



Estimating requirement values for apparent faecal digestible and standardised ileal digestible tryptophan in broilers by a meta-analysis approach

T. Veldkamp, J.W. van Riel, R.A. Dekker, S. Khalaji, H. Hashemipour, M.M. van Krimpen and M.C. Blok



LIVESTOCK RESEARCH
WAGENINGEN **UR**

Estimating requirement values for apparent faecal digestible and standardised ileal digestible tryptophan in broilers by a meta-analysis approach

T. Veldkamp, J.W. van Riel, R.A. Dekker, S. Khalaji, H. Hashemipour, M.M. van Krimpen and M.C. Blok

Wageningen UR Livestock Research
Wageningen, August 2016

Livestock Research Report 969



LIVESTOCK RESEARCH
WAGENINGEN UR



Estimating requirement values for apparent faecal digestible and standardised ileal digestible tryptophan in broilers by a meta-analysis approach; T. Veldkamp, J.W. van Riel, R.A. Dekker, S. Khalaji, H. Hashemipour, M.M. van Krimpen and M.C. Blok. Wageningen UR (University & Research centre) Livestock Research, Livestock Research Report 969.

© 2016 Wageningen UR Livestock Research, P.O. Box 338, 6700 AH Wageningen, The Netherlands, T +31 (0)317 48 39 53, E info.livestockresearch@wur.nl, www.wageningenUR.nl/en/livestockresearch. Livestock Research is part of Wageningen UR (University & Research centre).

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced and/or made public, whether by print, photocopy, microfilm or any other means, without the prior permission of the publisher or author.



The ISO 9001 certification by DNV underscores our quality level. All our research commissions are in line with the Terms and Conditions of the Animal Sciences Group. These are filed with the District Court of Zwolle.

Livestock Research Report 969 - <http://dx.doi.org/10.18174/388749>

Table of contents

Foreword	7
Summary	9
1 Introduction	11
2 Material and Methods	12
2.1 Database	12
2.2 Criteria for the inclusion of papers into the database	12
2.3 Calculations	13
2.4 Statistical analysis	13
2.4.1 Regression analysis per experiment	13
2.4.2 Overall regression (Regression analysis over experiments)	14
3 Tryptophan requirement values	16
3.1 Tryptophan background information on meta-analysis	16
3.1.1 Study details individual studies	16
3.1.2 Results of curve fitting and tryptophan requirements for individual studies	16
3.1.3 Results of overall fitting and tryptophan requirements as a function of age	17
3.2 Tryptophan requirement values	17
3.2.1 Requirement of AFD tryptophan expressed on dietary content for body weight gain	17
3.2.2 Requirement of AFD tryptophan expressed on dietary content for feed conversion ratio	18
3.2.3 Requirement of SID tryptophan expressed on dietary content for body weight gain	18
3.2.4 Requirement of SID tryptophan expressed on dietary content for feed conversion ratio	18
3.3 Requirement values of AFD and SID tryptophan content at different ages for BWG and FCR	19
Acknowledgement	20
Literature	21
Appendices	22

Foreword

Diet composition, e.g. concentrations of energy, protein, vitamins and minerals, largely influence the zootechnical performance of poultry. Nowadays, protein requirements are more precisely described in terms of apparent faecal digestible amino acid (AFD) or standardised ileal digestible amino acid (SID) requirement values and amino acid profiles expressing the requirement of each amino acid relative to tryptophan. Appropriate requirement values for amino acids (AA) in poultry diets are essential for optimizing poultry production and profit of the poultry chain.

CVB, formerly part of the former Dutch Product Board Animal Feed (PDV) and now part of the Federatie Nederlandse Diervoedingsketen (FND; Federation Dutch Animal Feed Chain), is responsible for recommending the Dutch poultry chain on AA requirements for various poultry species. The latest public review of AA requirements in poultry in the Netherlands was presented two decades ago (Schutte et al., 1996). As a consequence of several recent developments such as change in genetic predisposition for growth, the increasing trend of formulating low-protein diets and the increasing availability of free AA for supplementation in broiler diets, it was recommended that requirement values for AA in broiler diets should be updated. The present study was subsidized by the (former) Product Board Animal Feed and the (former) Product Board Poultry and Eggs.

Summary

Requirement values for apparent faecal digestible and standardised ileal digestible tryptophan of broilers at different ages were estimated by a meta-analysis approach. This study was part of a project to estimate the apparent faecal (AFD) and standardized ileal digestible (SID) amino acid requirement values of the first limiting amino acids in both broilers and laying hens.

Peer reviewed papers were selected, describing experimental results of dose response studies in which the effect of graded levels of free amino acids supplemented to a basal diet on body weight gain and feed conversion ratio in broilers was studied. The papers searched for were published during the period 1994 - 2012. Subsequently, a stepwise process was applied for the selection of the research data to be used in the meta-analysis. In total 11 criteria were set. Two of these criteria are: at least three graded levels of supplementation of the amino acid of interest to the same basal diet; maximal supplementation of the amino acid of interest was at least 10% higher compared to the concentration of the amino acid of interest in the basal (non-supplemented) diet. Feed ingredient composition of the experimental diets should be present in each paper; this information was included in a separate database and nutrient composition of the experimental diets was recalculated by using data on the nutritional composition of the individual feed ingredients according to the CVB Feed Table (2007). When the determined level of the amino acid of interest (and – when presented – of other amino acids) on an AFD basis was published, this information was used. In case this information was not presented, the level of the amino acid of interest (and of other amino acids) was calculated by using either the total amino acid levels in the basal diets as analysed by the authors or as calculated by using the CVB Feed Table (2007) in combination with the digestibility on an AFD basis as published by CVB (CVB Table, 2007). In addition, the concentrations of standardized ileal digestible (SID) amino acids in the diets of each study were also calculated using the digestibility on a SID basis as tabulated by CVB (Dekker and Blok 2015) and included in the database. These (calculated) dietary concentration of AFD and SID amino acids were used in the present study for the regression analyses to derive requirement values for AFD and SID amino acids in broilers.

