

Effect of iso-energetic exchange of dietary fat and starch on growth performance and body composition of broilers; Experiment 1

T. Veldkamp, T. Schamp, J. van Harn, R. Dekker, M. Sosef, A.J.M. Jansman



Effect of iso-energetic exchange of dietary fat and starch on growth performance and body composition of broilers

Experiment 1

T. Veldkamp¹, T. Schamp², J. van Harn¹, R. Dekker¹, M. Sosef¹, A.J.M. Jansman¹

¹ Wageningen UR Livestock Research, Wageningen, The Netherlands

² ForFarmers, Lochem, The Netherlands

This research was conducted by Wageningen UR Livestock Research, within the framework of the public private partnership "Feed4Foodure" and partially funded by the Ministry of Economic Affairs (Policy Support Research; project number BO-31.03-005-001)

Wageningen UR Livestock Research Wageningen, November 2017

Livestock Research Report 1061



Veldkamp, T., T. Schamp, J. van Harn, R. Dekker, A.J.M. Jansman, 2017. *Effect of iso-energetic exchange of dietary fat and starch on growth performance and body composition of broilers – Experiment 1.* Wageningen, Wageningen Livestock Research, Livestock Research Report 1061.

Samenvatting

De concentraties eiwit/aminozuren, vet en koolhydraten en hun ratio's kunnen het post-absorptieve energie-metabolisme en de aanzet van energie en eiwit in het lichaam beïnvloeden. In een 2x2 factorieel experiment zijn de effecten van twee ruw eiwit (hoog eiwit (HP) vs. laag eiwit (LP) concentraties; 200/190 vs. 170/160 g/kg in de groei- en eindfase) en twee vet/zetmeel concentraties; (hoog vet (HF); vet en zetmeel respectievelijk 105 en 340 g/kg) en laag vet (LF); vet en zetmeel respectievelijk 65 en 420 g/kg) op productieparameters en lichaamssamenstelling van Ross 308 vleeskuikens onderzocht in de periode van 9 tot 35 dagen leeftijd. Geconcludeerd kan worden dat de energiebron en het eiwitgehalte in iso-energetische voeders, gebalanceerd voor de eerst limiterende essentiële aminozuren, invloed hebben op groeiparameters en lichaamssamenstelling van vleeskuikens.

Summary

Dietary factors such as the concentrations of protein/amino acids, fat, and starch + sugar and their ratio, may affect the post-absorptive metabolism of energy and protein and energy deposition in the body. In a 2x2 factorial design, the effects of two dietary crude protein (high protein (HP) vs. low protein (LP) concentrations; 200/190 vs. 170/160 g/kg in grower and finisher phase) and two dietary fat/starch concentrations; (high fat (HF); fat and starch 105 and 340 g/kg, respectively) and (low fat (LF); fat and starch 65 and 420 g/kg, respectively) on growth performance and body composition of Ross 308 broilers were studied (9 to 35 d). From this experiment it can be concluded that dietary energy source and protein level in iso-energetic diets, balanced for first limiting essential amino acids, influence growth performance and body composition of broilers.

This report can be downloaded for free at https://doi.org/10.18174/429934 or at www.wur.nl/ livestock-research (under Wageningen Livestock Research publications).

© 2017 Wageningen Livestock Research, P.O. Box 338, 6700 AH Wageningen, The Netherlands, T +31 (0)317 48 39 53, E info.livestockresearch@wur.nl, www.wageningenUR.nl/en/livestockresearch.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced and/or made public, whether by print, photocopy, microfilm or any other means, without the prior permission of the publisher or author.



The ISO 9001 certification by DNV underscores our quality level. All our research commissions are in line with the Terms and Conditions of the Animal Sciences Group. These are filed with the District Court of Zwolle.

Livestock Research Report 1061

Table of contents

	Forewo	rd	5
	Summa	ry	7
1	Introdu	iction	9
	1.1 0	bjectives	10
2	Materia	I and Methods	11
		xperimental animals	11
	2.2 Ex	xperimental treatments and design	11
	2.3 Ex	xperimental diets and feeding	11
	2.4 H	ousing and management	15
	2.5 0	bservations during the study	15
	2.6 St	tatistics	16
3	Results	and Discussion	17
	3.1 G	rowth performance	18
	3.2 Bo	ody composition	20
	3.3 N	utrient digestion	25
	3.4 BI	lood metabolites and hormones	26
4	Conclus	sions	28
5	Referen	nces	29
	Append	ix 1 Feed and nutrient composition of the starter diet (0-9 days of age)	31
	Append	ix 2 Growth performance of broilers from 9 to 18 days of age	32
	Append	ix 3 Growth performance of broilers from 18 to 28 days of age	33
	Append	ix 4 Growth performance of broilers from 28 to 35 days of age	34

Foreword

Feed4Foodure is a public-private partnership between the Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs, a consortium of various organizations within the animal production chain and Wageningen Livestock Research. Feed4Foodure aims to contribute to sustainable and healthy livestock farming in the Netherlands, simultaneously strengthening its competitive position on the global market. The Feed4Foodure program line "More-with-Less by efficient nutrient use", aims to reduce the footprint of the Dutch livestock sector in the field of phosphate, nitrate, copper, zinc, ammonia and greenhouse gases. New nutritional models and measurement techniques will help to improve efficient use of nutrients in livestock farming.

