes over time (Cozzolino et al. 2007, Wandeler et al. 2007). Cozzolino et al. (2007) used this approach to understand the haplotype loss in the endangered orchid *Anacamptis palustris*. It would be feasible to apply a similar approach to assess the allelic diversity over the distribution of *Ae. arabicum* without the need of field samples. In a broader context: natural history collections should be utilised more often to answer questions on evolutionary change at a genomic level.
Chapter 6

Defend and develop

There is a large body of research that investigates plant development: mainly the molecular background of the transition from vegetative to a generative state (Deng et al. 2011, Bouché et al. 2016 and the references therein). There is also a great deal of research on the plant defences against herbivores and their fitness effects (reviewed by Hopkins et al. 2009). However, these two worlds have rarely come together. An exception to this is the study of Jensen et al. (2015, see Chapter 5). We examined changes in glucosinolates throughout the development of two Ae. arabicum lines (CYP and TUR). We also identified QTLs that control glucosinolate synthesis within different tissues by using recombinant inbred lines of CYP and TUR (Chapter 5). Fine mapping the major genomic location resulted in two main genes that are involved in the plant development (SULTR2;1 and FLC), but apparently also in the glucosinolate phenotype. This indicates that development and defence correspond at the molecular level, whereby the plant adjusts the levels and composition of its defence compounds depending on its developmental stage, or vice versa. However, as this region consists of a total of 15 genes we have not shown the causality between the molecular background of plant development and plant defence.

Currently there are no successful stable transformation protocols available for Ae. arabicum, thus other resources than knock-outs and over-expression analyses need to be used to understand the underlying pathway between development and defence. Moreover, SULTR2;1 and FLC are both involved in basic developmental functions, knock-out experiments would thus have large effects on various facets of plant development. This could make their effect on glucosinolate biosynthesis indiscernible. One way to assess whether SULTR2;1 and/or FLC directly affect glucosinolate content is by fine mapping the region and assessing the glucosinolate phenotype. Gene expression analyses (RT-PCR) of FLC and SULT2;1 of the fine mapping population might help to investigate whether the phenotypes are due to gene sequences or gene-expression. Experiments involving pathogen and herbivore stress on the (fine mapped) RIL population might help to assess the causality between flowering and glucosinolate composition. These stress-experiments could be combined with gene expression and glucosinolate analyses. RNAi experiments influencing the glucosinolate biosynthesis and measurements of flowering time might also be an option. A high throughput method would be to analyse the epigenome under stressful and control environments to see whether chromatin modifications are affected by changes in flowering time as well as changes in glucosinolate content. Transformation of Ae. arabicum alleles into a species that can be transformed (like A. thaliana) might help the investigation the molecular pathway between development and defence.

The untested model

The Whole Genome Duplication Radiation Lag Time model (WGD-RLT model, Schranz et al. 2012) states that diversification lags behind a WGD event. Tank et al. (2015) used a diversification model to investigate the presence of a WGD-RLT within the angiosperms. However the WGD-RLT is not the mechanism behind the spurs of diversification seen in the species rich clades (Schranz et al. 2012, Tank et al. 2015). Key innovations based on geological and climatic effect, but dependent on the polyploidy background, might underlie the success of a lineage (Schranz et al. 2012). These innovations do not discern the possibility of extinction events within the species poor clade.
The WGD-RTL encompasses the entire biology of an asymmetrical group. This can vary from the historical biogeography to current genetic diversity within a species and the evolution of traits. These traits are regulated by the coding and non-coding part of the (polyploidy) genome. All these elements influence the life history of an individual. A species life-history encompasses its development, the switch from generative to vegetative life stages and defence traits.

To be able to test the WGD-RTL, we need to know more about the species poor and species rich clades. Here I dived into the species-poor clade of the Brassicaceae, *Aethionema*, trying to understand as much as possible about several different aspects of its biology. One of the challenges for understanding the Brassicaceae species-rich core is the lack of a well-supported phylogeny. However, this is underway (Koch *et al.* personal communication). A well-supported phylogeny of the Brassicaceae family could resolve the questions about the families’ centre of origin, their migratory routes and the possibility of extinction events. Especially the latter could resolve the question whether the small size of *Aethionema* is based on the lack of speciation, an increase in extinction an/or is related to a trait. Examples of such studies have been conducted within the Bromelioidae and Solanaceae. In Bromelioidae CAM photosynthesis correlated to net speciation, while the tank habit correlates with extinction (Silvestro *et al.* 2014). Within Solanaceae core group self-incompatibility seems to drive speciation (Goldberg *et al.* 2010).