The responses of body weight gain (BWG) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) to supplementation of the free amino acid of interest to a basal diet were analysed for each individual experiment included in the database by regression analysis. Mean data for BWG and FCR per experimental group as provided in the original paper were used as response parameters. Response of BWG and FCR to supplementation of the free amino acid of interest was determined by use of an exponential model. For each individual experiment the estimated requirement (Req) for the amino acid of interest was calculated as the amino acid concentration at which 95% of the response (BWG and FCR) between intercept and asymptotic value was reached. Data of studies that could not be fitted with the exponential model were excluded from further evaluation. Also studies, where the estimated requirement value was over 110% of the maximum concentration of the amino acid of interest in the diet with the highest supplementation level, were excluded from further evaluation. Studies in which a non-test amino acid might have been co-limiting (< 90% of CVB 1996) at higher supplementation levels of the amino acid of interest were also excluded from the dataset. After estimation and evaluation of the amino acid requirement values for the individual studies, an overall regression model was used to fit the requirement values of the amino acid of interest on an AFD and SID basis for BWG and FCR as a function of age of the broilers. For this purpose the mean age of broilers in each experiment was calculated as (age at start of the experimental period + age at the end of the experimental period)/2. In total, 10 studies each containing one or more experiments, were judged. In total, 11 experiments from 6 papers met the criteria for inclusion into the database. One of the criteria of inclusion of experiments in the overall analyses was that the concentrations of these amino acids relative to the amino acid of interest on an AFD basis were at least 0.90 of the ratio of the requirement of the same amino acids on an AFD basis according to CVB (1996). For tryptophan, none of the studies did met the criterion and therefore this criterion was not used. At the used tryptophan requirement of 20% relative to lysine instead of 16% relative to lysine (according to CVB (1996)) all studies were included. Requirement values of tryptophan on AFD and on SID basis at different ages for BWG and FCR were restricted to the age period of 9.5 to 12.5 days of age. Therefore, it was not possible to estimate the requirement values for the total production period.

1 Introduction

Diet composition, e.g. concentrations of energy, protein, vitamins and minerals, largely influence the zootechnical performance of poultry. Nowadays, protein requirements are more precisely described in terms of digestible amino acid requirements and amino acid profiles expressing the requirement of each amino acid relative to lysine. Appropriate digestible amino acid requirements in poultry diets are essential for optimizing poultry production and profit of the poultry chain.

CVB, formerly part of the former Dutch Product Board Animal Feed (PDV) and now part of the Federatie Nederlandse Diervoedingsketen (FND; Federation Dutch Animal Feed Chain, is responsible for advising the Dutch feed industry on nutrient requirements for various poultry species. The latest public review of amino acid requirements in poultry in the Netherlands, however was conducted two decades ago (CVB, 1996). The requirement values of amino acids (CVB, 1996) were expressed on an apparent faecal digestible (AFD) basis. As a consequence of several recent developments, it was recommended that amino acid requirements should be updated:

- The genetic predisposition for growth of broilers has increased substantially during the last decades;
- The increasing trend of formulating low-protein diets;
- The increasing availability of free amino acids for diet supplementation;
- Different feeding strategies are developed to improve animal welfare and (intestinal) health.

Nutrient requirements have been determined in many experiments. A general method for integrating quantitative knowledge from multiple experiments has been proposed and is referred to as meta-analysis (St-Pierre, 2001). The technique is based on collecting data from multiple published studies that fulfil a number of criteria and formulating a statistical model that best explains the observations (van Houwelingen et al., 2002). Moreover, the meta-analytical approach is highly suited to establishing requirements values because it focuses on estimating on a population level from multiple studies, while accounting for the heterogeneity between studies. The statistical model used in meta-analytical studies should be based on a hierarchical or a mixed model, which has at least two stages (van Houwelingen et al., 2002). The first-stage hierarchy models the within-study variability as a function of the primary covariate (e.g., Lys content). The second-stage hierarchy models the between-study variability through individual random effects and study-related covariates (e.g. strain, gender, year of publication etc.), identifying systematic trends among studies.

Meta-analysis, which combines the results from various experiments at the same time, has more power to detect small differences. For estimating amino acid requirement values by use of a meta-analysis approach, formulating criteria for inclusion or exclusion of studies is very important. The main requirement for a proper meta-analysis is a well-executed systematic review. Therefore in the current work, key journals were searched and reference lists of papers were checked carefully.

The current requirement values for amino acids in broilers (CVB, 1996) are expressed on an apparent faecal digestible (AFD) basis. For the present study it was recommended by CVB to estimate requirement values for amino acids in broilers on a standardized ileal digestible basis. According to Lemme et al. (2004) and Adedokun et al. (2008) standardised ileal amino acid digestibility (SID) coefficients are corrected for the contribution of amino acids of basal endogenous origin to the total ileal digesta pool. Changing the system of expressing amino acid requirement values based on AFD into SID amino acid concentration of dietary ingredients affect the amino acid requirement values of broilers. It is important that amino acid requirement values and the dietary supply of amino acids are expressed identically.