The current report describes the first experiment in a series of three experiments that were conducted to investigate the effect of iso-energetic exchange of dietary fat and starch on growth performance and body composition of broilers. For the current study, scientists of Wageningen Livestock Research worked together with representatives from the consortium and the authors thank the industry partners of the project team for their worthwhile input.

Dr. Teun Veldkamp, project leader



Summary

Macro-nutrients such as the concentrations of protein/amino acids, fat, and starch + sugars and their ratio, may affect the post-absorptive metabolism of energy and protein and energy deposition in broilers. In a 2x2 factorial design, the effects of two dietary crude protein (high protein (HP) vs. low protein (LP) concentrations; 200/190 vs. 170/160 g/kg in grower and finisher phase) and two dietary fat/starch concentrations; (high fat (HF); fat and starch 105 and 340 g/kg, respectively) and (low fat (LF); fat and starch 65 and 420 g/kg, respectively) on growth performance and body composition of Ross 308 broilers were studied (9 to 35 d). Concentrations of apparent faecal digestible essential amino acids were similar in HP and LP diets. Feed intake of broilers fed HP diets tended (P=0.06) to be lower than in broilers fed LP diets. Body weight gain (BWG) of broilers fed HP diets was significantly higher than BWG of broilers fed LP diets (P<0.001) resulting in a significantly lower feed conversion ratio (FCR) of broilers fed HP diets (P<0.001). Exchange of dietary fat by starch resulted in a significantly lower FI and FCR, while BWG was not affected. The FCR was improved by substituting dietary fat by starch, the effect being more pronounced in LP than in HP diets (P=0.012). On d 35, the body fat content of birds fed LF diets was higher than in birds fed the HF diets (P=0.03). Obtained differences in nutrient deposition can be due to differences in postabsorptive metabolism and retention. Additional measurements on metabolites and hormones in the blood samples were conducted as an extra tool to explain observed differences in post-absorptive metabolism. Concentrations of glucose, insulin, non-esterified (NEFA) or 'free fatty acids, triglycerides, triiodothyronine (T3) and thyroxine (T4) were measured in blood of broilers at 35 days of age. Triglyceride concentration in the blood of broilers fed LP diets was significantly higher than in broilers fed HP diets most likely caused by increased hepatic lipogenesis and leading to an increased fat deposition. Uric acid concentrations in broilers fed HP diets was significantly higher than in broilers fed LP diets and uric acid levels in blood of broilers fed HF diets was significantly higher than of broilers fed LF diets. As a reliable biomarker for protein degradation it can be stated that protein degradation was higher in birds fed HP diets and HF diets as compared to birds fed LP diets and LF diets, respectively. Non-esterified fatty acids levels as a biomarker for lipolysis in broilers fed HF diets were significantly higher than in broilers fed LF diets. Effects of exchange of dietary fat by starch on free fatty acid concentrations are not consistent in literature. T4 levels in broilers fed HF diets was significantly higher than in broilers fed LF diets. This effect could not be confirmed by results from literature. Glucose, insulin and T3 concentrations in blood of broilers were not affected by dietary treatments in this experiment. No significant protein x fat interaction effects of dietary treatments on blood metabolites and hormones have been observed in this experiment.

