# Soil temperature response of soil respiration in Central Yakutia

Alexander V. KONONOV<sup>1</sup>, Trofim C. MAXIMOV<sup>1</sup> and Eddy MOORS<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute for Biological Problems of the Cryolithozone (IBPC), 41, Lenin ave., Yakutsk, 677980, Russian Federation

<sup>2</sup> Alterra, Wageningen University and Research Centre, Postbox 47, 6700 AC Wageningen, The Netherlands

Key words: soil respiration, soil temperature and moisture response, permafrost, taiga

## Introduction

Soil respiration is one of the most intense component flux in the global carbon cycle, equal to or little less than NPP estimated as 100-120 Gt year<sup>-1</sup> [IPCC, 2001]. Thus it is predictable that even very small increase of soil respiration will cause considerable changes in annual carbon emission into the atmosphere. Increasing of annual averages of positive temperatures and amount of summer precipitation due to forecasted global climate changes will be reflected in hydrothermic regime of soils in cryolithozone (Oechel et al., 1993; Israel at al., 1999; Scott et al., 2000). D.S. Schimel et al. (1994) calculated that about 11 Gt of carbon (0.5% of total soil carbon) will be released per every 1K of temperature rise («The Century» model). It is also necessary to keep in mind such an important factor as high temperature sensitivity of soil respiration (Rustad et al., 2000; Stott et al., 2000).

Rise of average annual air temperature for the last 25-30 years in northern regions of Russia is estimated to be 0.2-2.3°C. Widely observed modern degradation of cryolithozone is connected with climate warming globally and with increased snow cover height in some regions. Forecasted air temperature rise in northern Russia may reach 3-5°C by 2050; change of precipitation regime to increase in summer amount is expected as well.

The aim of this study was estimation of soil respiration  $(F_{soil})$  values against some environmental parameters. We tried to describe dependency of  $F_{soil}$  on soil temperature  $(T_{soil})$  and moisture  $(\eta)$ , to study temporal variability of  $F_{soil}$  and calculate seasonal cumulative soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux in some years differing in meteorological conditions.

# Materials and methods

The study was conducted on the base of "Spasskaya Pad" forest station of IBPC SD RAS (Yakutsk, Russia). The study site is located at 62°15'N, 129°37'E, in 180-year-old cowberry Larch forest (Laricetum vacciniosum) growing on permafrost pale-solodic soil based on light old-alluvial sandy loam. The investigations were made using a full-automated soil respiration

system (ASRS, Alterra, Netherlands) including CIRAS-SC IRGA (PP Systems, UK) measuring  $CO_2$  samples taken every 1 hour by 4 autooperated 32 cm diameter soil chambers with complementary soil temperature and moisture sensors penetrated into soil 5 to 10 cm deep.

The measurements were made in 2001 and 2004-2006. Meteorological conditions of these years are presented in Table 1.

Table 1

	Monthly average							
Year	May	Iun	Iul Au	Iul	Iul	Δ11σ	Sen	Seasonal
	wiay	Juli	Jui	Aug	Sep	average		
		]	Rain, m	m				
Man	y years	average	(for stu	dy perio	d) = 13	58 mm		
2001	28	11	4	13	12	68		
2004	15	22	30	42	19	128		
2005	35	10	69	71	14	199		
2006	11	13	27	151	54	256		
Air temperature, °C								
Many years average (for study period) = $12.4$ °C								
2001	8.1	16.1	23	14.9	3.5	13.12		
2004	6.2	13.7	18.8	13.8	6.9	11.88		
2005	8.6	17.5	18.8	13.9	9.4	13.64		
2006	7.5	17.3	18.7	15.9	7.0	13.28		

Precipitations and air temperature data, Yakutsk station

The years studied were greatly different in hydrothermal regimes of the months with positive air temperatures (vegetative season). A period from May to September was chosen by us as the most important one for the assessment of soil  $CO_2$  emission.

Each studied season was divided into two periods using the inflection point of  $T_{soil}$  and  $\eta$  on a seasonal curve as criteria for the separation, thus facilitating a better regression analysis. The 1<sup>st</sup> period (generally from mid May to mid July) appears to be a drying out one after it was watered by the previous autumn and current spring soil water,  $T_{soil}$  increasing at the same time. The 2<sup>nd</sup> period commonly starts from the end of July and continues until late August to early September; it is characterized by  $\eta$  increasing after late summer precipitation combined with maximum permafrost thawing concurrently with a slow decrease in  $T_{soil}$ .

