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## PREFACE

In this publication, the author tries to analyse the production and consumption of some vegetables and fruits in the Netherlands. Although in the Netherlands a substantial amount of statistical information is available on this subject, this showed to be insufficient for some products to establish reliable forecasts. Moreover, the importance of exports of several horticultural products leads to a greater unsteadiness of the market development.

Nevertheless we tried, although sometimes a little boldly, to make a quantitative forecast of production and consumption. The assumptions have been indicated as clearly as possible. This will make it possible to adjust these forecasts to developments different from the hypotheses made. Most of the information for this publication was taken from sources of the Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit, for which we are most obliged. In addition the official statistics of the C.B.S. (Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek), the «Landbouwtellingen" (Agricultural statistics) and the figures of the Landbouw Economisch Instituut (L.E.I.) were consulted.
Without the close cooperation of Mr. M. A. Klumperbeek, in drafting of tables and statistics this publication would never have been achieved. For that we like to express our thanks.

## CAULIFLOWER

## 1. Historical background and present situation

Cauliflower is a traditional product in Dutch horticulture. However, it is not a very important crop, and no distinct growth in production can be observed; in 1956 the cultivated area amounted to 4,616 hectares; in 1965, ten years later, the cultivated area was still about the same: 4,581 hectares. These figures show that the cultivation of cauliflower is rather stationary. (table 1)

[^0]Table N. 1. - Acreage of cauliflower in The Netherlands in hectares.

|  | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1960 | 1961 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 3,905 | 3.968 | 4,616 | 4,699 | 4,914 | 4,581 |
| Glasshouse . | - | 132 | 157 | 175 | 160 | 134 |
| Summer. | 1,552 | 1,880 | 1,705 | 1,959 | 2,131 | 2,288 |
| Autumn | 2,353 | 1,956 | 2,754 | 2,565 | 2,623 | 2,159 |

For a correct understanding of Dutch cauliflower production one should know how this vegetable is grown in Holland. We distinguish three types: (a) cultivation under glass; (b) cultivation in the open, which should be divided into (b1) summer cauliflower and (b2) autumn cauliflower.

Cultivation in glasshouses is especially located in Zuid Holland; the glasshouses are non-heated. In 1965, glasshouse-cultivation covered an area of 134 hectares, almost equal to that of 1955 ( 132 ha ). This does not mean that the cultivation of cauliflower in glasshouses has not changed during the last ten years; on the contrary, in 1960 the area amounted to 175 hectares and since then it has been slightly diminishing. Cauliflower in glasshouses is harvested in May; mostly the supply is rather low during the first few weeks of May and it reaches its maximum in the last two weeks.
Summer cauliflower is grown in the open; it comes on the market in the course of June and July. The variation in supply depends highly on weather conditions. If the summer weather suddenly starts in the second part of June, large crops may be harvested in the end of June or the beginning of July. It is quite evident that under such circumstances the minimumprice scheme helps to prevent a total collapse of the market. Since 1950 the cultivated area of summer cauliflower in the open, which is much more important than cauliflower grown under glass, fluctuates from 1,500 to 2,000 ha. The main horticultural areas are in the western part of The Netherlands and, on a smaller scale, in the southern provinces. In these regions the danger of frost during spring is small.
Autumn cauliflower is harvested after 1st August. It is also grown on open ground. From 1960 till 1965 the production area varied from 2,000 to 2,500 ha. Since 1960 a fall in production has been observed, which is due to a reduction of the traditional growing areas in the province of Nord Holland. The market-gardeners in this region try to raise their income by replacing traditional products, which include cauliflower, by others, like bulbs. However, substitution possibilities are still very limited as numerous plots in this horticultural area can only be reached by boat. This is one of the reasons that these farms lack more profitable glass cultivation. This can only be changed by improving the communication facilities of these areas by reallotment.

Table N. 2. - Total production and yield per ha of cauliflower in The Netherlands.

|  | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total $\times 1$ ton | 63,400 | 67,800 | 56,400 | 48,800 |
| Yield per ha | 16.240 | 17.001 | 12.000 | 10.830 |

The yield per ha varies considerably from year to year (see table 2). In 1964 it was 13 tons/ha, in 1963 however, it was 11 tons/ha. This is due to climatic conditions.

The Dutch production of cauliflower is mostly sold in Holland itself. In 1964 the quantity auctioned ( $=$ production) was about 358,900 tons, of which 50,300 tons were for local sale and 8,600 tons for export. Moreover, in 1964 considerable quantities of cauliflower were imported, that is 20,100 tons.

Imports are concentrated in May, June and July. In May, imports from France are dominant, in June and July those from Belgium. In August and the following months, there is hardly any import. From 1950 till 1956 imports ranged from 1,900 to 8,300 tons. Since 1957 they are at a much higher level, over 15,000 tons. Imports in 1964 and 1965 amounted to 20,000 and 20,700 tons.

Especially from December till May imported products seem to have a good market, because during this time there is no supply of home products.

Table N. 3. - Production, import, domestic consumption and export of cauliflower in The Netherlands.

|  | Produc- <br> tion | Import | Domestic <br> consump- <br> tion | Export <br> Total |  | To W. <br> Germany |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1950 | $\times 1$ ton | 71,000 | 2,600 | 58,900 | 14,700 | 12,300 |
| 1955 | id. | 67,800 | 8,300 | 65,700 | 11,400 | 6,100 |
| 1960 | id. | 57,200 | 12,300 | 59,400 | 10,100 | 6,700 |
| 1965 | id. | 48,800 | 20,700 | 62,900 | 6,600 | 5,200 |

During the last fifteen years there has not been much rise in the consumption per head. Import increases as soon as home production starts to fall. The small Dutch export mainly goes to W. Germany.

## 2. Analysis and forecast of the supply

## 2.1. - Factors affecting slpply

As already mentioned in section 1, cauliflower is an important product in some more static horticultural areas of the Netherlands. Although the average cultivated area shows strong fluctuations from year to year, is has remained around 4,500 hectares during the last ten years.

An effort has been made to explain the acreage for cauliflower in the year $t$ as a function of the price of cauliflower in ( $t-1$ ). This analysis is based on the assumption that the growers of cauliflower trail behind the market. For that reason the following function was estimated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\mathrm{t}}=x_{0}+x_{1} x_{\mathrm{t}-1}+u_{\mathrm{t}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y_{\mathrm{t}}$ : acreage of cauliflower in hectares in the year $t$
$x_{t-1}$ : average wholesale price for cauliflower in gld/100 in the year $t-1$
$u_{\mathrm{t}}$ : random term
Source: C.B.S., Landbouwtellingen; Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit.
Least squares estimation of this function yielded unreliable results. Hencè, for the total acreage "trailing behind the Market» could not be demonstrated.

However, applying equation (1) to summer and autumn cauliflower separately gives a different picture.

Application of the equation to summer cauliflower with data on the period 1951-1965 gave the following results:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{t}=1887+\underset{( \pm 6.769)}{20.679 x_{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{t}}} & r^{2}=0.44 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$y_{t}$ : acreage summer cauliflower in ha in the year $t$
$\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ : average wholesale price in gld/100 from April till July in the year t-1 Source: C.B.S., Landbouwtellingen; Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit.

This shows that the price in the preceding year $t-1$ has a statistically reliable influence on the acreage in the year $t$. The price-elasticity of the supply was: $\Sigma_{y x}=0.32$. The low value of $r^{2}$ shows on the other hand that the fluctuations of the acreage for an important part cannot be explained by price changes. For autumn cauliflower this phenomenon of trailing behind the market cannot be demonstrated. Estimation of function (1) using figures on cauliflower acreage and prices from records of the period August till December, yielded a statistically unreliable result. The difference between the supply of summer and autumn cauliflower might be a consequence of more alternative possibilities in using the acreage. The growing of autumn cauliflower is concentrated in Noord Holland, a region with a limited production programme. Moreover, autumn cauliflower is an after-crop, with few alternatives. Summer cauliflower on the contrary is spread over various production areas with more alternative possibilities. This also applies to the important production area in Noord Holland, which may go into early potatoes, for instance, at that time.

## 2.2. - Projections for future supply

During the last ten years the pattern of cultivation has not changed - the acreage ranged from 4,000 to $4,500 \mathrm{ha}$. Neither have summer and autumn crops separately changed structurally. On the other hand the figures of the last five years show a slight drop in autumn and a small increase in the production of summer cauliflower.

On the basis of available times series for 1954-1965 an acreage on the
same level - around 4,500 hectares - seems the most probable for 1970 and also for 1975. This figure should be revised - in any case for 1975 - for possible structural changes in the important production area of Noord Holland. The structure of this horticultural area needs drastic improvement. Today, many market gardeners still depend on boats to transport their products. If re-allotment takes place in these production areas they may come within reach of trucks. Glasshouse cultivation might advance at the expense of cultivation in the open, which includes cauliflower.

Moreover, the competition for labour between the rather labour-intensive cultivation of cauliflower and the expanding industries in bordering areas will increase rapidly.
To what extent these factors will cause a decrease of the Dutch production of cauliflower depends as well on the development in other horticultural areas in Holland, of which some still have expansion possibilities. In this regard the future price-level and hence competition of imports are of great importance too.
Till 1970 the influence of the above mentioned factors probably will not be great. Therefore in 1970, the acreage will probably still be 4,500 hectares. The production will also be the same; the average production during the last six years amounted to 53,800 tons. It cannot yet be forecast how much the acreage in 1975 will differ from the present 4,500 hectares on the basis of the factors mentioned.

The production per ha shows a considerable variation from year to year (see table 2).

No trend is seen in this variation, however. Therefore change of the yield per ha is a negligible factor when considering structural changes in the supply.

## 3. Analysis and forecast of demand

## 3.1. - Analysis

This analysis is limited to home consumption and is based on time series and budget data.

The following function was formulated for the consumption of cauliflower:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x_{0}+x_{1} x_{1}+x_{2} x_{2}+u \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y$ : yearly per capita consumption of cauliflower in kg
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit
$x_{1}$ : the per capita disposable income in guilders deflated by the priceindex of cost of living
$x_{2}$ : retail-price for cauliflower, quotation retail market Amsterdam in $\mathrm{ct} / \mathrm{kg}$, deflated by the price-index of cost of living
From: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit, C.B.S. Maandschrift (monthly review), C.B.S., Nationale Rekeningen
u: random term.

Estimation of this function by the method of least squares with data on the periods 1952-1964 and 1957-1964 gave the following result :

Period 1952-1964

$$
\begin{align*}
y=7.4008 & +0.001086 x_{1}-0.07546 x_{2}  \tag{4}\\
( \pm 0.00082) & ( \pm 0.02373)
\end{align*} \quad R^{2}=0.569
$$

The income elasticity, $\varepsilon_{x_{1}}$, and the price elasticity $\varepsilon_{x_{2}}$, derived from (4) are equal to:

$$
\varepsilon_{x 1}=0.41 \text { and } \varepsilon_{x 2}=-0.92
$$

However, it should be mentioned that the influence of the income at the $5 \%$ level does not differ significantly from zero.
Period 1957-1964

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=9.9957-0.0002377 x_{1}-0.07273 x_{.}, \quad R^{2}=0.72 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The influence of the income is not statistically significant, that of the price is significant and the average price elasticity during this period was $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x} 2}=-0.92$. The average price elasticity from 1961 till 1964 was: $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}_{2}}=-1.15$. Analysis based on budget data

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\mathrm{i}}=x_{0}+x_{1} x_{1}+\alpha_{2} x_{2}+u_{1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y_{i}$ : logarithm of the average cauliflower consumption in $\mathrm{kg} /$ consumer unit in class i
$x_{1,1}$ : logarithm of the total expenditures per consumer unit in guilders in class i
$x_{1}$ : o, 1 variable
Source: Statistical Office of the EEC, Sociale Statistick, Speciale Serie no. 3; Budgetonderzoek 1963/1964 Nederland, Tabellen Bl till B 13 p. 90*--175*
The variables $y_{i}$ and $x_{1}$, were weighted by the square root of the number of families in class $i$. An estimation of function (6) by means of the least squares method gave:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y=-7.3586+0.32355 x_{1}+0.42843 x_{2} \quad R^{2}=0.95  \tag{7}\\
( \pm 0.03157)( \pm 0.5264)
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus budget analysis in contrast with time series 1957-1964 analysis, shows a statistically significant influence of the income; the income elasticity 0.32 does not deviate much from the value derived from the analysis based on time series on the period 1952-1964.

## 3.2. - Forecast for consumption in 1970 and 1975

Considering the similarity between the results from budget- and time series analysis for the period 1952-1964, a forecast was established for the per capita consumption in 1970 and in 1975 with equation (4). Assumptions were made about the increase in real income and the increase of the total population. The forecast was made assuming constant prices. The results have been summarized in table 4.

Table N. 4. - Forecast about consumption of caulifloter in The Netherlands in 1970 and 1975.


## 4. - Comparison of expected development of consumption and production in The Netherlands

An increase in consumption is expected up to approximately 69,800 tons in 1970 and 82,000 tons in 1975. Whether this increase in consumption will stimulate the Dutch production of caulitlower depends on the price-level. Probably the increasing demand will be met largely by means of imports. Imports may increase also because of the stimulating effect of the EEC on French and Italian imports. Imports of 30,000 tons by 1970 and of 37,000 tons in 1975 may very well be reached.

## EARLY POTATOES

## 1. - Historical background and present situation

## Production

For many years early potatoes have been grown on horticultural farms in Noord Holland ("De Streek» and «Langedijk» districts). In Friesland also early potatoes are of some importance and they are increasingly grown in the agricultural area of the Noord-Oost Polder. Table 1 shows the development of the production of early potatoes.

In 1938, early potatoes covered an acreage of 8,586 ha, which in 1949 had been expanded to 11,944 ha. The following years show a slight decrease in acreage. Since then it has been fluctuating between 8,000 ha and 10,000 ha. In the traditional production areas of Noord Holland this decrease is partly due to restrictions because of soil sickness and to the expansion of the more profitable growing of flower bulbs. The yearly fluctuation in production is due to differences in acreage and in yield. Yield is determined

Table N. 1. - Acreage and production of early potatoes in The Netherlands.

|  | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acreage | 10,000 | 8,864 | 9,524 | 7,499 |
| Production | 175,000 | 176,000 | 199,000 | 156,600 |
| Yield/ha | 17.500 | 19.900 | 20.900 | 20.900 |

by climate and also by the time of lifting. If potatoes are lifted early, for example in early June, the yield per ha will be low. In the reverse, if the lifting is done at a late time, for example in early July, yields per ha are high.

## Marketing

Early potatoes are sold for consumption or as seedpotatoes. Shifts from one outlet to the other depend to a certain extent on the price-level on the respective markets. The price-level in June and July is affected by the stocks from the preceding potato-crop. A considerable part of Dutch early potatoes is exported - especially as seed-potatoes -. Further, more strongly fluctuating imports contribute to an active market. Imports show an increasing trend (table 2).

Table N. 2. - Outlets of early potatoes for human consumption in The Netherlands.

|  | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home consumption . . . $\times 1$ ton | 74,700 | 53,400 | 87,600 | 86,300 |
| Export . . . . . . . . . . id. | 20,000 | 26,800 | 3,400 | 17,200 |
| Import . . . . . . . . . . id. | 900 | 10,000 | 32,600 | 52,900 |

## 2. Analysis and forecast of the supply

## 2.1. - Factors affecting supply

## Short term

Short term fluctuations in the acreage under potatoes cannot be explained from price-changes in the preceding year. On the other hand, a positive correlation $r=0,66$ was ascertained for the period 1949-1964, between the acreage under early potatoes in the year $t$ and the cash yield/ha in the year $t-1$. Although the correlation is statistically significant, its value is too small to explain fully short term changes in the acreage from the price in the preceding year.