**To conclude**

In the last several years we have developed *Aethionema* as a model species for comparative genetics and genomics, but also for ecological important traits (e.g. fruit dimorphism; Lenser *et al.* 2016). In this thesis, I investigated *Aethionema* at its historical, genetic and trait diversity. These themes are required, among others, to test the WGD-RTL model. Moreover it seems as if we have now a natural model that links life history to plant defence, a topic already acknowledged in ecology (Stearns 1989, Van Zandt 2007), but for which its molecular underpinning is still unknown.
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Summary

The plant family Brassicaceae (or crucifers) is an economically important group that includes many food crops (e.g. cabbages and radishes), horticultural species (e.g. Draba, Iberis, Lunaria), and model plant species (particularly Arabidopsis thaliana). Because of the fundamental importance of A. thaliana to plant biology, it makes the Brassicaceae an ideal system for comparative genomics and to test wider evolutionary, ecological and speciation hypotheses. One such hypothesis is the ‘Whole Genome Duplication Radiation Lag Time’ (WGD-RLT) model for the role of polyploidy on the evolution of important plant families such as the Brassicaceae. The WGD-RLT model indicates a higher rate of diversification of a core-group compared to its sister group, due to a lag time after a whole genome duplication event that made it possible for novel traits and/or (geo-) ecological events to increase the core groups diversification rate.

Aethionema is the species-poor sister genus of the core Brassicaceae and hence is at an important comparative position to analyse trait and genomic evolution of the species-rich core group. Aethionema species occur mainly in the western Irano-Turanian region, which is concordantly the biodiversity hotspot of the Brassicaceae family. Moreover comparing Aethionema to the Brassicaceae core group can help us to understand and test the ‘WGD-RLT’ model. However, to be able to do so we first need to know more about Aethionema. In this thesis, I investigated various levels of evolutionary change (from macro, to micro to trait evolution) within the genus Aethionema, with a major focus the emerging model species Aethionema arabicum.

Next generation sequencing has made it possible to use the genomes of many species in a comparative framework. However, the formation of proteins and enzymes, and in the end the phenotype of the whole plant, relies on transcription from particular regions of the genome including genes. Hence, the transcriptome makes it possible to assess the functional parts of the genome. Gene regulatory elements like promoters and long non-coding RNAs function as regulators of gene expression and thus are involved in increasing or decreasing transcription. In Chapter 2 I used the transcriptome of four different Aethionema species to understand the lineage specificity of these long non-coding RNAs. Moreover in a comparison with the Brassicaceae core group and the sister family of the Brassicaceae, Cleomaceae, I show that although the position of long non-coding RNAs can be conserved, their sequences do not have to be.

Most of the Aethionema species occur in the Irano-Turanian region, a politically unstable region, making it hard for scientist to collect from. However the natural history collections made throughout the last centuries are a great resource. Combing these collections with the newest sequencing techniques, e.g. next generation sequencing, have allowed me to infer the phylogeny of ~75% of the known Aethionema species in a time calibrated and historical biogeographical framework. I was able to establish that Aethionema species likely originated from the Anatolian Diagonal and that major geological events like the uplift of the Turkish and Iranian plateau have had a hand in their speciation (Chapter 3).

To examine species-level processes I sequenced and analysed transcriptomes of eight Ae. arabicum accessions coming from Cyprus, Iran and Turkey to investigate population structure,
Summary

genetic diversity and local adaptation (Chapter 4). The most prominent finding was a ploidy difference between the Iranian and Turkish/Cypriot lines, whereby the former were tetraploid and the latter diploid. The tetraploid Iranian lines seem to have one set of alleles from the Turkish/Cypriot gene-pool, though we do not know where the other alleles come from. In addition to the differences in ploidy level there are also differences in glucosinolate defence compounds between these two populations (Iranian vs. Turkish/Cypriot), with the Iranian lines lacking the diversity and concentration of indolic glucosinolates that the Turkish/Cypriot lines have. This chapter serves as a good resource and starting point for future research in the region, maybe by using the natural history collections that are at hand.

