

The SAUR gene family: the plant's toolbox for adaptation of growth and development

Stortenbeker, N., & Bemer, M.

This is a "Post-Print" accepted manuscript, which has been published in "Journal of Experimental Botany"

This version is distributed under a non-commercial no derivatives Creative Commons (CC-BY-NC-ND) user license, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and not used for commercial purposes. Further, the restriction applies that if you remix, transform, or build upon the material, you may not distribute the modified material.

Please cite this publication as follows:

Stortenbeker, N., & Bemer, M. (2019). The SAUR gene family: the plant's toolbox for adaptation of growth and development. Journal of Experimental Botany, 70(1), 17-27. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/ery332

You can download the published version at:

https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery332

The *SAUR* gene family: the plant's toolbox for adaptation of growth and development

Running title: SAUR genes ensure dynamic growth adaptation

Niek Stortenbeker^{*} and Marian Bemer

Author affiliations:

- Niek Stortenbeker, Department of Molecular Plant Physiology, Institute for Water and Wetland Research, Radboud University Nijmegen, Heyendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJ Nijmegen, the Netherlands.
- Marian Bemer, Laboratory of Molecular Biology and Business Unit Bioscience, Wageningen University & Research, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708 PB Wageningen, the Netherlands.

* Current address: Microbial Physiology Group, MPI for Marine Microbiology, Celsiusstr. 1, D-28359 Bremen, Germany. <u>nstorten@mpi-bremen.de</u>

Corresponding author: Marian Bemer, marian.bemer@wur.nl, +31 317 487 806

Keywords: acid growth, auxin, brassinosteroids, cell elongation, growth adaptation, PIFs PP2C.D, Small Auxin Upregulated RNA, SAUR.

1

2 Highlight:

We discuss the importance of SAUR genes for plant growth adaptation, focussing on their
 molecular functions and the various mechanisms for regulation of SAUR activity.

5 6

7 Abstract

The family of Small Auxin Up-Regulated genes (SAURs) is a family of auxin-responsive genes 8 with about 60 to 140 members in most higher plant species. Despite the early discovery of 9 their auxin responsiveness, their function and mode of action remained unknown for a long 10 time. In recent years, the importance of SAUR genes for the regulation of dynamic and 11 adaptive growth, and the molecular mechanisms by which SAUR proteins act are increasingly 12 understood. SAURs play a central role in auxin-induced acid growth, but can also act 13 14 independently of auxin, tissue-specifically regulated by various other hormone pathways and transcription factors. In this review, we summarize the recent advances in SAUR gene 15 characterization in Arabidopsis and other plant species. We particularly elaborate on their 16 capacity to fine tune growth in response to internal and external signals, and discuss the 17 breakthroughs in understanding the mode of action of the SAURs in relation to their complex 18 regulation. 19

20

21

22 Introduction

The first discovery of small transcripts that rapidly responded to auxin dates back to 1987 from 23 experiments with elongating soybean hypocotyls (McClure and Guilfoyle, 1987). In the years 24 thereafter, these small auxin upregulated RNAs (SAURs) were also identified in tobacco, 25 Arabidopsis and maize (Gil et al., 1994; Knauss et al., 2003; Newman et al., 1993), all showing 26 a rapid induction after auxin treatment. Both the transcript and protein half-lives were found 27 28 to be very short (Knauss et al., 2003; McClure and Guilfoyle, 1989; Newman et al., 1993), 29 indicating that SAUR activity can be quickly reduced after removal of the auxin stimulus, allowing very dynamic responses. Because the transcripts were identified in elongating 30 hypocotyls and induced by the growth-hormone auxin, which had been proposed to induce 31 32 cell elongation via acid growth (Rayle and Cleland, 1970; Rayle and Cleland, 1980), a link between auxin, SAUR gene expression and cell elongation was apparent. However, genetic
 evidence demonstrating the role of SAURs in auxin-induced cell elongation remained absent
 for a long time.

It was the renewed interest in SAUR gene function in combination with a strong 36 increase in the availability of genetic and molecular tools and resources, which recently 37 allowed to link the SAURs to auxin-induced growth in correspondence with the acid growth 38 theory. First, different SAURs were found to induce cell elongation in Arabidopsis when 39 overexpressed (Chae et al., 2012; Li et al., 2015; Spartz et al., 2012; Stamm and Kumar, 2013), 40 and secondly, Spartz et al. (2014) made a major contribution to the field by showing that 41 SAURs can interact with PP2C.D phosphatases to inhibit their activity. This inhibition prevents 42 membrane H⁺-ATPases from being dephosphorylated, which increases their activity and 43 induces cell wall acidification. Thus, SAURs indeed induce plant growth by regulating cell wall 44 acidification. In addition to induction by auxin, SAURs can be regulated by a plethora of other 45 upstream factors, thereby regulating growth dynamically in response to internal as well as 46 environmental cues (e.g. Favero et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2018; Kodaira et al., 2011; Oh et al., 47 2014; van Mourik et al., 2017). Because SAUR overexpression is sufficient to induce growth 48 49 (Fendrych et al., 2016; Spartz et al., 2017), other upstream factors may regulate SAUR-50 mediated growth independent of the auxin pathway. SAURs have thus been unveiled as 51 growth-factors that are essential for both normal plant development as well as adaptation to 52 environmental conditions. In the last few years, SAUR studies from species other than Arabidopsis have also been emerging, broadening our view on the importance of SAURs in the 53 plant kingdom. 54

Here, we review the recent advances in *SAUR* gene characterization in Arabidopsis as well as in other plant species, and discuss their conservation and divergence in the plant kingdom. We will summarize the novel insights into the molecular function of the SAURs, and in particular elaborate on the different mechanisms of upstream and downstream regulation of *SAUR* activity, which allow the plant to fine-tune growth is a tissue-specific manner under different environmental conditions.

61

62 SAUR gene evolution in the plant kingdom

The *SAURs* form a plant-specific gene family, with the most basic members described in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*, which contains 18 *SAUR* genes (Rensing *et al.*, 2008). Notably,

the Aux/IAA-ARF-mediated auxin signalling is also present from the moss lineages to the 65 66 higher plants (Lau et al., 2009), suggesting that SAUR genes have been important for the output of the auxin response from the beginning of land plant evolution onwards. Thanks to 67 recent advances in genome sequencing, SAUR families could be described in a large number 68 of species. Besides Arabidopsis, which contains 79 SAUR genes (Ren and Gray, 2015), most 69 higher plant species contain between 60 and 140 SAUR genes in their genomes, which are 70 often arranged in clusters (Chen et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2018; Jain et al., 2006; Li et al., 2017; 71 Wang et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2012). This high level of tandem and segmental duplications is 72 remarkable, but may to some extent be explained by the small size of the SAURs, permitting 73 duplication of the complete gene without loss of essential regions. 74

SAUR genes are generally intronless, with open reading frames predicted to encode 75 proteins of a size between 7 and 20 kDa (about 60 to 180 amino acids) (Chen et al., 2014; Jain 76 et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2012). These proteins have a conserved core of 77 approximately 60 residues, whereas the homology at the N-termini and C-termini is rather low 78 (Jain et al., 2006; Park et al., 2007; Ren and Gray, 2015). Within this core region, Wu et al. 79 80 (2012) identified four highly conserved motifs, present in the vast majority of the SAUR 81 proteins. The presence of these highly conserved motifs suggests that the SAUR proteins all 82 share a conserved basic function (see below). However, their variable N- and C-termini also 83 hint at distinct roles. For example, intracellular localization has been found to be different for 84 the SAUR proteins (e.g. Ma et al., 2017; Markakis et al., 2013; Park et al., 2007; Qiu et al., 2013; Spartz et al., 2012), and may thus be encoded by the less conserved N- or C-terminus. 85 In addition, histidine-rich regions in the N- and C-termini of some Arabidopsis, sorghum, 86 87 tomato and potato SAURs were suggested to allow metal-binding (Wu et al., 2012), and some maize, Arabidopsis (SAUR70) and soybean SAURs have been shown to bind calmodulin via 88 89 their N-terminus (Popescu et al., 2007; Yang and Poovaiah, 2000), while many more are expected to have this capacity (Ren and Gray, 2015). The presence of the divergent N- and C-90 termini thus suggest functional divergence amongst the SAUR proteins. 91

Kodaira et al. (2011) published a phylogenetic tree of the Arabidopsis SAURs, in which three distinct SAUR clades could be recognized (indicated as clades I to III). To discuss the conservation and divergence of the SAURs in a broader perspective and evaluate the position of the Arabidopsis clades, we used the protein sequences from Arabidopsis, *Physcomitrella*, potato, tomato, rice and sorghum SAURs to construct a phylogenetic tree of the SAUR family.