## Long term

Although from 1963 to 1965 the acreage was decreasing fast, the period is rather too short to determine a trend. The decrease in production of early potatoes in the main production area of Noord Holland is more evident:

Table N. 3. - Important early-potato areas in The Netherlands (Noord Holland, Noord-Oost Polder). Total production, seed and human consumption respectively.

|  | Acreage $\times 1$ ha |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOP } \\ & \text { in \% of } \\ & \text { Nd.-Holl. } \end{aligned}$ | Sales $\times 1$ ton |  | Human consumption in \% of seedpotatoes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NoordHolland | NOP |  | seed | human consumption |  |
| 1950 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1955 | - | - | - | 74,000 | 102,000 | 137.8 |
| 1960 | 5,028 | $69+$ | 13,80 | 89,000 | 79,000 | 88.8 |
| 1965 | 3,464 | 675 | 19.49 | 106,000 | 50,600 | 48.2 |

in 1955: 5,797 ha in 1965: 3,464 ha. The main reasons are high production costs and the possibility of growing other, more profitable crops. In Noord Holland, production costs of early potatoes are high because of the rather small plots, which often can only be reached by boats, and cultivation cannot be mechanized easily. More profitable bulbgrowing is expanding at the expense of potato-growing.

The decrease in Noord Holland is only in part compensated by expansion on the arable farms in the NOP, which have better possibilities for mechan isation. This change in the location of the production areas is accompanied by a change towards selling more of the crop as seed-potatoes. Imports of carly potatoes for consumption are growing. Finally it should be emphasized that by improved storage methods, more potatoes of better quality from the preceding year are being supplied during the early-potato harvesting season yet.

## 2.2. - Proiection of flture sepply

How big an influence the factors mentioned under 2.1. will have on the supply in 1970 and 1975, cannot be estimated. Most probably the acreage under early potatoes will continue to decrease. To make a rough estimate: to between 6,000 and 6,500 ha in 1970 and between 5,500 and 6,000 ha in 1975. It also seems likely that the sale as seed-potatoes will become more and more important compared to human consumption. As already stated, fluctuation in the yield/ha is not only due to climatic influences but also due to the time of lifting. From 1953 to 1965 the average yield/ha varied from 15 to 25 tons, which brought about considerable fluctuations in the total production. It is therefore impossible to give a reliable forecast for the production, on the basis of a probable acreage in 1970 and 1975.

## 3. - Analysis and forecast of the demand

## 3.1. - Analysis

The per capita consumption of early potatoes does not show any specific trend, fluctuating between 4.5 and 7.5 kg per year since 1955. As stated above, consumption is increasingly being satisfied from imports (table 4).

Table N. 4. - Per capita consumption of potatoes.

|  | Per capita consumption of potatoes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1955 | 1960 | 1962 | 1963 | 1965 |
| 1. Total potatoes . . . $\times 1 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 105.000 | 98.000 | 100.000 | 97.000 | 90.000 |
| 2. Early potatoes . . . $\times 1 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 4.968 | 7.629 | 6.745 | 4.977 | 7.021 |
| 3. of which imported. $\times 1 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 0.930 | 2.839 | 2.610 | 1.078 | 4.304 |
| 4. imported in \% of early potatoes | 18.72 | 37.21 | 38.70 | 21.66 | 61.30 |

No reliable results were obtained from a statistical analysis of the relation between the consumption and the price of early potatoes and the income available for consumption respectively. An analysis comprising more explanatory variables, like price of potatoes from stocks of the preceding crop, was not possible, because of lack of data. Instead, only a statistical analysis of the total yearly consumption of potatoes was made. On the basis of the estimates obtained from this analysis, the development in the consumption of early potatoes will be examined in more detail.

Analysis based on time-series
The relation between the consumption of potatoes and the income and the price is expressed in the following function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x_{11}+x_{1} x_{1}+x_{1} x_{21}+u \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y$ : per capita consumption of potatoes in kg
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit
$x_{1}$ : per capita disposable income in gld, deflated by the price-index of cost of living
Source: C.B.S., Jaarrekeningen; C.B.S., Maandschrift (Monthly Review)
$x_{2}$ : retail price of potatoes in $\mathrm{ct} / \mathrm{kg}$, deflated by the price-index of cost of living
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit
Estimation of this function on the basis of time series 1952-1964, by the method of least squares gave the following result:

Data period 1952-1964

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=122.1499-0.01021 x_{1}-0.1751 x_{2} \quad R^{2}=0.87 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of the income elasticity and the price elasticity was:

$$
\varepsilon_{x 1}=-0.19 \text { and } \varepsilon_{x 2}=-0.0342 \text { respectively. }
$$

Data period 1957-1964

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
y=108.456-0.01059 x_{1}+0.5501 x_{2} & R^{2}=0.909  \tag{3}\\
( \pm 0.00168)( \pm 0.1695) &
\end{array}
$$

The average income elasticity is $\varepsilon_{x_{1}}=-0.22$. The negative income elasticity of potatoes shows the decline in the per capita consumption. This decline however is rather small at present, as there is increasing consumption of potato crisps and other potato products. Whereas in equation (2) a slightly positive influence of price-reduction on the consumption was observed, one may notice an unlikely positive correlation between price and consumption in (3). Therefore influence of prices will not be considered here after.

## Analisis based on budget data

On the basis of budget survey $1963 / 64$ of the EEC, the following equation was estimated for industrial and office workers separately:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1, i}=x_{0}+x_{1} x_{1, i}+u_{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y_{1, i}$ : logarithm of consumption of potatoes in kg per consumer unit in income class $i$
$x_{1, i}$ : logarithm of total expenditures per consumer unit in class $i$
$u_{1}$ : random term
Source: C.B.S., National Budgetonderzoek 1963/64.
Estimation of this equation by means of least squares gave for office workers an income elasticity of - 0,4, whereas the elasticity for industrial workers did not differ significantly from zero.

### 3.2. FORECAST FOR home conslimption in 1970 and 1975

## Potatoes as a whole

Using equation (3), the consumption of potatoes was estimated for 1970 and 1975, assuming constant prices. Official forecasts were used in respect of population and income. The results are summarized in table 5 .

Some special points on the constmption of early potatoes
The consumption of early potatoes seems to be affected by the same influences as the total potato consumption.

Table N. 5. - Forecast of the domestic consumption of potatoes in 1970 and 1975.

|  | Consumption1970 |  | Per capita consumption$1975 \times 1 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  | Total consumption $1975 \times 1$ ton |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { per } \\ \text { capita } \\ \times 1 \mathrm{~kg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { total } \\ \times \quad 1 \text { ton } \end{gathered}$ | low | middle | high | low | middle | high |
| 1. | 88.115 | 1,160,500 | 84.197 | 83.424 | 82.641 | 1,195,600 | 1,184,600 | 1,173,500 |
| 2. in \% of 1964 | 95.8 | 104.0 | 91.5 | 90.7 | 89.8 | 107.2 | 106.2 | 105.2 |
| 3. For assumptions about income per capita and growth of population in 1970 and 1975 the reader is referred to table 4 in the section on cauliflower. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

However, in our opinion there are some arguments which make decline in the consumption of early potatoes unlikely. A total potato consumption of 83.4 kg per head in 1975 means an average per capita consumption of 6.95 kg per month. Even in case of a rather high consumption of 6 kg of early potatoes yearly, this still means at maximum a per capita consumption of approximately 3 kg per month for June and July. Considering the fact that the consumer regards early potatoes to be of higher quality than "old» ones from storage, it seems possible to maintain the consumption level of early potatoes by substitution of « old" potatoes.

Of course, such substitution will be affected by the difference in price between the two categories. The opportunities for substitution in June and July may be over-estimated a little as the average monthly consumption of 6.95 kg in 1975 also includes products like potato flakes and crisps and it is not likely that these will be made from early potatoes. Assuming a yearly per capita consumption of 5.0 kg , like in 1965 , domestic consumption of carly potatoes will amount to 65,850 tons in 1970 and 71,000 tons in 1975.

## 4. - Confrontation of the prospective consumption and production in The Netherlands

The Dutch production of early potatoes will decline, but the extent cannot be forecast exactly. It is estimated that the decrease in acreage will amount to approximately 1,500 to 2,000 ha during the period 1965-1975. Moreover, an increasing part of the early potato-crop will be sold as seed-potatoes.

Whereas the total per capita consumption of potatoes continues to diminish, as yet this is hardly noticeable with regard to carly potatoes, because early potatoes are of a special quality having only a small share in the total potato consumption. Hence, the decreasing domestic supply for consumption of early potatoes will lead to wider openings for imports from June till August.

It is impossible to make an exact forecast on imports. If the projected decrease in acreage is realistic an increase of imports to about 40,000 tons in 1970 and about 60,000 by 1975 may certainly be reached. Whether this can be achieved depends on the price as well as the quality of imported potatoes.

## ONIONS

## 1. - Historical background and present situation

## Production

The cultivation of onions in The Netherlands comprises seed-onions and silverskin-onions. The cultivation of seed-onions is the most important and only this will be considered in this analysis. In The Netherlands seed-onions are grown on farms in the south western part of the country. Of the approximately 5,000 ha of seed-onions in 1964 and approximately 5,600 ha in 1965, about $70 \%$ were concentrated in the province Zeeland and on the islands of the province Zuid Holland. Apart from these areas onion production only is increasing in the Noord-Oost Polder.

Table N. 1. - Acreage and production of seed-onions in The Netherlands.


Dutch production of onions varies considerably from year to year. This is not only due to fluctuations in the acreage, but also to varying yields per ha.

Depending on the financial returns farmers seem to shift arable land from onions to other crops and vice versa. From 1955 to 1965 the yield per ha varied from 23 to 37 tons per ha. High production and high quality are obtained in warm and dry weather in summer and autumn. Rain and cold during these seasons have an adverse effect on the yield per ha.

## Marketing

For many years, The Netherlands has been a very important exporter of onions. In 1939, $76.5 \%$ of the total production was exported; in 1965, exports amounted to $84.7 \%$. Previously the most important importer of Dutch onions was the United Kingdom; nowadays it is Western Germany, whereas England and France are still regular importers of smaller quantities.

Exports vary from year to year due to international competition. Sales to the processing industry are increasing.

Table N. 2. - Production and outlets of Dutch onions.

|  | Production <br> $\times 1$ ton | Export <br> $\times 1$ ton | West which to <br> Ge-many | United <br> Kingdom | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Inland |
| :---: |

## 2. - Analysis and forecast of the supply

## 2.1. - Factors affecting supply

## Short term

An effort has been made to explain the fluctuation in the acreage of seedonions by the price of the preceding year. During the years 1952-1965 an increase in prices in the year $t$ in comparison to the year ( $t-1$ ), which occurs in 6 years, is always followed by an expansion of the acreage in the year $(t+1)$. Of the eight years with a price-reduction in year $t$ as compared to $t-1$, six showed a reduction in acreage in $t+1$.

Consequently, we may conclude a statistically significant positive correlation between price and acreage. However, a linear regression of the acreage in the year $t$ on the price in $t-1$ proved to be statistically unreliable because of extreme irregularity in quantitative effects of price-increases. The average price elasticity of the supply over the period $1952-1965$ was 0.26 . Of the fourteen yearly measurements of elasticities, two appeared to be negative, four were not more than 0.25 , one was very high, at 2.0 , and the majority, seven ranged between 0.5 and 1.0. A better understanding of the fluctuations in the acreage under onions was obtained by taking into account the acreage of gladioli as an explanatory variable in addition to the price of onions in the preceding year:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=2963+42.297 x_{1}-0.883 x_{2}  \tag{1}\\
&(41.526)(+0.54)
\end{align*} \quad R^{2}=0.27
$$

$y: \quad$ acreage seed-onions in ha
Source: C.B.S., Landbouwtelling
$x_{1}$ : price seed-onions in gld/ 100 kg
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit
$x_{2}: \quad$ acreage gladioli in ha
Source: C.B.S., Landbouwtelling
Production of gladioli is concentrated in the South western part of Holland and competes with onions for the available acreage. The fit however is so low that the result of equation (1) can not be considered seriously.

## Long term

The acreage under seed-onions shows no clear-cut trend for the period 1950-1965. From 1958 till 1965 the acreage ranged mainly from 5,000 to 6,000 ha per year. There must have been no real reason for a structural reduction or expansion of this crop. Only in the Noord-Oost Polder was a slight increase in the production area observed.

## 2.2. - Projections of the futlre slpply

The future acreage will be determined on the one hand by the price movement of onions relative to alternative crops. In addition, production costs are equally important.

In view of the dominating importance of the export trade, it is most probable that the price of Dutch onions will be determined by the opportunities for exports. Therefore, first of all the consumption on the main export markets of West Germany and the United Kingdom should be explored. Moreover, the competitive position of the Dutch onions, compared to that of the other exporters, especially Egypt, Poland and Spain, is of greatest importance. However it is very difficult to estimate the export potential of those countries. Some of the countries appear to be gaining an increasing share of the market in West Germany and the United Kingdom. Thus supplies to the German market from East European countries, Poland and Hungary, seem to be on the increase. Up till now this has not affected

Dutch exports to West Germany. Export to England however has declined slightly while especially Poland and Canada exported large quantities to this country during the last few years. Presumably exports will not be much of a stimulus for increase in the acreage under onions in Holland. However, there is no indication either that the Dutch export position is about to decline.

Regarding the influence of cost factors on the expansion of onion production it should be mentioned that the cultivation of onions is rather labour intensive in comparison to some other field crops in South-West Holland. The opening of this area to industrialisation and tourism by the so called Deltaplan will certainly enhance the absorption of rural manpower into industry and services.

Moreover, the price guarantees for wheat and sugarbeets within the framework of the EEC agricultural policy, will surely not encourage more risky cultivation of onions. In accordance with these facts a decrease in the onion production in South West Holland seems probable. On the other hand some expansion may be expected in the new polder Oost-Flevoland, because here farmers from South West Holland settle who arr familiar with growing onions.

Analogous to the development in the Noord-Oost Polder an expansion of approximately 550 ha might be reached in Oost-Flevoland. However, the soil in Oost-Flevoland seems less suited to the growing of onions than in the Noord-Oost Polder, so that an expansion of approximately 330 ha will be more realistic. For 1970 this will not have much influence.

The acreage in 1970 and - 75 will probably be lower than in 1965 , but it is difficult to make any forecast. A decrease to an acreage between 4,500 to 5,000 ha in 1970 and between 4,000 and 4,500 ha in 1975 seems possible.

Besides of domestic supply yearly imports of onions varied between 11,000 tons and 27,000 tons in the period 1961-1966.

## 3. - Analysis and forecast of the demand

## 3.1. - Analysis

As mentioned in the introduction this analysis will be limited to home consumption of seed-onions only. From 1950 till 1960 consumption of seedonions was slightly increasing; in 1950 it amounted to 2.53 kg per capita per year and in 1960 to 3.05 kg per capita per year. Since 1960, no systematic increase has been observed. Note that consumption remains much lower than before the war; in 1939, the consumption amounted to 4.51 kg per capita.
Analysis based on time series
The relation of the consumption of seed-onions to price and income is represented by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x_{0}+x_{1} x_{1}+x_{2} x_{2}+x_{3} x_{3}+u \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

y: consumption of seed-onions per capita in The Netherlands, in kg
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit
$x_{1}$ : real per capita disposable income, in guilders

Source: C.B.S., Nationale Rekeningen; deflator, price-index of cost of living Source: C.B.S., Maandschrift, (Monthly Review)
$x_{2}$ : retail-price of onions on the Amsterdam retail market in $\mathrm{ct} / \mathrm{kg}$, deflated by the price-index of cost of living
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit
$x_{3}: \quad$ trend variable $1952=1 ; 1953=2$, etc.
$u$ : random term
Estimation by means of the method of least squares on the basis of time series 1952-1964 gave the following result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=3.8424-0.0006552 x_{1}-0.005759 x_{2}+0.06946 x_{3} \quad R^{2}=0,62 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The influence of income and price on the consumption did not differ significantly from zero. On the other hand the positive trend in consumption differs sicnificantly from zero.

Analysis on the basis of shorter time series (1957-1964) did not yield any reliable information.

