Challenges and opportunities in animal feed and nutrition

L.A. den Hartog^{1,2} and S.R. Sijtsma¹

¹ Nutreco R&D and Quality Affairs, P.O. Box 220 5830 AE Boxmeer, The Netherlands

Summary

Livestock production will increasingly be affected by external factors. These include surging demands for animal products and struggling supplies of feed raw materials, resulting from the competition for natural resources and trade barriers. Simultaneously, there is growing concern about food and its impact on health, and the impact of production systems on animal welfare and the environment. Optimization of productivity and efficiency within such constraints are important objectives, as well as maximization of the profit for all stakeholders. Animal feed and nutrition are the essential link in the livestock production chain, i.e. between crop cultivation and animal protein production and processing. It is usually the biggest cost factor in livestock production. Several indicators demonstrate that further optimization of animal feed and nutrition is potentially still possible. The genetic potential is only partially utilized, the utilization of most nutrients appears to be low and there is a huge variation in performance among farms and, within farms, among addition. environmental performance can significantly. New science and technologies seem to offer many opportunities for innovation in animal feed and nutrition. Key drivers for future innovation are basically (gen)omics, microsystem- and nanotechnology and information & communication technology (ICT). These mainstream technologies are the foundation of many application technologies of relevance for animal feed and nutrition. Acceptance by consumers and society is a critical success factor. In conclusion, animal feed and nutrition are crucial in livestock production. Innovations have the potential to meet the challenges and to result in resource efficiency, healthy livestock and people, responsible production systems and optimal profit throughout the value chain.

² Wageningen University, Animal Nutrition Group, P.O. Box 338, 6700 AH Wageningen, The Netherlands; *Email*: Leo.den.Hartog@nutreco.com

Introduction

The global livestock sector is characterized by differences in dynamics. In developing economies, the livestock sector is evolving in response to rapidly increasing demand for livestock products due to the human population growth, higher prosperity and urbanization. In developed economies, demand for animal products is stagnating, while many production systems are improving their efficiency and environmental sustainability. In the future, production will increasingly be affected by globalization of the trade in feed commodities and livestock products, competition for natural resources resulting in volatility, and by the need to operate in a carbon-constrained economy. Moreover, livestock production will be increasingly affected by consumer and societal concerns and legislation. There is considerable uncertainty as to how these factors will play out in different regions of the world in the coming decades (Thornton, 2010).

Animal Feed and Nutrition: the essential link

Animal feed and nutrition are the essential link in the livestock production chain, i.e. between crop cultivation and animal protein production and processing. Surging demands and struggling supplies result in stressed surroundings in which animal feed operators and farmers need to balance their activities continuously, taking into account animal performance as well as customer, consumer and societal demands. As animal feed contributes to up to 80% of the total costs in meat production, and profits in the chain are usually under pressure, improving feed and feeding programs have received and will receive much attention in order to optimize productivity and efficiency.

Surging Demands

Total global meat production increased between 1980 and 2007, from 136 to about 285 million tons. In the same period milk production increased from 461 to 671 million tons and egg production from 27 to 68 million tons. Much of this growth was concentrated in countries that experienced rapid economic growth, particularly in East Asia. As a result, global growth in consumption of livestock products per capita has markedly outpaced growth in consumption of other major food commodity groups. In developed economies, on the other hand, production and consumption of livestock products are now growing only

slowly or stagnating, although at high levels (FAO, 2009a). In such markets surging demands are more related to quality, both in terms of product quality and safety, and production systems.

Around 1995 and 2007, developing economies had overtaken developed economies in terms of consumption and production of meat, respectively. Most of the increase in meat production and consumption has been from monogastrics; poultry meat production has been the fastest-growing subsector, followed by pork production. Increases from large and small ruminants have been much more modest. The result has been major changes in the composition of meat output globally, with significant differences between regions and countries (FAO, 2009a). Pork accounts for over 40 percent of global meat supplies, in part because of high levels of production and rapid growth in China, where more than half of world's pork production takes place (FAO, 2010).