97 Based on this analysis, the plant SAUR family can be divided into three subfamilies, which all 98 contain both monocot and eudicot sequences (see Figure 1 for an overview and Supplemental Figure S1 for the complete tree). However, all Physcomitrella SAURs group together in one 99 clade of subfamily A (green in Figure 1), which consists of two Physcomitrella subclades that 100 are sister to a third subclade containing SAURs from sorghum, rice, potato and Arabidopsis. 101 These ancestral SAURs have sequences that are quite divergent from the other SAURs (see 102 Supplementary data File 1). The other two subfamilies, B and C, have only evolved after the 103 divergence of the mosses. These subfamilies contain clades that are lineage-specific for either 104 higher plants, monocots, eudicots, Arabidopsis or Solanum. This reveals that a considerable 105 number of recent gene duplication events have taken place throughout the evolutionary 106 history of the SAUR family, and that the duplicates have often been retained. This retention 107 may be explained by the advantage that a higher number of SAUR genes offers the plant. The 108 increasing complexity of higher land plants and their capability of colonizing different habitats 109 probably also raised a higher demand for growth adaptation in response to environmental 110 factors such as herbivory, shade and drought. The retention of duplicated SAUR clusters in 111many different plant lineages suggests that SAUR copies are in general beneficial for the 112 113 plant's fitness, probably enhancing the plant's options to regulate growth.

114 Interestingly, proteins classified into clades I and II by Kodaira et al. were recovered in 115 two clades of subfamily C, most distantly related from the ancestral SAURs, while clade III 116 SAURs are dispersed over many clades. The Arabidopsis SAUR63-clade, placed into clade II by Kodaira et al., can be found back as a separate clade ('clade IV') in our analysis. The SAURs 117 from clades I and II appear to possess functions distinct from those of clade III SAURs, as many 118 119 are responsive to abscisic acid (Kodaira et al., 2011) and regulate cell elongation in seedlings (Sun et al., 2016) (see next section). This brings forward the intriguing possibility that these 120 functions have evolved more recently and are particularly important for the growth of higher 121 122 plants.

123

124 SAUR function and mode of action

125

126 Cell elongation and growth

127 The long period between the discovery of auxin-upregulated RNAs and their functional 128 characterization can be ascribed to the fact that single *SAUR* knock-outs rarely give a mutant

phenotype due to redundancy. In addition, distinct overexpression phenotypes could often 129 130 only be observed after stabilization of the protein through fusion with for example GFP (Chae et al., 2012; Spartz et al., 2012). The first functional data therefore originated from 131 overexpression of fusion proteins or simultaneous downregulation of a group of paralogous 132 genes using amiRNA silencing. The majority of these studies showed that overexpression of 133 SAUR genes can induce cell elongation in Arabidopsis (Bemer et al., 2017b; Chae et al., 2012; 134 Franklin *et al.*, 2011; Kong *et al.*, 2013; Spartz *et al.*, 2012; Stamm and Kumar, 2013; van Mourik 135 et al., 2017). Recently, Sun et al. (2016) used a comprehensive approach to show that light-136 regulated seedling growth in Arabidopsis is controlled by a group of 32 redundantly acting 137 SAURs. These so-called *lirSAURs* (light-induced in cotyledons and/or repressed in hypocotyls) 138 are responsible for auxin-induced hypocotyl elongation in the dark and/or for the expansion 139 of cotyledons upon exposure to light. Phytochrome Interacting Factors (PIFs) are important 140 for this regulation in both tissues, but surprisingly, their breakdown upon exposure to light 141 reduces SAUR expression in the hypocotyls, while inducing it in the cotyledons (Sun et al., 142 2016). The mechanisms behind this opposite effect remain to be resolved, but different co-143 144 factors probably play a role (Sun *et al.*, 2016).

145 Although the function of the SAURs has thus far been mainly studied in Arabidopsis 146 seedlings, there is increasing evidence that their cell-elongating function goes far beyond the 147 juvenile stage, regulating growth in many different tissues. In addition to expression data, 148 which show plant-wide SAUR gene activity in various species (Hu et al., 2018; Jain et al., 2006; van Mourik et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2012; Xie et al., 2015), overexpression studies revealed that 149 150 SAUR activity can induce growth in leaves, stems and floral organs (Chae et al., 2012; Spartz 151 et al., 2012; van Mourik et al., 2017). Interestingly, the specific expression of a SAUR50-like gene from sunflower on the east side of the stem correlates with the diurnal bending of the 152 apex towards the sun (Atamian et al., 2016), and there is evidence that the Arabidopsis 153 SAUR10 gene, which is upregulated in shaded conditions, affects the degree of branch bending 154 (Bemer et al., 2017b). This indicates that SAURs can also regulate light responses in the adult 155 phase in different plant species. In conclusion, the majority of SAUR genes probably play a role 156 in the induction of growth via cell elongation. 157

Auxin-induced cell elongation has been hypothesized to occur according to the acid growth theory, based on the observation that a low pH induces cell wall loosening (Rayle and Cleland, 1970) and that H⁺ excretion takes place in response to auxin application (Rayle and

Cleland, 1980). Recently, the mechanism by which acid growth occurs via auxin and SAURs 161 162 was step-by-step elucidated. First, Chen et al. (2010) showed that auxin induces phosphorylation of the plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase AHA1 in vitro. Plasma membrane H⁺-163 ATPases, of which AHA1 and AHA2 have the highest expression (Ren and Gray, 2015), require 164 phosphorylation of the C-terminal Thr-947 residue and subsequent binding of a 14-3-3 protein 165 for activation (Fuglsang et al., 1999). Takahashi et al. (2012) then demonstrated that auxin 166 treatment increases the phosphorylation levels and 14-3-3 binding *in planta*, without changing 167 the amount of H⁺-ATPases. The localization of SAUR19-clade proteins to the plasma 168 membrane prompted Spartz et al. (2014) to investigate whether SAURs could regulate the H⁺-169 ATPases, thereby discovering the link between auxin and cell membrane acidification, and 170 achieving a major break-through in the understanding of SAUR function. In their study, Spartz 171 et al. showed that SAUR proteins can interact with protein phosphatases of the PP2C.D family 172 to inhibit their function. This prevents dephosphorylation of the H⁺-ATPases, resulting in 173 increased H⁺-ATPase activity and induced membrane acidification (Figure 2A). Cell growth is 174 subsequently probably achieved by activation of cell-wall expansins due to the low apoplastic 175 176 pH, as well as increase of osmotic water flow due to plasma membrane hyperpolarization 177 (Spartz et al., 2017). Arabidopsis SAURs from different clades were tested for their ability to 178 reduce PP2C.D activity in vitro, and they all exhibited this capacity (Spartz et al., 2014; Sun et 179 al., 2016), suggesting that repression of PP2C.D activity is the general mechanism by which 180 SAURs induce cell elongation. The Arabidopsis PP2C.D subfamily consists of nine members, of which three (D2, D5 and D6) are located to the plasma membrane. In a recent paper, Ren et 181 al. (2018) showed that the three plasma-membrane localized PP2C.D members are the 182 183 primary regulators of AHA activity in planta, although small contributions of the other PP2C.Ds, of which some can interact in vitro with SAUR19 as well, cannot be excluded. The 184 phenotype of the d2d5d6 triple mutant is similar to that of SAUR overexpression lines, with 185 increased cell elongation in seedlings, leaves, stem and floral organs (Ren et al., 2018), 186 suggesting that the SAUR-induced cell elongation is regulated via interaction with these 187 PP2C.Ds throughout the plant. 188

189

190 SAUR function in other processes

191 Interestingly, the overexpression lines of some *SAURs* were reported to display phenotypes 192 other than increased cell elongation, indicating that *SAUR* family genes may perform

7

additional functions. Some of these functions can probably be related to their interaction with
 PP2C.Ds, while the mechanisms underlying other observed phenotypes may rely on different
 factors. In this section, we shortly discuss the involvement of SAURs in other processes based
 on the different phenotypes that have been reported.