## Analysis based on budget data

From budget survey data the relation between consumption and income was estimated, assuming that this relation may be expressed in the function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=\alpha_{11}+x_{1} x_{1, i}+\alpha_{2} x_{2}+u_{1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y_{1}$ : logarithm of the consumption of onions in kg per consumer unit in class $i$
$x_{1, i}$ : logarithm of the total experitures per consumer unit in class $i$
$x_{2}: \quad$ dummy variable ( 0,1 )
office workers $x_{2}=1$
industrial workers $x_{2}=0$
Source: Statistical Office of the EEC, Sociale Statistiek
Speciale Serie no 3 Nederland 1963/1964. Tables B1 to B13, page 90* to 175*

Estimation of this equation by the method of least squares weighting observations in class $i$ by the square root of the number of families in class $i$, gave the following results:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y=-8.599+0.24035 x_{1}+1.80763 x_{2}, \quad R^{2}=0.65  \tag{4}\\
(+0.0718)(+1.20017)
\end{gather*}
$$

This shows a positive income elasticity. There were not sufficient data available to analyse the consumption of industrial and office workers separately.

A separation of these groups would have been interesting. According to experts in nutrition, onions were daily food for several groups of manual workers some years ago; today, they are reducing their onion intake because

Table N. 3. - Forecast of home consumption of onions in 1970 and 1975 in The Netherlands.

|  | Consumption 1970 |  | Per capita consumption$1975 \times 1 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  | Total consumption $1975 \times 1$ ton |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { per } \\ \text { capita } \\ \times 1 \mathrm{~kg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { total } \\ \times 1 \text { ton } \end{gathered}$ | low | middle | high | low | middle | high |
| A. 1. | 3.012 | 39,700 | 3.121 | 3,072 | 3.024 | 44,200 | 43.600 | 42,900 |
| 2. in \% of 1964 | 97.6 | 105.9 | 101.0 | 99.4 | 97.9 | 118.1 | 116.3 | 114.4 |
| B. 1. | 3.283 | 43,200 | $3.39+$ | 3,415 | 3.437 | 48,200 | 48.500 | 48,800 |
| 2. in \% of 1964 | 106.2 | 112.5 | 109.8 | 110.5 | 111.2 | 120.5 | 129.3 | 130.1 |

A: estimate on the basis of time series analysis; B: estimate on the basis of budget-analysis.
For assumptions about income per capita and growth of population in 1970 and 1975 the reader is referred to table 4 in the section on cauliflower.
of the increasing standard of living. In contrast, among other consumergroups the consumption of onions is now stimulated by increasing variety in food.

## 4. - Forecast of the home consumption in 1970 and 1975

On the basis of the analysis of time series for 1952-1964 and the budget data 1963/1964, a forecast was made of the consumption, under the assumption of a certain rate of growth in income and constant prices The results have been summarized in table 3 .

From table 3 it appears clearly that the increase of the consumption of onions will be small. The growth in population is the decisive factor for increase in the total consumption.

## 5. - Comparison of the expected consumption and production in The Netherlands

A slight increase in home consumption and a fall in domestic production will probably characterize the Dutch onion market till 1970 and 1975. Assuming that the yield per ha will not change substantially, a slight fall in exports may be expected. On the basis of a yield of 32 tons/ha yearly production may decrease with 12,800 tons from 1966-1970 and with 16,000 tons from 1970-1975. In view of the slight increase in domestic consumption it may be concluded, that Dutch production yearly available for exports may decrease slightly with 15,000 tons during 1966-1970 and 19,000 tons from 1970-1975.

It should be mentioned that this structural change may be overshadowed from year to year by tremendous fluctuations in acreage, as a consequence of variation in prices, and in yield per ha. Imports mainly in the months May-September vary from year to year, but show a tendency to increase. This development is too weak in order to allow any conclusion.

## PEAS

Peas are grown on horticultural holdings and on farms. Yearly Dutch production of peas varied betweeen 55.400 and 87.300 tons during the period 1960 to 1965. There exists a great variety in peas harvested. Production is mainly processed by the canning industry and the quick freezing industry. For instance of the 66,224 tons peas processed in 1964 about 54,200 tons were canned and about 11,500 tons were quick frozen.

A forecast of future production of peas in The Netherlands is in our opinion impossible because of the variations in acreage and yield. Since contract farming is substantial, forecasting of acreage seems even more risky.

Average consumption of fresh peas, is according to the Budget survey $1963 / 64$ of the EEC equal to 1.225 kg per head. Lack of adequate data prevent an analysis of consumption on the basis of time-series. Estimation of consumption of fresh peas as a function of income for office-workers and labourers provided the following result:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
y=24.17309+0.58430 x_{1}-9.02236 x_{2} & R^{2}=0.884  \tag{1}\\
(+0.16081)(+2.68765) &
\end{array}
$$

$y_{i}: \quad$ logarithm of consumption of fresh peas per consumer unit in class $i$ in grams
$x_{1, i}$ : logarithm of total expenditures per consumer unit in class $i$ in guilders
$x_{2}$ : dummy variable
Office workers: $x_{2}=1$
Labourers $\quad: x_{2}=0$
Source: Statistical Office of the EEC, Sociale Statistiek, Speciale Serie no. 3, Budgetonderzoek 1963/64, Nederland, Tabellen B1-B13, pp. 90*-175*
The income elasticity of 0.584 implies that consumption of fresh peas will increase in the future. On the basis of a ycarly increase in income of $3.4 \%$ per capita, consumption of fresh peas will increase with about $9.4 \%$ from 1965 to 1970 . In the period 1970 to 1975 per capita consumption will increase with about $8.5 \%$ under the assumption of an yearly increase in income of $3 \%$.

So per capita consumption of fresh peas might amount to 1.35 kg in 1970 and 1.45 in 1975. On the basis of the forecasts on population - 13.17 mil lion in 1970 and 14.20 million in 1975 - domestic consumption of fresh peas may amount to 17,780 tons in 1970 and 20,590 tons in 1975.

## TOMATOES

## 1. - Historical background and present situation

## Production

By 1930 tomato cultivation was already important in Holland. There was a noticeable fall in production during the depression of the thirties, though even during 1933-1938 production still ranged from 60,000 to 70,000 tons.

The fall in production during those years was due to the economic depression, though political conditions in Western Europe also tended to reduce export possibilities. From 80,000 tons in 1929, exports had fallen to 38,746 tons in 1938. After 1945, the tomato soon regained its position in the programme and the years 1950 to 1965 show prodigious development. The cultivation of tomatoes is concentrated in some important horticultural areas, the «Westland» and the «Kring» in the province of Zuid Holland - the area in the triangle 's-Gravenhage, Delft, Rotterdam, Hoek van Holland - and around Venlo in the province of Limburg. There are some smaller centers like Huissen near Arnhem.

Dutch horticulture is characterized by the growing of horticultural products under glass. Climatological circumstances exclude cultivation in the open of various products, like cucumbers, grapes, tomatoes, etc. An important aspect of glasshouse cultivation is the considerably higher yield per ha that can be obtained, and this is most attractive for numerous small marketgardeners. Tomatoes then are invariably grown under glass. In Holland, the number of sunny days generally does not suffice to allow tomatoes grown in the open to ripen. In the years 1963-1965 one had between 1347 and 1686 hours of bright sunshine per year. The very high number of rainy days - in the same period 191 to 252 per year - also jmplies that cultivation in the open offers few possibilities to produce a high quality product.

Tomatoes do not occupy the glasshouses throughout the whole year. Therefore ,they are often preceded and/or succeded by some other crop, in many cases lettuce. Other crops used for this purpose are endive and spinach. The development of the acreage of tomatoes under glass reflects the considerable expansion of tomato growing during the last 15 years (table 1).

Table 1 shows that the expansion of the acreage strongly contributed to the growing of tomatoes in heated glasshouses. Heating requires investments, which cause an increase in the cost of tomatoes. However, by heating the glasshouses, production can be accelerated so that the product can be put on the market at a commercially more favourable time.

Table N. 1. - Cultizated area, production, yield per ha of tomatoes under glass in The Netherlands.

| . | Acreage in ha |  | Production $\times 1$ ton |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | heated | non-heated | total | yield/ha |
| 1950 | 355 | 756 | 75,100 | 67.600 |
| 1955 | 713 | 1,108 | 125,000 | 68.600 |
| 1960 | 1,311 | 1,278 | 201,400 | 77.800 |
| 1965 | 2,253 | 1,211 | 297,900 | 85.900 |

Table N. 2. - Monthly supply of tomatoes as a percentage of total yearly production in The Netherlands.

|  | Total <br> production | April <br> and may | June | July | August | Rest of <br> the year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 100 | 4.75 | 13.90 | 28.23 | 34.08 | 19.04 |
| 1955 | 100 | 7.01 | 17.09 | 28.67 | 32.38 | 14.85 |
| 1960 | 100 | 16.96 | 21.46 | 22.80 | 21.50 | 17.28 |
| 1965 | 100 | 21.76 | 21.37 | 17.86 | 21.31 | 17.70 |

Table 2 shows that during the last 15 years the increase in production is closely connected with early cropping. Though in 1950 there was still a maximum supply in July and August, in 1965 there is little difference in supply during the months of May, June, July and August. Naturally, early cropping was only possible after having dealt with numerous technical problems.

The overall increase in tomato production is due largely to the expansion in acreage. In addition, sizeable extra output comes from an increase in production per ha. In 1950 the average yield per ha amounted to 67.6 tons, in 1965 this had been raised to 85.9 tons.

## Marketing

Dutch tomatoes are for the larger part exported, although considerable quantities are for domestic sale. Sales for industrial processing are negligible.

Table N. 3. - Proportional distribution of Dutch tomatoes to the most important destinations.

|  | Total <br> pro- <br> duction | Export <br> West <br> Germany | U. K. | Other <br> countries | Inland | Industry | Destruction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1950 | 100 | 27.16 | 20.24 | 7.59 | 22.91 | 11.85 | 10.25 |
| 1955 | 100 | 46.16 | 16.72 | 8.32 | 21.60 | 2.64 | 4.56 |
| 1960 | 100 | 56.21 | 16.78 | 8.29 | 15.59 | 0.70 | 2.43 |
| 1965 | 100 | 55.93 | 16.91 | 8.90 | 12.43 | 0.48 | 5.35 |

## Export

Already in 1929 exports of Dutch tomatoes amounted to 80,182 tons, followed by a fall from 1930 till 1940. Since 1950 however, exports recovered rapidly and there was an unprecedented increase in the last 10 years. The Dutch production areas are well situated with regard to the English and German consumption centers, which is most important for the sale of perishable products like tomatoes. Other factors stimulating exports are the quality of the product and efficient methods used by the market gardeners. This, together with the growth of population and increasing prosperity on the export markets contributed to the fact that in 1965 export was about
6.2 times higher than in 1950. Of the total export in 1965, about $68.6 \%$ went to West-Germany and $20.7 \%$ to the United Kingdom, while smaller quantities were sold to Sweden, Switzerland and Belgium-Luxemburg.

## Home consumption

Although the domestic market absorbs a relatively diminishing part of the Dutch production, there is an evident increase in consumption (table 4).

Table N. 4. - Yearly home consumptiom of tomatoes in kg per head of the population.

| 1930 | 1938 | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.020 | 2.130 | 1.676 | 2.522 | 2.734 | 3.156 |

The increase in local consumption is seen especially from April till June and is less evident in July and August.

## Canning industry

Almost all Dutch tomatoes are sold to the consumer as fresh produce. The production costs are too high when compared to those of South-European countries to sell them to the canning industry. The industry may occasionally buy part of the «unsaleable» production.

Sale through auctions and the minimum-price system
In Holland the market-gardeners sell tomatoes via auctions. Until January 1st, 1966 this was a legal obligation. Today many producers are bound by a co-operative auction rule which requires that market-gardeners who are a member of a cooperative auction sell their products through this auction. This is one of the reasons that most of the production is still sold via auctions. For the sale via auctions a minimum price is fixed; tomatoes that cannot be sold at this minimum price are taken off the market. They are either destroyed or in some cases, sold on non-competitive markets. This means that sometimes a part of tomatoes earmarked for destruction are sold to the canning industry. The supplier of unsaleable products gets a compensation, which is financed by a levy on all tomatoes sold. This minimum-price scheme therefore is not a monopolistic practice, but a method to prevent disastrous market disruption. Changes in the marketing system are under way.

## 2. - Analysis and forecast of the supply

## 2.1. - Factops affecting supply

The production of tomatoes has been increasing constantly since 1950. Although the expansion fluctuates from year to year, the trend in the production has continued to rise. The acreage of tomatoes under glass during the period 1954-1965 can be represented as a function of time and price in the preceding season.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=1425+\underset{( \pm 8.426)}{157.013 x_{1}+0.669 x_{2}}( \pm 2.257) \quad R^{2}=0.991 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y$ : acreage of tomatoes under glass in ha
$x_{1}$ : trend; $1954=1,1955=2$ etc.
$x_{2}$ : average auction price in $\mathrm{ct} / \mathrm{kg}$ in the preceding year
Increase in production finds its most important cause in an attractive cost-return relationship. This especially applies to tomatoes from heated glasshouses, supplied from April till Junc. The relationship between the average price to the producer in the year $t$ and the acreage of tomatoes under glass in the year $t+1$ is positive, but statistically unreliable. Thus deviations from the trend in production cannot be explained by prices in the preceding year.

Besides direct profitability due to growing export markets and efficient production, other factors had a stimulating effect on the expansion, such as better possibilities for complementary crops, especially lettuce. Also production of grapes under glass was being substituted by tomatoes. Because of these developments, many of the market-gardeners have expanded their production capacity under glass, often using considerable amounts of borrowed capital.

## 2.2. - Projection of tie future supply

To what level the supply may have increased in 1970 or 1975 cannot be deduced from the development during the period 1950-1965. In our opinion an extrapolation of the existing trend would overestimate future production. However, several factors can be mentioned which are of considerable importance for the future supply.

## Qualitative approach

a) In the first place it should be noted that the development of the Dutch production highly depends on future export opportunities. The future sales on the traditional export markets like West-Germany and the United Kingdom are of decisive importance. Liberalisation of trade within the EEC will enhance marketing possibilities of Dutch produce; England's entry into the EEC is a doubtful factor in this respect.

Not only the increase in the total export demand is important; competitive supply from other countries will affect the situation as well. The Dutch product has gained a good reputation on foreign markets and certainly therefore is competitive. However big expansion of the supply from other countries may cause a slump in prices making the Dutch tomato grower reluctant to expand.
b) The specialised Dutch horticulture is characterized by small farms; in 1960, $93.2 \%$ of glasshouse farms had an acreage of less than 5 ha. These farms can only realize a reasonable income by intensive glasshouse cropping, and this often means growing tomatoes.

Certainly tomatoes can be substituted by other products, like cucumbers. However, this possibility should not be regarded lightly. It is complicated
by technical requirements. Often the markets for substitution products do not have a growing consumption capacity either. Thus, low prices for tomatoes from heated glasshouses in 1965 led to expansion of the production of cucumbers in 1966; the prices of cucumbers however were extremely low from May till September 1966.
c) One aspect of tomato growing that cannot be forecast is the lifting of the law, limiting acreage under flowers in The Netherlands.

It is expected that abrogation of this law will lead to an increase in flower cultivation, and this may be at the expense of tomato production. Of course this will only happen if the prices on the tomato market yield considerably lower profits. Disappointing prices for early tomatoes from heated glasshouses (April-June) in 1965, and non-heated tomatoes in 1966 (July-September) certainly stimulated the interest of the gardeners in flower cultivation.
d) The development of Dutch horticulture is not determined by government policy or financial assistance. For glasshouse gardening neither public subventions nor cheap credit are offered. The influence of the government on horticultural development is limited to an efficient assistance to marketgardeners through extension advisory services, education and research. Therefore, the production of tomatoes is not at all affected by any autonomous policy of the authorities.

## An effort to «quantification"

It is extremely difficult to give a quantitative forecast on the supply in 1970 and 1975 because of some incalculable factors, which will affect the production of tomatoes. Nevertheless, it is tried to make a rough quantitative estimate. Assuming that the competitive pattern on the export markets will not change, that a possible entry of England into the EEC will not have any influence on exports and that the market for flowers will steadily grow, a continuing increase in the Dutch tomato production is expected. This increase will be less spectacular than it was during the last 15 years because Dutch tomatoes have now reached a high degree of penetration on export markets. The lower financial results in spring ' 65 and summer ' 66 made the market-gardeners, who worked with high loans, more cautious. For 1970 a production of approximately 360.000 tons and for 1975 of approximately 400,000 tons lies within possibilities. This projection needs to be revised in case of a change in the assumptions.

