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## Summary

Navigation is a common daily activity for human beings and people use a wide range of tools to make it easier. Following car drivers pedestrians have found their way to route planning, however a suitable dataset is not yet provided. Due to their origin in car navigation current pedestrian navigation datasets do not include free walkable space. This is open space that can be freely accessed by fit pedestrians e.g. squares, parks or parking lots. This drawback leads to the idea for this research.

It presents an approach that uses a hybrid data environment with both vector and raster data to calculate an optimal path as part of pedestrian route planning. Hence, the research objective is to calculate the optimal route for pedestrians in an urban environment based on a hybrid dataset that contains vector network data to represent corridor-like paths and raster data to represent free walkable space.

To reach the objective a method is provided on a conceptual level. First the free walkable space is to be derived from the topographical map. Next, the free walkable space has to be divided by a user into subspaces with equal accessibility values. The resulting weighted free walkable space together with a road dataset can then be used to calculate paths passing through the free walkable space. In this process a set of fixed paths is also created. Subsequently, the fixed paths and the internal paths are joined into one pedestrian infrastructure dataset. The latter is used to create a network dataset on which Dijkstra's algorithm can be applied to find the shortest path (Dijkstra, 1959).

Implementation of the method takes place by using ESRI's ArcGIS Desktop software and the Python programming language. Furthermore some user actions are required for editing and adding expert knowledge. Finally this implementation was applied on a case study in the city center of 's-Hertogenbosch (NL) and to test resulting network dataset, three source/destination scenarios were assessed.

Overall the method implemented on a case study provides satisfying results as it returns plausible pedestrian routes that are slightly better than conventional methods. Main shortcomings involve parameters to fine tune the implementation: even a minor change can have major effect on the final output. Furthermore, the method lacks an inconsistency check for fixed paths and walkable areas which causes the network to be imperfect. Additionally the raster path calculation used still fails to yield the desired paths.

Future research should focus on the derivation of fixed walkable paths to match with the walkable area and on optimizing path calculation in a raster environment.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background

Navigation is a common daily activity for human beings. It is the process of planning and following a certain trajectory in order to get from one place to another. Within the task of navigation two components can be distinguished: locomotion and wayfinding (Montello, 2005; Wiener, et al., 2009). For this research, wayfinding is the most important of the two. It concerns a set of tasks that involve cognitive processes like decision making and planning. Key issue is that these tasks aim at reaching destinations beyond what is perceived in the direct environment. Locomotion, on the other hand, implies movement of an individual as a reaction on its direct environment but is not further discussed here.

To lighten their wayfinding activities, people use assistance from devices that range from paper maps to location based services. Until recently, the latter were primarily designed to serve car drivers. However, in the past few years they have become more and more developed and have widely gained user acceptance. With the present state of mobile devices real time navigation systems have the potential to target a broader public. Not surprisingly, there is a trend is to get the navigation system out of the vehicle and into the hands of cyclists, hikers and pedestrians.

A different target group brings about considerably different information needs. Pedestrians for example do not content themselves with traditional navigation systems. This target group is able to exploit its cognitive resources in a much more intense way when navigating, thus any information received needs to be cut out for that (Stark, et al., 2007). In line with this requirement, current research around pedestrian wayfinding drives at integrating knowledge of cognitive science, psychology and artificial intelligence into the domain of pedestrian navigation, already striving to provide insight into tailored route directions (Rehrl, et al., 2007).

Where the information needs has been subject of various studies, one of the most common wayfinding tasks still leaves some questions: route planning; an area that has been profoundly investigated for the use in car navigation systems. But application of the same methodology on pedestrian navigation systems is not possible without some major adaptations (Corona \& Winter, 2001b). Main reason for this is that pedestrians are not strictly bounded to an infrastructure; they experience a higher degree of freedom in their movements. This phenomenon should somehow be captured in the datasets that form the basis for pedestrian navigation systems (Corona \& Winter, 2001a). Attempts to do so exist but do not provide a concrete solution.
(Gaisbauer \& Frank, 2008) for example, introduce a wayfinding model which aims to cover all walkable space. Walkable space can be defined as all space that is accessible by pedestrians. Their model is based on the concept of Lynch (1960) who depicts decision points as points where people have to decide where to go based on their perception. Closely related to locomotion, these points involve only the visible route options. The model divides walkable space into decision scenes (i.e. direct surroundings of a decision point) by using the decision points and it subsequently converts these areas to a graph. These represent the internal structure of these decision scenes as a network of portals (nodes) through which pedestrians can enter other decision scenes and connecting routes (edges) that can be used to traverse a scene. Still, this concerns a conceptual model which leaves open ends on the creation of decision scenes and the calculation of paths for the internal structure.

Furthermore, Elias aims at extending the street network graph by adding (indoor) walkable space derived from a city map and accompanying postal codes (Elias, 2007). The approach manages to create the internal structure of buildings but it does not use a least cost path algorithm to do so. Therefore no accessibility values can be assigned that influence the internal structure. Walter et al. aim at reducing walkable space in raster maps to a skeleton graph (Walter, et al., 2006). They found a way to retrieve a shortest path from a raster map but did not focus on constructing a network dataset.

Although these approaches are very promising for creating a suitable network dataset, none of them integrates the possibility to traverse open space.

### 1.2 Problem definition

Currently available approaches do not provide a suitable dataset for pedestrian route planning. Main drawback is the point of not including free walkable space (Figure 1). This leads to the idea for this research.

It presents an approach that uses a hybrid data environment with on the one hand a relatively simple vector network dataset based on the street network and on the other hand a raster representation of areas with complex internal structures to calculate an optimal path as part of pedestrian route planning.


This approach with a vector and raster environment is clearly different from the one provided by Elias, Walter and Gaisbauer \& Frank (see section 1.1). Main advantage is the possibility to incorporate highly detailed route information in the pedestrian infrastructure for areas with a complex structure that is underrepresented in a plain road dataset. Furthermore, only these few areas require detailed data acquisition which makes the total process of data acquisition less laborious. Nonetheless, some questions arise when contemplating this hybrid approach. For example, what areas are to be presented as raster data? Or: how to connect raster with vector data?

Another interesting point concerns the square structure of raster data which brings along some difficulties to calculate the optimal path. Assuming that the Moore neighborhood is used for searching the least cost path, the calculated path length is bound to overestimate the actual path length. Consider the following path (Figure 2). Which is the 'true' path length?


Figure 2) Three paths ( $a, b, c$ ) calculated from $A$ to $B$ which overestimate the length of the desired path (d)
Based on these issues, seven research questions are formulated. These are stated in the next section.

### 1.3 Research objective and research questions

The research objective reads as follows:
'To calculate the optimal route for pedestrians in an urban environment based on a hybrid dataset that contains vector network data to represent corridor-like paths and raster data to represent free walkable space.'

This objective forms the basis for seven research questions (RQs) which are formulated below.

- RQ1: How to decide which parts of a study area can be labeled as free walkable space and which areas are to be represented as nodes and edges in a graph?
- RQ2: How can a raster representation of free walkable space be connected with a network of nodes and edges?
- RQ3: How to determine the costs or benefits attached to nodes, edges and raster cells?
- RQ4: How to overcome miscalculations in route costs caused by the square structure of raster data?
- RQ5: How to calculate the optimal path in a hybrid environment?
- RQ6: How can a network dataset suitable for pedestrian route planning be derived from the topographical map available in The Netherlands (TOP10_vector)?
- RQ7: Which implementation issues, suggestions for future research and insights arise from practical application of the methodology?


### 1.4 Report structure

Chapter 2 presents the methods applied in this thesis at a conceptual level. Subsequently, the more technical implementation of the method is discussed in chapter 3 whereas chapter 4 contains the results of this implementation applied on a case study. The results of chapters

2 through 4 are be discussed in chapter 5 . Finally, chapter 6 concludes this research by answering the research questions and suggesting directions for further research.

### 1.5 Research delineation

This research deals with planning an optimal route for pedestrians in an urban environment. In order to account for the high degree of movement freedom, it integrates the concept of free walkable space which together with a vector network representing narrow corridors forms the basis for calculating such route.

Free walkable space was defined as all space that is accessible by pedestrians. However, because this research is about pedestrian route planning some refinement of this concept has to be made. First of all this research focuses only on the outdoors, in particular on the urban environment. Second, only public areas have been considered for navigation purposes. A third aspect of the concept is the pedestrian in general. This is a mixed group of human beings with all kinds of (dis)abilities, thus the accessibility of areas differs due to this variability. Consequently, a more appropriate and refined definition of 'walkable space' is adopted: "All outdoor space that is open and accessible by fit pedestrians".

Another worth mentioning aspect of this research is the definition of 'optimal'. As mentioned before, end users are not alike thus optimal is a personal concept. To grasp this concept this research was set out to integrate criteria that affect the optimal route at most. Though distance is obviously (one of) the most important criteria in efficient pedestrian route planning, others were likely to be relevant as well.

## 2 Methodology

### 2.1 Process overview

To allow pedestrian route planning, there is need for a method that is capable of constructing a pedestrian network. Hence, the goal of the process described here is to yield a network dataset on which a route optimizer (e.g. Dijkstra's shortest paths algorithm (Dijkstra, 1959)) can be applied to calculate the least cost path between start and end points. First, this section presents an overview of the overall process whereas following sections will zoom in to this model to illuminate each subprocess. A flowchart of the entire process discussed in this chapter can be found in Annex A.

Globally, the process comprises two main input datasets and one output dataset; inputs are a polygon based topographical map and a polyline based road dataset and the output is a pedestrian network (Figure 3a).

