




**Stichting Wageningen Research  
Centre for Fisheries Research (CVO)**

**Annual report on the implementation of Council  
Regulation (EC) No 812/2004 – 2018**

A.S. Couperus

CVO Rapport 19.021

18 December 2019



# **Stichting Wageningen Research Centre for Fisheries Research (CVO)**

## **Annual report on the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 812/2004 – 2018**

A.S. Couperus

CVO report: 19.021

Commissioned by:  
Ministerie van LNV, Directie NVLG  
Postbus 20401  
2500 EK Den Haag  
T.a.v. Ir. I.H. Janssen

Project number: 4311213026, 4311213027  
BAS code: WOT-05-001-014-Monitoring bijvangsten

Publication date: 18<sup>th</sup> of December 2019

Stichting Wageningen Research  
Centre for Fisheries Research (CVO)  
P.O. Box 68  
1970 AB IJmuiden  
Phone. +31 (0)317-487418

Visitor address:  
Haringkade 1  
1976 CP IJmuiden

*This research is part of the statutory task programme "fisheries research" and funded by the Dutch Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality.*

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18174/509868>

© 2019 CVO

De Stichting Wageningen Research-  
Centre for Fisheries Research is  
registered in the Chamber of commerce  
in Gelderland nr. 09098104,  
VAT nr. NL 8089.32.184.B01

CVO rapport ENG V09

This report was prepared at the request of the client above  
and is his property. No part of this report may appear and /  
or published, photocopied or otherwise used without the  
written consent of the client.

# Annual report on the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 812/2004<sup>1</sup> – 2018

**Member State: Netherlands**

**Reference Period: 2018**

**Date: 18 December 2019**

**Author: A.S. Couperus**

---

<sup>1</sup> Council Regulation (EC) No 812/2004 of 26.4.2004 laying down measures concerning incidental catches of cetaceans in fisheries and amending Regulation (EC) No 88/98.

Article 6 of the Regulation,

*1. Each year, Member States shall send the Commission, by 1 June, a comprehensive annual report on the implementation of Articles 2, 3, 4 and 5 during the previous year. The first report shall cover both the remaining part of the year following the entry into force of this Regulation and the entire year that follows.*

*2. On the basis of the observers' reports provided according to Article 5(3) and all other appropriate data, including those on fishing effort collected in application of Council Regulation (EC) No 1543/2000 of 29 June 2000 establishing a Community framework for the collection and management of the data needed to conduct the common fisheries policy, the annual report shall include estimates of the overall incidental catches of cetaceans in each of the fisheries concerned. This report shall include an assessment of the conclusions of the observers' reports and any other appropriate information, including any research conducted within the Member States to reduce the incidental capture of cetaceans in fisheries. When reporting on the results of scientific studies or pilot projects as provided for in Articles 2(4) and 4(2), Member States shall ensure that sufficiently high quality standards are reached in their design and implementation and shall provide detailed information concerning those Standards to the Commission.*

## Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....	4
Summary .....	5
ACOUSTIC DETERRENT DEVICES.....	6
1 General Information .....	6
2 Acoustic Deterrent Devices.....	6
2.1 Mitigation measures .....	6
3 Monitoring and assessment .....	6
3.1 Monitoring and assessment of the effects of pinger use .....	6
OBSERVER SCHEMES .....	7
4 General information on implementation of Articles 4 and 5.....	7
5 Monitoring .....	9
5.1 Description of fishing effort and observer effort in towed gear .....	9
5.2 Description of fishing effort and observer effort in static gear .....	9
6 Estimation of incidental catches .....	10
6.1 Incidental catch rates by fleet segment and target species.....	10
7 Recording of incidental catches .....	11
8 Discussion .....	11
9 References.....	12
TABLES .....	14
Justification.....	18

## Summary

This report contains the results of the on-going monitoring programme on the incidental bycatch of cetaceans in Dutch pelagic fisheries in 2018. EU Council Regulation 812/2004 requires observer coverage in ICES areas VI, VII and VIII in the period 1 December – 31 March (fleet segment NLD003) and outside this area in all areas year round (fleet segment NLD004). In the Dutch situation the monitoring is integrated with the collection of catch data under the EC Data Collection Regulation 199/2008 and Decision 93/2010.

