

Introduction

Good results of several soil treatments against Pratylenchus penetrans (Pp) on glacial sand (field trial Vredepeel 2006-2014)

>> Efficacy and feasibility on dune sand?

- Glacial sand and dune sand differ in:
- Physical-, chemical- and biological properties
- Crop rotation, tillage practices, etc.
- Damage threshold: Glacial sand ca. 100 Pp/100 ml Dune sand ca. 10 Pp/100 ml

PRAKTIJKONDERZOEK











Pasteurized Natural

Bristle oat

Marigold

2010-2013

Pp infestation declined below the damage threshold, even in untreated plots (fallow), despite cultivation of a series of host crops.

Why?

PRAKTIJKONDERZOEK

Natural disease suppression?



Growers' perspective

Are the soil treatments worth the investment?

Return on investment by:

Increase of bulb yields:

- Control of Pratylenchus (short term effect 2010-2012)
- Fertilizing effect of incorporated organic residues
- Control of other pathogens (not present in field plot)
- Effect on disease suppression against pathogens? 2013-2014: bioassays with Pythium en Meloïdogyne hapla

PRAKTIJKON DERZOEK PLANT & OMBEVING







Financial calculations

Based on the results of this experiment, and using algorithms and assumptions from literature, we calculated:

- Treatment costs (€/ha)
- Bulb yields (ton/ha) and returns (€/ha)
- Potential effect of disease suppression on yields and returns

All calculations with reserve: Results may vary between fields, years, crop/cultivars, bulbsize, market values, etc.

PRAKTIJKONDERZOEK

Cost-benefit analysis 2010-2012 (€/ha)							
	Fallow	CSD	BSD + compost	Marigold+ compost	Bristle oat		
Treatment cost (€/ha)	510	1600	6400	2750	700		
Returns of Narcissus (€/ha)	9918	10646	11000	10259	10396		
Returns of Lily (€/ha)	41806	47355	48000	47871	45290		
Total returns in 2 years (€/ha)	51214	56401	51300	55380	54986		
Relative returns (%)	100%	110%	103%	108%	107%		

Potential returns on disease suppression: Meloïdogyna hapla

- Root knots hardly cause yield reduction in perennials
- Export market: zero tolerance for nematodes, the slightest infection = unsellable = no returns
- Disease suppression does not give 100% control; more or less disease suppression is not relevant
- Solution: 100% control by hot water treatment (1 h 45°C) of all plants for export market

Ρ	Potential returns on disease suppression: Pythium							
Potential reduction of returns per hectare of Hyacinth in case of <i>Pythium</i> infestation								
	Infested field area	Infested field Untreated Biological s disinfestati (fallow) + compos						
	10%	€ 1514 (3.4%)	€ 352 (0.8%)					
	50%	€ 7571 (17.4%)	€ 1760 (4.0%)					
Returns of hyacinth under standard circumstances: €44.175 (100%)								

Conclusions

Compared to untreated soil (fallow):

- CSD, BSD and Marigold reduced *Pratylenchus* numbers
- All treatments increased bulb yields (2 years)
- Returns on investments within 2 years for all treatments
- All treatments increased disease suppression against *M. hapla* (without direct financial benefits)
- BSD increased disease suppression against *Pythium*, potentially limiting reduction of returns by 3-13% in infested fields.

PRAKTIJKONDERZOEK