By 2050, the world's population will reach about 9 billion, more than 30 percent higher than today. Nearly all of this population increase will occur in developing countries. Urbanization will continue at an accelerated pace and will increase from 49 percent today to about 70 percent of the world's population. Income levels will be many multiples of what they are now. In order to feed this larger, more urban and richer population, food production must increase by 70 percent. Annual cereal production will need to rise to about 3 billion tonnes from 2.1 billion today and annual meat production will need to rise by almost 200 million tonnes to reach 470 million tons. The growth in the future demands of livestock products will be almost exclusively seen in developing economies (FAO, 2009b).

It is expected that the number of pigs in the next decade will increase significantly but level off by 2020 and even may go down in the decades afterwards. In contrast, the number of poultry, and to much lesser extent large and small ruminants, will grow steadily until at least 2050 (Rosegrant, et al., 2009).

Struggling Supplies

Basic food and feed commodity prices have shown a decline in the period from the early 1950s till about early 1990s, due to quantum leaps in technological progress as well as the discovery of new, low-cost sources of supply. Since 2000, however, progress has slowed and resources entered an era of higher prices and volatility (Sarris, 2009). The food crisis of 2007-2008 shook up the sector and forced policymakers to review the drivers of agricultural commodity prices and the long-term demand and supply potentials of food worldwide. Not only internationally traded food and feed commodity

prices for particularly oilseeds, cereals and meat were spiky in the last decade, but the prices of crude oil, metals and fertilizer as well.

It may be expected that volatility in food and feed commodity prices and even struggling supplies due to scarcity will continue in the coming years and, as a consequence, affect livestock production. This could even become more serious, because of trade barriers often caused by a lack of harmonized legislation, the great dependency on global sourcing and the competition between industrial, urban and agricultural users. It should not be forgotten that producing the additional food needed to feed all people and livestock in 2050 will require a 9 percent expansion of arable land, a 14 percent increase in cropping intensity and a 77 percent increase in yields (FAO, 2009b).

Meeting Consumer and Societal Expectations

In developed markets, consumer and societal expectations are changing rapidly. Prosperity, changing lifestyles and media attention have resulted in increased awareness and concerns, and new attitudes towards food (Grunert, et al., 2004; Perez, et al., 2009). These include both product quality and safety, and production systems. Governments are increasingly developing policies in these areas, many of which result in the more stringent regulation of pig production and a subsequent reconfiguration of existing production patterns. Even in the absence of regulations and standards, changes in consumer preferences for meat products due to lifestyle changes, food safety concerns, health perceptions, and other reasons, will increasingly affect production decisions in the future.

The main ecological issues associated with livestock production concern water, soil and air pollution, climate change, land and water use, and biodiversity. Water pollution arises from the inappropriate disposal of manure. Nutrients in manure, principally nitrogen and phosphorous, are a significant component of pollution from agriculture to surface water, groundwater and marine waters, damaging ecosystems through eutrophication, drinking water suitability and degrading their recreational use. Water bodies can also be affected by organic effluents and pathogens contained in manure. Water pollution is more of a local or regional concern, although cross-border pollution can occur (OECD, 2010).

Soil pollution due to farming is often the result of the use of copper and zinc in diet formulations. Although most soil types are deficient in copper and zinc, land that has received repeated applications of manure might eventually accumulate excessive levels of these minerals, which are toxic to many plants and some foraging animals. Unlike excess land application of nitrogen and phosphorus, zinc and copper remain bound to soil and do not migrate to water supplies except during soil erosion. Therefore, accumulation may occur and

will eventually result in a non-sustainable situation (Jongbloed and Lenis, 1992).

