

## **An African Approach for Risk Reduction of Soil Contaminated by Obsolete Pesticides**

**Joop Harmsen** (joop.harmsen@wur.nl) (Alterra/Aquatic Ecology and Water Management, Wageningen University and Research Centre, Wageningen, The Netherlands)  
Mohamed Ammati and Mark Davies (FAO, Rome, Italy)

Cheikh Hamallah SYLLA (African Stockpiles Programme -Mali, Bamako, Mali)  
Toumani SIDIBE (Centre Nationale de Lutte contre le Criquet Pèlerin, Bamako, Mali)

TRAORE Halimatou Koné (Laboratoire Central Vétérinaire, Bamako, Mali)  
Amadou DIALLO and Amadou SY Demba (Centre National de Lutte Antiacridienne, Nouakchott, Mauritania)

**ABSTRACT:** Since the 1950s, large amounts of pesticides were shipped to Africa for locust control, but did not arrive at the proper place or proper time thereby rendering them obsolete. Stockpiles of these pesticides have created a serious problem and The Africa Stockpiles Programme (ASP), launched by FAO, is designed to rid Africa of stockpiles and to dispose of them in an environmentally sound manner (ASP, 2009).

From July to August 2007, an investigation mission was organized by FAO pesticide management programme, in collaboration with Wageningen University and Research Centre and the relevant national counterpart institutions of the Ministries of Agriculture and the Ministries of Environment in Mali and Mauritania. During the investigation, three sites in Mali and three sites in Mauritania were visited in the summer of 2007.

High concentrations of pesticides were found in soils on the stockpiles. From a risk-based point of view, contaminations are only a risk if they are or may become available. Based on the results obtained and results of analysis of the samples taken, risk reduction proposals have been developed. All proposals are based on stimulation of the possibilities of biological degradation of the pesticides in combination with isolation and preventing rain water from transporting the pesticides. The results were discussed in May 2008 and the first implementation was started in Molodo (Mali) in July 2008.

### **INTRODUCTION**

A number of remote sites in Mali and Mauritania were contaminated by pesticides that were spilled during the course of desert locust control operations. The risk at each site differs according to a variety of factors, and in certain cases remedial action will be needed in order to protect both human health and the environment.

The Africa Stockpiles Programme (ASP), launched in September 2005, is designed to rid Africa of stockpiles of obsolete pesticides and to ensure new stockpiles do not accumulate. A key objective of ASP is to ensure that stockpiles are disposed of in an environmentally sound manner. Suitable technologies for disposal operations were evaluated in the first part of the project in the Disposal Technology Options study managed by World Wildlife Fund (Dyke, 2008). Because of logistical reasons, on-site treatment of waste and removal of the pesticides from contaminated soil was found to be a difficult task. Solutions have been found for repacking, removal and off-site treatment of the pesticides residues, but difficulties are still encountered in treatment of high amounts of soil

contaminated by leaking vessels. Physically transporting cleaning equipment, applying this equipment, and transporting of large amounts of contaminated soils was found to be unfeasible.

The work carried out and described in this paper provides an assessment of the current nature and extent of the contamination, the risk posed to health and environment, and the potential local solutions that may be applied to eliminate or substantially reduce the risks. High concentrations of pesticides can be found in soils where pesticides have been stockpiled. Regulations are mostly focused on these concentrations, but from a risk-based point of view, contaminations are only a risk if they are or may come available. A risk-based approach can be more useful than a concentration standards-based approach. This widens the range of options and, therefore, can facilitate more tailor-made solutions for individual sites that address the problem and are more viable. In a risk-based approach, stimulation of biodegradation of the pesticides and/or immobilization and isolation of the contaminant may play a role.