An early senescence phenotype has been observed in overexpression lines of SAUR10, 197 SAUR36 and the rice gene OsSAUR39 (Bemer et al., 2017b; Hou et al., 2013; Kant et al., 2009), 198 while saur36 knock-out mutants exhibited a delayed leaf senescence phenotype (Hou et al., 199 2013). Thus, SAURs appear to induce senescence, a function that may be regulated by 200 interaction with a PP2C.D phosphatase, as Xiao et al. (2015) identified the PP2C.D phosphatase 201 SENESCENCE-SUPPRESSED PROTEIN PHOSPHATASE (SSPP) as an important negative regulator 202 of leaf senescence. SSPP (PP2C.D7 according to TAIR, but designated PP2C.D1 in Ren et al. 203 2018), which is mainly cytosolic localized, dephosphorylates the senescence-inducing 204 receptor-like kinase AtSARK, localized at the plasma membrane (Figure 2B) (Xiao et al., 2015). 205 SAURs may thus interact with SSPP in the cytosol, thereby repressing its activity and activating 206 AtSARK and leaf senescence. 207

208 Several other studies reported SAUR overexpression phenotypes not related to cell 209 elongation. In particular the few studies that published about nuclear-localized SAURs report 210 overexpression phenotypes different from cell elongation. Overexpression of SAUR32, the 211 first characterized Arabidopsis SAUR gene, leads to reduced hypocotyl growth and abolished 212 apical hook formation in the dark. The gene does not respond to auxin or light (Park et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2016) and is localized to the nucleus, suggesting that it does not interact with 213 the plasma membrane PP2C.Ds. Overexpression of SAUR76, which is predominantly nuclear 214 215 localized, does not promote cell elongation either, but affects the meristematic activity of the tissues, with less cells in the leaves and more cells in the roots (Markakis et al., 2013). Both 216 genes thus appear to have a function in the nucleus that may be unrelated to interaction with 217 PP2C.Ds, or involves nuclear-localized PP2C.(D)s. Interestingly, Ma et al. (2017) reported that 218 the cassava MeSAUR1 protein, also localized to the nucleus, can bind and regulate the 219 promoter of the ADP glucose pyrophosphorylase subunit MeAGPs1a, and would thus act as a 220 transcription factor. MeSAUR1 contains a specific N-terminus conserved in a clade of monocot 221 222 and eudicot SAURs, among which the Arabidopsis SAUR10 and SAUR50 proteins (Figure 1). It is not very likely that this N-terminus provides DNA-binding activity however, as both SAUR10 223 and SAUR50 exhibit canonical cell-elongation phenotypes upon overexpression. A more 224

thorough *in vivo* analysis of MeSAUR1 and other SAURs in the future is required to determine
whether some SAURs can act as transcription factors and to unveil the role of SAURs in the
nucleus.

SAUR overexpression can also have an effect on auxin levels, polar auxin transport 228 and/or expression of auxin pathway genes (Chae et al., 2012; Kant et al., 2009; Kong et al., 229 2013; Ren and Gray, 2015; Spartz et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2017). Overexpression of growth-230 inducing SAURs (SAUR19, SAUR41, SAUR63) results in increased auxin transport, while 231 overexpression of growth-inhibiting SAURs (OsSAUR39, OsSAUR45) has a repressive effect 232 (Kant et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2017). These effects on the auxin pathway can be indirect, because 233 the increase in H⁺-ATPase activity probably leads to an increased plasma membrane potential, 234 expected to induce auxin transport (Ren and Gray, 2015). However, since polar auxin transport 235 is regulated via phosphorylation of the PIN auxin efflux carriers via PP2C.A phosphatases 236 (Ballesteros et al., 2012), one could also speculate that some SAURs might interact with other 237 PP2C phosphatases, thereby acting directly on polar auxin transport. Another plausible 238 explanation for the effect on polar auxin transport is the putative calmodulin-binding capacity 239 240 of many SAURs, because polar auxin transport depends on calcium signalling (Vanneste and 241 Friml, 2013; Ren and Gray, 2015).

242 These examples show that SAUR function is not restricted to the promotion of cell 243 elongation. Other observed functions, such as senescence, are probably also regulated via the 244 interaction with PP2C.Ds, while other functions may depend on other mechanisms and be more clade-specific. The presence of specific N- or C-termini could enable calmodulin-binding, 245 metal binding (Wu et al., 2012), interaction with ethylene receptors (SAUR76-78, (Li et al., 246 247 2015)), or even DNA-binding capacity. The clade-specific presence of conserved N- or Ctermini suggests that different sub-clades can have distinct functions. Interestingly, the 248 249 Arabidopsis SAURs that can induce cell elongation and were reported by Sun et al. (2016) to be regulated during seedling morphogenesis, practically all fall into clades I and II defined by 250 Kodaira et al. (2011), while most clade III SAURs are either not expressed in the 251 hypocotyl/cotyledon, or do not exhibit differential expression upon transfer to the light 252 (except for SAUR41, SAUR49 and SAUR52) (Sun et al. 2016). This could mean that the ability 253 254 to induce cell elongation, probably linked to plasma-membrane localization, is recorded in the protein sequence. Likewise, the ability to perform functions other than cell elongation may 255 also depend on specific protein motifs. The future elucidation of protein motifs responsible 256

for localization and protein-protein interactions will give more insight into the possible presence of clade-specific functions.

259

In conclusion, the main function of SAUR proteins is the plant-wide induction of cell 260 elongation, by repression of PP2C.D activity, in accordance with the acid growth theory. The 261 growth-inducing function appears to be executed by plasma membrane localized SAURs 262 interacting with PP2C.D2, D5 and D6. Furthermore, some SAURs probably perform roles in 263 other processes than cell elongation, such as leaf senescence or cell division. In agreement 264 with this, a number of SAURs (including MeSAUR1, OsSAUR39, OsSAUR45, SAUR32, SAUR36, 265 SAUR40, SAUR41, SAUR55 and SAUR71) do not localize to the plasma membrane, but to the 266 cytosol or nucleus (Kant et al., 2009; Kong et al., 2013; Narsai et al., 2011; Park et al., 2007; 267 Qiu et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2017). These SAURs can possibly interact with other PP2C.Ds, which 268 are localized to other cell compartments (Ren et al., 2018) (see Figure 2), or even with PP2Cs 269 from other classes. Interestingly, only few rice and sorghum sequences group together with 270 the clade I and II Arabidopsis proteins, while the majority of the monocot sequences are 271 272 closest to the clade III Arabidopsis proteins, of which the function appears less restricted to 273 cell elongation. This may imply that the abundance of SAUR-proteins involved in cell 274 elongation has evolved in the eudicots, while the majority of the monocot SAURs displays 275 other functions.