Air pollution includes odour, dust, ammonia and greenhouse gas emissions. Odour emission is the result of anaerobic microbial fermentation in the gut and in the manure pits. Together with dust emission it is a concern in intensive livestock farming. High levels of air-borne ammonia in animal houses adversely affect animal welfare and worker health. Moreover, it has been deemed responsible for acid rain and decreased biodiversity, and, as a consequence, affected the public perception (Aneja, 2006).

The current estimation of the livestock sector's contribution to the anthropogenic global warming effect is about 15%. It is estimated that intensive pig and poultry systems are responsible for about one third of the total livestock contribution (FAO, 2006, 2012). The greenhouse gasses responsible include carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide. Carbon dioxide emissions are partly the result of land use and land use change, e.g. deforestation, required for crop cultivation. Another source is from fossil fuel consumption used for the production and transportation of feed commodities and livestock products. Methane emission comes from enteric fermentation and manure storage. Nitrous oxide is emitted from manure, both during housing, storage and application (Blonk and Ponsioen, 2009).

The list of concerns is growing steadily and often restricted to certain markets. Animal welfare and viability of rural areas have received much attention in Europe and are nowadays part of the policies and regulations of the European Union authorities. In the Netherlands, the discussion on multiple antibiotic resistances, a major concern in public health care, has resulted in a voluntary reduction of the use of veterinary antimicrobial agents in livestock sector of 50% by 2012 (compared to 2009) and a ban on the production of medicated animal feeds containing antimicrobials by 2011. Moreover, the Dutch public debate on intensive livestock farming more or less prevents the expansion of individual farms.

Potential for Improvement

Productivity and efficiency in livestock production have increased tremendously in the last decades. In the Netherlands, for instance, milk production per cow has increased with over 65%, the number of piglets raised per sow per year increased by more than 65%, the feed conversion ratio of fattening pigs improved by 20% and the nitrogen, phosphorous and ammonia emissions per hectare decreased by 50% in the period between 1975 and 2010. Several indicators demonstrate that further optimization of the productivity and efficiency in animal production is potentially still possible. The

genetic potential is only partially utilized, the utilization of most nutrients appears to be low and there is a huge variation in performance among farms and within farms among animals (Den Hartog, 2009). In animals, the productivity is on average 30-40% below their genetic potential, because of suboptimal conditions and health status. Retention of nitrogen and phosphorous in fattening pigs is on average about 37 and 44%, respectively. Other nutrients seem to have even lower retentions, i.e. Cu and Zn between 2-7%; K, Na, Mg and Cl between 10-15%, and Ca 57% (Den Hartog and Sijtsma, 2008).

In addition to the nitrogen and phosphorous footprints, the carbon footprint of the pig production chain, expressed as greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, has potential for improvement. Under Dutch circumstances, feed and farming contribute to about 61% and 37% of the total GHG emissions in the pig production chain up to the slaughterhouse, respectively (Kool, et al., 2010). It is estimated that by optimizing crop cultivation, feed composition and feed efficiency with existing techniques, the potential for reduction may be about 10%. The latter can be even higher in case of improved manure management. Although a lot has already been done in that area, methane and nitrous oxide emissions from pig manure still contribute significantly to the total carbon footprint, i.e. about 19% and 11%, respectively. A lower reduction potential is foreseen for the carbon footprint in industrial processing of feed materials and feed as these activities contribute only to about 2% of the total GHG emissions (Blonk and Ponsioen, 2009).

Improvement can also be made on the raw material side. Available feed resources are not always used and significant amounts of feeds are wasted because of improper storage. Moreover, novel feed resources need to be explored. Crops such as *Moringa oleifera* can easily double the amount of high quality protein suitable for pigs and poultry harvested per hectare compared to soybeans. In addition to the protein containing leaves, it can deliver more than 100 tons dry matter per hectare of forage for ruminants (Makkar, 2012).

