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## Introduction.

Many varieties of tomato tend to produce some plants which show abnormalities and these are variously known as rogues. They differ from normal plants by having very short internodes and smaller leaves with fewer segments. The tendency for side-shoots to develop early gives a feathery appearance and, most important of all, the first truss gives sterile flowers and later trusses yield only a few small fruits.

Summary of the literature.
It was found that temperatures during the time from sowing until the cotyledons open out affected the number of rogues produced. The lower the day and night germination temperature, the lower the percentage of rogues (Anonymous, Tomatoes Bull, 77, page 21). Effects of temperature on the production of rogue plants in the variety Ails Craig are demonstrated in table 1.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Table 1. Effect of temperature on the production of rogue plants in the variety Ailsa Craig. The seedlings were kept at the controlled temperatures until the cotyledons had expanded.
The day period was 14 hours and the night 10 hours (from Calvert 1955).

| Treatment | temperature |  |  | Rogues |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | day |  | night |  | (per cent.) |
| 1 | 26 O | C | 260 | C | 12,9 |
| 2 | 26 O | C | 12,50 | C | 7,6 |
| 3 | 12,5 | C | $26 \bigcirc$ | C | 8,0 |
| 4 | 12,5 |  | 12,50 | C | 1,7 |

The effect of the day length and light intensity on the production of rogue plants is shown in table 2 (A. Calvert 1955).

Table 2. Showing the effect on rogue production of reduced daylength and light intensity.
Variety: Ailsa Craig.
Temperature: 300 C seed sown: 11th June - treatnents continued to:19th June (from Calvert 1955).

| Day length | Light intensity | Number of plants | Rogues |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 16 hours | normal | 91 | 6,6 percent |
| 7 hours | normal | 185 | 15,6 percent |
| 16 hours | half normal | 93 | 12,9 percent |
| 7 hours | half normal | 170 | 11,7 percent |

The rogue plants have less production than normal ones. Therefore it is important to know the rogue plants in an early stage and discard them. Then the plants have five or six leaves, recognition is easy but, at that stage, labour and space have been wasted on the care of worthless plants. With practice recognition is possible when the first true leaf appears. At this stage a normal seedling has one well-developed leaf with a large terminal lobe and two or three very small segments near the stalk. A rogue of the same age will have two equally developed leaves each with three lobes about equal in size. (Anonymous Tomatoes Bull. 77). On the other hand, as a general rule, rogue seedlings begin to produce their first two pairs of rourh or true leaves more quickly than normal plants, and when 7 - 10 days old they can be recognized by the somewhat crossshaped formation of these two pairs of leaves. After a fortnisht or so rocues cannot be mistaken even by the inexperienced eye since they are more dwarf and more "bunchy" in growth than the surrounding seedlincs (Allerton, 1957).

## Materials.

An experiment was set up to study differences between normal and rogue plants. Twelve normal and twelve rogue plants were chosen from the variety Craigress. This is a rather new variety (Ailsa Craig green back type), which produced a very high \% rogues in the Netherlands this season. The seedings were planted in the southern part of the greenhouse, in an outside row running from east to west.

## Methods.

All of the experimental plants were given the same treatment. The seeds were sown in flat boxes on the $14^{\text {th }}$ of November, and germinated by the $20^{\text {th }}$ of Jovember. On the $22^{\text {th }}$ of jovember, they were first transplanted into soil blocks, on the $13^{\text {th }}$ of December they were again transplanted into plastic pots. On the 11 th of Januari they were planted out at distances of $80 \times 45 \mathrm{~cm}$.

## Results of the experiment.

The growth and the culture treatments were recorded daily. Weekly observations were also made on the development of flowers and fruits on each plant. The results demonstrate that normal plants are more fertile than rogues, but that the rogue plants have greater vegetative growth than the normal plants.

On the $15^{\text {th }}$ of February the leaves below the first productive trusses were counted. This is shown in table 1. On the rogue plants the first, second and sometimes third trusses were not developed. From the third or fourth truss the rogue plants became fertile. Table 1. Iumber of leaves below the first truss with fruits.

| Plant no. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Normal pl. | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10,6 |
| Rogue pl. | 22 | 19 | 17 | 21 | 18 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 17,9 |

It can be seen that the rogue plants produced leaf numbers varyind from 16 to 22 while the normal plants varied between 10 and 12 leaves. Moreover the numbers of side shoots of the normal and rogue plants until the $17^{\text {th }}$ of April show that the rogue plants have much more side shoots than the normal ones (table 2).

Table 2. Number of side shoots on the $17^{\text {th }}$ of April.

| Plant no. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Normal pl. | 25 | 22 | 19 | 22 | 28 | 24 | 19 | 23 | 21 | 29 | 24 | 25 | 23,4 |
| Rogue pl. | 42 | 35 | 38 | 38 | 34 | 38 | 31 | 39 | 41 | 37 | 41 | 33 | 37,2 |

When the plants reach the overhead wires, their tops were cut, and the dates recorded (table 3).