The first subprocess takes care of deriving the free walkable space from the topographical map and thereby tackles RQ1. This will be discussed in section 2.2.


Figure 3) This figure presents a scheme (left) and illustrative examples (right) from the process and its datasets. The addition 'WGT' in the scheme indicates that the dataset is enriched with accessibility weight values. Furthermore, the first example (a) depicts the input datasets whereas example two shows the final result (b).

Next, its product will undergo editing by a user whose job is to divide the free walkable space into subspaces with equal accessibility values, based on his expert knowledge of the site or any available data. This process relates to RQ3 and will be discussed in section 2.3.

Section 2.4 zooms in on the next subprocess which relates to both RQ2 and RQ4. It uses the so-called weighted free walkable space as an input together with the road dataset to calculate paths passing through the free walkable space, leading from one road to another. A side product of this subprocess is the set of fixed paths that conjoin the free walkable spaces.

The subprocess of deriving the network dataset combines both the fixed paths and the internal paths into one joined pedestrian network dataset (Figure 3b). It applies to RQ6 and will be explained in section 2.5. Finally, a network dataset is derived that is suitable for pedestrian route planning (RQ5) as is elaborated in section 2.6.

### 2.2 Deriving free walkable space

This is the subprocess where the free walkable space is extracted from the main input topographical dataset. A suitable dataset can be any topographical dataset that is polygon based but a large scale is preferred to provide enough detail to discern roads and open areas (i.e. scale 1:10.000).

All topography classes in the dataset were reclassified into areas that are 'walkable' or areas that are 'NOGO' in which areas marked 'walkable' are potentially eligible for a free walkable space representation (Figure 4a, b). The reclassification is done best based a logical interpretation of the classes. However, reclassification of some classes is doubtful and additional information is required. For example a class like 'other land use' can correspond to a building but might as well be an open parking space, or the class 'grassland' could be a (fenced) meadow but it could also be represent an urban park. In such case the classification 'walkable' was assigned. After all, a detailed assessment of these areas was done later when site knowledge was used to assign costs to zones within the free walkable space.

The freedom of movement of pedestrians is assumed not to be limited by a transition in topographic class given that both classes are marked 'walkable'. For example when a pedestrian walks from a square into a field he does not experience a barrier. Therefore all boundaries between walkable areas are dissolved to yield continuous areas of walkable space (Figure 4c).


Figure 4) In this figure the process of deriving free walkable space is shown. First the scheme (left) subsequently a visualization. The process starts of with a topographical map (a), next a reclassification (b), then the boundaries are removed (c), subsequently the areas are shrunk (d) and finally its proportions are restored (e). Note that roads are included at a later stage.

Furthermore, a pedestrian experiences narrow parts (e.g. roads) as corridors in which only one route option is available: follow the corridor until the next decision point (Lynch, 1960). Therefore only the wide open spaces are suitable to be represented as free walkable space in the network. Wide open spaces were found by shrinking the complete walkable space from the edge inwards until the narrow parts vanish. What remains are cores of objects that indicate the presence of areas which are large enough to be represented as free walkable space (Figure 4d).

The final representation of free walkable space was realized by expanding the residue of the shrink action in such a way that it is reinstated to the original proportions of the walkable space. The product then represents all free walkable areas but not the narrow streets. (Figure 4e)

### 2.3 Assigning network costs and benefits

The next subprocess is a laborious one. The user has to assign costs to the given free walkable spaces (Figure 5). This can be done by means of software which is capable of editing geodata. It involves modifying the shapes and altering their attribute values. By manually dividing the free walkable space into smaller zones the user enables him to assign weights to specific locations inside the free walkable space (Figure 5a, b). If necessary, he can extend his expert knowledge of the site by consulting aerial photographs, detailed city plans or other resources.


Legend:

$$
\text { Low costs } \square \text { High costs }
$$


b) Free walkable space in which different accessibility values are assigned to parts of the space.

Figure 5) Here the process of adding costs to the free walkable space is depicted by means of a schem (left) and visual examples (right) . The input is the free walkable space dataset (a), output is this space augmented with accessibility values (b).

The detailed information that the user provides is about accessibility and obstacles. In this respect, accessibility implies zones that are less accessible to pedestrians like roads with heavy traffic or areas with dense vegetation. Obstacles are for example fences, hedges and ponds but also buildings. Because a least cost path calculation will be used to calculate paths this detailed information can be represented as costs. Note that the assignment of costs is merely subjective and that relative figures can be used, some examples:

- A park can be divided into easy accessible pathways with weight 1, a less accessible field with weight 3 and an inaccessible pond with weight 99;
- A traffic junction contains specific footpaths for pedestrians so here a division can be inaccessible roads with weight 99 and perfectly accessible sidewalks with weight 1.

With this result, a weighted free walkable space dataset has been generated that can be used for calculation of the internal paths.

### 2.4 Creating internal paths

### 2.4.1 Calculating paths

The next step is to gather all paths passing through the free walkable space, and with that, connecting all roads leading to the free walkable space in question. To achieve this, first all points are retrieved in which a road enters a free walkable space. With this process, a side product is produced. It contains the difference of the roads in the original road dataset and the free walkable space: the fixed paths (Figure 6a). These will be used later on in the process to complete the pedestrian network because they represent the connections between the various free walkable spaces.

The above mentioned points are actually the intersections of the polyline road dataset with the boundary of free walkable space objects and constitute the begin and end points for the path calculation (Figure 6b). Next, a raster environment is established containing for each cell the cost of traveling through that cell which is based on the weight value of the corresponding user input (Figure 6c, d). The so-called cost grid is essential for path calculation in a raster environment because it determines along which cells the actual raster path will travel. Unfortunately the costs defined by the user will not suffice in case the cost grid is traversed diagonally; in that case the least cost path calculation brings about some issues regarding the square structure of the raster environment. Section 2.4.2 describes how these issues are dealt with.


Figure 6) This figure illustrates the process of creating the internal paths. Besides the scheme (left) some illustrative examples are included (right). Point of departure is the free walkable space and the road dataset (a). From these, connection points (b) and fixed paths are retrieved (c). The weighted free walkable space (WGT) is used (d) to calculate paths in the raster environment (e). The process yields the actual paths in the network (f). Note that nodes in the road network can disappear when overlaid by free walkable space.

The path calculation is done for all possible connections inside a free walkable space object (Figure 6e). For an object with a collection of $n$ connection points the number of possible internal connections is: $n(n-1) / 2$. Each path is based on the least cost path calculated in the
raster environment. It is converted to a polyline and added to the dataset of internal paths (Figure 6f). In this way each possible path is represented as an edge in the actual network.

### 2.4.2 Solving raster issues

The use of a Moore neighborhood causes the raw weights provided by the user to be impractical for direct raster path calculation. This is because the weights per cell are not yet related to the direction of the path crossing it. The actual weight raster has a cost/distance relationship covered by means of the integration of Eucledian distance. There are several steps required to get there.

First, the raster dataset which is created from the user's weight input is used to calculate for each cell the accumulated path cost to that cell from a source. This is done for all connection points. The next step is to combine the grids of a source and destination point into a least cost corridor. Again, this is done for each point combination inside a free walkable area.


Figure 7) Process scheme (left) of creating the cost grid illustrated with examples (right). The polygon dataset of weighted free walkable space (a) is converted to a weight raster (b). With this raster for each combination of connection points two path distance grids are calculated that result in a least cost corridor (c). This corridor is enriched with Euclidean distance values to form the cost grid (d)

The resulting corridor represents a narrow lane through which the actual path has to go. It could however, contain wider parts where there is more than one route option available due to the use of the Moore neighborhood. For these wider parts of the corridor, the Eucledian distance is calculated from each cell to the nearest narrow part. These distance values make the actual cost grid. So the further away a cell is from a narrow part, the higher the cost value is.

### 2.5 Deriving the network dataset

The final step in constructing the network dataset consists of combining the calculated internal paths (Figure 8a) with the fixed paths (Figure 8b). The features from both of these
datasets are transferred into a single feature class (Figure 8c). As the previous process restored the connections between all begin and end points, the final dataset offers the same routing possibilities as those that the original road dataset offered augmented with new possibilities that have emerged from the path calculation in the free walkable space. It is a complete pedestrian network dataset in which the movement freedom in free walkable space is captured.


Figure 8) The creation of the pedestrian infrastructure visualized as a scheme (left) and examples (right). The internal paths (a) and the fixed paths (b) are combined into one pedestrian infrastructure (c)

### 2.6 Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm

The final pedestrian route planning will take place within an environment that solely consists of vector data. After all, all optimal routes within the raster environment are now represented as edges in a pedestrian network. The algorithm used is the Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm which is able to solve the shortest path problem for a graph with nonnegative edge path costs by constructing trees of minimum total length (Dijkstra, 1959). The concept of 'shortest path' should in fact be understood as 'the least cost path' because the costs used in this study do not represent Euclidean distance, but rather a cost distance. For example, walking through grass or crossing a busy road is more expensive than walking over a footpath.

## 3 Implementation

The methods discussed in the preceding chapter involve various data actions. In order to implement all these processes, the Python programming language was used to formulate a script for each of them. Python is open source and is able to exploit the geoprocessor of ESRI's ArcGIS Desktop software. So a range of tools in ArcGIS and the strength of programming were combined to yield the result. This chapter discusses for each process which tools were used, with which in- and outputs and how they were interconnected with Python. The Python script is enclosed in Annex B.