Key Drivers for Innovation

Science and technology will offer opportunities for further innovation in livestock production. Key drivers are basically (gen)omics, microsystem- and nanotechnology and information and communication technology (ICT). These mainstream technologies are the foundation of many application technologies and are characterized by different dynamics. Developments are radical in nutrigenomics and microsystem- and nanotechnology, and continuous in ICT. As a consequence, future implementation in livestock production will follow the same dynamics.

■ (Gen)omics

Recombinant DNA Technology

Some of the '-omics' technologies, such as genomics, have already been applied successfully in plant breeding. Genetic engineering based on such technologies has led to the rapid spread of genetically modified crops with specific agronomic traits, usually leading to higher productivity (yield per hectare). To date, the broadest application of GMO technology is patent-protected food crops which are resistant to commercial herbicides or are able to produce pesticidal proteins from within the plant, or stacked trait seeds, which do both. Most of the transgenic varieties grown today are known as first generation transgenics, because the transgenic trait provides benefits to crop farmers. Plants of the second generation may directly benefit the feed producers and livestock farmers with nutritional enhancement, enzyme activity, immune enhancers or even natural antimicrobial substances. Currently, there is no such transgenic variety on the market (ISAAA, 2009).

Fermentation

Another application of the 'omics' technologies is in industrial fermentation. intentional Industrial fermentation is the use of fermentation microorganisms, such as bacteria and fungi, to make products useful for humans or animals. Fermented products or those derived from fermentation have several applications in animal feeding. Amino acids and enzymes are well known as fermentation products; both are crucial for optimization of livestock performance. However, fermentation can also be used to upgrade raw materials. Digestibility of nutrients in general can be improved and the amino acid profile adapted closer to ideal patterns. In addition, biologically active compounds are often formed, which may have a positive impact on the health status of animals (Niba, et al., 2009).

Marker Technology

Currently, '-omics' technologies are also being successfully applied in animal breeding. The tools of molecular genetics are likely to have considerable impact in the future. For example, DNA-based tests for genes or markers affecting traits that are difficult to measure, such as meat quality and disease resistance, will be particularly useful. This will offer opportunities as there is a continuing trend in which animal breeding focuses on other attributes in addition to production and productivity, such as product quality, increasing animal welfare, disease resistance, disease receptivity and reducing environmental impact (Leakey, et al., 2009).

Nutrigenomics

The nutritional requirements of farm animals with respect to energy, protein, minerals and vitamins have a long research history. Initially, direct end points of animal performance, such as feed intake, body weight gain, feed conversion ratio, digestibility and health status have been used as indicators. More recently, indicators on cell and tissue level were introduced. As a result, amino acid catabolism, and immune, oxidative and intestinal health status can be measured nowadays. Nutrigenomic technologies, such as genomics (DNA level), transcriptomics (gene activity at mRNA level), proteomics (protein level), metabolomics (metabolite level) and epigenetics (phenotype and gene expression level caused by mechanisms other than changes in the underlying DNA sequence) enable to refine such measurements, in particular in combination with bioinformatics and systems biology. Bioinformatics plays an important role in meaningful integration and interpretation of data, and systems biology in mathematical modeling (Hendriks, 2009). The end result will be a precise determination of the nutrient requirement of an animal under specific conditions. e.g. production phase. health status. and environment and social interaction. Alternatively, management, studies how feeds (nutrients, nutrigenomics also additives or other compounds) affect genes and gene expression. Nutrigenetics, another nutrigenomic technology, visualizes how genetic differences between individuals can affect the response to nutrients/compounds in the feeds (Smits et al., 2007). By using these techniques, it will no longer be necessary to look at extreme nutritional treatments, induced deficiencies, or long-term production responses to understand the basic effects of diets.