### **Results and discussion**

The study results showed that the extremes on diurnal  $F_{soil}$  curve are so connected with  $T_{soil}$  that they appear in 4-6 h after the extremes on diurnal  $T_{soil}$  curve, thus reflecting delayed movement of heat "wave" into the ground, i.e. its heat inertance. In other words, diurnal trend of  $F_{soil}$  magnitudes depends on  $T_{soil}$  with a lag of 4-6 h.

As seen from Table 2, correlation of  $F_{soil}$  values with changes in  $T_{soil}$  is minimal at midseason and almost equals the coefficients of  $\eta$ . However, correlation coefficient of  $F_{soil}$  and  $T_{soil}$  at the beginning and close of the growing season is more than twice as high as at mid-season. The higher  $\eta$ , the lower correlation coefficient, and if  $\eta$  exceeds 16-20% then the coefficient changes its sign, i.e. correlation becomes negative. Such a situation, in our opinion, could be related to lower degree of soil aeration because of high moisture content in the ground that causes low respiratory activity of microorganisms and plant roots due to the lack of oxygen.

Table 2

Measured weekly average values and correlation coefficients (soil respiration vs. soil temperature [T<sub>soil</sub>] and moisture [n])

Voor	Deremator	Season				
i cai i araineter		Beginning	Middle	End		
	T °C	/ *	<u>11.33</u>	<u>6.05</u>		
2001	I <sub>soil</sub> , C	_/	-0.04	0.76		
2001		_/_	<u>0.16</u>	0.12		
	η, кg∙кg		-0.05	0.58		
	T °C	<u>1.49</u>	<u>11.90</u>	<u>5.80</u>		
2004	I <sub>soil</sub> , C	0.78	0.32	0.80		
		<u>0.22</u>	<u>0.08</u>	<u>0.09</u>		
	п, кg-кg	0.08	0.52	0.78		
2005	T °C	<u>3.47</u>	<u>12.13</u>	<u>6.52</u>		
	I <sub>soil</sub> , C	0.77	0.26	0.68		
	n kaika <sup>-1</sup>	<u>0.16</u>	<u>0.12</u>	<u>0.20</u>		
	п, кg·кg	-0.11	0.30	0.01		
2006	T °C	<u>5.53</u>	<u>10.48</u>	<u>7.14</u>		
	I <sub>soil</sub> , C	0.71	0.20	0.52		
2000	m Irailra <sup>-1</sup>	0.47	0.17	0.65		
	п, ку ку	-0.58	0.22	-0.85		

\* Numerator – average value;

denominator – correlation coefficient.

It is seen also that the higher mean  $T_{soil}$  for a decade, the lower  $F_{soil}$  correlation coefficient with temperature that seems to contradict Vant-Goff law. However this regularity, in our opinion, may be explained by the fact that at low  $\eta$  values not temperature but  $\eta$  itself becomes a limiting factor. It should be remembered as well that permafrost soils contain a lot of psychrophilic microorganisms that manifest their high activity

exactly at low temperatures. In dry years, high amount of cellulose-fermenting microorganisms invokes soil  $CO_2$  efflux comparable with that of humid years. But it should be noted that according to I.A.Mazilkin's (1955, 1956) studies the content cellulose-fermenting microorganisms of in permafrost pale soils of Olekminsk region and Central Yakutia is low compared to the amount of microscopic fungi, particularly Trichoderma, Chaetomium and Lypomyces genus. We suppose that bigger part of F<sub>soil</sub> is produced namely by these fungi. This question is getting a special concern in relation to the problem of separating F<sub>soil</sub> components of permafrost soils of Yakutia and requires further studies.

Investigation of seasonal  $F_{soil}$  dynamics revealed that maximum magnitudes of CO<sub>2</sub> emission are observed from mid July to mid August (6.9-10.5 µmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), when  $T_{soil}$ reaches its maximum values (10.5-15.4°C). Total seasonal  $F_{soil}$  course is reverse to seasonal trend of  $\eta$  and directly proportional to total trend of  $T_{soil}$ (Fig. 1).

As seen from Table 3, negative correlation between  $F_{soil}$  and  $\eta$  was observed at the first half of the season for all the years that indicated sufficient soil watering at season start. However, at the second period of dry years (2001 and 2004) there is positive correlation between CO<sub>2</sub> emission and  $\eta$ , while in humid years (2005 and 2006) the correlation remains negative. This testifies to continuing drying out of soil at the second period in dry years that cannot be stopped by sparse precipitation. Rain water evaporates from soil surface, thus not saturating the lower soil horizons by water. T<sub>soil</sub> was the main factor affecting F<sub>soil</sub> for all the years. In dry periods the effects of  $T_{soil}$ and  $\eta$  get equal that is proved by about the same correlation coefficients for the season.