## 3. - Analysis and forecast of the demand

As already shown in table 3, Dutch tomatoes are mainly exported, which implies that the tomato production in Holland depends decisively on a possible increase in the external demand. This however, is not within the scope of this analysis; we shall only analyse the domestic demand, which in 1965 covered $12.4 \%$ of the total production.

Table 4 shows that from 1930 till 1940 the per capita home consumption increased considerably, and has been growing during the last 15 years. The highest yearly consumption per head amounted before 1940 to about 2 kg ;
during the last few years it has been increasing steadily and in 1965 reached 3.16 kg per head. The increase in the per capita consumption is highest from April till June, it is less evident from July till September.

## 3.1. - Factors affecting consumption

The analysis of per capita consumption is based on time series for 1950 1965 and on budget data from the budget survey $1963-64$ of the EEC.
Analysis based on time series
The local demand for tomatoes per head of the population was regarded as a linear function of the tomato price and the per capita disposable income.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x_{0}+\alpha_{1} x_{1}+x_{1} x_{2}+u \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y$ : yearly per capita consumption in kg [(production-export-destructionprocessing) / population]
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit
$x_{1}$ : real per capita disposable income in guilders
Source: C.B.S., Nationale Rekeningen
Deflator: price-index of cost of living
Source: C.B.S., Maandschrift, (Monthly Review)
$x_{2}$ : retail price of tomatoes at the Amsterdam retail market in $\mathrm{ct} / \mathrm{kg}$, deflated by the price-index of cost of living
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit; C.B.S., Maandschrift, (Monthly Review)
u: random term
No competitive vegetable has been included in the function as an explanatory variable, as it is impossible to select any one vegetable as the substitution product.

The function was estimated by means of the least squares method on the basis of data on the periods 1952-1964 and 1957-1964. Estimates were made separately for these periods, because changes in consumption and buying habits can also affect the magnitude of the parameters $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$. In that case a reliable estimate on the basis of recent data is more suitable for forecast purposes. The result of these estimations reads as follows:
Data period 1952-1964:

$$
\begin{align*}
y=1.4596 & +0.001954 x_{1}-0.03351 x_{2}  \tag{3}\\
( \pm 0.000262) & ( \pm 0.01003)
\end{align*} \quad R^{2}=0.856
$$

The income elasticity $\varepsilon_{x_{1}}$ and price elasticity $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{y}}$, based on the results in equation (3) were:

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x} 1}=1.479 ; \quad \varepsilon_{\mathrm{x} 2}=-1.078
$$

Data period 1957-1964:

$$
\begin{align*}
y & =2.2368  \tag{4}\\
\left( \pm 0.0009791 x_{1}-0.01789 x_{2}\right. & R^{2}=0.622
\end{align*}
$$

The average income elasticity $\varepsilon_{x_{1}}$, and price elasticity $\varepsilon_{x_{2}}$, based on the results in equation (4) were:

$$
\varepsilon_{x_{1}}=0.71 \quad \varepsilon_{\mathrm{x} 2}=-0.52
$$

and for the period 1961-1964:

$$
\epsilon_{\lambda_{1}}=0.74 \quad \varepsilon_{x_{2}}=-0.13
$$

This shows that the demand for tomatoes in The Netherlands was elastic for income and price. It is also seen that demand elasticity is decreasing.

An alternative specification, taking into account time in addition to income as explanatory variable yielded little result because of the correlation of the explanatory variables time and income.

## Analysis based on budget data

The consumption of tomatoes per consumer unit was considered as a linear function of the income available for consumption and a dummy variable for the difference in the consumption level between industrial and office workers.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=x_{0}+x_{1} x_{1,1}+x_{2} x_{2}+u_{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y_{i}$ : logarithm of the average tomato consumption in kg per consumer unit in class $i$
$x_{1, i}$ : logarithm of total expenditures per consumer unit in class $i$ in guilders
$x_{2}$ : dummy variable $x_{2}=1$ for office workers
$x_{2}=0$ for industrial workers
$u_{1}$ : random term
From: Statistical Office of the EEC (Bruxelles) Sociale Statistiek; speciale serie; no. 3 Netherlands - Tabellen B. 1 till B/13, pp. 90*-175*
This function was estimated by means of the least squares method; $y_{i}$ and $x_{i}$ were weighted by the square root of the number of families in class $i$. Results:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=-1.04137+0.15682 x_{1}+3.07206 x_{2}  \tag{6}\\
&( \pm 0.04797)(+0.80167)
\end{align*} \quad R^{2}=0.745
$$

The income elasticity, derived from budget data is considerably lower than that from time series. The consumption level for office workers is evidently much higher than for labourers as indicated by the value of the coefficient of $x_{y}$. This suggests that with changing working conditions for industrial workers (less physical effiort) considerable expansion of the consumption may be possible.
3.2. - Forecast of home conslimption in 1970 and 1975

On the basis of equation (4), the estimate of the demand function from time series 1957-1964, a forecast was made of the consumption for 1970 and 1975, assuming constant prices. For the expected growth in income and population already available forecasts are used. Results are summarized in table 5.

Table N. 5. - Forecast on the consumption of tomatoes in The Netherlands for 1970 and 1975.

|  | Consumption 1970 |  | Per capita consumption$1975 \times 1 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  | Total consumption $1975 \times 1$ ton |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { per } \\ \text { capita } \\ \times 1 \mathrm{~kg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { total } \\ \times 1 \text { ton } \end{gathered}$ | low | middle | high | low | middle | high |
| 1. | 3.616 | 47,600 | 3.978 | 4.050 | 4.122 | 56,500 | 57,500 | 58,500 |
| 2. in \% of 1964 | 117.0 | 127.3 | 128.7 | 131.1 | 133.4 | 151.1 | 153.7 | 156.4 |

These forecasts may have to be revised, with changing working conditions, less physical effort, stimulating the consumption of vegetables, especially among industrial workers. However it is impossible to measure to what extent these factors will influence the future consumption. b:
Comparison of production and consumption in 1970 and 1975
The expectations for 1970 and 1975 are subject to a number of uncertainties with respect to supply, as well as to demand. Notwithstanding these uncertainties it is expected that the available quantity for export will increase. We expect the export to amount to 310,000 tons in 1970 and 340,000 tons in 1975. Whether such exports are possible at profitable prices will depend on the growth of demand abroad. It may be concluded that exports will continue to grow in importance for the Dutch tomato industry.

## APPLES

## 1. - Historical background and present situation

## Production

In The Netherlands apples are grown on mixed farms and on specialized farms. Important areas are: De Betuwe, Southwest Holland and some

Table N. 1. - Acreage and production of apples in The Netherlands.

|  | Acreage $\times 1$ ha | Production $\times 1$ ton |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total | yield/ha |
| 1950 | 40,612 | 251,300 | 6.262 |
| 1955 | 37,201 | 218,200 | 5.865 |
| 1960 | 35,599 | 383,700 | 10.778 |
| 1965 | 33,353 | 358,300 | 10.743 |

sections of the province Utrecht. Specialized farms provide an ever increasing part of the total fruit production. This expansion of specialized fruit growing does not mean an expansion of acreage. The increase in acreage from 1945 till 1952 has been followed by an evident decrease. In 1952, the acreage reached a maximum of 43,138 ha, but by 1965 it had shrunk to 33,353 ha (table 1).

Production is not reduced due to the increased yield/ha; a very important fact in the development of apple production is the shift in varieties. Whereas in 1955 the variety Goudreinette dominated, with $30 \%$ of the supply ( $=$ auction sales) and the variety Jonathan occupied second place, with $10 \%$ of the supply, it appears especially from newly-planted orchards that the future acreage will mainly be planted with Golden Delicious, Cox Orange Pippin and James Grieve (table 2).

Table N. 2. - Percentage distrihution of the acreage under apples in The Nethorlands according to variety and age, based on *Landboustellingen 1963 . Relatice impartance of some varieties in the tstal sale of trees certified by the N.A.K.B.

| Varieties | Age in 1963 |  |  | Tree-sales certified by N.A.K.B. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<7 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 7.21 yrs | > 21 yr | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| Golden Delicious. | 8.4 | 5.5 | 0.4 | 43.7 | 40.6 | 34.5 |
| Cox's Orange Pippin | 5.6 | 6.1 | 1.2 | 19.9 | 18.3 | 24.7 |
| James Grieve . | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 7.7 | 14.6 | 9.0 |
| Other varieties | 9.3 | 23.4 | 33.0 | 28.7 | 26.5 | 31.8 |
| Total in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{o}$. | 27.0 | 38.0 | 35.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

A striking example for the change in varieties is that of the decreasing importance of the "Brabantse Bellefleur", which in 1940 represented $20 \%$, and in 1955 only $1 \%$ of the total supply ( $=$ auction sales).

## Marketing

The total per capita consumption of apples is rather stable and has been around 19.0 kg since 1950 . This stability in the average total consumption hides a far more lively consumption pattern of particular varieties. In particular it is likely that Golden Delicious, Cox Orange Pippin and James Grieve are taking an important place in consumption. Also, the increasing storage capacity has contributed to the spread of consumption of apples over a larger part of the year.

For detailed analysis, each variety would require separate attention. Where supply is concerned, this will be done as far as is necessary; for the analysis of the demand for each variety, available data remain insufficient.

Although main part of the Dutch apple-production is for inland consumption, also considerable quantities are exported every year. During the last few years the export has been fluctuating strongly; no clear trend in exports can be observed.

Table N. 3. - Percentage distributicn of the sale of Dutch apples according to destination.


## 2. - Analysis and forecast of the supply

## 2.1. - Factors affecting supply

During the period 1956-1965 the acreage under apples showed a yearly decrease of 200 to 800 ha . The net decrease in acreage during 1956-1965 amounted to approximately $3,900 \mathrm{ha}$, notwithstanding the fact that 12,411 ha were newly planted.

The reason for this decrease in acreage may be the low profitability of old orchards, often standard trees. The price per kg apples of these traditional varieties is not very attractive. Also the old standard tree orchards are more difficult to maintain (disease control!) than orchards with modern plant systems. Because of the lack of data it is impossible to measure the impact of the low cost/return relationship on the clearing of the older orchards.

The clearing of numerous unprofitable orchards - especially those with standard trees - will continue to shrink the acreage under apples in the future. Since at 1st May 1963 out of a total of 33,844 ha of apples, 11,868 ha were 22 years old or over, considerable acreage remains available for clearing. In general it may be said that in view of market requirements only fruit from specialized fruit-farms will continue to have a good market. Probably on new, modern fruit-farms sizeable acreage will be newly planted. The substantial planting during the last ten years suggests that modern fruit growers are still confident of the future.

## 2.2. - Projections of the future supply

Expected acreage in 1970 and 1975

## Total acreage

Apple acreage at 1st May 1963 distributed according to age and variety is shown in table 2. Table 2 shows the share of different varieties in planted acreage during the period 1963-1965 too.

From 1960 to 1964 the yearly acreage cleared averaged 1,934 ha, with newly planted acreage averaging yearly $1,616 \mathrm{ha}$. In order to forecast the acreage in 1968 and 1970 the following assumptions have been made:
a) The yearly acreage to be cleared from 1965 to 1970 will be equivalent to the average in the period 1961-1964, approximately 2,000 ha. This figure

Table N. 4. - Apple acreage cleared and newly planted.

| Changes in acreage | 1950 | 1955 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cleared . . . . . . . $\times 1 \mathrm{ha}$ | 824 | 1,032 | 1,447 | 1,475 | 1,822 | 2,628 | 2,297 |
| Newly planted $\ldots . \times 1$ ha | - | 463 | 943 | 1,259 | 1,689 | 2,064 | 2,187 |

is rather high because of large clearings in 1963 and 1964. A slight decrease during the period $1965-1970$ is possible, because of better kept old orchards, especially those planted with standard Goudreinette trees. For that reason an alternative hypothesis of 1,700 ha cleared per year has been made.
b) The yearly planting in the period $1965-1970$ will be equivalent to the average yearly planting in the period 1961-1965.
c) It is also assumed that no trees of the modern varieties Golden Delicious, Cox Orange Pippin and James Grieve will be cleared.

Based on these assumptions the acreage in 1968 and 1970 has been estimated (table 5).

## Productive acreage

In order to determine the productive acreage under apples in 1970 it was assumed that all trees of 7 years of age or more are fully productive. This means that the entire acreage for 1963, minus acreage cleared 1963 to 1970, will contribute to the production in 1970. The contribution of the 6 years old trees will differ according to the variety. It is assumed that Golden Delicious in its sixth year produces $80 \%$ of the normal yearly production, whereas other varieties realize only $50 \%$. This means that the 1964 planting will contribute to the harvest in 1970 in these percentages.

Furthermore it is assumed that the 1965 plantings, which will be five years old in the production yce: 1970, will yield $30 \%$ of their normal yearly production. The productive acreage calculated in this way is presented in table 6.

Table N. 5. - Forecast of acreage under apples in 1968 and 1970 according ty variety.

|  | 1968 |  | 1970 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | I | II |
| Golden Delicious | 8,091 | 8,319 | 9,241 | 8,621 |
| Cox's Orange Pippin | 6,221 | 6,350 | 6,869 | 7,084 |
| James Grieve | 3,392 | 3,455 | 3,708 | 3,813 |
| Other varieties | 15,049 | 14,329 | 12,535 | 11,035 |

[^1]'Table N. 6. - Estimation of the Dutch apple production in 1970.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Acreage } \\ 1963 \\ \times 1 \mathrm{ha} \end{gathered}$ | Cleared acreage $\times 1$ ha | 1964 and '65 planting in product ive ha | $\begin{gathered} \text { Produc- } \\ \text { tive } \\ \text { acreage } \\ \text { in } 1970\left({ }^{(1)}\right. \end{gathered}$ | Production 1970 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | yield per ha $\times$ 1 ton( ${ }^{1}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { total } \\ \times \quad 1 \text { ton } \end{gathered}$ | in \% of yearly average production in 1960-'65 |
| 1. Golden Delicious | 4,943 | - | 978 | 5.921 | 18,711 | 110,800 | 292.3 |
| Cox's Orange |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pippin | 4,466 | - | 375 | 4,841 | 13,338 | 64,600 | 206.4 |
| James Grieve. | 2,459 | - | 202 | 2, 661 | 18,250 | 48,600 | 233.7 |
| Other varieties | 22,871 | 13,625 | 435 | 9,604 | 11,843 | 114,700 | 37.7 |
| Total | 34,739 | 13,625 | 1,993 | 23,107 | 14,658 | 338,700 | 86.0 |
| II. Golden Delicious | 4,943 | - | 978 | 5,921 | 18,711 | 110,800 | 292.3 |
| Pippin | 4.466 | - | 375 | 4,841 | 13,338 | 64,600 | 206.4 |
| James Grieve . | 2,459 | - | 202 | 2,661 | 18,250 | 48,600 | 233.7 |
| Other varieties | 22,871 | 15,125 | 438 | 8,184 | 11,843 | 96,900 | 31.9 |
| Total | 34,739 | 15,125 | 1,993 | 21,607 | 14,852 | 320,900 | 81.4 |

Yearly norm: I - cleared 1700 ha and planted 1500 ha.
II - cleared 2000 ha and planted 1700 ha.
only other varieties are cleared.
( ${ }^{1}$ For calculation *productive acreage * and *yield/ha* see text.

Expected production in 1970
The expected yield/ha in 1970 has been calculated separately for the important modern varieties and together for the other varieties as follows:


The average over 6 years was taken in order to diminish the effect of biennal bearing. By weighting the last three years more the increase in productivity during the period $1961-1965$ is to some extent taken into account. The increase in productivity because of better production methods has been estimated yearly at $1 \%$ for the period 1965-1975. The results of this calculation are presented in table 6. The production in 1970 has been forecast on the basis of the expected acreage and the yield/ha in 1970.

Table N. 7. - Estimate of Dutch apple-production in 1975.