Bacterial nutrigenomics may offer opportunities in microbiota management. Microorganisms play an important role as partners in an animal's existence as might be illustrated by the fact that the number of microorganisms is usually 10 times higher than the number of body cells. They not only provide the animal with vitamin K and B12 that their own genome cannot synthesize, microorganisms are also involved in the functioning of the gut and its associated immune system. They can regulate the transcription of host genes involved in several important intestinal functions. Furthermore, gut bacteria feed on dietary nutrients, and on products excreted by the host. As was indicated by the positive effects of antibiotic growth-promoters on animal production results in the past, gut bacteria are not to be underrated as competitive consumers of feed ingredients. In addition, they can also digest compounds that are resistant to mammalian digestive enzymes. Digestionresistant carbohydrates not only provide energy to bacteria in the large intestine of animals, but by their degradation products, in particular organic acids, also to the host. Moreover, butyric acid, one of the organic acids from bacterial fermentation, stimulates gut epithelial cell proliferation and healing. Intestinal microorganisms are indispensable physiological partners for proper functioning of the host. However, the benefit for the host can turn into a disadvantage, when pathogens severely damage host cells due to their ability to interfere with the host's gene expression or physiology. Nevertheless, for the host the benefits of bacterial colonization by far outweigh possible disadvantages. Evidently, birds and mammals have co-evolved with bacteria so that their bodies do not develop properly without them. In addition, the intestinal microbiota in its totality is viewed as the first line of defence to pathogens, because of its competition with invading pathogens for space, cellular receptors and nutrients and their role in balancing the immune system (Smits et al., 2009). Although many aspects need to be exploited, bacterial nutrigenomics is seen as a breakthrough technology.

All these powerful (gen)omics tools will undoubtedly revolutionize the way we feed animals and manage livestock production systems. Nevertheless the real breakthrough will be middle and long term (Fekete and Brown, 2007; Brown and van der Ouderaa, 2007; Hendriks, 2009, Smits et al., 2009).

Microsystem and Nanotechnology

Biosensors

With respect to microsystem technology, biosensor technology in particular may offer opportunities. A biosensor is an analytical device that converts a biological response into an electrical signal. The term 'biosensor' is often used to cover sensor devices used in order to determine the concentration of substances and other parameters of biological interest even where they do not utilize a biological system directly. The main requirements for a biosensor approach to be valuable in terms of research and commercial applications are the identification of a target molecule, availability of a suitable biological recognition element, and the potential for disposable portable detection systems to be preferred to sensitive laboratory-based techniques in some situations. Although it is already a multibillion dollar business, to date applications in livestock farming are limited. Nevertheless, there are many potential applications of biosensors of various types in livestock production. In particular, the monitoring of macro- and micronutrients, additives, pathogens, contaminants and toxic metabolites in- and outside the animal may offer the possibility to fine tune performance in a well-controlled environment. Moreover, it is a powerful tool in research (Luong et al., 2008).

Nanotechnology

Nanotechnology refers to an extremely dynamic field of research and application associated with particles of 1–100 nm in size (the size range of many molecules). Some particles of this size have peculiar physical and chemical properties, and it is such peculiarities that nanotechnology seeks to exploit. Nanotechnology is a highly diverse field and includes extensions of

conventional device physics, completely new approaches based upon molecular self-assembly and the development of new materials with nanoscale dimensions.

Some food and nutrition products containing nanoscale additives are already commercially available, and nanotechnology is in widespread use in advanced agrichemicals and agrichemical application systems (Brunori, et al., 2008). The next few decades may well see nanotechnology applied to various areas in animal management. Nanosized, multipurpose sensors are already being developed that can report on the physiological status of animals. Advances can be expected in drug delivery methods using nanotubes and other nanoparticles that can be precisely targeted. Nanoparticles may be able to affect nutrient uptake and induce more efficient utilization of nutrients by animals. One possible approach to animal waste management involves adding nanoparticles to manure to enhance biogas production from anaerobic digesters or to reduce odours (Scott, 2006). There are, however, considerable uncertainties concerning the possible human health and environmental impacts of nanoparticles and these risks will have to be addressed by risk assessment and regulation (Speiser, 2008).