The present study was part of a project conducted to estimate requirement values for the first limiting apparent faecal digestible (AFD) and standardized ileal digestible (SID) amino acids in broilers and laying hens at different ages using a meta-analysis approach. In this report the requirement values for tryptophan are described.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Database

Peer reviewed papers were selected, describing experimental results of dose response studies in which the effect of graded levels of free amino acids of interest supplemented to a basal diet on body weight gain (BWG) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) in broilers was studied. The papers were searched by using the key words 'broiler' and 'name of relevant amino acid' in the electronic database 'Web of Science'. The papers searched for were published during the period 1994 - 2012. Search results in which the requirement of tryptophan was studied were found in Archives of Animal Nutrition, British Journal of Poultry Science, Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances, Poultry Science and Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia. A stepwise process was applied for the selection of research data to be used.

2.2 Criteria for the inclusion of papers into the database

The studies were reviewed to meet the following inclusion criteria:

1. The experimental procedure should be adequately provided, meaning a clear description of the experimental units, the number of broilers per unit, the age of the broilers and the duration of the experiment;
2. Provision of information on the broilers used (strain, age);
3. Provision of information on the (metabolizable) energy content of the diets (for adult cockerels);
4. Provision of information on how amino acid levels in the basal diet(s) in the paper are expressed (total, faecal, ileal, on an apparent or standardized basis);
5. Only dose response studies were included in which besides a basal level of the amino acid of interest at least three graded levels of supplementation of the amino acid of interest to the same basal diet were tested;
6. Only dose response studies were included in which the maximal supplementation of the amino acid of interest was at least 10% higher compared to the concentration of the amino acid of interest in the basal (non-supplemented) diet;
7. With the exception of the concentration of the amino acid of interest (that should be – far – below the CVB requirement), the concentration of the following amino acids in the basal diet should be at least 90% of the CVB (1996) requirement (on AFD basis) for lysine, methionine+cysteine and threonine. For isoleucine, arginine and valine the concentration in the basal diet should be at least 85% of the CVB (1996) requirement (on AFD basis), because the requirements of these amino acids were documented less accurately;
8. Experimental diets should be adequately described in terms of ingredient composition and should contain analysed or calculated contents for at least crude protein and essential amino acids;
9. Feed intake levels of experimental groups (receiving the diets with supplemented free amino acid) within the same experiment should be less than 150% relative to the feed intake level of the group fed the basal, non-supplemented basal diet;
10. Provision of data on feed intake, BWG and FCR in dose response studies with broilers in which the effects of increasing levels of the dietary amino acid of interest was evaluated by supplementing a basal diet with different levels of the free amino acid of interest;
11. Supplementation of the free amino acid of interest to the basal diet should have a statistical significant effect on BWG and/or FCR according to the original author.

Information of the papers that met these inclusion criteria was included in a database. Besides the information on the inclusion criteria as mentioned above, additional information from the study (if available) was added also to the database (e.g. strain, sex etc.) Further the amino acid requirement

value as derived by the original author(s) of the study was included in the database as well and also the statistical method they used to estimate the amino acid requirement under study was included. Studies not meeting the inclusion criteria as mentioned above, were excluded from the database and the reason for exclusion was recorded (See Appendix 1).

2.3 Calculations

Feed ingredients in the basal diet composition used in each experiment of studies that met the criteria in Paragraph 2.2 were included in a separate database. Subsequently nutrient composition of these experimental diets was recalculated by using data on the nutritional composition of the individual feed ingredients according to the CVB Feed Table (2007). Regarding the levels of digestible amino acids the following procedure was used:

- a. When the paper presents the level of tryptophan in the basal diets expressed on a (apparent faecal) digestible basis, it was decided to use this figure. As far as the levels of one or more other amino acids (see criterion 7 for the other amino acids that were considered to be relevant), were also expressed on this basis, this information was used in the further processing of the study. For those amino acids for which this information was lacking, the level of digestible amino acid was calculated according to option b. or c.;
- b. When no information was presented in the paper on the level of (apparent) faecal digestible tryptophan and/or other amino acids, the next option was to use the total level of tryptophan and of the other amino acids as analysed in the basal diets. Using the faecal amino acid digestibility of the feed ingredients in the CVB Feed Table (2007), the faecal digestibility of the amino acids in the basal diet and, subsequently, the level of apparent faecal digestible amino acids was calculated;
- c. When no information as described in the options a. and b. was available, the total levels of the amino acids needed were calculated using the ingredient composition of the experimental diets (see criterion 8) as presented in the paper. In these cases the starting point was the ingredient composition (Weende analyses, ME values and amino acid pattern as published in the CVB Feed Table (2007)). To reproduce satisfactory the level of crude protein and – when given – the metabolizable energy level as given in the paper, in a number of cases (slight) adjustment of the protein level and – as a consequence – the amino acid levels of – preferably – the protein rich ingredients was necessary. Subsequently the digestible amino acid levels on an AFD basis were calculated using the digestibility in the CVB Feed Table (2007).

In addition, the concentrations of standardized ileal digestible (SID) amino acids in the basal diets of each study were also calculated using option b. or c. and were included in the database. The standardized ileal amino acid digestibility coefficients of feed ingredients required for the calculation of SID amino acid contents were derived from Dekker and Blok (in press).

The supplemented free amino acids was considered to be 100% digestible, both on an AFD and SID basis.

The calculated dietary concentrations of AFD and of SID tryptophan were used in the present study for the regression analyses to derive requirement values for tryptophan on an AFD and SID basis for Body Weight Gain (BWG) and Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) in broilers.

