



Sequestration of carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the Dutch part of the North Sea

Authors : R.W.P.M. Laane & R. Bovelander
National Institute for Coastal and Marine
Management/RIKZ
PO.Box 20907
2500 EX The Hague
The Netherlands

Report: RIKZ 2002.058

November 2002

Preface

This study was commissioned (RIKZ 2002/05639, 17 May 2002) by H. Kersten and N. Ouibrahim (Directie Noordzee).

Objective:

To write a bilingual (Dutch and English) popular scientific report on the possible effects (on the seabed and organisms) and consequences of dumping and sequestering carbon dioxide (CO₂) on and in the seabed of the Dutch Continental Shelf (known in the Netherlands by the acronym NCP).

To issue advice based on this information to Directie Noordzee about the scientific, social and political consequences of dumping CO₂ in the Dutch part of the North Sea, so that Directie Noordzee can determine what stance to adopt for the London Dumping Convention.

Contents

1	Introduction	7
	1.1 Greenhouse effect	7
	1.2 Sources	7
2	Background	9
	2.1 Seawater and Carbon Dioxide	9
	2.2 Adding Carbon Dioxide to Seawater	9
	2.3 Fertilising	10
	2.4 Carbon Dioxide in Aquifers	10
	2.5 Carbon Dioxide in Gas and Oil Fields	10
3	Impact on the Marine Ecosystem	11
	3.1 Carbon Dioxide in Seawater	11
	3.2 Carbon Dioxide in the Bottom	12
4	Feasibility for the Dutch Continental Shelf (NCP)	13
5	Political Considerations	15
6	Conclusions	17
7	Recommendations for Further Research	19
8	Acknowledgements	21
9	Bibliography	23
10	Risk table	25
11	Preliminary Overview of Fields Experiments	26

1 Introduction

1.1 Greenhouse effect

During the last 420,000 years the average CO₂ concentration on earth has varied between 180 and 280 particles per million per volume (ppmv). However, since the industrial revolution, more and more CO₂ has ended up in the atmosphere as a result of the increasing use of fossil fuels; the current concentration is 370 ppmv. Furthermore, it is estimated that if nothing changes, by 2100 this concentration will be approximately 750 ppmv. It is thus assumed that the CO₂ concentration in the atmosphere will rise to an unacceptable level in the next 500 years, as this rise will lead to a global strengthening of the greenhouse effect and associated climate change.

One of the results of the 1997 Kyoto Climate Conference was the commitment by all EU countries to reduce annual emissions of greenhouse gases (including CO₂) in the period 2008-2012 by eight percent with respect to the 1990 level. In 1998, the Netherlands agreed to this under certain conditions, and the joint objective was translated into a national reduction of six percent with respect to the 1990 level, to be achieved in the period 2008-2012.

1.2 Sources

The average worldwide anthropogenic emission of CO₂ is approximately 7.4 gigatons C per year (1997). This will rise to 26 GtC/year by 2100 (1 gigaton is 109 ton). The total emission of CO₂ for the Netherlands in 2000 was 50 Mt C (106 ton).

Sources of CO₂ include: power stations, industry, homes, traffic and transport, agriculture and horticulture, gas and oil extraction. The emissions of v by large point sources, such as heavy industry and power generation represent about a third of total worldwide anthropogenic emissions; in the Netherlands this accounts for about 56 percent.

Reducing the concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere can be achieved in various ways, for instance by economising on energy use or by producing more materials in which carbon is sequestered for a long period of time (e.g. plastic and wood). In the short term, possible options include sequestering CO₂ in seawater and in the ground or the seabed under conditions that prevent its uncontrolled leakage back into the atmosphere.

Two important CO₂ releasing processes can be distinguished: burning of organic matter and separation of CO₂ from other gasses. By nature, natural gas contains up to 70% CO₂, which must be removed before the gas can be used by the consumer. Sequestration of CO₂ in seawater or bottom needs pure CO₂. From a technical point of view it is possible to separate CO₂ from other gasses. However, this process needs energy and consequently an additional release of CO₂. It is estimated that about 30% more CO₂ is produced in this purifying process. A complete

Life Cycle Analysis, comparing the efficiency of different matter and energy fluxes, is not yet performed.

In addition it is remarkable that in the literature studied, only the sources, path and fate of CO₂ as a gas is followed, and little is mentioned about the fate of other (greenhouse) gases (e.g. nitrogen and sulphur oxides).

This memorandum evaluates the pros and cons of sequestering carbon in the sea and the possible associated risks.