### 3.1 Deriving free walkable space

This process needs the topographical dataset as input. From that, the walkable area was retrieved by selecting only those topographical types that were marked 'walkable' and storing them in a new feature class. The tools used are given in Table 1.

Table 1) Tools needed to create a feature class of the walkable areas

| Tool 1 | MakeFeatureLayer_management |
| :--- | :--- |
| In | Topographical dataset (main input) |
| Out | Selectable layer |
| Tool 2 | SelectLayerByAttribute_management <br> InSelectable layer from tool 1 <br> SQL query to select the proper features based on the reclassification <br> of topographical type. |
| Out | Selection of walkable areas |
| Tool 3 | CopyFeatures_management |
| In | Selection of walkable areas from tool 2 |
| Out | New feature class with only the selected walkable areas. |

The input used for tool 2 was based on an expert-driven reclassification. Table 2 shows an example in which a self explaining reclassification of the Topographical map of The Netherlands is done (TOP10vector). It was based on its topography field and the reclassification can be seen as a Boolean map: NOGO (0) and WALK (1).

Table 2) Example of reclassification. Used dataset: TOP10 vector, scale 1:10.000, dated 2006

| Description (EN) | Description (NL) | Topography field <br> (TOPO_CODE) | Reclassification |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Build-up area | Beb. Gebied/Huizenblok | 1013 | NOGO |
| Large building | Groot Gebouw | 1023 | NOGO |
| Main connection road | Hoofdverbindingsroute 7 | 2303 | NOGO |
| Connection road 8 | Verbindingsroute 8 | 3003 | NOGO |
| Connection road >7 | Verbindingsroute >7 | 3103 | NOGO |
| Local road >7 | Lokale weg >7 | 3143 | WALK |
| Local road >4 | Lokale weg >4 | 3243 | WALK |
| Local road >2 | Lokale weg >2 | 3343 | WALK |
| Other road type > 2m | Overige weg >2m | 3403 | WALK |
| Partly metalled road 3 | Ged. verharde weg 3 | 3413 | WALK |
| Unmetalled road 3 | Onverharde weg 3 | 3433 | WALK |
| Pedestrian zone | Voetgangersgebied | 3473 | WALK |
| Street | Straat | 3533 | WALK |
| Cycle path | RWP Rijwielpad | 3603 | WALK |
| Parking area | Parkeerterrein | 5903 |  |
| Deciduous forest | Loofbos |  |  |


| Grassland | Weiland | 5213 | WALK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other land use | Overig bodemgebruik | 5263 | WALK |
| Water | Landblauw | 6113 | NOGO |
| Dock | Aanlegsteiger | 6513 | WALK |

The next step was to shrink the walkable areas, which is relatively easy as only two tools were required. First the Dissolve tool was used to create a seamless object containing all walkable areas. Next, the Buffer tool was used with a negative value as buffer distance. Consequently, the free walkable space was created by applying the same Buffer tool with the inverse buffer distance. Table 3 depicts the in- and output used for these tools.

Table 3) From walkable areas to free walkable space

| Tool 4  <br> In Dissolve_management <br> Out The feature class with selected walkable areas from tool 3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tool 5 Dissolved area <br> In Buffer_analysis <br> In_feature: Dissolved area from tool 4 <br> Buffer_distance: -15 meters <br> Out Area minus a buffer <br> Tool 6 Buffer_analysis <br> In In_feature: Area minus a buffer from tool 5 <br> Buffer_distance: + 15 meters <br> Out Free walkable space . |

Due to the dissolve performed in tool 4, the feature class contained a single feature consisting of many parts (a MultiPolygon object). Applying the Multipart To Singlepart tool (tool 7) yielded a dataset consisting of single part polygons (i.e. one polygon per record).

After this process the output has to undergo considerable manual adaptations. Therefore the dataset was prepared for editing (Table 4). First of all an Area_ID and a WEIGHT field were added. The first one was assigned the Object_ID of the corresponding feature and the latter was for initially assigned a one, later on in the process this value is to be edited manually. A backup was created of this feature class to have a clean slate in case something would go wrong during editing.

Table 4) Tools needed to create a feature class of the walkable areas

| Tool 7 | MultipartToSinglepart_management |
| :--- | :--- |
| In | Free walkable space with just one all-embracing feature from tool 6 |
| Out | Free walkable space with separate features for all single parts |$|$| Tool 8 | AddField_management <br> (Tool is run twice to add two fields) <br> In |
| :--- | :--- |
| In_feature: Free walkable space from tool 7 <br> Field_name: "Area_ID" and "WEIGHT" <br> Field_type: Double <br> Precision: Number of digits used is 5 <br> Scale: Number of digits after separator is 0 |  |
| Out | Fields added to the input feature |
| Tool 9 | CalculateField_management <br> (Tool is run twice to calculate two fields) <br> In_feature: Free walkable space from tool 8 |
| In | Field names: Those created in tool 8 <br> Values: "Object_ID" and "1" |
| Out | Free walkable space with fields calculated |
| Tool 10 | CopyFeatures_management <br> In |
| Out | Free walkable space from tool 9 |

### 3.2 Assigning network costs and benefits

Assigning weights to the free walkable space was done manually inside ArcMap. Each free walkable space feature was cut up into smaller pieces (Table 5 and Figure 9 (left)). While doing this, the Area_ID and WEIGHT field adopted the value from their parent object. Each cutting is then retraceable to an embracing free walkable space object. The weights were added by editing the WEIGHT field (Figure 9(right)). The edited dataset was used as an input for the process of creating internal paths (section 3.3)

Table 5) Manual actions performed to edit the free walkable space dataset

| Action 1 | Edit free walkable space from tool 9 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Modify | Divide polygons into zones |
| Edit values | Alter WEIGHT values |



Figure 9) Editing Free walkable space with ArcMap

### 3.3 Creating internal paths

### 3.3.1 Source and destination points

The road dataset was overlaid with the unedited free walkable space by means of the Erase tool. This resulted in the fixed walkable paths. Table 6 shows the tool and its inputs.

Table 6) Originating of the fixed paths dataset

| Tool 11 | Erase_analysis |
| :--- | :--- |
| In | In_feature: Road dataset (main input) <br> Erase_feature: Free walkable space from tool 9 |
| Out | Fixed paths |

The end vertices of the fixed paths that touch the boundaries of a free walkable space are seen as 'connection points'. To get these, first all end vertices were converted to point features using the Feature Vertices To Points tool. Next, a feature layer was made from these points to be able to select features by their location. Due to digital inaccuracies a search distance was applied to make sure that all points within a distance of 0.1 meter from the parent free walkable space were selected. The whole selection was stored in a feature class by using the Copy Feature tool (Table 7).

Table 7) Tool sequence that yields all connection points

| Tool $\mathbf{1 2}$ | FeatureVerticesToPoints_management <br> In_feature: Fixed paths dataset from tool 11 <br> Point_location: Determines which vertices will be converted. In this <br> case only both ends. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Out | Point dataset |
| Tool 13 | MakeFeatureLayer_management  <br> In Point dataset from tool 12 |
| Out | Selectable layer |
| Tool 14 | SelectLayerByLocation_management <br> In_layer: Selectable layer from tool 13 <br> Select_feature: Features to base selection on, this is the free <br> walkable space dataset. <br> Overlap_type: Defines on what condition the points will be selected. <br> The points that are WITHIN the select features. <br> Search_distance: 0.1 meters |
| Out | Selection <br> Tool 15CopyFeatures_management <br> InSelection from tool 14 |
| Out | Connection points |

The resulting dataset contained all connection points. For future reference an Area_ID and a Point_ID field were added to the connection point dataset of which the values were calculated later (Table 9). The connection points were placed in a selectable layer (Table 8).

Table 8) Tools to add area and point ID fields plus creating a selectable layer.

| Tool 16 | AddField_management <br> (Tool is run twice to add two fields) <br> In |
| :--- | :--- |
| In_feature: Connection point dataset from tool 15 <br> Field name: "Area_ID" and "Point_ID" <br> Field type: both "SHORT" |  |
| Out | Connection points with fields added |
| Tool 17 | MakeFeatureLayer_management |
| In | Connection points from tool 16 |
| Out | Selectable layer |

While the script from block 1 looped through all free walkalbe space features, each feature was placed into a layer with the Make Feature Layer tool. Next, points that were within the current feature were selected. This was done by using the current feature layer as a selection mask and the connection point layer to select points from. The result is a set of connection points belonging to the current feature. This set was altered by the Calculate Field tool which set the Area_ID to the Object_ID of the current feature. (Table 9)

Table 9) Script block to iterate ID assignment

| Block 1 | Looping through the free walkable space |
| :--- | :--- |
| In | Selectable layer from tool 17 |
| Process | For each feature in Free walkable space back up, calculate AreaID: |
|  | Tool 20 CalculateField_management <br> In In_feature: Free walkable space back up from tool 10 <br> Where_clause: ObjectID = current ObjectID <br> Out Layer with area selected by where_clause |
|  | Tool 19 SelectLayerByLocation_management <br> In <br> In_feature: Selectable layer from tool 17 <br> Select feature: Area layer from tool 18 <br> Overlap type: WITHIN <br> Search_distance: 0.1 meters <br>  Selection of points that belong to area <br> Out  |


| In | in_layer: Selectable layer from tool 19 <br> Field_name: "Area_ID" <br> Value: Current ObjectID |
| :--- | :--- |
| Out |  |
|  | Connection point dataset with ArealD field calculated <br> Out |
|  | Connection point dataset with ID fields calculated <br> Increase i with 1 (Starting with $\mathrm{i}=1$ ) |

As a result, all points have a corresponding AreaID and for each area the points were numbered 1 to $n$ in which $n$ is the total number of points within the area.