2.4 Statistical analysis

2.4.1 Regression analysis per experiment

The responses of BWG and FCR to supplementation of the free amino acid of interest to a basal diet were analysed by regression analysis. Mean data for BWG and FCR per experimental group as provided in the original paper were used as response parameters. The response of BWG and FCR to supplementation of free amino acid of interest was determined by use of an exponential model as is described by the following mathematical equation:

$$Y_{ij} = a_i + b_i * (1 - e^{(-C_i * dx)}) + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad (1)$$

Where: Y_{ij} = response value of BWG or FCR for experiment i and treatment j ;
 a_i = estimated basal level (for $dx=0$) of the amino acid of interest for experiment i ;
 b_i = difference between basal level and estimated asymptotic level for body weight gain and feed conversion ratio response for experiment i ;
 C_i = rate parameter (for speed of curving) for experiment i ;
 dx = difference in amino acid concentration of interest (AFD or SID based) compared to basal (non-supplemented diet) in experiment i ; $(X_i - \text{MIN}(X_i))$; X_i = amino acid concentration of interest in experimental diets, $\text{MIN}(X_i)$ = amino acid concentration of interest in basal (non-supplemented) diet;
 ε_{ij} = error ij .

For each individual experiment the estimated requirement (Req) for the amino acid of interest was calculated as the amino acid concentration where 95% of the response (BWG and FCR) between intercept and asymptotic value was reached. The estimated amino acid requirement was calculated by the following mathematical equation:

$$\text{Req}_i = \frac{\ln(0.05)}{-C_i} + \text{MIN}(X_i) \quad (2)$$

Where: Req_i = Estimated amino acid requirement (%) of the individual experiment i ;
 $\ln(0.05)$ = $\log_e(0.05)$;
 C_i = rate parameter (for speed of curving) for experiment i ;
 $\text{MIN}(X_i)$ = amino acid (%) in basal (non-supplemented) diet.

2.4.2 Overall regression (Regression analysis over experiments)

After estimation of the amino acid requirement values for individual studies by using the exponential model according to equation 2, the amino acid requirement as a function of age was studied. However, before doing this the results from the previous step were evaluated according to the following criteria:

- Mean age of the animals.
The mean age of broilers was determined in each experiment as (days of age at start of the experimental period + days of age at the end of the experimental period)/2. Experiments in which the mean age was >42 days were excluded from the database for the overall regression.
- Calculated requirement (as the AFD or SID amino acid level at which 95% of the plateau level was reached) was compared to the highest dietary amino acid level in the experiment.
When the calculated requirement was >110% of the amino acid level in the treatment with the highest supplemented amino acid level, the study was excluded from the database for the overall regression.
- Lack of fit.
Studies in which no requirement could be estimated according to equation 2 were excluded from the database for the overall regression.
- Co-limitation of other amino acids
In the first review (see paragraph 2.2, criterion 7) only studies were included in the database when, besides the concentration of the amino acid of interest, the concentration of several other essential amino acids in the basal diet was at least 90% or 85% (depending on the amino acid) of the requirement (on AFD basis) according to CVB (1996). In this second review it was evaluated if the ratios of these amino acids relative to the amino acid of interest on an AFD basis were at least 0.90 of the ratio of the requirement of the same amino acids on an AFD basis according to CVB (1996). In formula:

$$(\text{level non test amino acid X basal diet in study}_i) / (\text{calculated requirement test amino acid in study}_i) \geq 0.90 * (\text{requirement non test amino acid X, CVB 1996}) / (\text{requirement test amino acid, CVB 1996}).$$
None of the studies did met the criterion and therefore this criterion was not applied for tryptophan. At the used tryptophan requirement of 20% relative to lysine instead of 16% relative to lysine (according to CVB (1996)) all studies were included.

The regression model for the requirement of SID amino acid content and AFD amino acid content is described by the following mathematical equation:

$$\text{Req}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * \ln(\text{Age}_i) + \varepsilon_i \quad (3)$$

Where: Req_i = amino acid requirement (content (% in diet))
 β_0 = estimated amino acid requirement at hatch
 β_1 = estimated linear effect of $\ln(\text{Age})$
 $\ln(\text{Age}_i)$ = $^e\log(\text{Age } i)$
 Age = average age of broilers in experiment (d)

Selection of candidate models with more factors included such as strain, gender, year, length of the experimental period, was not possible because of the restricted number of experiments that were accepted for overall regression analysis.

3 Tryptophan requirement values

3.1 Tryptophan background information on meta-analysis

3.1.1 Study details individual studies

In total, 10 studies each containing one or more experiments, were judged. Table 1 provides an overview of the 11 experiments from 6 papers that met the criteria described in Paragraph 2.2 for inclusion into the database. The procedure used to calculate the level of apparent faecal digestible tryptophan (see Par. 2.3) is also mentioned. The number of tryptophan supplementation levels per experiment ranged from 5 to 10. The data in the database covered various age periods of birds. In 9 experiments males were used and in 2 experiments females were used. Different strains were used in the experiments.

Papers that were not included in the database because studies did not meet the inclusion criteria are mentioned in Appendix 1.

Table 1

List of references that met the inclusion criteria for further evaluation of the tryptophan requirement of broilers in the present study.

Experiment number	Reference	Nr. of dose levels	Range of AFD Trp content (g/kg)	Age of broilers (d)	Gender	Strain
33	Corzo et al. 2005b	7	0.10-0.22	1-20	Male	Ross 508
41	Fatufe et al. 2005	10	0.06-0.24	8-21	Male	Ross
53	Shan et al. 2003	6	0.08-0.20	7-21	Male	Cobb
54	Shan et al. 2003	6	0.08-0.20	7-21	Male	Cobb
61	Rosa et al. 2001	6	0.08-0.23	1-18	Male	Arbor Acres Classic
62	Rosa et al. 2001	6	0.08-0.23	1-18	Male	Arbor Acres High Yield
63	Rosa et al. 2001	6	0.08-0.23	1-18	Male	Ross 308
64	Rosa et al. 2001	6	0.08-0.23	1-18	Female	Ross 308
71	Castro et al. 2000	5	0.13-0.19	1-21	Male	Hubbard
72	Castro et al. 2000	5	0.13-0.19	1-21	Female	Hubbard
81	Mack et al. 1999	6	0.13-0.19	20-40	Male	Ross 208

^{a)}: Digestible TRP level (on AFD basis) in basal diet analysed by authors and used in present study.