A site-specific assessment is necessary to provide a sensible solution. Some clean-up approaches are also highly sensitive to the site and the conditions so there is no simple prescription to fix all problems. The following steps are necessary:

1. Investigation of the site, including historical use, the spread in the soil system, possibilities of transport, hydrology, climatologically conditions, etc.
2. Defining of the site specific risks.
3. Gathering of missing information

Based on all the information a remediation plan can be made to reduce risks, which has to be locally discussed

4. Possibilities for site specific and sustainable remediation by risk reduction.

After reaching agreement on the measures to be taken, realizing the local possibilities the last step follows


5. Implementation of the risk reduction measures.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Three sites in Mali (Molodo, Sévaré, and Niogoméra) and three sites in Mauretania (Nouakchott, Kiffa, and Letfatar) were investigated according the first 4 steps in the summer of 2007. It has already been established in earlier investigations that the sites were heavily contaminated. Therefore, the investigations in this project were not focused on the extent of the contamination, but on the possibilities of transport of the pesticides and establishing, by specific sampling and analysis, if this transport really did occur. In the approach used within the African Stockpile Program, reduction of risks for people living in the area of a contaminated site is considered as a primary goal to achieve. Risks are associated with the possibilities of transport of contaminants to target organisms. In the project, we concentrated on humans as primary target organisms and cattle as secondary. Cattle are important because they are of economic interest and supply food (meat and milk) for the local population. Contact with pesticides is possible by (see Figure 1):

- Direct, physical contact on the site
- Inhalation of volatilized pesticides

- Contact with pesticides that are transported from the site by (1) run-off in water phase facilitated by rain and (2) transport of pesticides adsorbed to soil by wind erosion
- Consumption of groundwater, polluted by the pesticides as a result of leaching
- Consumption of forage/crops cultivated in the site that take up contaminants.



**FIGURE 1. Risks to be considered on a contaminated site.**

There were very large differences between sites: small airports, an irrigated agricultural area, urban area, and sites at the boarder of the desert. All sites needed a specific approach. For example, the approach in Molodo is given. Molodo is situated in the Inner Delta of the Niger in an agricultural area. There was an old storage for pesticides and a large soil contamination was present at the corner of the storage. The yearly rain fall is between 250 and 600 mm. The most important identified risks were surface run-off by rain and leaching to the groundwater. So two gradients were distinguished: one in the direction of the groundwater (depth) and a horizontal one following the run-off water. Soil samples have been taken by augering (Figure 2). The samples were analyzed on different pesticides. In addition, a concrete construction was present in which old vessels were dumped (Figure 2).

Based on the result of the investigation in the summer of 2007, proposals were developed to reduce the risks of the pesticides. These proposals were the basis for discussion (in May 2008) with the local stakeholders for consideration and for further implementation of the measures to reduce the risks of the obsolete pesticides (Harmsen, 2008). The actions necessary have been worked out in detail by SYLLA (2008). Plans have been further developed for the locations in Molodo (Mali) and Letfatar (Mauretania). The start of the first implementation took place at Molodo from July 14 to 17, 2008.



**FIGURE 2. Sampling at the corner of the storage and removed vessels in one of three concrete construction, both in Molodo.**

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Monitoring.** From all six sites, the following general conclusions could be drawn:

- On all locations vegetated and biological active zones were present, in which bio-degradation could occur.
- The amount of precipitation is limited—from 20–200 mm/year in Nouakshott to 250–600 mm/year in Molodo. Most rainfall comes in from June to September. The evaporation is higher and if it is possible to use vegetation, all precipitation can be evaporated, thereby preventing leaching to the groundwater system.
- In Mali, transport of contaminants by surface run-off caused by heavy rains may occur.
- In Mauretania, polluted soils can be transported by wind.
- In Mauretania, formation of sand dunes can be used to cover the pollution and prevent. Vegetation can be used for stabilization of these dunes and to evaporate the small amount of rain.

In Molodo, it was observed that the clay acts as an isolation to the groundwater. This layer was very compact and dry, also on a depth where groundwater could be expected (depths of surrounding wells). This was confirmed by the experience of the local population. To dig a well, it was necessary to pass this clay layer. In the center of the pollution, pesticides could be observed also on higher depths (Table 1). In the area influenced by run off (7 and 21 meter from the center), only the surface soil was contaminated.