276

277 Regulation of the different SAURs is highly diverse

In contrast to their general role in cell elongation, the regulation of different SAUR genes is 278 279 highly diverse (see Figure 3 for a graphical summary). In recent years, reports from Arabidopsis as well as other species have unveiled that SAURs show tissue-specific expression patterns 280 and can be regulated by a plethora of upstream factors. Although many SAURs can be induced 281 by auxin (~70% in Arabidopsis (van Mourik et al., 2017)), there is also a group of SAURs, named 282 class II SAURs by Van Mourik et al. (2017), which is not responsive to auxin. At least one of 283 these SAURs however (SAUR8), can induce cell elongation when overexpressed (van Mourik 284 et al., 2017), indicating that class II SAURs can promote growth by repressing PP2C.D activity 285 286 in response to stimuli other than auxin

Factors that can up- or downregulate *SAUR* expression have been identified in different species. Characterization of the *SAUR* family in tomato (Wu *et al.*, 2012), cotton (Li

10

et al., 2017), poplar (Hu et al., 2018), citrus (Xie et al., 2015), watermelon (Zhang et al., 2017), 289 290 maize (Chen et al., 2014) and Arabidopsis (e.g. van Mourik et al., 2017) all revealed that the different SAUR genes exhibit specific expression patterns throughout plant development. 291 Moreover, the expression of different sets of SAUR genes can be positively or negatively 292 regulated by many different hormones, including auxin (summarized in Ren and Gray, 2015; 293 van Mourik et al., 2017), cytokinin (van Mourik et al., 2017), gibberellic acid (GA) (Bai et al., 294 2012; Stamm and Kumar, 2013), brassinosteroids (e.g. Oh et al., 2014; van Mourik et al., 2017; 295 Wiesel et al., 2015), ethylene (only SAUR76-78 (Li et al., 2015)), ABA (Kodaira et al., 2011; 296 Nemhauser et al., 2006), jasmonate (JA) (Nemhauser et al., 2006) as well as by different light 297 conditions (e.g. OuYang et al., 2015; Roig-Villanova et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2016; van Mourik 298 et al., 2017), cold (Hu et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2012), drought (Guo et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2012), 299 high temperature (Franklin et al., 2011), and high salt conditions (Guo et al., 2018; Wu et al., 300 301 2012) in different plant species. In general, SAUR genes are upregulated in response to hormones and conditions that are known to induce growth, such as auxin, brassinosteroids, 302 gibberellin and decreased R:FR ratios, but downregulated in response to ABA, JA, and stress 303 304 conditions, such as drought, cold and high salt. This stress-induced down-regulation of growth 305 is probably compensating the plant's investment in resistance mechanisms. GUS reporter 306 analysis revealed that the response of SAURs to environmental and hormonal stimuli occurs 307 mainly in the tissue where they are already expressed (Markakis et al., 2013; van Mourik et 308 al., 2017). This suggests that the tissue-specific expression of SAUR genes is determined by 309 upstream transcription factors (TFs) that may be mainly developmentally regulated, while the 310 amplitude of their expression in these tissues depends on their response to various 311 environmental and hormonal stimuli. Plants thus contain an extensive toolbox to regulate growth dynamically in different tissues in accordance with environmental conditions. 312

313 The idea that tissue-specific SAUR gene expression is regulated by upstream developmentally regulated TFs is supported by large-scale ChIP-seq data, revealing frequent 314 binding events of key developmental regulators such as LEAFY (LFY), APETALA 1 (AP1), 315 APETALA 2 (AP2) SEPALLATA 3 (SEP3) and SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS 1 316 (SOC1) (van Mourik et al., 2017). Induced activity of the TCP (TEOSINTE BRANCHED 317 1/CYCLOIDEA/PROLIFERATING CELL FACTOR 1) TFs TCP4 and TCP20 can also rapidly 318 upregulate a set of SAUR genes (Challa et al., 2016; Danisman et al., 2012), but in the case of 319 TCP4, this occurs probably indirectly via the ARF-BZR pathway (discussed below) by direct 320

induction of the auxin biosynthesis gene *YUCCA5* (Challa *et al.*, 2016). Only the binding and regulation of the MADS-domain TF FRUITFULL (FUL) to the *SAUR10* locus, involved in the repression of its stem-specific expression (Bemer *et al.*, 2017b), and the direct repression of *SAUR19*-clade genes by the AHL transcription factor SUPPRESSOR OF PHYTOCHROME B4-#3 (SOB3) in hypocotyls (Favero *et al.*, 2016) has been characterized in more detail so far. The factors involved in the regulation of tissue-specific *SAUR* expression thus largely await further investigation.

328

329 Regulation by ARF-BZR-PIF

The mechanisms controlling auxin, brassinosteroid, gibberellic acid (GA) and light-regulated 330 SAUR expression have been largely elucidated in recent years. Oh et al. (2014) showed that 331 ARF6, BZR1 and PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 4 (PIF4) can physically interact with 332 each other in hypocotyls and have largely overlapping target gene sets, including a large 333 number of SAUR genes. This points to a major role for an ARF-BZR-PIF complex in the 334 regulation of SAUR gene expression. In line with this, SAUR genes can be synergistically 335 336 upregulated by combined addition of auxin and brassinosteroids, (Bemer et al., 2017b; van 337 Mourik et al., 2017; Walcher and Nemhauser, 2012), are abundantly present in target lists of 338 ARF5, ARF7, ARF8 and ARF19 (Nagpal et al., 2005; Okushima et al., 2005; Schlereth et al., 2010) and downstream of different PIFs (Sun et al., 2016; van Mourik et al., 2017). Several other 339 studies have provided additional evidence for the role of a ARF-BZR-PIF complex in the SAUR-340 induced growth response. Sun et al. (2016) showed direct binding of PIFs to the lirSAURs, 341 which induces their expression in dark-grown hypocotyls; Miyazaki et al. (2016) reported that 342 343 the hypocotyl elongation phenotype of LOV KELCH PROTEIN 2 (LKP2) overexpression is accompanied by SAUR gene upregulation and depends on both auxin and PIFs; and Favero et 344 345 al. (2017) found that both brassinolide and auxin treatment enhanced transcript accumulation of SAUR19 subfamily genes in hypocotyls and that blocking polar auxin transport could 346 attenuate the growth responses of SOB3 mutants to exogenous brassinolide. Moreover, 347 family-wide in silico analysis of the regulatory regions of the Arabidopsis SAUR genes revealed 348 that inverted repeats of two AuxRE elements, bound by ARFs (Boer et al., 2014), are enriched 349 350 in auxin-induced Class I SAURs, in combination with BZR and PIF5 binding motifs (van Mourik 351 et al., 2017).

GA also plays a role in the ARF-BZR-PIF signalling module, as the growth-inhibiting 352 353 DELLA proteins interact with BZR1 and with ARF6 (Bai et al., 2012; Bemer et al., 2017a; Oh et al., 2014), thereby preventing their binding to the DNA. In the presence of GA, DELLAs are 354 degraded and the ARF-BZR-PIF complex can induce SAUR expression. DELLAs can also interact 355 with PIFs, thus controlling the activity of the inducing complex even more (De Bruyne et al., 356 2014). In line with this, GA induced hypocotyl elongation requires both BZR1 and PIFs (Bai et 357 al., 2012). These data indicate that at least in Arabidopsis, there is a distinct group of SAURs 358 that can be induced by auxin, brassinosteroids, gibberellin and light through ARF-BZR-PIF 359 complexes (van Mourik et al., 2017). The light response of SAURs is regulated via the PIFs, 360 which are degraded by the phytochromes in the active Pfr state when the ratio of red to far-361 red light is high (Castillon et al., 2007). In low light conditions or at low red:far-red ratios (in 362 the shade), PIFs are active and induce SAUR expression. SAURs thus both regulate growth 363 downstream of photomorphogenesis and contribute to the shade avoidance response (Ren 364 and Gray, 2015; Sun et al., 2016; van Mourik et al., 2017). Also warm-temperature-induced 365 SAUR upregulation in Arabidopsis is mediated by the PIFs (Franklin et al., 2011). Research in 366 367 other species have linked brassinosteroid and light signalling to SAUR gene expression as well. 368 Mutations in the *Medicago* brassinosteroid receptor MtBRI1 affected the expression of a set 369 of SAUR genes (Cheng et al., 2017), a potato SAUR gene was identified as a marker for 370 induction of the brassinosteroid pathway (Wiesel et al., 2015), SAURs were identified downstream of PIFs in rice (Kudo et al., 2017) and light treatments in Norway spruce also 371 induced SAUR gene expression (OuYang et al., 2015). In conclusion, the cell elongation 372 373 capacity and light response of seedlings appears to a large extent regulated by the ARF-BZR-374 PIF complex.