^{b)}: Total TRP level in basal diet analysed by authors and used in present study; calculation of digestible Lys level (on AFD basis) in basal diet was done by using these data in combination with the AFD coefficients in the CVB Feed Table (2007).

^{c)}: TRP level calculated using the ingredient composition as published and amino acid profile of CVB Feed Table (2007)); calculation of digestible Lys level (on AFD basis) was done as described in ^{b)}.

3.1.2 Results of curve fitting and tryptophan requirements for individual studies

In general, the response of BWG and FCR to AFD and SID tryptophan content in the experimental diet showed an exponential relationship, when using as input the mean data for BWG and FCR per experimental group as provided in the original paper. The response of BWG and FCR to graded supplementation levels of dietary tryptophan was determined for all individual experiments according to the exponential model (1) described in paragraph 2.4.1.

The requirement for AFD and SID tryptophan was determined for each study and defined as the dietary tryptophan concentration at which 95% of the response (difference between performance at no additional tryptophan supplementation and the performance at the asymptotic value) was met according to equation (2) in the paragraph 2.4.1.

The results of fitting the individual studies according to equation (2) in the paragraph 2.4.1, together with details of the study and estimated AFD tryptophan requirement and SID tryptophan requirement for BWG and FCR are presented in Appendix 2 and 3, respectively. In these Appendices only the results of studies are presented that also met the criteria for inclusion for the overall analysis in paragraph 2.4.2.

3.1.3 Results of overall fitting and tryptophan requirements as a function of age

For estimating the AFD and SID tryptophan requirement as a function of age, an overall regression analysis was conducted on AFD and SID tryptophan requirement values derived from the individual experiments and the mean age in these experiments according to the general model (3) described in paragraph 2.4.2.

An overview of experiments that were included in the overall regression analysis of the experiments is presented in Appendix 4 and 5. Details of the individual experiments and estimated AFD tryptophan requirement and SID tryptophan requirement for BWG and FCR are presented in these appendixes as well. Some studies had to be excluded from the overall fitting for both BWG and FCR because of co-limitation of other amino acids or lack of fit (see Appendix 6 and 7).

The results of the overall fitting are presented in Paragraph 3.2 (figures 1-4)

3.2 Tryptophan requirement values

In paragraph 3.2.1 up to paragraph 3.2.4 the results of the overall regression analyses on requirement values derived from individual experiments are presented in graphs. Tryptophan requirement values in these paragraphs are expressed on AFD or SID basis, on content in the diet and are expressed for BWG as well as FCR.

3.2.1 Requirement of AFD tryptophan expressed on dietary content for body weight gain




Figure 1 Requirement of AFD tryptophan content (% in diet) for body weight gain at different ages (based on Exp.no 33, 53, 54, 61, 62, 63 and 64)

3.2.2 Requirement of AFD tryptophan expressed on dietary content for feed conversion ratio




Figure 2 Requirement of AFD tryptophan content (% in diet) for feed conversion ratio at different ages (based on Exp.no. 33, 53, 54, 61, 62, 63 and 64)

3.2.3 Requirement of SID tryptophan expressed on dietary content for body weight gain




Figure 3 Requirement of SID tryptophan content (% in diet) for body weight gain at different ages (based on Exp.no 33, 53, 54, 61, 62, 63 and 64)

3.2.4 Requirement of SID tryptophan expressed on dietary content for feed conversion ratio




Figure 4 Requirement of SID tryptophan content (% in diet) for feed conversion ratio at different ages (based on Exp.no 33, 53, 54, 61, 62, 63 and 64)

3.3 Requirement values of AFD and SID tryptophan content at different ages for BWG and FCR

Requirement values of AFD and SID tryptophan content at different ages for BWG and FCR were restricted to the age period of 9.5 to 12.5 days of age; so it is not possible to estimate the requirement values for the total age period.

Acknowledgement

The CVB project group 'Digestible Amino Acids Poultry', consisting of scientists and representatives of the Dutch feed industry, guided this study. The authors would like to acknowledge with much appreciation the members of this project group for their valuable input to all phases of this project. The members of the CVB project group 'Digestible Amino Acids Poultry' were:

Alfons Jansman
Bart Swart
Cees Kwakernaak
Carolien Makkink
Henk Everts
Machiel Blok
Marien van den Brink
Marinus van Krimpen
Mario van Erp
Masja Lensing
Peter Wijtten
Rene Kwakkel
Ruud Dekker
Teun Veldkamp
Walter Gerrits
Wouter Spek

