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## Assignment Dutch Ministry EA\&l

- Design of new concepts (housing systems) for husbandry of dairy cows that deliver integral answers to multiple issues of sustainability
- Animal welfare and animal health
- Environment (emissions, climate change, energy)
- Profitability, labour circumstances
- Minimum goal: animal welfare significantly improved, environmental performance at least compliant to current (legal) standards.


## Dairy farming in the Netherlands

- Powerful and very typical farming for NL.
- Large export volume (>80\%) and €
- Approx. 20.000 dairy farmers
- 1 big and couple of cooperatives owned by farmers
- 1,4 million ( $10^{6}$ ) dairy cows
- 10,8 billion (109) kilo milk annually
- $15 \%$ daily fresh dairy. $85 \%$ cheese, butter, powder etc.
- Contribution BNP 2005: € 2,5 billion
- 60.000 fte labor places


## Sustainability issues in Dutch dairy farming

- Environment
- Local: manure surplus, ammonia, nitrate, dust; Global: climate change
- Animal Welfare
- Economy
- Profit \& continuity
- Labor (quantity \& quality)
- Use of natural resources \& biodiversity
- Global footprint, LCA, north-south relation
- Limited resources (energy, minerals)
- Health (of man and animal)
- Veterinary risks; antibiotics and residues; hormones
- Landscape


## Sustainability

Definition Brundtland: "Sustainable development is development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs."

Focus in Cow Power: human and animal needs

- Welfare \& health of cows
- Environmental losses and effects
- Societal concerns
- Farmer economics


## The problem \& the challenge

- Traditional approach and experience: small adaptations of current systems hardly improve welfare
- Welfare improvements are often in contradiction with economy \& environment
- These goals cannot be met at the same time
- Solution \& approach:
- Design for inspiration and stimulation for sustainable development
- Use adequate design method \& redesign
- Set aside current assumptions and be reflexive


## systeeminnovatie

optimaal
gebruiken


## Approach: Reflexive Interactive Design (RIO)



## Structured design: benefits

Structured design method, and more specific the set-up of a BoR
is an important prerequisite in synthesizing needs of different key-actors and stakeholders, instead of seeking compromises between animal welfare, environment and economy

## Goal of the design concepts

- Not an blue print, nor daydreams
- Inspiration and agenda for present and future
- Make plausible that far reaching goals are in reach and can be combined


## Brief of Requirements

- The farmer
- qualitatively, global, focus groups
- The citizen / consumer
- NextExpertizer © -method
- 98 interviews, quantitatively
- The environment
- Requirements much higher than policy targets
- The dairy cow
- BoR
- and Cowel: model to compare husbandry systems


## BoR defines requirements, not solutions

- BoR is solution-free
- Main benefit: opens up the solution space
- Increases the chance of synthesizing requirements, that seem to be contradictory in current systems and practices
- BoR and the system analysis are leading for determination of the key functions


## BoR Citizen / Consumer (critical elements)

- Enough space for free movement of cows
- Animals well treated (like brother and sister)
- Feed is fresh and on natural basis
- Willing to pay little higher price for animal welfare
- Natural environment for animals
- Animal products (milk, meat) are tasty
- Fair and sustainable production process
- Professional attitude of farmers
- Enough margin for farmers, to make a good living
- Quality assurance by regulations / Q-programs


## BoR dairy cow: some examples

- Number of resting places:
- >1 per cow
- Freedom of movement \& behaviour:
- $\geq 360$ m2 per cow
- Indoor and outdoor access
- Size of resting area
- Free resting place (no obstacles)
- Floor type of walk ways
- Friction, roughness, hardness


## BoR: important design attributes

- Number of resting places
- Feed quality

- Negative conditioners \& stray electricity
- Freedom of movement \& behaviour
- Size of resting area
- Handling of animal
- Temperature humidity index (THI)
- Floor type of walk ways
- Floor type of feeding alleys
- Light intensity daylight hours
- brown: most critical ones -


SPACE FOR LOCOMOTION, FREE CHOICE AND NATURAL BEHAVIOUR

## The COWEL model

- Collecting scientific information from literature
- $\pm 2500$ statements from $\pm 500$ original sources
- 1971-2008
- Statements were used for welfare assessment
- COWEL is a semantic model, based on systematic analysis of scientific findings
- A husbandry system consists of several husbandry characteristics called attributes (e.g. floor type)


## The COWEL model

- Each attribute has one or more levels (e.g. different bedding materials, ranging from best to worst: pasture, straw/sand, mattress, mat, concrete)
- COWEL links levels of the attributes with animal welfare effects (positive and negative): using 12 weighting categories:
- Pain, illness, reduced survival, decreased fitness, HPA (hypoyhalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical) axis, SAM (sympathetic-adrenal-medullary) activation, aggression, abnormal behaviour, frustration \& avoidance
- natural behaviour, preference and demand


## Welfare scores: WF of the attributes

The top 5, mid 5 and last 5 attributes (42 in total)


