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Chapter 1

General Introduction
1. Agro-ecosystems in Bangladesh

Bangladesh has a merely agrarian economy. About 80% of the country’s population resides in rural areas and most of the people are directly or indirectly engaged in agricultural activities (Bhattacharjee et al., 2012). Agriculture is the single largest sector contributing to the national economy (Gumma et al., 2014) and mainly consists of crop, fisheries, livestock and forestry. Bangladesh has fertile soils and a suitable climate with respect to temperature, rainfall and humidity for crop production. The total cropped area of Bangladesh is close to 15 million hectares (BBS, 2016). A considerable volume of cereal crops like rice, wheat, maize and other important crops e.g. vegetables, jute, pulses, oilseeds, fruits, sugarcane, tea, spices, cotton and tobacco are grown. The contribution of the agriculture sector to the national gross domestic product (GDP) is 15%, whereas the crop sector solely contributes approximately 8% (BBS, 2016). The sector plays a crucial role in employment generation, poverty reduction, human resource development and food security needs (Alam, 2005).

Bangladesh is blessed with different wetland ecosystems: inland water bodies, brackish waters and marine environments. The inland open water systems include rivers, streams, lakes, marshes, haors and beels. A hoar is a temporary marshy wetland ecosystem which is physically a saucer or bowl shaped depression that looks like an inland sea during monsoon while a beel is a deeper depression where water remains permanent throughout the year. Together, all these inland aquatic systems comprise an area of about 3.9 million hectares. The inland closed water bodies encompass ponds, ditches and baors (oxbow lakes, formed by dead arms of rivers which are situated in the moribund delta of the Ganges in the western part of the country), and cover about 0.8 million hectares. The brackish water environment includes estuarine systems with extensive mangrove swamps, and coastal shrimp and prawn farms (FRSS, 2017). The prawns are often cultured in combination with rice, and these farming systems occupy more than 0.2 million hectare in the southwest coastal area of Bangladesh and contribute to the main livelihood for poor people in the region (FRSS, 2017). Rice-prawn farming is practiced in modified rice fields, locally known as ‘gher’ (Ito, 2004). The Bengali term ‘gher’, meaning ‘perimeter’, refers to an enclosure made for prawn culture by modifying rice fields through building higher dikes around the field and excavating a canal several feet deep inside the periphery to retain water during the dry season (Ahmed and Garnett, 2010). Bangladesh’s marine water resources cover approximately 698 km² in the Bay of Bengal (FRSS, 2017).
Bangladesh has become one of the world’s leading (freshwater) fish producing country with a total fish production of about 3.8 million metric tons per year (FRSS, 2017). Approximately 27% of the fish production comes from inland open water (capture fisheries), 57% from inland closed water (culture fisheries) and 16% from brackish water and marine fisheries. The fisheries sector contributes approximately 3.7% to the national GDP and provides 60% of the population’s animal protein intake. More than 11% of the total population of the country is directly or indirectly employed in this sector for their livelihoods (FRSS, 2017). Wetlands are also utilized for cattle bathing and sometimes for grazing in winter season. When farmers cultivate rice and other crops, they use water for irrigation.

2. Intensification of agriculture and pesticide use

Despite of having hundreds of agro-ecosystems, the population of Bangladesh (approximately 157 million people on 147,570 km² with a relatively high growth rate 1.05%; BDP 2016) is suffering from food deficit. The food needs of this rapidly growing population and the present food production practices result in land scarcity and intensification of agriculture through the cultivation of more than one crop per year in the same location. Several agro-climatic conditions like sudden and flash flood (80% of the total area of the country is susceptible to flooding), drought (north and north-western region of the country), cyclones (usually south and south-eastern part of the country) and salinity intrusion (coastal belt along with Bay of Bengal) are posing further difficulties in meeting the growing demands for food (Sikder and Xiaoying, 2014). However, there is no or little possibility to expand the farming area, so the challenge is to feed the growing population by improving the productivity of the currently farmed land (Murshed-E-Jahan and Pemsl, 2011).

Now-a-days farmers are growing high-yielding cultivars of different crops to meet the increasing demand of food. However, one important phenomenon of these high-yielding varieties is that most of them are highly susceptible to pests and diseases (Ali et al., 2018), which may cause about 40% crop loss (Uddin et al., 2013). As a consequence, pesticides have been used extensively to protect crops from those pests, herewith improving the yield quality as well as quantity (Ansara-Ross et al., 2012; Peluso et al., 2014; Rahman, 2013). Pesticides were introduced in Bangladesh in 1951 but their use was negligible until the end of the 1960s (Ara et al. 2014). A sharp increase is their use has occurred from 7,350 metric tons active ingredient of pesticides in 1992 to 45,172 metric tons in 2010 (Rahman, 2013). One of the reasons of
increasing pesticide use is that Bangladesh government has adopted a policy initiative to stimulate the control of pests by means of chemical measures to increase the overall yield and to prevent pre- and post-harvest crop losses (Rahman, 2013).

Approximately 84 pesticide active ingredients belonging to 242 trade names of numerous chemical groups such as organochlorine compounds, organophosphates, carbamates, pyrethroids, neonicotinoids, heterocyclic pesticides, nitro compounds and amides are registered in Bangladesh and are used in agriculture and household applications (Ara et al., 2014). However, organochlorine pesticides have been banned in Bangladesh in 1993 (Matin et al., 1998) due to their high human and environmental toxicity, chronic persistence, ability to bioaccumulate and biomagnify in the food chain (Sun et al., 2006; Teklu et al., 2016). Among other groups of pesticides, the use of organophosphorus pesticides has become increasingly popular in Bangladesh. Approximately, 35% of the crop-producing area is treated with them for a variety of crop protection purposes (Chowdhury et al., 2012a).

3. Potential effects of pesticides on the aquatic environment

Pesticides applied on agricultural land may reach the aquatic environment through several ways, including spray drift, surface runoff, ground water leaching, and careless disposal of empty containers and equipment washing water (Sankararamakrishnan et al., 2005; Hossain et al., 2015; Sumon et al., 2016). The aquatic contamination by pesticides used in agriculture may constitute potential (eco)toxicological risks to non-target aquatic organisms of different trophic levels in a food chain i.e. primary producers (Daam et al., 2008a; Malev et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2014), invertebrates (Maltby et al., 2005; Palma et al., 2009; Rubach et al., 2011; Roessink et al., 2013; Van den Brink et al., 2016), and fish (Tillitt et al., 2010; Marimuthu et al., 2013; Manjunatha and Philip, 2016; Ali et al., 2018; Sumon et al., 2017), when exceeding the threshold levels.

As fish is considered an important food source for human beings in Bangladesh (about 60% of the animal protein comes from fisheries; FRSS, 2017), the prediction and quantification of the toxic effects of insecticides on fish are important for policy making. Some direct (eco)toxicological effects of pesticides on fish, like mortality, alterations of normal behavioural patterns, of physiology and of normal reproductive behaviour have been studied earlier (Dutta and Maxwell, 2003; Clotfelter et al., 2004; Scott and Sloman, 2004). Furthermore, Oruç (2010) observed the effects of the insecticide chlorpyrifos on the mortality of juvenile and adult nile
tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Insecticides may cause severe histopathological alterations in various tissues (e.g. liver, kidney, gill and gonad) of fish. For example, Dutta and Maxwell (2003) have reported several histopathological alterations in bluegill sunfish ovary including cytoplasmic and karyoplasmic clumping, cytoplasmic retraction, atretic oocytes, adhesion, necrosis and thinning of follicular lining exposed to diazinon. Male bluegill sunfish, exposed to diazinon, have been reported to have irregular shape and breakage of seminiferous tubules, empty and larger lumen, damaged sertoli cells and degeneration of interstitial cell of Leydig etc. (Dutta and Meijer, 2003). Some of the insecticides (e.g. monocrotophos) might be responsible for phenotypic feminization or sterility resulting in reproductive infertility in fish (e.g. zebrafish) (Zhang et al., 2013). Abnormal behavioural patterns like lethargic activities, irregular and erratic swimming, hyper excitation or restlessness of *Labeo rohita* occurred due to exposure to imidacloriprid (Desai and Parikh, 2014). Insecticides may also cause serious malformations to the developmental stages of fish (Marimuthu et al., 2013). Furthermore, sometimes physiological alterations may be evoked through creating a hypoxic condition of the water body leading to low oxygen supply and resulting in excess mucous secretion on gills thereby reducing the respiratory activity and finally causing the death of the fish (Kind et al., 2002; Desai and Parikh, 2014). Direct toxicological effects of pesticides (i.e. insecticides (Maltby et al, 2005); herbicides (Van den Brink et al., 2006); and fungicides (Maltby et al., 2009)) on invertebrates and primary producers have also been reported in earlier studies. Pesticides might have indirect effects on fish through decreasing fish’s food sources (algae and plankton), changing food habits and deteriorating the quality of aquatic habitat (Fleeger et al., 2003; Van Wijngaarden et al., 2005; Azizullah et al., 2011; Cochard et al., 2014). Some pesticides e.g. herbicides may reduce the abundance of primary producers thus ultimately decrease the primary and secondary consumers (Brock et al., 2000; Van den Brink et al., 2006; Gregorio et al., 2012; Halstead et al., 2014). The primary consumers such as zooplankton (*Daphnia magna*) are severely affected by chlorpyrifos (Palma et al., 2008, 2009; Demetrio et al., 2014). In addition, insecticides like imidacloriprid may adversely affect arthropods (e.g. insects like the mayfly *Cloeon dipterum*) (Roessink et al., 2013; Van den Brink et al., 2016).

4. Effects of pesticides on (sub-) tropical aquatic ecosystems

Ecotoxicological research into the fate and effects of pesticides on aquatic ecosystems has mainly focused on temperate countries (i.e., Europe, USA), while little information is available
for tropical ecosystems (Daam and Van den Brink, 2010). A few studies, however, have been conducted to understand the fate and effects of pesticides for the (sub-) tropical freshwater environments over the last decades. These study included single species toxicity tests (Maltby et al., 2005; Kwok et al., 2007; Freitas and Rocha, 2012; Mansano et al., 2016; Daam and Rico, 2016; Amid et al., 2017), multiple species toxicity tests (Rico et al., 2010, 2011; Echeverría-Sáenz et al., 2016; Méndez et al., 2016; Stadlinger et al., 2016; Svensson et al., 2017) and model ecosystem studies (i.e. microcosms) (Laabs et al., 2007; Daam et al., 2008a, 2008b, 2009a, 2009b, 2010; Daam and Van den Brink, 2010, 2011; Leboulanger et al., 2011).

5. Regulatory risk assessment of pesticides

Environmental risk assessment of chemicals like pesticides is a process entailing three different phases: exposure assessment, effect assessment, and risk characterization phase (Van Leeuwen and Vermeire, 2007). Exposure assessment frequently uses chemical application data and empirical environmental data in combination with established fate models or analytical measurements of the compounds to which environmental compartments such as water or sediments are or may be exposed. Effect assessment aims at the estimation of the relationship between the dose or concentration of exposure and the incidence of a particular ecological effect in response to this exposure (e.g. through the establishment of a dose-response relationship). Finally, risk characterization combines the output of the previously mentioned studies in order to provide an estimation of the risks, frequently expressed as risk quotients (Brock et al., 2006; Van Leeuwen and Vermeire, 2007).

Environmental risk assessment of pesticides should be performed using the best available methods. In order to achieve the goal, tiered approaches can be used in such risk assessment processes. The overall idea of tiered approach is to start with a simple and conservative approach (lower-tier). The higher-tier approach may only be performed when the lower-tier risk assessment indicates a possible risk (Van Leeuwen and Vermeire, 2007). The higher-tier risk assessment often requires more advanced studies to provide the realistic inputs, with greater complexity and a higher data requirement, while lower-tier requires less effort (Solomon et al., 2008). The use of tier-based risk assessment approaches in developing countries like Bangladesh is currently lacking, while they have been used in Europe and USA for many years. It is, therefore, important to take into account the different options available for such an risk assessment of pesticides in developing countries like Bangladesh.
6. Overall aims of this study

In Bangladesh, the use of different types and amounts of pesticides are increasing at an alarming rate due to agricultural intensification. As mentioned before, there are various likely direct and indirect effects of pesticides on the aquatic environment. Pesticides may be responsible for changing community composition and ecosystem properties (Halstead et al., 2014). These cumulative effects may account for a great loss of different species in the aquatic ecosystems of a sub-tropical country like Bangladesh. During the past years, a large number of studies focusing on the toxicity of different pesticides to the aquatic environment have been conducted mostly in temperate countries. To date, information on the toxicity of pesticides on the aquatic organisms in sub-tropical Bangladesh is largely lacking. To address this knowledge gap, the present research aimed at assessing the potential environmental risks of the current wide-ranging use of insecticides on aquatic environment in Bangladesh.

The specific research objectives of this thesis are:

1. To assess the current status of pesticide use in crop production in Bangladesh and their associated potential risks to aquatic organisms.
2. To perform a chemical monitoring program to quantify the residues in the aquatic environment and to calculate the potential risks posed by insecticides to the aquatic ecosystems.
3. To derive the safe environmental concentration for an insecticide for certain structural and functional endpoints of sub-tropical freshwater ecosystems.
4. To investigate the potential toxic effects of insecticides on the developmental stages and the reproductive tissues of fish.

7. Thesis outline

Chapter 2 provides information on the current use of pesticides in rice-prawn concurrent systems in south-west Bangladesh and human health issues posed by the application of pesticides. In this chapter, also model-based potential risks of pesticides for the aquatic ecosystems that support the culture of freshwater prawns (Macrobrachium rosenbergii) are assessed. The TOXSWA model calculates pesticide exposure (peak and time-weighted average concentrations) in surface waters of rice-prawn systems for different spray drift scenarios and a simple first-tier risk assessment based on threshold concentrations derived from single
species toxicity tests used to assess the ecological risk in the form of risk quotients. The PERPEST model refines the ecological risks when the first-tier risk assessment indicates a potential risk.

Chapter 3 describes the outcomes of chemical monitoring in surface water and sediment samples collected from two different water bodies in north-west Bangladesh. The residues of ten most commonly used organophosphate pesticides are quantified in surface water and sediment samples in that region. The risk assessment of the pesticide concentrations in surface water and sediment based on risk quotient approach (RQ) for three different trophic levels is also presented and discussed. Like the modelling study, the higher-tier PERPEST model is used to confirm the risk of pesticides when the first-tier risk assessment indicates a possible risk.

Chapter 4 aims at assessing the fate and effects of the insecticide imidacloprid on structural (phytoplankton, zooplankton, macroinvertebrates and periphyton) and functional (organic matter decomposition) endpoints of freshwater, sub-tropical ecosystems in Bangladesh. The no observed effect concentrations (NOECs) values of imidacloprid for all individual taxa and a few communities are assessed. The sensitivity of different species of micro- and macro-invertebrates to imidacloprid in sub-tropics is compared with those from the temperate counterparts. Furthermore, single species toxicity test using two most responding species from the microcosm study (i.e. Cloeon sp. and Diaptomus sp.) are conducted to confirm their sensitivity observed in the microcosm study.

Chapters 5 and 6 describe laboratory experiments focussing on toxicity effects of chlorpyrifos on the development and reproduction of Banded Gourami (Trichogaster fasciata). Chapter 5 pays attention to the effects of chlorpyrifos on the incubation period of embryo, hatching success, mortality of embryos and two-day old larvae of Banded Gourami. Malformations of embryos and larvae for different time interval when exposed to different chlorpyrifos concentrations are also studied. Chapter 6 investigates the long-term toxicity of chlorpyrifos on the mortality and reproductive tissues of both male and female Banded Gourami (Trichogaster fasciata). The NOEC values of chlorpyrifos for all endpoints including the male and female mortality, GSI, histopathological alterations of ovary and testis are presented for different time intervals.

In chapter 2, the lower-tier TOXSWA model was used to calculate the exposure concentrations of pesticides in surface water in rice-prawn systems. In chapters 2 and 3, the higher-tier
PERPEST model was used to refine the risks of pesticides which were previously derived from the RQ-based risk assessment approach.

Chapter 7 presents a general discussion on the core findings and tries to answer the research questions. An overall picture of risks posed by pesticides in sub-tropical Bangladesh is presented and discussed as well as which tools can be used in future risk assessment practices in Bangladesh.
Chapter 2

Risk assessment of pesticides used in rice-prawn concurrent systems in Bangladesh

Kizar Ahmed Sumon, Andreu Rico, Mechteld M.S. Ter Horst, Paul J. Van den Brink, Mohammad Mahfujul Haque, Harunur Rashid

This chapter has been published in Science of the Total Environment (2016), 568: 498-506.
Abstract

The objectives of the current study were to determine the occupational health hazards posed by the application of pesticides in rice-prawn concurrent systems of south-west Bangladesh and to assess their potential risks for the aquatic ecosystems that support the culture of freshwater prawns (Macrobrachium rosenbergii). Information on pesticide use in rice-prawn farming was collected through structured interviews with 38 farm owners held between January and May of 2012. The risks of the pesticide use to human health were assessed through structured interviews. The TOXSWA model was used to calculate pesticide exposure (peak and time-weighted average concentrations) in surface waters of rice-prawn systems for different spray drift scenarios and a simple first tier risk assessment based on threshold concentrations derived from single species toxicity tests were used to assess the ecological risk in the form of risk quotients. The PERPEST model was used to refine the ecological risks when the first tier assessment indicated a possible risk. Eleven synthetic insecticides and one fungicide (sulphur) were recorded as part of this investigation. The most commonly reported pesticide was sulphur (used by 29% of the interviewed farmers), followed by thiamethoxam, chlorantraniliprole, and phenthoate (21%). A large portion of the interviewed farmers described negative health symptoms after pesticide applications, including vomiting (51%), headache (18%) and eye irritation (12%). The results of the first tier risk assessment indicated that chlorpyrifos, cypermethrin, alpha-cypermethrin, and malathion may pose a high to moderate acute and chronic risks for invertebrates and fish in all evaluated spray drift scenarios. The higher-tier assessment using the PERPEST model confirmed the high risk of cypermethrin, alpha-cypermethrin, and chlorpyrifos for insects and macro- and micro-crustaceans thus indicating that these pesticides may have severe adverse consequences for the prawn production yields.
1. Introduction

The cultivation of the freshwater prawn or giant river prawn \textit{(Macrobrachium rosenbergii)}), in combination with rice \textit{(Oryza sativa)} occupies more than 0.2 million hectare in the southwest coastal area of Bangladesh (DoF, 2013) and constitutes the main livelihood for poor people in the region (Ahmed et al., 2013). Rice-prawn farming is practiced in modified rice fields locally known as ‘gher’ (Chapman and Abedin, 2002; Ito, 2004). The Bengali term ‘gher’, meaning ‘perimeter’, is an enclosure made for fish and prawn cultivation by modifying rice fields through building higher dikes around the field and excavating a canal several feet deep inside the periphery to retain water during the dry season (Ahmed and Garnett, 2010; Figure 1). Rice-prawn farming is considered as an effective method of integrated agriculture-aquaculture (Ahmed et al., 2008) which maximizes land and water utilization, while providing excellent opportunities for nutrient re-utilization within the system (Kunda et al., 2008). In rice-prawn concurrent systems, the rice crop attracts a series of insect species that constitute the natural food source for the cultured fish and prawns, while the nutrient-rich waste released from the cultivated aquatic animals can be effectively used as fertilizer for rice farming (Huy Giap et al., 2005).

Rice-prawn farming offers a source of staple food (rice) and animal protein (fish) for the people of Bangladesh, while prawns are used as a cash crop to sustain the economy of the rural population (Ahmed and Garnett, 2010). The expansion of rice-prawn farming in Bangladesh has been noticeable over the last two decades, and prawn production has drawn a noteworthy attention due to its export potential to international markets (Ahmed et al., 2008; Mirhaj et al., 2013) such as USA, Europe, and Japan (Ahamed et al., 2014; Ahmed and Garnett, 2010). Between 2011 and 2012, Bangladesh exported 7,060 tons of freshwater prawn, with a market value of 108 million US$ (DoF, 2013).

Rice production in Bangladesh has steadily increased, but it is still not sufficient to cover the needs of the ever growing population (Shahid, 2011). The rapid population expansion and food security issues have resulted in a decrease of available arable land and a concomitant intensification of agricultural practices. Nowadays, farmers tend to grow high-yield varieties of rice (e.g. boro rice), which are highly susceptible to infestations with pests and diseases that may produce crop losses of up to 40% (Bagchi et al., 2009; Uddin et al., 2013). As a consequence, pesticides are being used to protect rice crops from pests, herewith improving rice crop yields...
and the quality of the product (Ansara-Ross et al., 2012; Rahman, 2013). As in many developing
countries, the government has promoted the use of pesticides to increase agricultural yields in
Bangladesh (Dasgupta et al., 2005). Pesticide consumption in Bangladesh has dramatically
increased from 7,350 metric tons in 1992 to 45,172 metric tons in 2010 (Hasan et al., 2014).

The application of pesticides in rice production may lead to the contamination of the
surrounding aquatic environments by several ways including spray drift, runoff, and leaching
(Van den Brink, 2013; Van Wijngaarden et al., 2005; Capri and Karpouzas, 2008). Pesticides
applied in rice-prawn concurrent systems may constitute a potential toxicological risk for the
aquatic organisms that are cultured in the gher as well as for the maintenance of the aquatic
communities that support the aquatic ecosystem of the gher, and herewith can make the whole
system less profitable as it may eradicate organisms that are a food source for the cultured
prawns (Huy Giap et al., 2005). Furthermore, pesticides applied by farmers with poor education
on safe pesticide use practices could result in human health hazards, including risks of acute
intoxication and/or other diseases e.g. skin diseases, eye diseases, gastro-intestinal diseases,
urinary and reproductive diseases (Miah et al., 2014).

Several studies have investigated pesticide use patterns in different agricultural crops of
Bangladesh (e.g. Dasgupta et al., 2005; Meisner, 2004); however, only one study has
investigated pesticide use in rice-prawn concurrent systems (Hasan et al., 2014). The study by
Hasan et al. (2014), however, did not investigate the potential aquatic risks of pesticides applied
in these systems, and neither reported the impacts of pesticide use on farmers’ health. The
objectives of the current study were to determine the farmers’ knowledge, perception and
occupational health hazards related to the pesticides applied in concurrent rice-prawn farms of
south-west Bangladesh and to assess their potential risks for the aquatic ecosystems that
support the culture of the freshwater prawns *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. For this, modelling
approaches were developed to calculate pesticide risks in the gher of these systems which
included an exposure assessment based on physical characteristics of the farms, pesticide use
practices, and physico-chemical data and an effect assessment based on ecotoxicological data
for the recorded pesticides.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Pesticide data collection

2.1.1. Farm interviews
Information on pesticide use, agricultural management practices and occupational health hazards related to pesticide use in rice-prawn farming was collected through structured interviews performed in the Khulna region (south-west Bangladesh). Such interviews were performed to 38 farm owners in 6 villages (namely Kaligati, Gutudia, Rudhagora, Dhopakhola, Moddapara, and Kola) between January and May 2012. The pesticide use information included: active ingredient, applied dose, mode of application, number of applications per crop, application interval, and approximate date of application. Information on human health issues, i.e. risk and health of applicators, short/long term impacts of pesticides on farmers’ health, most common negative health symptoms experienced by farmers, was collected (see Supporting Information).

2.1.2. Pesticide physico-chemical properties

Physico-chemical properties of the reported pesticides were collected from online databases (e.g. Lewis et al., 2016; http://www.chemspider.com) and peer-reviewed literature sources. Information was collected for the parameters listed in Table 1. The half-life of pesticides in sediment (DT50_{sed}), was set to 1000 days for all reported pesticides (for rationale see FOCUS, 2006).

Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of the reported pesticides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pesticide name</th>
<th>M (g/mol)</th>
<th>SOL (T_{ref}) (mg/L)</th>
<th>K_{ow}</th>
<th>VP (T_{ref}) (Pa)</th>
<th>DT50_{water} hydrolysis (d)</th>
<th>K_{oc}</th>
<th>1/n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-cypermethrin</td>
<td>416.30</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>316000</td>
<td>3.40 · 10^{-7}</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>57889</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbofuran</td>
<td>221.26</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>8.00 · 10^{-5}</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartap</td>
<td>273.80</td>
<td>200000</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>1.00 · 10^{-13}</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorantraniliprole</td>
<td>483.15</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>6.30 · 10^{-12}</td>
<td>1000 (stable)</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>350.89</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>50100</td>
<td>1.43 · 10^{-3}</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>8151</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypermethrin</td>
<td>416.30</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>200000</td>
<td>2.30 · 10^{-7}</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>156250</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imidacloprid</td>
<td>255.66</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>4.00 · 10^{-10}</td>
<td>1000 (stable)</td>
<td>6719</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoprocarb</td>
<td>193.24</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>2.80 · 10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>330.36</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>3.10 · 10^{-3}</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenthoate</td>
<td>320.39</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>5.30 · 10^{-3}</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur</td>
<td>32.06</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>9.80 · 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1000 (stable)</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamethoxam</td>
<td>291.71</td>
<td>4100</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>6.60 · 10^{-9}</td>
<td>1000 (stable)</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M - Molecular mass; SOL (T_{ref}) - Solubility in water at reference temperature (20° C); K_{ow} - Octanol-water partition coefficient; VP (T_{ref}) - Vapour pressure at reference temperature (25° C); DT50 water hydrolysis° - Half-life in water at pH = 7 and 20° C, assuming 1 0 0 0 days when the compound is regarded as “stable” in the data base. The data for cartap, isoprocarb, and phenthoate were collected from Zhou et al., (2009), Takade et al., (1977), and Madamba, (1981), respectively; Koc° - Sorption coefficient on organic carbon; 1/n° - Freundlich exponent. It is assumed to be 0.9 when the value is not available in the databases.
2.1.3. Pesticide toxicity data

Acute and chronic toxicity data for fish, invertebrates, and algae were collected from the FOOTPRINT Pesticide Properties Database (Lewis et al., 2016), the ECOTOX Database (http://cfpub.epa.gov/ecotox/quick_query.htm), and other peer-reviewed literature sources (Table 2). Fish toxicity data were also considered relevant because in some instances fish is being cultured together with prawns in the gher systems (Rahman et al., 2011). Acute toxicity data for fish consisted of 96-h LC50 and for invertebrates consisted of 48-h EC50 values was collected. The organisms for which acute toxicity data was available were the fish species Oncorhynchus mykiss, Lepomis macrochirus, Cyprinidae, Cyprinodon variegatus, Salmo gairdneri and Cyprinus carpio; the invertebrate species Daphnia magna and Daphnia carinata; and the algae species Raphidocelis subcapitata, Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata, Scenedemus subspicatus, Selanastrum subspicatus, and Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Regarding the chronic toxicity data, the No Observed Effect Concentrations (NOEC) for an exposure period of 28, 21, and 3-4 days, were collected for fish, invertebrates, and algae, respectively. The species used for the chronic toxicity evaluation were the fish species Pimephales promelas, O. mykiss and Salmo trutta; the invertebrate species D. magna; and the algae species S. subspicatus.