### 3.3.2 Raster paths

## Data preparation and settings

Some actions were carried out to prepare the iterative process of calculating paths. That is, the weight dataset was converted to raster, a feature class was created to contain the output polyline paths and connection points were expanded. The latter one means converting the connection points to a polygon object by buffering them. This was necessary since the used tools convert objects to raster cells when they are used as source or destination inputs. Since all connection points are located on the edge of the rasterized weight dataset there was a chance their raster equivalent laid outside the raster mask. The expanded connection points yield more cells than its parent point objects what ensured that at least one cell fell within the rasterized area. (Table 10)

Table 10) Tool sequence that buffer connection points

| Tool 21 | PolygonToRaster_conversion <br> In_feature: Free walkable space WGT from action 1 <br> Value_field: "WEIGHT" <br> Cell_size: 1 meter |
| :--- | :--- |
| Out | Rasterized areas |
| Tool 22 | CreateFeatureClass_management <br> InPath: Path of the new feature class <br> Name: Name of the new feature class <br> Geometry_type: Polyline |
| Out | New polyline feature class for internal paths |
| Tool 23 | Buffer_analysis <br> InConnection points from block 1 <br> Buffer distance: 1 meter |
| Out | Buffered connection points |

The iteration contained a nested loop to calculate all possible connections based on the Point_ID that was assigned in block 1. This loop was regulated by a parameter $n$ that held the total number of connection points for a feature. This parameter was stored as the attribute 'Range'. Adding the attribute field happens with the usual tool while it takes a script block to calculate the value for $n$. (Table 11)

Table 11) Tool sequence that calculates fields for connection points.

| Tool 24 | AddField_management <br> In |
| :--- | :--- |
| In_feature: Buffered connection points from tool 23 <br> Field_name: "Range" <br> Field_type: Short |  |
| Out | Buffered connection points with Range field added |


| Block 2 | Calculating n values |
| :---: | :---: |
| In | Buffered connection points with Range field from tool 24 |
| Process | For all buffered connection points: Add AreaID to list (no duplicates) |
|  | For each Areald in list: |
|  | Tool 25 MakeTableView_management |
|  | In_feature: Buffered connection points from tool 24 Where_clause: ArealD = current AreaID |
|  | Out Table with all points of the current area |
|  | Tool 26 Statistics_analysis |
|  | In In_table: Table from tool 25 <br> Statistics_field: Point_ID MAX |
|  | Out Statistics table |
|  | The value of n is retrieved from the statistics table and is stored in a variable: Range_max |
|  | Tool 27 CalculateField_management |
|  | In In_table: Table from tool 25 <br>  Field_name: "Range" <br>  Value: Range_max |
|  | Out Range calculated |
| Out | Buffered connection points with Range field calculated |

Additionally, the overall maximum is required to set the limit for the iteration. The following script block takes care of that (Table 12):

Table 12) Block to calculate iteration limit

| Block 3 | Calculating overall maximum n |
| :---: | :---: |
| In | Buffered connection points with Range field calculated from block 2 |
| Process | Tool 28 Statistics_analysis |
|  | In_table: Table from tool 27 <br> Statistics_field: Point_ID MAX |
|  | Out Statistics table |
|  | The value of n is retrieved from the statistics table and is stored in a variable: Range_max |
| Out | Variable with overall maximum n |

## Path calculation

Internal paths were calculated in a raster environment. The script block performed this and instantly added the path as a polyline to the feature class of internal paths. The process is extensively discussed at a conceptual level in section 2.4. Table 13 shows how this operation was structured and what tools were used. The insertion of paths into the polyline feature is discussed in section 3.3.3

Table 13) Structure of path calculating block

| Block 4 | Calculate paths |
| :---: | :---: |
| In | Buffered connection points from block 2 <br> Rasterized areas from tool 21 <br> Variable 'Range_max' from block 3 <br> Free walkable space backup from tool 10 |
| Process | For each fromID ranging from 1 to Range_max: <br> Set variable Range_to = fromID + 1 <br> For each toID ranging from Range_to to Range_max +1 : Perform loop in which fromID and toID are variables. |
|  | Tool 29 Select_analysis |
|  | In In feature: Buffered connection points from block 2 |


|  |  | Where_clause: | ```PointID = fromID AND Range <> Point ID``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Out | Path sources |  |
|  | Tool 30 | Select_analysis |  |
|  | In | In feature: Buff Where_clause: | onnection points from PointID = tolD |
|  | Out | Path destinatio |  |
|  | Tool 31 | PathDistance_s |  |
|  | In | In_feature: Path Cost_raster: Ra | ces from tool 29 areas |
|  | Out | Source distance |  |
|  | Tool 32 | PathDistance_S |  |
|  | In | In_feature: Path Cost_raster: Ra | inations from tool 30 d areas |
|  | Out | Destination dist | raster |
|  | Tool 33 | Corridor_sa |  |
|  | In | Destination dis Source distance | raster from tool 32 <br> from tool 31 |
|  | Out | Least cost corri |  |
|  | Tool 34 | ZonalStatistics |  |
|  | In | Free walkable <br> Zone_field: Obj <br> In_value_raster <br> Statistics_type: <br> Ignore_nodata: | Backup from tool 10 <br> idor from tool 33 um |
|  | Out | Areas of which value of that ar | $s$ are assigned the min |
|  | Tool 35 | SingleOutputMap | bra_sa |
|  | In | Conditional stat |  |
|  | Out | Boolean map w minimum value | corridor cells are equa |
|  | Tool 36 | SetNull_sa |  |
|  | In | In_raster: Bool In_false_consta Where_clause: | ap from tool 35 $=0$ |
|  | Out | Only corridor ce | ual to the minimum val |
|  | Tool 37 | SingleOutputMa | bra_sa |
|  | In | Focal expressio of 3 meters | trieve the sum of cells |
|  | Out | Corridor with sum | ues |
|  | Tool 38 | SingleOutputMa | bra_sa |
|  | In | Focal expressio within a rectang | trieve the maximum valua 5x5 |
|  | Out | Corridor with m | m values |
|  | Tool 39 | Reclassify_sa |  |
|  | In | In_raster: Corri Reclass_field: <br> Remap: Values NoData | ith max values from too 25 become 1, 25 and |
|  | Out | Narrow parts of | orridor |
|  | Tool 40 | EucDistance_sa |  |
|  | In | Narrow parts of | orridor from tool 39 |
|  | Out | Euclidean dista | side the corridor |
|  | Tool 41 | Plus_sa |  |
|  | In | In_raster: Eucli In_constant: 1 | distance raster from too |
|  | Out | Euclidean dista | ster with a minimum valur |



### 3.3.3 Internal paths

As part of script block 4, the converted paths were inserted to the feature class with internal paths. Therefore begin and endpoints had to match with the corresponding connection points. This was done by the following tools that disentangled the paths and fitted them into the feature class (Table 14).

Table 14) Adding paths to the internal paths dataset

| Tool 47 | FeatureVerticesToPoints_management |
| :---: | :---: |
| In | Polyline paths from tool 46 |
| Out | Path point dataset |
| Tool 48 | GenerateNearTable_analysis |
| In | In_feature: Path point dataset from tool 47 <br> Near_feature: Connection point dataset from block 1 <br> Search_radius: 1 <br> Location: Location |
| Out | Near table |
| Tool 49 | TableSelect_analysis |
| In | In_table: Near table from tool 48 <br> Where_clause: Near distance < search_radius from tool 48 |
| Out | Table selection of connection points closest to end points |
| Block 5 | Add path to polyline dataset |
| In | In_feature_1: Polyline paths with a single value from tool 46 <br> In_feature_2: Path point dataset from tool 47 <br> In_table: Table selection from tool 49 <br> In_feature_3: Internal paths from tool 22 |
| Process | For each path in In_feature_1: <br> Loop through points in In_feature_2 that belongs to this path (where: ORIG_FID = current ObjectID) <br> Get record from In_table where IN_FID = ObjectID of the first point and set [begin point] to its NEAR coordinates |


|  | Add [mid section] points from In_feature_2 <br> Get record from In_table where IN_FID = ObjectID the last point and set [end point] to its NEAR coordinates <br> Finally, insert [begin_point + mid_section + end_point] as a to In_feature_3 | polyline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Out |  |  |

### 3.4 Deriving the network dataset

### 3.4.1 Pedestrian infrastructure

The pedestrian infrastructure was constructed from the internal paths and the fixed paths. First a copy was made to preserve the original fixed path dataset and then the internal paths were appended to the fixed paths (Table 15).

Table 15) Combining the datasets

| Tool 50 | Copy_management |
| :--- | :--- |
| In | Fixed paths dataset from tool 11 |
| Out | Copy of fixed paths |
| Tool 51 | Append_management <br> In_feature: Internalpaths from block 4 <br> Th |
| Outget: Copy of fixed paths from tool 50 |  |

### 3.4.2 Network dataset

The final network dataset was constructed out of the pedestrian infrastructure feature class from tool 51. This operation could not be implemented by means of a script as the ArcGIS geoprocessor lacks the commands to automate this. However, ESRI does offer a way to activate this action manually using its ArcCatalog component. Globally four actions were required. First a new feature dataset was created; second the pedestrian infrastructure dataset was copied into this feature dataset; next the network dataset was constructed out of the feature dataset and finally the network was build. The settings used for this action are depicted in the table below (Table 16).