**TABLE 1. Measured concentration of pesticides in soil samples  
from Molodo (all concentration in mg/kg).**

	Center							Distance 7 meter	Distance 21 m
Depth in cm	10	50	100	150	200	220	240	10	10
smell	HS	HS	HS	HS	HS	HS	HS	NS	NS
cyanophos					0.2				
dieldrin	26	12.5	651	25	1300	76	171	3.5	24
cyhalothrin				0.2	1.3				1.3
malathion					0.8				60
pyridaphenthion					0.06		0.03		
fenitrothion	33				6.7	0.4	0.1		
parathion ethyl	76,000	3,900	2,300	266	5,900	375	920		
parathion methyl					5.1	0.5	1.2		
phenthoate					5	0.4	0.1		
fenvaterate	19						0.1		
chlorpyrifos ethyl								0.08	
tetrachlorovinphos							0.03		
phosalone							0.3		

*No Value means below detection limit*

*HS= high smell NS= no smell*

The nondegradable Dieldrin was also detected on distance of the center, showing that transport by run-off has occurred. Parathion ethyl was present in high concentration in the center, but not on distance. Parathion is biodegradable and could be degraded in the vegetated and biological active soil.

**Proposals for Risk Reduction.** For remediation, the following strategy has been followed:

1. If possible, removal of the contamination at the source and spreaded contamination by biological treatment using landfarming. Landfarming is a simple and cheap technology, applicable all over the world.
2. Isolation of the contaminant by evaporation of the precipitation using vegetation
3. Isolation of the contamination by using natural covers (e.g., sand dunes in Mauretania).
4. Increasing adsorption capacity of soil by adding local available black carbon (charcoal). Organic contaminants are strongly adsorbed by black carbon (Koelmans et al., 2006).
- 5.

In Molodo, the soil is mainly contaminated with Dieldrin and parathion ethyl, which are respectively non-degradable and degradable. The surface soil besides the contaminated center is biological active and can be used as the start of a landfarm. It can be expected that parathion ethyl, in soil from the center mixed with the surface soil, will be degraded. As part of the activities in Molodo the vessels from the 3 concrete construction (Figure 2) were removed and these constructions were large enough as final destination of the land-farmed soil. A final safe destination is necessary, because dieldrin is not degradable. To prevent leaching from the concrete construction, the adsorption capacity of the soil on the bottom has to be increased by adding grinded charcoal. In the final situation the origin of

the pollution (center), the area used for landfarming and the final destination have to be vegetated using deep-rooting vegetation that can survive under local dry conditions and are not eaten by cattle (BOUMEDIANA, 2001). *Vetiveria* (Mafei, 2002) and *Jatropha* have been selected.

**Implementation.** The first implementation was started in Molodo in June 2008, followed by Sévaré in September 2008. The first results of Molodo are described below (see also Figure 3).

For logistical reasons, it was necessary to start with the excavation of the center. One of the concrete constructions has been used for temporary storage. Care was taken not to break the clay layer to prevent direct contact with the groundwater. The soil on the bottom has been enriched before with charcoal to increase the adsorption capacity. For refilling of the hole, bioactive surface soil has been used. Doing this, biological activity was introduced at the contact layer of center soil left and the soil used for refilling. It is expected that this activity will slowly decrease the residual concentration. After filling the soil has been enriched with local available compost and vegetated with *vetiveria* and *jatropha*.

A small landfarm has been constructed, just besides the center. This landfarm has been enriched with compost for further biological activation. A first charge of the contaminated soil has been spread on the landfarm. The results of this first charge are given in Table 2.