Table 2. Acute and chronic toxicity data for the recorded pesticides for fish, invertebrates and algae.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pesticide name</th>
<th>Acute toxicity</th>
<th>Chronic toxicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LC50 Fish (µg/L)</td>
<td>EC50 Invertebrates (µg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-cypermethrin</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbofuran</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartap</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorantraniliprole</td>
<td>12000</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypermethrin</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imidacloprid</td>
<td>211000</td>
<td>1.02a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoprocarb</td>
<td>22000</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenthoate</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamethoxam</td>
<td>125000</td>
<td>44.8b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a For the acute and chronic toxicity evaluation of imidacloprid on invertebrates, the 96-hour EC50 and 28-day EC10 of Cloeon dipterum (belonging to the Ephemeroptera order) were used, since this species has been demonstrated to be significantly more sensitive than Daphnia magna (Roessink et al., 2013).

b For the acute toxicity evaluation of thiamethoxam, the 96-hour EC50 for Hemiptera was taken from Morrissey et al. (2015).

NA: Data not available
2.2. Pesticide exposure calculations

2.2.1. The Gher scenario

A scenario was created that represents the characteristics of the rice-prawn production systems in which pesticides are applied (Figure 1; Table 3). Farmers typically cultivate boro rice during the dry season, starting on the 3rd-4th week of December and harvesting it by the 2nd-3rd week of May. During the dry season, the paddy field and the adjacent gher are separated by a low dike. Farmers start stocking the prawns in the post-larval stage at the end of the rice season or just after it. Prawns are mainly grown during the wet season (June to December), when the dike is removed and the prawns are allowed to freely move around the entire flooded paddy field. Farmers usually harvest their prawn in December, however many of the prawns that have not reached the marketable size are kept in the gher for rearing until they reach a sufficient size or until the next year, thus keeping a continuously growing population.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

Figure 1. Pesticide application (A), typical rice-prawn concurrent production system from the Khulna region in Bangladesh (B), and schematic overview of the rice-prawn concurrent system (C).

During the farm interviews, information was collected describing the physical characteristics of the rice-prawn farms. The collected information was used to build a physical scenario for the pesticide exposure simulations and included: area of the paddy rice field, water depth in the paddy rice field, dike height, canal area, canal length, canal width and water depth, number of irrigation events per rice growing season and water height irrigation (Table 3).
Table 3. Physical characteristics of the rice-prawn concurrent systems in Khulna region. Based on the information collected during the farm interviews (n=38).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rice paddy area (ha)</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice paddy water depth (cm)</td>
<td>12 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dam height (cm)</td>
<td>22 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal area (ha)</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal length (m)</td>
<td>51 ± 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal width (m)</td>
<td>12 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal water depth (m)</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice irrigation (n per cycle)</td>
<td>12 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water height irrigation (cm)</td>
<td>12 ± 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2. Pesticide exposure modelling

We initially assumed that pesticides applied on rice production could enter the gher via spray-drift deposition or via runoff produced by precipitation events leading to water overflowing the small dikes that separate the rice-production area from the gher. However, it is questionable whether the latter should be considered as a relevant pesticide exposure process due to the low amount of precipitation typically recorded during the rice growing season. To investigate the relevance of the pesticide exposure caused via water runoff events during the rice-growing season we parameterised the PEARL model (Leistra et al., 2001) which was modified for applications in (flooded) paddy rice according to Ter Horst et al. (2014). Although other models simulating pesticide fate in paddy rice exist (MED-Rice, 2003; Karpouzas et al., 2006; Inao et al., 2008; Inao and Kitamura, 1999; Watanabe et al., 2006; Young, 2012) the PEARL model was selected because it is a field scale model that simulates the runoff and pesticide concentrations in the runoff. Furthermore, the model is freely available and allows calibration without an extensive dataset being available (Ter Horst et al., 2014). PEARL simulations were performed for the period 2004-2012 and included an evaluation of the hydrology of the whole rice-prawn production system during the entire year. Due to a lack of measured data (e.g. time series of percolation, groundwater tables, water depth on the field) we calibrated PEARL manually only considering the rice-growing period and using a set of requirements established using literature data (see SI). The main calibration parameters were the saturated conductivity of the plough pan and two bottom boundary flux parameters used to describe the downward flux at the bottom of the soil column as function of the groundwater level. For these calibrated simulations, we calculated the number of runoff events in the rice growing season and found a range of 0.3 to 0.7 events per year (see SI). We considered this number to be too low to indicate
runoff overflow as a major driver for pesticide exposure in the gher, and decided to only take into account spray-drift deposition for the pesticide exposure calculations.

Pesticide exposure concentrations due to spray-drift deposition were calculated using the TOXSWA v3.3.2 model (Adriaanse, 1997; Beltman et al., 2006). TOXSWA is a pseudo-two-dimensional numerical model describing pesticide behavior in the water layer and its underlying sediment at the edge-of-field scale (Adriaanse, 1997; Adriaanse et al., 2013). A TOXSWA scenario was created based on the information provided in Section 2.2.1 and Table 4. The TOXSWA scenario comprised only the rice-growing season from January 1st to May 10th, as being considered the most vulnerable period for pesticide risks to aquatic organisms. We simulated the gher as a stagnant rectangular water body (51 m long and 12 m wide) with a (constant) water depth of 1.55 m (Table 3). We assumed the concentration of suspended solids to be 15 g/m$^3$ with an organic matter content of 9% (FOCUS, 2001). Data on sediment properties were based on the EU-FOCUS sediment properties and segmentation characteristics (FOCUS, 2001). Spray drift was conservatively assumed to be perpendicular to the long side of the gher (51 m). During the farm interviews farmers reported to keep a distance of 0.80±0.95 m (mean ± SD) to the edge of the field when spraying. Given the variability of the spray distance reported by the farmers, we estimated different spray-drift deposition scenarios into the gher based on different distances between the spray area and the gher dike: 0.3m, 0.5m, 1m, 5m, and 10m. The percentage of pesticide spray-drift deposition into the gher for each scenario were calculated using the method described by Franke et al. (2010), assuming a crop height of 5 cm and warm and humid climate conditions. The calculated percentages of the applied dose that were considered to be deposited into the gher surface by spray drift were: 5.52%, 3.85%, 2.98%, 0.66%, and 0.23% for each scenario, respectively. Finally, the pesticide exposure in surface water of the gher system for the different spray drift scenarios was conservatively calculated by FOCUS using the maximum active ingredient dose (kg/ha) and the maximum number of applications with average application intervals used by farmers, as reported in Table 4. The model simulations were performed using meteorological data (i.e., temperature, precipitation) for the year 2005, as it was considered a representative year for the time series for which information was available (2004-2012). The peak Predicted Environmental Concentrations (PECs; global maximum water concentration including suspended solids) and the maximum Time Weighted Average Exposure Concentrations (TWAECs) over the simulation period were obtained from the pesticide exposure profiles calculated by TOXSWA.
2.3. Aquatic risk assessment

The first tier aquatic risk assessment was performed using a Risk Quotient (RQ) approach. We could not estimate the risk for carbofuran and sulphur among the evaluated pesticides reported by farmers since carbofuran was applied using broadcast method and sulphur is an inorganic chemical, whereas we simulated the TOXSWA for spray drift exposures and the dissipation processes modelled by TOXSWA were designed for organic chemicals. Acute RQs for fish and invertebrates were calculated by dividing the peak PECs by acute Predicted No Effect Concentrations (PNECs), while chronic RQs for algae, invertebrates, and fish were calculated by dividing the calculated 3-day, 21-day and 28-day TWAECs, respectively, by their respective chronic PNECs. Acute PNECs for invertebrates and fish were calculated by dividing the acute EC50 or LC50 values by an assessment factor of 100. The chronic PNECs for algae, invertebrates, and fish were calculated by dividing No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) values by an assessment factor of 10. RQs were classified as no risk (RQ<1), moderate risk, (1<RQ<10) and high risk (RQ>10).

Since the first tier RQ-based risk assessment is based on worst-case assumption, we used the higher-tier PERPEST v4.0.0.0 (Predicting the Ecological Risks of PESTicides) model to refine the risks of the PEC values of pesticides with a RQs>1. For the PERPEST model, we considered average case scenario while using average number of pesticide application and worst case scenario while using maximum number of pesticide application reported by farmers. The PERPEST model predicts the toxic effects of a particular concentration of a pesticide on grouped endpoints (Van den Brink et al., 2002; Ansara-Ross et al., 2008). The PERPEST model is based on a case-based reasoning (CBR) approach. For developing the model, empirical data were extracted from published literature describing the results from mesocosm and microcosm experiments for freshwater model ecosystem studies with pesticides (Van den Brink et al., 2002) and were collated within a database. The PERPEST model results in a prediction showing the probability of the evaluated pesticide concentration leading to no, slight or clear classes of effects on the 8 grouped endpoints: algae and macrophytes, community metabolism, fish, insects, macro-crustacenas, micro-crustaceans, other invertebrates, and rotifers. The PERPEST model refines the outcome of the risk as determined by the RQ approach. For a more detailed description on the equations and calculations used for PERPEST model, the reader is referred to Van den Brink et al. (2002).
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Pesticides and their application patterns

Twelve different pesticide active ingredients were recorded from the farm interviews. All substances recorded were synthetic insecticides, except for one fungicide (sulphur) (Table 4). Most pesticides were sold as a powder form (50%), followed by liquid (46%), and granule (4%) formulations. The most commonly reported pesticide was sulphur (29% farmers used it), followed by thiamethoxam, chlorantraniliprole, and phenthoate (21%; Table 4). Farmers applied pesticides in their rice field between January and March using spray (96%) and broadcast (4%) application methods. The full list of recorded active ingredients, together with their dose, number of application, average application interval, and approximate date of first application are provided in Table 4.

3.2. Farmers’ perceptions on pesticide risks and occupational health hazards

The 87% of the interviewed farmers reported to use pesticides during the rice-growing season. The compounds reported and application practices resemble those reported by a similar study performed in the same region (Hasan et al., 2014) and those reported by vegetable farmers in other areas of Bangladesh (Dasgupta et al., 2007). Most of the interviewed farmers had been working in their farm for long periods (on average 12 years), however only 21% of them reported having received any sort of training from government institutions (e.g. agriculture extension officers and fisheries officers) on pesticide use practices. The majority of the interviewed farmers reported to understand the pesticide application recommendations stated on the pesticide labels, except of two cases due to illiteracy.

Overall, farmers were sceptical about the impacts of pesticide use on the productivity of their prawn and fish. Some of them, however, (25%) reported to have observed prawn mortalities after pesticide application at least once and suggested this to be related to the introduction of new ‘more toxic’ pesticides. The majority of the farmers (70%) reported to have increased pesticide dosages per land area during the last 5 years because of pest resistance and because of their attempts to increase productivity.
The vast majority of the interviewed farmers (94%) assumed pesticide use to have short or long-term impacts on their health. The majority of the farmers (81%) indicated some health symptoms after pesticide application and reported to be very confident that these symptoms had been occurred due to pesticide intoxication. In this study, the most common negative symptoms experienced by farmers’ after pesticide application were vomiting, which was reported by the 51% of the interviewed farmers, followed by headache (18%), and eye irritation (12%). This could be explained by the fact that 82% of the interviewed farmers only used cloths to cover their body and face during pesticide application whereas other equipment such as gloves, appropriate masks or glasses was rarely used. The results of this study are in line with those recently reported by other investigations on human health risks of pesticides. For example, Miah et al. (2014) reported eye irritation, headache and nausea in vegetable farmers in Bangladesh and witnessed that 72% of their farmers used only cloths as a protection during pesticide application. They also reported some short-term diseases such as skin diseases, eye diseases, gastro-intestinal diseases, and urinary and reproduction impairment, probably related to pesticide use. Another study including 821 farmers among 11 districts in Bangladesh showed some negative symptoms after pesticide application such as headache (27%), dizziness (8%), eye irritation (26%), skin disease (13%), vomiting (9%) and other multiple diseases (Dasgupta et al., 2005). Dasgupta et al. (2007) also found some intoxication symptoms by Bangladeshi farmers that used pesticides. The most commonly reported were: headache, dizziness, eye irritation and dermal diseases, gastrointestinal problems and vomiting, and reported that 87% did not use any protective measures either during or after pesticide application.

### Table 4. Pesticides used in rice-prawn concurrent systems of the Khulna region (Bangladesh) together with their dosages and interval between applications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pesticide name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Use by farmers (%)</th>
<th>Active ingredient dose (kg/ha) (min-max)</th>
<th>Number of applications (times)</th>
<th>Average application interval (days)</th>
<th>Date of first application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-cypermethrin</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Pyrethroid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4 February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbofuran</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Carbamate</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.008-0.009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10 January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartap</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10 February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlordantraniliprole</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Anthranilic diamide</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.014-0.015</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8 March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Organophosphate</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18 January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypermethrin</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Pyrethroid</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.05-0.1</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10 January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imidacloprid</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Neonicotinoid</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4 March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoprocarb</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Carbamate</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.005</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24 March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Organophosphate</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24 January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenthoate</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Organophosphate</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2-8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24 February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Inorganic</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24 February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamethoxam</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Neonicotinoid</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.014-0.015</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10 March</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I= Insecticide, F= Fungicide
Sixty percent of the farmers’ reported to be aware of human health and environmental risks associated with pesticide application, however, the other forty percent were not informed. More than half of the recorded farmers (55%) were not informed about banned pesticides, the other part of them were informed by other farmers and local agricultural officers. The majority of the farmers (94%) reported not to know alternatives to pesticide use to control rice pests, and the knowledge on integrated pest management (IPM) practices or alternative bio-pesticides was reported by only one farmer.

Our study demonstrated that the use of cloths to protect their mouth and nose during pesticide application is not sufficient. The resulting negative symptoms could be reduced by not only using cloths but also averting behaviour like e.g. wearing masks, hand gloves, eye glasses, and gumboot during handling of pesticides and washing hands or taking a shower after pesticide handling. The promotion of safe use of pesticides and suitable averting behaviour depends on some crucial factors like farmers’ education level, extension contact, participation in training programme, etc. (Kabir and Rainis, 2012). Due to the limited access to these factors, farmers are lagging behind to promote suitable averting behaviour during pesticide application. In this context, both the public and the private sector can play a major role to eradicate the problems. For instance, the government should launch education programs for farmers. The Department of Agricultural Extension (DAE), the largest agro-based public organization, is mainly responsible for providing extension services through Sub-Assistant Agricultural Officer (SAAO), a person who live in the farming village and visits local farms individually and in group meetings. The SAAO can play a substantial role to change farmer application practices. However, the number of extension agents is inadequate in comparison to the total amount of farmers. So, the government should increase the extension agent-farmer ratio making the extension services more accessible to the farmers. There is also an urgent need to ensure basic training among the farmers to gather knowledge and to build awareness on safe use and handling of pesticides so that they can properly interpret the recommendations on the pesticide label and they can promote the suitable averting behaviour (Dasgupta et al., 2007; Kabir and Rainis, 2012). Furthermore, our study also suggests applying risk assessment models for pesticide applicators that emphasize the reduction of risks through the promotion of suitable protective measures (Feola et al., 2012; Remoundou et al., 2015).
Figure 2. Water concentration dynamics of chlorpyrifos (A) and cypermethrin (B) in the gher system calculated with the TOXSWA model for the 0.5 m application distance scenario (i.e., spray-drift deposition in the gher of 3.85% of the applied dose).

3.3. Pesticide exposure and first tier risk assessment

Figure 2 shows an example of the calculated pesticide exposure profiles calculated with the TOXSWA model for chlorpyrifos and cypermethrin in the gher system, whereas the calculated peak PECs and the TWAECs for the list of evaluated pesticides are provided in Table S11. Chlorpyrifos showed the highest acute RQs for fish in all evaluated spray drift scenarios, followed by cypermethrin, alpha-cypermethrin, and malathion (Table S12), however, the rest of the evaluated compounds did not show potential risks (acute RQs <1). The highest chronic RQs for fish were calculated for cypermethrin, followed by alpha-cypermethrin, and chlorpyrifos while rest of them showed no risk (RQs<1) (Table S13). The majority of the recorded pesticides showed high acute RQs for invertebrates for all spray drift scenarios with the exception of carbofuran, thiamethoxam, and chlorantraniliprole. Among the pesticides evaluated, the highest acute RQs for invertebrates were also calculated for chlorpyrifos, followed by malathion, cypermethrin,
alpha-cypermethrin, and phenthoate for all scenarios (Table S14). The highest chronic RQs for invertebrates were calculated for imidacloprid, followed by malathion, cypermethrin, alpha-cypermethrin for all spray drift scenarios (Table S15). We also calculated chronic RQs for algae for chlorpyrifos, cypermethrin, and imidacloprid (Table S16) but for the other pesticides this was not possible due to a lack of toxicity data. Among the calculated chronic RQs for these compounds, none of them showed risk (RQs<1) thus indicating the algae are not at risk as a result of exposure to the recorded pesticides in the gher system.

The highest acute and chronic RQs including the three taxonomic groups for all spray drift scenarios are provided in Figure 3. The most sensitive species based on the lowest acute PNECs and the highest acute RQs and the lowest chronic PNECs and the highest chronic RQs among three taxonomic groups are presented in Table S17 and Table S18, respectively. Regarding the evaluation including the three taxonomic groups, four pesticides (e.g. chlorpyrifos, cypermethrin, alpha-cypermethrin, and malathion) showed a moderate to high acute risk to fish when the spray distances were between 0.3 and 5m, from the edge of the rice field during pesticide application. Chlorpyrifos, however, even showed a moderate risk when the spray distance was 10 m (Table S12). Cypermethrin, alpha-cypermethrin, and chlorpyrifos showed a moderate to high chronic risk to fish with spray distances between 0.3 m and 10 m (Table S13). Most of the pesticides showed high acute risk for invertebrates even up to 5 m of spray distance and moderate risk up to 10 m of spray distance with the exception of chlorpyrifos and malathion. They showed high risk even with a spray distance up to 10 m (Table S14). For some pesticides, a moderate to high chronic risk was indicated for invertebrates, even with spray distances up to 10 m (Table S15). Overall, for the vast majority of the evaluated pesticides moderate to high risks are indicated for invertebrates and fish even when a spray distance of 10 m from the edge of the rice field is used. Since in our study farmers reported to keep a spray distance of only 0.80 ± 0.95 m (mean ± SD) between the rice crop and canal during pesticides application, it is very likely that the pesticides will affect the aquatic ecosystems in the gher system.
Figure 3. Calculated highest acute (A) and chronic (B) risk quotients among the three evaluated taxonomic groups for the different spray drift scenarios evaluated in this study. The spray drift scenarios are represented as the distance from the pesticide application point to the gher and the calculated spray drift percentage.

3.4. PERPEST model results

The probability of effect classes (no effect, slight effect and clear effect) on 8 grouped ecological endpoints of the selected pesticides in respect to different spray drift distances are shown in Table S19-S23. The model results showed high probability of clear effects on aquatic insects, macro- and micro-crustaceans for cypermethrin, followed by alpha-cypermethrin, and chlorpyrifos for different spray drift distances. A high probability of clear effect is taken into account when one of the chemical poses the probability of higher than 50%. Cypermethrin (for both average and worst case scenario) and alpha-cypermethrin showed a high probability of clear effects on insects, macro- and micro-crustaceans even with spray distances up to 10 m whereas chlorpyrifos showed a clear effect on these endpoints with spray distances up to 0.5 m. Phenthoate showed a high probability of clear effect on insect and micro-crustacean
with spray distances up to 0.5 m for both the average and the worst case scenario, while imidacloprid showed a high probability of clear effects on micro-crustaceans with spray distances up to 1 m. Other pesticides (e.g. cartap, chlorantraniliprole, isoprocarb, and malathion) showed a lower probability of clear effects occurring on these ecological endpoints. Community metabolism, fish, algae and macrophytes, other macro-invertebrates, and rotifers were found to be impacted to a lesser extent by any of the selected pesticides. So, the result of the PERPEST model refined the risks of the top three pesticides (cypermethrin, alpha-cypermethrin, and chlorpyrifos) on the gher system which were previously derived following the RQ approach.

To date, it has been challenging to perform site specific aquatic risk assessments of pesticides in the (sub-) tropics due to the absence of sensitivity data for local species (Rico et al., 2011). The present study, however, provides the first modelling exercise in Bangladesh to assess the potential risks of pesticides for the aquatic ecosystems that support the culture of the freshwater prawns. One of the limitations of our study is that we could not estimate the risks of the pesticides for the prawns because of a lack of toxicity data for most pesticides for *M. rosenbergii*. So, this study recommends that *M. rosenbergii* should be used as a test animal to refine the risk assessment. For example, tests with caged prawn larvae and fish placed at the edge of the rice area could be used to evaluate possible direct effects during and after pesticide applications, and to better quantify aquaculture productivity losses. Besides direct toxic effects, pesticides may impair the ecology of the gher system and indirectly affect the sustainability of the aquaculture production system. Pesticides may be responsible for changing the whole community structure and ecosystem properties of an ecosystem like the gher (Halstead et al., 2014) as a result of alterations in the food web and propagated effects (De Laelder et al., 2015; Hela et al., 2005). The direct effects of insecticides typically reduce organisms’ abundance by increased mortality or reduced fecundity or alter normal behavioural patterns, of physiology (e.g. sensorial, hormonal, neurological and metabolic systems), and of normal reproductive behaviour (Van Wijngaarden et al., 2005; Scott and Sloman, 2004). Indirect toxicant effects (Fleeger et al., 2003), may lead to ecological imbalance of a system by decreased abundance via reduced availability of preferred food sources e.g. algae and plankton (Cochard et al., 2014) and micro-crustaceans (Daam et al., 2008; Van den Brink et al., 2002); via changing food habits, and deteriorating aquatic habitat (Cochard et al.,
Prawns are merely dependent on natural food sources (e.g. phytoplankton, zooplankton, benthos, and detritus) in a gher system (Ahmed et al., 2008) and those are sensitive to indirect toxicant effects. Pesticides may accumulate in sediments as well as in the body of the cultured prawns, possibly resulting in long-term risks for consumers (Hernández et al., 2013) and for the international export of the produce to countries (Ahmed and Garnett, 2010). So, unsustainable pesticide use practices may result in international bans from prawn-importing countries, such as USA, Europe, and Japan, and influence the long-term net economic return from these systems.

The risk assessment of pesticide in aquatic ecosystem like gher from adjacent paddy field largely depends on simulation models to estimate the predicted environmental concentrations (PECs). Available mathematical models are not always flexible to represent the different scenarios and the required input data is not always available or can be produced. So, in order to establish a realistic assessment and management procedure for more sustainable rice production practices, it is important to develop and validate mathematical models adapted to the rice-prawn systems in Bangladesh and in other regions of south-east Asia (Inao et al., 2008).

4. Conclusions

In rice-prawn concurrent systems, farmers’ aim to keep a dual benefit i.e. a higher rice yield through pesticide use without inducing prawn mortalities or yield reductions. To make the rice-prawn system more sustainable, mitigation measures or alternatives should be sought for the pesticides used in rice crop protection. The present study suggests that the mitigation of risk arising from spray drift may be achieved by the implementation of spray drift buffer or the avoidance of spray drift (Maltby and Hills, 2008; Hilz and Vermeer, 2013). This study also suggests that the adoption of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) practices may provide an alternative, which is a popular method of sustainable and eco-friendly crop production in many countries (Azad et al., 2009). To date, the rate of IPM adoption in Bangladesh is minimal (only 0.27% of the estimated 37 million farmers), though it was first introduced back in the 1981 through the alliance of Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (Kabir and Rainis, 2013). The Department of Agricultural Extension (DAE) of Bangladesh has developed some dissemination techniques on IPM practices e.g. Extension Agent Visit, Farmers Field School (FFS), IPM club, and Field Days; but still shows little impact at the wide national scale.
government should invest more funds and improve the dissemination campaigns to the rural population e.g. by the use of different print and electronic media like TV, radio, newspapers and magazines. Furthermore, although hundreds of NGOs are nowadays working in Bangladesh, very few are devoted to the implementation of IPMs. More NGOs should be involved with GOs to disseminate the IPM through raising awareness among the farmers. One of the main reasons behind this may be the poor socio-economic characteristics of the farmers and the low literacy rate. Most of the farmers are reluctant to adopt new technologies since the majority have no risk bearing capacity. So, this study suggests that both DAE and NGOs should motivate the farmers in a way that IPM practice is not only seen as an ecologically sound and socially acceptable technique, but also it is presented as a more profitable farming practice than the conventional one (i.e., farming with intensive use of pesticides).
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Abstract

The use of organophosphate pesticides (OPPs) to protect a variety of crops has increased in Bangladesh. OPPs may contaminate surrounding aquatic environments through several routes including spray drift, surface runoff and groundwater leaching. Since it is unknown how much OPP end ups in aquatic environment in Bangladesh, the objectives of the present study were to quantify the residues of ten most commonly used OPPs in water and sediment of water bodies of north-west Bangladesh and to assess their ecological risks for aquatic organisms.

The risks of the pesticides in surface water and sediment were assessed using a first-tier risk quotient (RQ) approach. The higher-tier PERPEST model was used to refine the ecological risks of pesticides when RQ indicated a potential risk. Results showed the most frequently detected pesticides that appeared in high concentrations were chlorpyrifos, diazinon and quinalphos in surface water and sediment. The highest concentration of OPPs measured in water was 9.1 µg chlorpyrifos/L (median of 1.95 µg/L), while this was 51 µg diazinon/kg dw (median of 11 µg/kg dw) for sediment. Furthermore, results showed high acute and/or chronic RQs (RQ > 1) in surface water and sediment for chlorpyrifos, diazinon, quinalphos, malathion and fenitrothion. The higher-tier PERPEST model confirmed risks of chlorpyrifos, diazinon, quinalphos and fenitrothion for aquatic insects, micro- and macro-crustaceans which were previously derived by RQ-based risk assessment for aquatic organisms. Furthermore, the results of the PERPEST model also indicated possible indirect effects of these pesticides on algae and macrophytes, community metabolism, rotifers and other macro-invertebrates.
1. Introduction

Agriculture is the single largest sector contributing to the national economy of Bangladesh. About 80% of the country’s population resides in rural areas and most of them are somehow, directly or indirectly, employed in agricultural activities (Bhattacharjee et al., 2012). A further intensification of agriculture in Bangladesh, however, is needed due to its’ ever increasing population (about 157 million people on 147,570 km² with 1.05% growth rate; BDP, 2016), as well as land scarcity and food security needs (Dasgupta et al., 2007). Severe agro-climatic conditions (e.g. flash floods, seasonal water scarcity, and salinity intrusion in the coastal belt) pose further challenges to agricultural crop production. To meet the growing demand of food under these harsh conditions, farmers are cultivating high-yielding cultivars of crops to get higher yields (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2017), but most of these cultivars are highly vulnerable to pests and diseases (Ali et al., 2018). Hence, like many other developing countries, pesticides are used extensively in Bangladesh to protect the crops (Shahjahan et al., 2017). The government of Bangladesh also fosters the pesticide use to amplify the agricultural frontiers and to increase output per acre of land (Rahman, 2013). In Bangladesh, the Plant Protection Wing of the Ministry of Agriculture (MoA) controls the pesticide registration process. The Pesticide Technical Advisory Committee grants registration to a brand of pesticide after thorough examination of all reports (Rahman, 2013).