ICT

Farm automation and full system control

ICT consists of all technical means used to handle information and aid communication, including computer and network hardware as well as necessary software. Although indispensible in the whole livestock production chain, ICT has led and will lead to a revolutionary development of farm automation and full system control. Both are necessary for optimization of productivity and efficiency, and can significantly reduce labor costs. Automated feeding systems enable precision feeding leading to less spoilage of nutrients, and together with automated climate control will result in better animal health and welfare, and higher animal performance.

Near-infrared Spectroscopy

Another application of ICT of relevance for animal feeding is near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS). Over the past 30 years, NIRS has proved to be one of the most efficient and advanced tools for continuous monitoring and controlling of process and product quality in food and feed production. Current applications of NIRS in feed production are dominated by quantitative assessments of macronutrients in both raw materials and end products. This can be done almost without sample preparation or the need for sophisticated laboratories, thereby saving time and reducing costs. The development of new applications and the improvement of existing applications is going fast, mainly

due to improvements in ICT. The accuracy of nutrient measurements and energy levels is increasing rapidly and even the detection of certain micronutrients, undesirable substances and microorganisms seems to be feasible. Moreover, fingerprinting of raw materials and end products is becoming a powerful feed and food safety tool. ICT enables the continuous inline measurement of nutrients in feed production and subsequent correction of the composition during the processing, resulting in higher predictability (Ozaki, 2006, Woodcock, et al., 2008). In combination with remote internet analysis (RINA), the management of NIRS network will become even more efficient as calibration support, data storage and operational knowledge can be focussed on one location while the equipment is installed at any place in the world.

Dynamic Predictive Modelling

ICT is also the basis for dynamic predictive livestock modelling programs such as Watson® 2.0 for swine (Nutreco Canada, 2010). Such tools not only simulate nutrient requirements but also simulate responses to physical, social (health and feeding behaviour), economic and environmental changes. The new generation of predictive models focuses on nutritional optimization whereby solutions (e.g. requirements) are no longer static values solely based on biological responses (e.g. maximizing lean gain) but can also be expressed in terms of economic responses. The consequence of this process is that optimal nutritional, management and marketing solutions can be identified according to specific farm conditions, genetics and health status, variable market and ingredient prices and processor-specific grading grids, and most importantly, producer-specific objectives (e.g. maximization of net profit per year or per place, minimize cost per kg of gain, minimize feed:gain, or minimize nitrogen and phosphorous excretion) (France and Kebreab, 2008).

New Technology Access

Breakthroughs in technology will appear in many disciplines, in institutes, universities and companies, all around the world. For implementation in livestock production it is of utmost importance to have access to such technologies. Researchers in livestock production need to stay alert and should spend sufficient time on networking in completely other disciplines and searching the internet. An open innovation approach seems to be helpful. The central idea behind open innovation is that in a world of widely distributed knowledge, companies cannot afford to rely entirely on their own research, but should instead buy or licence processes or inventions (i.e. patents) from third parties. In addition, internal inventions not being used in a firm's business, should be taken outside the company (e.g., through licensing, joint ventures, spin-offs).

Acceptance of New Technologies

Consumer trust and confidence is crucial for a successful implementation of new science and technologies in livestock production. Much can be learned from the consumer reaction on the introduction of the first generation genetically modified (GM) crops in Europe. Although the European Union has one of the most stringent authorization systems in the world and the European Food Safety Authority applies sound scientific risk assessments, consumer acceptance is still fragile even many years after the authorization of the first GM event. GMO has therefore also become a political issue often resulting in a delay in the approval procedure and local trade barriers for approved GM feed commodities. In practice, import of feed commodities with traces of non-EU-authorised but safe products has nearly become impossible. The consequence for the European livestock feed industry is that in 2009 it could not make use of US soybeans, corn and derivatives, which resulted in severe economic losses

Managing consumer and societal acceptance definitely needs openness and transparency. Communication with interested stakeholders, corporate reporting and building relationships with citizens and organizations will be as important as the implementation of new science and technologies.