1 Introduction

The subproject Feed4Foodure MMM2A quantifies the effect of nutritional interventions on the energy losses in pigs and poultry (broilers as well as layers) husbandry, and on the methane losses in ruminant nutrition. The current report describes the first in a series of three experiments that were conducted to investigate the effect of iso-energetic exchange of dietary fat and starch on the growth performance and body composition of broilers. Energy losses appear as a result of an indigestible part of dietary energy and excretion in the excreta, the synthesis and losses of endogenous protein that is excreted in the digestive tract and excreted in the excreta, energy use for different maintenance processes and subsequently reveal as heat losses and post-absorptive energy metabolism (inefficient use of energy for protein and fat deposition in the body). Poultry breeders are selecting broilers for body weight gain and breast muscle. The mean daily body weight gain has increased by 5 g and the breast meat yield has increased by 0.5% in the last decade. This selection of animals may affect the protein and fat metabolism considerably. Energy deposition is the resultant of dietary energy intake and the efficiency of utilization of energy for maintenance and for deposition of protein and fat in the body. Besides genetic factors also exogenic factors, such as climate and nutrition (feed intake and diet composition, affect the energy partitioning in the body. Literature is available on the effect of ratio of dietary macro-nutrients (protein, fat and starch + sugar) on growth performance and body composition of broilers ((Jackson et al., 1982; Laurin et al., 1985; MacLeod, 1990, 1992; Nieto et al., 1997 Collin et al., 2003; Swennen et al., 2005, 2007). In general, diets containing high concentrations of metabolisable energy or a high energy-protein ratio result in a higher fat deposition (Swennen et al., 2007). Dietary protein concentration above the protein/amino acid requirement will result in broilers with a lower body fat content and with a lower efficiency because degradation and excretion of the surplus amino acids are energy demanding processes. A reduction of the dietary protein concentration to suboptimal levels, whereby the supply of essential amino acids and/or the total of provided nitrogen are below requirement, will result in a higher fat deposition (Buyse et al., 1992). Many studies in literature were focusing on the effect of dietary protein concentration and less attention was paid on the effect of dietary fat and carbohydrate concentrations on growth performance and deposition of protein and fat in the body. Eits (2004) concluded that protein deposition increased as dietary protein concentration was increased. In case the dietary protein intake was restricted, the protein deposition in the body could not be increased by the supply of extra dietary energy. Body weight gain was significantly lower and fat deposition was significantly higher in broilers fed iso-energetic diets (low in fat or low in carbohydrate concentration) with low crude protein (12.6%) than in broilers fed diets with standard crude protein concentration (19.7%). Effects of starch + sugars in the diet on insulin levels in the blood and stimulation of protein synthesis and limitation of protein degradation have been described in literature on humans and pigs. Starch + sugar may have a protein-sparing effect in monogastrics (Fuller et al., 1977) and a higher inclusion level of starch + sugar in the diet may increase the nitrogen retention. Different studies in human suggest that the hormones glucagon and insulin play an import role; insulin decreases protein degradation and stimulates protein synthesis (Bennet et al., 1990; Biolo et al., 1995), while glucagon stimulates amino acid catabolism (Mallette et al., 1969; Flakoll et al., 1994). Rabinowitz et al. (1966) showed that when proteins were ingested alone, there was a large increase in plasma glucagon and a small elevated plasma insulin level. But, when proteins and carbohydrates were ingested together, insulin release was enhanced (Nuttall et al., 1984). In literature related to human and pigs it is clear that there is an effect of dietary starch + sugars intake on insulin levels, which resulted in the stimulation of protein synthesis and restriction of protein degradation (Calbet et al., 2002; Camp et al., 2003). Camp et al. (2003) found a positive effect of higher inclusion levels of sucrose on body weight gain and feed efficiency in growing pigs. In rats, Fulks et al. (1975) found that glucose by itself inhibited protein degradation but in the absence of insulin, glucose had no significant effect on protein. Furthermore, Houston and O' Neill (1991) showed that insulin stimulated the secretion of IGF-I by chicken hepatocytes and acts synergistically with growth hormone (GH) to increase IGF-I release. The GH secretion in poultry stimulates production and secretion of IGF 1 from the liver, which is the major source of circulating IGF 1 (Buyse et al., 2000). IGF 1 and FFA's exert a negative feedback to the hypothalamic– pituitary axis to suppress GH secretion (Buyse et al., 2000). Growth hormone (GH) has important and direct effects on the liver and adipose tissue, whereas effects on skeletal muscle are mostly mediated by IGF 1 (Scanes, 2009). Malheiros *et al.* (2003) showed that chickens on a low protein diet had decreased plasma IGF-I level. Increased plasma FFA levels were measured in broilers on a low fat (high carbohydrate) diet compared to broilers on a high fat (low carbohydrate) diet (Malheiros *et al.*, 2003). These findings contradicts with those of Tanaka *et al.* (1983), who showed that adding fat to a diet resulted in increased FFA levels. However, the diets used by Malheiros *et al.* (2003) were iso-energetically formulated. Malheiros et al. (2003) showed that a low protein diet increased fat deposition in broilers compared to chickens with a normal protein diet. The broilers fed a low protein diet had higher plasma triglyceride (TG) levels, which is also reported in other studies (Tanaka et al., 1983; Rosebrough et al., 1996; Collin et al., 2003; Swennen et al., 2005, 2007). Triglycerides are the main product of the de novo hepatic lipogenesis in the chicken.

It is therefore expected that a higher concentration of dietary starch + sugar may have a positive effect on growth performance and processing yields as higher concentrations of dietary starch + sugar will affect glucose and insulin levels in the blood. In poultry, no consistent effects were reported by exchange of dietary fat as energy source by starch + sugar. So from literature it can be concluded that exchange of dietary fat by starch + sugars affects insulin and glucose levels in the blood and nutrient metabolism in animals.