Table 16) Manual actions performed to construct the Pedestrian Network

| Action $\mathbf{2}$ | Creating a new feature dataset |
| :--- | :--- |
| Coordinate system for $\mathbf{X Y}$ coordinates: | Import spatial reference from the pedestrian <br> infrastructure dataset. |
| Coordinate system for $\mathbf{Z}$ coordinates: | None |
| Tolerance for $\mathbf{X Y}, \mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{M}:$ | Default values were retrieved from importing the <br> spatial reference |
| Resolution and domain extent: | Default values |


| Action 3 | Tool: CopyFeatures_management |
| :--- | :--- |
| In: | Pedestrian infrastructure from tool 51 |
| Out: | Pedestrian infrastructure feature class in the feature dataset from <br> manual action 1 |


| Action 4 | Constructing the network dataset |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name of the new Network Dataset: | Pedestrian_Network |
| Participating Feature classes: | Pedestrian infrastructure from manual action 2 |
| Connectivity settings: | Default (nodes at coincident endpoints) |
| Modify with elevation data: | NO |
| Model turns: | NO |
| Cost attributes: | Default attribute based on shape length |
| Driving direction settings: | None |


| Action 5 | Tool: BuildNetwork_na |
| :--- | :--- |
| In: | Network dataset from manual action 3 |
| To: | Builded Network Dataset |

### 3.5 Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm

Calculating a least cost path by means of the Network Analyst toolbox within ArcMap requires a feature class which holds a source and destination location. The action to create this feature class was initiated manually in ArcCatalog (Table 17).

Table 17) Manual action to create source / destination feature class

| Action 6 | Tool: CreateFeatureClass_management |
| :--- | :--- |
| In | Path: Path of the new feature class <br> Name: Name of the new feature class <br> Geometry_type: Point features |
| Out | New point feature class for locations |

Source and destination locations were added to the feature class by editing the point dataset in ArcMap and creating new point features (Table 18).

Table 18) Manual actions performed to add points to the point feature class

| Action 7 | Add points |
| :--- | :--- |
| Create new: | Create new location points and add location <br> information. |

The actual route calculation needed three more actions to be done. First a route layer was created of the network dataset which embraced all input for the desired route. Next, the source and destination points were added as locations to this route layer. Finally, the route layer was solved which yields the optimal route in de underlying network dataset. The tools that were activated are shown in Table 19.

Table 19) Manual actions to solve the route

| Action 8 | Tool: MakeRouteLayer_na |
| :---: | :---: |
| In: | In_analysis_network: Network Dataset from action 4 Out_network_analysis_layer: <br> Impedance_attribute: Shape length |
| Out: | Route layer |
| Action 9 | Tool: AddLocations_na |
| In: | in_analysis_layer: <br> sub_layer: Network Dataset from action 4 <br> in_feature: Point feature class from action 7 <br> field_mappings: Constant property value <br> search_tolerance: 10 meters <br> snap_to_position_along_network: NO_SNAP |
| Out: | Route layer with source and destination locations |
| Action 10 | Tool: Solve_na |
| In: | In_analysis_layer: Route layer from action 9 Ignore_invalids: HALT |
| Out: | Route layer with optimal route from source to destination location |

## 4 Case study 's-Hertogenbosch

### 4.1 Introduction

The method and associated tools discussed in chapters 2 and 3 was applied on a case study for the city center of 's-Hertogenbosch in The Netherlands (Figure 10a). The study area is confined to the neighborhoods 'Het Zand', 'Binnenstad-Centrum' and 'Binnenstad-Oost'. Important locations within this area are the 's-Hertogenbosch Central Station of the Dutch Railways (NS), the city market place and its renowned cathedral Sint-Jan (Figure 10b).

a) Position of ' $s$-Hertogenbosch in relation to The Netherlands

b) City center of 's-Hertogenbosch with a delineation of the study area (in black) and the position some interesting locations.

Figure 10 Geographical context of the case study with its position in relation to The Netherlands (a) and a detailed map of the study area (b).

This chapter presents the results of the implementation. First a short description is given of the two input datasets that were used and how they were prepared to fit the implementation. Furthermore this section provides insight in the method to structure its workflow (see section 4.2). Section 4.3 deals with the aspects of user interaction in which the user has to complete the free walkable space. It illustrates what user input was used for the process but also what scenarios were used to test the use of the pedestrian network.

### 4.2 Data preparation

### 4.2.1 Datasets

The topographical map used for this case study was retrieved from the most detailed topographical map available in The Netherlands: TOP10vector. (kadaster.nl) This file has been developed by the Dutch Topographical Service Cadastre and contains a large scale topographical map of the whole country (i.e. scale $1: 10.000$ ). The version is dated 2006

As a road dataset, the block dataset from the Dutch National Road Data Bank was used (Nationaal Wegen Bestand), also dated 2006. This dataset was the most suitable one because it contains continuous blocks of roads and streets which is essential for constructing a network dataset. Both datasets were retrieved from the GeoDataBase available at the GeoDesk, a service unit within the Geo-Information Centre of Wageningen UR (University \& Research Centre).

### 4.2.2 Preprocessing

The input datasets were clipped according to the defined study area. In order to do so, the neighborhood dataset of The Netherlands was used to create a clipping mask. The neighborhoods 'Het Zand', 'Binnenstad-Centrum' and 'Binnenstad-Oost' were selected from this dataset since these administrative boundaries include the entire city center and the railway zone.

Additionally, as both datasets contained huge amounts of redundant attributes (the TOP10vector and the road dataset have respectively 16 and 36 attributes), these were deleted before initiating the process. Only the required attributes were kept, i.e. the topographical code.

### 4.2.3 Workflow

Data handling implies queries, transformations and operations on geodata. To structure these actions in this research and store the geodata in an orderly fashion each feature class produced inherited a hierarchical index indication accordingly to it's place in the processing chain (project, component or step).

### 4.3 User interaction

### 4.3.1 Expert knowledge

In this case study an expert-based selection was made of areas that were completed. Furthermore, a TOP10 building dataset was used to raise costs for buildings that were not present in the topographical map. Figure 11 shows some of the completed areas. Note that all costs were assigned in a subjective fashion and correspond to the costs mentioned in the table below (Table 20)

Table 20) Overview of the assigned accessibility values

| Accessibility | WEIGHT | Legend color |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Normal / preferred | 1 | $\square$ |
| Not preferred | 2 | $\square$ |
| Dense traffic | 5 | $\square$ |
| No accessibility | 99 | $\square$ |



Figure 11) Areas completed with accessibility values (left) and their accompanying aerial photographs (right) (LUFO 2006)

### 4.3.2 Scenarios

To be able to calculate routes, some source and destination scenarios are chosen. For all scenarios, the 's-Hertogenbosch Railway Station is set as a source point. Destination points are some points of interest in the city center.

Scenario 1: From railway station to cathedral, this is one of the main attractions of 'sHertogenbosch.

Scenario 2: From railway station to Southern park, the biggest park of 's-Hertogenbosch just outside the city center.

Scenario 3: From railway station to bastion, a remainder of old fortifications with an outlook over the vast swamp fields adjacent to the city center (Het Bossche Broek).

### 4.4 Results

### 4.4.1 Process

In this section the results are discussed from the subprocesses that were initiated to yield a pedestrian network dataset. They are presented by displaying the products that were created during the process. For practical reasons an excision was made out of the whole study area which contains the market place (for location see Figure 10b). The results of the actual route calculation with the pedestrian network dataset will be discussed in the next section (4.4.2).

The free walkable space was derived from all walkable areas. The result shows a free walkable space that has the same proportions as the original market place together with the adjacent road (Figure 12b). Each free walkable space consists of a single part. Note that these spaces have rounded edges.

Assigning the network costs resulted in free walkable space objects that consist of multiple parts, augmented with WEIGHT values that indicate accessibility (Figure 12c). The result shows the internal structure of the corresponding walkable area in a more detailed fashion than the topographical dataset.

Internal path calculation resulted in paths traversing the free walkable space. The result shows that the connection points are end points of the fixed paths that lead to the free walkable space and that all points were mutually connected. It also shows how the paths avoid zones with higher costs (Figure 12d).

The result of deriving the network dataset shows that all calculated paths are represented as an edge in the network dataset (Figure 12e). Examining the nodes learns that the connection points were seen as nodes whereas internal path crossings were not.


b) Deriving free walkalbe space:

Walkable area (dark green)

d) Creating internal paths:

Fixed paths (red)
Internal paths (black)
Free walkable area (background)

c) Assigning network costs: Topographical dataset (background) Free walkable space WGT (green)

e) Deriving the network dataset:

Pedestrian Network (blue) with nodes and edges

Figure 12) Datasets involved with implementation. Mentioned are the accompanying processes and its result datasets.

### 4.4.2 Routes

With the pedestrian network dataset routes were calculated for the mentioned scenarios, their results are shown in (Figure 13). Note that all routes start at the railway station. In the first part of the route all scenarios follow the same trajectory. They make use of the available sidewalks and avoid the roads. Furthermore all routes cross the water body (Dieze) at the same spot after which they each go in separate directions.

The first scenario directs towards the cathedral. It crosses the market place where it traverses through free walkable space (Figure 13a). The second scenario aims for the Southern park and also intersects a small corner of the market place (Figure 13b). The third route mainly uses the fixed paths to reach its goal (Figure 13c). The same scenarios are also calculated by means of the pedestrian route planning functionality of Google Maps.