The use of pesticides started in Bangladesh around 1951 and remained negligible until 1960s (Ara et al., 2014), but increased dramatically from 7,350 metric tons in 1992 to 45,172 metric tons in 2010 (Rahman et al., 2013). At present, about 84 pesticide active ingredients belonging to 242 trade names of numerous chemical groups such as organochlorine compounds, organophosphates (including all evaluated ones), carbamates, pyrethroids, neonicotinoids, heterocyclic pesticides, nitro compounds and amides have been registered in Bangladesh and are routinely used in agriculture and household applications (Chowdhury et al., 2012a; Ara et al., 2014). Since organochlorine pesticides have been banned in Bangladesh in 1993 (Matin et al., 1998) due to their high toxicity, persistence, and ability to bioaccumulate and biomagnify in the food chain (Sankararamakrishnan et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2006; Teklu et al., 2016), the agricultural sectors have shifted towards organophosphorous pesticides (OPPs) (Chowdhury et al., 2012b). In Bangladesh, an estimated 35% of the crop-producing area is sprayed with OPPs for a variety of crop protection purposes (Chowdhury et al., 2012a).
OPPs may reach the surrounding aquatic environment through several routes including spray drift, direct runoff, ground water leaching, careless disposal of empty containers and equipment washing (Sankararamakrishnan et al., 2005; Hossain et al., 2015; Sumon et al., 2016, 2017). Due to their bioaccumulation ability, OPPs have been detected in different environmental compartments e.g. surface and ground water (Leong et al., 2007; Rahmanikhah et al., 2010; Bhattacharjee et al., 2012; Chowdhury et al., 2012a; Hossain et al., 2015; Hasanuzzaman et al., 2017), sediment (Xue et al., 2005; Abdel-Halim et al., 2006; Nasrabadi et al., 2011; Kanzari et al., 2012; Masiá et al., 2015), and aquatic organisms (Abdel-Halim et al., 2006; Aktar et al., 2009; Malhat and Nasr, 2011; Yang et al., 2012; Masiá et al., 2015; Otieno et al., 2015) in different parts of the world with concentrations ranging from 0.003 ng chlorpyrifos/L (Rahmanikhah et al., 2010) to 0.8 mg chlorpyrifos/L (Akan et al., 2014) in aqueous matrices and 40 ng diazinon/kg (Masiá et al., 2015) to 4.3 mg diazinon/kg (Akan et al., 2014) in solid matrices. OPPs have raised great concern in the scientific community due to their possible ecological risks to the aquatic ecosystems (Masiá et al., 2015; Wee and Aris, 2017), in particular to arthropod invertebrates (Maltby et al., 2005).

The residues of OPPs in the surface water of different water bodies in Bangladesh including rivers, paddy fields and seasonal ponds, have hardly been monitored (Bhattacharjee et al., 2012; Chowdhury et al., 2012a, 2012b; Uddin et al., 2013; Ara et al., 2014; Hossain et al., 2015; Hasanuzzaman et al., 2017). The few available studies, however, did not quantify the residues of OPPs in sediments from aquatic systems and neither assessed pesticides risks for any of the environmental matrices. Hence, the objectives of the present study were to quantify the residues of ten most commonly used OPPs in water and sediments collected from two different water systems in north-west Bangladesh and to assess the ecological risks to aquatic organisms posed by these residues. This study also aimed to identify further research priorities concerning the risks of pesticides for aquatic ecosystems in Bangladesh.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. The study area

Two types of beels, namely Baitkamari and Pirijpur were selected as study sites. A beel is a deep depression along a river where water remains permanent throughout the year. These beels are located in Islampur upazila area of Jamalpur district in north-west Bangladesh, which lies around 25°04’59.88”N and 89°47’30.12”E (Fig. 1). These beels were chosen because local
farmers routinely use a variety of pesticides to protect the crops in their vicinity throughout the year. Rice is the dominant crop in the surrounding of the Baitkamari beel and occasionally water chestnut is grown, whereas around the Pirijpur beel the focus is on vegetable production including eggplant, potato, tomato, cauliflower, cabbage, cucumber, pumpkin, and rice and jute. As it is surrounded by much more intensive agriculture, the Pirijpur beel is hypothesised to be more impacted by the pesticide contamination than the Baitkamari beel. The total area of the Baitkamari and Pirijpur beels in the wet season (June-October) is approximately 55 ha and 3 ha with an average water depth of approximately 5 m and 1 m, respectively. In wet season, the water level of both beels becomes high due to rain and flood water received from nearby Brahmaputra River. In dry season (November-March), however, the area of Baitkamari and Pirijpur beel is reduced to approximately 10 ha and 0.1 ha with an average water depth of about 1.8 m and 0.5 m, respectively. Information on crop cultivation and pesticide use in vicinity of both Baitkamari and Pirijpur beels was collected from agricultural officers of Islampur upazila. Since farmers use organophosphate pesticides extensively to protect the crops in the vicinity of both Baitkamari and Pirijpur beels, we selected this groups of pesticides in our study.

Figure 1. Map of the sampling sites.
2.2. Collection and preservation of samples

Both surface water and sediment samples were collected from 15 sampling sites of both the Baitkamari as the Pirijpur beel (Fig. 1). Sampling took place in August 2016 (wet season) and in January 2017 (dry season). Surface water samples (approximately 10 cm below water surface) were collected using the hand grab method in 1 L amber glass bottles, filled up to the seal, leaving no space for air bubbles and stored at 4 °C in dark until analysis (Forrest, 2000). The physico-chemical variables of water including temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH and electrical conductivity were measured in situ using a portable multimeter (Hach, HQ 40d). Turbidity was measured in situ using a Secchi disk. Total alkalinity, ammonia, nitrite, nitrate and phosphate concentrations were measured in the Wet laboratory of the Bangladesh Agricultural University in Mymensingh, according to the methods described in American Public Health Association (APHA, 2005). An Ekman grab (length and width: 15 cm and height: 16.5 cm) was used for sampling the upper sediment (upper 5-10 cm). They were homogenized, sieved (mesh size: 1 mm) and stored in 500 mL plastic bottles at 4 °C in dark until analysis. Organic matter, sediment texture and pH were measured in Soil Science Department of Bangladesh Agricultural University in Mymensingh. pH was determined using a glass electrode pH meter. Organic matter was measured according to the method described by Walkley and Black (1934) and sediment texture was determined by the hydrometer method (Bouyoucos, 1962).

2.3. Analysis of samples

All chemicals and reagents used to analyse the pesticide residues in water and sediment samples were Sigma-Aldrich analytical grade. Standard of ten OPPs (acephate, chlorpyrifos, diazinon, dimethoate, ethion, fenitrothion, fenthion, malathion, methyl-parathion and quinalphos) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, FAO-UN, Italy (purity: 99.9%). The QuEChERS (Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged and Safe) method with a slight modification was applied to extract and clean-up the water and sediment samples (Anastassiades et al., 2003). Briefly, 10 g of each sample (both water and sediment) was transferred to a 50 mL centrifuge tube. Then 10 mL acetonitrile was added to the tube and shaken vigorously for 1 minute. Subsequently 7.5 g anhydrous MgSO4 and 1 g NaCl were added and the tube was shaken vigorously again and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 minutes. Approximately, a 2 mL aliquot of the extract was transferred to an Eppendorf containing 100 mg primary secondary amine.
(PSA) sorbent, 150 mg anhydrous MgSO₄ and 30 mg graphitized carbon black (GCB). They were shaken vigorously for 2 minutes and centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 5 minutes. The final extracts were used to analyse the OPPs residue by GC-MS (GCMS-QP2010®, Shimadzu, Japan). Rxi®-5ms column (fused silica) low polarity phase: Crossbond® 5% diphenyl/95% dimethyl polysiloxane (30 m × 250 µm × 0.25 µm) was used to separate and analyse the extracted samples, with 1 µL volume being injected automatically. The split less mode was applied for injection and the injector inlet temperature was 250 °C. The column temperature was programmed as follows: from 90 °C to 180 °C for 1 min at 25 °C/min, from 180 to 270 °C for 1 min at 3 °C/min and from 270 to 310 °C for 3 min at 20 °C/min. Helium was used as carrier gas at a constant flow rate of 1 mL/min, while nitrogen was used as make up gas. The total run time was 40 min. The recoveries, limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) for all pesticides were listed in Table S1.

2.4. Risk assessment

The aquatic risk assessment of OPPs in surface water and sediment was performed using the deterministic risk quotient (RQ) method. Acute and chronic RQs were estimated by dividing the measured environmental concentrations (MECs) by the acute and the chronic predicted no effect concentrations (PNECs), respectively (Van Leeuwen, 2003). RQs were classified as no risk (RQ < 1) and potential risk (RQ > 1). Before calculating the RQs in sediment, the concentrations of pesticides in sediment were converted to concentrations in pore water due to the lack of sediment toxicity data (Zhang et al., 2015). We used the following equation:

\[ C_{pw} = \frac{1000 \times C_s}{K_{oc} \times f_{oc}} \]  

(1)

where \( C_{pw} \) means pesticide concentrations in pore water, \( C_s \) means measured pesticide concentrations in sediment, \( K_{oc} \) means the sorption coefficient on organic carbon (see physico-chemical properties in Table S2) and \( f_{oc} \) means the fraction of organic carbon in sediment (see supporting information in Table S3).

When the MEC was below the LOD, acute and chronic RQs were estimated by dividing half of the LOD of that particular pesticide by the acute and the chronic PNECs, respectively (Van den Brink and Kater, 2006). Acute PNECs for \textit{Daphnia} and standard test fish species were derived by dividing the acute LC50 or EC50 values by an assessment factor of 100. Chronic PNECs for standard test algae, \textit{Daphnia} and standard test fish species were calculated by dividing the no
observed effect concentrations (NOECs) values by an assessment factor of 10 (Table S4) (Teklu et al., 2016). Eco-toxicological data for fish, *Daphnia* and algae were collected from FOOTPRINT Pesticide Properties Database (Table S5) (Lewis et al., 2016), except the chronic NOEC value of chlorpyrifos for *Daphnia*. As the FOOTPRINT Database showed much higher chronic NOEC of chlorpyrifos for *Daphnia* than the acute EC50, we collected this information from Palma et al. (2009) (Table S5).

The higher-tier model PERPEST v4.0.0.0 (Predicting the Ecological Risks of PESTicides; Van den Brink et al. (2002); www.perpest.wur.nl) was used to refine the risks of the MEC values in surface water and sediment (pore water) with RQ values > 1. This model used higher-tier data (e.g. microcosms and mesocosms) and included indirect effects of pesticides. The PERPEST model resulted in a prediction showing the probability of the evaluated pesticide concentration leading to no, slight or clear effects on eight grouped endpoints: algae and macrophytes, community metabolism, fish, insects, macro-crustaceans, micro-crustaceans, other macro-invertebrates and rotifers. The model is based on a case-based reasoning approach. For developing the model, empirical data resulting from freshwater model ecosystem studies (i.e. microcosm and mesocosm) performed with pesticides were extracted and classified within a database (Van den Brink et al., 2002; Ansara-Ross et al., 2008; Van Wijngaarden et al., 2005).

2.5. Statistical analyses

Multivariate analyses were performed to evaluate the differences in pesticide concentrations in water and sediment, their RQs, and the physico-chemical variables between beels and seasons. First, all concentrations, RQs and physico-chemical variables (except pH) were Ln (AX+1) transformed to approximate a normal distribution of the data. The value of the A parameter was chosen in such a way that AX yields 2 with X being the lowest number above 0 for each concentration, RQ or physico-chemical variable. So the factor A was determined for each endpoint separately. For each of the 5 datasets (sediment and water concentrations and RQs and physico-chemical parameters) two permutation tests under the RDA option were performed: one testing the significance of the differences between the beels, using beel as an explanatory variable and season as covariable, and one testing the significance of the differences between the seasons, using season as an explanatory variable and beel as covariable. If either variable was significant an RDA was performed using the interaction
between both variables as explanatory variables in order to show the correlations between the endpoints and the beels and seasons.

To assess the correlation between physico-chemical variables and pesticide concentrations in the water and sediment and their associated RQs, an RDA was performed on each of the four data sets (water and sediment concentrations and RQs) using physico-chemical parameters as explanatory variables. This yields the significance of the correlation of each physico-chemical parameters with each of the four data sets.

Spatial and seasonal differences were further assessed for all endpoints by univariate independent t-test using SPSS 23.0. The non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test was used when the data did not follow a normal distribution.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Physico-chemical variables of water and sediment

The results of the RDA showed significant differences between seasons ($P \leq 0.001$) and beels ($P \leq 0.001$) for the physicochemical variables of water and sediment (Fig. 2). Temperature was significantly higher in wet season than dry season in both beels ($P < 0.001$). For DO and nitrate, no significant difference was observed between seasons, but was between the beels ($P < 0.001$). EC, ammonia, phosphate and silt values were not significantly different between beels and seasons. The differences were significant between seasons and beels for pH, turbidity, total alkalinity, nitrite, sediment pH, OM and sediment textures (sand and clay) ($P < 0.05$).

The differences in physico-chemical variables of water and sediment between beels and seasons might be due to other sources of pollution than OPPs. The highest DO was measured in Baitkamari beel (10 mg/L) in wet season while lowest DO was measured in Pirijpur beel (5.2 µg/L) in same season. The significant difference of DO between beels could be explained by the occurrence of jute retting near the sampling location in Pirijpur beel during wet season. A huge amount of biomass undergoes decomposition, and herewith consuming DO, during the jute retting process (Banik et al., 1993). The observed significant increase of temperature in Pirijpur beel during wet season (Hasan and Rahman, 2013) might have had a great influence on the decomposition of jute biomass, thus leading to increased turbidity and decreased DO. The significant reduction of DO due to jute retting was observed by earlier studies in water bodies in Bangladesh (Haque et al., 2002) and in India (Mondal and Kaviraj, 2008).
Figure 2. RDA biplot showing the difference in physico-chemical parameters between the beels and seasons. The interaction between beels and seasons explained 44% of the total variance, of which 62% is displayed on the horizontal axis and another 28% on the vertical axis.

None of the physico-chemical variables had a significant correlation with pesticide concentrations in water or sediment, nor with the water and sediment RQs. Only ammonia had a significant (P = 0.045) correlation with pesticide concentrations in sediment, but this significance disappears when a p value correction is used to account for the number of statistical tests performed (false discovery rate, P = 0.675).
Table 1. Range, median concentrations and detection frequencies (DF, in %) of OPPs in surface water (μg/L) and sediment (μg/kg dw) in Baitkamari and Pirijpur beels during wet and dry seasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Pesticide</th>
<th>Surface water</th>
<th>Baitkamari beel</th>
<th>Dry season</th>
<th>Pirijpur beel</th>
<th>Wet season</th>
<th>Dry season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>DF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface water</td>
<td>Acephate</td>
<td>n.d-1.0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>n.d-0.6</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>n.d-3.1</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>n.d-5.2</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dazionon</td>
<td>n.d-9.0</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>n.d-6.9</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>n.d-2.0</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>n.d-2.0</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethion</td>
<td>n.d-0.8</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>n.d-0.9</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fenitrothion</td>
<td>n.d-1.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>n.d-3.1</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fenthion</td>
<td>n.d-2.0</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>n.d-2.9</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>n.d-1.8</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>n.d-2.0</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methylparathion</td>
<td>n.d-2.0</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>n.d-2.4</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quinaplophos</td>
<td>n.d-3.4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>n.d-6.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>Acephate</td>
<td>n.d-10.3</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>n.d-1.7</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>n.d-45.0</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>n.d-39.0</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dazionon</td>
<td>n.d-51.0</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>n.d-15.0</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>n.d-21.0</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>n.d-3.9</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethion</td>
<td>n.d-5.4</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>n.d-2.4</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fenitrothion</td>
<td>n.d-7.2</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>n.d-5.8</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fenthion</td>
<td>n.d-21.4</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>n.d-7.8</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>n.d-5.7</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>n.d-7.7</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methylparathion</td>
<td>n.d-2.8</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>n.d-4.7</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quinaplophos</td>
<td>n.d-4.2</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>n.d-8.7</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. Occurrence of OPPs in surface water

In both beels, all of the OPPs exceeded their LOQ in surface water at least at one of the sampling sites in both seasons. The total pesticide concentration in water in Baitkamari beel ranged from n.d-0.19 µM in the wet season and n.d-0.26 µM in the dry season, while in Pirijpur beel concentrations ranged from n.d-0.34 µM in wet season and n.d-0.35 µM in dry season. Thus, the total OPPs concentrations in water were higher in Pirijpur beel than in Baitkamari beel during both seasons as could be expected given the level of agricultural intensification and the lower dilution factor because Pirijpur beel has a smaller depth and width compared with that of Baitkamari beel. However, RDA showed no significant differences between seasons and beels for the OPPs concentrations in water (p > 0.05).

In the Baitkamari beel, the most frequently detected pesticide in wet season was chlorpyrifos (53%), followed by quinalphos (40%) and diazinon (33%), while in dry season those were quinalphos (47%), chlorpyrifos (40%) and diazinon (40%) (Table 1). In the Pirijpur beel, the two most frequently detected pesticides were also chlorpyrifos (53% in wet season and 60 % in dry season) and quinalphos (47% for both seasons). Among the ten OPPs, acephate and ethion (20-27%) were the less frequently detected pesticides in surface water in both beels during both seasons (Table 1). The highest concentration in surface water of 9.1 µg/L was measured for chlorpyrifos in the Pirijpur beel at S10 during wet season (Table 1). The results of this study are in line with an earlier study by Hossain et al. (2015) in the sense that they found a similar maximum chlorpyrifos concentration (9.31 µg/L) in lake water in Bangladesh. Most earlier studies from different sub-(tropical) countries, however, reported lower concentrations of chlorpyrifos than our study (Leong et al., 2007; Rahmanikah et al., 2011; Chowdhury et al., 2012a; Lari et al., 2014; Dahshan et al., 2016; Wee and Aris, 2017). Abdel-Halim et al. (2006), however, reported the highest chlorpyrifos concentration as 303.8 µg/L in water samples collected from New Damietta drainage canal in Egypt, which is about 33 folds higher than our study. In our study, the highest diazinon concentration in water was 9 µg/L in the Baitkamari beel at S8 during the wet season (Table 1). Almost similar results were reported in a previous study by Hossain et al. (2015) in Bangladesh as they report a highest diazinon concentration of 7.86 µg/L in lake water. The highest concentrations of diazinon (9 µg/L) measured in this study was higher than the concentration range (0.0001-1.2 µg/L) reported for other sub-(tropical) countries (Leong et al., 2007; Carvalho et al., 2008; Nasrabadi et al., 2011;
Rahmanikhah et al., 2011; Chowdhury et al., 2012b; Wee and Aris, 2017) and a Mediterranean country (Masiá et al., 2015).

The observed variation in pesticide water concentrations in different studies could be explained by differences in cropping pattern, intensity of pesticide usage, distance of agricultural fields from sampling location, climate, etc. in different countries. Differences in pesticide concentrations might also be expected due to differences in efficiency of analytical verification methods used between different studies (Wee and Aris, 2017). The results, however, indicate that most of the concentration of the detected pesticides (e.g. chlorpyrifos, diazinon) were higher in our study than those found in other countries which might be due to the extensive and irrational usage of pesticide in north-west Bangladesh. For instance, earlier study by Sumon et al. (2016) reported that 70 % of the studied farmers overdosed the recommended dose of pesticides (e.g. 0.6 kg malathion/ha; number of applications 3 times with an average application intervals of 15 days) in rice-prawn systems in south-west Bangladesh, which might have resulted in the high concentrations. Another earlier study by Dasgupta et al. (2007) found approximately 47% of the farmers overdosed, with an average overuse of 3.4 kg of different pesticides/ha per growing season (e.g. chlorpyrifos has been used 10 times per crops) in rice and vegetables in different parts of Bangladesh. Hence, the present study suggests future monitoring studies in the vicinity of Baitkamari and Pirijpur beels including other groups of pesticides e.g. pyrethroids (cypermethrin and alphacypermethrin) than organophosphates which were also heavily used in that region. This study also recommends to reduce the environmental risks of pesticides by firstly adhering to the recommended doses and through the adoption of integrated pest management (IPM) practices in Bangladesh.

3.3. Occurrence of OPPs in sediment

All OPPs were detected above the LOQ in sediment samples at least at one of the sampling sites in both Baitkamari and Pirijpur beels during both seasons. The total OPPs concentration in sediment samples in Baitkamari beel ranged from n.d-1.35 µM in wet season and n.d-0.85 µM in dry season, whereas in Pirijpur beel ranged from n.d-0.86 µM in wet season and n.d-0.93 µM in dry season. The results of the RDA, however, showed no significant differences between seasons and beels for the OPPs concentrations in sediment (p > 0.05).
In Baitkamari beel, the most frequently detected pesticide was chlorpyrifos (53%), followed by diazinon (47%), dimethoate, methyl-paration, and quinalphos (40%) in wet season, while quinalphos (53%) was the most detected pesticide in dry season, followed by chlorpyrifos (40%). The three most frequently detected pesticides in the Pirijpur beel during the wet season were quinalphos (53%), chlorpyrifos (47%) and dimethoate (47%), while diazinon (53%) was the most frequently detected pesticide in dry season (Table 1). In the present study, the highest OPP sediment concentration of 51 µg/kg dw was measured for diazinon in the Baitkamari beel during the wet season (Table 1). Earlier studies in sub- (tropical) waterbodies reported lower concentrations (0.56-3.79 µg/kg) of diazinon compared to those found in our study (Musa et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2015), which may be a result of the extensive pesticide usage in our study sites. However, somewhat higher diazinon concentration in sediment have been reported for Spain, 72 µg/kg in the Ebro River Basin (Navarro-Ortega et al., 2010) and 175 µg/kg in the Guadalquivir River (Masiá et al., 2013). In our study, the highest chlorpyrifos concentration in sediment compartment of 45 µg/kg dw was measured in the Baitkamari beel during the wet season at S6 (Table 1). Two studies from Spain found approximately three times higher chlorpyrifos concentrations than our study in the Turia River and the Llobregat River (130-141 µg/kg), (Ccanccapa et al., 2016a; Masiá et al., 2015). However, a few earlier studies reported lower concentrations (0.02-16 µg/kg) of chlorpyrifos in sediment in different parts of the world than we reported in our study (Xue et al., 2005; Kanzari et al., 2012; Masiá et al., 2013; Montuori et al., 2015). Like surface water concentrations, the differences of pesticide concentrations in sediment in different studies could also be explained by the differences in cropping pattern, pesticide usage, climate, registration status of OPPs, analytical verification, etc. The results, however, indicate that most of the pesticides detected (e.g. diazinon and chlorpyrifos) in our study was higher than those found in other countries, which might be result of the extensive and irrational usage of pesticide in the vicinity of Baitkamari and Pirijpur beels in north-west Bangladesh.

In our study, the total OPPs concentrations including most of the individual compounds in both Baitkamari and Pirijpur beels during both wet and dry seasons were higher in sediments than those in surface water. Moreover, there was a positive correlation between the most of the water and sediment concentrations in both Baitkamari and Pirijpur beels. For example, the highest measured diazion and chlorpyrifos concentrations were 51 µg/kg dw and 45 µg/kg.
dw, respectively in sediments while those were 9 µg/L and 9.1 µg/L, respectively in surface waters. This could be explained by the hydrophobic nature and high adsorption tendency to the organic matter content in sediment of these pesticides (Gebremariam et al., 2011).

3.4. Risk assessment

Variable acute and chronic RQs for each trophic level (fish, invertebrates and algae) were calculated for the OPP concentrations in the surface water and the sediment compartment for the two beels in both seasons (Table 2). However, RDA did not show any significant differences of RQs between beels and seasons in surface water and sediment (p > 0.05). Among the evaluated compounds, the highest acute (700) and chronic (650) RQs for fish in surface water was calculated for chlorpyrifos (RQ > 1 for 52% of the samples), followed by quinalphos and malathion, however, the other pesticides did not show potential risks (RQs < 1) (Table 2). The majority of the OPPs showed potential acute and chronic risks for Daphnia in surface water except acephate, dimethoate and ethion. Four pesticides including chlorpyrifos, malathion, quinalphos and fenitrothion showed higher acute and/or chronic potential risks for Daphnia than other pesticides as they showed RQs > 1 for 100% of the evaluated samples. The highest RQs for Daphnia were also calculated for chlorpyrifos (9100), followed by quinalphos (1076), fenitrothion (563) and malathion (533). Among the 10 evaluated OPPs, none of them showed potential risk (RQs < 1) for algae in surface water (Table 2). Like surface water, the highest acute (426) and chronic (395) RQs for fish were also calculated for chlorpyrifos in sediment, followed by quinalphos, malathion, diazinon and fenthion, however, rest of the five OPPs (acephate, dimethoate, ethion, fenitrothion and methyl-parathion) did not show potential risks for any of the evaluated samples (RQs < 1). Eight out of ten OPPs showed acute and chronic potential risks for Daphnia in sediment except acephate and ethion. Five pesticides including diazinon, chlorpyrifos, quinalphos, malathion and fenitrothion showed acute and/or chronic RQs > 1 for Daphnia in sediment for 100% of the samples (Table 2). The highest RQs for Daphnia was calculated for diazinon (10167) in sediment, followed by chlorpyrifos (5533), quinalphos (892), fenitrothion (640) and malathion (520). Like surface water, none of the pesticides showed potential risks (RQs < 1) for algae in sediment among 10 OPPs (Table 2).
Table 2. The percentage (%) of acute and chronic RQs > 1 (highest RQs) of OPPs in surface water and sediment for different aquatic organisms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pesticide</th>
<th>Surface water</th>
<th>Sediment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acute RQ&lt;sub&gt;fish&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Acute RQ&lt;sub&gt;Daphnia&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>52 (700)</td>
<td>52 (4100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazinon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35 (900)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenitrothion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenthion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl parathion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinalphos</td>
<td>45 (142)</td>
<td>100 (1076)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For acute RQs of chlorpyrifos on Daphnia in surface water, 46% of the samples showed RQs > 1 when this pesticide was not even detected and rest of the 54% of the samples showed RQs > 1 when they were calculated with respective measured concentrations.