375

376 Other factors involved in SAUR regulation

The regulatory networks involved in the repression of *SAURs* upon stress conditions such as cold, drought and increased salinity have been less well characterized. However, Kodaira et al. (2011) showed that the cold- and high salt-inducible TFs ARABIDOPSIS ZINC-FINGER 1 (AZF1) and AZF2, which function in the ABA response pathway, can repress 15 *SAUR* genes. Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays (EMSAs) also showed that both TFs can bind to the upstream region of *SAUR20* and *SAUR63*, indicating that the regulation of the *SAURs* by AZF1/2 occurs via direct binding. The repressive effect of JA is probably transduced via the ARF-BZR-PIF complex, because JAZ proteins can interact with the DELLA proteins, thereby inhibiting the interaction of the DELLA proteins with the PIFs. In the presence of JA, JAZ proteins are degraded, resulting in increased DELLA-mediated inhibition of ARF-BZR-PIF (Yang *et al.*, 2012). The fact that the *pifq* mutant is impaired in JA-induced growth inhibition (Yang *et al.*, 2012), confirms this dependency of JA signalling upon the ARF-BZR-PIF complex.

SAUR transcript levels are also regulated in a circadian manner. The sunflower SAUR50-389 like gene for example, is particularly highly expressed in the morning at the east-side of the 390 stem (Atamian et al., 2016), while the circadian movement of waterlily flowers is under control 391 of auxin, associated with day-time dependent expression of 25 SAUR homologs in the petals 392 (Ke et al., 2018). In Arabidopsis hypocotyls, SAURs are induced by PIFs (Oh et al., 2014; Sun et 393 al., 2016), which accumulate at dawn in short-day (SD) seedlings (Soy et al., 2014). This 394 suggests that SAUR transcripts may also be most abundant around dawn, at least in SD 395 conditions, in agreement with the timing of maximum hypocotyl elongation (Soy *et al.*, 2014). 396 Indeed, SAUR63 subfamily genes revealed to be diurnally expressed, with highest expression 397 in the early morning (Chae et al., 2012). The clock genes PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR 5 398 399 (PRR5) and PRR7 are negative regulators of hypocotyl growth expressed in the course of the 400 day, and act as transcriptional repressors (Nakamichi et al., 2010). Both factors can directly 401 bind to many Arabidopsis SAUR genes (van Mourik et al., 2017), thereby probably repressing 402 their expression in the afternoon. Thus, the majority of the SAUR genes may be higher 403 expressed in the early morning and repressed in the afternoon through the upstream control of clock genes. Family-wide temporal expression analyses are required however, to validate 404 405 this circadian expression pattern.

406 In addition to upstream regulation of SAUR gene transcription, post-transcriptional and post-translational regulation of SAUR activity also contributes considerably to the SAUR-407 408 mediated dynamic growth control. SAUR overexpression gives a much more severe phenotype when fused to a tag such as GFP, which probably stabilizes the protein that has a very short 409 half-life (Chae et al., 2012; Knauss et al., 2003; Ren and Gray, 2015). Besides the rapid protein 410 decay, which has not been further investigated so far, several studies have shown that SAUR 411 transcript levels quickly drop after removal of the inducer (e.g. auxin) (Markakis et al., 2013; 412 413 van Mourik et al., 2017). This post-transcriptional regulation is at least in part regulated by a ~40-nucleotide downstream (DST) element in the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of a number of 414 SAUR genes. This region was initially characterized in a few SAURs from soybean, mung bean 415

and Arabidopsis (McClure and Guilfoyle, 1989; Newman et al., 1993), and confers mRNA 416 417 instability (Newman et al., 1993). Sullivan and Green (1996) identified two functionally important conserved regions within the DST element (ATAGAT and GTA) by mutational 418 analysis in tobacco. The DST element, more precisely defined as GGA(N)xATAGAT(N)xGTA, is 419 present in 30 of the 79 Arabidopsis SAURs (Ren and Gray, 2015). Overexpression of 420 Arabidopsis SAURs including the DST element resulted in much less severe phenotypes than 421 when the element was excluded (Hou et al., 2013; van Mourik et al., 2017). Putative DST 422 elements were also identified in SAURs from rice (Jain et al., 2006) and tomato (Wu et al., 423 2012). The DST element has been associated with circadian control of mRNA, because several 424 other transcripts with a DST sequence, which are upregulated in the dst1 and dst2 EMS 425 mutants, are regulated in a circadian manner (Pérez-Amador et al., 2001). However, more 426 recently, also oxidative stress was found to induce transcript degradation via 3'UTR DST 427 sequences (Ravet et al., 2012), suggesting that several upstream cues can induce DST-428 mediated transcript degradation. Which upstream factors regulate SAUR mRNA decay 429 remains to be investigated. Identification of the loci causal for the dst1 and dst2 molecular 430 431 phenotypes would certainly contribute to the elucidation of DST-controlled SAUR mRNA 432 decay.

433

The data summarized in this section illustrate the complex regulation of the *SAUR* genes via both developmental, environmental and clock-controlled pathways at the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels (see Figure 3). Despite the high level of complexity, many regulatory modules appear to converge at the ARF-BZR-PIF complex, which integrates various upstream cues. In addition, tissue-specific TFs and other upstream regulators also contribute significantly to the dynamics of *SAUR* activity, and also determine the expression of the *SAURs* that are not regulated via ARF-BZR-PIF.

441

442 **Concluding remarks**

Land plants need to constantly adapt their growth to the environmental circumstances in accordance with their developmental stage. To achieve this, they evolved dynamic growth factors that can rapidly induce growth in response to a wide range of internal and environmental stimuli. These growth factors, the SAUR proteins, generally share a common function in repression of PP2C.D phosphatases, but their genes exhibit a great regulatory

region diversity, allowing tissue-specific and stimuli-specific expression patterns. This provides 448 the plant with a great toolbox for growth adaptation. The high retention of SAUR genes after 449 duplication indicates that expansion of this toolbox delivers an evolutionary advantage. In 450 Arabidopsis, about ~70% of the SAUR genes is responsive to auxin and probably regulated by 451 the ARF-BZR-PIF complex. The majority of these SAURs regulate cell elongation, at least in the 452 seedling (Sun et al., 2016), which is linked to interaction of their proteins with the plasma-453 membrane localized PP2C.Ds (D2, D5 and D6) (Ren et al., 2018). Plasma-membrane localized 454 SAURs are presumably the main determinants of cell elongation, at least in part regulated via 455 the ARF-BZR-PIF module. SAURs that are localized to the cytosol at the other hand, could 456 repress the cytosolic PP2C.D PPSL, thereby inducing senescence. Several SAURs, such as 457 SAUR10 and SAUR36 (Bemer et al., 2017b; Hou et al., 2013), can both induce cell elongation 458 and senescence, and are thus expected to localize both to the plasma membrane and the 459 cytosol. Interestingly, several SAURs exhibit nuclear localization (Narsai et al., 2011; Park et 460 al., 2007), and can possibly target the nuclear-localized PP2C.Ds: D1, D3 and D4 (Ren et al., 461 2018). The nuclear-localized SAUR32, which has been characterized in detail (Park et al., 462 463 2007), inhibits cell elongation and is not responsive to auxin, suggesting that nuclear-localized 464 SAURs may fulfill a function different from promoting cell elongation, possibly linked to 465 induction by other factors, such as cytokinin. SAUR51, expressed in meristematic cells, is also non-responsive to auxin, but upregulated by cytokinin (van Mourik et al., 2017). Future 466 experiments will have to elucidate whether the localization of SAUR proteins is indeed 467 predictive for their function, and whether this also correlates with their response to particular 468 469 stimuli. Other outstanding questions regarding SAUR regulation and molecular function (see 470 Table 1) will hopefully be solved in future studies as well.

471

472

- 473
- 474
- 475
- 476

477

- 478
- 479

480 Supplementary data

- Figure S1. Full version of the phylogenetic tree displayed in Figure 1. The colours of the clade
 correspond with the colours in Figure 1. The tree was generated in MEGA based on a handadjusted Bio-Edit alignment (Supplementary data file 1)
- 487 Data File S1. Alignment of all SAUR proteins used to generate the phylogenetic tree.

492 Acknowledgements

We thank Gerco Angenent for his useful comments on the manuscript. The work of M.B. has
been supported by the Dutch Organization for Scientific research (NWO) (NWOVeni/ALWOP.199).