2 Background

2.1 Seawater and carbon dioxide

By nature, CO₂ dissolves easily in water and particularly in seawater. This is because seawater has a higher acidity (pH) than fresh water. In seawater 1% of the CO₂ is present as CO₂ gas and more than 90% is present as bicarbonate. Additionally, warm water is in principle able to hold less gas than cold water. The solubility of CO₂ in deep and cold ocean water is twice the solubility in warmer surface water. The relatively warm surface water of the sea is saturated with CO₂, but the cold, deep water contains less CO₂ than it could potentially hold. The accelerated addition of atmospheric carbon dioxide to seawater does little to change the amount of carbon dioxide in seawater. Most of the CO₂ on earth is already in the oceans (38,000 GtC). If all fossil fuels were burnt and all the CO₂ this produced were taken up by the oceans, the amount of CO₂ would rise to 40,000 GtC.

It is assumed that in a thousand years, 85% of the current anthropogenic emissions to the atmosphere will be taken up by the oceans by natural processes. There are however, three ways of accelerating the sequestration of anthropogenic CO₂ in the sea.

- Adding CO₂ to seawater (for instance by means of direct injection);
- Increasing the production of algae by applying fertiliser;
- Storing CO₂ in the seabed in aquifers and gas and oil fields.

2.2 Adding carbon dioxide to seawater

Marchetti (1977) was the first to suggest injecting CO₂ into the deep, cold layers of the ocean. This water is not saturated with CO₂ and retains CO₂ for a very long time. CO₂ dissolves well in the relatively cold water of the Atlantic Ocean that sinks in the north and results in the thermohaline circulation of seawater southwards towards the South Pole. The water eventually surfaces again in the Indian and Pacific Oceans to flow back along the surface to the Atlantic Ocean. The time between sinking and rising to the surface again is estimated to be 1000 years. Studies using models have demonstrated that there are also locations in the ocean where the deep water surfaces within 100 years. In order to sequester CO₂ in seawater it is necessary to inject it at a depth of at least 1500 metres. At depths below 3000 metres the CO₂ is denser than the water and it will remain there as a layer of CO₂. It is assumed that this layer is isolated from the water above by carbon dioxide hydrates (CO₂·5,75H₂O).

It is technically possible to insert CO₂ in seawater at great depths. This can be done by allowing solid blocks of CO₂ to sink from ships or platforms. The estimated cost of energy (generating electricity) would in such case become 50-100% higher than is presently the case. The greatest costs would result from separating and purifying the carbon dioxide.

2.3 Fertilising

Algae in seawater naturally sequester CO₂ in organic material (50GtC/j), most of which is mineralised in the water phase (by being converted to CO₂). A small portion of the mass of algae that is produced (a maximum of one third) sinks to the ocean floor, where it is buried. This process from production to burial is called the biological pump.

In large parts of the oceans algae are unable to grow optimally; their production is hampered by a lack of nutrients. Adding macronutrients such as nitrates and phosphates (i.e. fertilising the oceans) and also adding essential microelements such as iron, would enable more algae to grow and more carbon to be sequestered in the deep ocean layers.

2.4 Carbon dioxide in aquifers

CO₂ can be sequestered in the porous water-bearing layers or aquifers that lie more than 800 metres below the earth's surface. The overlying layers of clay or salt prevent the CO₂ from escaping.

The most suitable aquifers in Western Europe are in central and southern England and in a ridge that stretches from Belgium and the Netherlands through Germany to Poland. It is assumed that the layers below the North Sea are also suitable. There are sufficient layers of this type in the Netherlands. Calculations show that at least 1500 megatons of CO₂ could be stored in them.

2.5 Carbon dioxide in gas and oil fields

There are no technical restrictions to sequester CO₂ in gas and oil fields. Compared to aquifers, oil and gas fields have the advantage that their geological proportions are better known. Gas fields at a depth of 2000 - 3000 metres are preferable over oil fields, as these fields have already proven that they can hold gas for very long periods of time. The gas is usually located in sandstone that is sealed at the top by salt formations. An added advantage of injecting CO₂ in existing gas fields is that it enhances the production of methane gas by flushing it out.

Various gas fields naturally contain so much CO₂ that the CO₂ first needs to be removed before it is possible to use them. In a large-scale project at the Norwegian Sleipner gas field, CO₂ that has been stripped of gas is injected in a saltwater layer at a depth of 1000 metres. There are no technical obstacles to injecting CO₂ in gas and oil fields. Production from the oil fields in the North Sea usually takes place by injecting water or by loss of pressure. As a result, 40-50% of the oil is often left behind. It is possible to achieve a greater gas and oil yield and a higher production capacity by injecting CO₂ during the production process.