In 2018, during 11 fishing trips, 63 days and 170 hauls were observed in fleet segment NLD003, and 121 days and 304 hauls were observed in fleet segment NLD004. With a total number of fleet days of 456 in fleet segment NLD003 and 922 in fleet segment NLD004, the coverage was 13.8% and 13.1% respectively. Thus the target of the Pilot Monitoring Scheme (PMS) of 10% for NLD003 and 5% for NLD004 has been fulfilled. In addition to these trips, one observer trip was carried out on board of a foreign flagged trawler which makes the total number of monitored trips by the Netherlands twelve. The observer effort on board foreign trawlers consisted of 12 days (46 hauls), covering approximately 6.5% of the total Dutch monitoring effort. The data collected during the trips on foreign flagged vessels will be made available to the ICES database on incidental bycatch.

The observed bycatch rate of 0.00 dolphins per day in the pelagic fishery in 2018 is in line with the findings in 2006 - 2017 when the observed bycatch rate was 0.00-0.01 dolphins per day.

In addition to cetaceans, this report includes information on incidental bycatches of mega fauna species listed in Table 1D of EU Decision 2016/1251. 21 blue fin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) were caught in thirteen incidents by the NLD003 and NLD004 fleet segments in 2018; 6 grey seals (*Halichoerus grypus*) were caught in five incidents; 50 porbeagles (*Lamna nasus*) were caught in 28 incidents; three basking sharks (*Cetorhinus maximus*) were caught in one incident, one thresher shark (*Alopias sp.*), one blue shark (*Prionace glauca*) and one sunfish (*Mola mola*: this species not listed in table 1D) were caught in three separate incidents. For ICES subdivision 4b the bycatch rate for Grey Seal is 0.71 animals/day, based on 4 incidents, 5 specimens and 7 observer days by 139 fleet days. Likewise the bycatch rate of porbeagle in subdivision 7h is 3.09 animals/day, based on 15 incidents, 34 specimens and 11 observer days by 65 fleet days.

This report also presents the results of 4 monitoring day trips in set gill nets fishery. No incidental bycatch incidents of mega fauna, rare fish or bird were recorded during these trips.

Since January 2017 the monitoring of all protected species is implemented in the new Data Collection Framework (DCF). The national data on bycatch will be provided in a yearly data call from ICES WGBYC including data on bycatch of cetaceans presented in this report.

# ACOUSTIC DETERRENT DEVICES

## 1 General Information

EU regulation 812/2004 obliges the use of pingers in set gill net and drift net fleet segments. According to the criteria mentioned in the regulation, the Dutch fishery includes no fleet segments in which pingers are mandatory.

## 2 Acoustic Deterrent Devices

### 2.1 Mitigation measures

The use of pingers is obligatory in ICES sub area IV for vessels larger than 12m in the period 1 August till 31 October, using nets that do not exceed 400m length (the regulation intends to cover set nets fishery at wrecks, where relatively short net lengths are being used). The vast majority of the Dutch set gillnet fleet fishes in this period for sole with much longer nets.

## 3 Monitoring and assessment

### 3.1 Monitoring and assessment of the effects of pinger use

If some vessels are required to use pingers, this is not registered or known by governmental authorities, nor are the fishermen aware that they should use pingers. Most probably, no acoustic deterrents are in use by Dutch gill net fishers. This would be against the EU Council Regulation 812/2004. However, the number of vessels larger than 12m fishing on wrecks (that is with nets that not exceed 400m) is most likely very low if not zero.

# OBSERVER SCHEMES

## 4 General information on implementation of Articles 4 and 5

Council Regulation No 812/2004<sup>2</sup> obliges Member States to monitor bycatches of cetaceans in certain fisheries, certain periods of the year and in certain European Waters and to report the results of the monitoring to the European Commission. The aim of this study is to assess the incidental bycatch of cetaceans in the Dutch pelagic fisheries.

Under the regulation the following fleet segments in the Netherlands should be monitored:

- Pelagic fishery in European waters in the period of 1 December till 31 March in ICES areas VI, VII and VIII; in this report referred to as fleet segment NLD003 for single pelagic vessels.
- Pelagic fishery in European waters during the year excluding the fishery in the period 1 December till 31 March in ICES areas VI, VII and VIII; in this report referred to as fleet segment NLD004 for single pelagic vessels.