For chronic RQs of chlorpyrifos on Daphnia in surface water, 48% of the samples showed RQs > 1 when this pesticide was not even detected and rest of the 52% of the samples showed RQs > 1 when they were calculated with respective measured concentrations.

For chronic RQs of fenitrothion on Daphnia in surface water, 68% of the samples showed RQs > 1 when this pesticide was not even detected and rest of the 32% of the samples showed RQs > 1 when they were calculated with respective measured concentrations.

For acute RQs of malathion on Daphnia in surface water, 70% of the samples showed RQs > 1 when this pesticide was not even detected and rest of the 30% of the samples showed RQs > 1 when they were calculated with respective measured concentrations.
To assess the risks of pesticides for sediment-dwelling organisms, the measured sediment concentrations in our study were compared to the sediment toxicity data derived in earlier studies. For this, all sediment concentrations were normalized to sediment organic carbon (OC) content (For rationale, see Diepens et al. (2017)). The results indicated that the highest concentrations of two pesticides (diazinon and chlorpyrifos) in this study were lower than the calculated threshold values for *Chironomus* sp. in previous studies. For example, Ding et al. (2011) calculated the 10-d LC50 value of diazinon (54,300 µg/kg OC) for *Chironomus dilutus*, which is approximately eight times higher than measured (6375 µg/kg OC) in our study. However, earlier studies reported 10-d LC50 of chlorpyrifos for *Chironomus tentans* of 9956 µg/kg OC (Ankley et al., 1994) and for *Chironomus dilutus* of 10,800 µg/kg OC (Harwood et al., 2009), which is almost two times higher than our study (4500 µg/kg OC).

In our study, several pesticides showed very high RQs (RQ > 1) in water and sediment, demonstrating a high potential risks to cause adverse effects for aquatic organisms. However, the potential risks of three pesticides (chlorpyrifos, malathion and fenitrothion) is present in surface water for *Daphnia* even without detection (Table 2). This, because the LOD of chlorpyrifos, malathion and fenitrothion in surface water was higher than the acute and/or chronic PNECs for *Daphnia*. Hence, the present study suggests that the analytical verification for several pesticides should be improved in future studies. In the present study, the invertebrate *Daphnia* was found to be at higher risk than other organisms (i.e. fish and algae).

The reason behind the high acute and chronic RQs for *Daphnia* might be due to a combination of high MEC values for several sampling sites and relatively low PNEC values of these pesticides (Maltby et al., 2005). The results of this study are in accordance with one of the previous studies in tropical Thailand in the sense that they also calculated high RQs of different pesticides based on the sensitivity of *Daphnia* (Satapornvanit et al., 2004). Most of the earlier studies, however, calculated much lower RQ values of different pesticides for aquatic organisms than we reported in our study. For instance, one study from Spain by Ccanccapa et al. (2016a) calculated the highest RQ value of chlorpyrifos for *Daphnia* of 9 for the Turia River. Ccanccapa et al. (2016b) also reported the maximum RQ value of this pesticide of 3.6 for *Daphnia* in Ebro River, which is several hundred folds lower than we calculated for chlorpyrifos in our study. Almost similar, lower RQ values of chlorpyrifos than our study have been reported for surface water in different parts of the world (Thomatou et al., 2013; Stamatis et
al., 2013; Montuori et al., 2016; Wee and Aris, 2017). The reason of the high differences in RQs could be due to the use of different PNECs in different studies. The higher RQs values of different pesticides calculated in our study compared to earlier studies for aquatic organisms (i.e. *Daphnia* and fish) indicate the higher concentrations of pesticides measured in both Baitkamari and Pirijpur beel. The extensive and irrational use of pesticides (e.g. chlorpyrifos, diazinon and quinalphos) might be the main reason behind the high concentrations measured in the vicinity of Baitkamari and Pirijpur beels of north-west Bangladesh (Dasgupta et al., 2007).

Table 3. The high probability (≥ 50%) of clear effects of different pesticides in water and sediment (pore water) for several endpoints.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pesticides</th>
<th>Fish</th>
<th>Insects</th>
<th>Macro-crustaceans</th>
<th>Micro-crustaceans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Sediment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazinon</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenitrothion</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenthion</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylparathion</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinalphos</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NC = Not calculated by the PERPEST model since they did not show potential risk (RQ < 1) by RQ method; NI = Not included while any pesticides showed < 50% probability of clear effects for any of the endpoints both in surface and pore water by PERPEST model.

The PERPEST model showed high probabilities of clear effects for aquatic insects, macro- and micro-crustaceans for both surface water and sediment for nine out of ten OPPs (except malathion in both cases). A high probability of clear effect is considered when OPPs pose a probability of higher than 50% (Sumon et al., 2016). The highest probability of clear effects in surface water were calculated for chlorpyrifos, followed by quinalphos, fenthion, diazinon and fenitrothion, and in sediment for diazinon, followed by fenthion, chlorpyrifos, methylparathion, quinalphos and fenitrothion (Table 3). The high probability of clear effect for fish was calculated only for chlorpyrifos in surface water while this was calculated for fenthion in sediment. So, the results of the PERPEST model refined the potential clear risks of four pesticides i.e. chlorpyrifos, diazinon, quinalphos and fenitrothion in surface water and
sediment, which were already derived from the RQ-based risk assessment approach (Table 3). The probability of clear effects for algae and macrophytes, community metabolism, rotifers and other macro-invertebrates in both surface water and sediment, however, was also calculated for these pesticides, thus indicating the indirect effects on these endpoints. The observed indirect effects could be explained by the fact that the presence of these OPPs could lead to the eutrophication in Baitkamari and Pirijpur beels of north-west Bangladesh (Hela et al., 2005).

4. Conclusions

This study indicated that chlorpyrifos, diazinon, quinalphos and fenitrothion showed high risks in aquatic ecosystems in the vicinity of Baitkamari and Pirijpur beel of north-west Bangladesh. One of the main reasons of high risks in aquatic ecosystems posed by these OPPs could be their irrational use (i.e. overdose), however, we suggest further studies on the exact usage of pesticides by the farmers in that region. The study recommends to reduce the use of pesticides to the recommended doses, but preferably to lower dosages by promoting integrated pest management (IPM) practices in Bangladesh. We also suggest future studies (e.g. modelling study) to determine the route of pesticide exposure to the aquatic systems so that pesticide contamination may be reduced through the proper implementation of mitigation measures.
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Table S1. LOD, LOQ and recoveries (mean ± SD; n = 3) of OPPs in surface water (spiked concentrations of 100 µg/L) and sediment (spiked concentrations of 100 µg/kg).

| Pesticide name | Surface water | | | Sediment | | | |
|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                | LOD (µg/L)   | LOQ (µg/L)     | Recoveries (%) | LOD (µg/kg)   | LOQ (µg/kg)   | Recoveries (%) |
| Acephate       | 0.001        | <0.001         | 96.8 ± 5.75    | 0.16          | 0.53          | 73.1 ± 4.80    |
| Chlorpyrifos   | 0.02         | 0.07           | 87.5 ± 4.88    | 0.25          | 0.82          | 79.1 ± 4.50    |
| Diazinon       | 0.01         | 0.03           | 87.8 ± 7.81    | 0.50          | 1.65          | 73.0 ± 4.58    |
| Dimethoate     | 0.03         | 0.09           | 94.4 ± 6.25    | 0.23          | 0.76          | 77.3 ± 4.16    |
| Ethion         | 0.09         | 0.29           | 85.1 ± 8.02    | 0.30          | 0.99          | 68.7 ± 4.51    |
| Fenitrothion   | 0.02         | 0.06           | 83.3 ± 4.04    | 0.25          | 0.82          | 82.3 ± 4.04    |
| Fenthion       | 0.01         | 0.03           | 90.2 ± 3.91    | 0.08          | 0.26          | 71.3 ± 3.79    |
| Malathion      | 0.08         | 0.26           | 98.6 ± 3.08    | 0.29          | 0.96          | 83.8 ± 5.84    |
| Methyl parathion | 0.01     | 0.03           | 93.5 ± 4.10    | 0.06          | 0.19          | 73.7 ± 5.50    |
| Quinalphos     | 0.07         | 0.23           | 98.0 ± 5.29    | 0.12          | 0.39          | 77.0 ± 4.58    |

Table S2. Physico-chemical properties of 10 OPPs (Source: Lewis et al., 2016).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pesticide name</th>
<th>CAS No.</th>
<th>Molecular mass (g/mol)</th>
<th>Water solubility (mg/L)</th>
<th>Octanol-water partition coefficient (K&lt;sub&gt;ow&lt;/sub&gt;)</th>
<th>Vapour pressure (mPa)</th>
<th>Sorption coefficient on organic carbon (K&lt;sub&gt;oc&lt;/sub&gt;)</th>
<th>Water hydrolysis (DT50) (d)</th>
<th>Henry coefficient (Henry) (Pa m&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt; mol&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acephate</td>
<td>30560-19-1</td>
<td>183.17</td>
<td>790000</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.15 × 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>2921-88-2</td>
<td>350.89</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>50100</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>8151</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>4.78 × 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazinon</td>
<td>333-41-5</td>
<td>304.35</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>6.09 × 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>60-51-5</td>
<td>229.26</td>
<td>398000</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1.42 × 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethion</td>
<td>563-12-2</td>
<td>384.48</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>117000</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>3.85 × 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenitrothion</td>
<td>122-14-5</td>
<td>277.23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>0.676</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>9.86 × 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenthion</td>
<td>55-38-9</td>
<td>278.33</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6920</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>2.40 × 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>121-75-5</td>
<td>330.36</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.00 × 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl parathion</td>
<td>298-00-0</td>
<td>263.21</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8.57 × 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinalphos</td>
<td>13593-03-8</td>
<td>298.3</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4.70 × 10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CAS No.- Chemical Abstracts Service Number of pesticides; Water solubility at reference temperature (20 °C); Octanol-water partition coefficient; Vapour pressure at 25 °C; Sorption coefficient on organic carbon (K<sub>oc</sub> is collected from Sharma et al. 2013 because it is not available in database); DT50 water hydrolysis- Half-life in water at pH = 7 and 20 °C; Henry coefficient at 25 °C.
Table S3. Foc (OM%/1.724; see FOCUS, 2014 for rational) values of sediment in Baitkamari and Pirijpur beel during wet and dry season.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Baitkamari beel</th>
<th>Pirijpur beel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>Dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S4. Acute and chronic PNECs of OPPs for fish, *Daphnia* and algae.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pesticide name</th>
<th>Acute PNECs (µg/L)</th>
<th>Chronic PNECs (µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>Daphnia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acephate</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazinon</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethion</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenitrothion</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenthion</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl parathion</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinalphos</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA = Not available
Table S5. Acute and chronic toxicity data of OPPs for fish, *Daphnia* and algae (Source: Lewis et al., 2016).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pesticide name</th>
<th>Acute toxicity (µg/L)</th>
<th>Chronic toxicity (µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fish (96-h LC50)</td>
<td>Daphnia (48-h EC50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acephate</td>
<td>110000</td>
<td>67200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazinon</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>30200</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethion</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenitrothion</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenthion</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl parathion</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinalphos</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA = Not available; <sup>a</sup> The chronic 21-d NOEC of chlorpyrifos for *Daphnia* was collected from Palma et al. (2009).
Chapter 4

Effects of imidacloprid on the ecology of sub-tropical freshwater microcosms


This chapter has been published in *Environmental Pollution* (2018), 236: 432-441.
Abstract

The neonicotinoid insecticide imidacloprid is used in Bangladesh for a variety of crop protection purposes. Imidacloprid may contaminate aquatic ecosystems via spray drift, surface runoff and ground water leaching. The present study aimed at assessing the fate and effects of imidacloprid on structural (phytoplankton, zooplankton, macroinvertebrates and periphyton) and functional (organic matter decomposition) endpoints of freshwater, subtropical ecosystems in Bangladesh. Imidacloprid was applied weekly to 16 freshwater microcosms (PVC tanks containing 400 L de-chlorinated tap water) at nominal concentrations of 0, 30, 300, 3000 ng/L over a period of 4 weeks. Results indicated that imidacloprid concentrations from the microcosm water column declined rapidly. Univariate and multivariate analysis showed significant effects of imidacloprid on the zooplankton and macroinvertebrate community, some individual phytoplankton taxa, and water quality variables (i.e. DO, alkalinity, ammonia and nitrate), with Cloeon sp., Diaptomus sp. and Keratella sp. being the most affected species, i.e. showing lower abundance values in all treatments compared to the control. The observed high sensitivity of Cloeon sp. and Diaptomus sp. was confirmed by the results of single species tests. No significant effects were observed on the species composition of the phytoplankton, periphyton biomass and organic matter decomposition for any of the sampling days. Our study indicates that (sub-)tropical aquatic ecosystems can be much more sensitive to imidacloprid compared to temperate ones.
1. Introduction

The shift from traditional to modern and intensive agricultural practices in developing countries like Bangladesh, has led to an increasing use of pesticides over the last decades (Rahman, 2013). Pesticide use in Bangladesh raised from 7,350 metric tons in 1992 to 45,172 metric tons in 2010 (Ali et al., 2018). This was partly due to governments’ policy to stimulate chemical control measures against insect pests to increase crop production as well as to prevent pre- and post-harvest crop losses (Shahjahan et al., 2017; Sumon et al., 2016).

Imidacloprid ((E)-1-(6-chloro-3-pyridylmethyl)-N-nitroimidazolidin-2-ylideneamine; CAS No. 138261-41-3) is a neonicotinoid synthetic insecticide and veterinary substance. It was first introduced in the USA in the 1990s to control insect pests and is now registered in about 120 countries for use in more than 140 crops including rice, maize, cotton, potatoes, tomatoes, sugar beets and various greenhouse-grown plants (Jeschke and Nauen, 2008; Morrissey et al., 2015; Lewis et al., 2016).

Imidacloprid may affect non-target aquatic organisms via exposure due to spray drift (Hilz and Vermeer, 2012) and runoff due to its’ high solubility in water (Armbrust and Peeler, 2002). After entering into water bodies, the dissipation time 50% (DT50) of imidacloprid merely depends on photolysis, however, variation in DT50 water values was observed between different water bodies. For example, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) reported DT50 water values ranging from 30 to 150 days for three water-sediment studies performed at 22 °C in laboratory in the dark (EFSA, 2008), indicating a likely long-term exposure of imidacloprid to aquatic ecosystem when light conditions are poor. However, imidacloprid was found to dissipate very rapidly in different studies under UV light due to photolysis (e.g. Lavine et al., 2010). Colombo et al. (2013) recorded a DT50 of 1.2 day from the water column monitored for 28 days in field-based microcosms in Germany, whereas a DT50 of 8.2 day was reported in a pond microcosm in Germany (Posthuma-Doodeman, 2008). A DT50 of 1 day was recorded by Thuyet et al. (2011) for a rice paddy system in autumn in Japan. However, imidacloprid has been detected worldwide in surface waters at concentrations ranging from 0.001 to 320 µg/L, the highest of which was found in Netherlands (Morrissey et al., 2015). Imidacloprid has been found in aquatic ecosystems at 3.29 µg/L in the California’s agricultural regions in the USA (Starner and Goh, 2012) and up to 11.9 µg/L in Canadian agricultural areas (CCME, 2007). The field
monitoring data on imidacloprid is only available for temperate countries, but the systemic study from sub- (tropical) countries is lacking.

During the past years, a large number of studies focusing on the toxicity of imidacloprid to the aquatic environment have been published, partly also due to the debate on the negative relationship between the use of neonicotinoids and non-target beneficial invertebrates, in particular arthropods (EASAC, 2015; Van Dijk et al., 2013; Vijver and Van den Brink, 2014). Both single species laboratory tests (Alexander et al., 2007; Stoughton et al., 2008; Roessink et al., 2013; Cavallaro et al., 2017; Van den Brink et al., 2016) and model ecosystem studies (Hayasaka et al., 2012a; Mohr et al., 2012; Colombo et al., 2013) using imidacloprid, were all conducted in temperate regions. To date no study seem to have been undertaken to investigate the sensitivity of imidacloprid on the aquatic organisms in the sub-tropics and tropics. Van den Brink et al. (2016) found that a reproducing, summer generations of several arthropods were more sensitive to imidacloprid than their non-reproducing, winter generation. Earlier studies demonstrated that higher temperature also might increase the sensitivity of arthropods (Camp and Buchwalter, 2016; Van den Brink et al., 2016). Hence, a difference in sensitivity between tropical and temperate communities to imidacloprid can be hypothesised. To address this knowledge gap, the present study aimed at assessing fate and effects of imidacloprid on the structural (phytoplankton, zooplankton, macroinvertebrates, and periphyton) and functional (organic matter decomposition) endpoints of freshwater ecosystems located in the sub-tropical country Bangladesh.

2. Materials and methods

Most of the materials and methods used for the microcosm experiment have been described by Rico et al. (2014).

2.1. Design of the microcosm study and acute toxicity tests

The present study was conducted in sixteen freshwater microcosms at the Faculty of Fisheries, Bangladesh Agricultural University (Mymensingh, Bangladesh; 24.7434°N, 90.3984°E). The open experimental area was roofed with transparent plastic slates (Fig. S1). Each microcosm comprised of a PVC tank (diameter: 172 cm; total height: 78 cm) which was coated with non-toxic epoxy paint. Each microcosm was initially filled with 4.5 cm of sediment (collected from nearby ponds of Bangladesh Agricultural University campus) and 400 L of tap water (a layer of
Microcosm water was allowed to dissipate the possible chlorine residues for one week. Each system was gently aerated to provide some water movement. The systems were stocked with algae and invertebrates collected from same ponds where sediment was collected. These ponds were selected because they were uncontaminated sources (as agricultural activities were not practised near the Bangladesh Agricultural University campus) and were quite biodiverse in terms of algae and invertebrates. Macroinvertebrates were stocked by distributing an equal numbers of each of the taxa into each microcosm, while equal amounts of concentrated plankton in terms of volume were added into each microcosm. The algae and invertebrate communities were allowed to develop themselves over a pre-treatment period of 6 weeks. During the pre-treatment period, every two weeks about 20% of the water volume was exchanged between the microcosms to promote the uniformity in the structure of the communities between the microcosms. As recommended by Daam and Van den Brink (2011), urea (containing 1.4 mg/L nitrogen) and trisodium phosphate (0.18 mg/L phosphorus) were administered every two weeks to the systems during the experimental period.

For the acute toxicity tests, *Cloeon* sp. and *Diaptomus* sp. were collected from the nearby ponds of Bangladesh Agricultural University campus (see some photos of *Cloeon* sp. and *Diaptomus* sp. in Fig. S2 and S3, respectively). *Cloeon* sp. was transferred in an aerated plastic bucket with a mixture of pond and de-chlorinated test water first and then only in test water to acclimate to the laboratory conditions for at least 3 days at ambient temperature. During the acclimation period, they were fed ad libitum with *Enhydra fluctuans*, *Eichhornia crassipes* and biofilms. *Diaptomus* sp. was stocked in an aerated glass beaker with de-chlorinated test water in the laboratory condition at ambient temperature and fed with algae. After an acclimation period of 3 days, 10 individuals of *Cloeon* sp. were transferred into each of the 21 glass beakers containing 500 mL de-chlorinated tap water (water holding capacity: 750 mL) and 20 individuals of *Diaptomus* sp. were transferred into 21 glass beakers containing 50 mL de-chlorinated tap water (water holding capacity: 100 mL), which were put in the laboratory at ambient temperature and receiving no direct sunlight. An aeration system was introduced in all beakers to provide sufficient oxygen throughout the experimental period of 96 h. Feeding was stopped 24 h before and throughout the exposure period. Both species were exposed to seven different concentrations (0, 3, 10, 30, 100, 300, 3000 ng/L) of imidacloprid including
control with triplicate treatment for 96 h separately. Imidacloprid (as Premier with 20% active ingredient, 6% adjuvants and 74% water and produced by the world of Hayleys) was purchased from a local pesticide seller (Mymensingh, Bangladesh). The stock solutions were prepared by dissolving the required weighed amount of imidacloprid in distilled water so a concentration of 200 g/L imidacloprid was achieved. Water quality variables (i.e., dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH and EC) were measured in the lowest and highest treatment, and in the control at 0 h and 96 h of exposure. Mortality and immobility were checked at every 24 h of exposure for Cloeon sp. and after 96 h of exposure for Diaptomus sp. Individuals were considered immobile when there was no observed movement within 20 s for Cloeon sp. and 15 s for Diaptomus sp., and dead when there was no observed movement within 3-5 s for both after a tactile stimulation using a Pasteur’s capillary pipette (OECD, 2004). Dead individuals were removed immediately from the experimental units. Immobile individuals were kept in the systems because there was a possibility for recovery, and these specimens were used to calculate effect concentration levels based on immobilization. The test was valid when the mortality of the control did not exceed 10% at the end (96 h) of the test (OECD, 2004).

2.2. Application and analysis of imidacloprid

Like acute toxicity tests, imidacloprid (as Premier) with 20% active ingredient was used in microcosm experiment. Imidacloprid was applied to each microcosms weekly at either nominal concentrations of 0, 30, 300 or 3000 ng/L over a period of 4 weeks, using four replicates for each treatment. The doses were chosen based on the acute and chronic toxicity of imidacloprid to the most sensitive organisms, mayflies. The lowest concentration (30 ng/L) was based on the 28-d EC10 value of imidacloprid for Cloeon dipterum (33 ng/L; Roessink et al., 2013) in the Netherlands. The highest concentration of 3000 ng/L of imidacloprid in both the microcosm experiment and the acute toxicity tests reflected the acute toxicity (96 h-EC50) for the same species (1770 ng/L; Roessink et al., 2013). The four microcosms serving as controls received only aerated tap water. The control and treatments were randomly assigned to the experimental microcosms prior to the first imidacloprid application. Stock solutions of 1 L were prepared for each of the 4 applications by dissolving the weighed amount of imidacloprid with distilled water in a volumetric flask so a concentration of 200 g/L imidacloprid was achieved and the solution was sonicated for 30 min at 45 °C.
The imidacloprid concentrations were analytically verified in microcosm water samples collected from one of the four replicates of all treatments just after application and before the next application. Water samples were collected at 1h, and 1, 2, 6.9, 7.1, 13.9, 14.1, 20.9, 21.1 and 28 days. For the acute toxicity tests, water samples were collected to measure imidacloprid concentrations from one of the replicates of the control, the lowest and the highest treatment at 0 h and 96 h. Approximately 3 ml water samples were collected using a pipette and kept in a glass vial containing 1 ml of acetonitrile for both experiments. The samples were shaken thoroughly by hand and subsequently preserved in a freezer (-20 °C) until analysis. Imidacloprid concentrations from the water samples were analysed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS) as described in Roessink et al. (2013). In this study, matrix-matched method was used to correct matrix effects in the instrumental quantification for imidacloprid. The limit of detection (LOD) and the limit of quantification (LOQ) in the microcosm study were 9 ng/L and 29 ng/L, respectively, and in the acute toxicity tests 6 ng/L and 19 ng/L, respectively.

2.3. Invertebrates and algae

The macroinvertebrate community was sampled using two pebble baskets (height: around 30 cm; diameter: around 20 cm) that served as artificial substrates in each microcosm. Each of the two artificial substrates was placed on the sediment’s surface and were left for colonization for two weeks. Macroinvertebrates were sampled 7 days before the first imidacloprid application and on days 2, 9, 16 and 23 after the first imidacloprid application. The two artificial substrates present in the same microcosm were sampled alternately. For sampling, one of the substrates was carefully retrieved from the sediment and immediately enfolded by a nylon net. The substrate was carefully shaken in the net to extract the invertebrates from the substrate. In order to sample the pelagic macroinvertebrates, the net was moved through the water column close to one quarter of the microcosm wall. A core sediment sampler (inner diameter: around 8 cm) was used to collect the invertebrates inhabiting the sediment (Chironomid larvae and Tubifex tubifex) on day 28 after the first imidacloprid application. All sampled invertebrates were transferred to a white tray, subsequently identified and counted alive, and finally placed back into their original microcosms.
Plankton was sampled on days 7 and 1 before the first imidacloprid application, and on days 2, 9, 16, 23 and 28 after the first imidacloprid application. Two 5 L depth-integrated water samples were collected using a Perspex tube in a plastic bucket and filtered over a net with a mesh size of either 20 µm for phytoplankton or 55 µm for zooplankton, yielding two samples of 100 mL. The samples were preserved in plastic bottles with 10% buffered formalin solution and stored at 4 °C. The individuals present in a sub-sample (1 mL) of the concentrated phytoplankton and zooplankton samples were identified to the lowest practical level with an inverted microscope (Olympus CX 41) and recalculated to numbers of individuals per litre of microcosm water.

The possible effects of imidacloprid on the chlorophyll-a content of the periphyton biomass was evaluated by introducing three series of 3 microscopic glass slides (7.5 cm × 2.5 cm) at 30 cm water depth in each microcosm 7 days before the first imidacloprid application. A glass slide series was retrieved on days 2, 16 and 28 after the first imidacloprid application and attached periphyton was collected by scraping and then the scraped periphyton was transferred to a glass vial containing 0.25 L tap water. The chlorophyll-a in the resulting periphyton - water mixture was measured according to APHA (2005) and the amount of chlorophyll-a per square centimetre of glass slide was determined.

2.4. Water quality variables and organic matter decomposition

Temperature (T), dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, electrical conductivity (EC) were monitored at 8 am on 7 days and 1 day before the first imidacloprid application, and on days 0, 9, 16, 23 and 28 after the first imidacloprid application, using a multimeter (Hach, HQ 40d). On these days, also total alkalinity levels and ammonia, nitrite, nitrate and total phosphorus concentrations were measured in water samples collected from each microcosm. For this, a depth-integrated water sample of approximately 1 L was collected in each microcosm using a Perspex tube and stored at 4 °C in a plastic bottle in the dark. Alkalinity and nutrient concentrations were determined within 7 days according to APHA (2005).