3 Impact op het mariene ecosysteem

Many statements on the long-term behaviour of sequestered CO₂ are based on studies using models that have been tailored to particular situations and are still insufficiently calibrated and validated. Japan, Norway and America have agreed in 1997 to collaborate in (field) research to assess the possible consequences of CO₂ sequestration. Since then, Canada, Australia and Switzerland joined this international project. It is to be expected that an enormous amount of information about the possible risks will be released the coming next years from these projects.

3.1 Carbon dioxide in seawater

Inserting more CO₂ into seawater would mean that the acidity would rise (a lower pH value). It has been calculated that the current pH of seawater has already fallen by 0.1 unit owing to the absorption of anthropogenic CO₂. The pH in the deep ocean water is fairly constant. When CO₂ is injected the pH falls by about 1 unit in an area of several kilometres around the point of injection. It is expected that this will certainly have an influence on the organisms present there: their reproduction and growth may be slowed down. As a result of the lowering of the pH, calcite (CaCO₃) in the ocean sediments can dissolve. This may have a considerable impact on the calcium metabolism of organisms that use calcium to build shells or skeletons. Changes in pH also affect the bioavailability of metals for organisms, as a result of which symptoms of toxicity may occur.

If CO₂ is inserted into the oceans at great depths, it will remain suspended as a layer above the bed and will not mix well with water. Beneath this layer, organisms will die from a lack of oxygen. This will also certainly change the microbiological processes in the seabed.

Inserting solid carbon dioxide will greatly lower the temperature locally and this may cause organisms to die. Additionally, the release of CO₂ causes bubbles, which may interfere with the sonar communication between marine organisms. Moreover, insufficient account has been taken of the fact that after 100 – 1000 years the injected CO₂ will rise to the surface again and some will re-enter the atmosphere.

There are important reservations with regard to fertilising the sea with the aim of sequestering atmospheric carbon for a longer period of time. The assumption is that the fertilising substances will be applied in a pure form. In the first place, very little is known about the short and long term impact of fertilisation on the structure and dynamics of marine ecosystems. Fertilisation can lead to eutrophication, with the possible effect of local oxygen deprivation and uncontrolled (toxic) algal blooms. It is likely that fertilisation will lead to an unpredictably higher fish production. Additionally, modelling studies have shown that the amount of CO₂ that can be sequestered in the oceans in this way is likely to be smaller than expected.

3.2 Carbon dioxide in the bottom

From an administrative, technical, economical, social and environmental point of view it is assumed that existing installations will be used to pump CO₂ in the bottom layers. It is technically possible to inject CO₂ in aquifers, and international projects and experiments are being planned or conducted worldwide (see table 2). However, the ecological risks are not yet very well known and the isolating capacity of these layers still needs to be proven by location specific research.

Injection of CO₂ in the bottom can cause earthquakes, as shown in America and France (up to 1.5 on the scale of Richter). In its necessary here to consider that for instance in the Netherlands 128 earthquakes were recorded in 1986 caused by the pressure decrease by the mining of gas in the northern part of the Netherlands.

The ecological consequences of injecting CO₂ in exhausted and existing gas and oil fields are still unknown. A number of trial projects are already being conducted, but here, however, the main focus lies on technical feasibility rather than on the ecological consequences. It is assumed that when CO₂ is injected in deeper layers it will remain underground for a very long time. In the Netherlands it is assumed that it must always be possible to re-access the CO₂ in the deep layers in a controlled way. Using models it has been calculated that after 8000 years, 90-98% of the injected CO₂ will still be in the reservoir. Leakage of injected CO₂ through cracks caused by the contraction of the depleted gas and oil fields is assumed to be minimal. Any subsidence can be partially balanced or countered by injecting CO₂ into exhausted or existing gas and oil fields.

In general it can be concluded that the impact of the long-term effects of storing CO₂ in seawater or in the seabed on the structure and function of marine ecosystems and on biogeochemical cycles is insufficiently known. It is expected that the impact will be largest in the case of direct injection in the water and also in the case of increasing the uptake of CO₂ (by fertilising, for example).

4 Feasibility for the Dutch continental shelf (NCP)

Direct injection of CO₂ in seawater is not an option for the Dutch Continental Shelf (NCP) in the North Sea, as the sea is too shallow.

