

The Economic Feasibility of Aquaponics

A post-hoc Cost-Benefit Analysis of investing in a fish vegetable farm near Dumaguette, Philippines

August 2016: Roel Bosma



Until 2015 economic feasibility little studied

Aquaponics = producing fish and vegetables

- ✓ in a closed-loop water system,
 - ✓ reduces fertilizer use and effluent discharge,
 - ✓ fish effluents suppress fungal diseases and stimulated root growth in tomato.
- => promoted as a sustainable venture.

Economic feasibility poorly studied since 1999:

Chaves P.A, Sutherland RM & Laird LM, 1999. An economic and technical evaluation of integrating hydroponics in a recirculation fish production system. *Aquac. Econ. & Management* 3(1): 83-91

Love DC., Fry JP, Ximin Li, Hill ES, Genello L, Semmens K, Thompson RE, 2015. Commercial aquaponics production and profitability: Findings from an international survey. *Aquaculture* 435 (2015) 67-74.



3

From
home-garden
to farm-size.



Methods

ACT group of 7 MSc students from various nationalities:

- Literature and grey literature
- Survey by phone and e-mail;
- System simulation => Post-hoc cost-benefit analysis,

Nutrients in effluent of fish component =>

- volume of fish tank : area vegetables 1:30 to 1:100 depends on used species of both.

=> farm size set by quantity marketable fresh vegetables.

Kaikanen et al., 2012: N-output of the fish component.

Mori et al., 2008: Tomato's N-demand (vegetative & fruit).

De Pinheiro Henriques & Marcelis, 2000: Lettuce N-demand.

ACT= Academic Consultancy Training = Interdisciplinary Group Assignment on a real world question.



4

Methods

- Discounted benefit-cost : $DBCR = \frac{[\sum_{t=0}^n B_t / (1+r)^t]}{[\sum_{t=0}^n C_t / (1+r)^t]}$
 Bt = benefit in yr t; Ct = cost in yr t; n = project length (yr);
 r = discount rate: 8%, similar projects between 6% and 10%.
- Cost prices for materials from local providers & survey.
- Operational cost : insurances not included.
 For taxes: two scenarios: without and with taxes.
 VAT = not paid when farmers sell directly to consumers &
 when total gross sales are below 1.919.500 PHP.
 Fingerling catfish 12 PHP/pcs ; seabass 24 PH/pcs
 Feed catfish 34 PHP kg⁻¹, seabass: 51 PHP kg⁻¹
- Revenues: wholesale prices, i.e. farm-gate price,
 - Tomato's: 18 PHP kg⁻¹; Lettuce: 55 PHP kg⁻¹.
 - Catfish: 79 PHP kg⁻¹ ; Seabass: 300 PHP kg⁻¹.



5

Operational cost (* 1,000 PHP)

Description	Amount
Seeds	27.6
Fertilizer	7.0
Fingerlings catfish	17.5
Fingerlings seabass	35
Feed catfish	22.6
Feed seabass	34
Treatment pests & diseases	5.5
Electricity	35.5
Transportation	3.9
Repairs	12.0
Labour	184.8
Total amount	316.4



Investments:

Material	Amount	Life span (yr)
Greenhouse	542.5	5,10,20*
Media beds	411.9	1,3,5,20*
Land	115.1	n.a.
Roof for fish tanks	40.7	10
Bio-filter	30.8	10
Fish tanks	27.0	10
Plumbing	27.0	10
Crates for vegetables	26.0	3
Well for fresh water	15.5	20
Air pump	5.9	10
Fishing gear	5.0	5
Water pump	4.9	5
Test toolkit	2.2	10
Clarifier	1.4	5
Trays for seedling	1.2	0.33 ; 1*
Fish transport container	0.7	5
Total amount	1,259.8	= USD31,500



Cost Benefit Analysis for catfish

CBA over 20 year for aquaponics with catfish only (*1000 PHP)

	Year	1	3	4	8	11	12	Total
Total Disc. Investment	1,683	16	42	11	83	8		2,079
Total Disc. Operational	301	271					136	3,339
Total Disc. Revenus	407	510					255	6,115
Undisc. Net Benefits	1,150	259					259	3,336
NPV = Disc. Net Ben.	1,150	222	178	151	48	111	1,131	

Disc. Benefit / Cost = TD Revenus / (TD Inv. + TD Oper.)

r	No VAT Catfish		No VAT Seabass		Catfish / seabass			
	10 yr	20 yr	10 yr	20 yr	No VAT		10% VAT	
	10 yr	20 yr	10 yr	20 yr	10 yr	20 yr	10 yr	20 yr
4	1.16	1.31	1.61	1.81	1.38	1.61	1.21	1.42
8	1.10	1.23	1.53	1.70	1.29	1.52	1.14	1.32
16	0.99	1.07	1.42	1.54	1.17	1.33	1.02	1.13



Discounted Benefit Cost Ratio

Insurance not in Operational cost =>

$$DBCR > 1.3$$

Paying insurance = benefit shareholder = loss farmers.

Capital investors want >12% benefit on their investment.

In LDCs: Interest rate < 8% are rare =>

- Aquaponics with catfish only too risky;
- Fish needs to focus on niche markets (expensive fish);
- Start with catfish for testing and learning but
- shift to seabass as soon as possible;
- When paying tax need to find better paying buyers.



Integration of fish - vegetables Aquaponics



Financially sustainable if:

1. High end niche market for fish,
2. large market for fresh organic vegetables.



11

Contribution of fish component to revenue, investments and operation

Netherlands farmers did not adopt aquaponics with tilapia:
- fish component asks relatively too much capital and effort.

- Accounting to the fish component:
 - 100% of the cost for fingerling,
 - 50% for feed and electricity, and
 - 20% for transportation, repairs and labour.
- The operation cost attributable to
 - catfish was estimated at 28%
 - seabass was estimated at 34%.
- In case of catfish only, revenues from fish =17% of total
- After the shift to seabass this contribution > 40% of total.