The regulation does not require monitoring of fishery with set gill nets in ICES area IVc where (most of) the overall set gill net fishery activity from Dutch ports takes place. However, observer effort in set gill net fishery is reported here, because gill nets are known to be relatively sensitive for incidental bycatch of cetaceans and the regulation encourages the execution of pilot studies in other fleet segments.

Under the regulation, a coverage should be reached leading to a CV of the bycatch estimate of 30% or less. However, in a situation where there are very few bycatch incidents, this CV is not realistic (ICES 2009). Therefore, the target of the current monitoring programme in the Netherlands is to cover the fleet effort according to the Pilot Monitoring Scheme (PMS), in the Regulation originally set for the first two years. The required pilot coverage is 10% (effort fishing days) for the period of 1 December till 31 March in ICES area VI, VII and VIII and 5% in the remainder of the year with exclusion of fleet segment NLD003. In the Dutch situation the monitoring is integrated with the collection of catch data through scientific observers under the EU Data Collection Framework: CD 2010/93<sup>3</sup> and CR 199/2008<sup>4</sup>. The project under this regulation aims at an overall coverage of approximately 10% fishing effort (days) in European waters and includes pelagic trawlers under foreign flag, which land the catch in Dutch ports. Data collected under EC. Reg. 812/2004 on board of these vessels are sent to the scientists responsible for the execution of the national monitoring programs in their countries.

Earlier studies on the incidental bycatch of cetaceans have been reported by Couperus (1995) and Couperus (1997a) covering the period 1992 -1996. The period 2004 – 2017 is covered by standard reports on the implementation of EC Regulation 812/2004.

WMR conducts monitoring of bycatch of cetaceans through the statutory tasks programme of the Centre for Fisheries Research on behalf of the Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality (LNV).

Difficulties: In the observer programme for the pelagic freezertrawler fleet, the observer effort is spread quasi random over the year. Annually, 12 trips are sampled, homogeneously distributed (monthly) over the year. Vessels are selected in cooperation with the pelagic fishery companies. Each company is asked to accommodate 3-4 observer trips in each year. The selection procedure is ad hoc, and, therefore not

---

<sup>2</sup> Council Regulation (EC) No 812/2004 of 26.4.2004 laying down measures concerning incidental catches of cetaceans in fisheries and amending Regulation (EC) No 88/98

<sup>3</sup> Commission Decision 2010/93 of 18 December 2009 adopting a multiannual Community programme for the collection, management and use of data in the fisheries sector for the period 2011-2013 (extended to 2016)

<sup>4</sup> COUNCIL REGULATION (EC) No 199/2008 of 25 February 2008 concerning the establishment of a Community framework for the collection, management and use of data in the fisheries sector and support for scientific advice regarding the Common Fisheries Policy



considered random. The fishing area is not a consideration in the stratification of sampling trips. The choice of fishing area and target species is usually a last minute decisions of the owner of the vessel, and may even alter during the trip itself. Therefore, it is impossible to foresee or plan the exact effort in the area that has to be monitored under EC Regulation No 812/2004.

The fact that the sampling programme includes the monitoring of vessels that are fishing under foreign flag and land in Dutch ports, adds to the unpredictability of the coverage of the Dutch fleet segments. The Dutch observer effort on board foreign trawlers in 2018 was one trip out of twelve.

## 5 Monitoring

### 5.1 Description of fishing effort and observer effort in towed gear

In 2018 the pelagic freezer trawler fleet fishing in EU waters consisted of 17 freezertrawlers of which 8 fished under Dutch flag. The remaining 9 fished under German (4 vessels), French (2 vessels), UK (1 vessel), Faroese (1 vessel), and Lituianian (1 vessel) flag. The freezertrawlers fishing from December to March in ICES sub areas VI, VII and VIII are labelled fleet segment NLD003. Fleet segment NLD004 are the same freezertrawlers fishing in area's I-XIV all year round.