Figure 13) Route results for three scenarios retrieved by implementing the method to a case study in 's-Hertogebosch (left) and by querying the pedestrian route planning functionality in Google Maps (right, source: Google 2010; map data by Tele Atlas 2010).

## 5 Discussion

In this research a pedestrian network dataset was created. Raster data was used to calculate detailed routes through a free walkable space and an existing road network dataset was used to represent the fixed paths between these spaces. Finally, the pedestrian network dataset was used to calculate optimal routes for three route scenarios. This chapter discusses the results of method, implementation and case study that were involved.

### 5.1 Method

The method presented a way to combine vector and raster data into a network dataset that is suitable for calculating optimal routes for pedestrians; it integrates the high degree of movement freedom into a network dataset by introducing the concept of free walkable space; offers the possibility to limit that freedom by enriching the free walkable space with expert-knowledge about accessibility and it covers the connection of possible paths in a raster environment with a network of nodes and edges. It brings back the hybrid environment to a manageable vector environment. Besides these points the method also knows some drawbacks, these will be discussed in the following paragraphs.

The derivation of the free walkable space depends on critical factors like the reclassification and the buffer distance. These parameters influence the amount and size of the resulting free walkable space. When for example a smaller value is chosen for the parameter buffer distance, some roads may be included whereas with a higher value some areas might be omitted (Figure 14).


Legend
$\square$ Buffer distance 7,5 meters
Buffer distance 15 meters

- Buffer distance 20 meters

Figure 14) This map indicates that different buffer distances yield different free walkable space outputs.
Assigning costs to the free walkable space is done by a user which is bound to certain rules concerning the cost grid that is created from his input. For example if a zone is physically impenetrable, the user will assign an extreme high cost to it. However, this cannot guarantee that the route will not cross this zone. Particularly when there is no other route option available the least cost path will go straight through this impenetrable zone.

In the process of calculating internal paths not so much the path calculation but the creation of fixed paths brings along some worth noticing issues. Overlaying the fixed paths with the walkable area dataset created while deriving the free walkalbe space shows some inconsistencies (Figure 15).


Legend:
$\square$ Walkable area
$\square$ Free walkable space
$\square$ Fixed paths
$\square$ Inconsistencies

Figure 15) The blue circles indicate the locations where inconsistencies were found between the fixed paths (red) and the walkable areas (dark green).

Furthermore, the presented method to solve raster issues still yields some less than optimal paths (Figure 16).


Figure 16) Map that shows that calculated paths still deviate from the direct paths one would intuitively follow.

### 5.2 Implementation

The implementation translated the method to an applicable script that yields a pedestrian infrastructure dataset. As an input the script requires the topographical dataset and the road dataset. With additional implementation steps a pedestrian network dataset can be created from the output with which optimal routes can be calculated. Implementation focuses on the programming language Python which is used to access tools within ESRI's ArcGIS Desktop and to interconnect them in order to automate the whole process.

One implementation issue lies within the fact that the final stage of the process cannot be automated by Python. Therefore user actions are required which seem unnecessarily laborious.

Furthermore, the presented process is tailored for the input datasets used in the case study. This means that it is not yet suited for use with any other topographical or road input dataset.

### 5.3 Case study

Applying the implementation to the city centre of 's-Hertogenbosch resulted into a suitable pedestrian network dataset and each route scenario returned a plausible optimal path.

The length of the routes calculated in ArcGIS correspond to those retrieved from the pedestrian routing functionality of Google Maps (Google, 2010). And even show a slight positive difference. The difference is expected to increase when a larger study area is used where there are more free walkable spaces.

## 6 Conclusion

Main goal of this thesis was to calculate the optimal route for pedestrians in an urban environment based on a hybrid dataset that contains vector network data to represent corridor-like paths and raster to represent free walkable space. The provided method and its implementation succeeded in calculating such routes for several scenarios in a case study.

The first research question required a method to decide which parts of a study area could be labeled as free walkable space and which areas were to be represented as nodes and edges in a graph. To label the parts of a study area as 'free walkable space' or 'fixed paths' the parts that are inaccessible to pedestrians need to be excluded from labeling. From the walkable areas, an area can be labeled as free walkable space when its core still exists after applying a negative buffer on its original proportions. The walkable areas of which even the core vanishes are too narrow to be represented as free walkable space and will therefore be represented as fixed paths.

Research question two set out to connect a raster representation of free walkable space with a network of nodes and edges. The answer was found not in connecting the space itself but in calculating all path possibilities which the space had to offer. Since the connection points were known in which the fixed paths entered the free walkable space, paths could be calculated for each combination of points. This internal structure was then combined with the fixed paths to result into one pedestrian infrastructure.

The determination of costs or benefits for nodes, edges and raster cells is merely subjective. In this research no costs were assigned to nodes and the impedance for route calculation over edges is based on their physical length. Raster cells are assigned costs based on the assumed accessibility of the space they represent. Key is their interrelationship that should be logically related, i.e. high costs for inaccessibility and low costs for accessible space.

The unfavorable paths that result from conventional path calculation based on a Moore neighborhood in the raster environment can be optimized by assessing each source/target scenario separately. As the most optimal path is contained by its corresponding least cost corridor it can be used to redefine the cost grid and recalculate the path. This way a more accurate path is distilled.

Optimal path calculation in a hybrid environment is done by calculating route possibilities through the raster environment in advance and updating the vector network with the newly calculated paths. With that a network dataset can be constructed on which Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm can be applied to find the optimal route between a start and end point.

Conclusively, the presented method is able to derive a network dataset suitable for pedestrian route planning from the TOP10vector topographical dataset of The Netherlands. Future research should focus on the derivation of fixed walkable paths which in this research shows some inconsistencies with the walkable area. Furthermore a closer look to raster path calculation is required.
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## Annex A: Detailed process overview



## Annex B: Python script

```
# Documentation
"JB_thesis_script.py"
# Settings
print "\nExecuting..."
# Import system modules
import sys, string, os, arcgisscripting, time, math, decimal
time0 = time.time()
# Create the Geoprocessor object
= arcgisscripting.create(9.3)
gp.SetProduct("ArcInfo")
gp.CheckOutExtension("spatial")
gp.OverwriteOutput = 1
```

\# Set the Geoprocessing environment...
p. XYResolution $=$ " 0.001 Unknown"
gp.scratchWorkspace = "D: <br>JB_Thesis <br>Data<br>Application<br>IA_MSc_Thesis_Jan_Bakermans_Temporary_GDB.gdb"
gp.MTolerance = ""
gp.randomGenerator $=$ " 0 ACM599"
gp. outputCoordinateSystem $=$
"PROJCS['RD_New', GEOGCS['GCS_Amersfoort', DATUM['D_Amersfoort', SPHEROID ['Bessel_1841', 6377397.155,299.1528
128]], PRIMEM['Greenwich',0.0], UNIT['Degree', 0.0174532925199433]], PROJECTION['Double_Stereographic'], PARAM
ETER['False_Easting',155000.0], PARAMETER['False_Northing', 463000.0], PARAMETER['Central_Meridian', 5. 387638
88888889], PARAMETER['Scale_Factor',0.9999079], PARAMETER['Latitude_Of_Origin', 52.15616055555555], UNIT['Met
er',1.0]]"
gp.snapRaster = ""
gp.outputZFlag = "Disabled"
gp.qualifiedFieldNames = "false"
gp.extent = "DEFAULT"
gp.XYTolerance = "0.01 Meters"
gp.outputzValue = ""
gp.outputMFlag = "Disabled"
gp.geographicTransformations = ""
gp.ZResolution = ""
gp.workspace $=$ "D:<br>JB_Thesis $\backslash \backslash$ Data $\backslash \backslash$ Application"
gp.MResolution = "
g. 2 Tolerance $=$ " "
\# GDB settings
Component = gp.workspace + "<br>IA_MSc_Thesis_Jan_Bakermans_Component_GDB.gdb $\backslash \backslash$ "
Project $=$ gp.workspace + "<br>IA_MSc_Thesis_Jan_Bakermans_Project_GDB.gdb $\backslash \backslash "$
Temporary $=$ gp.workspace + " $\backslash \backslash I A \_M S c \_T h e s i s \_J a n \_B a k e r m a n s \_T e m p o r a r y \_G D B . g d b \backslash \backslash "$ Raster = gp.workspace + "<br>raster <br>""
print "Workspace is: " + gp.workspace
\# Preprocessing
def DeriveFreeWalkableSpace ():
\# Free walkable space
Input: Topographical map
? > walkable areas
s > Shrunk walkable areas