Conclusions

Livestock production has faced and will face many opportunities and challenges in the near future. The focus in the past was mainly on improving productivity and efficiency. This has led to profound structural changes in the livestock production sector. These include: a move from smallholder mixed farms towards large-scale specialized industrial production systems, a shift in the geographic locus of demand and supply to the developing economies, and an increasing emphasis on global sourcing and marketing.

Although improving productivity and efficiency remains an important objective, consumer and societal demands need to be taken into account as well. Animal feed and nutrition play an important role as it is the biggest cost factor in dairy, beef, sheep, goat, pig and poultry production. Moreover, animal feeding is an essential link in the livestock chain, i.e. between crop cultivation and animal protein production and processing. Surging demands for animal products and struggling supplies of feed commodities have resulted and will result in stressed surroundings in which animal feed operators need to balance their activities continuously. Several indicators demonstrate that further optimization of the productivity and efficiency in livestock production is potentially still possible. The genetic potential is only partially utilized, the utilization of most nutrients appears to be low and there is a huge variation in performance among farms and within farms among animals. New science and

technologies seem to offer many opportunities for innovation. Key drivers are basically (gen)omics, microsystem- and nanotechnology and ICT. These mainstream technologies are the foundation of many application technologies which sometimes have already been implemented successfully in livestock production, including animal feeding and nutrition. In the future, such technologies will certainly offer opportunities for innovation in all parts of the chain. However, technology access and acceptance by consumers and society needs to be managed in a proper way. In conclusion, animal feed and nutrition are crucial in livestock production. Innovations have the potential to meet the challenges and to result in resource efficiency, healthy livestock and people, responsible production systems and optimal profit throughout the value chain.

References

- Aneja, V.P. 2006. Proceedings Workshop on Agricultural Air Quality: State of the Science. Eds Aneja, Schlesinger, Knighton, Jennings, Niyogi, Gilliam, Duke. Washington, USA. http://www.ncsu.edu/airworkshop/
- Blonk, H. and Ponsioen, T. 2009. Towards a tool for assessing carbon footprints of animal feed. A desk study commissioned by the Product Board Animal Feed. The Netherlands.
- BrightAnimal 2010. European Union framework 7 co-ordination & support action project looking at precision livestock farming. www.brightanimal.eu
- Brown L. and van der Ouderaa, F. 2007. Nutritional genomics: food industry applications from farm to fork. Br. J. Nutr. 97 6.: 1027-1035.
- Brunori, G., Jiggins, J., Gallardo, R. and Schmidt, O. 2008. The Second SCAR Foresight Exercise, Synthesis Report, 'New challenges for agricultural research: climate change, food security, rural development, agricultural knowledge systems', p. 105. EU Commission Standing Committee on Agricultural Research SCAR.
- Den Hartog, L.A. and Sijtsma, S.R. 2008. Estrategias nutricionales para reducir la contaminacion ambiental en la produccion porcina. In: Proceedings Curso de Verano, Futuro de la Produccion Animal, Madrid, Spain.
- Den Hartog, L.A. 2009. The potential to gain in the feed-to-food chain Animal nutrition and farming. AgriVision 2009 Shifting Horizon. Noordwijk, the Netherlands.
- FAO 2006. World agriculture: towards 2030/2050. Interim report. Rome, Italy.
- FAO 2009a. The State of Food and Agriculture, Livestock in the balance. Rome, Italy.
- FAO 2009b. How to Feed the World in 2050. Rome, Italy.
- FAO 2010. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations statistical databases. http://faostat.fao.org/