Glucosinolates (i.e. mustard oils) are mainly made by Brassicales species, with their highest structural diversity in the Brassicaceae. In Chapter 5, I examined two Ae. arabicum lines (CYP and TUR) and their recombinant inbred lines to assess glucosinolate composition in different tissues and throughout the plants development. The levels of glucosinolates in the leaves changed when Ae. arabicum went from vegetative to a reproductive state. Moreover, a major difference in glucosinolate content (up to 10-fold) between CYP and TUR indicates a likely regulatory pathway outside the main glucosinolate biosynthesis pathway. Multi-trait and multi-environment QTL analyses based on leaves, reproductive tissues and seeds identified a single major QTL. Fine mapping this region reduced the interval to only fifteen protein coding genes, including the two most intriguing candidates: FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC) and the sulphate transporter SULTR2;1. These findings show an interesting correlation between development and defence.

Finally, Chapter 6 gives a final discussion of this thesis and its results. It brings the different topics together, puts them in a bigger picture and looks forward into new research possibilities.
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  08-11 May 2016

Presentations

- Poster - PhD EPS retreat, Ghent, Belgium
  23-26 Jul 2013
- Talk - EPS theme 4 Symposium
  13 Dec 2013
- Poster - Regulatory and Non-coding RNAs, Cold Spring Harbor, USA
  26-30 Aug 2014
- Talk - Population Genetics Group Meeting, Sheffield, UK
  06-09 Jan 2015
- Talk - It doesn’t run in the family: Aethionemeae as a new model, ALW Lunteren 2016
  11-12 Apr 2016
- Talk - Aethionemeae Popeye genomics: The long non-coding RNAs, PhD EPS retreat, Paris, FR
  10-13 Jul 2015
- Poster - ERA-CAPS consortium meeting, Marburg, Germany
  19-21 Nov 2015
- Poster - New Model Systems for Linking Evolution and Ecology, Heidelberg, Germany
  08-11 May 2016

IAB interview

Excursions

Subtotal Scientific Exposure: 24.8 credits*

3) In-Depth Studies

- EPS courses or other PhD courses
  - Postgraduate course "Bio-informatics: a Users Approach"
    04-08 Mar 2013
  - PhD course "An Introduction to Phylogenetics and Population Genetics with R" (Transmitting Science, Sabadell, Spain)
    15-19 Apr 2013
  - PhD course "Current Trends in Phylogenetics"
    14-18 Oct 2013
  - Postgraduate Course "Transcription Factors and Transcriptional Regulation"
    17-19 Dec 2013
  - Postgraduate course "Bayesian Statistics"
    20-21 Oct 2014

- Journal club
  - Journal club Biosystematics group
    2013-2016

- Individual research training

Subtotal In-Depth Studies: 9.1 credits*

4) Personal development

- Skill training courses
  - ExPerctationS Day [EPS Career day]: Creative Thinking
    01 Feb 2013
  - High Impact Writing
    18-21 Nov 2013
  - Scientific Writing
    Feb-Apr 2015

- Organisation of PhD students day, course or conference

- Membership of Board, Committee or PhD council

Subtotal Personal Development: 4.8 credits*

TOTAL NUMBER OF CREDIT POINTS*: 40.2

Herewith the Graduate School declares that the PhD candidate has complied with the educational requirements set by the Educational Committee of EPS which comprises of a minimum total of 30 ECTS

* A credit represents a normative study load of 28 hours of study.
This work was supported by the grants from NWO Vernieuwing Impuls VIDI (Grant number: 864.10.001) and (849.13.004), the latter as part of the ERA-CAPS “SeedAdapt” consortium project (www.seedadapt.eu). The work was carried out at the Biosystematics Group, Wageningen University, The Netherlands.
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