1.1 Objectives

The objective of the experiment was to study the effect of iso-energetic exchange of dietary fat and starch on growth performance, body composition, endocrine function and the intermediary metabolism of broilers.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Experimental animals

The experiment was conducted with 1008 Ross 308 broilers. Broilers were obtained from the commercial hatchery Probroed & Sloot, Meppel, The Netherlands. Males and females were housed separately. Day-old broilers were weighed individually and sorted in weight classes. Subsequently the day-old broilers were placed in floor pens. Mean weight and variability in body weight per pen was equal in all pens. The maximum allowed difference between mean weight per pen and overall mean weight was 3%. Broilers with visual deformities were not included in the experiment. The number of broilers was 14 broilers per pen. Day-old broilers were vaccinated against IB in the hatchery and NCD (spray vaccination) at 14 days of age at the experimental facility.

2.2 Experimental treatments and design

A two level factorial experiment was conducted in which three factors were investigated at only two levels. The three factors were: dietary crude protein concentration, dietary fat/starch concentration and gender. The four experimental diets were randomly assigned within blocks of four pens situated next to each other (per gender 9 pens per diet) from 9 days of age.

The two dietary crude protein concentrations were: high dietary protein (HP) vs. low dietary protein (LP) concentrations; 200/190 vs. 170/160 g/kg in the grower and finisher phase, respectively. The two dietary fat/starch concentrations were: high dietary fat (HF) concentrations (dietary fat and starch 105 and 340 g/kg, respectively) and low dietary fat (LF) concentrations (dietary fat and starch 65 and 420 g/kg, respectively). Both experimental dietary factors (dietary crude protein and fat/starch concentration) were studied per gender. The experimental factors are summarized in Table 1.

Treatment-	Protein concentration		Fat con	centration	Starch concentration		
code ¹	(g/kg)		(ç	J∕kg)	(g/kg)		
	9-28 d of age	28-35 d of age	9-28 d of age	28-35 d of age	9-28 d of age	28-35 d of age	
HP-HF	200	190	105	105	380	380	
HP-LF	200	190	65	65	460	460	
LP-HF	170	160	105	105	380	380	
LP-LF	170	160	65	65	460	460	

 1 HP (high protein), LP (low protein), HF (high fat), LF (low fat)

2.3 Experimental diets and feeding

A commercial starter diet was provided to the broilers during the starter phase from 0 to 9 d of age. The feed composition of the starter diet is presented in Appendix 1. The starter diet was fed as crumbs (2 mm) and the grower and finisher diets as pellet (3 mm). The grower and finisher diet were fed in the periods from 9 to 28 d of age and 28 to 35 d of age, respectively. The two dietary crude protein concentrations in the experimental diets in the grower and finisher phase were: high dietary protein (HP) vs. low dietary protein (LP) concentrations; 200/190 vs. 170/160 g/kg in the grower and finisher phase, respectively). The low dietary fat/starch concentrations were: high dietary fat (HF) concentrations (dietary fat and starch 105 and 340 g/kg, respectively) and low dietary fat (LF) contents (dietary fat and starch 65 and 420 g/kg, respectively). Assumptions for the feed formulation were a good pellet quality in all experimental diets to avoid differences in feed

intake due to pellet quality in broilers fed different experimental diets. Essential amino acids lysine, methionine, threonine, valine, arginine, isoleucine and tryptophan were supplemented to meet the apparent fecal digestible amino acid requirements (CVB, 2012). The restricted number of proteinrich feed ingredients (soybean meal, potato protein and corn gluten meal) should be decreased proportionally to create the diets with low dietary protein concentrations in order to avoid large differences in inclusion levels of feed ingredients between high (HP) and low (LP) protein diets. In the feed formulation it was pursued to create a difference of 3% in crude protein concentration between HP and LP diets. In the feed formulation it was pursued further to create a difference of 4% in crude fat concentration and a difference of 8% in starch concentration between high fat (HF) and low fat (LF) diets. All grower and finisher experimental diets were formulated to be iso-energetic (2925 kcal ME/kg). Feed ingredients with a high crude fiber and/or fat concentration will be exchanged by starch or feed ingredients rich in starch in order to realize iso-energetic diets. Experimental diets were also formulated to have an identical electrolyte balance (Na+K-Cl). Cellulose (Arbocel®) was used as an inert filler. In the finisher diet an inert marker (TiO₂) was included to determine fecal digestibility of nutrients in the finisher diet. Feed and nutrient composition of the grower and finisher experimental diets are presented in Table 2a and 2b, respectively.