REFERENCES

Atamian HS, Creux NM, Brown EA, Garner AG, Blackman BK, Harmer SL. 2016. Circadian regulation of sunflower heliotropism, floral orientation, and pollinator visits. Science **353**, 587.

Bai M-Y, Shang J-X, Oh E, Fan M, Bai Y, Zentella R, Sun T-p, Wang Z-Y. 2012. Brassinosteroid, gibberellin, and phytochrome impinge on a common transcription module in Arabidopsis. Nature cell biology **14**, 810-817.

Ballesteros I, Domínguez T, Sauer M, Paredes P, Duprat A, Rojo E, Sanmartín M, Sánchez-Serrano Jose J. 2012. Specialized functions of the PP2A subfamily II catalytic subunits PP2A-C3 and PP2A-C4 in the distribution of auxin fluxes and development in Arabidopsis. The Plant Journal **73**, 862-872.

Bemer M, van Dijk ADJ, Immink RGH, Angenent GC. 2017a. Cross-Family Transcription Factor Interactions: An Additional Layer of Gene Regulation. Trends in Plant Science **22**, 66-80.

Bemer M, Van Mourik H, Muino JM, Ferrándiz C, Kaufmann K, Angenent GC. 2017b. FRUITFULL controls SAUR10 expression and regulates Arabidopsis growth and architecture. Journal of Experimental Botany **doi: 10.1093/jxb/erx184.**, [Epub ahead of print].

Boer DR, Freire-Rios A, van den Berg Willy AM, Saaki T, Manfield Iain W, Kepinski S, López-Vidrieo I, Franco-Zorrilla Jose M, de Vries Sacco C, Solano R, Weijers D, Coll M. 2014. Structural Basis for DNA Binding Specificity by the Auxin-Dependent ARF Transcription Factors. Cell **156**, 577-589.

Castillon A, Shen H, Huq E. 2007. Phytochrome Interacting Factors: central players in phytochrome-mediated light signaling networks. Trends in Plant Science **12**, 514-521.

Chae K, Isaacs CG, Reeves PH, Maloney GS, Muday GK, Nagpal P, Reed JW. 2012. Arabidopsis SMALL AUXIN UP RNA63 promotes hypocotyl and stamen filament elongation. The Plant Journal **71**, 684-697. **Challa KR, Aggarwal P, Nath U**. 2016. Activation of YUCCA5 by the Transcription Factor TCP4 Integrates Developmental and Environmental Signals to Promote Hypocotyl Elongation in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell. **Chen Y, Hao X, Cao J**. 2014. Small auxin upregulated RNA (SAUR) gene family in maize: Identification, evolution, and its phylogenetic comparison with Arabidopsis, rice, and sorghum. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology **56**, 133-150.

Chen Y, Hoehenwarter W, Weckwerth W. 2010. Comparative analysis of phytohormone-responsive phosphoproteins in Arabidopsis thaliana using TiO2-phosphopeptide enrichment and mass accuracy precursor alignment. The Plant Journal **63**, 1-17.

Cheng X, Gou X, Yin H, Mysore KS, Li J, Wen J. 2017. Functional characterisation of brassinosteroid receptor MtBRI1 in Medicago truncatula. Scientific Reports **7**, 9327.

Danisman S, van der Wal F, Dhondt S, Waites R, de Folter S, Bimbo A, van Dijk AD, Muino JM, Cutri L, Dornelas MC, Angenent GC, Immink RGH. 2012. Arabidopsis Class I and Class II TCP Transcription Factors Regulate Jasmonic Acid Metabolism and Leaf Development Antagonistically. Plant Physiology **159**, 1511-1523.

De Bruyne L, Höfte M, De Vleesschauwer D. 2014. Connecting Growth and Defense: The Emerging Roles of Brassinosteroids and Gibberellins in Plant Innate Immunity. Molecular Plant **7**, 943-959.

Favero DS, Jacques CN, Iwase A, Le KN, Zhao J, Sugimoto K, Neff MM. 2016. SUPPRESSOR OF PHYTOCHROME B4-#3 Represses Genes Associated with Auxin Signaling to Modulate Hypocotyl Growth. Plant Physiology **171**, 2701-2716.

Favero DS, Le KN, Neff MM. 2017. Brassinosteroid signaling converges with SUPPRESSOR OF PHYTOCHROME B4-#3 to influence the expression of SMALL AUXIN UP RNA genes and hypocotyl growth. The Plant Journal **89**, 1133-1145.

Fendrych M, Leung J, Friml J. 2016. TIR1/AFB-Aux/IAA auxin perception mediates rapid cell wall acidification and growth of Arabidopsis hypocotyls. eLife **5**, e19048.

Franklin KA, Lee SH, Patel D, Kumar SV, Spartz AK, Gu C, Ye S, Yu P, Breen G, Cohen JD, Wigge PA, Gray WM. 2011. PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTOR 4 (PIF4) regulates auxin biosynthesis at high temperature. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **108**, 20231-20235.

FugIsang AT, Visconti S, Drumm K, Jahn T, Stensballe A, Mattei B, Jensen ON, Aducci P, Palmgren MG. 1999. Binding of 14-3-3 Protein to the Plasma Membrane H+-ATPase AHA2 Involves the Three C-terminal Residues Tyr946-Thr-Val and Requires Phosphorylation of Thr947. Journal of Biological Chemistry **274**, 36774-36780.

Gil P, Liu Y, Orbović V, Verkamp E, Poff KL, Green PJ. 1994. Characterization of the auxin-inducible SAUR-AC1 gene for use as a molecular genetic tool in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **104**, 777-784.

Guo Y, Jiang Q, Hu Z, Sun X, Fan S, Zhang H. 2018. Function of the auxin-responsive gene TaSAUR75 under salt and drought stress. The Crop Journal **6**, 181-190.

Hall BG. 2013. Building Phylogenetic Trees from Molecular Data with MEGA. Molecular Biology and Evolution **30**, 1229-1235.

Hou K, Wu W, Gan S-S. 2013. SAUR36, a SMALL AUXIN UP RNA Gene, Is Involved in the Promotion of Leaf Senescence in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **161**, 1002-1009.

Hu W, Yan H, Luo S, Pan F, Wang Y, Xiang Y. 2018. Genome-wide analysis of poplar SAUR gene family and expression profiles under cold, polyethylene glycol and indole-3-acetic acid treatments. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **128**, 50-65.

Jain M, Tyagi AK, Khurana JP. 2006. Genome-wide analysis, evolutionary expansion, and expression of early auxin-responsive SAUR gene family in rice (Oryza sativa). Genomics **88**, 360-371.

Kant S, Bi Y-M, Zhu T, Rothstein SJ. 2009. SAUR39, a Small Auxin-Up RNA Gene, Acts as a Negative Regulator of Auxin Synthesis and Transport in Rice. Plant Physiology **151**, 691-701.

Ke M, Gao Z, Chen J, Qiu Y, Zhang L, Chen X. 2018. Auxin controls circadian flower opening and closure in the waterlily. BMC Plant Biology **18**, 143.

Knauss S, Rohrmeier T, Lehle L. 2003. The Auxin-induced Maize Gene ZmSAUR2 Encodes a Short-lived Nuclear Protein Expressed in Elongating Tissues. Journal of Biological Chemistry **278**, 23936-23943.

Kodaira K-S, Qin F, Tran L-SP, Maruyama K, Kidokoro S, Fujita Y, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2011. Arabidopsis Cys2/His2 Zinc-Finger Proteins AZF1 and AZF2 Negatively Regulate Abscisic Acid-Repressive and Auxin-Inducible Genes under Abiotic Stress Conditions. Plant Physiology **157**, 742-756.

Kong Y, Zhu Y, Gao C, She W, Lin W, Chen Y, Han N, Bian H, Zhu M, Wang J. 2013. Tissue-Specific Expression of SMALL AUXIN UP RNA41 Differentially Regulates Cell Expansion and Root Meristem Patterning in Arabidopsis. Plant and Cell Physiology **54**, 609-621.