Litter bags were used to study the effects of the insecticide on organic matter decomposition. The litter bags included 2 g of banana (Musa) leaves and three of them were introduced into each microcosm 1 day before the first imidacloprid application. The banana leaves were leached in tap water (2 days) and subsequently dried (40 °C for 48 h) before addition to the litter bags. The litter bags were placed approximate 30 cm below the water surface. On days
2, 16 and 28 after the first imidacloprid application, one of the three litter bags was sampled and the retrieved material was dried (40 °C for 48 h) and weighted. The percentage of organic matter decomposition was calculated by calculating the loss of the initial dry weight over 2, 16 and 28 days.

2.5. Data analyses

No-observed-effect-concentrations (NOECs) were determined for the variables including water quality, all taxa of phytoplankton, zooplankton, macroinvertebrates, periphyton community, and organic matter decomposition data using the Williams test (Williams, 1972; p < 0.05) as available in the Community Analysis computer program, version 4.3.05 (Hommen et al., 1994). Prior to the analysis, the abundance data sets were ln (Ax + 1) transformed. For the determination of A and the rationale behind the transformation is referred to Van den Brink et al. (2000).

The phytoplankton, zooplankton and macroinvertebrate data sets were analysed by the principal response curve (PRC) method using the CANOCO Software package, version 5 (Van den Brink and Ter Braak, 1999; Ter Braak and Šmilauer, 2012). The PRC method is a specific type of redundancy analysis (RDA) that is able to extract the variation in community composition due to the stressor from the total variation by including the treatment regime and its interaction with time as explanatory variables, and the sampling date as co-variables. The overall significance of the effect of imidacloprid treatment on the variation in community composition (p ≤ 0.05) was tested by performing 999 Monte Carlo permutations (Van den Brink and Ter Braak, 1999). Each treatment was tested against the control for each sampling date using Monte Carlo permutation tests under the RDA option in order to evaluate the significance of the imidacloprid induced community effects in time.

The LC10, LC50 and LC90 and EC10, EC50 and EC90 values of imidacloprid resulting from the toxicity tests performed with Cloeon sp. and Diaptomus sp. were determined using log-logistic regression as programmed in the software GenStat 11th (VSN International Ltd., Oxford, UK) according to Rubach et al. (2011).
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Fate of imidacloprid

One hour after each of the four applications, on average, 93% of the applied concentration was found in the highest treatment and on average, 87% was found in the second highest treatment (Fig. 1; Table S1). After 7 days, between 45% and 53% of the applied concentration was present in microcosm water in the highest and second highest treatment, respectively. In the acute toxicity tests 79% of the intended concentration was found in the highest treatment just after imidacloprid application, whereas after 96 hours of exposure 47% of the applied concentration was left (Table S2). In our study, the lower dissipation of imidacloprid in the microcosm experiment compared to the acute toxicity tests might be due to UV light absorption by natural organic matter and suspended particulate matter in microcosms which decreases the photodegradation of imidacloprid (Lu et al., 2015). The dissipation was, however, found to be faster in the present sub-tropical study compared to earlier model ecosystem studies (i.e. microcosm and mesocosm studies) and acute studies conducted in temperate regions. For example, Pestana et al. (2009) found 88% of the intended concentrations of imidacloprid after 24 h of exposure in the highest concentration in recirculatory flow-through stream mesocosms at 20 °C in Canada. Van den Brink et al. (2016)
measured 94% and 91% of the intended imidacloprid concentration just after application and after 96 hours of exposure, respectively in an acute study performed under very low light intensities at 18 °C in Netherlands. The rapid dissipation of imidacloprid in both microcosm and acute studies suggests that the dissipation is higher in the tropics than in temperate region due to higher temperature (28.2 ± 2 °C for microcosm experiment and 27.4 ± 0.6 °C for acute toxicity tests) and photodegradation during the experimental period (Laabs et al., 2007; Chai et al., 2009; Sánchez-Bayo and Hyne, 2011). In the present study, however, we found a build-up of imidacloprid concentrations in later applications in all treatment levels as compared to the first application in microcosm study. For instance, 25% of the intended dose was found after 7 days of first application in the highest treatment while, 65% was present 7 days after the fourth application in the same treatment (Fig. 1; Table S1).

3.2. Invertebrates

The zooplankton community was dominated by Rotifera (6 taxa), followed by Cladocera (4 taxa) and Copepoda (3 taxa) during the experimental period and all of them showed a relatively constant abundance in time (Fig. S4). The PRC showed significant negative effects of imidacloprid on the zooplankton community (p ≤ 0.01; Fig. 2), with a consistent NOEC value of 300 ng/L (Table 1 and S3). Species weight in the PRC indicated that *Diaptomus* sp. was the taxon most responding to the treatments, followed by nauplius, two Rotifera taxa and three Cladocera taxa (Fig. 2). Univariate analysis indicated that four taxa showed a consistent negative response to the imidacloprid treatment, i.e. with NOECs calculated for at least two consecutive sampling dates (Table 1 and S3). Among the 13 taxa identified, *Diaptomus* sp. was the most negatively affected from day 2 after the first imidacloprid application onwards in almost all treatment levels with a consistent NOEC of 300 ng/L, followed by *Keratella* sp., *Sida* sp. and *Brachionus* sp. (Table 1 and S3; Fig. 2, 3 and S4). Our single species toxicity test confirmed the sensitivity of *Diaptomus* sp. when exposed to imidacloprid since an 96-h EC50 of 38.6 ng/L was calculated for this genus (Table 2 and S4 and S5). Unfortunately, temperate toxicity values for *Diaptomus* sp. and the three other affected taxa could not be found in the literature and therefore comparison with published data is impossible. One study by Song et al. (1997), however, demonstrated a 48-h LC50 value of 361,230,000 ng/L for one of the copepods nauplius exposed to imidacloprid, which is several thousand folds higher than we reported for *Diaptomus* sp. In this study, the Cladoceran *Sida* sp. were consistently affected
on day 9 (NOEC = <30 ng/L) and 16 (NOEC = 300 ng/L) after the first imidacloprid application. The toxicity data for neonicotinoids towards *Sida* sp. are also not available in the literature for comparison. For Cladocera, the species *Daphnia magna* was tested most often.

**Table 1.** The No Observed Effect Concentrations (NOECs) for phytoplankton, zooplankton, macroinvertebrates and water quality endpoints expressed in terms of nominal single-dose of imidacloprid concentrations (ng/L) measured on each sampling day (Williams test; *p* ≤ 0.05). Only individual taxa or parameters that showed treatment-related effect on at least two successive sampling days are included. See Table S3, S6, S7 and S8 for the results for all species and parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Sampling days</th>
<th>-7</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>0-2</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>28</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zooplankton</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Diaptomus</em> sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Brachionus</em> sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Keratella</em> sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sida</em> sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Macrinovertbrates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cloeon</em> sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Notonecta</em> sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chironomid larvae</td>
<td></td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tubifex tubifex</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phytoplankton</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Scenedesmus</em> sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tetraedon</em> sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water quality</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved oxygen</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

> = no significant effect (NOEC ≥ 3 0 0 0 ng/L); NM = not measured; significant decrease compared to control; significant increase (+) compared to control
Figure 2. PRC resulting from the analysis of the zooplankton data set, indicating the effects of imidaclorpid on the zooplankton community. Of all variance, 7% could be attributed to sampling date; this is displayed on the horizontal axis. 20% percent of all variance could be attributed to treatment. Of this variance, 49% is displayed on the vertical axis. The lines represent the course of the treatment levels in time. The species weight \( b_k \) can be interpreted as the affinity of the taxon with the PRC. The Monte Carlo permutation test indicated that a significant part of the variance explained by treatment is displayed in the diagram \( (p \leq 0.001) \). The second PRC was not significant.
Table 2. The acute toxicity levels of imidacloprid for *Cloeon* sp. and *Diaptomus* sp. expressed as 96-h L(E)C10, L(E)C50 and L(E)C90 values in ng/L. The control mortality and immobilisation were both 7% for *Cloeon* sp. and 5% and 13%, respectively for *Diaptomus* sp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species name</th>
<th>96-h LC10 With 95% confidence limits</th>
<th>96-h LC50 With 95% confidence limits</th>
<th>96-h LC90 With 95% confidence limits</th>
<th>96-h EC10 With 95% confidence limits</th>
<th>96-h EC50 With 95% confidence limits</th>
<th>96-h EC90 With 95% confidence limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Cloeon</em> sp.</td>
<td>0.109 (0.005-2.17)</td>
<td>23.8 (8.15-69.6)</td>
<td>5230 (531-51400)</td>
<td>0.0556 (0.00256-1.21)</td>
<td>5.48 (1.72-17.5)</td>
<td>541 (100-2920)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Diaptomus</em> sp.</td>
<td>1.21 (0.054-27)</td>
<td>6540 (743-57700)</td>
<td>35448000 (65000-19454979000)</td>
<td>1.43 (0.404-5.05)</td>
<td>38.6 (21.6-68.9)</td>
<td>1040 (422-2580)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Earlier temperate studies, however, demonstrated a lower acute sensitivity of *D. magna* to imidacloprid than we reported for *Sida* sp. (i.e. several thousands of nanograms per litre) (Sánchez-Bayo and Goka, 2006; Tišler et al., 2009; Ashauer et al., 2011; Hayasaka et al., 2012b; Daam et al., 2013). A chronic temperate study by Ieromina et al. (2014) also found lower sensitivity of *D. magna* to imidacloprid since an 9-d EC10 and 15-d EC10 (survival endpoint) of 54,160,000 ng/L and 29,630,000 ng/L, respectively, was calculated. The higher sensitivity of Cladoceran to imidacloprid in this study compared to an earlier acute and chronic studies could partly be explained by the higher temperature in sub-tropics (Sarma et al., 2005). For example, Ieromina et al. (2014) conducted their study at 20 °C while we recorded an average temperature of 28.2 °C during our microcosm experiment. The differences of sensitivity to imidacloprid might also be due to the different species tested in our study as compared to earlier studies (Hayasaka et al., 2012b). However, earlier studies on the toxicity of neonicotinoid insecticides towards microcrustaceans focused on acute effects (96 h or shorter) and only one on chronic effects on a standard test species (i.e., *Daphnia* sp.). Hence, we recommend future acute and chronic studies with more (sub-)tropical crustaceans to get a clearer picture of neonicotinoids toxicity towards tropical freshwater ecosystems, as we cannot fully explain why in our experiment *Diaptomus* sp. is so sensitive as compared to temperate crustaceans.

**Figure 3.** The population dynamics of the zooplankton taxa *Diaptomus* sp. (A) and *Keratella* sp. (B) and the macroinvertebrate taxon *Cloeon* sp. (C) under the four imidacloprid concentrations.
Figure 4. PRC resulting from the analysis of the macroinvertebrate data set, indicating the effects of imidacloprid on the macroinvertebrate community. Of all variance, 22% could be attributed to sampling date; this is displayed on the horizontal axis. 14% percent of all variance could be attributed to treatment. Of this variance, 74% is displayed on the vertical axis. The lines represent the course of the treatment levels in time. The species weight ($b_k$) can be interpreted as the affinity of the taxon with the PRC. The Monte Carlo permutation test indicated that a significant part of the variance explained by treatment is displayed in the diagram ($p = 0.002$). The second PRC was not significant.

In the present study, 10 macroinvertebrate taxa were identified belonging to three different taxonomic groups: Insecta (6 taxa), Mollusca (3 taxa) and Annelida (1 taxon). The results of the PRC showed significant effects of imidacloprid on the macroinvertebrate community ($p = 0.002$; Fig. 4), with a consistent NOEC\textsubscript{community} value of 300 ng/L (Table 1 and S6). The species weights in the PRC indicated that Cloeon sp. was the taxon most strongly responding to the treatments i.e. showing lower abundance values in all treatments compared to the control (Fig. 3 and 4). The univariate analysis showed consistent significant negative effects of
imidacloprid on two insect species, as well as on *Tubifex tubifex* and Chironomid larvae, which were only sampled once (Table 1 and S6). Among 10 identified taxa, *Cloeon* sp. was the most affected taxon (NOEC < 30 ng/L on day 2 and 9), followed by *Notonecta* sp., who also showed a consistent response to the treatments (Table 1, S6 and Fig. S5). The single species toxicity test confirmed the high sensitivity of *Cloeon* sp. towards imidacloprid since an 96-h EC50 and LC50 of 5.48 and 23.8 ng/L, respectively, was calculated for this genus (Table 2 and S4 and S5).

The results of our study are in accordance with the previous study by Roessink et al. (2013) in the sense that *Cloeon* sp. was the most sensitive taxa among the studied invertebrates in both studies. In our study, however, effects were found at much lower concentrations since they reported the 96-h and 28-d EC50 values of 1000 ng/L and 130 ng/L, respectively for *Cloeon dipterum*, which are about two orders of magnitude higher than the 96-h EC50 reported in our study. Alexander et al. (2007) reported a 96-h LC50 value of 650 ng/L for one of the mayfly species *Epeorus longimanus*, which is again about 27 folds higher than the value we reported for *Cloeon* sp. The higher sensitivity of *Cloeon* sp. to imidacloprid in our study can partly be explained by differences in temperature as Van den Brink et al. (2016) showed an increase in the sensitivity of *Cloeon dipterum* due to increased temperature. They reported that the 96-h EC50 and LC50 values of imidacloprid for *Cloeon dipterum* were 1.7 and 4.2 folds lower, respectively at 18 °C compared to 10 °C. The higher temperature in the sub-tropics might modify the toxicity of imidacloprid through the elevation of metabolic rates of *Cloeon* sp., which leads to increased uptake rates of imidacloprid and thus could partly explain the higher sensitivity (Camp and Buchwalter, 2016). Moreover, the species of *Cloeon* sp. we used in our study continuously reproduces which could be another reason of their high sensitivity to imidacloprid. An earlier study by Van den Brink et al. (2016) found that the reproducing, summer generations of *Cloeon dipterum* (28-d EC50 = 130 ng/L) were approximately five times more sensitive to imidacloprid than their non-reproducing, winter generations (28-d EC50 = 680 ng/L). The sensitivity differences between summer and winter generations of aquatic insects towards toxicants might depend on the differences in their physiologies and life histories, with concomitant implications for sensitivity to toxicants (Kwok et al., 2007). For example, based on metabolic principle, it has been hypothesized that tropical aquatic insects might be more sensitive to toxicants than their temperate counterparts (Castillo et al., 1997). The higher sensitivity of *Cloeon* sp. in our study can also be explained by the differences in use of different formulations or technical grade of imidacloprid in earlier studies, as the
formulated product can enhance the bioavailability and toxicity to target organisms (Malev et al., 2012). For instance, Stoughton et al. (2008) reported the 96-h LC50 value (654.30 ng/L) of technical-grade imidacloprid for Hyalella azteca, which is approximately four times higher than the 96-h value (174.40 ng/L) of commercial formulation Admire (240 g/L) for the same species; thus indicating Admire is more toxic than the technical-grade imidacloprid. All these differences between temperate and tropical circumstances and species, can, however, not fully explain why the tropical Cloeon sp. is so much more sensitive to imidacloprid compared to its temperate counterpart.

The second most sensitive taxon after Cloeon sp. tested in our study was Notonecta sp., which was negatively affected from day 2 after the first imidacloprid application onwards for three consecutive sampling dates with a consistent NOEC value of 300 ng/L (Table 1). The present study showed, however, higher sensitivity of Notonecta sp. to imidacloprid than that was reported by Roessink et al. (2013) because they calculated an 96-h EC10 of 3000 ng/L, which is about ten times higher than we reported the NOEC value for this genus. Kobashi et al. (2017) demonstrated no treatment-related significant effects of imidacloprid (at 157,000 ng/L) on Notonecta triguttata in their rice mesocosm study in Japan. The higher sensitivity of Notonecta sp. to imidacloprid in this study compared to earlier temperate studies could be explained by the higher temperature in sub-tropics (Camp and Buchwalter, 2016).

Figure 5. Chlorophyll-a in periphyton (A) and organic matter decomposition of banana (Musa) leaves (B) on day 2, 16, and 28 after first imidacloprid application (mean ± standard deviation) (NOEC ≥ 3 µg/L).
3.3. Primary producers

A total of 32 different phytoplankton taxa were identified in the present study belonging to five major taxonomic groups: Chlorophyceae (12 taxa), Bacillariophyceae (10 taxa), Cyanophyceae (7 taxa), Euglenophyceae (2 taxa) and Desmidiaceae (1 taxon). The most abundant taxa in decreasing order were *Ankistrodesmus* sp., followed by *Microcystis* sp., *Fragillaria* sp., *Oscillatoria* sp., *Ulothrix* sp., and *Tetraedon* sp. during the experimental period. The PRC did not reveal significant effects of imidacloprid on the phytoplankton community (*p* = 0.718). However, univariate analysis showed significant effects of imidacloprid on certain phytoplankton taxa (15 out of 32) (Table S7; Fig. S6). Among 15 significant taxa, however, only two taxa (*Scenedesmus* sp. and *Tetraodon* sp.) were negatively affected for two consecutive sampling days (Table 1). *Scenedesmus* sp. had lower abundance values on day 16 and 23 in the highest treatment level (NOEC of 300 ng/L for both sampling days) (Table 1 and S7; Fig. S6A) and *Tetraedon* sp. had lower abundance values on day 16 in all treatment levels (NOEC < 30 ng/L) and on day 23 in the second highest and highest treatment level (NOEC of 30 ng/L) (Table 1 and S7; Fig. S6B).

The chlorophyll-a density in periphyton biomass increased in all treated microcosms including the controls on day 16 after the first imidacloprid application but decreased slightly on day 28 (Fig. 5A). However, the results of the univariate analysis did not show any significant effects of imidacloprid on periphyton biomass for any of the sampling days (NOECs ≥ 300 ng/L).

The results of this study indicates that the majority of the primary producers were tolerant to imidacloprid. This could be explained by the fact that the primary producers are not sensitive to neonicotinic imidacloprid based on their known insecticidal type of action (Daam et al., 2013; Anderson et al., 2015). Furthermore, we noticed a bloom of floating algae and macrophytes (*Lemna minor*) in all microcosms including control in the present study which we, unfortunately, did not quantify. On average, 75% surface area of microcosms was covered with primary producers in the highest concentrations of imidacloprid, while on average, 40% area was covered in control microcosms (visual observation). Toxicity data for neonicotinoids towards primary producers, such as algae and macrophytes is limited, however, the available data indicate EC50 values larger than 1000 ng/L (Tišler et al., 2009; Malev et al., 2012; Bayer CropScience, 2013; Daam et al., 2013).
3.4. Water quality variables

The daily average water temperature in microcosms gradually increased during the experimental period from 27.9 °C, 1 h after first application, to 31.7 °C on day 28 after the first application (Fig. S7A). However, a decrease to 24.3 °C was observed on day 16 after the first application. The latter day coincided with cloudy weather while the other days were not cloudy. The average DO between replicates measured in the microcosm water during the experimental period ranged between 4.35 mg/L and 8.33 mg/L. DO concentrations decreased significantly on day 9 and onwards after the first application with a consistent NOEC value of 30 ng/L. The lowest average DO (4.35 mg/L) was measured on day 28 in the highest treatment level (Table 1; Fig. 6A). The pH, EC, phosphate and nitrite showed no consistent response to the treatment (Table S8; Fig. S7). A significant decrease was observed for alkalinity levels for two consecutive sampling days on day 9 and 16 for almost all treatment levels (Table 1; Fig. 6B). Average ammonia concentrations in the experimental microcosms ranged between 0.4 mg/L (pre-treatment period) and 2.5 mg/L (on day 28 after the first application). Ammonia concentrations increased significantly for the two consecutive sampling days on day 23 and 28 in the highest treatment level with a NOEC of 300 ng/L (Table 1; Fig. 6C). Nitrate
concentrations decreased consistently in the highest treatment level at all sampling days except on day 28 with a NOEC of 300 ng/L. The highest nitrate concentration (1.7 mg/L) was measured on day 28 in the second highest treatment level (300 ng/L) (Table 1; Fig. 6D).

In the present study, the effects found on water quality variables exposed to imidacloprid concentrations were indirect. Dissolved oxygen was consistently affected from day 9 after the first imidacloprid exposure onwards. This reduced dissolved oxygen level in microcosm water could be explained by reduced photosynthesis in the water column due to a bloom of floating algae and macrophytes. In our study, we observed that the majority of macro- and micro-crustaceans were negatively affected on day 9 after the first imidacloprid application. Reduced grazing of these invertebrates and nutrient-rich environment in microcosms (e.g. ammonia, phosphate and nitrite were significantly increased for different sampling days) might have led to a bloom of floating algae and macrophytes (own observations) which hindered the light penetration into cosms and thus affected the photosynthesis. The reduced light penetration induced by floating algae and macrophytes might have reduced the photolysis of imidacloprid, thus increasing the exposure of macro- and micro-crustaceans to imidacloprid.

3.5. Organic matter decomposition

The decomposition rates of banana (Musa) leaves (mean ± SD) in the control microcosms were 58 ± 10%, 72 ± 9% and 76 ± 0.5% on day 2, 16 and 28, respectively after the first imidacloprid application (Fig. 5B). In this study, the decomposition of banana leaves increased gradually with an increasing exposure period. The results of the univariate analysis, however, did not show any treatment-related significant effects of imidacloprid on the decomposition of banana leaves for any of the sampling days (NOECs ≥ 3 0 ng/L) (Fig. 5B). The results of this study is line with earlier microcosm and mesocosm studies in the sense that they did not find treatment-related significant effects of imidacloprid on the microbial decomposition of different leaves used in their studies (Kreutzweiser et al., 2008; Pestana et al., 2009; Böttger et al., 2013).

4. Conclusions

This is the first study assessing the effects of 4 weekly applications of imidacloprid on the freshwater ecosystem under semi-field conditions in sub-tropics. In this study, imidacloprid concentrations between 30 and 3000 ng/L demonstrated significant effects on water quality
variables, certain phytoplankton taxa, and on communities of zooplankton and macroinvertebrates. The study revealed toxic effects of imidacloprid on a (sub-)tropical freshwater ecosystem at much lower concentrations than found for temperate systems. Whether these differences in sensitivity holds true for all (sub-)tropical aquatic ecosystems remains to be investigated. This study generates safe environmental values of imidacloprid for individual taxa and community levels of some endpoints through the derivation of NOECs. For certain taxa, the present study found low levels of NOECs (<30 ng/L) indicating that the standard of imidacloprid (30 ng/L) used in Europe (Vijver and Van den Brink, 2014) might not protect freshwater communities in Bangladesh. We recommend further long-term studies with (sub-)tropical aquatic species and ecosystems to get more insight into the comparative toxicity of imidacloprid using the data obtained from this study with those previously obtained in temperate regions.
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Abstract
This study elucidated the acute toxicity of chlorpyrifos on the early life stages of Banded Gourami (*Trichogaster fasciata*). To determine the acute effects of chlorpyrifos on their survival and development, we exposed the embryos and two-day-old larvae to six concentrations (0, 0.01, 0.10, 1.0, 10 and 100 mg/L) of chlorpyrifos in plastic bowls. Log-logistic regression was used to calculate LC10 and LC50 values. Results showed that embryo mortality significantly increased with increasing chlorpyrifos concentrations. The 24-h LC10 and LC50 values (with 95% confidence limits) of chlorpyrifos for embryos were 0.89 (0.50–1.58) and 11.8 (9.12–15.4) mg/L, respectively. Hatching success decreased and mortality of larvae significantly increased with increasing concentrations of chlorpyrifos. The 24-h LC10 and LC50 values (with 95% confidence limits) of chlorpyrifos for larvae were 0.53 (0.27–1.06) and 21.7 (15.9–29.4) mg/L, respectively; the 48-h LC10 and LC50 for larvae were 0.04 (0.02–0.09) and 5.47 (3.77–7.94) mg/L, respectively. The results of this study suggest that 1 mg/L of chlorpyrifos in the aquatic environment may adversely affect the development and the reproduction of Banded Gourami. Our study also suggests that Banded Gourami fish can serve as an ideal model species for evaluating developmental toxicity of environmental contaminants.
1. Introduction

In Bangladesh, agricultural intensification is indispensable due to the country’s ever-increasing population, leading to land scarcity as well as food security needs. To meet the growing demand for food, farmers grow high-yielding crop varieties all over the country. However, these high-yielding varieties are highly susceptible to various pests and diseases (Bagchi et al., 2009); thus, to protect their crops from pests and to improve their crop yields and quality of their products, farmers use pesticides (Ansara-Ross et al., 2012; Rahman, 2013). The Bangladesh government, like many other developing countries, has promoted the use of pesticides to increase agricultural yields (Dasgupta et al., 2007). The use of pesticides in Bangladesh was negligible until 1960s (Rahman, 2013), but has dramatically increased from 7,350 metric tons in 1992 to 45,172 metric tons in 2010 (Hasan et al., 2014).

At present, farmers use a number of pesticides (Shahjahan et al., 2017). One that is widely used in agriculture and households is chlorpyrifos (O,O-diethyl O-3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl phosphorothioate and CAS No. 2921-88-2), a synthetic organophosphate insecticide and acaricide (Yen et al., 2011). Farmers use this insecticide to control pests in rice, coconut and vegetable crops, such as beans and potatoes. This insecticide poisons the stomach of the pest (Kienle et al., 2009) and inhibits enzyme activity by binding the enzyme acetyl cholinesterase (AChE) through phosphorylation (Palma et al., 2009; Jin et al., 2015). Its potential danger to humans, however, has made the US Environmental Protection Agency impose a ban on its sale for residential use (US EPA, 2006), while no such ban exists in Europe as chlorpyrifos is one of the top-selling insecticides (Bernabò et al., 2011). Chlorpyrifos is relatively persistent in nature as compared to other organophosphorus insecticides, with a half-life in water-sediment systems ranging from 29 to 74 days (Palma et al., 2009).

Through spray drift, runoff and leaching, chlorpyrifos-contaminated soils move down and cause hazardous impact to the aquatic environment (Agbohessi et al., 2013; Van den Brink, 2013). Chlorpyrifos shows a high toxicity to non-target aquatic organisms including vertebrates (Kienle et al., 2009; Bernabò et al., 2011; Xing et al., 2012; Jin et al., 2015) and invertebrates (Daam et al., 2008; Palma et al., 2009; Rubach et al., 2011, 2012). Like other groups of vertebrates, fish embryos and larvae are also considered to be the most sensitive stages in the life cycle and sensitive to low levels of environmental pollutants (Marimuthu et
A number of studies have been conducted to assess the toxicity of chlorpyrifos to various stages of different fishes (Table 1).