Table 2aIngredient and nutrient composition of experimental grower diets in g/kg unless statedotherwise (9-28 d of age)

	Unit/k HP-HF ¹ q		HP-LF ¹		LP-HF ¹		LP-LF ¹	
eed ingredient	y							
Corn	287.2		291.2		330.8		338.0	
Vheat	250.0		250.0		250.0		250.0	
Vheat middlings	63.0		11.0		88.2		31.0	
Cellulose (Arbocel [®])	31.5		5.5		44.1		15.5	
Soybean meal	198.0		198.0		122.8		135.8	
otato protein ASH<10	20.3		24.1		12.3		13.6	
Corn gluten meal	40.6		48.2		24.6		27.2	
Corn starch	0.0		100.0		0.0		100.0	
Soy oil	73.5		34.7		76.6		37.6	
Premix (corn) ²	5.0		5.0		5.0		5.0	
imestone fine	11.8		11.8		12.2		12.0	
Iono-Calcium Phosphate	10.0		10.0		10.5		11.0	
alt	1.8		1.8		0.9		0.5	
Sodium bicarbonate	2.7		2.7		3.9		3.9	
ī02	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
-Lysine HCl	2.7		2.6		5.5		5.3	
DL-Methionine	1.5		1.5		2.7		2.7	
-Threonine	0.4		0.2		1.9		1.8	
-Valine	0.0		0.2		1.9		1.8	
-Arginine	0.0		0.3		2.6		2.6	
-Isoleucine	0.0		0.0		1.3		1.1	
-Tryptophan	0.0		0.0		0.1		0.1	
otassium carbonate	0.0		1.5		2.4		3.6	
lutrient	Calc.	Ana.	Calc.	Ana.	Calc.	Ana.	Calc.	Ana.
M	879	879	872	878	875	877	868	876
SH	50	49	48	48	48	48	47	47
P	200	205	200	205	170	172	170	173
FATh	105	106	65	66	105	105	65	66
Cfib	60	52	30	27	73	61	40	33
TARCHam	340	301	418	398	361	327	439	411
SUG	33		30		29		27	
IDF	97		80		103		85	
	37		27		32		27	
NDF								
Ca	7.0	7.6	7.0	7.6	7.1	7.7	7.1	7.7
)	5.9	5.9	5.4	5.5	5.9	5.8	5.5	5.6
P	3.2		3.1		3.2		3.2	
Ca:oP	2.2		2.2		2.2		2.2	
1g	1.4		1.2		1.3		1.2	
(7.1	7.7	7.0	7.6	7.0	7.4	7.0	7.6
la	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.5	1.6
	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.4
B, meq	191	2.5	189	2.5	186	2.5	188	2.1
1Ebroiler, MJ	191		109		12.2		12.2	
LYS	9.9		9.9		9.9		9.9	
MET	4.5		4.5		5.0		5.0	
CYS	2.8		2.8		2.3		2.3	
MET+CYS	7.3		7.3		7.2		7.3	
VAL	8.1		8.2		8.0		7.9	
IARG	10.4		10.4		10.4		10.4	
ILE	7.2		7.3		6.6		6.6	
ITHR	6.5		6.4		6.4		6.5	
TRP	1.9		1.9		1.6		1.6	
GLY	6.4		6.3		5.0		5.0	
SER	8.7		8.8		6.7		6.8	
LEU	16.0		16.6		12.1		12.4	
PHE								
	9.0		9.2		6.8		7.0	
TYR	6.6		6.8		4.9		5.0	
PHE+TYR	15.6		16.0		11.7		12.0	
HIS	4.3		4.3		3.4		3.4	
ALA	8.6		8.8		6.6		6.7	
IASP	15.6		15.8		11.2		11.6	
	24.0		34.8		28.7		28.7	
IGLU	34.9		54.0		20.7		20.7	

¹ HP (high protein), LP (low protein), HF (high fat), LF (low fat)

² Composition of premix: 12,000 IE retinol, 2,400 IE cholecalciferol, 50 mg dl-a-tocopherol, 1.5 mg menadione, 2.0 mg thiamine, 7.5 mg riboflavin, 3.5 mg pyridoxine-HCl, 20 µg cyanocobalamine, 35 mg niacin, 12 mg D-pantothenic acid, 460 mg choline chloride, 1.0 mg folic acid, 0.2 mg biotin, 80 mg iron (265 mg FeSO4.H2O), 12 mg copper (48 mg CuSO4.5H2O), 85 mg manganese 85 mg (140 mg MnO), 60 mg zinc (165 mg ZnSO4.H2O), 0.4 mg cobalt (2 mg CoSO4.7H2O), 0.8 mg iodine (1.2 mg KJ), 0.15 mg selenium (0,33 mg Na₂SeO₃), 125 mg anti-oxidant Oxytrap PXN.