It is technically feasible to store large amounts of CO₂ for long periods in aquifers and in old and existing gas and oil fields on the NCP. Existing oil and gas fields are to be preferred, as CO₂ injection increases the yield of gas.

It has been calculated that at least 10,000 megatons of CO₂ can be sequestered in gas and oil fields in the Netherlands. Groningen alone has a sequestration capacity of 6,500 megatons of CO₂, but this will only become available around 2040. A feasibility study has shown that annually 30% of the CO₂ emission from point sources in the Rijnmond (industry and a power station), at IJmuiden (industry) and in the Eems estuary (a power station) can be combined and sequestered in nearby fields and aquifers. Though the fields in the Netherlands and the North Sea are capable of sequestering the CO₂ production from power stations for 30 years, it must be taken into account that the current policy in the Netherlands is aimed at storing gas in old gas fields.

At the same time it looks like there is a preference to sequester CO₂ in the Netherlands in the sea bottom, because it is to be expected that more protests will appear by sequestration on land.

The present calculations from the feasibility of the Dutch continental Shelf are based on generic (model) calculations. Further studies must take in to account location specific characteristics of the aquifers and gas fields.

5 Political considerations

There are legal and political obstacles that obstruct the injection of CO₂ in the oceans.

- The principle of caution dictates that no change may be made to the environment without all the consequences being known.
- According to the Protocol of the 1996 London Convention, with the exception of a few substances it is not permitted to dump substances from ships and platforms.
- The UN Convention of the Law of the Sea compels coastal states to regulate and control the discharge through pipes within their exclusive economic zone.

Against these legal and political obstacles it can be argued that the principle of caution equally applies to the rising CO₂ concentration in the atmosphere. It must also be taken into consideration that CO₂ is a natural product and that injecting CO₂ accelerates the natural process of CO₂ uptake by the oceans, thus reducing the possible risks of climate change.

It is necessary to take account of the current zoning plans in the Netherlands, and of Dutch legislation: the Land Protection Act, the building and mooring permits in the Environmental Management Act, and the Surface Water Pollution Act. Last but not least, there must be support from the Dutch public.

Recently a small scale field experiment to inject CO₂ in sea water was cancelled in Norway under pressure of Greenpeace and WWF.

The solutions for the problem that this memorandum has discussed are end-of-pipe; in other words, they aim to treat the symptoms. The preference in Dutch policy is for long-term solutions that deal with the causes of CO₂ emissions; examples are economising on energy use, using sustainable energy and climate-neutral energy carriers, and chemical sequestering.

6 Conclusions

- Sequestration of CO₂ is an end of pipe solution with hardly any change at the emission side.
- Long-term solutions that deal with the causes of CO₂ emission are preferred.
- Present assessments are based on studies using models that have been tailored to particular situations and are still insufficiently calibrated and validated.
- Assessment and field studies are up to now mainly focussed on the technical feasibility and not yet on the ecological consequences.
- Some large scale field experiments reveal that, from a technical point of view, large amounts of CO₂ can be sequestered in seawater and in the sea bottom (aquifers, oil and gas fields).
- Relatively minor attention is paid to the possible effects of the release of a CO₂ cloud by accidents during transport and storage.
- The North Sea is too shallow to store for a long time CO₂ in seawater
- Storage of large amounts of CO₂ by fertilising the (North)sea is technical difficult and the ecological consequences can not be estimated.
- It is possible to store 30% of the released CO₂ for the coming 30 years in the Netherlands in aquifers and gas fields under the land and sea bottom.
- In the Netherlands there is a prevalence to store CO₂ in the sea bottom.
- Little is known about the ecological consequences of sequestration of CO₂ in aquifers, oil and gas fields. The risks, when existing installations are used, are estimated to be small.
- A life cycle analysis is necessary to map the energy and mass fluxes during the whole process of purification, transport and storage.
- The ministry of Economical Affairs in the Netherlands has started a project office to study the feasibility of sequestration of CO₂. It is recommended that Directie Noordzee, as administrator of the North Sea, will be involved in this organisation.
- The support from the Dutch public for the sequestration of CO₂ in sea water/bottom must be enlarged.

7 Recommendations for further research

Following an extensive feasibility study a project office for sequestration research is founded by the ministry of Economical Affairs in the Netherlands. This office will coordinate field experiments and will stimulate international collaboration.