Yearly norm: I - cleared 1700 ha and planted 1500 ha.
II - cleared 2000 ha and planted 1700 ha.
only *other varieties are cleared.
(1) For calculation "productive acreage * and "yield ha * see text.

Expected production in 1975
The productive acreage and the yield/ha in 1975 was forecast in the same way as for 1970. Plantings up to 1968 will be fully productive in 1975.

Assumptions on yearly plantings and clearings in this period are a tricky affair. For lack of better information the same assumptions are made for clearing and plantings and for a possible increase in productivity as for the period 1963-1970.

Since the yield/ha, quoted to-day for well-kept fruit farms is considerably higher than our forecast of average yield for 1975, it seems that the hypothesized increase in productivity of $5 \%$ during the period $1970-1975$ will be realized easily.

The probable production in 1975 has been calculated on the basis of the estimated yield/ha and on the estimated productive acreage (table 7).

## 3. - Analysis and forecast of the demand

The consumption of apples will be explained from the level of income, the price of apples and the price of oranges. The analysis is based on time series and budget data.

## 3.1. - Analysis

The relation between consumption, disposable income and the prices of apples and oranges is expressed in the following model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x_{0}+x_{1} x_{1}+\alpha_{2} x_{2}+x_{3} x_{3}+u \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$ per capita consumption of apples in kg

$x_{1}$ : disposable income in gld/per head deflated by the price-index of cost of living
Source: C.B.S., Nationale Rekeningen; C.B.S., Maandschrift (Monthly Review)
$x_{2}: \quad$ retail-price of apples in $\mathrm{ct} / \mathrm{kg}$, quotation Amsterdam, deflated by the price-index of cost of living
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit; C.B.S., Maandschrift (Monthly Review)
$x_{3}$ : retail-price of oranges in $\mathrm{ct} / \mathrm{kg}$, quotation Amsterdam, deflated by the price-index of cost of living
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit; C.B.S., Maandschrift (Monthly Review)
u: random term
Estimation of this equation by means of the least squares method with data for the period 1957-1964 gave the following result:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y=10.4529+0.006268 x_{1}-0.2238 x_{2}+0.1143 x_{3} \quad R^{2}=0.98  \tag{2}\\
(: 0.00174)(t 0.01799)( \pm 0.1032)
\end{array}
$$

This shows that with increasing income some increase in consumption may be expected and that the demand for apples is also influenced by price changes. For the period 1961-1964 an income eleasticity of 0.71 and a price elasticity of -0.81 was calculated. Although the coefficient of $x_{3}$, the price of oranges, has the expected sign, it still does not differ significantly from zero.

## Analysis based on budget data

The relation between consumption of apples and income, for office and industrial workers, is expressed in the following model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=x_{11}+\alpha_{1} x_{1,1}+x_{12} x_{2}+u_{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y_{i}$ : logarithm of the average consumption of apples per consumer unit in class $i$, in kg
$x_{1, j}$ : logarithm of total expenditures per consumer unit in class $i$, in guilders
$x_{2}$ : dummy variable
office workers $\quad: x_{2}=1$
industrial workers: $x_{2}=0$
$u_{i}$ : random term

Source: Statistical Office of the EEC, Sociale Statistiek, Speciale Serie, Budgetonderzoek 1963-1964, Nederland, Tables B 1 till B 13, p. 90*-180*

Estimation of (3) by least squares weighting the observations of $y_{i}$ and $x_{1, i}$ in class $i$ with the square root of the number of families in class $i$, gave the following result:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
y & =1.89107+0.38711 x_{1}-0.79915 x_{2}, & R^{2}=0.98  \tag{4}\\
(+0.02658)(+0.44426) &
\end{array}
$$

The income elasticity of the consumption of apples is positive (0.387) and differs significantly from zero. The income elasticity determined from budget data is much smaller than that arrived at from the analysis on the basis of time series.

## 3.2. - Forecast of home consumption in 1970 and 1975

On the basis of the estimates in 3.1. the consumption in 1970 and 1975 can be forecast if the increase in population and in the disposable income is known. The calculation was made on the assumption that the price level will remain constant. The results of this analysis are presented in table 8.

Table N. 8. - Forecast of the Dutch consumption of apples in 1970 and 1975.

3. For assumptions about income per capita and growth of population in 1970 and 1975 the reader is referred to table 4 in the section on cauliflower.

## 4. Comparison of the expected consumption and supply of apples in The Netherlands

The results obtained in section 3 show that the export of apples will decrease. They even seem to indicate that by 1975 the export will cease altogether. It should however be noted that the increase in consumption, especially from April till July, will be satisfied from imports greatly. In the production years $1964-1965$ and 1965-1966 imports during that period already amounted to 20.9 thousand and 22.3 thousand tons respectively. It is difficult to estimate the further growth of imports. But in 1975, Dutch growers will certainly still be exporting apples. To forecast the size of exports is very difficult.

Finally it should be emphasized that the forecasts for 1975 are influenced to a high extent by assumptions on cleared, planted acreage, and yields.

## GRAPES

## 1. - Historical background and present situation

## Production

Production of grapes in Holland was introduced in 1647 and started in the "Westland", main horticultural area of the province Zuid Holland. Today, this region is still the main production area. The grapes are grown in glasshouses, because the Dutch climate makes growing in the open practically impossible. The harvest is in autumn (September, October). From 1930 to 1940 there was a great expansion of the cultivation; in 1930, the supply sold through auctions was approximately, 11,500 tons; in 1935, it had already risen to 24,100 tons, and in 1939 a further, though small increase to 25,100 tons was realized.

After 1945, this 1939 record has not been broken. The acreage has been declining rapidly, especially during the last ten years. In 1965, there were only 244 ha, of which 42 ha were heated glasshouses and 202 ha nonheated glasshouses. The production figures show a similar trend; whereas in 1939, the supply sold through auctions was approximately 22,800 tons, in 1965 it had dropped to 5,900 tons.

## Marketing

Dutch grapes are mainly for home consumption. In 1930, the average consumption of grapes amounted to approximately 0.73 kg per head and with reduced prices it increased up to 1.90 kg per head in 1939. In the period after 1945, the consumption started at a very low level. From 1955 to 1962 it was around 0.6 kg per head. From 1963 on there has been a rapid increase in the per capita consumption. However, in 1965 the average per capita consumption ( 1.2 kg ) was still far below the 1939 level. Before the war the export of grapes was rather important: in 1935 the evport amounted to 8,277 tons, $36.7 \%$ of the total production. In 1939 the export was still at about the same level, 8,253 tons, $36.2 \%$ of the total production.

There has also been a considerable drop in the export. Export is mainly to West Germany.

Table N. 1. - Acreage, production and sale of Dutch grapes.

|  | Acreage <br> $\times 1$ ha | Production <br> $\times 1$ ton | Home <br> consumption | Export | Import |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | 24,100 | 15,800 | 8,300 | - |
| 1935 | - | 25,100 | 16,800 | 8,300 | - |
| 1939 | 608 | 15,600 | 7,700 | 7,400 | - |
| 1950 | 464 | 11,200 | 6,800 | 4,000 | - |
| 1960 | 383 | 9,700 | 7,400 | 2,400 | 400 |
| 1965 | 244 | 5,900 | 14,800 | 1,400 | 10,900 |

## 2. - Analysis and forecast of the supply

## 2.1. - Factors affecting supply

The yearly fluctuations in supply are of little importance in the light of structural changes in grape production. Therefore this analysis will deal with the factors that affect the long term production. The decrease in the Dutch production of grapes is due to structural changes. The Dutch grape growers can hardly compete with producers in South European countries. The main reasons are:
a) Dutch grapes do not easily become as sweet as the Mediterranean product, because of the shortage of sunny days in the Dutch climate. This should be compensated by the attractive appearance of glasshouse grapes. Attempts have been made to reduce this handicap.
b) The production costs of grapes in glasshouses, especially in heated glasshouses, are much higher than those in Mediterranean countries.
c) Attractive profits obtained from vegetables grown under glass (tomatoes and lettuce) stimulated the substitution of grapes by other crops, especially by tomatoes.

Notwithstanding this structurally difficult competitive position the Dutch grape-culture was able to survive the first 10 to 15 years after the second world war by rationing imports. In 1959 an import quota of 335 tons from EEC-çountries was allowed. This quota was increased during the following years, and on 1st July 1961 the limitations on import of grapes into The Netherlands were lifted. The resulting increase in imports caused a massive decrease in domestic production. The acreage under grapes dropped from 375 ha in 1961 to 244 ha in 1965. Imports come from Italy - in 1965 approximately 4,000 tons -, while France has been taking an equivalent position with approximately 3,400 tons in 1965.

## 2.2. - Future situation

Undoubtedly, the acreage under grapes will continue to decline because of structural difficulties. If this trend continues at the same rate, the area of grapes in 1970 will only cover aproximately 100 ha and by 1975 grapeculture will be a thing of the past. The latter assumption is in our opinion too extreme. It is still possible that an acreage of a little less than 100 ha will also prevail in 1975 for the following reasons:
a) Dutch grapes from glasshouses have an attractive appearance; therefore they will continue to be sold as a special product, especially if the sweetness can be improved. However this will depend to a great extent upon the promotion for Dutch grapes.
b) The profitability of alternative crops under glass - especially tomatoes - is being compressed.

These arguments suggest a slow decrease in the acreage. However, in our opinion they are not strong enough to stimulate new plantings.

## 3. - Analysis and forecast of the demand

## 3.1. - Analysis

The increase in consumption of grapes from 1930 to 1940 was mainly due to the fall in price. In post war years because of the quota system on imports the consumption could only slightly rise until 1961.

From 1961, this limitation was lifted and the consumption increased rapidly.

In addition to lower prices the increasing standard of living contributed favourably to the consumption. This will be analysed more closely on the basis of time series and budget data.

Analysis based on time series
On the basis of time series for 1952-1964 and 1957-1964, the relation between consumption of grapes and the income and price of grapes was estimated as follows:

Period 1952-1964

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=0.2273+0.0002815 x_{1}-0.0001825 x_{2} \quad R^{2}=0.36 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Period 1957-1964

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
y=0.9426+0.0003420 x_{1}-0.00505 x_{2} & R^{2}=0.916  \tag{2}\\
( \pm 0.000146)( \pm 0.00164)
\end{array}
$$

$y$ : $\quad$ per capita consumption of grapes in kg
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit
$x_{1}$ : per capita real disposable income in guilders
Source: C.B.S. Nationale Rekeningen
Deflator: price-index of cost of living
Source: C.B.S., Maandschrift, (Monthly Review)
$x_{2}$ : retail price of grapes in $\mathrm{ct} / \mathrm{kg}$, quotation Amsterdam, deflated by the price-index of cost of living
The fit ( $R^{2}$ ) shows that our attention can be limited to equation (2). During the period 1957-1964 a free market became into existence after the quota system had been abolished. A statistically significant influence of the price, price elasticity - 0.965, was established for the period 1961-1964. A statistically significant influence of income, income elasticity 0.864 , was established for the period 1961-1964.

## Analysis based on budget data

The relation between consumption and income is represented in the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=x_{0}+\alpha_{1,1}+x_{2} x_{21}+u_{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y$ : logarithm of the consumption of grapes in kg per consumer unit in class $i$
$x_{1,1}: \quad$ logarithm of total expeditures per consumer unit in class $i$
$x_{2}: \quad$ dummy variable $(0,1)$
office workers: $x_{2}=1$
industrial workers: $x_{2}=0$
$u_{1}$ : random term
Source: Statistical Office of the EEC, Sociale Statistiek; Speciale Serie, Buddetonderzoek 1963-1964, no. 3, Nederland, Tables B 1-B 13 p. 90*-175*.
Estimation of this equation by the method of least squares, weighting data class $i$ by the square root of the number of families, gave the following result:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y=-14.9102+1.02231 x_{1}+4.53759 x_{2} \quad R^{2}=0.93  \tag{4}\\
(+0.11492)( \pm 1.92062)
\end{array}
$$

The income elasticity here is 1.02 and is slightly higher than that derived from equation (2). The coefficient of $x_{21}$, the dummy variable, shows that the consumption level of office workers is much higher than that of industrial workers.

Forecast of home consumption in 1970 and 1975
On the basis of the results in 3.1. a forecast was made of the consumption of grapes, assuming constant prices and using projections on income and population in 1970 and 1975.

These forecasts are clearly underestimations. A consumption of 1.123 kg per head was forecast for 1970 and a consumption of 1.249 to 1.3 kg per head for 1975, depending on the assumptions used. However, in 1965 the per capita consumption amounted to 1.2 kg already. This is considerably higher than the amount forecast for 1970. The analysis from 3.1. provides an incomplete picture of the structural changes in consumption. In our opinion a per capita consumption of 1.5 kg in 1970 and 2.0 kg in 1975 can be easily achieved if an efficient sales-promotion is carried through. For this, an important factor will be the cooperation of retail chains and supermarkets. The level mentioned seems within reach, considering that the per capita consumption in 1939 amounted to approximately 1.9 kg . Under the assumption of a population of 13.17 million in 1970 and 14.2 million in 1975, a consumption of 19,700 tons in 1970 and of 28,400 tons in 1975 seems very well possible.

## 4. - Comparison of the expected consumption and production in The Netherlands

During the last five years The Netherlands became an importer of grapes. In the season 1961-1962, the imported quantity amounted to approximately 7,700 tons, and in $1965-1966$ this had almost doubled to 14,800 tons. A further increase in consumption will be met completely by imports. Similarly the decrease in domestic production by approximately 90 ha, equivalent to a production of approximately 2,100 tons will be compensated by imports too.

If these forecast on production and consumption will be realized, imports will increase to approximately 17,000 tons in 1970 and will continue to rise to approximately 26,000 tons in 1975.

## LEMONS

The consumption of lemons in The Netherlands is limited. Per capita consumption remained consistently at 0.7 kg from 1957 until 1964. In 1965 consumption increased to 0.8 kg . Any forecast about the future is speculative. Disregarding structural changes in consumption and assuming a very smooth increase in consumption a per capita consumption between 0.9 to 1.0 kg in 1970 and of about 1.1 to 1.2 kg in 1975 seems possible. In that case domestic consumption of lemons will amount to about 12-13 thousand tons in 1970 and 16.000 tons in 1975. - Population estimates: 1970: 13.17 million, 1975: 14.2 million -.

## ORANGES

## 1. - Historical background and present situation

By 1929, the per capita consumption of citrus fruits already amounted to 6.4 kg in The Netherlands. In spite of the decline in the income the consumption of citrus fruits increased during the thirties and reached a level of 9.7 kg per head in 1938. After 1945, the consumption remained at a rather low level for some years because of the economic situation. Since 1950 however, there has been a spectacular increase. By 1953 the pre-war maximum was surpassed, with a consumption of 10.0 kg per head; in 1960 it was 16.3 kg , and in 1965 it had advanced to 19.7 kg per head. Parallel with these figures for citrus fruits one may observe a similar growth for oranges. Although in $1950 / 1951$ only 5.9 kg was consumed per head, this amount had increased to 18.2 kg per head in 1964/1965. Beside the growing demand for fresh citrus fruits the market for canned products also expanded. For the latter however, no exact data are available.

The consumption of oranges in The Netherlands is completely covered by imports. The main suppliers are Spain, Israel and the United States. From June till November substantial quantities are imported from the Union of South Africa (table 1).

Table N. 1. - Total Dutch imports of oranges by countries of origin.

|  | Imports <br> $\times 1$ ton | of which from |  |  |  | Consumption per head $\times 1 \mathrm{~kg}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Spain | Israel | U.S.A. | South Africa |  |
| 1950 | 59,100 | - | - | - | - | 5,846 |
| 1955 | 116,800 | 51,400 | 15,700 | 33,400 | 8,000 | 10,866 |
| 1960 | 179,900 | 77,900 | 31,000 | 9,000 | 17,400 | 15,667 |
| 1965 | 213,000 | 73,400 | 27,600 | 16,300 | 11,600 | 17,328 |

## 2. - Analysis and forecast of the demand

## 2.1. - Analysis

The main caused for the increase in the consumption of oranges are:
a) The growing per capita income.
b) Lower prices of oranges.
c) The higher sale efforts of retail trade, especially of the chains.