Table 2b Ingredient and nutrient composition of experimental finisher diets in g/kg unless stated otherwise (28-35 d of age)

	Unit/kg HP-HF ¹		HP-LF ¹		LP-HF ¹		LP-LF ¹	
eed ingredient								
Corn	304.9		310.0		346.7		349.8	
Vheat	250.0		250.0		250.0		250.0	
Sunflower meal CFib > 240	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Vheat middlings	65.0		12.0		94.0		40.0	
Cellulose (Arbocel [®])	32.5		6.0		47.0		20.0	
Soybean meal	190.0		190.0		102.8		115.0	
otato protein ASH<10	16.2		19.8		10.3		11.5	
Corn gluten meal	32.4		39.6		20.6		23.0	
Corn starch	0.0		100.0		0.0		100.0	
Soy oil	74.0		35.2		77.3		38.3	
Premix (corn) ²	5.0		5.0		5.0		5.0	
imestone fine	11.0		11.0		11.4		11.3	
Iono-Calcium Phosphate	8.0		8.5		8.5		9.0	
alt	1.8		1.8		0.8		0.9	
odium bicarbonate	2.3		2.3		3.7		3.6	
i02	2.5		2.5		2.5		2.5	
-Lysine HCl	2.6		2.6		5.6		5.4	
L-Methionine	1.5		1.4		2.6		2.6	
-Threonine	0.4		0.3		2.0		1.9	
-Valine	0.0		0.0		1.9		1.9	
-Arginine	0.1		0.3		2.7		2.8	
-Isoleucine	0.0		0.0		1.4		1.3	
-Tryptophan	0.0		0.0		0.2		0.2	
lutrient	Calc.	Ana.	Calc.	Ana.	Calc.	Ana.	Calc.	Ana.
DM	878	876	871	875	874	878	867	872
SH	49	50	48	48	47	49	46	47
:Р	190	184	190	187	160	156	160	154
FATh	105	114	65	72	105	112	65	73
fib	61	51	31	27	75	63	43	36
TARCHam	348	333	426	427	368	364	447	454
UG	33		30		28		26	
IDF	98		81		105		87	
DF	32		27		32		27	
Ca	6.4	6.7	6.4	6.9	6.4	6.9	6.4	6.9
	5.4	5.5	5.1	5.1	5.4	5.4	5.0	5.0
P	2.8	5.5	2.8	5.1	2.8	5.1	2.8	5.0
Ca:oP	2.3		2.3		2.3		2.3	
1g	1.4		1.2		1.3		1.1	
(7.0	6.9	7.1	6.9	7.0	6.9	7.0	6.8
la	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.6
	2.0	2.6	2.0	2.4	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.4
B, meg	184	2.0	185	2.7	184	2.5	183	2.4
Eb, Meq 1Ebroiler MJ	184		185		184		183	
ILYS	9.4		9.4		9.4		9.4	
MET	4.2		4.3		4.7		4.8	
CYS	2.7		2.6		2.2		2.2	
MET+CYS	6.9		6.9		6.9		6.9	
VAL	7.6		7.7		7.5		7.6	
ARG	9.9		9.9		9.9		9.9	
ILE	6.8		6.9		6.2		6.2	
THR TRP	6.2		6.1		6.2		6.2	
	1.8		1.8		1.5		1.5	
GLY	6.1		6.0		4.7		4.6	
SER	8.2		8.3		6.2		6.3	
LEU	14.8		15.4		11.1		11.4	
PHE	8.4		8.6		6.2		6.4	
TYR	6.2		6.3		4.5		4.6	
PHE+TYR	14.6		14.9		10.7		11.0	
HIS	4.2		4.1		3.1		3.1	
ALA	8.0		8.2		6.0		6.1	
ASP	14.7		14.8		10.0		10.4	
IGLU	33.6		33.4		27.2		27.2	
IPRO	11.3		11.4		9.3		9.3	

 1 HP (high protein), LP (low protein), HF (high fat), LF (low fat)

² Composition of premix: 12,000 IE retinol, 2,400 IE cholecalciferol, 50 mg dl-a-tocopherol, 1.5 mg menadione, 2.0 mg thiamine, 7.5 mg riboflavin, 3.5 mg pyridoxine-HCl, 20 μg cyanocobalamine, 35 mg niacin, 12 mg D-pantothenic acid, 460 mg choline chloride, 1.0 mg folic acid, 0.2 mg biotin, 80 mg iron (265 mg FeSO4.H2O), 12 mg copper (48 mg CuSO4.5H2O), 85 mg manganese 85 mg (140 mg MnO), 60 mg zinc (165 mg ZnSO4.H2O), 0.4 mg cobalt (2 mg CoSO4.7H2O), 0.8 mg iodine (1.2 mg KJ), 0.15 mg selenium (0,33 mg Na2SeO₃), 125 mg anti-oxidant Oxytrap PXN.