In 2018 an observer joined 11 trips fishing under Dutch flag that can be attributed to segments NLD003-NLD004, corresponding with 184 observer days. According to the national logbook database, the number of days fished by the whole pelagic Dutch flagged fleet (NLD003-NLD004) in 2018 was 1378 days. With 184 observer days the overall coverage of the Dutch pelagic fleet was 13.4%. The number of sampled hauls was 474, 170 in NLD003 and 304 in NLD004. The text table provides the effort and coverage by fleet segment:

Fleet segment	Fleet days	Observer days	Coverage required according to PMS	Coverage achieved
Pelagic trawl (NLD003)	456	63	10%	13.8%
Pelagic trawl (NLD004)	922	121	5%	13.1%
Trammel nets	No data	0	0%	No data
Gill nets	No data	4	0%	No data
Set Nets (unspecified)	No data	0	..	..

Table 2 provides fleet effort and observer coverage by ICES subarea.

The fleet effort data were extracted from the WMR VISSTAT database. This database is meant to provide fleet effort data for fisheries research. It contains an extraction of governmental data that were originally collected for fishing control (logbook data). Therefore the data have some limitations.

For example: a vessel may have visited several areas on one day which means that a day on which a vessel fished in two areas is counted as two days. Thus the sum of all days at sea is not necessarily the same as the total fishing days at sea. Observer days and fleet days during which no fishing took place are not counted as effort days. The data collected during these trips are made available to the ICES database on incidental bycatch (WGBYC Database).

In addition to the 11 trips on board Dutch flagged freezertrawlers, 1 observer trips took place on board one British flagged freezertrawler (12 days, 46 hauls), consisting of 9.7% of the total Dutch observer effort in the pelagic freezertrawler fishery. The data collected during the trips onboard foreign vessels are also made available to the ICES database on incidental bycatch (WGBYC Database).

### 5.2 Description of fishing effort and observer effort in static gear

In 2018 the set gill net fishery was monitored under the DCF (see footnotes paragraph 4).

The Dutch set gill net fleet consists of 70-100 vessels. Most of them are operated by part time fishermen. Therefore, many vessels do not fish for extended periods during the year. Some do not fish at all. Most of the vessels fish with tangle nets for sole. Part of the fleet (5-10 vessels, depending on the catches and the market) switches in winter to trammel nets, targeting cod, turbot and mixed flatfish (brill, plaice, dab, flounder). A few vessels (the exact number is unknown) may fish at wrecks with gill nets for cod or near dams for bass. A few vessels (the exact number is unknown) operate from the

beach. Since most vessels are very small, trip duration is normally one day. Approximately five vessels are larger than 12m and may stay at sea overnight.

Since 2017 the Dutch government aims to sample 10 trips of vessels that operate with passive gear per year without pre-stratification to net type. Sampled fleets include vessels that fish with nets, fykes, lines and traps. In 2018 set nets were used in four of the 10 sampled trips.

Unfortunately no 2018 fishing effort data by day were available for set nets in the VISTAT database. This is caused by a new regulation of the Dutch government that set net fishers do not have to report on daily basis.

## **6 Estimation of incidental catches**

### **6.1 Incidental catch rates by fleet segment and target species**

In the Dutch pelagic fishery monitoring programme (fleet segment NLD003 and NLD004) and in the gill net fishery, no incidental bycatch incidents of cetaceans were reported. Incidental bycatch of non-cetacean species, including birds, mammals and reptiles and fish (of fish only "megafauna" is reported here) protected under Union legislation and international agreements as listed in Table 1D of the new DCF<sup>5</sup>, consisted of 5 incidents in which 6 grey seals (*Halichoerus grypus*) were caught; 13 incidents in which 21 blue fin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) were caught; 28 incidents with 50 individuals of porbeagle (*Lamna nasus*), one incident with three basking sharks (*Cetorhinus maximus*) and one incident in which a thresher shark (*Alopius* sp.) was caught and one incident in which a blue shark was recorded as bycatch. In addition to mega fauna listed in table 1D, a sunfish was caught in one incident (table 4). The recorded bycatch incidents seem to indicate that the annual bycatch of porbeagle in ICES subdivision 7h (month 12 & 1-3) and grey seal in subdivision 4b (month 1-12) may be high. The bycatch rates are 3.01 individual/day (11 days observed during one trip/65 fleet days) and 0.71 individual/day (7 days observed during one trip/139 fleet days) respectively.

No bycatch of megafauna or birds were recorded in set gill nets and trammel nets.