The higher need for vitamin $C$ in the winter is often regarded an important factor for the consumption of oranges. This however will not be examined here.

## Analysis based on time-series

The relation of consumption to income, and price is expressed in the following function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x_{13}+\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\alpha_{2} x_{2}+\alpha_{3} x_{3}+u \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y$ : per capita consumption of fresh oranges in kg
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit
$x_{1}$ : the per capita disposable income, deflated by the price-index of cost of living
Source: C.B.S., Nationale Rekeningen; C.B.S., Maandschrift (Monthly Review) retail price of oranges in $\mathrm{ct} / \mathrm{kg}$, deflated by the price-index of cost of living
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit; C.B.S., Maandschrift (Monthly Review)
$x_{3}$ : retail price of apples, quotation Amsterdam in $\mathrm{ct} / \mathrm{kg}$, deflated by the price-index of cost of living
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit; C.B.S., Maandschrift (Monthly Review)
u: random term
Estimation of this equation by means of the method of least squares on the basis of time-series for 1957-1964 gave the following result:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=17.2199+0.004120 x_{1}-0.1364 x_{2}+0.04825 x_{3}  \tag{2}\\
&( \pm 0.002293)( \pm 0.06874)( \pm 0.02396)
\end{align*} \quad R^{2}=0.81
$$

Changes in the disposable income and in the retail price of orànges and apples offer a good explanation for the changes in the consumption. The income- and price-elasticity for the period $1961-1964$ was 0.54 and -0.80 . The cross-elasticity with regard to the price of apples was 0.202 . Because of multi-collinearity the standard deviations of regression coefficients are rather high and the latter are not statistically significant at the $5 \%$ level.

The competition between oranges and apples becomes more evident when the ratio of the consumption of oranges to the consumption of apples is related to their price-ratio. For the years 1950 to 1963 a statistically significant substitution elasticity of -0.65 was measured on the basis of time series. Function (1) was also estimated on the basis of time series for the period 1952-1964, adding trend $x_{4}$ as fourth explanatory variable.

$$
\begin{align*}
y=6.2932 & +1.1998 x_{4}-0.00644 x_{1}-0.02193 x_{21}+0.03417 x_{3} \quad R^{2}=0.97  \tag{3}\\
& ( \pm 0.3029)( \pm 0.00400)( \pm 0.0124)( \pm 0.01361)
\end{align*}
$$

The trend in consumption is evident; multicollinearity between $x_{1}$ and $x_{4}$ enlarges the standard deviation of the income coefficient, so that its influence does not differ significantly from zero.

## Analysis based on budget data

For the analysis of the consumption on the basis of budget data it was assumed that the consumption is related to the disposable income as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=x_{0}+x_{1} x_{1, i}+x_{2} x_{2}+u \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y_{\mathrm{i}}$ : consumption of fresh oranges per consumer unit in class $i$, in kg , in logarithms
$x_{1, i}$ : total expenditures per consumer unit in class $i$, in guilders; in logarithms
$x_{2}$ : dummy variable ( 0,1 )
Office workers: $x_{2}=1$
Industrial workers: $x_{2}=0$
$u_{i}$ : random term
Source: Statistical Office of the EEC, Sociale Statistiek. Speciale Serie no. 3. Budgetonderzoek 1963-1964 Nederland, Tabellen B 1 - 13, p. 90*-175*
This function was estimated by the least squares method weighting the observations in class $i$ by the square root of the number of families in class $i$. The result reads as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=-11.5943+0.50357 x_{1}+0.95299 x_{2} R^{2}=0.96  \tag{5}\\
&( \pm 0.04681)( \pm 0.78228)
\end{align*}
$$

The income elasticity of 0.50 is of same order as the value derived from the time series. The coefficient of $x_{2}$, suggests a higher consumption-level for office workers. The standard deviation however is too large to attach much importance to the coefficient of $x_{2}$ in (5).

The influence of the distribution system on the sale of oranges
There are two developments in the retail trade that are of utmost importance for the sale of oranges:
a) Sale in self-service stores and in supermarkets, and
b) Concentration in the food-retail business.

With the establishment of self-service stores and supermarkets many groceries widened their assortment. They also included fresh fruits in their assortment. This is especially true for oranges, as they are more suitable for sale in self-service than apples and pears. Accordingly the number of points of sale for oranges has increased considerably, which certainly has stimulated the consumption to a high extent.

Concentration in the food-sector stimulates central purchase of large quantities. The trade in citrus fruits in The Netherlands fits this kind of sale better than the selling methods used for Dutch fruits like pears and

Table N. 2. - Forecast of Dutch consumption of oranges in 1970 and 1975.

|  | Consumption$1970$ |  | Per capita consumption $1975 \times 1 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  |  | Total consumption $1975 \times 1$ ton |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | capita <br> $\times 1 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { total } \\ & \times 1 \text { ton } \end{aligned}$ | low | middle | high | low | middle | high |
| 1a. on the basis of (2) in 2.1 | 19.585 | 257,900 | 21.109 | 21.409 | 21.715 | 299,700 | 304,100 | 308,400 |
| 1 b . on the basis of (3) in 2.1 | 20.578 | 271,000 | 24.193 | 23.723 | 23.246 | 343,500 | 336,900 | 330,100 |
| $2 a$. on the basis of (2) in 2.1 in $\%$ of 1964 | 107.4 | 117.4 | 115.8 | 117.4 | 119.1 | 136.5 | 138.5 | 140.4 |
| $2 b$. on the basis of (3) in 2.1 in $\%$ of 1964 | 112.9 | 123.4 | 132.7 | 130.1 | 127.5 | 156.4 | 153.4 | 150.3 |

3. Assumptions: income per capita in 1970: 2,955 guilders
income per capita in 1975 - low: 3,325 guilders
1975 - middle: 3,398 guilders
1975 - high: 3,472 guilders
Assumptions: rate of growth of income (1965-70) per year: $3.4 \%$
rate of growth of income (1970-75) per year - low: $2.5 \%$

- middle: $3.0 \%$
- high: $3.5 \%$
population in: $1970=13.17$ million; $1975=14.20$ million.
apples. Concentration of Dutch auctions will lead to a reduction of this relative advantage of oranges.

However it is rather difficult to measure the influence of these factors. But it seems that these developments have been an important stimulus on the sale of oranges.

## 2.2. - Forecast of conslimption in 1970 and 1975

The consumption in 1970 and 1975 has been forecast on the basis of the results in 2.1., assuming that prices are constant and using available forecasts of yearly increase in real income and in population.

The forecast on the basis of equation (2) suggests that the future consumption of oranges will advance less rapidly, which was also seen when period 1950-1956 was compared to period 1957-1964. The results arrived at from equation (3) suggest that the increase in consumption, as a consequence of the rising standard of living and different buying habits, will continue in the next 10 years, hence a more optimistic view.

According to our calculations the total consumption and hence the import of fresh oranges will probably range between 260,000 tons to 270,000 tons in 1970 and between 300,000 tons to 336,000 tons in 1975. For this forecast, neither price increase due to changes in the EEC external tarift nor price-changes due to changing market conditions have been taken into account.

## PEACHES

Peaches are of minor importance both in the production and in the consumption of fruits and vegetables in The Netherlands.

Dutch climate does not fit very well to growing of peaches. This is in fact the main reason for the negligible domestic production which decreased systematically from 1,000 tons in 1963 to 700 tons in 1966. The decrease of domestic production is also enhanced by increasing imports especially from Italy.

Consumption of peaches is increasing, which may be observed in the development of imports; in 1961 imports amounted to 2400 tons; but imports had increased to 5,100 tons in 1965. This increase of consumption is to a large extent the consequence of the high income elasticity.

Consumption of peaches was assumed to be a linear function of disposable income. The function was estimated on the basis of budget data by the method of least squares; observations on consumption and income in class $i$ were weighted by the square root of the number of families in class $i$. The result was:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
y=-19.679 & +0.980 x_{1}+0.939 x_{12} & R^{2}=0.95 \\
( \pm 0.098)( \pm 1.637) &
\end{array}
$$

$y_{1}$ : logarithm of the average consumption of peaches per consumer unit in class $i$ in grams
$x_{1,1}$ : logarithm of total expeditures per consumer unit in class $i$ in guilders
$x_{2}$ : dummy variable
Office workers $\quad: x_{2}=1$
Industrial workers: $x_{2}=0$
Source: Statistical Office of the EEC, Sociale Statistiek; Speciale Serie, Budgetonderzoek 1963-64 no. 3, Nederland, Tables B 1-B 13, p. 90*-175*
The positive income elasticity of 0.98 however cannot explain completely a per capita increase of consumption of peaches. Lower prices and structural changes in consumption are responsible for this development too. Unfortunately analysis on the basis of time-series fails to measure these influences.

A forecast of the consumption of peaches depends on whether the increasing trend in consumption will continue or not. In case of a continuous trend a consumption of 8,000 to 10,000 tons may be expected in 1970 and of about 11,000 to 13,000 tons in 1975. Many experts in the trade of fruits and vegetables take this view and we join their opinion on this matter. However this conclusion cannot be tested.

## PEARS

## 1. - Historical background and present situation

## Production

Pears in The Netherlands are grown on specialized farms and on mixed farms. On the latter, production is less intensive and the quality of the crop

Table N. 1. - Acreage and production of pears in The Netherlands.

|  | Acreage $\times 1$ ha | Production $\times 1$ ton |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total | yield $/ \mathrm{ha}$ |
| 1950 | 14,531 | 111,200 | 7.653 |
| 1955 | 11,650 | 95,200 | 8.172 |
| 1960 | 10,833 | 134,300 | 12.397 |
| 1965 | 10,244 | 79,100 | 7.722 |

is on the average lower. The share of the mixed farms in the total supply is diminishing.

During the last fifteen years the acreage under pears has been showing a strong decrease. In 1950, the acreage still amounted to $14,531 \mathrm{ha}$; in 1965 it was 10,244 ha. This decrease in acreage has not lead to a decrease in production (table 1); by using better production techniques the yield/ha could be raised. Total production of pears therefore does not show a trend during the last fifteen years. On the other hand, there are evident shifts in the varieties planted; especially the varieties Conference and Doyenné du Comice are becoming more important.

## Marketing

The yearly fluctuations in production due to biennal bearing are reflected in the domestic consumption of pears. From 1955 to 1965 the per capita consumption of pears ranged between 5.55 and 9.09 kg , except in 1957, in which year per capita consumption was equal to 2.41 kg . A trend in per capita consumption of pears cannot be observed.

The export of pears varies considerably from year to year but does not show a definite trend. The sale for industrial processing also remains at the same level and has not surpassed 9,000 tons since 1955. Finally, with regard to imports a slight increase can be observed. For the time being, imports are however of minor importance. From 1962 to 1965 they amounted to about 4,500 tons per year.

Table N. 2. - Outlets of Dutch pears in percent of total production.

|  | Production | Domestic <br> consumption | Export <br> total | W. Germany | Processing <br> industry | Destruction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 100 | 68.3 | 27.8 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 1955 | 100 | 62.9 | 27.6 | 11.2 | 9.3 | 0.2 |
| 1960 | 100 | 59.9 | 33.3 | 20.6 | 5.7 | 1.1 |
| 1965 | 100 | 65.5 | 28.3 | 15.8 | 5.9 | 0.3 |

## 2. - Analysis and forecast of the supply

## 2.1. - Factors affecting supply

In spite of plantings of about 3,000 ha from 1956 to 1965 the total acreage under pears decreased during that period from 12,805 ha to $10,244 \mathrm{ha}$. It must be concluded that during those years about 5,560 ha were cleared. Mainly unprofitable orchards on mixed farms are cleared. From 1950 to 1958 the yearly-planted acreage of pears amounted to about 100 ha ; from 1953 to 1956 there was an evident decrease in planting. Since 1959, planting has been increasing. The varieties Conference, Beurré Hardy and Doyenné du Comice represent since 1962 more than $50 \%$ of the new plantings (table 3 ).

Table N. 3. - New plantings of pears in The Netherlands in ha.

|  | 1955 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New plantings . . . . . . . | 70 | 250 | 353 | 439 | 456 | 445 | 399 |
| of which: Conference . . . . | - | - | - | 94 | 98 | 112 | 89 |
| Beurré Hardy . . . | - | - | - | 88 | 107 | 76 | 56 |
| Bonne Luise d'A. . | - | - | - | 23 | 30 | 41 | 54 |
| Doyenné du Com. | - | - | - | 71 | 59 | 75 | 83 |
| Triomphe de Vienne | - | - | - | 32 | 23 | 17 | 12 |
| Other varieties . . | - | - | - | 131 | 139 | 124 | 105 |

There are some reasons which make the growing of pears less dynamic and less important than that of apples in The Netherlands. First of all the climate is less suitable for growing pears. Pear-trees blossom earlier than apple-trees and are therefore more vulnerable to nightfrost. In areas having less risk of nightfrost, pear-growing is more important, i.a. the area Zuid Beveland.

The preference given to apple growing is not only due to the variation of yields/ha for pears. Another problem in growing of pears especially among young fruit-growers is the high investment/ha. This investment is higher for pears than for apples because pear-trees come into bearing about 2 to 3 years later. The level of prices in the domestic market and abroad only justify the expansion of the acreage with varieties of high quality. The yearly fluctuations in acreage during the last fifteen years cannot be explained by price-changes. There is a decreasing trend in total acreage.

## 2.2. - Projections of the future slpply

## Expected acreage in 1968 and 1970

Pear-acreage at 1st May 1963, according to age and variety, is summarized in table 4.

Table N. 4. - Distribution of the acreage under pears in The Netherlands, according to age and variety, based on "Landbouztellingen 1963* (in percentages).

| Variety | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | According to age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $<9$ year | $9-<25$ year | $\geqslant 25$ year |
| Conference | 16.2 | 5.2 | 7.6 | 3.4 |
| Beurré Hardy | 6.4 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Bonne Louise d'Avranches | 4.8 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 0.9 |
| Doyenné du Comice . | 6.3 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| Triomphe de Vienne. | 4.2 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Other varieties | 62.1 | 6.8 | 19.3 | 36.0 |

The newly planted acreage during the period $1960-1965$ according to variety is summarized in table 3.

In 1965, the acreage under pears was 10,244 ha; this means that from autumn 1963 till spring 1965 an acreage of 1,417 ha was cleared. The acreage in 1968 and 1970, and the share of each variety, can be determined from the 1965 acreage and the estimate of acreage to be cleared and newly planted since 1965. Neither government policy nor the present market situation provide any indication about the acreage that will be cleared in the future. It will be assumed that the yearly acreage cleared from 1965 to 1970 is equal to the average acreage cleared per year in the period 1961-1965. The future planting per year is assumed to be the same as the average yearly planting during the period 1960-1965. Considering that in 1963 the acreage under pears of 33 years of age or more amounted to 2,366 ha and the acreage of 25 to 32 years of age to 2,144 ha, this assumption of the cleared acreage scems feesible. Alternative assumptions have been made.

The estimate of the acreage under pears in 1968, based on the above assumptions, has been presented in table 5.

## Expected production in 1970

The production in 1970 is equal to the productive acreage in 1970 multiplied by the yield/ha. The productive acreage in 1970 is equal to the acreage in 1963 minus the acreage cleared in the period 1963-1970. It is assumed that pear-trees of 9 years of age or more will be in full bearing and that 8 and 7 years old trees will contribute to total production at reduced yields.

## 8 years old trees: Conference $80 \%$ productive, Other varieties $50 \%$ "

7 years old trees: $30 \%$ productive.
Projections of yield/ha, for modern varieties separately and for the other varieties together, were determined as follows:

Table N. 5. - Projection of the acreage under pears in 1968.


