Exploring grass-based beef production under climate change by integration of grass and cattle growth models

Aart van der Linden, Gerrie W.J. van de Ven, Simon J. Oosting, Martin K. van Ittersum & Imke J.M. de Boer

Animal Production Systems group, Wageningen University

Plant Production Systems group, Wageningen University





LiveM 2016 conference



Introduction



Aim: to explore the effects of climate change on beef cattle in grass-based systems in France





- System characteristics
- Location: Charolles, France
- Breed: Charolais
- Bulls, initial weight 315 kg
- Period: Grazing season (March 25th-December 10th)
- Continuous grazing





Scenarios for climate change:

- 1. Reference climate (1999-2006)
- 2. Smallest climate change in 2050
- 3. Largest climate change in 2050

Smallest and largest climate change for Charolles, with 1999-2006 as a reference

	Smallest CC	Largest CC
Temperature	+ 0.7 °C	+ 1.9 °C
Annual rainfall	- 4.5%	- 7.1%
CO ₂ concentration	+ 71 ppm (443 ppm)	+ 168 ppm (541 ppm)

Based on Representative Concentration Pathways 2.6 and 8.5



NASA (2016) and RCP database 2.0.5 (2016)



Crop-livestock production system





Crop-livestock production system





Crop-livestock production system





Model simulations: limited production

- Rainfed, water-limited growth of grass
- Feed-limited growth of cattle
- Average optimum stocking density

Literature: actual production









• Yield gap actual – limited \rightarrow 41%

- Nutrients for grass growth neglected
- Mortality, diseases and stress
- Risk aversion?









- Yield gap actual limited \rightarrow 41%
 - Nutrients for grass growth neglected
 - Mortality, diseases and stress in livestock
 - Risk aversion?
- Yield gap mitigation: economically attractive and practically feasible?





















Discussion

- Production at animal level vs farm level
- Weather extremes
- Model validation in grazing systems
- Increasing actual production?





Conclusions

- Integration of a grass and a cattle model allows to simulate beef production under climate change
- Actual grass-based beef production can be increased from a bio-physical perspective (yield gap 41%).
- Climate change increases limited beef production (5.5%-13.8%)







References

Jones RJ and Sandland RL 1974. Relation between animal gain and stocking rate - derivation of relation from results of grazing trials. Journal of Agricultural Science 83, 335-342.

- McGovern RE and Bruce JM 2000. A model of the thermal balance for cattle in hot conditions. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research 77, 81-92.
- Reseaux d'Elevage Charolais 2012. Conjuncture économique des systèmes bovins Charolais, Campagne 2012, 50pp. Reseaux d'Elevage Charolais, Paris, France.
- Schapendonk A, Stol W, van Kraalingen DWG and Bouman BAM 1998. LINGRA, a sink/source model to simulate grassland productivity in Europe. European Journal of Agronomy 9, 87-100.
- Van der Linden A, Van de Ven GWJ, Oosting SJ, Van Ittersum MJ and De Boer IJM 201X. LiGAPS-Beef, a mechanistic model to explore potential and feed-limited beef production: 1. Model description and illustration. Submitted to Animal.
- Van der Linden A, Oosting SJ, Van de Ven GWJ, De Boer IJM and Van Ittersum MJ 2015. A framework for quantitative analysis of livestock systems using theoretical concepts of production ecology. Agricultural Systems 139, 100-109.
- Van Ittersum MK, Cassman KG, Grassini P, Wolf J, Tittonell P and Hochman Z 2013. Yield gap analysis with local to global relevance-A review. Field Crops Research 143, 4-17.



Websites climate change

- NASA, Forcings in GISS Climate Model, <u>http://data.giss.nasa.gov/modelforce/ghgases/</u>
- Representative Concentration Pathway database, version 2.0.5, <u>http://tntcat.iiasa.ac.at/RcpDb/dsd?Action=htmlpage&page=compare</u>
- GIS program, Climate Change Scenarios, <u>https://gisclimatechange.ucar.edu/inspector</u>



Additional data

1999, Average daily gain (ADG) per head and per hectare



Additional data

- Grazing season: 260 days
- Days with reductions in feed intake due to heat stress:
 - Reference: 15.8 days (6.1%)
 - RCP 2.6: 17.8 days (6.8%)
 - RCP 8.5: 25.2 days (9.8%)



Additional data

Example heat balance in the thermoregulation sub-model