---

<sup>5</sup> COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION (EU) 2016/1251 of 12 July 2016 adopting a multiannual Union programme for the collection, management and use of data in the fisheries and aquaculture sectors for the period 2017-2019 (notified under document C(2016) 4329)

## 7 Recording of incidental catches

On board the pelagic freezertrawlers, the observer was present on the bridge during shooting and hauling of each tow. Position and time were recorded at the beginning ending of each haul. The rear window of the bridge gives a good view on the rear deck, so that most bycatches of cetaceans can be recorded from there. Any incidental bycatch, species and number of specimens must be recorded and if possible length and sex of each specimen. In some fisheries – where bycatch of megafauna is expected – netting with large meshes is used to prevent large catch items end up in the codend obstructing the entrance of the fish pump. In these cases the crew may get rid of bycaught megafauna during hauling by zipping the part of the net open that is not yet on deck: large items which got stuck in the netting fall into the water without being recorded by the observer. The observer is instructed to check and ask for the use of this netting.

On board gill net vessels, the hauling continues over extended periods of time. Observers take length frequency samples of the fish caught during hauling. Therefore they may miss the bycaught specimens of cetaceans that drop out of the net before the net during the process of hauling. For this reason numbers presented in this report are considered to be minimum numbers. However, given the closeness of the observer to the actual hauling process on board these very small vessels, it is believed that the observed numbers are unlikely to differ from the actual numbers.

## 8 Discussion

With 13.8% coverage of fleet segment NLD003 the PMS target of 10% has been fulfilled. Also the target of 5% for the fleet segment NLD004 has been fulfilled (13.1% coverage). As explained above, the observer effort is combined with the DCF sampling following a quasi-random scheme. The observer programme is combined with the collection of catch data which aims at an overall random coverage of 10%. Therefore, the coverage in the fleet segments varies from year to year.

The fishing area of a freezertrawler trip is often not known until it leaves port and may change during the trip itself. In addition, the actual observer effort during transport towards -, from - and between fishing areas is not taken into account in this report for practical reasons. For example the assignment to metiers of sail- and search time is complicating – whereas an observer has to stay on board the whole trip. The reason that this year's coverage exceeds the PMS target with such a high degree is that the main observer effort in 2018 – similar as in 2017 - was on Dutch vessels. For example, more than half of the Dutch observer effort in 2016 – when the PMS target was not fulfilled - was carried out on board foreign vessels and did not add to the coverage of the Dutch fleet, but to the German and French coverage.

The recorded bycatch rate of cetaceans in the Dutch pelagic fishery is 0.00 (no cetaceans in 185 observed days), which is similar to rates found in 2005 - 2017. In the Dutch fishery, bycatches of dolphins occurred in the past mainly in the fishery for horse mackerel and mackerel west of Ireland in February and March (Couperus 1997b). The relatively low bycatch rates in 2005 – 2018 compared to the rates in the nineties are probably related to a shift in effort from the horse mackerel towards the blue whiting fishery during these two months (Couperus 2006): the fishery of blue whiting takes place in a different area (off shelf, deeper), probably resulting in less overlap with small cetaceans.

Due to the high number of hauls without bycatches it is not possible to estimate the bycatch rates of cetaceans with a CV lower than 0.30 as required in the EU Regulation. The same is the case for incidental bycatch of the other megafauna reported here. If extrapolated to the fleet the total bycatch mortality of cetaceans caused by Dutch pelagic freezertrawlers in the 2005-2018 seasons is in the order of magnitude of zero to several tens. However, data from the nineties suggest that the bycatch rate may vary, partly induced by changes in the quotas of pelagic target species (Couperus, 1997b).

The high bycatch rates of porbeagle in ICES subdivision 7h and grey seal in subdivision 4b may be reason for concern. The data would need more thorough statistical analysis, but this is beyond the scope of this report.

The bycatches of grey seals occurred in September in the fishery for spawning herring off the UK coast (subdivision 4b). Has this area/period been overlooked in the previous years? The table below shows fleet – and observer effort during the last five years.