- Fekete, S.G. and Brown, D.L. 2007. Veterinary aspects and perspectives of nutrigenomics: A critical review. Acta Vet. Hungarica 55 2.: 229-239.
- Grunert, K.G., Bredahl L. and Brunsø, K. 2004. Consumer perception of meat quality and implications for product development in the meat sector—a review, Meat Science 66 2.: 259-272.
- Hendriks, W. 2009. The contribution of nutrigenomics on animal nutrition. Innovision. Noordwijk, the Netherlands.
- ISAAA 2009. Global Status of Commercialized Biotech/GM Crops: 2009. The first fourteen years, 1996 to 2009. ISAAA Brief 41.
- Jongbloed, A.W. and Lenis, N.P. 1992. Alteration of nutrition as a means to reduce environmental pollution by pigs. Livestock Production Science 31: 75-94.Kool, A. a, Blonk, H., Ponsioen, T., Sukkel, W., Vermeer, H., de Vries, J. and Hoste, R. 2010. Carbon footprints of conventional and organic pork. Assessment of typical production systems in the Netherlands, Denmark, England and Germany. Blonk Milieu Advies B.V. Gouda, the Netherlands.
- Leakey et al. 2009. [cited on pg 9 Marker Technology.Leakey, R. Kranjac-Berisavljevic, G., Caron, P., Craufurd, P., Martin, A., McDonald, A., Abedini, W., Afiff, S., Bakurin, N., Bass, S., Hilbeck, A., Jansen, T., Lhaloui, S., Lock, K., Newman, J., Primavesi, O. and Sengooba, T. 2009. Impacts of AKST (Agricultural Knowledge Science and Technology) on development and sustainability goals. In Agriculture at the crossroads, eds B. D. McIntyre, H. R. Herren, J. Wakhungu & R. T. Watson, 145–253. Washington, DC, Island Press.
- Luong, J.H.T., Male, K.B. and Glennon, J.D. 2008. Biosensor technology: Technology push versus market pull. Review Article. Biotechnology Advances, 26 5.: 492-500.
- Makkar, H.P.S. 2012. Feed and fodder challenges for Asia and the Pacific. In Asian Livestock, Challenges, Opportunities and the Response, p 82-97.
- Niba, A.T., Beal, J.D., Kudi, A.C. and Brooks, P.H. 2009. Bacterial fermentation in the gastrointestinal tract of non-ruminants: Influence of fermented feeds and fermentable carbohydrates. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 41:1393–1407.
- Nutreco Canada 2010. At a glance. An outlook on swine production. Guelph, Canada.
- OECD 2010. Livestock and Climate Policy: Less Meat or Less Carbon? 25th Round table on sustainable development. Paris, France.
- Ozaki, Y. 2006. Near infrared spectroscopy in food science and technology, overview, eds Wiley, John & Sons, Wiley Interscience.
- Perez, C., de Castro, R., Font i Furnols, M. 2009. The pork industry: a supply chain perspective. Br. Food J. 111 3.: 257-274.
- Rosegrant, M.W., Fernandez, M., Sinha, A., Alder, J. and Ahammad, H.a 2009. Looking into the future for agriculture and AKST Agricultural Knowledge Science and Technology. In Agriculture at the crossroads, eds B. D. McIntyre, H. R. Herren, J. Wakhungu & R. T. Watson., 307–376. Washington, DC, Island Press.

- Sarris, A. 2009. Evolving structure of world trade and requirements for new world trade rules. FAO Expert Meeting on How to Feed the World in 2050, Rome, Italy.
- Scott, N. R. 2006. Impact of nanoscale technologies in animal management. The Netherlands: Wageningen Academic Publishers. WAAP Book of the Year 2006, 283–291.
- Smits, M.A., van Baal, J., Jacobs, A., Bannink, A., Becker, P., Jansman, A. and Hendriks, W.H. al. 2007. Relevance of nutrigenomics for animal nutrition in the Netherlands: a desk study. WUR, Animal Science Group, the Netherlands. 84pp.
- Speiser, B. 2008. Nano-particles in organic production? Issues and opinions. Paper presented at 16th IFOAM Organic World Congress, Modena, Italy, 18–20 June 2008.
- Thornton, P.K. 2010. Livestock production: recent trends, future prospects. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B. 365: 2853–2867.