'Table N. 6. - Projection of the production of pears in The Netherlands in 1970.

| Variety | $\begin{gathered} \text { Acreage } \\ 1961 \\ \times 1 \text { ha } \end{gathered}$ | Cleared acreage $\times 1$ ha | Planting in 1962 and 1963 in productive ha ( ${ }^{1}$ ) | Productive acreage in 1970 <br> ( ${ }^{1}$ ) | Production 1970 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | yield/ha <br> (1) <br> $\times 1$ ton | $\begin{aligned} & \text { total } \\ & \times 1 \text { tor } \end{aligned}$ | in $\%$ of yearly average production in 1962-'65 |
| I. Conference | 1,557 | - | 104 | 1,661 | 14.654 | 24,300 | 142.3 |
| Beurré Hardy . | 493 | -- | 76 | 569 | 10.080 | 5,700 | 211.1 |
| Bonne Louise d'Avranches | 468 | - | 21 | 489 | 18.479 | 9.000 | 128,6 |
| Doyenné du C. . | 468 548 | - | 54 | 489 602 | 18.479 10.852 | 6,500 | 151,4 |
| Triomphe de V. | 404 | - | 23 | 427 | 9.797 | 4,200 | 164.1 |
| Other varieties . | 7,309 | 4,024 | 108 | 3,393 | 12.359 | 41,900 | 61.0 |
| Total | 10,779 | 4,024 | 386 | 7,141 | 12.827 | 91,600 | 90.9 |
| II. Conference | 1,557 | - | 104 | 1,661 | 14.654 | 24,300 | 142.3 |
| Beurré Hardy . . | 493 | - | 76 | 569 | 10.080 | 5,700 | 211.1 |
| Bonne Louise |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d'Avranches . | 468 | - | 21 | 489 | 18.479 | 9,000 | 128.6 |
| Doyenné du C. . | 548 | - | 54 | 602 | 10.852 | 6,500 | 151.4 |
| Triomphe de V. | 404 | - | 23 | 427 | 9.797 | 4,200 | 164.1 |
| Other varieties | 7,309 | 4,774 | 108 | 2,643 | 12.359 | 32,700 | 47.6 |
| Total | 10,779 | 4,774 | 386 | 6,391 | 12.893 | 82,400 | 81.8 |

Assumptions: I - cleared 350 ha and planted 280 ha per year. II - cleared 500 ha and planted 400 ha per year.
Only *other varieties to be cleared.
${ }^{(1)}$ For calculation acreage and yield/ha: see text.

The number of years used seems sufficient for a reasonable balance of biennal bearing. By this weighted average a possible influence of technical advance on the yield/ha within the period 1960-1965 has been considered. A further increase in yield/ha by improving production methods is probable, but it will take place gradually. It is estimated to be $1 \%$ per year. The production in 1970 has been forecast on the basis of these assumptions about the productive acreage and the yield/ha (table 6).

## Expected production in 1975

The production in 1975 is determined by productive acreage in 1975 and the yield/ha. The productive acreage is equal to the acreage in 1968 minus hectares cleared in the period 1968-1975. Of this acreage the trees of 9 years of age or more are in full bearing, 8 years old trees only partly, and those of 7 years of age will contribute at modest yields. The amount of hectares cleared per year is assumed to be equal to the assumption made in projecting the productive acreage for 1970 . The yield/ha is equal to that projected for 1970 plus an increment of $1 \%$ per year due to the increase in productivity. The forecast of the production in 1975 is presented in table 7.

Table N. 7. - Projection of the production of pears in The Netherlands in 1975.

| Variety | $\begin{gathered} \text { Acreage } \\ 1966 \\ \times 1 \mathrm{ha} \end{gathered}$ | Cleared acreage $\times 1$ ha | Planting in 1967 and 1968 in productive ha | Productive acreage in 1975 <br> $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$ | Production 1975 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { yield/ha } \\ \left.\mathbf{( 1}^{1}\right) \\ \times 1 \text { ton } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { total } \\ \times 1 \text { ton } \end{gathered}$ | in $\%$ of yearly average production in 1962-'65 |
| I. Conference | 2,017 | - | 74 | 2,091 | 15.352 | 32,100 | 188.0 |
| Beurré Hardy . | 859 | - | 32 | 891 | 10.560 | 9,400 | 348.1 |
| Bonne Louise d'Avranches | 650 | - | 27 | 677 | 19.359 | 13,100 | 187.1 |
| Doyenné du C. . | 895 | - | 48 | 943 | 11.369 | 10,700 | 385.6 |
| Triomphe de V. | 496 | - | 6 | 502 | 10.263 | 5,200 | 203.1 |
| Other varieties . | 5,257 | 3,150 | 59 | 2,166 | 12.947 | 28,000 | 40.8 |
| Total | 10,174 | 3,150 | 246 | 7,270 | 13.549 | 98,500 | 97.7 |
| II. Conference . | 2,046 | - | 106 | 2,152 | 15.352 | 33,000 | 193.3 |
| Beurré Hardy. | 876 | - | 45 | 921 | 10.560 | 9,700 | 359.3 |
| Bonne Louise d'Avranches | 664 | - | 30 | 702 | 19.359 | 13,600 | 194.3 |
| Doyenné du C. | 920 | - | 67 | 987 | 11.369 | 11,200 | 403.6 |
| Triomphe de V. | 500 | - | 10 | 510 | 10.263 | 5,200 | 203.1 |
| Other varieties | 5,138 | 4,500 | 83 | 721 | 12.947 | 9,300 | 13.5 |
| Total | 10,144 | 4,500 | 349 | 5,993 | 13.683 | 82,000 | 81.4 |

Assumptions: I - cleared 350 ha and planted 280 ha per year.
II - cleared 500 ha and planted 400 ha per year.
Only sother varieties* to be cleared.
(1) For calculation "productive acreage* and yield/ha*: see text.

## 3. - Analysis and forecast of the demand

## 3.1. - Analysis

An effort will be made to determine as to what extent the consumption of pears can be explained by the disposable income and the price of pears. This analysis is made on the basis of time series and budget data.

Analysis based on time series
The relation between consumption, the disposable income and the price of pears is expressed by the following model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x_{1}+x_{1} x_{1}+x_{2} x_{2}+u \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

y: yearly per capita consumption of pears in kg ; data concern production years (July to June)
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit
$x_{1}$ : per capita disposable income in guilders, deflated by the price-index of cost of living
Source: C.B.S., Nationale Rekeningen; C.B.S., Maandschrift (Monthly Review)
$x_{2}$ : retail-price of pears, quotation Amsterdam in $\mathrm{ct} / \mathrm{kg}$, deflated by the price-index of cost of living
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit; C.B.S., Maandschrift (Monthly Review)
$u$ : random term
Estimation of this function by the method of least squares on the basis of data over the period 1957-1964, gave the following result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=13.5615-0.0002710 x_{1}-0.09702 x_{2} \quad R^{2}=0.96 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It appears that the influence of the income does not differ significantly from zero. The variation in consumption is almost entirely explained by the price. From equation (2) a price elasticity of -0.98 can be derived for the period 1961-1964. This proves that the demand for pears is rather price-elastic. Almost the same price elasticity, -0.92 , was measured from annual data over the period 1950-1963.

## Analysis based on budget data

The relation between consumption and income was expressed in the following function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=x_{0}+x_{1} x_{1,1}+x_{2} x_{2}+u_{j} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y_{1}$ : logarithm of the average consumption of pears per consumer unit in class $i$ in kg
$x_{1}$ : $\quad$ logarithm of total expenditures per consumer unit in class $i$ in guilders
$x_{1}$ : dummy variable Office workers $\quad: x_{21}=1$
Industrial workers: $x_{2}=0$
$u_{1}$ : random term
Source: Statistical Office of the EEC, Sociale Statistiek, Speciale Serie, no. 3, Budgetonderzoek 1963-1964 Nederland, Tables B1-B13, p. 90*-175*

Estimation of this equation by the least squares method, weighting observations of $y$ and $x_{1}$ in class $i$ by the square root of the number of families gave the following result:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y=-4.34825+0.31711 x_{1}-0.33198 x_{2} \quad R^{2}=0.72  \tag{4}\\
(+0.0937)(+1.20683)
\end{array}
$$

The income elasticity derived from budget data is positive (0.317) and differs statistically significant from zero. It appears that the difference in consumption level for office and industrial workers is not statistically significant. Contrary to the results from analyses based on time series, the estimates derived from budget data suggest that the increase in income will bring about a further increase in consumption.

### 3.2. Forecast of home consumption in 1970 and 1975

On the basis of the results under 3.1. the consumption in 1970 and 1975 can be forecast using estimates of the increase in population and in the income. The results have been summarized in table 8.

Table N. 8. - Forecast of the consumption of pears in 1970 and 1975.

|  | Consu 19 | mption 0 | Per c | ita cons $75 \times 1$ | ption | Tot | consum $75 \times 1$ | tion <br> n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { per } \\ & \text { capita } \\ & \times 1 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | total $\times 1 \text { ton }$ |  | middle | high | low | middle | high |
| 1. | 6.691 | 88,100 | 6.956 | 7.009 | 7.062 | 98,800 | 99,500 | 100,300 |
| 2. in \% of '61-'65 | 107.8 | 118.6 | 112.0 | 112.9 | 113.7 | 133.0 | 133.9 | 135.0 |
| 3. For assumptions about income per capita and growth of population in 1970 and 1975 the reader is referred to table 4 in the section on caulifower. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Considering the high price elasticity it is emphasized that this forecast was made on the assumption of constant prices. A fall in prices might lead to a considerable increase in consumption.

## 4. - Comparison of the projected consumption and production in The Ne therlands in 1970 and 1975

On the basis of the projections made about consumption and production it seems that the export of pears will remain at the same level till 1970. In view of the forecast made production and consumption will balance in 1970 and 1975. Because of imports of pears in shortage periods, especially June-August, The Netherlands will remain an exporter of pears in autumn. However it is impossible to forecast the size of these gross-exports.

## APPENDIX

Table N. 1. - Cauliflower ( ${ }^{1}$ ) $\times 1$ ton.

| Year | Production $1$ | Import $2$ | Export $3$ | Domestic consumption $4$ | Industry 5 | Waste 6 | Net export ( + ) import (一) $7(3-2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1955 | 67,800 | 8,300 | 11,400 | 54,500 | 8,600 | 2,600 | + 3,100 |
| 56 | 61,700 | 3,500 | 8,400 | 46,700 | 9,200 | 1,000 | + 4,900 |
| 57 | 77,900 | 15,900 | 7,400 | 66,900 | 7,800 | 11,600 | - 8,500 |
| 58 | 71,000 | 16,500 | 8,800 | 68,500 | 4,700 | 5,400 | - 7,700 |
| 59 | 68,700 | 18,900 | 16,100 | 62,900 | 6,500 | 2,200 | - 2,800 |
| 1960 | 57,200 | 12,300 | 10,100 | 47,700 | 8,100 | 3,600 | - 2,200 |
| 61 | 57,100 | 19,200 | 8,300 | 55,300 | 8,800 | 3,800 | - 10,900 |
| 62 | 57,500 | 16,900 | 8,500 | 54,900 | 8,400 | 2,500 | - 8,400 |
| 63 | 47,400 | 7,800 | 4,000 | 41,600 | 8,400 | 1,200 | - 3,800 |
| 64 | 58,900 | 20,100 | 8,600 | 59,300 | 7,200 | 4,000 | - 11,500 |
| 65 | 48,800 | 20,700 | 4,900 | 58,600 | 4,400 | 1,700 | - 15,800 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Fresh.
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit.

Table N. 2. - Peas ( ${ }^{1}$ ) $\times 1$ ton.

| Year | Production <br> 1 | Import <br> 2 | Export <br> 3 | Domestic consumption $4$ | Industry 5 | Waste 6 | Net export ( + ) (import-) 7 (3-2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1955 | 38,100 | - | 800 | 4,000 | 33,400 | - | + 800 |
| 56 | 37,200 | 100 | 300 | 4,000 | 32,900 | - | + 200 |
| 57 | 42,600 | - | 300 | 4,000 | 38.300 | - | + 300 |
| 58 | 33,500 | - | 100 | 3,000 | 30,400 | - | +100 |
| 59 | 42,300 | 100 | 100 | 3,000 | 39,200 | - | - |
| 1960 | 61,400 | - | 300 | 3,000 | 58,200 | - | +300 |
| 61 | 69,100 | 100 | 200 | 3,000 | 65,900 | - | + 100 |
| 62 | 87,300 | 100 | 500 | 3,000 | 83,900 | - | + 400 |
| 63 | 81,400 | - | 1,200 | 3,000 | 77,300 | - | + 1,200 |
| 64 | 70,100 | 300 | 1,200 | 3,000 | 66,200 | - | + 900 |
| 65 | 55,400 | 200 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 49,700 | - | + 2,800 |

(1) Fresh.

Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit.

Table N. 3. - Tomatoes $\left.{ }^{1}{ }^{1}\right) \times 1$ ton.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year \& Production \& Import
2 \& Export

3 \& Domestic consumption

$$
4
$$ \& Industry 5 \& Waste

6 \& Net export ( + ) import (-) $7(3-2)$ <br>
\hline 1955 \& 125,000 \& 800 \& 89,000 \& 25,000 \& 6,700 \& 5,100 \& + 88,200 <br>
\hline 56 \& 124,200 \& 300 \& 97,400 \& 22,300 \& 3,500 \& 1,400 \& + 97,100 <br>
\hline 57 \& 160,100 \& 400 \& 125,100 \& 28,800 \& 3,500 \& 3,150 \& +124,700 <br>
\hline 58 \& 172,900 \& 500 \& 132,900 \& 28,800 \& 3,400 \& 8,200 \& + 132,400 <br>
\hline 59 \& 195,700 \& 700 \& 153,200 \& 32,300 \& 2,900 \& 8,000 \& + 152,500 <br>
\hline 1960 \& 201,400 \& 900 \& 162,500 \& 31,400 \& 3,300 \& 5,100 \& + 161,600 <br>
\hline 61 \& 235,233 \& 1,50) \& 185,603 \& 34,303 \& 3,030 \& 3,800 \& $+184,100$ <br>
\hline 62 \& 229,2JJ \& 1,7JJ \& 183103 \& 32,303 \& 3,233 \& 7,30) \& + 186,4 3 J <br>
\hline 63 \& 226,400 \& 1,703 \& 188,403 \& 32,200 \& 2,703 \& 4,803 \& + 186,700 <br>
\hline 64 \& 291,800 \& 2,303 \& 228,200 \& 37,400 \& 2,990 \& 25,200 \& + 225,900 <br>
\hline 65 \& 297,93) \& 2,33) \& 242,103 \& 37,50) \& 5,33) \& 15,303 \& + 239,800 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

(1) Fresh.

Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit,

Table N. 4. - Onions ( ${ }^{1}$ ) $\times 1$ tov.

| Year | Production $1$ | Import $2$ | Export <br> 3 | Domestic consumption $4$ | Industry 5 | Waste 6 | Net export (+) import (-) $7(3-2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1955/56 | 119,000 | 20,500 | 98,800 | 30,000 | 10,500 | 100 | + 78,300 |
| 56/57 | 173,600 | 9,300 | 139,800 | 30,000 | 13,100 | - | + 130,500 |
| 57/58 | 172,800 | 7,600 | 134,800 | 30,000 | 15,500 | - | + 127,200 |
| 58/59 | 235,100 | 5,200 | 191,700 | 30,000 | 18,600 | - | + 186,500 |
| 59/60 | 147,600 | 17,400 | 122,900 | 35,000 | 7,000 | - | + 105,500 |
| 1960/61 | 208,500 | 20,700 | 178,800 | 35,000 | 15,400 | - | $+158,100$ |
| 61/62 | 215,400 | 13,100 | 179,500 | 35,000 | 14,000 | - | $+166,400$ |
| 62/63 | 209,500 | 11,100 | 165,700 | 35,000 | 19,900 | - | $+154,600$ |
| 63/64 | 193,300 | 12,300 | 155,000 | 35,000 | 15,600 | - | + 142,700 |
| 64/65 | 217,900 | 22,200 | 182,600 | 37,500 | 20,000 | - | + 160,400 |
| 65/66 | 190,600 | 27,400 | 161,000 | 37,500 | 19,500 | - | + 133,600 |

(1) Fresh.

Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit.