## Welfare scores: housing system benefits



## Welfare scores: housing system benefits

Freedom of movement \& behaviours

## Number of resting places

Floor type of feed alley


## Tie stall



## Cubicle house



## Straw yard



## Pasture based (continuously)



## The key functions related to animal welfare

- Supply areas for
- Movement and walking
- Resting
- Play and social contact

- Produce feed
- Manage health and diseases



## Apparent contradictions

Apparent contradictions between BoR and other requirements in current systems:

- Space per cow versus cost of infrastructure
- Space per cow versus emissions of ammonia
- Feeding for health versus feeding to increase mineral (N \& P) efficiency


## Opening up the solution space

- Some contradictions in dairy husbandry 2010
- Animal welfare vs environment
- Animal welfare vs economics
- Environment vs economics
- Sharing costs of investment vs 'one farmer business'
- Farming in urban areas vs economics
- More manure = more costs


## Opening up the solution space

- Space per cow versus cost of infrastructure
- Space is much cheaper if we do not think in terms of an animal house. A cow does not demand an animal house.
- Space per cow versus emissions of ammonia
- Emissions of ammonia can be prevented if urine and faeces stay apart. Enlarging space actually helps, in combination with a different type of floor.
- Feeding for health versus feeding to increase mineral efficiency
- If mineral output of the cow is not a problem in the system, one can feed for health primarily.


## Key solutions to overcome contradictions

- Allow for much more space, but cheap
- Equip all areas with dry, non-slippery floors
- Outside and inside as one continuous whole
- Limit 'inside' to basic shelter
- Keep faeces and urine apart in the system
- Various solutions possible on floors and grounds
- Process them as separately applicable fertilizers
- Remove faeces and urine from the system
- Fast removal of urine reduces ammonia emission
- Removal of faeces contributes to animal health




## Increased impact by



## A. All needs of the cow



- Enough space all year round
- Enough resting place(s)
- Freedom of choices
- Sufficient floors
- Locomotion
- No stress treatments or injuries
- Enough feed / good quality


## B. Minerals are useful products



- Use of plants
- No power of feed
- Separate feaces and urine
- No artificial fertilizer
- More organic drymatter and better quality of life in soil


## C. Share $€$, labour and land



- Space for cow without an expensive stable
- Shared investments in milking parlour, machines, land, etc
- Co-operation
- Higher yield in grass- and cropproduction
- Energy production
- Higher quality of labour
- New functions


## D. Soil is ecosystem



- Use organic drymatter in manure
- Intensivation and extensivation on the same farm
- Optimize management of $N$ fertilizer (quantity, type of fertilizer, exact gifts at right place, etc)
- Minimize tillage
- No soil compression


## Four designs of Cow's Power

- De Meent
- De Meent XL
- De Bronck
- Amstelmelk

Design example: bird's-eye view of De Meent


## De Meent



## Three permanently accessible zones



## De Meent



Ruimte voor beweging, vrije keuze en sociaal gedrag

## Jaarrond de ruimte

Een eenheid van 50 koeien
Het hele jaar de ruimte ( $360 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per koe) Drie verblifsruimten plus weide
Welzin: $95 \%$ van maximaal (Cowel)
Feces (vaste mest) en urine gescheiden houden


Beschutting tegen hittestress, harde wind en regen
Ruime ligplaats en afstand tot elkaar

## Results for the cow
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## De Meent XL

## Voor wie groter wil

Drie keer 50 koeien
Het hele jaar de ruimte ( $360 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per koe) Drie verbliftsruimten plus weide Welzinn: 95\% van maximal (Cowel) Feces (vaste mest) en urine gescheiden houden
Ammoniakemissie $75 \%$ lager
$20 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ zonnedak per koe
Economie: niet duurder


## Results for the environment

- Energy neutral
- Reduction of greenhouse gases: 50-75\%
- Climate neutral if efficiency PV-cells doubles
- Reduction of local emissions of nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$ with $75 \%$.
- Smaller ecological footprint of (concentrate) fodder production.
- By way of:
- Keeping faeces and urine separated
- Precision fertilization; no artificial fertilizer needed
- Utilizing regional leftover streams, restricted pasturization
- Combine solar energy with shelter
- Manure digesters without adding components (co-products)
- Focus on ecology of a living soil


## De Bronck



## Results for the farmer

- Economically competitive
- Labour flexibility; time for a social life
- Compatible with Natura 2000 and peri-urban area
- By way of:
- No expensive buildings or cellars
- Sharing of capital goods, land and labour
- Automation
- Increasing soil yield by precision fertilization and irrigation
- Very low ammonia emissions



## Amstelmelk

## © 00 ©



## Results for society

- Interweaved with other societal functions
- Fits in Natura 2000 and peri-urban area
- Responds to important societal requirements towards animal husbandry
- By way of:
- Fulfil the needs of the dairy cow
- Transparency: open systems
- Sharing land functions
- Very low ammonia emissions
- Cows in pasture; cows outside year round