**TABLE 2. Pesticides concentration in soil on the landfarm,**

	July 16, 2008			November 11, 2008		
	Parathion-ethyl g/kg d.m.	Dieldrin g/kg d.m.	Ratio	Parathion-ethyl g/kg d.m.	Dieldrin g/kg d.m.	Ratio
1	0.527	0.786	0.67	0.0095	0.442	0.021
2	1.497	0.518	2.89	0.021	0.745	0.028
3	1.615	0.869	1.86	0.011	2.775	0.004
4	3.085	1.081	2.85	0.01	0.775	0.013
5	0.868	0.459	1.89	< 0.003	0.118	<0.025
<b>Average</b>	<b>1.52</b>	<b>0.74</b>	<b>2.03</b>	<b>0.011</b>	<b>0.97</b>	<b>0.018</b>

As expected the dieldrin concentration on the landfarm keeps constant. This also shows that the sampling on both days was comparable and the same depths have been sampled. The parathion-ethyl concentration has decreased significantly. More than 99% has been degraded. Use of the ratio also shows that more than 99% has been degraded. This degradation has been considered as successful. In the following step part of the soil will be transported to the final destination in the concrete construction and replaced by a new charge. After the last charge, all the soil in the landfarm will be transferred to the concrete construction and replaced by clean soil. The concrete construction will be covered with clean soil and a permanent vegetation will be planted based on the experience with the vegetation planted in 2008. The same permanent vegetation will be planted in the landfarm area.





**FIGURE 3. Implementation of risk reduction in Molodo. (1) Filling of the excavated center with biological active soil. (2) Making elevations around the landfarm. (3) Mixing the first charge on the landfarm. (4) Sampling on the landfarm. (5) Vegetation on the center area and around the landfarm. (6) Enrichment of soil in the concrete construction with charcoal.**

## CONCLUSIONS

Many sites in Africa are polluted with obsolete pesticides that were sent to Africa for locust control. In pilot sites in Mali and Mauretania, remediation strategies have been developed that reduce risks and can be used under difficult African conditions. The remediation strategies are based on application of bioremediation using landfarming and isolation of the center of contamination. Implementation was started in 2008 and was successful.

## REFERENCES

- ASP. 2009. [www.africastockpiles.org](http://www.africastockpiles.org)
- BOUMEDIANA, A.O.I.O. 2001. *Végétation dunaire en Mauretanie*. In El Mector, A.O. (ed). *Formation et migration des dunes*. Actes du 2ème Atelier International Université de Nouakchott. Faculté des Sciences et Technique. 7-13 février, 2001. 118-124
- Dyke, P.H. 2008. *Disposal Technology Options Study*. PD consultants
- Harmsen, J. 2004. *Landfarming of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and mineral oil contaminated sediments*. PhD-thesis Wageningen Universiteit (<http://library.wur.nl/wda/dissertations/dis3662.pdf>).
- Harmsen, J., W.H. Rulkens, R.C. Sims P.E. Rijtema and A.J. Zweers. 2007. Theory and application of landfarming to remediate PAHs and mineral oil contaminated soils and sediments, *J. Env. Quality*, 36, 36, 1112-1122.
- Harmsen, J. 2008. *Pilot project on soil remediation in Mali and Mauretania*. Alterra-report 1642, Alterra Wageningen.
- Koelmans, A.A., M.T.O. Jonker, G. Cornelissen, T.D. Buchelli, P.C.M. Noort, Ö van Gustafsson. (2006). Black carbon: The reverse of its dark side. *Chemosphere* 63 (3), 365 - 377.
- Mafei, M. 2002. *Vetiveria, the genus Vetiveria*. Taylor & Francis, London.
- Tomlin, C.D.S. 2003. *The Pesticide Manual*. Thirteenth edition. British Crop Protection Council, Alton, Hampshire, UK.
- SYLLA, Cheikh Hamallah. 2008-1. *Réunion de restitution de la première mission d'investigation des sites contaminés 12 & 13 mai 2008*. Report ASP-Mali



# An African Approach for Risk Reduction of Soil Contaminated by Obsolete Pesticides

Joop Harmsen

Mohammed Ammati, Mark Davies (FAO), Cheikkh Hammalah SYLLA (African Stockpile Programme, Mali). Toumani SIDIBE (Centre Nationale de Lutte contre le Criquet Pèlerin, Mali). Halimatou Koné TRAORE (Laboratoire Central Vétérinaire, Mali). Amadou DIALLO and Amadou SY Demba (Centre National de Lutte Antiaeridiénne, Mauretania)




# Problem 1: Locust



Photos FAO



# Problem 2: Obsolute pesticides




# Risks



# Problem

- Application of pesticides for locust control has lead to soil pollution.
- Polluted soils are a risk for men and environment.
- A sustainable solution is needed

# The assessment circle





# From problem to solution

- Complete clean-up of soil is difficult
  - Northern technology is not locally available
  - Northern technology is expensive
  - Logistics are a problem (transfer of equipment or transfer of large amounts of polluted soil)
- Risk reduction?
- Is there a local African way to solve the problem?