Table 3. Dates on which the tops of the plants reached the supporting wires.

| Plant no. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Normal pl. 4.IV 4.IV 4.IV 3.IV 4.IV 3.IV 4.IV 4.IV 4.IV 4.IV 4.IV 4.IV

Rogue pl. 4.IV 4.IV 5.IV 9.IV 9.IV 4.IV 9.IV 9.IV 9.IV 9.IV 9.IV 4.IV

Another difference which has been observed when the plants had grown is that the leaves of the rogues are abnormally dark in colour, and while the leaves of the normal plants are arranged alternately, those of the rogues are mostly abnornal in that way that they have an irregular arrangement.
Furthermore, the higher trusses from the $4^{\text {th }}$ trusses of the rogue plants were more branchy.

As a result of the branching of the higher trusses on the rogue plants, they have more flowers than the normal plants later on. As for flowering, fruit-setting and fruit-ripening, however, the normal plants are earlier than the rogues (table 4, 5, 6).

Table 4. The dates of the first flowering.

| plant no. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Normal pl. 24.II 27.II 27.II 24.II 24.II 22.II 20.II 27.II 20.II 24.11 22.II 27.II

Rogue pl. 28.II 27.II 27.II 27.II 27.II 27.II 24.II 27.II 27.II 27.II 24.II 27.II

Table 5. The dates of the first fruit setting (fruit diameter 1 cm ).

| Plant no. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Normal pl. 6.III 28. II 7.III 6.III 6.III 6.III 23. II 6.III 3.III 3.III 2.III 6.III

Rogue pl. 7.III 6.III 6.III 6.III 6.III 6.III 6.III 6.III 6.III 7.III 7.III 7.III

Table 6. The dates of the first fruit ripening.

| Plant no. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Normal pl. 28.IV 28.IV 28.IV 24.IV 24.IV 28.IV 24.IV 24.IV 24.IV 28.IV 24.IV 28.IV

Rogue pl. 2. V 28.IV 28.IV 28.IV 2. v 2. v 28.IV 28.IV 2. V 2. v 28.IV 28.IV

Despite the excessive flowering of the rogues the percentare of fruitsetting as well as fruit quality was lower. Monthly totals of the number of flowers, set fruits, and ripe fruits are shown in table 7, 8, 9.

Table 7. Monthly totals of the number of flowers.

| Plant no. |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| February | N | 8 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8,5 |
|  | R | 1 | 2 | 7 | - | 3 | 4 | - | 4 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 3,8 |
| March | N | 44 | 41 | 50 | 46 | 41 | 46 | 49 | 38 | 39 | 38 | 45 | 44 | 43,4 |
|  | R | 38 | 42 | 50 | - | 51 | 51 | - | 47 | 58 | 39 | 63 | 44 | 48,3 |
| April | N | 14 | 20 | 45 | 22 | 41 | 20 | 28 | 27 | 21 | 21 | 18 | 18 | 24,6 |
|  | R | 54 | 41 | 59 | - | 25 | 49 | - | 36 | 36 | 45 | 85 | 21 | 45,1 |
| May | N | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | R | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |

Table 8. Monthly totals of the number of well set fruits.

| Plant no. |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| February | N | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | R | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| March | $\because$ | 37 | 36 | 33 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 29 | 36 | 36 | 31 | 43 | 34 | 35,8 |
|  | R | 20 | 27 | 40 | - | 36 | 31 | - | 36 | 33 | 26 | 41 | 33 | 32,3 |
| April | N | 16 | 19 | 32 | 14 | 18 | 17 | 24 | 24 | 8 | 14 | 21 | 29 | 19,7 |
|  | R | 36 | 31 | 32 | - | 17 | 33 | - | 17 | 15 | 23 | 41 | 22 | 26,7 |
| May | N | 7 | 2 | 31 | 15 | 23 | 8 | 9 | 16 | 14 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 11,6 |
|  | R | 18 | 10 | 4 | - | 17 | 4 | - | 5 | 23 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 10,0 |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
: $:$

Table 9. Monthly totals of the number of ripe fruits.

| Plant |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April | N | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 3,2 |
|  | R | 0 | 1 | 3 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1,1 |
| May | N | 40 | 37 | 51 | 36 | 33 | 39 | 33 | 42 | 34 | 37 | 35 | 42 | 38,2 |
|  | R | 29 | 28 | 57 | - | 40 | 31 | - | 34 | 33 | 28 | 35 | 37 | 35,2 |
| June | M | 16 | 15 | 37 | 24 | 25 | 14 | 17 | 16 | 11 | 10 | 17 | 11 | 17,7 |
|  | R | 24 | 24 | 28 | - | 21 | 24 | - | 14 | 25 | 25 | 38 | 15 | 23, 8 |

Totals of the number of flowers and well set fruits on each truss of the rogue and normal plants are shown in table $10,11,12,13$. Table 10. Totals of the number of flowers on each truss of the normal plants.

|  | trusses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| plant no. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | - |
| 2 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 12 | - |
| 3 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 14 | 25 | 18 | 13 |
| 4 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 12 |
| 5 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 27 |
| 6 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | - |
| 7 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 14 | - |
| 8 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 9 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | - |
| 10 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 4 | - |
| 11 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| 12 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | - |

Table 11. Totals of the number of well set fruits on each truss of the normal plants.

| plant no | trusses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1 | 6 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 11 | - |
| 2 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 6 | - |
| 3 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 21 | 17 | 13 |
| 4 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 11 |
| 5 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 24 |
| 6 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 11 | - |
| 7 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | - |
| 8 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 |
| 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | - |
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | - | - |
| 11 | 4 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 11 |
| 12 | 5 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 10 | - |

Table 12. Totals of the number of flowers on each truss of the rogue plants.
$\qquad$
trusses
plant no.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 23 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 14 | 9 | 10 | 22 | 26 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 23 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 8 | 13 | 8 | 21 | 8 | 8 | 2 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | - | 7 | 19 | 13 | 21 | 13 | 10 | 13 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 16 | 24 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 23 | 9 | 11 | 19 | 37 | 27 | 7 |
| 12 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 16 | 7 | 13 | 8 |  |  |  |

Table 13. Totals of the number of well set fruits on each truss of the rogue plants.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 17 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 23 | 8 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 18 | 15 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 |  | 7 | 16 | 11 | 14 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 15 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 21 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 14 | 5 | 2 |
| 12 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

As a result normal plants are more productive than the rogue plants, and their fruit quality is much better (table 14).

Table 14. Monthly totals of number and weight (in grams) of harvested fruits per normal plant.

| plant no. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | gram | 150 | 265 | 125 | 335 | 190 | 140 | 170 | 150 | 485 | 105 | 450 | 265 | 2830 |
|  | number | 2 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 39 |
|  | gram | 3360 | 2930 | 3605 | 2500 | 2630 | 3515 | 2670 | 2990 | 2650 | 3330 | 2375 | 3605 | 36160 |
|  | number | 40 | 37 | 51 | 36 | 33 | 39 | 33 | 42 | 34 | 37 | 35 | 42 | 459 |
|  | gram | 1215 | 1110 | 1580 | 1325 | 1920 | 775 | 1565 | 1025 | 590 | 640 | 1320 | 670 | 14035 |

Table 15. Monthly totals of number and weight (in erams) of harvested fruits per rogue plant.

| plant no. |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4^{x}$ | 5 | 6 | $7 \times 8$ | 9 | 10 | 11 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April | gram | 0 | 85 | 240 | - | 0 | 0 | - 55 | 0 | 0 | 245 | 145 | 770 |
|  | number | 0 | 1 | 3 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 11 |
| fay | gram | 2320 | 2280 | 2785 | - | 3175 | 2370 | - 2235 | 2500 | 2185 | 2325 | 2540 | 24715 |
|  | number | 29 | 30 | 44 | - | 40 | 31 | - 34 | 33 | 28 | 35 | 37 | 341 |
| June | gram | 1605 | 2080 | 1885 | - | 1525 | 1670 | - 1020 | 1635 | 1910 | 2365 | 1005 | 16700 |
|  | number | 24 | 24 | 28 | - | 21 | 24 | - 14 | 25 | 25 | 38 | 15 | 238 |
| Total | gram | 3925 | 4445 | 4910 |  | 4700 | 4040 | - 3310 | 4135 | 4095 | 4935 | 3690 | 42185 |
|  | number | 53 | 55 | 75 | - | 61 | 55 | - 49 | 58 | 53 | 77 | 54 | 590 |

XThese plants are not harvested because they were lost.
Mean yield per plant for each harvest is shown in graph. 1.
Mean cumulative yield per plant for each harvesting date is shown in graph. 2.

## Mean yield per plant for each harvesting date




Graph. 2

Monthly mean of first and second quality yield in grans of normal and rogue plants are shown in table 16.

Table 16. Monthly mean of first and second quality yield in grams per normal and rogue plant.
normal plants(in grams) rogue plant(in grams)
Months
first quality second quality first quality second quality

| April | 235,83 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| May | 2367,00 | 646,25 | 2045,00 | 426,00 |
| June | 572,50 | 597,00 | 600,00 | 1070,00 |
| Total | 3175,33 | 1243,25 | 2722,00 | 1496,00 |
| Percent. | $71,9 \%$ | $28,1 \%$ | $64,5 \%$ | $33,5 \%$ |

## Discussion:

As it is seen on the tables above, there are rather big differences in earliness, quality and productivity between rogues and normal plants. Normal plants are earlier than rogues about 3-4 days, therefore they have 400 gr more production per plant than the others in the first two months. Early in the season when prices are high, it is possible to get more proceeds from normal ones. During the same period, normal plants have 480,83 gr per plant more first quality production. This number can be very important for a large culture. On the other hand, in Turkey, it is possible to buy fresh tomatoes from outside. culture between May and January. After this season of heavy production there is a great shortage, which makes prices very high. Therefore it would be very profitable for a grower, to obtain a good yield in this period.

However, in this time tomatoes must be raised in the greenhouse, and it is the high cost of building that makes it necessary for the grower to get good returns. Si it is important to discard rogues in the culture of tomatoes.
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