Year	ICES subdivision 4b			Subdiv 7h – month 1,2,3&12	
	Fleet days	Days obs	Days obs - month 9	Fleet days	Observed days
2013	26	0	0	28	3
2014	103	0	0	13	6
2015	65	10	0	3	2
2016	81	12	4	1	0
2017	84	14	3	1	0
2018	139	7	7	65	11

The number of observed days in subdivision 4b in 2018 is slightly lower than in the previous years, but were all in September. The fleet effort (139 days) in 4b is high in comparison to previous years. Unfortunately the fleet effort by month is not readily available for this report. Assuming that the bycatch is related to the month of September and if the fleet effort occurred mainly in September, the bycatch of grey seals might be considerable. However the distribution of observer effort in 2015-2017 (comparison of column "Days obs" with "days obs – month 9) seems to indicate that less than half of the effort was in September. In addition during the four and three observer days in 2016 and 2017 no bycatches were recorded. Hence there is no indication that the bycatch rate in previous years was as high as in 2018. In terms of absolute numbers, it is unlikely that the number of bycaught individuals has been as high as in 2018, because the fleet effort was much lower. Nevertheless the results found in the present survey calls for a close attention towards this fishery,

The incidental bycatch of porbeagle has been recorded since January 2017, after the implementation of the new EU-MAP scheme. In comparison to 2017, both the fleet – and observer effort are high in 2018. Furthermore the fleet effort in 2013-2016 was much lower. The observed high bycatch rate of porbeagle is therefore most likely a new feature in the Dutch pelagic trawl fishery.

In the set net monitoring programme no bycatch incidents of cetaceans were observed in 4 day trips (0.5 day in trammel- and 3.5 days in set gill net fishery). Earlier monitoring pilot studies resulted in zero bycatches in 34 day trips with gill nets for mullet and bass (Klinge 2008); 1 harbour porpoise during 48 day trips with trammel- and gill nets (Couperus *et al.* 2009); 6 harbour porpoises in 24 REM-monitoring days and zero porpoises in 6 days of Remote Electronic Monitoring (REM) for sole and 4 for bass (Helmond en Couperus 2011). In a recent REM study (Scheidat *et al.* 2018), investigating the Dutch set gillnet fleet, the estimated bycatch rates for single wall gill nets were 0.0070/fishing day, 0.1068/ton landed or 0.0006/km daily net length. The estimated bycatch rates for trammel nets were 0.0181/fishing day, 0.1562/ton landed and 0.0040/km daily net length. The combined rates were 0.0087/fishing day, 0.1011/ton landed and 0.1145/km daily net length. These bycatch rates resulted in estimated yearly mortality of 19 (95% C.I. 1-36 ), 28 (95% C.I. 0-64), 23 (95% C.I. 2-44) or 0.05%, 0.07% and 0.06% of the total population (41299, 95% C.I. 21194 – 79256) on the Dutch continental shelf in summer 2015 (Geelhoed, 2013, 2014 and 2015).

## 9 References

- Couperus, A. S. (1995). By-catch of marine mammals and discards in pelagic trawl fisheries (BIOECO-Duch participation). RIVO, rapport nr: CO30/95. IJmuiden.
- Couperus, A. S. (1997a). By-catch of marine mammals and discards in pelagic fisheries (MAMDIS). RIVO, rapport nr: CO14/97. IJmuiden.