Literature


- Blair, R., C.H. Chong, S.M. Hossain. 2007. Growth responses of broiler chicks to different levels of threonine and tryptophan in chemically-defined diets. *The Journal of Poultry Science* 44: 305-313.
- Castro de, A.J., P.C. Gomes, J.M.R. Pupa, H.S. Rostagno, L.F.T. Albino, A.H. Nascimento. 2000. Tryptophan requirement for broilers from 1 to 21 days of age. *Rev. bras. zootec.*, 29(6): 1743-1749.
- Corzo, A., E.T. Moran Jr., D. Hoehler, A. Lemme. 2005a. Dietary tryptophan need of broiler males from forty-two to fifty-six days of age. *Poultry Science* 84: 226–231.
- Corzo, A., M.T. Kidd, J.P. Thaxton, B.J. Kerr. 2005b. Dietary tryptophan effects on growth and stress responses of male broiler chicks. *British Poultry Science* 46(4): 478-484.
- CVB. 1996. Amino acid requirement of laying hens and broiler chicks. Schutte, J.B. (ed.). CVB Report No. 18.
- CVB. 2007. Veevoedertabel 2007, centraal veevoederbureau. Lelystad, The Netherlands. Uitgave mei 2007.
- Dekker, R., and M. C. Blok. 2015 (in press). Gestandaardiseerde ileale aminozuurverteerbaarheid van voedermiddelen voor pluimvee. DVAZP 43.
- Emadi, M., K. Kaveh, F. Jahanshiri, M. Hair-Bejo, A. Ideris, A.R. Alimon. 2010. Dietary tryptophan effects on growth performance and blood parameters in broiler chicks. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances* 9(4): 700-704.
- Fatufe, A.A., F. Hirche, M. Rodehutscord. 2005. Estimates of individual factors of the tryptophan requirement based on protein and tryptophan accretion responses to increasing tryptophan supply in broiler chickens 8 – 21 days of age. *Archives of Animal Nutrition* 59(3): 181-190.
- Mack, S., D. Bercovici, G. De Groote, B. Leclercq, M. Lippens, M. Pack, J.B. Schutte, S. Van Cauwenberghe. 1999. Ideal amino acid profile and dietary lysine specification for broiler chickens of 20 to 40 days of age. *British Poultry Science*. 40(2): 257–265.
- Rogers, S.R., G.M. Pesti. 1990. The influence of dietary tryptophan on broiler chick growth and lipid metabolism as mediated by dietary protein levels. *Poultry Science* 69: 746-756.
- Rosa, A.P., G.M. Pesti, H.M. Edwards Jr., R. Bakalli. 2001. Tryptophan requirements of different broiler genotypes. *Poultry Science* 80: 1718–1722.
- Shan, A.S., K.G. Sterling, G.M. Pesti, R.I. Bakalli, J.P. Driver, A.A. Tejedor. 2003. The influence of temperature on the threonine and tryptophan requirements of young broiler chicks. *Poultry Science* 82: 1154–1162

Appendices


Appendix 1 List of references which have not been included in the database with reason


Reference	Journal	Reason
Rogers and Pesti 1990	Poultry Science	Crude protein varied with tryptophan level
Corzo et al. 2005	Poultry Science	LYS and ARG < -10% of limited level
Blair et al. 2007	Journal of Poultry Science	Only three levels of tryptophan
Emadi et al. 2010	Journal of Animal and Veterinary advances	Only three levels of tryptophan

Appendix 2 The determined responses of body weight gain (g/d) as a function of the AFD and SID tryptophan content for each individual experiment (% CV=coefficient of variation; in brackets)



Experiment Number	AFD TRP	SID TRP	Exponential Curve for AFD	Exponential Curve for SID
54	0.21 (4.9)	0.21 (4.9)	<p>observed ADG g/d modelfit</p>	<p>observed ADG g/d modelfit</p>
61	0.21 (5.3)	0.21 (5.3)	<p>observed ADG g/d modelfit</p>	<p>observed ADG g/d modelfit</p>














Appendix 3

The determined responses of feed conversion ratio as a function of the AFD and SID tryptophan content for each individual experiment (% CV=coefficient of variation; in brackets)

Experiment Number	AFD TRP	SID TRP	Exponential Curve for AFD	Exponential Curve for SID
33	0.21 (3.5)	0.21 (3.5)		
53	0.20 (3.2)	0.20 (3.2)		

Experiment Number	AFD TRP	SID TRP	Exponential Curve for AFD	Exponential Curve for SID
54	0.18 (2.1)	0.18 (2.1)	 <p>Graph showing feed conversion ratio (Y-axis, 1.5 to 2.5) versus AFD tryptophan content (%) (X-axis, 0.10 to 0.25). The observed FCR (blue diamonds) and model fit (red line) show a decreasing trend, leveling off around 1.6.</p>	 <p>Graph showing feed conversion ratio (Y-axis, 1.5 to 2.5) versus SID tryptophan content (%) (X-axis, 0.10 to 0.25). The observed FCR (blue diamonds) and model fit (red line) show a decreasing trend, leveling off around 1.6.</p>
61	0.17 (8.6)	0.16 (8.6)	 <p>Graph showing feed conversion ratio (Y-axis, 1.3 to 2.7) versus AFD tryptophan content (%) (X-axis, 0.05 to 0.25). The observed FCR (blue diamonds) and model fit (red line) show a decreasing trend, leveling off around 1.4.</p>	 <p>Graph showing feed conversion ratio (Y-axis, 1.3 to 2.7) versus SID tryptophan content (%) (X-axis, 0.05 to 0.25). The observed FCR (blue diamonds) and model fit (red line) show a decreasing trend, leveling off around 1.4.</p>