2.4 Housing and management

In total, 1008 Ross 308 broilers (males and females) were used in the study and were placed in floor pens. A natural ventilated broiler house was used in which 6 rows of 12 floor pens (1.00 x 0.75 m) were installed. In each floor pen 14 day-old broilers were placed. The housing management, feeding and husbandry conditions are regarded as representative for a modern commercial operation in Europe. Day-old broilers were distributed among the 72 floor pens bedded with wood shavings (2 kg/m2). The maximum allowed difference between mean weight per pen and overall mean weight will be 3%. Water and feed was ad libitum available for the broilers. The feeding bins were constructed in a way that feed spillage was avoided. One day prior to placement of the broilers the rooms were pre-heated to 34°C. Temperature was decreased gradually to 22°C at 25 days of age. Lighting schedule was 0 to 4 days: 23 L(light) - 1 D(dark); 5 to 9 days: 20 L - 4 D; 10 to 30 days: 18 L - 6 D; 30 to 34 days: 20 L - 4 D and 35 days: 23 L - 1 D. Visual observation of the birds was done twice per day to check animal health. Day-old broilers were vaccinated against IB (Infectious Bronchitis) at the hatchery and at 14 days of age broilers were vaccinated against NCD (NewCastle Disease).

2.5 Observations during the study

- Prior to feed formulation, main feed ingredients were analyzed with NIR in order to formulate the experimental diets accurately according to calculations.
- Experimental diets were chemically analyzed in duplo for concentration of dry matter, crude protein (N x 6.25), crude fat, crude fiber, crude ash, starch and sugar. Minerals calcium, phosphorus, sodium, chloride and potassium were also chemically analyzed in duplo.
- Feed intake was determined per pen at 9, 18, 28 and 35 days of age.
- Body weight of broilers was determined per pen by group weighing at 9, 18, 28 and 35 days of age.
- Two broilers per pen with a body weight close to the mean body weight per pen were selected at 9, 18, 28 and 35 days of age. The selected broilers were anaesthetized and subsequently euthanized by an intravenous injection of T61 (Intervet Int.). Subsequently, the chest cavity and the abdomen were opened and the gastro-intestinal tract was ligated and removed from the bird. The content of the gastro-intestinal tract was removed and the empty gastro-intestinal tract was put together with the carcass. The two carcasses with empty gastro-intestinal tract were frozen (-20°C) per pen and were considered as one pooled sample per pen. The carcasses and gastro-intestinal tract samples were autoclaved and homogenized in a mixer and a sample was taken to analyze body composition: dry matter (ANAL-10066 ISO 1442), crude protein (ANAL-10005 NEN-ISO 937), crude fat (ANAL-10112 ISO 1443) and crude ash (ANAL-10028 NEN-ISO 936).
- Blood was sampled from six broilers per pen at 35 days of age. Blood samples were centrifuged and blood plasma was sampled in plasma-EDTA tubes. Plasma-EDTA tubes (2 x 2 ml) were stored frozen at -80°C and were subsequently chemically analyzed for glucose, insulin, nonesterified fatty acids, uric acid, triglyceride, and the thyroid hormones T3 and T4 to determine metabolite and hormone levels related to energy and nutrient metabolism.
- Excreta from the colon was collected in six anaesthetized and euthanized broilers per pen at 35 days of age for determination of nutrient digestibility. These were the same six broilers as used for blood collection. Digestibility was determined in samples of male broilers for dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP) corrected for uric acid N, crude fat (FAT) and starch + sugars.

2.6 Statistics

Response parameters were statistically analysed by ANOVA using GenStat statistical software (16th edition, VSN International Ltd., Hemel Hempstead, UK), using series of four pens situated next to each other as block factor, dietary protein concentration, dietary fat/starch concentration and the interaction between dietary protein concentration and dietary fat/starch concentration as explanatory variables according to the statistical model:

 $Y = \mu + block_i + gender_j + block x gender_j + dietary protein concentration_k + dietary fat/starch concentration_i + (dietary protein concentration x dietary fat/starch concentration)_{kl} + e_{ijkl}$

Where:

Y µ block (i=1, 18)	=Response parameter =General mean =Block (four pens situated next to each other in a row)
 (i=118) gender block x gender dietary protein concentration dietary fat/starch concentration dietary protein concentration x dietary fat/starch concentration 	 =Gender (j=1,2) =Effect of block_i x gender_j =Effect of dietary protein concentration (k=1,2) =Effect of dietary fat/starch concentration (l=1,2) =Interaction effect dietary protein concentration_k x dietary fat/starch concentration_l =Error term

Mortality data were log-transformed prior to statistical analysis.