Kudo M, Kidokoro S, Yoshida T, Mizoi J, Todaka D, Fernie AR, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2017. Double overexpression of DREB and PIF transcription factors improves drought stress tolerance and cell elongation in transgenic plants. Plant Biotechnology Journal **15**, 458-471.

Lau S, Shao N, Bock R, Jürgens G, De Smet I. 2009. Auxin signaling in algal lineages: fact or myth? Trends in Plant Science 14, 182-188.

Li X, Liu G, Geng Y, Wu M, Pei W, Zhai H, Zang X, Li X, Zhang J, Yu S, Yu J. 2017. A genome-wide analysis of the small auxin-up RNA (SAUR) gene family in cotton. BMC Genomics **18**, 815.

Li Z-G, Chen H-W, Li Q-T, Tao J-J, Bian X-H, Ma B, Zhang W-K, Chen S-Y, Zhang J-S. 2015. Three SAUR proteins SAUR76, SAUR77 and SAUR78 promote plant growth in Arabidopsis. Scientific Reports **5**, 12477. Ma Pa, Chen X, Liu C, Meng Y, Xia Z, Zeng C, Lu C, Wang W. 2017. MeSAUR1, Encoded by a Small Auxin-Up RNA Gene, Acts as a Transcription Regulator to Positively Regulate ADP-Glucose Pyrophosphorylase Small Subunit1a Gene in Cassava. Frontiers in Plant Science **8**.

Markakis MN, Boron AK, Van Loock B, Saini K, Cirera S, Verbelen J-P, Vissenberg K. 2013. Characterization of a Small Auxin-Up RNA (SAUR)-Like Gene Involved in Arabidopsis thaliana Development. PLoS ONE **8**, e82596.

McClure B, Guilfoyle T. 1987. Characterization of a class of small auxin-inducible soybean polyadenylated RNAs. Plant Molecular Biology **9**, 611-623.

McClure BA, Guilfoyle T. 1989. Rapid redistribution of auxin-regulated RNAs during gravitropism. Science **243**, 91.

Miyazaki Y, Jikumaru Y, Takase T, Saitoh A, Sugitani A, Kamiya Y, Kiyosue T. 2016. Enhancement of hypocotyl elongation by LOV KELCH PROTEIN2 production is mediated by auxin and phytochrome-interacting factors in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Reports **35**, 455-467.

Nagpal P, Ellis CM, Weber H, Ploense SE, Barkawi LS, Guilfoyle TJ, Hagen G, Alonso JM, Cohen JD, Farmer EE, Ecker JR, Reed JW. 2005. Auxin response factors ARF6 and ARF8 promote jasmonic acid production and flower maturation. Development **132**, 4107-4118.

Nakamichi N, Kiba T, Henriques R, Mizuno T, Chua N-H, Sakakibara H. 2010. PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATORS 9, 7, and 5 Are Transcriptional Repressors in the Arabidopsis Circadian Clock. The Plant Cell **22**, 594.

Narsai R, Law SR, Carrie C, Xu L, Whelan J. 2011. In-Depth Temporal Transcriptome Profiling Reveals a Crucial Developmental Switch with Roles for RNA Processing and Organelle Metabolism That Are Essential for Germination in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **157**, 1342.

Nemhauser JL, Hong F, Chory J. 2006. Different Plant Hormones Regulate Similar Processes through Largely Nonoverlapping Transcriptional Responses. Cell **126**, 467-475.

Newman TC, Ohme-Takagi M, Taylor CB, Green PJ. 1993. DST sequences, highly conserved among plant SAUR genes, target reporter transcripts for rapid decay in tobacco. The Plant Cell **5**, 701-714.

Oh E, Zhu J-Y, Bai M-Y, Arenhart RA, Sun Y, Wang Z-Y. 2014. Cell elongation is regulated through a central circuit of interacting transcription factors in the Arabidopsis hypocotyl. eLife **3**, e03031.

Okushima Y, Overvoorde PJ, Arima K, Alonso JM, Chan A, Chang C, Ecker JR, Hughes B, Lui A, Nguyen D, Onodera C, Quach H, Smith A, Yu G, Theologis A. 2005. Functional Genomic Analysis of the AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR Gene Family Members in Arabidopsis thaliana: Unique and Overlapping Functions of ARF7 and ARF19. The Plant Cell **17**, 444-463.

OuYang F, Mao J-F, Wang J, Zhang S, Li Y. 2015. Transcriptome Analysis Reveals that Red and Blue Light Regulate Growth and Phytohormone Metabolism in Norway Spruce [Picea abies (L.) Karst.]. PLoS ONE **10**, e0127896.

Park J-E, Kim Y-S, Yoon H-K, Park C-M. 2007. Functional characterization of a small auxin-up RNA gene in apical hook development in Arabidopsis. Plant Science **172**, 150-157.

Pérez-Amador MA, Lidder P, Johnson MA, Landgraf J, Wisman E, Green PJ. 2001. New Molecular Phenotypes in the dst Mutants of Arabidopsis Revealed by DNA Microarray Analysis. The Plant Cell 13, 2703.
Popescu SC, Popescu GV, Bachan S, Zhang Z, Seay M, Gerstein M, Snyder M, Dinesh-Kumar SP. 2007. Differential binding of calmodulin-related proteins to their targets revealed through high-density Arabidopsis protein microarrays. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104, 4730.

Qiu T, Chen Y, Li M, Kong Y, Zhu Y, Han N, Bian H, Zhu M, Wang J. 2013. The tissue-specific and developmentally regulated expression patterns of the SAUR41 subfamily of SMALL AUXIN UP RNA genes: potential implications. Plant Signaling & Behavior **8**, e25283.

Ravet K, Reyt G, Arnaud N, Krouk G, Djouani E-B, Boucherez J, Briat J-F, Gaymard F. 2012. Iron and ROS control of the DownSTream mRNA decay pathway is essential for plant fitness. The EMBO Journal **31**, 175-186.

Rayle DL, Cleland R. 1970. Enhancement of Wall Loosening and Elongation by Acid Solutions. Plant Physiology **46**, 250.

Rayle DL, Cleland RE. 1980. Evidence that Auxin-induced Growth of Soybean Hypocotyls Involves Proton Excretion. Plant Physiology **66**, 433.

Ren H, Gray William M. 2015. SAUR Proteins as Effectors of Hormonal and Environmental Signals in Plant Growth. Molecular Plant **8**, 1153-1164.

Ren H, Park MY, Spartz AK, Wong JH, Gray WM. 2018. A subset of plasma membrane-localized PP2C.D phosphatases negatively regulate SAUR-mediated cell expansion in Arabidopsis. PLOS Genetics 14, e1007455. Rensing SA, Lang D, Zimmer AD, Terry A, Salamov A, Shapiro H, Nishiyama T, Perroud P-F, Lindquist EA, Kamisugi Y, Tanahashi T, Sakakibara K, Fujita T, Oishi K, Shin-I T, Kuroki Y, Toyoda A, Suzuki Y, Hashimoto S-i, Yamaguchi K, Sugano S, Kohara Y, Fujiyama A, Anterola A, Aoki S, Ashton N, Barbazuk WB, Barker E, Bennetzen JL, Blankenship R, Cho SH, Dutcher SK, Estelle M, Fawcett JA, Gundlach H, Hanada K, Heyl A, Hicks KA, Hughes J, Lohr M, Mayer K, Melkozernov A, Murata T, Nelson DR, Pils B, Prigge M, Reiss B, Renner T, Rombauts S, Rushton PJ, Sanderfoot A, Schween G, Shiu S-H, Stueber K, Theodoulou FL, Tu H, Van de Peer Y, Verrier PJ, Waters E, Wood A, Yang L, Cove D, Cuming AC, Hasebe M, Lucas S, Mishler BD, Reski R, Grigoriev IV, Quatrano RS, Boore JL. 2008. The Physcomitrella Genome Reveals Evolutionary Insights into the Conquest of Land by Plants. Science **319**, 64.

Roig-Villanova I, Bou-Torrent J, Galstyan A, Carretero-Paulet L, Portolés S, Rodríguez-Concepción M, Martínez-García JF. 2007. Interaction of shade avoidance and auxin responses: a role for two novel atypical bHLH proteins. The EMBO Journal **26**, 4756-4767.