In the literature many recommendations for further research are mentioned: there are still many technical and ecological questions open. A few are already addressed in running projects. If these answers are known in a few years it will be much easier to select research questions for the Dutch risk assessment.

In general, it is necessary to collect more knowledge of the biogeochemical processes in the oceans, as it is not known how much CO₂ can be sequestered in the oceans before the structure and function of the marine ecosystem is disturbed.

Additionally, it is worth looking to other options for durably storing CO₂ besides sequestering. Examples include the production of methanol from CO₂. A number of basic chemicals for the chemical industry, such as ethylene, are already being made from CO₂.

8 Acknowledgements

We owe a special word of thanks to P. Stollwerk (NOVEM), W. van Grootheest (projectbureau CO₂ reductieplan), Dr. T. Wildenburg (NITG-TNO), Dr. M. Rutgers van der Loeff (RIKZ) and Dr. C. Hendriks (Ecofys) for contributing their expertise, providing grey literature and for commenting on the text. Dr. J. Burrough improved the English version considerably. Drs. J. Pijnenburg and Peter van Elk for the finishing of this report.

9 Bibliography

- Adams, E. M. Akai, G. Alendal, L. Golmen, P. Haugen, H. Herzog, S. Masutani, S. Marai, G. Nihous, T. Oshumi, Y. Shirayama, C. Smith, E. Vetter and C. Wong (2002). International Field Experiment on Ocean Carbon Sequestration. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 399a.
- Anonymus (1995). *Derde Energienota*. Ministerie Economische Zaken, Den Haag.
- Anonymus (2001). *Nationaal Milieuplan 4*, Ministerie VROM, Den Haag.
- Anonymus (2002). Ocean sequestration. In: *A Third Approach to Carbon Management and Carbon Sequestration Research and Development*, chapter 1.1 and 3 and Appendix b; Detailed description of ecosystems and research and development needs.
- Anonymus (2002). *Investeren in energie, keuzes voor de toekomst. Energierapport 2002*. Ministerie van Economische Zaken. 71 pp.
- Bacastow, R.B., R.K. Dewey & G.R. Stegen (1997). Effectiveness of CO₂ sequestration in the pre- and post-industrial oceans. *Water Management* 17(5/6):315-322.
- Bruant, R.G.Jr., J.G.A.J. Guswa, A.C. Michael and C.A. Peters (2002). Safe storage of CO₂ in Deep Saline Aquifers. *Environmental Science and Technology* 36(11):241a-245a.
- Bruant, R.G., M.A. Celia, A.J. Guswa & A. Catherina (2002). Safe Storage of CO₂ in Deep Saline Aquifers. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 36(11):240A-245A.
- Bucha, S. (2001). Identification of best sites and means for CO₂ sequestration in the Alberta Basin, Canada. *Environmental Geoscience* 279 (abstract).
- Burgt, M.J. Van & V.K. Boutkan (1993). Opslag van kooldioxide in aardgasvelden mogelijk, maar wel duur. *Energie- en Milieuspectrum* 4/5:26-28.
- Burke, M. (2002). Sequestration experiments is drowning. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 401a.
- CD NOVEM (2002). *Clean Fuels*.
- De Baar, H.J.W. (1990). De Zee: een silo voor CO₂. *Chemisch Magazin*, november 1990, 570-574.
- Eigel, P. (2001). Results and experiences from the first industrial-scale underground CO₂ sequestration case (Sleipner Field, North Sea). *Environmental Geoscience*, 278, abstract.
- EU (2001). *SACS; Saline Aquifer CO₂ Storage*. No 237, 4pp.
- GESAMP (1997). *Storage of CO₂ at deep sea*. GESAMP XXVII/5, 17pp.
- Haller-Tjabbes, C. Ten (1993). Ocean dumping of carbon dioxide. *North Sea Monitor*, September, 11-15.
- Hanisch, C. (1998). The Pros and Cons of Carbon Dioxide Dumping. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 20a-24a.
- Haugan & Drange (1996). Effects of CO₂ on the ocean Environment. *Energy Convers. Mgmt* 37:1019-1022.
- Hijman, R., K.-H.A.A. Wolf, J. Bruining and P.C.H. van Tongeren (2001). Methane replacement by, and storage of, carbon-dioxide in carboniferous coal seams. TU delft report 8502, Centre for Technical Geoscience, 17pp.
- Horita, J., J.G. Blencoe & D.R. Cole (2001). Fundamental Geochemical Research on long-term carbon sequestration in surface environment. *Environmental Geoscience*, 279 (abstract)