# Objectives of the project

Investigate the possibilities to reduce the risks of the contaminated sites using (July, 2007):

- Biodegradation of pesticides
  - Local possibilities for stimulation of biodegradation
  - Local possibilities for isolation of pollution
- 
- Make a plan for sustainable management of the sites (Discussed May, 2008)
- 
- Start implementation (July, 2008)

# Pilots

## ■ Mali

- Molodo
- Sévaré
- Niogoméra

## ■ Mauretania


- Nouakchott
- Letfetar
- Kiffa



# Risks of pesticides in soil

Risks are:

- Site specific
- Monitoring necessary




# Set-up

- Investigation of the site
  - History,
  - Presence of contamination
  - Hydrology
  - Biological activity
  - Climate (temperature, rain)
- Defining site specific risks
- Missing information
  - Sampling and analysis
- Define possibilities for risk reduction
- Implementation of measures

# Direction of solutions

## ■ Biodegradation

- Soils are active



Niogémer



Kiffa

## ■ Isolation

- Evaporation of rain water using vegetation



Covered  
landfarm,  
Nouakchott



Natural  
sand  
dunes,  
Ledfatar



# Pilot 1 - Molodo



Irrigation area, high  
ground water table

Rainfall 250-600 mm/year

# Identified risks

- Direct contact
- Run-off
- Leaching



## Bore holes


- Different distances
- Depth 3 meter



# Identified risks

- Direct contact +
- Run-off +/-
- Leaching —

- High pollution 0-3 m
  - Dieldrin
  - Parathion ethyl
- Isolating clay layer



# Molodo, measures

- Remove source and temporary storage
- Stimulate biodegradation (parathion)
- Use surface soil for inoculation
- Use local depots.
- Immobilize Dieldrin





# Actions Molodo July 2008



Removal of old  
vessels



Excavation of source  
and transport to  
temporary depot



# Molodo, source



Refilling with active soil



Adding compost



Revegetation

- Jatropha
- Vetiver



# Bioremediation in landfarm



Temporary depot



Bioremediation in  
landfarm



Monitoring



Final depot



# Results landfarm

July 16, 2008				November 11, 2008		
	Parathion-ethyl g/kg d.m.	Dieldrin g/kg d.m.	Ratio	Parathion-ethyl g/kg d.m.	Dieldrin g/kg d.m.	Ratio
1	0.53	0.79	0.67	0.0095	0.44	0.021
2	1.50	0.52	2.89	0.021	0.75	0.028
3	1.62	0.87	1.86	0.011	2.78	0.004
4	3.09	1.08	2.85	0.01	0.78	0.013
5	0.87	0.46	1.89	< 0.003	0.12	<0.025
<b>Average</b>	<b>1.52</b>	<b>0.74</b>	<b>2.03</b>	<b>0.011</b>	<b>0.97</b>	<b>0.018</b>



# Protection



Fence vegetation  
around landfarm



Fence vegetation  
around depot



# Remediation Sévaré, September 2008



Excavation of source



Preparation of landfarm



Cleaning used planes



Landfarm in use

# Conclusions

- It is possible to reduce the risks on the investigated sites
- Bioremediation can be used to remove the pollutants, Natural bioremediation already occurs
- Isolation can be used to prevent leaching, direct contact, run-off and wind erosion
  - Existing clay layers
  - Natural dunes
  - Non consumptive vegetation to evaporate rainfall
- Define final and sustainable use of the sites
- From monitoring to implementation – 1 year

# Thanks for your attention



Wild life



People



Food and  
drinks



Publicity



1000 star  
Hotel