The Banded Gourami or striped gourami (*Trichogaster fasciata*; family Osphronemidae; order Perciformis) is naturally abundant in Bangladesh, India, Myanmar, Nepal and Pakistan. This fish commonly inhabits freshwater pools, ditches, ponds, marshes and rivers, as well as lakes with vegetation (Mitra et al., 2007). The species has drawn attention for its taste and contribution to nutrition, and for its value as an indigenous ornamental aquarium fish in Bangladesh (Hossen et al., 2014). However, to date no study has been done to investigate the toxicity of chlorpyrifos on the early stage of Banded Gourami. The objective of the present study was to elucidate the acute toxicity of chlorpyrifos on the embryo and the larvae of Banded Gourami fish. The results of the study could serve as a baseline for other researchers in using the Banded Gourami fish as a model species for assessing the developmental toxicity of environmental contaminants.

Table 1. An overview of chlorpyrifos toxicity on various stages of different fish species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Life stage</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Threshold effects i.e. LC50 (µg/L)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>(Melanotaenia splendida splendida)</em></td>
<td>16-day-old larvae Adult</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>117 396</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walleye (Stizostedion vitreum)</td>
<td>Pro-larvae (1-5 day after hatch)</td>
<td>Temperate</td>
<td>Mortality (48h)</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>Phillips et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbot (Psetta maxima)</td>
<td>Eggs Larvae</td>
<td>Temperate</td>
<td>Mortality (48h)</td>
<td>116.6 94.65</td>
<td>Mhadhbi and Beiras (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mezquital silverside</td>
<td>Semi-adult</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>Mortality (24h)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>Dzul-Caamal et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(Chirostoma jordani)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spotted snakehead</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>Mortality (96h)</td>
<td>811.98 5.38</td>
<td>Ali et al. (2008); Mishra and Devi (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(Channa punctatus)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mortality (24h)</td>
<td></td>
<td>De Silva et al. (2005); Sharbidre et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guppy (Poecilia reticulata)</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>Mortality (96h)</td>
<td>7.17 176</td>
<td>Oruc (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus)</td>
<td>Juvenile Adult</td>
<td>Temperate</td>
<td>Mortality (96h)</td>
<td>98.67 154.01</td>
<td>Oruc (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stinging catfish</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>Mortality (96h)</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>Srivastav et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(Heteropneustes fossilis)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese medaka</td>
<td>30-day-old juvenile Adult</td>
<td>Temperate</td>
<td>Mortality (48h)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Rice et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(Oryzias latipes)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mortality (96h)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Khalil et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banded gourami</td>
<td>Eggs 2-day-old larvae</td>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>Mortality (24h)</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>The present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(Trichogaster fasciata)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mortality (24h)</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mortality (48h)</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Fish and pesticide collection

Semi-adult fish were collected from Bara beel of Gauripur, Mymensingh District. A beel is a deep depression along a river where water remains permanent throughout the year. These fish were reared till adult stage in cemented rectangular cisterns (250×195×70cm; water height: 30cm) at the hatchery of the Faculty of Fisheries, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Bangladesh. They were supplied commercial feed twice a day. After three months of rearing, fish were found ready for spawning. The experiment was approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.

Chlorpyrifos (in the form of Dursban and 20EC; Dow AgroSciences India Pvt. Ltd., India) was purchased from a local pesticide supplier (Mymensingh, Bangladesh).

2.2. Hormone administration, collection of gametes, artificial fertilization and incubation of eggs

We selected five healthy female (weight: 14 ± 1.1g; length: 8.7 ± 0.6 cm) and ten male (weight: 15 ± 1.1g; length: 9.2 ± 0.6 cm) broods for spawning by examining the gonads and based on the external morphological features as described by Swarup et al. (1972): the upper lip of the male is more pronounced and the dorsal ventral fins are more pointed at the posterior end than those of the female. Both male and female broods were artificially induced by intramuscular injection of carp pituitary powder suspended in a 0.9% NaCl solution. The pituitary powder was administered at a dose of 2 mg/kg body weight of fish for males and 12 mg/kg body weight of fish for females. Hormone-injected fish were then kept in a moderately aerated glass aquarium (45×30×32cm) containing dechlorinated tap water (50L). About 24h after hormone administration, eggs were stripped into plastic tray and testes were collected from males and cut into small pieces by using a scalpel for milt collection. Milt and eggs were stirred thoroughly into a plastic tray by using a clean and soft poultry feather for fertilization. After 2 min of gentle stirring, the eggs were washed with tap water to remove excess milt. Then the eggs were released into previously prepared experimental units for embryo toxicity evaluation. A certain amount of fertilized eggs were stocked into a glass aquarium to get larvae for larval toxicity evaluation.

2.3. Experimental design
Six different concentrations of chlorpyrifos (0, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 µg/L) were used by adding chlorpyrifos stock solution for embryonic and larval bioassay. The stock solution was prepared by dissolving the weighed amount of chlorpyrifos in distilled water containing 200 g/L chlorpyrifos. We used 18 plastic bowls containing 2L dechlorinated water for this experiment. The control group was kept in dechlorinated water. Each of the treatment and control group was set up in triplicate. Treatments were randomly allotted in the experimental units. The values of the water quality variables were determined according to APHA (1985). The values (mean ± SD) for water quality were as follows: temperature, 27.7 ± 0.2ºC; dissolved oxygen, 5.7 ± 1.3 mg/L; pH, 8.9 ± 0.14; total alkalinity, 184 ± 8.9 mg/L; electrical conductivity, 386 ± 12.6 µS/cm; and total dissolved solids, 179.2 ± 0.4 mg/L.

For the evaluation of embryonic toxicity, we randomly selected 18 sets of 100 fertilized eggs and exposed these to different concentrations. The incubation period and hatching rate were recorded for both treatment and control groups. Dead embryos were counted at 24h of chlorpyrifos exposure. Then these were removed and the rest of the live ones were kept in the experimental units till hatching. To evaluate the larval toxicity, we randomly selected 18 sets of 100 two-day-old larvae and released them into each of the 18 plastic bowls. The mortality of larvae was counted at 24h and at 48h of chlorpyrifos exposure. Mortality of embryo and larvae is defined here as white opaque and not responding to the agitation with a plastic rod.

Malformations were observed for embryo at every 6-hour interval and for larvae at every 12-hour interval from each of the 18 sets under a digital microscope (Olympus CX 41). Images were made by using a camera (Magnus analytics, Model-MIPS) connected between the microscope and a computer.

2.4. Statistical analyses

The hatching success and the mortality of embryos and larvae were calculated as the average of the three replicates. The LC10 and LC50 values of the toxicity experiment were calculated by means of log-logistic regression using the software GenStat 11th (VSN International Ltd., Oxford, UK) according to Rubach et al. (2011). To evaluate the toxic effects of different chlorpyrifos concentrations in embryo and larvae and hatching rate, we computed a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) by using the Duncan’s multiple comparison with SPSS (version 20; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) at 5% significant level. Before any analyses were performed, the
one-way ANOVA assumptions of normality and homoscedasticity were evaluated using the Shapiro–Wilkes test and Levene's test, respectively.

3. Results

The acute toxicity of chlorpyrifos on the embryo of Banded Gourami fish, i.e. the mortality of embryos, significantly increased (one-way ANOVA; $F_{5,12}=106; p=0.000$) with increasing chlorpyrifos concentrations (Table 2). A prolonged incubation period was observed due to increased concentrations of chlorpyrifos. Table 2 presents the 24-h LC10 and LC50 values (with 95% confidence interval) of chlorpyrifos for embryos. The hatching rate significantly decreased with increasing chlorpyrifos concentrations (one-way ANOVA; $F_{5,12}=180; p=0.000$). The number of dead larvae at 24h (one-way ANOVA; $F_{5,12}=113; p=0.000$) and at 48h (one-way ANOVA; $F_{5,12}=144; p=0.000$) of exposure significantly increased with increasing chlorpyrifos concentrations (Table 2). The calculated 24-h and 48-h LC10 and LC50 (with 95% confidence limit) values of chlorpyrifos for Banded Gourami larvae were presented in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Toxicity of chlorpyrifos on the embryo and the larvae of banded gourami (n=100 embryos and 100 two-day old larvae).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (µg/L)</th>
<th>Incubation period</th>
<th>Number of dead embryos at 24h</th>
<th>Hatching success (%)</th>
<th>Number of dead larvae at 24h</th>
<th>Number of dead larvae at 48h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5.7±2.5</td>
<td>91.3±2.5</td>
<td>3±3.6</td>
<td>6.7±3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>23h30min</td>
<td>14.3±5.0</td>
<td>81±4.6</td>
<td>5±1</td>
<td>10±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>24h30min</td>
<td>15.7±7.4</td>
<td>77.7±6.1</td>
<td>15.3±6.1</td>
<td>22.3±4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25h</td>
<td>26.3±4.0</td>
<td>66.3±2.5</td>
<td>18.3±4.2</td>
<td>40±6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>27h</td>
<td>45.7±5.5</td>
<td>48.3±4.6</td>
<td>33.3±6.5</td>
<td>51±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>30h30min</td>
<td>91±6</td>
<td>3.7±3.1</td>
<td>78±3.6</td>
<td>83.3±3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC10 value with 95% confidence limits</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.89 (0.50-1.58)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.60-1.84)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.27-1.06)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.02-0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC50 value with 95% confidence limits</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.8 (9.12-15.4)</td>
<td>9.56 (7.39-12.4)</td>
<td>21.7 (15.9-29.4)</td>
<td>5.47 (3.77-7.94)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In embryos, malformations were not found but some eggs were unhatched and eventually died after chlorpyrifos exposure (Fig. 1 A). However, several malformations were evident in Banded Gourami larvae, like abnormal head and eye shape, lordosis, body arcuation, caudal
fin damage, notochordal abnormality when exposed to 10 and 100 µg/L chlorpyrifos concentrations (Fig. 1 B-F). Morphological deformities were not found when embryos and larvae were exposed to <10 µg/L chlorpyrifos concentration.

Figure 1. Malformations observed in banded gourami embryos and larvae due to chlorpyrifos toxicity. (A) unhatched embryo (B) Irregular head and eye shape and lordosis after 24h of exposure to 100µg/L concentration of chlorpyrifos (C) Lordosis and caudal fin damage after 24h of exposure to 100µg/L concentration of chlorpyrifos (D) Body arcuation after 36h of exposure to 100µg/L concentration of chlorpyrifos (E) Caudal fin damage after 36h of exposure to 10µg/L concentration of chlorpyrifos (F) Notochordal abnormality after 48h of exposure to 100µg/L concentration of chlorpyrifos.
4. Discussion

The present study showed that the acute exposure to different concentrations of chlorpyrifos affects the hatching success, incubation period and the mortality of embryo and larvae of Banded Gourami. The hatching success significantly decreased with increasing chlorpyrifos concentrations. For instance, eggs exposed to the lowest chlorpyrifos concentration (0.01 µg/L) had 81% hatching success, while those with the highest concentration (100 µg/L) had only 3.7% hatching success. Our study is in accordance with the hatching success described by Humphrey and Klumpp (2003) for eastern rainbow fish (Table 1). They found a hatching success of 90% for eastern rainbow fish exposed to 6.2 µg/L; a hatching success of 52% for the same species when exposed to 100 µg/L chlorpyrifos. Sreedevi et al. (2014) reported an almost similar finding on the reduced hatching success of zebrafish embryos due to chlorpyrifos toxicity. Earlier reports have showed that other organophosphate pesticides, like chlorpyrifos, may also have negative effects on the hatchability of different fishes. Aydin and Kopruçu (2005) reported a significant decrease in hatching success of common carp embryos due to different diazinon concentrations. A similar finding was also reported by Mhadhbi and Beiras (2012) for turbot eggs when exposed to diazinon concentrations. Another study by Ansari and Ansari (2011) found a significant decrease of hatching success for zebrafish embryos exposed to dimethoate concentrations. A reduced hatching success was also observed for African catfish embryos when exposed to different buprofezin (Marimuthu et al., 2013) and endosulfan concentrations (Agbohessi et al., 2013) and for zebrafish embryos exposed to alphamethrin concentrations (Ansari and Ansari, 2012).

Our study demonstrates that chlorpyrifos retards hatching of fish embryos (Table 2). This might be due to hypoxia or disturbances of the hatching enzyme. During the normal hatching process of fish embryos, the chorion is digested by the hatching enzyme, which is a proteolytic enzyme secreted from hatching gland cells of the embryo. The structure and function of the protease might be destroyed by toxicants that block the pore canals of the chorions; thus resulting in shortage of oxygen supply for the development of embryos (Fan and Shi, 2002). The physiological processes involved, as well as the mechanism underlying neural control in hatching of fish embryos are still unclear. Therefore, it is important to know the normal biology of the hatching process and how chlorpyrifos interfere with the development of the hatching gland of Banded Gourami.
In this study, we also observed that the mortality rate of embryos and larvae significantly increased with increasing chlorpyrifos concentrations (Table 2). The 24-h LC50 of chlorpyrifos for Banded Gourami embryo was 11.8 µg/L, which is two times lower than those of the 96-h LC50 for eastern rainbow fish eggs (Humphrey and Klumpp, 2003) and is one-tenth of the 48-h LC50 for turbot eggs (*Psetta maxima*) (Mhadhbi and Beiras, 2012). We showed that Banded Gourami eggs were more sensitive to chlorpyrifos than two-day-old larvae. However, during the early development, fish show variable sensitivity with some compounds displaying higher sensitivity in embryos whereas others are more toxic to larvae (Ansari and Ansari, 2012; Gaikowski et al., 1996; Arufe et al., 2010). In this study, the 24-h and 48-h LC50 of chlorpyrifos for two-day-old larvae were 21.7 and 5.47 µg/L, respectively. This indicates that chlorpyrifos is highly toxic to Banded Gourami larvae. Oruc (2010) estimated the 96-h LC50 of chlorpyrifos for juvenile nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) as 98.67 µg/L, which was 18 times higher than our finding. Several studies covering both temperate and tropical regions show higher LC50 of chlorpyrifos for other fishes than that for Banded Gourami larvae (Table 1). For instance, the 96-h LC50 of juvenile common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) ranged between 149 µg/L (Li et al., 2013) and 582 µg/L (Xing et al., 2015), which is 7 to 26 times higher than that of Banded Gourami larvae we reported. The higher LC50 of chlorpyrifos for adult spotted snakehead (*Channa punctatus*) than that of the larvae Banded Gourami was also reported by Ali et al. (2008).

In our study, we found several malformations in Banded Gourami larvae due to chlorpyrifos exposure, whereas none on embryos (Fig. 1). All deformities were observed when the larvae were exposed to concentrations higher than 10 µg/L chlorpyrifos. Likewise, Shahjahan et al. (2017) observed malformations in stinging catfish when exposed to sumithion and Marimuthu et al. (2013) in African catfish when exposed to buprofezin. In the present study, the most observed notable malformation of Banded Gourami larvae was notochordal deformity, when larvae were mostly exposed to the highest concentration 100 µg/L chlorpyrifos (Fig. 1). Our study is supported by earlier findings on zebrafish exposed to chlorpyrifos (Sreedevi et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2015), cartap (Zhou et al., 2009), malathion (Fraysse et al., 2006), cypermethrin (Shi et al., 2011) bifenthrin (Jin et al., 2010), fipronil (Stehr et al., 2006) and acetofenate (Xu et al., 2008).

In conclusion, we report a first study on the developmental toxicity of chlorpyrifos by using Banded Gourami as a model. Chlorpyrifos significantly affects the hatching, survival of embryo...
and larvae and induces malformations. The results of the study suggest that 1 µg/L of chlorpyrifos in the aquatic environment may have an adverse effect on the development and the reproduction of Banded Gourami. Our study also suggests that Banded Gourami fish could serve as an ideal model species for evaluating the developmental toxicity of environmental contaminants. This study, however, addresses only the exposure of Banded Gourami fish during their early developmental stages. Therefore, for potential persistence of the toxic effects in the long-term, we recommend future studies to evaluate the same endpoints in juvenile or adult of Banded Gourami to determine whether the effects of chlorpyrifos are transitory or permanent.
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Abstract

The main objective of this study was to assess the long-term toxicity of chlorpyrifos on survival and reproduction of Banded Gourami using mortality, gonado-somatic index (GSI) and histopathological observations as endpoints. Therefore, adult fish were exposed to five different concentrations of chlorpyrifos (0, 15, 50, 150, 500 µg/L) in 15 PVC tanks for 15, 30, 45, 60 and 75 days. Results showed that all fish including male and female had died after 15 days of 500 µg/L chlorpyrifos exposure. No consistent significant effect was observed for both male and female GSI. Furthermore, results showed dose- and duration-dependent histopathological alterations for both ovary and testes. The chronic NOEC (60-d) for most histopathological alterations of Banded Gourami ovary and testes was calculated as 5 0 µg/L, while 60-d NOEC for mortality of both male and female fish was < 1 5 µg/L. The results of the study show that the long-term exposure to chlorpyrifos affect the reproductive tissues of Banded Gourami at exposure concentrations also causing mortality. Future studies should evaluate effects at lower concentrations as even the lowest concentration of 1 5 µg/L.
1. Introduction

As many other developing countries, the government of Bangladesh has promoted the use of pesticide to enhance agricultural yields (Dasgupta et al., 2007). Pesticide use in Bangladesh was negligible until 1960s, but has recorded a considerable increase from 7,350 metric tons in 1992 to 45,172 metric tons in 2010 (Ali et al., 2018). The organophosphates have become the most commonly used pesticides in different parts of the world like Bangladesh (Shahjahan et al., 2017), because of the increasing restrictions on the use of organochlorine pesticides in the environment (Benli and Ozkul, 2010).

Chlorpyrifos (CAS No. 2921-88-2; O,O-diethyl O-3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl phosphorothioate) is a broad spectrum organophosphate synthetic insecticide and acaricide (Yen et al., 2011; Manjunatha and Philip, 2016). Chlorpyrifos was introduced for agricultural and household applications in the USA in 1965 (Juberg et al., 2013). In agriculture, this insecticide is used to control foliar insects on cotton, soybeans, corn, paddy fields, fruits including coconut, banana, mango, grapes, pineapples, and vegetables including beans, potatoes, tomatoes, cauliflower and cabbage (Rao et al., 2003; Juberg et al., 2013; Lewis et al., 2016; Sumon et al., 2017).

Chlorpyrifos may end up in aquatic habitats including streams, rivers and ponds due to direct overspray, atmospheric transport, agricultural and residential runoff, ground water leaching and improper disposal (Narra et al., 2011; Sumon et al., 2016; 2017). A broad range of concentrations of chlorpyrifos in water have been detected in different (sub-) tropical regions of the world: 0.06 µg/L and 37 µg/L in paddy field waters of Bangladesh (Bhattacharjee et al., 2012; Hasanuzzaman et al., 2018); 0.003-0.006 µg/L in water in Kaithal and Pant Nagar (Mukherjee and Arora, 2011) and 30 µg/L in river water in India (Mohammed and Penmethsa, 2014); 0.2 µg/L in water of Mae Sa watershed in northern Thailand (Sangchan et al., 2014); 0.007 µg/L in surface water and 0.016 µg/L in ground water in southern coast watershed of Caspian Sea, Iran (Rahmanikhah et al., 2011); between 8.8 µg/L and 26.6 µg/L in water in Lake Naivasha of Kenya (Otieno et al., 2012) and up to 780 µg/L in river water in Nigeria (Akan et al., 2014).

The release of this insecticide into the aquatic environment may have potential toxic effects on non-target aquatic organisms like invertebrates (Maltby et al., 2005; Daam et al., 2008; Palma et al., 2008; 2009; Rubach et al., 2011; 2012), and vertebrates (Maltby et al., 2005;
Oruç, 2010; Bernabò et al., 2011; Li et al., 2013; Manjunatha and Philip, 2016; Sumon et al., 2017). Among vertebrates, fish is often used as suitable bio-indicator, because of their wide distribution in aquatic environment, long lifespan, free swimming, ability to respond against environmental xenobiotics, and importance as a food source for human beings (Gupta et al., 2009; Narra et al., 2011; Correia et al., 2017).

In different parts of the world studies have assessed the toxicity of chlorpyrifos on several endpoints of different fishes. Chlorpyrifos is reported to affect growth (Huynh and Nugegoda, 2012), survival (Oruç, 2010; Mhadhbi and Beiras, 2012), haematological profiles (Nwani et al., 2013; Narra et al., 2015), hepatic dysfunction (Oruç, 2012), neuro-behavioral dysfunction (Levin et al., 2004), oxidative stress (Oruç, 2012), genotoxic stress (Xing et al., 2015), cytotoxic stress (Palanikumar et al., 2014) and reproduction (De Silva and Samayawardhena, 2005; Hou et al., 2009; Oruç, 2010; Juberg et al., 2013; Lauan and Ocampo, 2013; Manjunatha and Philip, 2016; Brandt et al., 2015) in different fish species, but not yet on Banded Gourami (Trichogaster fasciata) for any of the endpoints as per our literature survey.

The Banded Gourami or Striped Gourami fish belonging to the family Osphronemidae, is one of the perch found in some Asian countries like Bangladesh, India, Myanmar, Nepal and Pakistan (Mitra et al., 2007). The species is important as a nutritional source for humans and as ornamental value used in aquaria (Sumon et al., 2017). In the past, the species was readily available in freshwater pools, ponds, ditches, marshes, rivers, lakes with vegetation, but the natural resources of this fish are declining fast in Bangladesh due to various anthropogenic stressors (Hossen et al., 2014). In our earlier study (Sumon et al., 2017), we investigated the chlorpyrifos toxicity on the early life stages of this fish species. However, the information on the toxicity of chlorpyrifos on mortality and reproduction (key endpoints) of adult Banded Gourami is lacking in earlier studies. To address this knowledge gap, we aimed at assessing the effects of long-term exposure to chlorpyrifos on the mortality and reproductive tissues of adult Banded Gourami using the mortality, gonado-somatic index (GSI) and histopathological observations. We studied the histopathological alterations of Banded Gourami after chronic exposure to chlorpyrifos, because histopathological examination represents a useful and rapid tool to assess the degree of pollution, particularly for sub-lethal and chronic effects in various tissues and organs (Cengiz and Unlu, 2006; Velmurugan et al., 2007; Chourpagar and Kulkarani, 2014; Paruruckumani et al., 2015; Correia et al., 2017). The results of the study
would elucidate the dose- and duration-dependent mortality and reproductive damages of Banded Gourami fish due to toxic effects of chlorpyrifos.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Fish and their holding

Semi-adult fish were collected from the Bara beel of Gauripur, Mymensingh. A beel is a deep depression along a river where water remains permanent throughout the year. Fish were transported in a plastic container and washed with a 0.1% KMnO₄ solution. They were reared in an indoor cemented rectangular cistern (250 cm × 195 cm × 70 cm; water height: 30 cm) at Faculty of Fisheries, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Bangladesh with continuous aeration over a period of three months. We did the entire experiment under natural light (13-h light/11-h dark photoperiod) and ambient temperature. Fish were fed a commercial feed twice a day at a rate of 2%/kg body weight. Banded Gourami fish used in this experiment was approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.

2.2. Chemicals

Chlorpyrifos (Dursban with 20% active ingredient; manufacturer: Dow AgroSciences India Pvt. Ltd., India) was purchased from a local pesticide supplier (Mymensingh, Bangladesh). All reagents and Haematoxyline-eosin were bought from a local supplier.

2.3. Experimental design

After three months rearing of semi-adult fish in cemented cistern, adult fish (length = 6.9 ± 0.8 cm; weight = 10.5 ± 0.8 g) were transferred into PVC tanks (top and bottom diameter: 172 cm; total height: 78 cm) for a static bioassay. The median lethal concentration (96-h LC₅₀) of chlorpyrifos for the adult Banded Gourami was determined according to the guideline described by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD, 1992). In the present bioassay, seven different chlorpyrifos concentrations (0, 150, 250, 350, 450, 550, and 650 µg/L) were evaluated in 21 tanks containing 100 L of dechlorinated tap water with continuous aeration. Each treatment and the control were triplicated and each replicate contained seven fish. Fish were acclimatized for 7 days before chlorpyrifos exposure. Feeding was stopped before 24 h and throughout the exposure period of 96 h. Mortality of fish was recorded at 24, 48, 72, and 96 h of chlorpyrifos exposure. Dead individuals were removed
immediately from the experimental units. The water quality (mean ± SD) variables in the static bioassay were: temperature (26.5 ± 1 °C), dissolved oxygen (7.8 ± 0.5 mg/L), pH (7.9 ± 0.3) and total alkalinity (180.3 ± 7.8 mg/L).

To assess long-term chlorpyrifos exposure, adult healthy Banded Gourami females (n=150; length= 7.97±0.72 cm; weight= 9.76±2.30 g) and males (n=150; length= 8.63±0.72 cm; weight= 11.91±2.59 g) were selected. Twenty fish (10 males and 10 females) were stocked into each of the 15 prepared PVC tanks, containing 300 L of de-chlorinated tap water. These tanks were coated with a non-toxic epoxy paint. An aeration system was installed to mix the water and provide sufficient oxygen during the experimental period of 75 days. Fish were acclimatized to the laboratory conditions for a period of 21 days prior to exposure (OECD, 1996). Fish were exposed to five different concentrations of chlorpyrifos (0, 15, 50, 150 and 500 µg/L; the 96-h LC50 in this study was 833 µg/L) for 15, 30, 45, 60 and 75 days. The control and treatments were set up in three replicates. The stock solutions were prepared by dissolving the weighed amount of chlorpyrifos in distilled water to obtain 200 g/L chlorpyrifos. Natural light and ambient temperature was maintained throughout the acclimation and exposure period. Excess food and excretions were siphoned out every day using a plastic pipette. Water (about 80%) in the experimental units was changed every three days and fresh chlorpyrifos concentrations were used. In our study, we did not measure the exposure concentrations, however, considering the half-life of chlorpyrifos in water phase (DT50_{water} = 5 days; Lewis et al., 2016), it could be expected that 3-day intervals of water renewal would expose the fishes to relevant concentrations of chlorpyrifos throughout the experimental period. The water quality variables were determined every alternate day according to APHA (APHA, 1985). The values (mean ± SD) of water quality in the experimental units were as follows: temperature: 27.7 ± 1.3 °C; dissolved oxygen: 6.5 ± 0.8 mg/L; pH: 8.7 ± 0.2; total alkalinity as CaCO₃: 184.6 ± 10.2 mg/L; electrical conductivity: 368.5 ± 2.8 μS/cm, and total dissolved solids: 171 ± 4.5 mg/L.

