

## Thesis evaluation Wageningen University

Fill out the single lined fields. Use a comma or a point as decimal sign, depending on the language chosen.

Name chair group

SCH.

Name student

Anco van der Bos

Registration number

880517103050

Study programme

MME

Specialisation

Consumer Studies

Code thesis

SC11460436

Short title thesis

Food community Networks


Date examination

13-11-2012

Supervisor chair group

Hilje van der Horst

Signature




Supervisor outside chair group (if so)

Stefano Pascucci

Second reviewer/examiner


### Research competence (30-60%) \*

- 1 Commitment and perseverance
- 2 Initiative and creativity
- 3 Independence
- 4 Efficiency in working with data
- 5 Handling supervisor's comments and development of research skills
- 6 Keeping to the time schedule




### Thesis report (30-60%) \*

- 1 Relevance research, clearness goals, delineation research
- 2 Theoretical underpinning, use of literature
- 3 Use of methods and data
- 4 Critical reflection on the research performed (discussion)
- 5 Clarity of conclusions and recommendations
- 6 Writing skills




### Colloquium (5%) \*

- 1 Graphical presentation
- 2 Verbal presentation and defence



### Examination (5%) \*

- 1 Defence of the thesis
- 2 Knowledge of study domain



\* please choose weights such that there sum

is 100.

**TOTAL** 6.4

**FINAL GRADE** 6.5

### Comment by supervisor

Pascucci: The candidate showed perseverance and commitment and was able to deal with complex throeies, coming from two discuiplines. Time managment was lacking, as well as coordination of the project between supervisors.

Van der Horst: Interesting research initiative. Empirical research has been performed effectively. Management of the data and analysis could have been better. The conclusion should have returned to the theoretical framework