Schlereth A, Moller B, Liu W, Kientz M, Flipse J, Rademacher EH, Schmid M, Jurgens G, Weijers D. 2010. MONOPTEROS controls embryonic root initiation by regulating a mobile transcription factor. Nature **464**, 913-916.

Soy J, Leivar P, Monte E. 2014. PIF1 promotes phytochrome-regulated growth under photoperiodic conditions in Arabidopsis together with PIF3, PIF4, and PIF5. Journal of Experimental Botany **65**, 2925-2936.

Spartz AK, Lee SH, Wenger JP, Gonzalez N, Itoh H, Inzé D, Peer WA, Murphy AS, Overvoorde PJ, Gray WM. 2012. The SAUR19 subfamily of SMALL AUXIN UP RNA genes promote cell expansion. The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology **70**, 978-990.

Spartz AK, Lor VS, Ren H, Olszewski NE, Miller ND, Wu G, Spalding EP, Gray WM. 2017. Constitutive Expression of Arabidopsis SMALL AUXIN UP RNA19 (SAUR19) in Tomato Confers Auxin-Independent Hypocotyl Elongation. Plant Physiology **173**, 1453-1462.

Spartz AK, Ren H, Park MY, Grandt KN, Lee SH, Murphy AS, Sussman MR, Overvoorde PJ, Gray WM. 2014. SAUR Inhibition of PP2C-D Phosphatases Activates Plasma Membrane H+-ATPases to Promote Cell Expansion in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **26**, 2129-2142.

Stamm P, Kumar P. 2013. Auxin and gibberellin responsive Arabidopsis SMALL AUXIN UP RNA36 regulates hypocotyl elongation in the light. Plant Cell Reports **32**, 759-769.

Sullivan ML, Green PJ. 1996. Mutational analysis of the DST element in tobacco cells and transgenic plants: identification of residues critical for mRNA instability. RNA **2**, 308-315.

Sun N, Wang J, Gao Z, Dong J, He H, Terzaghi W, Wei N, Deng XW, Chen H. 2016. Arabidopsis SAURs are critical for differential light regulation of the development of various organs. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **113**, 6071-6076.

Takahashi K, Hayashi K-i, Kinoshita T. 2012. Auxin Activates the Plasma Membrane H(+)-ATPase by Phosphorylation during Hypocotyl Elongation in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **159**, 632.

van Mourik H, van Dijk ADJ, Stortenbeker N, Angenent GC, Bemer M. 2017. Divergent regulation of Arabidopsis SAUR genes: a focus on the SAUR10-clade. BMC Plant Biology **17**, 245.

Walcher CL, Nemhauser JL. 2012. Bipartite Promoter Element Required for Auxin Response. Plant Physiology **158**, 273-282.

Wang S, Bai Y, Shen C, Wu Y, Zhang S, Jiang D, Guilfoyle TJ, Chen M, Qi Y. 2010. Auxin-related gene families in abiotic stress response in Sorghum bicolor. Functional & Integrative Genomics 10, 533-546.
Wiesel L, Davis JL, Milne L, Redondo Fernandez V, Herold MB, Middlefell Williams J, Morris J, Hedley PE, Harrower B, Newton AC, Birch PRJ, Gilroy EM, Hein I. 2015. A transcriptional reference map of defence hormone responses in potato. Scientific Reports 5, 15229.

Wu J, Liu S, He Y, Guan X, Zhu X, Cheng L, Wang J, Lu G. 2012. Genome-wide analysis of SAUR gene family in Solanaceae species. Gene **509**, 38-50.

Xiao D, Cui Y, Xu F, Xu X, Gao G, Wang Y, Guo Z, Wang D, Wang NN. 2015. SENESCENCE-SUPPRESSED PROTEIN PHOSPHATASE Directly Interacts with the Cytoplasmic Domain of SENESCENCE-ASSOCIATED RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE and Negatively Regulates Leaf Senescence in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **169**, 1275. Xie R, Dong C, Ma Y, Deng L, He S, Yi S, Lv Q, Zheng Y. 2015. Comprehensive analysis of SAUR gene family in citrus and its transcriptional correlation with fruitlet drop from abscission zone A. Functional &

Integrative Genomics **15**, 729-740. **Xu Y-X, Xiao M-Z, Liu Y, Fu J-L, He Y, Jiang D-A**. 2017. The small auxin-up RNA OsSAUR45 affects auxin synthesis and transport in rice. Plant Molecular Biology **94**, 97-107.

Yang D-L, Yao J, Mei C-S, Tong X-H, Zeng L-J, Li Q, Xiao L-T, Sun T-p, Li J, Deng X-W, Lee CM, Thomashow MF, Yang Y, He Z, He SY. 2012. Plant hormone jasmonate prioritizes defense over growth by interfering with gibberellin signaling cascade. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **109**, E1192-E1200.

Yang T, Poovaiah BW. 2000. Molecular and Biochemical Evidence for the Involvement of Calcium/Calmodulin in Auxin Action. Journal of Biological Chemistry **275**, 3137-3143.

Zhang N, Huang X, Bao Y, Wang B, Zeng H, Cheng W, Tang M, Li Y, Ren J, Sun Y. 2017. Genome-wide identification of SAUR genes in watermelon (Citrullus lanatus). Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants **23**, 619-628.

Tables

Table 1. Outstanding questions

- Which protein motifs determine the intracellular localization of the SAUR proteins?
- Can SAURs also interact with other PP2C clades?
- Which protein motifs are required for the interaction with PP2C.Ds?
- Is the effect on senescence regulated via the interaction with PPSL?
- Are only plasma membrane localized SAURs involved in cell elongation?
- What is the biological function of the calmodulin binding SAURs?
- Does the predicted metal-binding capacity of some SAURs have a biological function?
- Which TFs are involved in tissue-specific SAUR expression?
- Which SAURs act redundantly in the different tissues?
- Which pathways are involved in the response of SAURs to abiotic stresses?
- Is the response to ARF-BZR-PIF linked to plasma membrane localization?
- How is the DST-mediated SAUR mRNA decay regulated?
- What is the reason for the short half-life of SAUR proteins?

Figures



Figure 1. phylogenetic tree of the SAUR family. The unrooted tree was constructed from a hand-adjusted BioEdit alignment of all SAURs from Arabidopsis (www.Arabidopsis.org), Physcomitrella (Rensing *et al.*, 2008), potato (Wu *et al.*, 2012), tomato (Wu *et al.*, 2012), rice (Jain *et al.*, 2006) and sorghum (Wang *et al.*, 2010) (Supplemental data) using the maximum

likelihood method in the MEGA software (Hall, 2013). The colours of the triangles indicate the species represented in that clade. Green: all species (including Physcomitrella); Blue: eudicot and monocot; Yellow: monocot; Orange: eudicot; White: Arabidopsis; Purple: Solanum. In some cases, the separation of the clades is uncertain and supported by low bootstrap values (Supplemental Figure S1). Some characterized SAURs have been listed alongside the clades. The clade division from Kodaira et al. (2011) is indicated in dark green.



Figure 2. Schematic model of the putative molecular functions of SAURs in different cell compartments. A) In the plasma membrane, SAURs interact with PP2C.D2/5/6, thereby repressing dephosphorylation of the H+ATPases AHA1/2 and inducing cell elongation. **B)** In the cytosol, SAURs can probably interact with SSPP (PP2C.D1), thereby repressing dephosphorylation of AtSARK and inducing senescence. **C)** In the nucleus, the function of SAURs is still unclear, but they may interact with the nuclear localized PP2C.D1/3/4.



Figure 3. Regulation of SAUR genes by developmental, environmental and clock-controlled factors. The different tissues where *SAURs* play a role are indicated, as well as some upstream

tissue-specific regulators (in yellow). Environmental signals (dark red) are transduced via hormones (orange). Most pathways converge at the level of the ARF-BZR-PIF complex (purple), while others directly act on the upstream region of *SAUR* genes or affect transcript stability. The black lines indicate direct or indirect activation or repression. The circadian regulation is indicated with a clock symbol.