2.4. Mortality assessment, gonad collection and GSI estimation

The number of dead fish was scored every day during the experimental period of 75 days and dead individuals were removed immediately from the experimental units. Fish were considered dead if there was no visible movement (e.g. gill movement) and if touching of the caudal peduncle produced no reaction (OECD, 1992). Two fish (one male and one female)
were retrieved from each replicate at each sampling date and were anesthetized with 100 mg/L of MS222 to prevent any suffering during gonad collection. Prior to gonad collection, the length and body weight of both male and female fish were recorded. Fish were killed by decapitation and gonads were dissected out and weighed. The gonads were rinsed with physiological solution (0.9% sodium chloride) and later transferred to 10% buffered formalin solution at ambient temperature for appropriate fixation. The value of gonado-somatic index (GSI) was estimated by the following formula: GSI = [(gonad weight/body weight) × 100] (Barber and Blake, 2006).

2.5. Histopathology of gonads

For histological observation, the fixated gonad tissues were washed with running tap water for 24 h, processed, dehydrated in graded alcohol, cleared in benzene, and embedded in paraffin. The paraffin blocks were sectioned with microtome at a thickness of 5 µm and were stained with hematoxylin and counterstained with eosin. Finally, the histopathological alterations were photographed using digital photomicroscope (Olympus CX 41).

2.6. Statistical analyses

The mortality and GSI values of female and male Banded Gourami and the histopathological alterations of ovary and testis (%) were presented as the mean of the three replicates ± standard deviation (SD). The LC10, LC50 and LC90 values of chlorpyrifos for Banded Gourami were calculated by means of log-logistic regression using the software GenStat 11th (VSN International Ltd., Oxford, UK) according to Rubach et al. (2011). No Observed Effect Concentrations (NOECs) were calculated at taxon level (p ≤ 0.05) using the Williams test (ANOVA; Williams, 1972) as incorporated in the Community Analysis software (Hommen et al., 1994). Before univariate analyses were performed, the parameter values were log transformed using the formula: ln (Ax + 1); see Van den Brink et al. (2000) for the rationale of calculating A. The GSI values were, therefore, log transformed using ln (200x+1), while all other parameters were transformed with ln (0.2x+1).
3. Results

3.1. Chlorpyrifos effects on mortality of Banded Gourami

The calculated 96-h LC10, LC50 and LC90 (with 95% confidence limits) of chlorpyrifos for the adult Banded Gourami were 258 (158-421), 833 (506-1371), and 2689 (727-9942) µg/L, respectively. During the static bioassay, mortality was not observed in the control groups.

The long-term 60-d LC50 for both males and females was around 50 µg/L with the LC10 and LC90 only being lightly lower and higher, respectively (Table 1). A dose- and duration-dependent significant increase in both male and female mortality was observed after 15 and 30 days of chlorpyrifos exposure to the highest and the second highest concentrations with a NOEC of 50 µg/L. But such significant increase in male and female mortality was observed in the lowest concentration (15 µg/L) after 45 and 60 days of exposure, respectively (with a NOEC of <15 µg/L) (Table 1 and S1). All fish, including males and females had died 15 days after the first chlorpyrifos exposure in the highest concentration (500 µg/L) (Table 1). In the present study, 100% mortality for male fish was observed after 45 days in 150 µg/L, and 60 days for female fish in the same treatment. After 75 days of exposure, 100% mortality was observed for male fish when exposed to the lowest concentration (15 µg/L) (Table 1).
Table 1. Number of dead individuals of female and male Banded Gourami exposed to different concentrations of chlorpyrifos during the experimental period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chlorpyrifos concentrations (µg/L)</th>
<th>Male mortality</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Female mortality</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 30</td>
<td>Day 45</td>
<td>Day 60</td>
<td>Day 75</td>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>Day 30</td>
<td>Day 45</td>
<td>Day 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3±0.6</td>
<td>0.3±0.6</td>
<td>0.6±0.6</td>
<td>0.6±0.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3±0.6</td>
<td>0.3±0.6</td>
<td>0.6±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3±0.6*</td>
<td>2.3±0.6*</td>
<td>6±0*</td>
<td>0.3±0.6</td>
<td>0.3±0.6</td>
<td>1.3±0.6</td>
<td>2±0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.3±0.6</td>
<td>1±1</td>
<td>2.7±0.6*</td>
<td>3.7±0.6*</td>
<td>6±0*</td>
<td>0.6±0.6</td>
<td>2±0</td>
<td>2.7±1.2*</td>
<td>3±1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>2±0*</td>
<td>4.3±0.6*</td>
<td>8±0*</td>
<td>7±0*</td>
<td>6±0*</td>
<td>1±1*</td>
<td>3±2*</td>
<td>5±1*</td>
<td>7±0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>10±0*</td>
<td>9±0*</td>
<td>8±0*</td>
<td>7±0*</td>
<td>6±0*</td>
<td>10±0*</td>
<td>9±0*</td>
<td>8±0*</td>
<td>7±0*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LC10 value with 95% confidence limits: 142 (*-*) 71 (40-123) 47 (42-52) 45 (39-51) NC 155 (*-*) 134 (*-) 25 (9.3-67) 46 (40-52) 43 (38-49)

LC50 value with 95% confidence limits: 166 (*-*) 146 (113-188) 53 (49-58) 51 (47-56) NC 182 (*-*) 166 (*-) 93 (59-146) 53 (48-59) 50 (46-55)

LC90 value with 95% confidence limits: 195 (*-*) 303 (178-514) 61 (56-67) 59 (52-67) NC 214 (*-*) 206 (*-) 344 (160-738) 61 (55-69) 58 (53-64)

Data were expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3); The superscript symbols indicate the significance (Williams test; p ≤ 0.05); NC = Not calculated (calculations not possible due to 100% mortality)
3.2. Chlorpyrifos effects on female gonad

A dose- and duration-dependent significant decrease in female GSI was observed after 15 days of chlorpyrifos exposure to the highest concentration with living individuals (150 µg/L) with a NOEC of 50 µg/L, but the trend was not consistent for the next sampling days (Table 2 and S1). However, dose- and duration-dependent histopathological alterations in the ovary were evident (Fig. 1). The ovaries extracted from the control fish did not show any histopathological alterations during the experimental period (Fig. 1A). After 15 days of exposure, alterations like cytoplasmic clumping (CC) and cytoplasmic retraction (CR), and atretic follicles (AF) were observed when the fish were exposed to 150 µg/L of chlorpyrifos (Fig. 1B). The most observed notable histopathological alteration in female gonad induced by chlorpyrifos toxicity was the atretic follicles (AF) (Fig. 1B-E and Fig. 1G-H), and this atresia was found to be severe after 75 days of first chlorpyrifos exposure to 50 µg/L (Fig. 1H). Degenerated perinucleolar oocyte (DPNO) was observed after 45 days of chlorpyrifos exposure to 50 and 150 µg/L (Fig. 1D and E). Adhesion (AD) between numerous oocytes were prominent when exposed to different concentrations of chlorpyrifos (Fig. 1D-F and Fig. 1H). The treated ovary showed degenerations of ovigerous lamellae (DOL) (Fig. 1C-E and 1 G). Inter follicular spaces (IFS) were evident after 45 days (Fig. 1D) and 75 days (Fig. 1H) of exposure to 50 µg/L. A few necrosis (NE) was noticed in treated ovary after 60 days (Fig. 1G) and 75 days (Fig. 1H) of exposure to 50 µg/L. A significant increase of histopathological alterations (%) in ovary were found after 15 and 30 days of 150 µg/L chlorpyrifos exposure (NOEC of 50 µg/L), but after 45 days of exposure onwards such significant increase in several alterations were observed when exposed to 50 µg/L (NOEC of 15 µg/L) (Table 3 and S1).

Table 2. GSI data of female and male Banded Gourami fish exposed to different concentrations of chlorpyrifos during the experimental period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chlorpyrifos concentrations (µg/L)</th>
<th>Days of exposure for female</th>
<th>Days of exposure for male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Days of exposure for female</td>
<td>Days of exposure for male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.4±2.6</td>
<td>7.9±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.4±4.3</td>
<td>7.5±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.3±3.4</td>
<td>5.8±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>4.2±2.8</td>
<td>3.7±3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3); ND = No data due to fish mortality; The symbols of superscripts indicate the significance (Williams test ; p ≤ 0.05)
Table 3. Summary of histopathological alterations (%) of Banded Gourami ovary exposed to different concentrations of chlorpyrifos during the experimental period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alterations</th>
<th>Chlorpyrifos concentrations (µg/L)</th>
<th>Exposure time (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasmic clumping (CC)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasmic retraction (CR)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atretic follicle (AF)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degenerated perinucleolar oocyte (DPNO)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesion (AD)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degeneration of ovigerous lamellae (DOL)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfollicular space (IFS)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrosis (NE)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3); ND = No data due to fish mortality; The symbols of superscripts indicate the significance (Williams test; p ≤ 0.05)
Figure 1. Histopathological alterations observed in banded gourami ovary due to chlorpyrifos toxicity during the experimental period. (A) Mature oocyte (MO), normal structure of nucleus (N), and perineucleolar oocyte (PNO) after 15 days of exposure to 0 µg/L (control ovary); (B) Atretic follicle (AF), cytoplasmic clumping (CC), and cytoplasmic retraction (CR) after 15 days of exposure to 150 µg/L; (C) Atretic follicle (AF), and degeneration of ovigerous lamellae (DOL) after 30 days of exposure to 150 µg/L; (D) Adhesion (AD), atretic follicle (AF), degenerated perineucleolar oocyte (DPNO), interfollicular space (IFS), and degeneration of ovigerous lamellae (DOL) after 45 days of exposure to 50 µg/L; (E) Cytoplasmic clumping (CC), cytoplasmic retraction (CR), adhesion (AD), atretic follicle (AF), degenerated perineucleolar oocyte (DPNO), and degeneration of ovigerous lamellae (DOL) after 45 days of exposure to 150 µg/L; (F) Adhesion (AD), and degeneration of granulosa layer (DGL) after 60 days of exposure to 15 µg/L; (G) Atretic follicle (AF), necrosis (NE) and degeneration of ovigerous lamellae (DOL) after 60 days of exposure to 50 µg/L; (H) Atretic follicle (AF), adhesion (AD), necrosis (NE), and interfollicular space (IFS) after 75 days of exposure to 50 µg/L; H and E stain; ×100; scale bar = 100 µm.
3.3. Chlorpyrifos effects on male gonad

The male GSI significantly decreased with increasing chlorpyrifos concentrations after 15 and 30 days of exposure with a NOEC of < 15 µg/L (Table 2 and S1). However, no such significant decrease of male GSI was found from day 45 onwards. Several dose- and duration-dependent histopathological alterations of testis were evident due to chlorpyrifos toxicity (Fig. 2). Like ovary, the testes which were extracted from the control fish did not show any histopathological alteration during the experimental period. The control testis contained regular-shaped of seminiferous tubules which were characterised by a round, oval or somewhat rectangular shape, and regular-shaped of sertoli cells and interstitial cell of Leydig (Fig 2A). The treated testis showed several histopathological alterations like irregular shaped seminiferous tubules (ISST), breakage of seminiferous tubules (BST), damaged sertoli cells (DSC), degeneration of interstitial cell of Leydig (DICL), and empty lumen (EL) (Fig. 2B-E and Fig. 2G-H). When exposed to 50 µg/L, severe breakage of seminiferous tubules accompanied with empty lumen were observed after 60 days (Fig. 2H), as well as some testicular oocytes (TO) in the treated testis after 45 days (Fig. 2E-F). A significant increase of histopathological alterations (%) in testis were observed after 15 and 30 days of 150 µg/L chlorpyrifos exposure (NOEC of 50 µg/L), but such significant increase of several alterations were noticed when exposed to 50 µg/L (NOEC of 15 µg/L) after 45 and 60 days (Table 4 and S1).
Table 4. Summary of histopathological alterations (%) of Banded Gourami testes exposed to different concentrations of chlorpyrifos during the experimental period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alterations</th>
<th>Chlorpyrifos concentrations (µg/L)</th>
<th>Exposure time (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular shape of seminiferous tubule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ISST)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakage of seminiferous tubule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BST)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>16.7±12.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degeneration of interstitial cell of Leydig (DICL)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>26.7±18.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damaged sertoli cell (DSC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>23.3±17*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testicular oocyte (TO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty lumen (EL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3); ND = No data due to fish mortality; The symbols of superscripts indicate the significance (Williams test; p ≤ 0 .0 5 )
Figure 2. Histopathological alterations observed in banded gourami testis due to chlopyrifos toxicity during the experimental period. (A) normal structure of seminiferous tubule (ST), sertoli cell (SC), lumen (L), and interstitial cell of Leydig (ICL) after 15 days of exposure to 0 µg/L (control testis); (B) Breakage of seminiferous tubule (BST), damaged sertoli cell (DSC), degeneration of interstitial cell of Leydig (DICL) after 15 days of exposure to 150 µg/L; (C) Irregular shape of seminiferous tubule (ISST), damaged sertoli cell (DSC), degeneration of interstitial cell of Leydig (DICL) after 30 days of exposure to 150 µg/L; (D) Irregular shape of seminiferous tubule (ISST), damaged sertoli cell (DSC), degeneration of interstitial cell of Leydig (DICL) after 45 days of exposure to 15 µg/L; (E) Irregular shape of seminiferous tubule (ISST), damaged sertoli cell (DSC), degeneration of interstitial cell of Leydig (DICL), testicular oocyte (TO), and empty lumen (EL) after 45 days of exposure to 50 µg/L; (F) Testicular oocyte (TO) after 45 days of exposure to 50 µg/L; (G) Irregular shape of seminiferous tubule (ISST), damaged sertoli cell (DSC), degeneration of interstitial cell of Leydig (DICL) after 60 days of exposure to 15 µg/L; (H) Breakage of seminiferous tubule (BST), degeneration of interstitial cell of Leydig (DICL), and empty lumen (EL) after 60 days of exposure to 50 µg/L; H and E stain; ×400; scale bar = 50 µm.
4. Discussion

4.1. Effects of chlorpyrifos on the mortality of Banded Gourami

The 96-h LC50 of chlorpyrifos for adult Banded Gourami was 833 µg/L in the static bioassay, while the long-term 60-d LC50 for both male and female was around 50 µg/L. Earlier studies demonstrated a varied acute LC50 values of chlorpyrifos for different fish but the data for long-term LC50 of chlorpyrifos for fish is lacking. Mishra and Devi (2014) reported the 96-h LC50 of chlorpyrifos for adult spotted snakehead (Channa punctatus) as 812 µg/L, which is almost similar to our study. Oruç (2010) found the 96-h LC50 of chlorpyrifos for adult nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) as 154 µg/L, which is about five times lower than we reported for Banded Gourami. Almost similar LC50 values of chlorpyrifos for different fish were estimated by earlier studies (Sharbidre et al., 2011; Mhadhbi and Beiras, 2012; Khalil et al., 2013). Rao et al. (2003) found much more lower short-term LC50 of chlorpyrifos for Oreochromis mossambicus (around 26 µg/L), while Srivastav et al. (1997) reported for stinging catfish (Heteropneustes fossilis) as 2200 µg/L, which is several times higher than we reported for Banded Gourami.

In the present study, the NOEC for both male and female mortality of Banded gourami was calculated as 50 µg/L after 15 and 30 days of first chlorpyrifos exposure. But a lower NOEC of <15 µg/L was calculated for both male and female mortality after 60 days of exposure, thus indicating the long-term exposure of Banded Gourami to chlorpyrifos showed an elevated mortality even at the lowest concentration (15 µg/L). Earlier studies observed almost similar chronic NOECs for mortality of different fishes. For instance, an average 40-d NOEC of 2.3 µg/L (1.16-116 µg/L) for mortality was calculated for Cyprinus carpio, while an average 30-d and 90-d NOEC of 8.6 µg/L and 2.6 µg/L was calculated for Oreochromis niloticus and Tilapia zilli, respectively (ECOTOX Database (http://cfpub.epa.gov/ecotox/quick_query.htm)).

In our study, we investigated the effects of chlorpyrifos formulation on the short- and long-term mortality of Banded Gourami, however, further studies are needed to investigate the toxicity of chlorpyrifos (technical grade) on the mortality of same species to understand the toxicity differences between technical grade and commercial formulation of chlorpyrifos. Literatures on the differences in toxicity between technical grade and commercial formulation of chlorpyrifos on long-term mortality in fish is lacking. However, only one study by Majumder and Kaviraj (2018) showed that the commercial formulation of chlorpyrifos (96-h LC50 = 42
μg/L) is approximately two folds more toxic to Oreochromis niloticus than the technical grade (96-h LC50 = 90 μg/L) after an acute exposure. This, because the formulated products might have the added inert ingredients which can enhance the bioavailability and toxicity to target organisms (Cox and Surgan, 2006).

4.2. Effects of chlorpyrifos on ovary

The hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis regulates the reproduction of teleost fish and most vertebrates. This axis is dependent on the feedback systems of steroid hormones such as estrogens which are crucial for successful reproduction. Estrogens produced in the ovary may have either a positive or a negative effect on the hypothalamus, pituitary and gonads (Hashimoto et al., 2000). The positive or negative effect is dependent on the hormone concentration needed for normal reproduction and the physiological needs of the fish (Arcand-Hoy and Benson, 1998). The feedback pathways are negatively affected when the hormone concentrations are altered i.e. less production of estrogens due to xenotoxic effects, which may result in impairment of the normal reproductive mechanisms (Maxwell and Dutta, 2005).

The present study found several alterations in the ovarian histopathology of Banded Gourami exposed to different concentrations of chlorpyrifos. The histopathological alterations observed in our study were dose- and duration-dependent because after 15 and 45 days of exposure to 150 μg/L, cytoplasmic degenerations like CC and CR were observed, indicating the negative feedback of estrogens to hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis of this fish (Tillitt et al., 2010). Earlier studies demonstrated a strong negative correlation between the damaged ovarian structures and levels of estrogen production in fish exposed to different pesticides (Maxwell and Dutta, 2005; Manjunatha and Philip, 2016). Deka and Mahanta (2012) observed cytoplasmic degenerations in Stinging Catfish (Heteropneustes fossilis) ovary when exposed to 200 μg/L malathion for 10 days. The cytoplasmic alterations were also demonstrated in earlier studies in Bluegill fish (Lepomis macrochirus) ovary exposed to diazinon (Dutta and Maxwell, 2003) and in Puntius ticto ovary exposed to dimethoate (Marutirao, 2013).

In this study, follicular atresia in Banded Gourami ovary was observed after 15 days of chlorpyrifos exposure onwards. The follicular atresia of oocyte stages in chlorpyrifos-exposed ovaries could reflect a disruption in the normal processes of final maturation of oocytes with the subsequent disturbances of ovulation and oviposition which, in turn, may result in
decreased fertility of Banded Gourami. The atretic follicles were observed by Manjunatha and Philip (2016) in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) ovaries after an acute exposure (96 h) to 200 µg/L of chlorpyrifos, Dutta and Maxwell (2003) in bluegill ovary exposed to diazinon, and Narayanaswamy and Mohan (2014) in *Glossogobius giuris* ovary exposed to malathion. The follicular atresia was also noticed in *Puntius ticto* ovary after a chronic exposure to dimethoate (Marutirao, 2013), and in *Channa punctatus* ovary after a sub-chronic exposure to monocrotophos (Maqbool and Ahmed, 2013).

Next to follicular atresia, one of the common histopathological alterations in Banded Gourami ovary when exposed to different concentrations of chlorpyrifos were degenerations of the ovigerous lamellae. Disruption of ovigerous lamellae induced by chlorpyrifos toxicity may cause loss of follicles or empty follicles indicating the loss of genetic material within the follicles. The loss of genetic material in the ovarian follicles of Banded Gourami may hinder the production of estrogens. The results of this study are in line with findings of Dutta and Maxwell (2003) and Maxwell and Dutta (2005) in Bluegill ovary exposed to diazinon, and Marutirao (2013) in *Puntius ticto* ovary exposed to dimethoate.

Adhesion between oocytes may cause interfollicular space which is evident in our study. In the present study, fusion of oocytes accompanied with interfollicular space was noticed after 45 days of chlorpyrifos exposure to 50 µg/L. Oocytes that adhered to one another are prevented from moving on to the next level of maturation. This change in the ovary may inhibit the production of steroids. The results of our study are accordance with earlier studies in Bluegill ovary after 72 h of diazinon exposure to 60 µg/L (Dutta and Maxwell, 2003; Maxwell and Dutta, 2005).

Necrosis was evident in Banded Gourami ovary after 60 and 75 days of chlorpyrifos exposure to 50 µg/L, thus indicating the lack or absence of genetic material after a long-term exposure, which may cause reduced levels of hormone production. Maqbool and Ahmed (2013) observed similar alteration in *Channa punctatus* ovary after 45 days of 2000 µg/L monocrotophos exposure. Necrosis or loss of genetic materials was also observed in Stinging Catfish ovary after an acute exposure to malathion (Dutta et al., 1994) and in Bluegill ovary exposed to diazinon (Maxwell and Dutta, 2005).

4.3. Effects of chlorpyrifos on testes
The testis of fish have different vital structures. Together these structures perform the main function of the testis, which is to make and release mature spermatozoa in order to fertilize eggs. Seminiferous tubules are of primary importance because they hold and release the sperm that is necessary to fertilize eggs. The testis of fish are arranged in lobules that contain germ cells undergoing spermatogenesis (Oropesa et al., 2014; Manjunatha and Philip, 2016).

In this study, the testes of the control Banded Gourami showed more or less regular structure of seminiferous tubules. However, in the treated testes we found certain irregular structures of seminiferous tubules exposed to different concentrations of chlorpyrifos. Severe damage was characterised by the breakage of seminiferous tubules and empty lumen in tubules after 60 days of chlorpyrifos exposure to 50 µg/L. The damages of this tubules induced by chlorpyrifos toxicity may disrupt the normal spermatogenesis of Banded Gourami (Oropesa et al., 2014). Manjunatha and Philip (2016) demonstrated seminiferous tubules degeneration of zebrafish testis after an acute exposure to chlorpyrifos. Similar histopathological alteration was reported by Dutta and Meijer (2003) in Bluegill testis exposed to diazinon, Masouleh et al. (2011) in Caspian Kutum testis exposed to diazinon, and Bagchi et al. (1990) in *Clarias batrachus* testis exposed to quinalphos.

Spermatogenesis is accomplished by the functional activities of reproductive hormones i.e. gonadotrophin releasing hormone, luteinizing hormone, follicle stimulating hormone and testosterone hormone secreted from the hypothalamo-pituitary-testicular axis (Stephen and Yinusa, 2011). Interstitial cells of Leydig are located between the seminiferous tubules maintaining a key role in the spermatogenesis. Their functional activity is regulated by the luteinizing hormone (LH), which binds to LH receptors in the Leydig plasma membrane (Catt and Dufau, 1976). Testosterone secreted by the Leydig cells is essential for normal spermatogenesis and fertility (Farag et al., 2010). Degenerations of interstitial cells of Leydig in Banded Gourami testes due to different concentrations of chlorpyrifos were evident in the present study. Degeneration and destruction processes in Leydig cells of Banded Gourami testis could lead to the failure of spermatogenesis. These results are in concordance with previous studies in Bluegill testis exposed to diazinon (Dutta and Meijer, 2003) and endosulfan (Dutta et al. 2006), in *Cichlasoma dimerus* testis exposed to endosulfan (Da Cuna et al. 2013), and in zebrafish testis exposed to clotrimazole (Baudiffier et al. 2013).
Sertoli cells are the somatic cells contained in the seminiferous tubules of the testis. They provide the physical support, nutrients and hormonal signals necessary for successful spermatogenesis (Griswold et al., 1988). For example, testosterone exerts its’ effects on spermatogenesis through respective receptors in the sertoli cells (Oropesa et al., 2014). Moreover, these cells constitute the blood-testis barrier (Buzzard and Wreford, 2002). Another function of these cells is the phagocytosis of degenerated germ cells leading to the accumulation of lipid droplets in their cytoplasm (Morales et al., 2004). The present study observed damage of these cells after long-term exposure to different concentrations of chlorpyrifos. The damage of sertoli cells induced by toxicants could lead to the impairment in their normal reproductive functions. The results of this study are in line with earlier studies in Bluegill testis (Dutta et al., 2006) and in Cichlasoma dimerus testis (Da Cuna et al., 2013) when exposed to endosulfan.

In the present study, testicular oocytes indicate an intersex condition, were observed in Banded Gourami fish after 45 days of chlorpyrifos exposure to 50 µg/L. The intersex condition of fish indicates a low reproduction capacity and is a threat for fecundity as well as for population viability (Harris et al., 2011). The intersexuality in this study is probably due to the xenoestrogens released from endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs), such as chlorpyrifos, altering normal sexual differentiation and gametogenesis because they interfere with synthesis, storage, release, transport, metabolism, binding action and elimination of endogenous hormones (Mills and Chichester, 2005; Ortiz-Zarragoitia, 2014). Xenoestrogens are structurally similar to 17β-estradiol (E2), such as 17α-ethinylestradiol (EE2), which exert their estrogenic effects on gonadal differentiation by mimicking the actions of endogenous estrogens and thereby inducing phenotypic feminization (Andersen et al., 2003; Kuhl et al., 2005). The phenotypic feminization in Banded Gourami fish induced by chlorpyrifos toxicity observed in our study is in accordance with earlier studies in fishes exposed to different EDCs (Holbech et al., 2006; Marchand et al., 2010; Tillitt et al., 2010; Tian et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2013).

5. Conclusions

The study is the first assessing the long-term toxicity of chlorpyrifos on the mortality and reproductive tissues of Banded Gourami. The present study revealed no consistent significant impact on GSI, but showed dose- and duration-dependent significant impact on the mortality
of male and female fish, and histopathological alterations of both ovary and testes after long-term exposure to different concentrations of chlorpyrifos. The chronic NOEC (60-d) for most histopathological alterations of Banded Gourami ovary and testes was calculated as 50 μg/L, while 60-d NOEC for mortality of both male and female fishes was < 15 μg/L. The results of the study show that the long-term exposure to chlorpyrifos affect the reproductive tissues of Banded Gourami at exposure concentrations also causing mortality. This shows that the effects on reproductive tissues might not be the most critical endpoints for the risk assessment of chlorpyrifos effects on Banded Gourami. Hence, we recommend future studies should evaluate effects at lower concentrations as even the lowest concentration of 15 μg/L resulted in a 100% mortality of the male fish and 33% of the female fish after 75 days of exposure, with control mortalities of 11 and 17%, respectively.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days of exposure</th>
<th>Mortality</th>
<th>GSI</th>
<th>Ovary alterations</th>
<th>Testes alterations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>≥150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>≥5 0</td>
<td>≥1 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>≥5 0</td>
<td>≥5 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>≥5 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NC= Not calculated due to 100% mortality
Chapter 7

General discussion and concluding remarks
The shift from traditional to modern and intensive agricultural practices in Bangladesh has resulted in an increasing use of pesticides to obtain higher agricultural yields, and thereby meeting the growing demand of food for the ever-increasing population (Rahman, 2013). Residues of pesticides applied on agricultural land may enter into the aquatic environment through direct runoff, spray drift and groundwater leaching and this may lead to the contamination of the non-target aquatic organisms like primary producers (Malev et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2014), invertebrates (Maltby et al., 2005; Van den Brink et al., 2016) and fish (Marimuthu et al., 2013; Manjunatha and Philip, 2016). The inappropriate use of pesticides by the farmers (with poor education on safe pesticide use) may lead to occupational health hazards (Miah et al., 2014). The World Bank (2006) reported that approximately 1-5 million farmers worldwide suffer from pesticide poisoning during application and about 20,000 die annually from exposure, mostly in developing countries.