- IEA (1999). IEA greenhouse gas R&D programme. Annual report 1999, 30pp.
- IMO (1999). Ocean Storage of CO₂. Consideration of the report of the Scientific Group. IMO LC21/INF.2, 25 June 1999.
- IPCC (1994). Radiative forcing of Climate Change, the 1994 report of the scientific working group. WMO/UNEP.
- Johnston, P., P. Santillo, R. Stringer, R. Parmentier, R. Hare and M. Krueger (1999). Ocean Disposal/Sequestration of Carbon Dioxide from Fossil Fuel Production and Use: an overview of Rationale, Techniques and Implications. Greenpeace Research Laboratories, Technical Note 01/99:49pp.
- Knaus, K.G., J.W. Johnson, C.I. Steefe & J.J. Nitao (2001). Evaluation of the Impact of CO₂, aqueous fluid, and reservoir rock interactions on the geological sequestration of CO₂, with special emphasis on the economic implications. Environmental Geoscience 279 (abstract).
- Lindeberg, E. (1999). Future large-scale use of fossil energy will require CO₂ sequestering and disposal. Minisymposium on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage, Goteborg, Sweden, www.entek.chalmers.se/~anly/symp/sympco2.html: 1-15.
- Marchetti, C. (1977). On geoengineering and the CO₂ problem. Climate Change 1:56-68.
- Milieudefensie (1999). Kooldioxide opslaan: een Slecht plan. Milieudefensie, april, 3pp.
- Over, J.A., J.E. De Vries and J. Stork (1999). Removal of CO₂ by storage in the Deep Underground, Chemical Utilization and Biofixation. NOVEM, 80pp.
- RIVM (2001). Milieucompendium 2001. Het Milieu in cijfers, 214.
- Stevens, S.H. and J. Gale (2000). Geological CO₂ sequestration. Oil and Gas Journal, May 15.
- Rao, A.B. and E.S. Rubin (2002). A Technical, Economic, and Environmental Assessment of Amini-Based CO₂ Capture Technology for Power Plant Greenhouse Gas Control. Environmental Science and Technology, 4467-4475.
- Van der Meer, L.G.H. (2002). CO₂ storage in the subsurface. Conference paper. www.rite.or.jp/GHGT6/
- Westrich, H.R. et al. (2001). Sequestration of CO₂ in a depleted oil reservoir. Environmental Geoscience 278, abstract.
- Wildenborg, T. (1999). Ondergrondse opslag van CO₂ goed mogelijk. TNO magazine, april, 12-13.

10 Risk table

Summary of the pros and cons and the possible risk, split up to technical, geochemical and ecological effects, for different options to sequester CO₂ in sea water/bottom. Indicated are also the storage capacity in the Netherlands. XXXXX indicated strength of the risk. Text in the risk boxes describe the preconditions of effects.

Options	Capacity (Mton)	Pros and Cons	risk					
			Large				small	
Injection in sea water		Energy price up by 100-150%	Technical Geochemical Ecological	<1500m pH, <O2			>3000m	XXXXX
Fertilising		Pollution by metals, hormones and organic matter. Changes in biogeochemical cycles	Technical Geochemical Ecological	XXXXX	XXXXX		XXXXX	
Aquifers	4700 (land) 1100 (sea)	Earthquakes	Technical Geochemical Ecological				XXXXX XXXXX	>800m
Oil and gas fields gas fields	10000 (land) 975 (sea)	Greater yields - of oil and gas Less subsidence and earthquakes	Technical Geochemical Ecological			X olie X	X gas X XXXXX XXXXX	XXXXX

11 Overview of fields experiments (not exhaustive)

Naam	country	year	area	remarks
Sleipner West	Norway	1996	North Sea	First field experiment carbon dioxide from gas filed in aquifer
Natuna	Indonesia		sea, west of Borneo	
Kona coast	Hawaii	2001	ocean	
Pennzoill's SACRO	America, west Texas	1972	land	First field experiment carbon dioxide in oil field
	Japan	1997	sea	Running for 5 years
Alberta Basin	Canada			Feasibility study
IRONEX		1993	Pacific	
San Juan Basin				Demonstration project
Black Warrior Basin	America, Alabama			Demonstration project
Monterer Bay	America	1998	ocean	
Sharon Ridge	America, Texas		land	Enhanced oil production
Rangely	America, Colorado		land	Enhanced oil production
Enid	America, Oklahoma		land	Enhanced oil production
Weyburn	Canada, Saskatchewan		land	Enhanced oil production