Experiment Number	AFD TRP	SID TRP	Exponential Curve for AFD	Exponential Curve for SID																												
62	0.18 (3.5)	0.18 (3.5)	 <table><caption>Data for Experiment 62 AFD Graph</caption><tr><th>AFD tryptophan content (%)</th><th>Observed FCR</th></tr><tr><td>0.10</td><td>2.25</td></tr><tr><td>0.13</td><td>1.65</td></tr><tr><td>0.16</td><td>1.40</td></tr><tr><td>0.19</td><td>1.40</td></tr><tr><td>0.22</td><td>1.35</td></tr><tr><td>0.25</td><td>1.35</td></tr></table>	AFD tryptophan content (%)	Observed FCR	0.10	2.25	0.13	1.65	0.16	1.40	0.19	1.40	0.22	1.35	0.25	1.35	 <table><caption>Data for Experiment 62 SID Graph</caption><tr><th>SID tryptophan content (%)</th><th>Observed FCR</th></tr><tr><td>0.10</td><td>2.25</td></tr><tr><td>0.13</td><td>1.65</td></tr><tr><td>0.16</td><td>1.40</td></tr><tr><td>0.19</td><td>1.40</td></tr><tr><td>0.22</td><td>1.35</td></tr><tr><td>0.25</td><td>1.35</td></tr></table>	SID tryptophan content (%)	Observed FCR	0.10	2.25	0.13	1.65	0.16	1.40	0.19	1.40	0.22	1.35	0.25	1.35
AFD tryptophan content (%)	Observed FCR																															
0.10	2.25																															
0.13	1.65																															
0.16	1.40																															
0.19	1.40																															
0.22	1.35																															
0.25	1.35																															
SID tryptophan content (%)	Observed FCR																															
0.10	2.25																															
0.13	1.65																															
0.16	1.40																															
0.19	1.40																															
0.22	1.35																															
0.25	1.35																															
63	0.17 (2.4)	0.17 (2.4)	 <table><caption>Data for Experiment 63 AFD Graph</caption><tr><th>AFD tryptophan content (%)</th><th>Observed FCR</th></tr><tr><td>0.10</td><td>2.05</td></tr><tr><td>0.13</td><td>1.55</td></tr><tr><td>0.16</td><td>1.45</td></tr><tr><td>0.19</td><td>1.35</td></tr><tr><td>0.22</td><td>1.40</td></tr><tr><td>0.25</td><td>1.35</td></tr></table>	AFD tryptophan content (%)	Observed FCR	0.10	2.05	0.13	1.55	0.16	1.45	0.19	1.35	0.22	1.40	0.25	1.35	 <table><caption>Data for Experiment 63 SID Graph</caption><tr><th>SID tryptophan content (%)</th><th>Observed FCR</th></tr><tr><td>0.10</td><td>2.05</td></tr><tr><td>0.13</td><td>1.55</td></tr><tr><td>0.16</td><td>1.45</td></tr><tr><td>0.19</td><td>1.35</td></tr><tr><td>0.22</td><td>1.40</td></tr><tr><td>0.25</td><td>1.35</td></tr></table>	SID tryptophan content (%)	Observed FCR	0.10	2.05	0.13	1.55	0.16	1.45	0.19	1.35	0.22	1.40	0.25	1.35
AFD tryptophan content (%)	Observed FCR																															
0.10	2.05																															
0.13	1.55																															
0.16	1.45																															
0.19	1.35																															
0.22	1.40																															
0.25	1.35																															
SID tryptophan content (%)	Observed FCR																															
0.10	2.05																															
0.13	1.55																															
0.16	1.45																															
0.19	1.35																															
0.22	1.40																															
0.25	1.35																															



Appendix 4

Experiments for which the requirement on the AFD and SID tryptophan concentration for BWG was estimated according to equation 2 and that also met the criteria for the overall regression to estimate relationship of the AFD and SID tryptophan requirement for BWG with age

Exp No.	Reference	AFD tryptophan concentration in the diet (%)	Gender	Strain	Age of birds	Published Requirement (%)	Models used by the reference	Re-calculated requirement using exponential models (%)	
								AFD tryptophan content for BWG	SID tryptophan content for BWG
33	Corzo et al. 2005b	0.113, 0.133, 0.153, 0.173, 0.193, 0.213, 0.233	Male	Ross 508	1-20	0.21	Quadratic	0.23	0.23
53	Shan et al. 2003	0.116, 0.141, 0.166, 0.191, 0.216, 0.241	Male	Cobb	7-21	0.15	Broken-line linear	0.25	0.24
54	Shan et al. 2003	0.116, 0.141, 0.166, 0.191, 0.216, 0.241	Male	Cobb	7-21	0.14	Broken-line linear	0.21	0.21
61	Rosa et al. 2001	0.099, 0.129, 0.159, 0.189, 0.219, 0.249	Male	Arbor Acres Classic	1-18	0.18	Broken-line linear	0.21	0.21
62	Rosa et al. 2001	0.099, 0.129, 0.159, 0.189, 0.219, 0.249	Male	Arbor Acres High Yield	1-18	0.17	Broken-line linear	0.19	0.19
63	Rosa et al. 2001	0.099, 0.129, 0.159, 0.189, 0.219, 0.249	Male	Ross 308	1-18	0.17	Broken-line linear	0.18	0.18
64	Rosa et al. 2001	0.099, 0.129, 0.159, 0.189, 0.219, 0.249	Female	Ross 308	1-18	0.17	Broken-line linear	0.18	0.18

Appendix 5

Experiments for which the requirement on the AFD and SID tryptophan concentration for FCR was estimated according to equation 2 and that also met the criteria for the overall regression to estimate relationship of the AFD and SID tryptophan requirement for FCR with age