- $>$ Free walkable space

Output: Free walkable space
Free walkable space (editable)
prefix = "a03_"
Select walkable areas
\# TOOL 1: Make selection layer
in_features = Project + "ps_TOP10_polygons"
out_layer = Temporary + prefix + "walk_layer"
gp.MakeFeatureLayer_management (in_features, out_layer)
TOOL 2: Select walkable areas
n_layer = out_layer
selection type = "ADD_TO_SELECTION"
 '03343' OR \"TDN_CODE \" = $103403^{\prime}$ OR $\backslash$ "TDN_CODE $\backslash "=103413^{\prime}$ OR $\backslash$ "TDN_CODE $\backslash "=103433^{\prime}$ OR $\backslash$ "TDN_CODE $\backslash "=$
 '05023' OR \"TDN_CODE \" = '05213' OR \"TDN_CODE\" = '05263' OR \"TDN_CODE ${ }^{\prime}$ " = '06513'"
gp.SelectLayerByAttribute_management (in_layer, selection_type, where_clause)
\# TOOL 3: Copy selection to walkable area feature class
out_feature_class = Temporary + prefix + "walk_select"
gp.CopyFeatures_management (in_layer, out_feature_class)
\# TOOL 4: Dissolve all walkable area
in_features = out_feature_class
out_feature_class = Temporary + prefix + "dissolution"
print ">>> Dissolving walkable area..."
gp.Dissolve_management (in_features, out_feature_class)
\# TOOL 5: Shrink walkable area
in_features = out_feature_class
out_feature_class = Temporary + prefix + "minbuffered"
buffer_distance = -15
grint "Buffer_analysis (in_features, out_feature_class, str(buffer_distance), "", "", "ALL")
\# TOOL 6: Expand walkable area
in_features = out_feature_class
out_feature_class = Temporary + prefix + "plusbuffered"
buffer distance $*=-1$
print ">>> Applying plusbuffer..."
gp.Buffer_analysis (in_features, out_feature_class, str(buffer_distance), "", "", "ALL")
\# Clip free walkable area to fit study area
\# in_features = Temporary + prefix + "walkable_area"
in_features $=$ out_feature_class
clip_features = Project + "ps_clipping_mask_study_area"
out_feature_class = Temporary + prefix + "plusbuffered_sa"
print ">>> Clipping study area..."
gp.Clip_analysis (in_features, clip_features, out_feature_class)
\# TOOL 7: Multi to single part
in_features = out_feature_class
out_feature_class = Temporary + prefix + "free_walkable_area"
print ">>> Multi to single part..."
gp.MultipartToSinglepart_management (in_features, out_feature_class)
\# TOOL 8 and TOOL 9: Add fields and calculate them to enable weight editing
in_table = out_feature_class
field_name_1 = "Area_ID"
field_name_2 = "WEIGHT"
field_type = "DOUBLE"
field_precision $=5$
field_scale = 0
gp.AddField_management (in_table, field_name_1, field_type, field_precision, field_scale) gp.AddField management (in table, field_name_2, field_type, field_precision, field_scale) gp. CalculateField_management (in_table, field_name_1, "[OBJECTID]")
gp.CalculateField_management (in_table, field_name_2, 1)
\# TOOL 10: Create back up to enable weight editing and preserve original
n table $=$ in table
out_feature_class = Temporary + prefix + "free_walkable_area_BAK"
gp. CopyFeatures_management (in_table, out_feature_class)
def Internalpaths ():
\# Internal paths
Input: Free walkable space (editable) Free walkable space Roads (polyline)

Free walkable space + Roads (polyline)
s > Connection points
s > Fixed paths
Free walkable space (editable)
s Free walkable space (raster)

Connection points + Free walkable space (raster)
s > Calculated paths
Calculated paths
s > Path cost table (table)
Connection points
s > Connections
Connections + Path cost table (table)

- > Internal paths (polyline)

Output: Internal paths (polyline) Fixed paths
prefix = "a04_"
\# Fixed paths
TOOL 11: Erase walkable space from roads
in_features = Project + "ps_TOP10_roads_block"
rase_features = Temporary + "a03_free_walkable_area"
out_feature_class = lemporary + prefix + "fixed_paths"
gp.Erase_analysis (in_features, erase_features, out_feature_class)
\# Connection points -----------------------
in_features = out_feature_class
out_feature_class = Temporary + prefix + "path_ends"
point_location = "BOTH_ENDS"
gp.FeatureVerticesToPoints_management (in_features, out_feature_class, point_location)
\# TOOL 13: Create layer to collect end points in
in_feature = out_feature_class
out_layer = Temporary + prefix + "path_ends_layer"
gp.MakeFeatureLayer_management (in_feature, out_layer)
\# TOOL 14: Select end points that are <adjacent to> free walkable space
in_layer = out_layer
overlap_type = "WITHIN_A_DISTANCE"
select_features = Temporary + "a03_free_walkable_area"
search_distance $=0.015$
gp.SelectLayerByLocation_management (in_layer, overlap_type, select_features, search_distance)
\# TOOL 14: Add end points that are <within> free walkable space to the selection
in_layer = out_layer
overlap_type = "WITHIN"
select features = Temporary + "a03_free_walkable_area"
selection_type = "ADD_TO_SELECTION"
gp. SelectLayerByLocation_management (in_layer, overlap_type, select_features, "", selection_type)
\# TOOL 15: Copy selection to connection point feature class
out_feature = Temporary + prefix + "connection_points"
gp. CopyFeatures_management (in_layer, out_feature)
\# Remove duplicate connection points
\#rows = gp.UpdateCursor(out_feature)
\#cur = rows.Next()
\#a = []
\#while cur:
\# if a.count([cur.shape.FirstPoint.x, cur.shape.FirstPoint.y, cur.shape.LastPoint.x, cur.shape.LastPoint.y, cur.shape.length]) $>0$ :
rows.DeleteRow(cur)
cur = rows.Next ()
else:
a.append([cur.shape.FirstPoint.x, cur.shape.FirstPoint.y, cur.shape.LastPoint.x, cur.shape.LastPoint.y, cur.shape.length])
cur = rows.Next()
\# Add area ID field
field_name = "Area_ID"
field_type = "SHORT"
gp.AddField_management (out_feature, field_name, field_type)
\# Add point ID field
field_name = "Point_ID"
field_type = "SHORT"
gp.AddField_management (out_feature, field_name, field_type)
in_feature $=$ Temporary + "a03_free_walkable_area_BAK"
fows = gp.updateCursor(in_feature)
cur $=$ rows. Next ()
areapointlist $=$ \{\}
del_list = []
\# Make point layer
in_feature $=$ Temporary + prefix + "connection_points"
out_layer2 $=$ Temporary + prefix + "point_layer"
gp.MakeFeatureLayer_management (in_feature, out_layer2)
while cur:
\# Make selection layer from area
print "Area_ID:", cur.OBJECTID
in_feature = Temporary + "a03_free_walkable_area_BAK"
out_layer1 = Temporary + prefix + "frame_layer"
where_clause $=$ " $\$ "OBJECTID
gp.MakeFeatureLayer_management (in_feature, out_layer1, where_clause)
\# Select points in point layer that belong to one area
in_layer = out_layer2
overlap_type = "WITHIN"
select_features = out_layer1
search_distance $=0.1$
gp.SelectLayerByLocation_management (in_layer, overlap_type, select_features, search_distance)
\# Calculate area ID for feature class
gp.CalculateField_management (in_layer, "Area_ID", cur. OBJECTID)
\# For each area, store point ID and coordinates in a dictionary for future use.
rows2 = gp.UpdateCursor(in_layer)
cur2 = rows2.Next ()
areapointlist[cur.OBJECTID] $=\{ \}$
i $=1$
while cur2:
\# Calculate point ID
cur2.SetValue("Point_ID", i)
rows2. Updaterow (cur2)
print "> Point_ID:", i
cur2 $=$ rows2. Next()
i $+=1$
cur = rows.Next()
\# Create Free walkable space (raster
\# Create raster from area
in_feature = Temporary + "a03_free_walkable_area"
value_field = "WEIGHT"
cell_size = 1
out_raster_dataset $=$ Raster + prefix + "_areas"
gp.PolygonToRaster_conversion (in_feature, value_field, out_raster_dataset, "", "", cell_size)
\# Create path feature class
out_path = Temporary
out_name = prefix + "internal_paths"
geometry_type = "POLYLINE"
gp.CreateFeatureClass_management (out_path, out_name, geometry_type)
\# Set environment settings
p.snapRaster $=$ out_raster_dataset
g.cellSize = 1
gp.mask $=$ out raster dataset
\# Set point dataset
point_dataset = Temporary + prefix + "connection_points"