Table 3
Seasonal correlation coefficients of soil respiration vs.
soil temperature and moisture

Daramatar	Sea	Saagamal						
rarameter	1 <sup>st</sup> half	2 <sup>nd</sup> half	Seasonai					
200	2001, extremely dry, warm							
Soil temperature	0.59	0.95	0.89					
Soil moisture	-0.46	0.90	0.71					
	2004, dry, cold							
Soil temperature	0.92	0.73	0.65					
Soil moisture	-0.76	0.63	-0.33					
2005, moist, warm								
Soil temperature	0.91	0.94	0.90					
Soil moisture	-0.83	-0.57	-0.16					
2006, wet, warm								
Soil temperature	0.92	0.93	0.93					
Soil moisture	-0.77	-0.90	-0.78					



Fig. 1. Seasonal curves of soil respiration (Fs), soil temperature (T) and moisture  $(\eta)$ .

Standardized regression coefficients for soil temperature and moisture (Table 4) showed that  $T_{soil}$  (regression coefficient 0.90) is a factor most impacting CO<sub>2</sub> emission by larch forest soils of Central Yakutia, while  $\eta$  factor's power is 3 times as less.  $T_{soil}$  has stronger effect at the first half and effect of  $\eta$  is reverse, though anyway is less than influence of  $T_{soil}$ . On average for the season the degree of  $T_{soil}$  influence was significantly (2-7 times) higher than the impact of  $\eta$ .

Most important result of soil  $CO_2$  emission study is the cumulative sum (balance) of  $CO_2$  for a specified time. Interannual variations of carbon dioxide efflux in the form of cumulative sum of  $CO_2$  release by soil for the studied years are shown in Fig. 2.

Table 4 Standardized regression coefficients for soil temperature and moisture

for som temperature and monsture						
Doromotor	Sea	Whole				
rarameter	1 <sup>st</sup> half	2 <sup>nd</sup> half	season			
Soil temperature	0.89	0.74	0.90			
Soil moisture	0.15	0.28	0.30			

 $CO_2$  flux magnitude was maximal in very warm and humid 2006, and reached 5.83 t C ha<sup>-1</sup>. In 2001 and 2004 the maxima were 3.62 and 3.33 respectively. These two years were similar in the relative amplitude of hydroclimatic conditions, except that precipitation amount in 2001 was about twice as less as in 2004 but air temperature was higher in 2001. In warm and humid 2005 the magnitude of annual carbon emission made 4.91 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Thus, carbon release into the atmosphere in the form of  $CO_2$  was on average by 65% higher in humid years compared to dry ones.



Fig. 2. Seasonal cumulative carbon flux from larch forest soils.

As seen from Table 5, most discharges of carbon into the atmosphere occurred in July-August, in dry and warm 2001 the maximum being in July, and in cool 2004 – in August.

Of particular interest is that the peak of F<sub>soil</sub> intensity happens in July - the period of the highest photosynthetic activity of wild vegetation, both herb and wood-shrub (Maximov et al., 2005). Therefore, most bulk of emitted soil  $CO_2$  is assimilated by plants in photosynthesis process. However, photosynthesis begin to cease after mid August and completely stops at the first decade of September, while CO<sub>2</sub> release from soil continues until freezing of the upper soil horizons at the end of September – beginning of October. On average, about 10% of annual CO2 efflux from soil is accounted for September. This part of released carbon dioxide may be thrown into the atmosphere, being not utilized in photosynthesis. At the same time, mean assimilation of carbon by larch forests is about 7-8 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. So, in the balance,

almost all  $CO_2$ , released from soil for the season, is absorbed by plants. In the light of these statements, our earlier hypothesis postulating that the main bulk of  $CO_2$  released by soil respiration at the end of summer – autumn partially remains unclaimed by local vegetation (Ivanov, Maximov, 1998) is still under the question.