Exp No.	Reference	SID tryptophan concentration in the diet (%)	Gender	Strain	Age of birds	Published Requirement (%)	Models used by the reference	Re-calculated requirement using exponential models (%)	
								AFD tryptophan content for FCR	SID tryptophan content for FCR
33	Corzo et al. 2005b	0.113, 0.133, 0.153, 0.173, 0.193, 0.213, 0.233	Male	Ross 508	1-20	0.21	Quadratic	0.21	0.21
53	Shan et al. 2003	0.114, 0.139, 0.164, 0.189, 0.214, 0.239	Male	Cobb	7-21	0.15	Broken-line linear	0.20	0.20
54	Shan et al. 2003	0.114, 0.139, 0.164, 0.189, 0.214, 0.239	Male	Cobb	7-21	0.14	Broken-line linear	0.18	0.18
61	Rosa et al. 2001	0.098, 0.128, 0.158, 0.188, 0.218, 0.248	Male	Arbor Acres Classic	1-18	0.18	Broken-line linear	0.17	0.16
62	Rosa et al. 2001	0.098, 0.128, 0.158, 0.188, 0.218, 0.248	Male	Arbor Acres High Yield	1-18	0.17	Broken-line linear	0.18	0.18
63	Rosa et al. 2001	0.098, 0.128, 0.158, 0.188, 0.218, 0.248	Male	Ross 308	1-18	0.17	Broken-line linear	0.17	0.17
64	Rosa et al. 2001	0.098, 0.128, 0.158, 0.188, 0.218, 0.248	Female	Ross 308	1-18	0.17	Broken-line linear	0.19	0.19

Appendix 6

References omitted in the overall regression of BWG response to AFD and SID tryptophan content

Exp No.	Reference	Tryptophan concentration in the diet (%)		Gender	Strain	Age of birds	Published Requirement (%)	Models used by the reference	Re-calculated requirement for BWG using exponential models (tryptophan content in %)		Reason for exclusion *
		On AFD basis	On SID basis						On AFD basis	On SID basis	
41	Fatufe et al. 2005	0.074, 0.077, 0.087, 0.94, 0.100, 0.121, 0.138, 0.173, 0.205, 0.254	0.075, 0.078, 0.088, 0.95, 0.101, 0.122, 0.139, 0.174, 0.206, 0.255	Male	Ross	8-21	-	Logistic equation	0.60	0.60	1
71	Castro et al. 2000	0.163, 0.178, 0.193, 0.208, 0.223	0.134, 0.149, 0.164, 0.179, 0.194	Male	Hubbard	1-21	0.212	Quadratic	0.16	0.14	2
72	Castro et al. 2000	0.163, 0.178, 0.193, 0.208, 0.223	0.134, 0.149, 0.164, 0.179, 0.194	Female	Hubbard	1-21	0.211	Quadratic	-	-	2
81	Mack et al. 1999	0.130, 0.140, 0.150, 0.170, 0.180, 0.190	0.130, 0.140, 0.150, 0.160, 0.170, 0.180	Male	Ross 208	20-40	0.21	Exponential	0.18	0.18	2

*Explanation of codes for exclusion:

1 = Calculated requirement >110% of highest inclusion level of test amino acid; 2 = Lack of fit; 3 = Co-limitation of non-test amino acid(s).

Appendix 7

References omitted in the overall regression of FCR response to SID tryptophan content

Exp No.	Reference	Tryptophan concentration in the diet (%)		Gender	Strain	Age of birds	Published Requirement (%)	Models used by the reference	Re-calculated requirement for FCR using exponential models (tryptophan content in %)		Reason for exclusion*
		On AFD basis	On SID basis						On AFD basis	On SID basis	
41	Fatufe et al. 2005	0.074, 0.077, 0.087, 0.94, 0.100, 0.121, 0.138, 0.173, 0.205, 0.254	0.075, 0.078, 0.088, 0.95, 0.101, 0.122, 0.139, 0.174, 0.206, 0.255	Male	Ross	8-21	-	Logistic equation	0.22	0.22	3
71	Castro et al. 2000	0.163, 0.178, 0.193, 0.208, 0.223	0.134, 0.149, 0.164, 0.179, 0.194	Male	Hubbard	1-21	0.208	Quadratic	0.21	0.19	2
72	Castro et al. 2000	0.163, 0.178, 0.193, 0.208, 0.223	0.134, 0.149, 0.164, 0.179, 0.194	Female	Hubbard	1-21	0.208	Quadratic	-	-	2
81	Mack et al. 1999	0.130, 0.140, 0.150, 0.170, 0.180, 0.190	0.130, 0.140, 0.150, 0.160, 0.170, 0.180	Male	Ross 208	20-40	0.21	Exponential	-	-	2

*Explanation of codes for exclusion:

1 = Calculated requirement >110% of highest inclusion level of test amino acid; 2 = Lack of fit; 3 = Co-limitation of non-test amino acid(s).

To explore
the potential
of nature to
improve the
quality of life



Wageningen UR Livestock Research
P.O. Box 338
6700 AH Wageningen
The Netherlands
T +31 (0)317 48 39 53
E info.livestockresearch@wur.nl
www.wageningenUR.nl/livestockresearch

Together with our clients, we integrate scientific know-how and practical experience to develop livestock concepts for the 21st century. With our expertise on innovative livestock systems, nutrition, welfare, genetics and environmental impact of livestock farming and our state-of-the art research facilities, such as Dairy Campus and Swine Innovation Centre Sterksel, we support our customers to find solutions for current and future challenges.

The mission of Wageningen UR (University & Research centre) is 'To explore the potential of nature to improve the quality of life'. Within Wageningen UR, nine specialised research institutes of the DLO Foundation have joined forces with Wageningen University to help answer the most important questions in the domain of healthy food and living environment. With approximately 30 locations, 6,000 members of staff and 10,000 students, Wageningen UR is one of the leading organisations in its domain worldwide. The integral approach to problems and the cooperation between the various disciplines are at the heart of the unique Wageningen Approach.