- Couperus, A. S. (1997b). Interactions between Dutch midwater trawl and Atlantic white-sided dolphins (*Lagenorhynchus acutus*) southwest of Ireland. *Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fishery Science* 22: 209-218.
- Couperus, A. S. (2006). Monitoring of incidental catches of cetaceans by Dutch pelagic trawlers, July 2004 - December 2005. Centrum voor Visserijonderzoek (CVO), rapport. IJmuiden.
- Couperus, A. S., G. Aarts, J. v. Giels, D. d. Haan en O. v. Keeken (2009). Onderzoek naar bijvangst bruinvissen in de Nederlandse visserij. Imares, rapport nr: C039/09. IJmuiden.
- Geelhoed, SCV, Scheidat, M, van Bemmelen, RSA and Aarts, G (2013) Abundance of harbour porpoises *Phocoena phocoena* on the Dutch Continental Shelf, Aerial Surveys in July 2010 - March 2011. *Lutra* 56(1):45-57.
- Geelhoed, SCV, Lagerveld, S, Verdaat, JP and Scheidat, M (2014) Marine mammal surveys in Dutch waters in 2014. IMARES Report number C180/14.
- Geelhoed, SCV, Lagerveld, S and Verdaat, JP (2015) Marine mammal surveys in Dutch North Sea waters in 2015. IMARES WAGENINGEN UR, Institute for Marine Resources & Ecosystem Studies, Report number C189/15, The Netherlands.
- Helmond, A. T. M. v. en A. S. Couperus (2011). Elektronische monitoring van kleinschalige staandwantvisserij. IMARES, rapport nr: CO034.12. IJmuiden.
- ICES (2009). Report of the Study Group for Bycatch of Protected Species (SGBYC), Copenhagen, Denmark, ICES.
- Klinge, M. (2008). Ecologische inpasbaarheid staand want visserij kustwateren (exclusief Noordzeekustzone) - Onderzoek naar bijvangst watervogels en zeezoogdieren. Witteveen & Bos/Aquaterra-Kuiperburger, rapport nr: DDT124-1/rijm3/026. Rotterdam.
- Van der Hammen, T. (2017). No Title - letter report on recreational set net fishery in the Netherlands in 2016-2017. Wageningen University, rapport. IJmuiden.
- Scheidat, M., Couperus, B. and Siemensma, M. (2018). Electronic monitoring of incidental bycatch of harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) in the Dutch bottom set gillnet fishery (September 2013 to March 2017). Wageningen University & Research report C102/18: 78 pp.

# TABLES

Table 1. Pingers used in fleet segment set gill nets.

Metier	Fishing area	Pinger characteristics	Other mitigation measures
-	-	-	-

Table 2. Fleet effort and observer effort in towed gear

Fishery segment (ref in this report)	Metier	Fishing area	Total fishing effort						Total observer effort achieved						Type of monitoring*	Coverage
			No. of vessels	No. of trips	Days at sea	Months of operation	No. of hauls	Total towing time	No. of vessels	No. of trips	Days at sea	Months of operation	No. of hauls	Total towing time (min)		
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.6.a	7	16	138	1,2,3 & 12	unk	unk	2	2	21	1,2,3 & 12	12	2045	PMS	15.2%
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.6.b	5	5	17	1,2,3 & 12	unk	unk	1	1	2	1,2,3 & 12	6	905	PMS	11.8%
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.b	6	6	23	1,2,3 & 12	unk	unk	0	0	0	1,2,3 & 12	0	0	PMS	0.0%
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.c	6	10	77	1,2,3 & 12	unk	unk	1	1	9	1,2,3 & 12	44	3845	PMS	11.7%
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.d	7	9	85	1,2,3 & 12	unk	unk	1	1	11	1,2,3 & 12	55	910	PMS	12.9%
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.e	1	2	4	1,2,3 & 12	unk	unk	0	0	0	1,2,3 & 12	0	0	PMS	0.0%
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.h	6	10	65	1,2,3 & 12	unk	unk	1	1	11	1,2,3 & 12	26	4285	PMS	16.9%
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.j	4	5	17	1,2,3 & 12	unk	unk	0	0	0	1,2,3 & 12	0	0	PMS	0.0%
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.k	4	4	25	1,2,3 & 12	unk	unk	1	1	9	1,2,3 & 12	27	3735	PMS	36.0%
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.8.b	2	2	5	1,2,3 & 12	unk	unk	0	0	0	1,2,3 & 12	0	0	PMS	0.0%
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.2.a	4	7	105	1-12	unk	unk	1	1	2	1-12	4	1650	PMS	1.9%
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.2.b	0	0	0	1-12	unk	unk	1	1	1	1-12	1	300	PMS	
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.4.a	8	34	268	1-12	unk	unk	4	4	39	1-12	117	14518	PMS	14.6%
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.4.b	8	19	139	1-12	unk	unk	1	1	7	1-12	15	1045	PMS	5.0%
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.4.c	3	7	9	1-12	unk	unk	0	0	0	1-12	0	0	PMS	0.0%
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.5.b	2	3	28	4-11	unk	unk	0	0	0	1-12	0	0	PMS	0.0%
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.6.a	8	26	255	4-11	unk	unk	3	4	52	4-11	130	32605	PMS	20.4%
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.b	2	2	2	4-11	unk	unk	1	1	1	4-11	1	105	PMS	50.0%
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.d	5	12	68	4-11	unk	unk	2	2	9	4-11	15	2830	PMS	13.2%
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.e	4	6	7	4-11	unk	unk	1	1	1	4-11	2	455	PMS	14.3%
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.f	2	2	5	4-11	unk	unk	0	0	0	4-11	0	0	PMS	0.0%
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.g	3	4	7	4-11	unk	unk	1	1	1	4-11	1	185	PMS	14.3%
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.h	2	3	4	4-11	unk	unk	0	0	0	4-11	0	0	PMS	0.0%
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.j	3	7	24	4-11	unk	unk	2	2	8	4-11	18	5000	PMS	33.3%
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.8.b	1	1	1	4-11	unk	unk	0	0	0	4-11	0	0	PMS	0.0%
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.8.d	0	0	0	4-11	unk	unk	1	1	1	4-11	1	50	PMS	