Table 5

Monthly and seasonal carbon emission from larch forest soils

Year	May	June	July	Aug	Sep	$\Sigma$ seasonal, t C · ha <sup>-1</sup> ± st. dev.
2001	_	_	$\frac{1.43}{39.5}$	<u>1.06</u> 29.3	<u>0.26</u> 7.2	3.68±0.08 <sup>*</sup>
2004	<u>0.41</u> 12.4	<u>0.58</u> 17.4	<u>0.78</u> 23.4	<u>1.13</u> 33.9	<u>0.43</u> 12.9	3.23±0.21
2005	<u>0.33</u> 6.71	<u>0.77</u> 15.7	<u>1.56</u> 31.8	<u>1.53</u> 31.2	<u>0.72</u> 14.7	4.82±0.12
2006	_	<u>1.01</u> 17.3	<u>2.15</u> 36.9	$\frac{1.84}{31.6}$	$\frac{0.49}{8.4}$	5.56±0.37**

Numerator – flux, t C ha<sup>-1</sup>, denominator – percentage from seasonal cumulative sum.

\* Including estimated May-June flux of 0.87 t  $\text{C} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  (24.1% of seasonal cumulative sum).

\*\* Including estimated May flux of 0.34 t  $\text{C} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  (5.8% of seasonal cumulative sum).

In humid years the rate of physical-chemical processes ( $Q_{10}$ ) in soil increases on average 6.5 times per every 10°C, while in dry years – 3 times (Table 6). High  $Q_{10}$  magnitudes in humid years indicate that at high values of  $\eta$  an increase in  $F_{soil}$  rate depends also on specific biochemical processes in living soil organisms. This question waits for further investigations.

Table 6

Soil temperature response $(Q_{10})$ and	
base respiration $(b_0)$ coefficients of soil respirat	tion

Year	Q <sub>10</sub>	R <sub>0</sub>	$\mathbb{R}^2$
2001, extremely dry	3,48	1,05	0,84
2004, dry	2,62	1,19	0,61
2005, moist	6,13	0,69	0,79
2006, wet	6,92	0,72	0,86
4 years average	4,79	0,91	0,78

#### Acknowledgements.

This work was made under Russian-Dutch Scientific Project between IBPC SD RAS, Russia and Alterra, The Netherlands. Authors express sincere gratitude to Michiel van der Molen (Free University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands) for his help with data treatment.

#### References.

IPCC-WG I. Climate Change 2000, Third assessment report. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2001.

- Oechel W.C., Hastings S.J., Vourlitis G., Jenkins M. and Grulke N. Recent change of arctic tundra ecosystems from a net carbon dioxide sink to a source. Nature. 1993. No.361. P.520-523.
- Israel Yu.A., Pavlov A.V., Anokhin Yu.A. The analysis of modern and predicted in future climate changes and cryolithozone in Northern regions of Russia // Meteorology and hydrology. 1999. No.3. – P. 18-27. (In Russian).
- Stott P.A., Tett S.F.B., Jons G.S., Allen M.R., Mitchell J.E.B., Jenkins G.J. External control od 20<sup>th</sup> century temperature by natural and antropogenic forcing // Science. 2000. No. 290. – P.2133-2137.
- Schimel D.S., Braswell B.H., Holland E., McKowen R., Ojima D.S., Painter T.H., Parton W.J., Townsend A.R. Climatic, edaphic, and biotic controls over storage and turnover of carbon on soils // Global Biogeochem. Cycles. 1994. No. 8. P.279-293.
- Rustad L.E., Campbell J.L., Marion G.M., Norby R.J., Mitchell M.J., Hartley A.E., Cornelissen J.H.C., Gurevitch J. A meta-analysis of the response of soil respiration, net nitrogen mineralization, and aboveground plant growth to experimental ecosystem warming // Oecologia. 2001. No.126. P.543-562.
- Mazilkin I.A. Microbiological characteristics of sod-forest soils of Central Yakutia. // Proceedings of Institute of Biology, Iss. 1. Moscow.-Leningrad. AS USSR Press, 1955. P. 45-73. (In Russian).
- Mazilkin I.A. Microbiological characteristics of sod-forest and humus-calcareous soils of Olyokminsk region of Yakutia. // Proceedings of YD SD RAS, Iss. 1. Moscow. AS USSR Press, 1956. P. 134-175. (In Russian).
- Maximov T.Chr., Dolman A.J., Moors E.J., Ohta T., Sugimoto A, Ivanov B.I. Parameters of carbon and water exchange in forest ecosystems of cryolithozone // proceedings of RAS. 2005. V.404, No. 8. – P. 684-686. (In Russian).
- Ivanov B.I., Maximov T.Chr. The role of permafrost ecosystems in the balance of greenhouse gases. // Siberian Ecological Journal. 1998. Iss.3-4. P.233-236. (In Russian).