Table N. 5. - Potatoes ( ${ }^{1}$ ) $\times 1000$ tons.

| Year | Production 1 | Import$2$ | Export$3$ | Domestic |  | Industry | Waste 7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { export }(+) \\ \text { import }(-) \\ 8(3-2) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | consumption 4 | seedlings cattlefodder 5 |  |  |  |
| 1955/56 | 4,233 | 10 | 841 | 972 | 1,410 | 1,020 | - | $+831$ |
| 56/57 | 3,532 | 62 | 398 | 970 | 1,256 | 970 | - | + 336 |
| 57/58 | 4,026 | 6 | 496 | 960 | 1,416 | 1,100 | 60 | + 490 |
| 58/59 | 3,909 | 11 | 759 | 1,020 | 1,101 | 970 | 70 | + 748 |
| 59/60 | 3,385 | 50 | 427 | 1,070 | 793 | 1,055 | 90 | + 377 |
| 1960/61 | 4,253 | 21 | 466 | 1,160 | 1,133 | 1,335 | 80 | $+445$ |
| 61/62 | 3,790 | 30 | 530 | 1,115 | 832 | 1,266 | 77 | $+500$ |
| 62/63 | 4,023 | 14 | 676 | 1,100 | 787 | 1,327 | 147 | + 662 |
| 63/64 | 3,924 | 12 | 476 | 1,100 | 960 | 1,335 | 65 | + 464 |
| 64/65 | 4,281 | 52 | 744 | 1,035 | 899 | 1,580 | 75 | + 692 |
| 65/66 | 3,300 | 110 | 550 | 840 | 675 | 1,290 | 55 | $+440$ |

(1) Fresh.

Source: Hoofdproduktschap voor Akkerbouwprodukten and Centraal Bureau voor de Statiestiek.

Table N. 6. - Apples ( ${ }^{1}$ ) $\times 1$ ton.

| Year | Production <br> 1 | Import 2 | Export <br> 3 | Domestic consumption $4$ | Industry 5 | Waste 6 | Net export ( + ) import (-) $7(3-2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1955/56 | 218,200 | 8,900 | 49,100 | 145,800 | 32,000 | 200 | + 40,200 |
| 56/57 | 298,400 | 7,300 | 57,500 | 204,400 | 43,500 | 200 | + 50,200 |
| 57/58 | 141,400 | 23,300 | 41,400 | 98,300 | 25,000 | - | + 18,100 |
| 58/59 | 394,800 | 15,200 | 83,200 | 269,700 | 55,600 | 1,200 | + 68,000 |
| 59/60 | 321,300 | 15,100 | 116,600 | 170,400 | 49,200 | 200 | + 101,500 |
| 1960/61 | 383,700 | 9,800 | 78,500 | 244,900 | 61,800 | 8,300 | + 68,700 |
| 61/62 | 240,600 | 32,400 | 61,600 | 169,100 | 42,000 | 200 | + 29,200 |
| 62/63 | 225,200 | 68,600 | 23,600 | 210,500 | 58,900 | 800 | - 45,000 |
| 63/64 | 281,600 | 34,000 | 31,800 | 220,700 | 62,000 | 1,000 | - 2,200 |
| 64/65 | 514,800 | 13,700 | 155,200 | 293,100 | 61,500 | 18,600 | + 141,500 |
| 65/66 | 358,300 | 24,700 | 58,800 | 257,400 | 63,500 | 3,200 | + 34,100 |

(1) Fresh.

Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit.

Table N. 7. - Grapes ( ${ }^{1}$ ) $\times 1$ ton.

| Year | Production $1$ | Import <br> 2 | Export <br> 3 | Domestic consumption $4$ | Industry 5 | Waste | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { export }(+) \\ \text { import }(-) \\ 7(3-2) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1955/56 | 11,700 | 100 | 3,900 | 7,400 | 400 | - | + 3,800 |
| 56/57 | 10,400 | - | 2,800 | 7,200 | 400 | - | + 2,800 |
| 57/58 | 8,700 | 100 | 2,600 | 5,900 | - | - | + 2,500 |
| 58/59 | 10,500 | 100 | 2,100 | 7,900 | 500 | - | + 2,000 |
| 59/60 | 9,000 | 300 | 2,500 | 5,700 | 200 | - | + 2,200 |
| 1960/61 | 10,100 | 400 | 2,300 | 7,900 | 300 | - | +1,900 |
| 61/62 | 9,000 | 1,000 | 1,700 | 8,000 | 300 | - | + 700 |
| 62/63 | 8,300 | 5,600 | 1.800 | 11,600 | 500 | - | $-3,800$ |
| 63/64 | 6,700 | 4,700 | 1,300 | 9,600 | 500 | - | $-3,400$ |
| 64/65 | 6,200 | 7,600 | 1,300 | 12,200 | 300 | - | -6,300 |
| 65/66 | 6,200 | 10,900 | 1,400 | 15,100 | 600 | $\cdots$ | -9,500 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Fresh.
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit.

Table N. 8. - Pears ( ${ }^{1}$ ) $\times 1$ ton.

| Year | Production <br> 1 | Import <br> 2 | Export <br> 3 | Domestic consumption $4$ | Industry 5 | Waste <br> 6 | Net export ( + ) import (-) $7(3-2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1955/56 | 95,200 | 1,300 | 26,200 | 61,300 | 8,900 | 200 | + 24,900 |
| 56/57 | 98,800 | 1,000 | 20,300 | 71,900 | 7,000 | 700 | + 19,300 |
| 57/58 | 33,400 | 2,300 | 10,000 | 24,100 | 1,400 | - | + 7,700 |
| 58/59 | 145,700 | 1,300 | 40,000 | 97,300 | 7,100 | 2,500 | + 38,700 |
| 59/60 | 127,700 | 2,100 | 54,900 | 69,300 | 5,200 | 300 | +52,800 |
| 1960/61 | 134,300 | 1,200 | 44,700 | 81,500 | 7,700 | 1,500 | + 43,500 |
| 61/62 | 120,200 | 1,900 | 51,000 | 66,200 | 4,800 | 100 | + 49,100 |
| 62/63 | 91,900 | 5,300 | 18,700 | 70,700 | 7,300 | 500 | $+13,400$ |
| 63/64 | 107,600 | 4,600 | 37,000 | 66,800 | 7,000 | 400 | + 32,400 |
| 64/65 | 146,100 | 4,300 | 48,000 | 93,900 | 7,400 | 1,100 | + 43,500 |
| 65/66 | 79,100 | 5,700 | 22,400 | 57,500 | 4,700 | 200 | +16,700 |

( ${ }^{1}$ Fresh.
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit.

Table N. 9. - Peaches ${ }^{(1)} \times 1$ ton.

| Year | Production | Import $2$ | Export <br> 3 | Domestic consumption $4$ | Industry 5 | Waste 6 | Net <br> export ( + ) <br> import (-) <br> $7(3-2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1955 | 1,500 | 200 | - | 1,700 | - | - | $-200$ |
| 56 | 1,000 | - | - | 1,000 | - | - | - |
| 57 | 800 | 100 | - | 900 | $\cdots$ | - | - 100 |
| 58 | 1,000 | 100 | - | 1,100 | - | - | - 100 |
| 59 | 900 | 1,000 | - | 1,000 | - | - | -1,000 |
| 1960 | 1,100 | 1,700 | - | 2,800 | - | - | -1,700 |
| 61 | 900 | 2,400 | - | 3,300 | - | - | $-2,400$ |
| 62 | 1,200 | 2,800 | - | 3,900 | - | - | $-2,800$ |
| 63 | 1,000 | 4,200 | - | 5,100 | - | - | -4,200 |
| 64 | 800 | 5,100 | - | 5,900 | - | - | -5,100 |
| 65 | 700 | 5,100 | - | 5,800 | - | - | -5,100 |

(1) Fresh.

Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit.

Table N. 10. - Oranges ( ${ }^{1}$ ) $\times 1$ ton.

| Year | Production <br> 1 | Import <br> 2 | Export <br> 3 | Domestic consumption 4 | Industry 5 | Waste <br> 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { export }(+) \\ \text { import }(-) \\ 7(3-2) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1955/56 | - | 106,900 | $300\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 106,600 | - | - | - 106,600 |
| 56/57 | - | 114,500 | 1,000 ${ }^{2}$ ) | 113,500 | - | - | -113,500 |
| 57/58 | - | 147,700 | 1,200( ${ }^{2}$ ) | 146,500 | - | - | -146,500 |
| 58/59 | - | 139,600 | 2,000( ${ }^{2}$ ) | 137,600 | - | - | - 137,600 |
| 59/60 | - | 165,100 | 1,400( ${ }^{2}$ ) | 163,700 | - | - | -163,700 |
| 1960/61 | - | 175,100 | 1,200 | 173,900 | - | - | - 173,900 |
| 61/62 | - | 193,900 | 1,800 | 192,100 | - | - | - 192,100 |
| 62/63 | - | 188,900 | 3,400 | 185,500 | - | - | - 185,500 |
| 63/64 | - | 214,000 | 4,200 | 209,700 | - | - | - 209,700 |
| 64/65 | - | 189,500 | 5,800 | 183,700 | - | - | - 183,700 |
| 65/66 | - | 218,100 | 4,100 | 214,000 | - | - | -214,000 |

( ${ }^{1}$ ) Fresh, incl. bitter oranges; ( ${ }^{(3)}$ incl. mandarines.
Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit.

Table N. 11. - Mandarines ( ${ }^{1}$ ) $\times 1$ ton.

| Year | Production $1$ | Import | Export $3$ | Domestic consumption $4$ | Industry 5 | Waste <br> 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net } \\ & \text { export }(+) \\ & \text { import }(-) \\ & 7(3-2) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1955/56 | 一 | 5,100 | - | 5,100 | - | - | - 5,100 |
| 56/57 | - | 5,300 | - | 5,300 | - | - | - 5,300 |
| 57/58 | - | 4,500 | - | 4,500 | - | - | - 4,500 |
| 58/59 | - | 5,600 | - | 5,600 | - | - | - 5,600 |
| 59/60 | - | 6,200 | - | 6,200 | - | - | - 6,200 |
| 1960/61 | - | 6,000 | - | 5,900 | - | - | - 5,900 |
| 61/62 | - | 10,800 | - | 10,800 | - | - | - 10,800 |
| 62/63 | - | 9,100 | - | 9,100 | - | - | - 9,100 |
| 63/64 | - | 10,300 | - | 10,300 | - | - | - 10,300 |
| 64/65 | - | 10,700 | - | 10,600 | - | - | - 10,600 |
| 65/66 | - | 13,000 | - | 12,900 | - | - | -12,900 |

(1) Fresh.

Source: Produktschap voor Groenten en Fruit.

Table N. 12. - Summary of forecast on the production and consumption (1000 tons) of some fresh fruits and

|  | Average 1961-1963 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | production | domestic consumption | import | export | production |
| Cauliflower . . . . . . . . ${ }_{\text {Indices }}$ | $54.0$ | 50.6 | 14.6 | 6.9 | 54.0 |
|  | $100$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100.0 |
| Peas <br> Indices | 79.3 | $14.6{ }^{(1)}$ | 0.1 | 0.6 | - |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | - |
| Tomates Indices | 227.6 | 32.9 | 1.6 | 187.4 | 360.0 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 158.2 |
| Onions Indices | 206.1 | 35.0 | 12.2 | 166.7 | 152.0 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 73.8 |
| Early potatoes . Indices | 185.2 | 66.6 | 21.2 | 5.2 | 141.8* |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | $76.6{ }^{\text {* }}$ |
| Potatoes Indices | 3,912.0 | 1,105.0 | 19.0 | 561.0 | - |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | - |
| Apples Indices | 249.1 | 200.1 | 45.0 | 39.0 | 321.0-339.0 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 128.9-136.1 |
| Grapes . . . . . . . . . $\underset{\text { Indices }}{\text {. }}$ | 8.0 | 9.7 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 25.0 |
| Pears. . . . . . . . . $\underset{\text { Indices }}{\text {. }}$ | 106.3 | 67.9 | 3.9 | 35.6 | 82.0-91.6 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 77.1-86.2 |
| Peaches Indices | 1.0 | 4.1 | 3.1 | - | - |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | - | - |
| Oranges Indices | - | 198.9 | 198.9 | - | - |
|  | - | 100 | 100 | - | - |
| Lemons . . . . . . . . $\underset{\text { Indices }}{ }$ | - | 8.3 | 8.3 | - | - |
|  | - | 100 | 100 | - | - |

- Figures with asteriks are tentative.
(1) Yearly domestic consumption $1963 / 64$ on the basis of the E.E.C. Budget survey $1963 / 64$; fresh pea)
$\left.{ }^{(2}\right)$ This estimate is too low imports in 1966 amounted to 20.600 tons. Therefore it is concluded thay
$\left.{ }^{(3}\right)$ There will be substantial imports of tomato-products, which cannot be forecast.
${ }^{4}$ ) Seed potatoes.
Appendix 1 Statistical Data.
vegetables for The Netherlands in 1970 and 1975 (*).

| Forecast 1970 |  |  | Forecast 1975 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| domestic consumption | import | export | production | domestic consumption | import | export |
| 69.8 | $18.8{ }^{(2)}$ | 3.0 | 48.0* | 82.0 | 37.0 | $3.0{ }^{*}$ |
| 137.9 | 128.8 | 43.5 | 96.3 | 162.1 | 253.4 | 43.5* |
| 17.8 ( ${ }^{1}$ | - | - | - | $20.6{ }^{(1)}$ | - | - |
| 121.9 | - | - | - | 141.1 | - | - |
| 48.0 | - ${ }^{(3)}$ | 312.0 | 400.0 | 58.0 | - ${ }^{(3)}$ | 342.0 |
| 145.9 | - | 166.5 | 175.7 | 176.3 | - | 182.5 |
| 39.743 .2 | $15.0{ }^{*}$ | 123.8-127.3 | 136.0 | 43.6 - 48.5 | 17.0 | 104.5-109.4 |
| 113.4-123.4 | 123.0* | 74.3-76.4 | 66.0 | 124.6-138.6 | 139.3 | 62.7-65.6 |
| 65.9** | 40.0* | -* (4) | 126.0 * | 71.0* | 60.0 * | -* ${ }^{4}$ |
| 98.9* | 188.7* | - | 68.0** | 106.6* | 283.0* | -* |
| 1,160.0 | - | - | - | 1.184 .0 | - | - |
| 105.9 | - | - | - | 107.2 | - | - |
| 320.0 | 30.0** | 31.0-49.0 | 360.0-372.0 | 385.0 | 35.0* | 10.0-22.0 |
| 159.9 | 64.7* | 79.5-125.6 | 144.5-149.3 | 192.4 | 77.8* | 25.6-56.4 |
| 19.7 | 17.7 | - | 1.5* | 28.4 | 26.9 | - |
| 203.1 | 465.8 | - | 18.8* | 292.8 | 707.9 | - |
| 88.1 | 7.0* | 0.9-10.5 | 82.0-99.0 | 99.0 | 10.0 * | 7.0-10.0 |
| 129.7 | 179.5* | - | 77.1-93.1 | 145.8 | $256.4 *$ | - |
| 8.0-10.0 | 8.0-10.0 | - | - | 11.0-13.0 | 11.0-13.0 | - |
| 258.1-243.9 | 195.1-322.6 | - | - | 268.3-317.1 | 354.8-419.4 | - |
| 260.0-270.0 | 260.0-270.0 | - | - | 300.0-336.0 | 300.0-336.0 | - |
| 130.7-135.7 | 130.7-135.7 | - | - | 150.8-168.9 | 150.8-160.9 | - |
| 12.0-13.0 | 12.0-13.0 | - | - | 16.0*-17.0* | $16.0^{*}-17.0^{*}$ |  |
| 144.6-156.6 | 144.6-156.0 | - | - | 192.8*-204.8* | 192.8*-204.8* | - |

mly.
mports will amount at least to 30,000 tons.


[^0]:    (*) Dr. M. T. G. Meulenberg, Lector Landbouwhogeschool, Wageningen.

[^1]:    I: annual clearance 1700 ha , trees planted 1500 ha .
    II: annual clearance 2000 ha, trees planted 1700 ha.
    Only * other varieties * are cleared.