A systemic study on environmental risk assessment of pesticides is, however, currently lacking in Bangladesh. Moreover, a clear understanding of farmers’ perception on the occupational health hazards during handling of pesticides is lacking in developing countries like Bangladesh. Hence, a set of studies including a field survey, a modelling study, a monitoring study, a semi-field study (model ecosystem study) and two laboratory studies (Chapters 2-6) were executed to address the research objectives of this thesis (Chapter 1).

The specific research objectives of this thesis were:

1. To assess the current status of pesticide use in crop production in Bangladesh and their associated potential risks to aquatic organisms.
2. To perform a chemical monitoring program to quantify the residues in the aquatic environment and to calculate the potential risks posed by pesticides to the aquatic ecosystems.
3. To derive the safe environmental concentration for a pesticide for certain structural and functional endpoints of sub-tropical freshwater ecosystems.
4. To investigate the potential toxic effects of pesticides on the developmental stages and the reproductive tissues of fish.
1. Human health issues during pesticide application

Indiscriminate use and improper handling during pesticide application causes serious human health problems in developing countries like Bangladesh. In this thesis, the occupational health hazards of farmers posed by unsafe use of pesticide was reported in the context of rice-prawn concurrent systems in south-west Bangladesh (Chapter 2). The most negative symptoms experienced by farmers after pesticide application were vomiting, headache and eye irritation. The majority of the farmers (81%) were quite sure that these negative health symptoms were the direct results of pesticide intoxication during application (Sumon et al., 2016). The results of these negative symptoms are in line with other studies conducted in other regions in Bangladesh. For example, Dasgupta et al. (2007) reported negative health effects like headache, dizziness, eye irritation, vomiting, dermal diseases and gastrointestinal problems after pesticide application in different parts of Bangladesh. Another study by Miah et al. (2014) found some similar negative health symptoms but also nausea in farmers that grow vegetables in south-east Bangladesh. Almost similar negative health symptoms after pesticide applications have been reported in other south Asian countries like India, Nepal and Pakistan (Chitra et al., 2006; Khan et al., 2010; Shrestha et al., 2010; Atreya et al., 2012; Mohanty et al., 2013).

The negative health symptoms experienced by the farmers could be explained by the lack of or no safety measures taken during pesticide application (Dasgupta et al., 2007; Miah et al., 2014; Atreya et al., 2012; Mohanty et al., 2013). For instance, Sumon et al. (2016) reported about 82% of the farmers only used cloths to cover their body and face during pesticide application, which is not a sufficient protection measure. The negative symptoms can probably be reduced by not only using cloths but also averting behaviour like wearing masks, hand gloves, eye glasses and gumboot during pesticide application, and washing hands or taking a shower just after pesticide application (Chapter 2). The promotion of suitable averting behaviour often depends on farmers’ education level and proper training facilities (Kabir and Rainis, 2012). Due to limited access to these factors, farmers are lagging behind in the use of the suitable averting behaviour during pesticide application by themselves (Sumon et al., 2016). Hence, both public and private sectors might play a vital role in educating the farmers in a way that farmers are aware of the suitable protective measures. For instance, the pesticide companies can introduce the product stewardship programmes making the
companies themselves co-responsible for their products during the use in the field, and the storage. Furthermore, the public sector i.e. the government needs to ensure basic training among the farmers to gather knowledge and to build awareness on safe use and handling of pesticide and subsequently can introduce the license for pesticide spraying only for the trained farmers.

2. Predicted versus measured environmental concentrations of pesticides

In this thesis, the TOXSWA v3.3.2 model was used to calculate the predicted environmental concentrations (PECs) of ten pesticides extensively used in rice-prawn concurrent systems in south-west Bangladesh under different spray drift scenarios (Chapter 2). TOXSWA is a pseudo-two-dimensional numerical model describing pesticide behaviour in the water layer and its underlying sediment at the edge-of-field scale (Adriaanse, 1997; Adriaanse et al., 2013).

The measured environmental concentrations (MECs) of some commonly used pesticides were determined in the surface waters and sediments in north-west Bangladesh (Chapter 3). These MEC values of chlorpyrifos and malathion in surface waters collected from beels in north-west Bangladesh (Chapter 3) were compared with the corresponding PEC values determined with TOXSWA for rice-prawn concurrent systems in south-west Bangladesh (Chapter 2). The highest PEC values of chlorpyrifos and malathion were much lower than those of the highest MEC values (Table 1). This could be explained by the fact that crop production and the use of pesticides in north-west Bangladesh (Chapter 3) was much more intensified than those in rice-prawn systems in south-west Bangladesh (Chapter 2).

Table 1: Comparison of the highest (median) PECs and MECs of chlorpyrifos and malathion and model-based (south-west Bangladesh) and monitoring-based (north-west Bangladesh) highest (median) RQs of this two pesticides for fish, Daphnia and algae (Source: Chapters 2 and 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pesticide</th>
<th>PECs (µg/L)</th>
<th>Model-based RQs</th>
<th>MECs (µg/L)</th>
<th>Monitoring-based RQs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fish Daphnia Algae</td>
<td>Fish Daphnia Algae</td>
<td>Fish Daphnia Algae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>0.7 (0.38)</td>
<td>54.08 (29.2) 703 (380) 0.16 (0.09)</td>
<td>9.1 (1.9)</td>
<td>700 (146) 9100 (1900) 1.3 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>2.3 (1.3)</td>
<td>12.75 (7.2) 327.86 (216.7) 0.002 (0.001)</td>
<td>3.2 (1.3)</td>
<td>17.8 (7.2) 400 (217) 0.002 (0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The calculation of the predicted environmental concentration (PEC) of pesticides in surface waters through modelling is a way forward for developing countries like Bangladesh. This tool can be used routinely in several south Asian countries and may include more aquatic systems. The determination of MEC values of different pesticides in surface water and sediments is also a way forward in Bangladesh. In this thesis, however, I think that the number of quantified samples (both surface water and sediment) were too low to evaluate the risks of pesticides for aquatic systems. Hence, I recommend further studies including more samples and pesticides (other groups than organophosphate) to better prioritize the research needs for other aquatic ecosystems in Bangladesh. As we did not have the direct comparison of PECs and MECs for the same aquatic systems, we suggest further studies performing the prediction and monitoring for the same aquatic systems using the same scenarios, so that a direct comparison can be made.

3. Environmental risk assessment of pesticides in Bangladesh

In this thesis, the lower-tier risk quotient (RQ) method was performed based on predicted environmental concentrations (PECs) from the modelling study (Chapter 2) and measured environmental concentrations (MECs) from the monitoring study (Chapter 3). Different pesticides and trophic levels have been evaluated in this thesis. The higher-tier PERPEST model was used to refine the risk assessment of those pesticides having RQs > 1 for any of the endpoints.

The RQs of chlorpyrifos and malathion derived from the PEC values for rice-prawn systems in south-west Bangladesh (Chapter 2) were compared with those derived from MEC values in north-west Bangladesh (Chapter 3). The highest RQs of chlorpyrifos and malathion were higher for all aquatic organisms in the monitoring study than those calculated from the modelling study (Table 1). This can be explained by the much higher MEC values of chlorpyrifos and malathion were measured than those calculated PEC values. The RQs for both pesticides were much higher than those calculated in earlier studies, e.g. by Wee and Aris (2017), which calculated the highest RQ of chlorpyrifos being 4.8 in riverine ecosystem in one of the subtropical countries (Malaysia). The higher RQs values of this pesticide calculated in our study for Daphnia than other studies indicate the higher concentrations of this pesticide in Bangladeshi aquatic ecosystems. The higher concentrations of pesticides in Bangladesh might be due to their irrational use (i.e. overuse and/or misuse) of pesticides by farmers (Chapters
For instance, according to Dasgupta et al. (2007), over 47% of the studied farmers were overusing pesticides in different regions in Bangladesh, while Sumon et al. (2016) reported an overuse by 70% of the interviewed farmers in south-west Bangladesh. Satapornvanit et al. (2004) also observed the overdose of pesticides in one of previous studies in tropical Thailand. The reasons behind this irrational use of pesticides include farmers’ low and/or lack of education, inadequate product labelling, and lack of proper training facilities (Dasgupta et al., 2007).

The results of both the model-based (Chapter 2) and monitoring-based (Chapter 3) risk assessment indicated that chlorpyrifos had high acute and chronic RQs (> 1), thus posing high risks for aquatic organisms like *Daphnia* and the standard test fish species. Based on these results, two laboratory experiments were conducted to elucidate the potential toxic effects of chlorpyrifos on the developmental stages and the reproductive tissues of Banded Gourami (*Trichogaster fasciata*), which is one of the local freshwater fish species in Bangladesh (Chapters 5 and 6).

The results of the Chapter 3 of this thesis indicate that the highest MEC value of chlorpyrifos (9.1 µg/L) determined in north-west Bangladesh might have the risk for local fish species (e.g. Banded Gourami), since the results of Chapter 5 show that 1 µg/L chlorpyrifos has adverse effect on the developmental stages of Banded Gourami after an acute exposure. After the long-term exposure to chlorpyrifos, the results suggest that the highest MEC value may not increase the histopathological alterations (60-d NOEC = 50 µg/L), but might affect the mortality (60-d NOEC = < 15 µg/L) of Banded Gourami (Chapter 6). Further long-term studies, however, are recommended to evaluate the toxic effects of chlorpyrifos on the mortality and reproduction of Banded Gourami at < 15 µg/L (Chapter 6). In Chapters 5 and 6, a first study on the developmental and reproductive toxicity of chlorpyrifos by using Banded Gourami fish as a model is reported, however, analytical verification of exposure concentrations was not possible due to lack of technical facilities. Hence, we recommend to introduce the instrumental facilities to verify the exposure concentrations of chemicals analytically in future laboratory studies in Bangladesh.

**4. Sensitivity differences between tropical and temperate aquatic invertebrates**

The semi-field microcosm experiment derived safe threshold values for the neonicotinoid insecticide imidacloprid for different structural (phytoplankton, zooplankton,
macroinvertebrates and periphyton) and functional (organic matter decomposition) endpoints of freshwater ecosystems in sub-tropical Bangladesh (Chapter 4). Those microcosms have been used as a valuable tool for the higher-tier risk assessment of pesticides (Daam et al., 2008, 2009; Hayasaka et al., 2012a; Halstead et al., 2014; Hua and Relyea, 2014; Sumon et al., 2018) and veterinary medicines (Rico et al., 2014) over the past decades. There are multiple advantages of using microcosms for toxicity studies, since they allow replications, ecological realism, and are a good tool for validating safety factors used at lower-tier of the risk assessment (Daam and Van den Brink, 2010; Van den Brink, 2013).

The results of Chapter 4 indicated that most zooplankton and macroinvertebrate species were found to be much more sensitive to imidacloprid than their temperate counterparts. Among the zooplankton, Diaptomus sp. was negatively affected from day 2 of the first imidacloprid exposure onwards over a period of 28 days with a consistent NOEC value of 0.3 µg/L. The sensitivity of this species to imidacloprid was confirmed by a single species toxicity test, since an 96-h EC50 of 0.0386 µg/L was calculated for this genus. Unfortunately, the toxicity data for Diaptomus sp. in temperate countries is lacking, therefore, a comparison is not possible. Among the macroinvertebrates, Cloeon sp. was the most responding species to imidacloprid i.e. showing the lowest abundance values in all treatments from the control (2-d and 9-d NOEC < 0.03 µg/L). The sensitivity of this species was also confirmed by a single species toxicity test (96-h EC50 = 0.00548 µg/L). Roessink et al. (2013) reported an 96-h EC50 and 28-d EC50 value of imidacloprid for Cloeon dipterum of 1.0 µg/L and 0.13 µg/L, respectively in the Netherlands, which is about 24-182 folds higher than the 96-h EC50 value calculated in Chapter 4 of this thesis for sub-tropical country. Another study from Canada by Alexander et al. (2007) calculated an 96-h LC50 value of 0.65 µg/L for the mayfly species Epeorus longimanus, which is again about 27 folds higher than the value reported for Cloeon sp. in Chapter 4 of this thesis. The higher sensitivity of this species in the microcosm experiment could be partly explained by the higher temperature in (sub-) tropics (Chapter 4; Camp and Buchwalter, 2016; Van den Brink et al., 2016) and may be caused by the lack of having winter generations of this species in our climate zone (Chapter 4; Kwok et al., 2007; Van den Brink et al., 2016). We recommend further studies to perform the risk assessment of imidacloprid (monitoring or model-based) using the threshold values of this insecticide for local organisms (i.e. primary producers, micro-
and macro invertebrates) of (sub-) tropical Bangladesh derived from the microcosm and single species toxicity tests experiments.

5. Reducing the use of pesticide in Bangladesh

The studies presented in this thesis showed the toxic effects of different pesticides on the aquatic environments. To make the agricultural system sustainable, the use of pesticides should either be reduced or mitigation measures should be sought for the pesticide use in a way that pesticides do not exceed the thresholds for the aquatic organisms. For example, taking the spray drift scenarios into account as a route of pesticide exposure in the aquatic ecosystems, the mitigation measure of pesticide risk may be achieved by the implementation of spray drift buffers (Chapter 2; Maltby and Hills, 2008; Hilz and Vermeer, 2013). One of the best options of avoiding pesticide use could be the adoption of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) practices, which is a popular method of sustainable and eco-friendly crop production system in many countries of the world (Azad et al., 2009). According to Prokopy (2003), IPM is “a decision-based process involving coordinated use of multiple tactics for optimizing the control of all classes of pests (insects, pathogens, weeds, vertebrates) in an ecologically and economically sound manner”. In Bangladesh, the IPM practices were first introduced in the 1981 for rice systems, when the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) played a strong catalytic role with the government officials and donor community (Dasgupta et al., 2007; Kabir and Rainis, 2013). Subsequently, the government, through its Department of Agricultural Extension (DAE), initiated several IPM projects for rice and vegetables with donor funds. The DAE, is the largest agro-based public organization in Bangladesh and the main actor responsible for providing extension services to the rural farmers. The DAE has developed some dissemination techniques on IPM practices e.g. Extension Agent Visit, Farmers Field School (FFS), IPM club and Field Days. Some NGOs are also working to promote the IPM adoption in Bangladesh. The rate of IPM adoption in Bangladesh, however, is minimal (only 0.27% of the estimated 37 million farmers). The low adoption of IPM indicates that these dissemination techniques have had little impact at the national scale.

One of the main reasons behind the low adoption could be the number of extension agents and NGOs, which are insufficient in comparison to the total farmers to disseminate the techniques. For instance, Sumon et al. (2016) reported in their study that only 6% of the total farmers knew about the IPM practices as an alternative method of pesticide use. Hence, the
government should recruit more extension agents and invest more funds to improve the dissemination campaigns to the rural population. Printed and electronic media like TV, radio, newspapers and magazines can also play a substantial role to improve this situation. Furthermore, although hundreds of NGOs are nowadays working in Bangladesh, very few are devoted to the implementation of IPMs. More NGOs should be involved with GOs to disseminate the IPM through raising awareness among the farmers. Another reason of the low adoption of IPM could be the poor socio-economic characteristics of the farmers and the low literacy rate. Most farmers are reluctant to adopt new technologies since the majority of them have no or very low risk bearing capacity. So, this thesis suggests that both DAE and NGOs should motivate the farmers in a way that IPM practice is not only an ecologically sound and socially acceptable technique, but also that it is presented as a more profitable farming practice than the conventional one (i.e., farming with intensive use of pesticides) (Chapter 2; Dasgupta et al., 2007).

6. Improving the environmental risk assessment scheme underlying the regulation of pesticides in Bangladesh

Environmental risk assessment of pesticides based on lower-tier RQ method and higher-tier PERPEST model that are presented in Chapters 2 and 3. Chapters 4, 5 and 6 derive threshold values (e.g. LC50, EC50 and NOECs) of the two pesticides (i.e. imidacloprid and chlorpyrifos) for local primary producers, micro- and macro-invertebrates and fish in Bangladesh. These threshold values can be used for future risk assessment processes in (sub-) tropical Bangladesh.

In a developing country like Bangladesh, the improvement the model-based risk assessment of pesticides is important. In order to establish a realistic risk assessment and management procedure for more sustainable rice production practices, however, the mathematical models need to be developed and validated for rice-prawn systems in Bangladesh and in other countries of South Asia to further strengthen the reliability of using the models. Moreover, the introduction of such a model in Bangladesh still needs to convince all stakeholders including government, who are responsible to support decision making in the policy level to adopt and continue this new tools to estimate the exposure concentrations of pesticides in future.

In this thesis, the monitoring-based risk assessment indicates that the potential risks of several pesticides may be present in surface water for Daphnia even without detection (Chapter 3).
This, because the limit of detection (LOD) of chlorpyrifos, malathion and fenitrothion in surface water was higher than the acute and/or chronic PNECs for Daphnia, thus indicating the low efficiency of analytical verifications. Hence, we suggest that the analytical verification for several pesticides should be improved in future monitoring-based risk assessment in Bangladesh.

7. Concluding remarks and future lines of research

The results of the pesticide use practices reported in this thesis, show that the negative health symptoms experienced by farmers were due to the lack of proper handling of pesticides during application. The government should provide basic training facilities and build awareness to the farmers in a way that farmers can practice the suitable averting behaviour during pesticide application. The model-based risk assessment of this thesis indicates that such assessment approaches can be used as good tools for risk assessment purposes in sub-tropical ecosystems, however, is important to develop and validate mathematical models adapted to the rice-prawn systems in Bangladesh and in other countries of south Asia.

The results of the monitoring study of this thesis demonstrate that some of the measured pesticides (e.g. chlorpyrifos, diazinon, quinalphos, fenitrothion and malathion) pose high risks for surface water organisms like fish and Daphnia in north-west Bangladesh. The risk assessment based on monitoring study is a new approach in sub-tropical Bangladesh. This tool can be used for future environmental risk assessment of different pesticides for other aquatic ecosystems in Bangladesh and other south Asian countries.

The microcosm experiment reveals that the threshold values of imidacloprid for sub-tropical aquatic organisms were much lower than those found for temperate countries. Whether the differences in sensitivity holds true for all sub-tropical aquatic ecosystems and/or more pesticides than imidacloprid alone, remains to be investigated. The results of the microcosm (semi-field) experiment using one of the insecticides (imidacloprid) presented in this thesis, however, are the first microcosm study in sub-tropical Bangladesh while the model ecosystem experiments (i.e. microcosm and mesocosm) were introduced in Europe and North America in the seventies and eighties of the last century. We recommend to conduct more long-term microcosm experiments in Bangladesh including more sub-tropical species to get a clear picture about the toxicity of different pesticides towards sub-tropical freshwater ecosystems.
The results of the laboratory experiments of this thesis provides threshold values (e.g., LC50, NOEC) of chlorpyrifos for Banded gourami fish. In this thesis, I suggest that Banded Gourami fish could serve as an ideal model species for evaluating the developmental and reproductive toxicity of different environmental contaminants (e.g. pesticides). However, the establishment of the technical facilities (i.e. analytical verification of chemicals) for the standard laboratory experiments in developing countries like Bangladesh is urgently needed.

In conclusion, the thesis has made an attempt to provide some tools to assess the risks to aquatic ecosystems of sub-tropical Bangladesh posed by several pesticides. Some pesticides posed serious risks for the aquatic organisms in Bangladesh. Further experimental, monitoring and model validation studies at nationwide, however, are needed to strengthen the present conclusions and characterise the risks of the multitude of other pesticides for Bangladeshi aquatic ecosystems. The results of risk assessment of the pesticides reported on in the thesis can be used as regulatory purposes by the policy makers to protect the surface water organisms. To make the whole agricultural system sustainable, the use of pesticides should be reduced based on recommended doses by agricultural extension officers. Another way of reducing pesticide use could be the adoption of IPM practices. The Bangladeshi government and NGOs should utilize more funds to disseminate the technologies and build awareness among the farmers to reduce/avoid the pesticide use in crop production.
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Summary

In Bangladesh, the intensification of agriculture is indispensable due to its ever increasing population, the food security needs, and land scarcity. Severe agro-climatic events (e.g. flash floods, seasonal water scarcity and salinity intrusion in coastal land) pose further difficulties to crop production. To meet the growing demand of food under these harsh conditions, farmers are using a variety of pesticides indiscriminately; a sharp increase of their use was observed during the last decades. The government of Bangladesh fosters the pesticide use to amplify the agricultural frontiers and to increase output per acre of land. Residues of pesticide applied on agricultural land may enter the aquatic environment through drain, runoff and spray drift, thereby contaminating this environment. Hence, this PhD thesis aimed to investigate the human health issues and ecological risks on aquatic ecosystems posed by the large scale use of pesticides in Bangladesh.

In Chapter 1 the current status of pesticide use in intensive agriculture in Bangladesh is described together with their associated potential risks on the aquatic environments posed by pesticides. The available studies on assessing the fate and effects of pesticides for the (sub-) tropical aquatic ecosystems are reported. Chapter 1 describes the knowledge gap regarding the environmental risks of pesticides in the context of Bangladesh and discusses the tiered-based approach to take into account for the risk assessment in Bangladesh.

Chapter 2 outlines the information on the current status of pesticide use in rice-prawn concurrent systems of south-west Bangladesh and human health issues posed by the application of pesticides. The ecological risks of 10 pesticides for the aquatic ecosystems that support the culture of freshwater prawns (Macrobrachium rosenbergii) were assessed using exposure and effect models. The TOXSWA model calculated pesticide exposure (peak and time-weighted average concentrations) in surface waters of rice-prawn systems for different spray drift scenarios. The simple first-tier risk assessment for these 10 pesticides were performed using a risk quotient (RQ) method. The results of RQ method indicated that chlorpyrifos, cypermethrin, alpha-cypermethrin and malathion may pose a high to moderate acute and chronic risks for invertebrates and fish for all spray drift scenarios. The higher-tier PERPEST effect model confirmed the high risks of cypermethrin, alpha-cypermethrin and chlorpyrifos for insects and macro- and micro-crustaceans, which were previously derived by the RQ-based risk assessment approach. The PERPEST model also indicated the indirect effects
of these pesticides on algae and macrophytes, community metabolism, rotifers and other macroinvertebrates. This chapter suggests that the mitigation of risk arising from spray drift may be achieved by the implementation of spray drift buffer or the avoidance of spray drift. We also suggest the adoption of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) practices to make the rice-prawn system in south-west Bangladesh more sustainable.

Chapter 3 presents the results of a chemical monitoring in surface water and sediment samples of north-west Bangladesh. The residues of the 10 most commonly used organophosphate insecticides in surface water and sediment samples were measured in that region. Like Chapter 2 of this thesis, the risk assessment of the concentrations of these 10 insecticides for fish, Daphnia and algae was started with a deterministic RQ method based on measured environmental concentrations (MECs) and the threshold concentrations derived from single species toxicity tests. The results showed high acute and/or chronic RQs (RQ > 1) in surface water and sediment for chlorpyrifos, diazinon, quinalphos, malathion and fenitrothion. The higher-tier PERPEST effect model also confirmed the risks of chlorpyrifos, diazinon, quinalphos and fenitrothion for aquatic insects, micro- and macro-crustaceans. This model also indicated the indirect effects of these pesticides on algae and macrophytes, community metabolism, rotifers and other macroinvertebrates.

Chapter 4 describes the fate and effects of imidacloprid on several structural and functional endpoints of freshwater ecosystems in Bangladesh as evaluated in freshwater outdoor microcosms. The safe threshold values (i.e. NOECs) of imidacloprid for the individual taxa, community and water quality variables were derived for (sub-)tropical Bangladesh. Single species toxicity tests were also performed using the two most responding species (e.g. Cloeon sp. and Diaptomus sp.) of the microcosm study. The sensitivity of several arthropod species to imidacloprid was much higher in sub-tropical country Bangladesh compared to their temperate counterparts.

Chapter 5 elucidates the acute toxicity of chlorpyrifos on the developmental stages of Banded Gourami (Trichogaster fasciata), which is a local freshwater fish species in Bangladesh. In this chapter, the effects of chlorpyrifos on the incubation period of embryo, hatching success, mortality of embryos and two-day old larvae of Banded Gourami are discussed. The 24-h LC50 of chlorpyrifos for embryo was calculated as 11.8 μg/L, while the 24-h and 48-h LC50 of chlorpyrifos for larvae were 21.7 μg/L and 5.5 μg/L, respectively. Several malformations of
larvae including irregular head and eye shape, lordosis, body arcuation, notochordal abnormality and caudal fin damage when exposed to 10 and 100 μg/L chlorpyrifos were also demonstrated.

Chapter 6 investigates the toxicity of chlorpyrifos on the mortality and the reproductive tissues of male and female Banded Gourami (Trichogaster fasciata) over a period of 75 days. The threshold values (NOECs) for male and female mortality, GSI, histopathological alterations of ovary and testis for different time interval were derived in this chapter. The results show that the long-term exposure to chlorpyrifos affect the reproductive tissues of Banded Gourami at exposure concentrations that cause mortality also. Hence, this chapter recommends future studies should evaluate effects at lower concentrations as even the lowest concentration of chlorpyrifos (1 5 μg/L) exerted effects.

In chapter 7 the major findings of different studies are discussed and after an overview of the conclusions, this thesis recommends: (1) to promote the suitable averting behaviour by farmers during pesticide application, (2) to conduct future experimental, monitoring and model validation studies nationwide, in order to better characterize the risks posed by pesticides for Bangladeshi aquatic ecosystems, (3) to improve the technical facilities (i.e. analytical verification) for future laboratory studies, (4) to reduce the pesticide use based on the recommended dosage by agricultural extension officers, and (5) to seek alternatives of pesticide use through the adoption of integrated pest management (IPM) practices to avoid the risks posed by pesticides.
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