Coler more cells when rasterizing
in features = point dataset
nut feature class = in features + " buff"
out_feature_class $=$
buffer_distance $=1$
gp.Buffer_analysis
\& Add Range field
field_name = "Range"
field_type $=$ "SHORT"
gp.AddField_management (out_feature_class, field_name, field_type)
fows = gp.searchCursor(point_dataset + "_buff")
cur = rows. Next ()
area_list = []
while cur:
aid $=$ cur.Area_ID
try:
area_list.index(aid)
except:
area_list.append(cur.Area_ID)
cur = rows.Next()
for aid in area_1ist:
in_table = point_dataset + "_buff"
out_view = Temporary + prefix + "temp_point_view"
where_clause $=$ " $\backslash$ "Area_ID $\backslash "="+$ str(aid)
gp.MakeTableView_management (in_table, out_view, where_clause)
in_table = Temporary + prefix + "temp_point_view"
out_table = Temporary + prefix + "temp_point_stat"
tatistics_fields = "Point_ID MAX"
gp.Statistics_analysis (in_table, out_table, statistics_fields)
rows = gp.SearchCursor (out_table)
cur = rows.Next ()
range_max $=$ int(cur.MAX_Point_ID)
gp.CalculateField_management (out_view, "Range", range_max)
index $=1$
p.snapRaster = Raster + prefix + " areas"
gp.snapRaster $=$ Raster + prefix + "_areas"
gp.extent $=$
"148182.934 410391.759 150146.178 412199.376"
g.cellSize = "1"
gp.mask = Raster + prefix + "_areas"
Calculate range
in_table = point_dataset
out_table = Temporary + prefix + "MAX_Point_ID"
statistics_fields = "Point_ID MAX"
gp.Statistics_analysis (in_table, out_table, statistics_fields)
rows = gp.SearchCursor (out_table)
cur = rows.Next()
range_max = int (cur.MAX_Point_ID)
or From_ID in range(1, range_max) :
range_to $=$ From_ID +1
for $T o$ ID in range (range_to, range max + 1)
print "From: " + str(From_ID) + " To: " + str (To_ID)
\# $\lll$ LOP S TARTS HERE >>>
in_features = Temporary + prefix + "connection_points_buff"
out_feature_class = Temporary + prefix + "sources"
where clause $=$ " \"Point_ID\" $=$ " + str (From_ID) + "AND \"Range\" <> \"Point_ID\"" gp.Select_analysis (in_features, out_feature_class, where_clause)
in_features = Temporary + prefix + "connection_points_buff"
out_feature_class = Temporary + prefix + "destinations"
where clause $=$ " $\backslash$ "Point ID $\="=$ + str (To ID)
gp.Select_analysis (in_features, out_feature_class, where_clause)
' ' '
in_features = Temporary + prefix + "dest"
erase_features = Temporary + prefix + "sources"
out_feature_class = Temporary + prefix + "destinations"
gp. Erase_analysis (in_features, erase_features, out_feature_class)
in_source_data = Temporary + prefix + "sources"
out_distance_raster = Raster + prefix + "dist_s"
in_cost_raster = Raster + prefix + "_areas"
gp.PathDistance_sa (in_source_data, out_distance_raster, in_cost_raster)
in_source_data $=$ Temporary + prefix + "destinations"
out_distance_raster = Raster + prefix + "dist_d"
in_cost_raster = Raster + prefix + "_areas"
gp.PathDistance_sa (in_source_data, out_distance_raster, in_cost_raster)
in_distance_raster1 = Raster + prefix + "dist_s"
in_distance_raster2 = Raster + prefix
gp.Corridor_sa (in_distance_raster1, in_distance_raster2, out_raster)
in_zone_data = Temporary + "a03_free_walkable_area_BAK"
zone_field = "OBJECTID"
in_value_raster = Raster + prefix + "corr"
out_raster = Raster + prefix + "corr_min"
statistics type = "MINIMUM"
ignore_nodata = "DATA"
gp.ZonalStatistics_sa (in_zone_data, zone_field, in_value_raster, out_raster, statistics_type,
ignore_nodata)

```
expression_string = "CON(" + Raster + prefix + "corr <= (" + Raster + prefix + "corr_min + 0.5), 1, 0)"
expression_string = raster = Raster + prefix + "corr_1"
gp.SingleOutputMapAlgebra_sa (expression_string, out_raster
in_conditional_raster = Raster + prefix + "corr_1"
in_false_raster_or_constant = "1"
out_raster = Raster + prefix + "corrs"
where clause = "\"VALUE\" = 0"
gp.SetNull_sa (in_conditional_raster, in_false_raster_or_constant, out_raster, where_clause)
tempmask = gp.mask
gp.mask = Raster + prefix + "corrs"
expression_string = "FOCALSUM (" + Raster + prefix + "corrs, CIRCLE, 3)"
out_raster = Raster + prefix + "ma1"
gp.SingleOutputMapAlgebra_sa (expression_string, out_raster)
expression_string = "FOCALMAX (" + Raster + prefix + "ma1, rectangle, 5, 5)"
out_raster = Raster + prefix + "ma2"
gp.SingleOutputMapAlgebra_sa (expression_string, out_raster)
in_raster = Raster + prefix + "ma2"
reclass_field = "VALUE"
remap = "0 24 1;24 100 NoData"
out_raster = Raster + prefix + "reclass"
gp.Reclassify_sa (in_raster, reclass_field, remap, out_raster)
in_source_data = Raster + prefix + "reclass"
gp.EucDistance_sa (in_source_data, out_distance_raster)
in_raster_or_constant1 = Raster + prefix + "eucdis"
in_raster_or_constant2 = 1
out raster = in raster or constant1 + " 1"
gp.Plus_sa (in_raster_or_constant1, in_raster_or_constant2, out_raster)
in_source_data = Temporary + prefix + "sources"
in_cost_raster = Raster + prefix + "eucdis_1"
out_backlink_raster = Raster + prefix + "bcklnk"
out_backlink_raster = Raster + prefix + "bckln
gp.CostBackLink_sa (in_source_data, in_cost_raster, out_backlink_raster, "", out_distance_raster)
in_destination_data = Temporary + prefix + "destinations"
in_cost_distance_raster = Raster + prefix + "dist"
in_cost_backlink_raster = Raster + prefix + "bcklnk"
out_raster = Raster + prefix + "paths"
path_type = "EACH_ZONE"
destination_field = "Area_ID"
gp.CostPath_sa (in_destination_data, in_cost_distance_raster, in_cost_backlink_raster, out_raster,
path_type, destination_field)
# Create path based on minimum path cost from statistics table
in_raster = out_raster
out_raster = Raster + prefix + "paths_1"
#where_clause = "\"PATHCOST\" < " + str(minpathcost + 0.1)
constant = 1
gp.Con_sa (in_raster, constant, out_raster)
# Create polyline from path
in_raster = out_raster
out_polyline_features = Temporary + prefix + "temp_path"
simplify = "SIMPLIFY"
    #raster field = "VALUE"
gp.RasterToPolyline_conversion (in_raster, out_polyline_features, "", "", simplify) #raster_field)
# Explode path into points
in_features = out_polyline_features
out_feature_class = Temporary + prefix + "temp_path_points"
gp.FeatureVerticesToPoints_management (in_features, out_feature_class)
# Generate near table
in_features = out_feature_class
near_features = Temporary + prefix + "connection_points"
out_table = Temporary + prefix + "near_table"
search_radius = "1"
location = "LOCATION"
gp.GenerateNearTable_analysis (in_features, near_features, out_table, search_radius, location)
in_table = out_table
out_table = Temporary + prefix + "nearby_connection_points"
where_clause = "\"NEAR_DIST\" < " + search_radius
gp.TableSelect_analysis (in_table, out_table, where_clause)
in_feature = Temporary + prefix + "temp_path"
rows3 = gp.searchCursor(in_feature)
cur3 = rows3.Next()
while cur3:
    print cur3.OBJECTID
    # Alter begin and end point
    in_feature = Temporary + prefix + "temp_path_points"
    outDesc = gp.describe(in_feature)
    outDesc = gp.describe(in_feature)
    point = gp.createobject("point")
    pntarray = gp.createobject("Array")
    partarray = gp.createobject("Array")
    where_clause = "\"ORIG_FID\" = " + str(cur3.OBJECTID)
```

```
rows4 = gp.searchCursor(in_feature, where_clause)
    cur4 = rows4.Next()
    rows4_next = gp.searchCursor(in_feature, where_clause)
    cur4_next = rows4_next.Next()
    cur4_next = rows4_next.Next()
    geometry = cur4.shape
    # Alter begin point
    in_table = Temporary + prefix + "nearby_connection_points"
    where_clause = "\"IN_FID\" = " + str(cur4.OBJECTID)
    rows5 = gp.searchCursor(in_table, where_clause)
    cur5 = rows5.next()
    point.id = 1
    point.x = cur5.NEAR_x
    point.x = cur5.NEAR_X
    pntarray.add(point)
    # Add mid section points
    i = 2
    cur4 = rows4.Next()
    cur4_next = rows4_next.Next(
    while cur4 and cur4_next:
        geometry = cur4.shape
        point.id = i
        point.x = geometry.centroid.x
        point.y = geometry.centroid.y
        pntarray.add(point)
        i += 1
        cur4 = rows4.Next()
        cur4_next = rows4_next.Next()
    # Alter end point
    # geometry = cur4.shape
    in table = Temporary + prefix + "nearby connection points"
    where_clause = "\"IN_FID\" = " + str(cur4.OBJECTID)
    rows5 = gp.searchCursor(in_table, where_clause)
    cur5 = rows5.next()
    point.id = i
    point.x = cur5.NEAR_x
    point.y = cur5.NEAR
    pntarray.add(point)
partarray.add(pntarray)
    # Insert final path in 'internal_paths'
    in_feature = Temporary + prefix + "internal_paths"
    rows5 = gp.insertCursor(in_feature)
    cur5 = rows5.NewRow()
    cur5.SetValue(shapefield, partarray)
    print "adding: ", i
    rows5.insertrow(cur5)
    partarray.removeall()
    pntarray.removeall()
    cur3 = rows3.Next()
gp.mask = tempmask
del rows3, rows4, rows5, cur3, cur4, cur5
```

def PedestrianInfrastructure():
\# Pedestrian Network
, 1 -----------
Input: Internal paths (polyline)
Fixed paths
Internal paths (poyline) + Fixed paths
> Pedestrian network
Output: Pedestrian network
prefix = "a05_"
n_data $=$ Temporary + "a04_fixed_paths
out_data $=$ Temporary + prefix + "pedestrian_infrastructure"
gp.Copy_management (in_data, out_data)
inputs = Temporary + "a04_internal_paths
arget $=$ Temporary + prefix + "pedestrian_infrastructure"
schema_type = "NO_TEST"
gp.Append_management (inputs, target, schema_type)
'''Function section'''
eriveFreeWalkableSpace(
nternalpaths()
PedestrianInfrastructure()

```
    \# Close down script
ime1 = time time()
elapsed \(=\operatorname{str}(\operatorname{int}((\) time1 \(-\operatorname{time} 0) / 60))+\min "+\operatorname{str}(i n t((((t i m e 1-t i m e 0) / 60)-\) int ((time1 - time0)/60))*60)) +
    "sec "
print "Script successfully executed in " + elapsed
del gp, sys, string, os, arcgisscripting, time, math, decimal
```