\*PMS = Pilot Monitoring Scheme



Table 3. Fleet effort and observer effort in static gear (Nets=unspecified; GNS=Set Gill Nets; GTR; Trammel Nets)

Metier	Fishing area	Total fishing effort						Total observer effort achieved						Type of monitoring*	Coverage
		No. of vessels	No. of trips	Days at sea	Months of operation	Total length of nets	Total soak time	No. of vessels	No. of trips	Days at sea	Months of operation	Total length of nets	Total soak time		
GNS	27.4.b					unk	unk	0	0	0	1-12	0	0	PMS	
GNS	27.4.c					unk	unk	4	4	5	1-12	24290	84	PMS	
GTR	27.4.c					unk	unk	1	1	1	10-12	390	25	PMS	

\* PMS = Pilot Monitoring Scheme

Table 4. Bycatch rates. Incidental catch rates are expressed as in specimens/days. No incidental catch estimate is provided for GNS, because the sampled trip was not representative for Dutch set gill net effort (see main text). \* The incidental catch estimate provided is calculated by multiplying the incidental catch rate with the effort (day at sea) in table 2.

Fishery segment (ref in this report)	Metier	Fishing area	Main target species	Incidental caught species	Number of incidents	Number of specimens incidentally caught by species		Incidental catch rates		Total incidental catch estimate	CV
						With pingers	Without pingers	With pingers	Without pingers		
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.6.a	Blue whiting	Thynnus thunnus	1	0	1	0	0.05	6.57	
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.6.b	Blue whiting	Thynnus thunnus	1	0	1	0	0.50	8.50	
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.h	Horse mackerel	Lamna nasus	16	0	34	0	3.09	200.91	
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.h	Horse mackerel	Thynnus thunnus	1	0	1	0	0.09	5.91	
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.h	Horse mackerel	Cetorhinus maximus	1	0	3	0	0.27	17.73	
NLD003	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.k	Blue whiting	Thynnus thunnus	7	0	14	0	1.56	38.89	
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.4.b	Herring	Halichoerus grypus	4	0	5	0	0.71	99.29	
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.4.b	Herring	Lamna nasus	1	0	1	0	0.14	19.86	
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.6.a	Blue whiting	Lamna nasus	7	0	8	0	0.15	39.23	
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.6.a	Blue whiting	Thynnus thunnus	2	0	3	0	0.06	14.71	
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.6.a	Horse mackerel	Halichoerus grypus	1	0	1	0	0.02	4.90	
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.d	Horse mackerel	Thynnus thunnus	1	0	1	0	0.11	7.56	
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.d	Horse mackerel	Lamna nasus	2	0	2	0	0.22	15.11	
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.e	Horse mackerel	Alopias sp.	1	0	1	0	1.00	7.00	
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.g	Horse mackerel	Lamna nasus	1	0	1	0	1.00	7.00	
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.g	Horse mackerel	Prionace glauca	1	0	1	0	1.00	7.00	
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.j	Blue whiting	Lamna nasus	2	0	4	0	0.50	12.00	
NLD004	OTM small pelagic fish	27.7.j	Blue whiting	Mola mola	1	0	1	0	0.13	3.00	

## Justification

CVO Report: 19.021

Project number: 4311213036

The quality of this report has been peer reviewed by a colleague scientist and the head of CVO.

Approved by: Mw. H.M.J. Overzee  
Researcher




Signature:

Date:

19 December 2019

Approved by: Ing. S.W. Verver  
Head Centre for Fisheries Research



Signature:

Date:

19 December 2019