The P-value of the treatment effect and the LSD (least significant difference (P=0.05)) were provided per response parameter. Treatment effects with a P-value ≤ 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

3 Results and Discussion

The experiment was conducted according to the protocol without major problems or relevant deviations. Day-old broilers arrived healthy. The experimental period started at 9 days of age and mean body weight of the female and male broilers was 239 g, which was slightly below the performance standards of Aviagen (breeder organization of brand Ross 308) (Aviagen, 2014). Overall, mortality during the experimental period was 2.6% and no specific cause of mortality was observed.

3.1 Growth performance

Growth performance results for the growth periods 9 to 18, 18 to 28 and 28 to 35 d of age are reported in Appendix 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Growth performance results over the period of 0 to 35 days of age are presented in Table 3.

				-	F actor	
		BW 35d	BW gain	FCR	Feed intake	Mortality
					Intake	
		g	g∕d		g∕d	%
Protein						
High		2264ª	63.5	1.57 ^b	99.5	4.4ª
Low		2196 ^b	61.5 ^b	1.65ª	101.3	0.8 ^b
Fat						
High		2233	62.6	1.63ª	101.6	2.5
Low		2227	62.4	1.59 ^b	99.2	2.7
Gender						
Male		2347ª	65.9ª	1.60	105.5	2.3
Female		2112 ^b	59.2 ^b	1.61	95.3	2.9
Protein	Fat					
High	High	2277	63.9	1.58 ^c	100.6	4.1
High	Low	2251	63.1	1.56 ^c	98.5	4.7
Low	High	2189	61.4	1.67ª	102.6	1.0
Low	Low	2202	61.7	1.62 ^b	99.9	0.7
Protein	Gender					
High	Male	2394	67.2	1.56	105.0	4.2
High	Female	2134	59.8	1.57	94.1	4.6
Low	Male	2301	64.5	1.64	106.0	0.4
Low	Female	2091	58.6	1.65	96.6	1.3
	Gende					
Fat	r Mala	2240		1.60	106.6	1.0
High High	Male Female	2348 2118	65.9 59.3	1.62 1.63	106.6 96.7	1.9 3.2
Low	Male	2347	65.9	1.59	104.4	2.7
Low	Female	2106	59.0	1.59	94.0	2.7
Protein	Fat Gender					
High	High Male	2397	67.3	1.57	105.3	3.0
High	High Female	2156	60.4	1.59	95.9	5.3
High	Low Male Low Female	2391 2111	67.1 59.1	1.56 1.56	104.6 92.3	5.4 3.9
High Low	Low Female High Male	2299	59.1 64.5	1.56	92.3 107.8	0.8
Low	High Female	2079	58.2	1.67	97.5	1.1
Low	Low Male	2303	64.4	1.61	104.2	0.0
Low	Low Female	2102	58.9	1.63	95.7	1.4
Divoluce						
P-values Protein		0.001	0.001	<0.001	0.060	0.002
Fat		0.760	0.760	<0.001 <0.001	0.000 0.010	0.712
Gender		<0.001	<0.001	0.411	0.001	0.521
Protein x Fat		0.342	0.342	0.012	0.757	0.976
Protein x Gender		0.213	0.213	0.747	0.435	0.982
Fat x Gender		0.798	0.798	0.721	0.767	0.651
Protein x Fat x		0.480	0.480	0.293	0.209	0.328
Gender						

Table 3 Growth performance of broilers over the period 0 to 35 d of age

No gender by dietary treatment interactions have been observed in the experiment (Table 3). Feed intake of the birds fed HP diets tended (P=0.06) to be lower than feed intake of broilers fed LP diets. Body weight gain of broilers fed HP diets was significantly higher than body weight gain of broilers fed LP diets (63.5 vs. 61.5 g; P=0.001). Feed conversion ratio of birds fed HP diets was significantly lower than feed conversion ratio of broilers fed LP diets (1.57 vs. 1.65; P<0.001). Despite inclusion of free essential amino acids in LP diets in order to meet (CVB, 2012) apparent faecal digestible amino acid requirement values, growth performance of birds fed LP diets was lower than on HP diets. The concentration of non-essential amino acids in LP diets related to the 30 g/kg lower crude protein concentration may have been limiting body weight gain in LP diets compared to HP diets.

Feed intake of birds fed HF diets was significantly higher than feed intake of birds fed LF diets (101.6 vs. 99.2 g/d; P=0.01). Body weight gain of broilers was not affected by the dietary energy source. Feed conversion ratio of broilers fed HF diets was significantly higher than feed conversion ratio of broilers fed LF diets (1.63 vs. 1.59; P<0.001). This effect was more pronounced in LP diets than in HP diets (Protein x Fat interaction; P=0.012). It can be concluded that exchange of dietary fat by starch in LF diets resulted in a significantly lower feed intake while body weight gain was not affected. As a result feed conversion ratio was lower in birds fed LF diets. It is not clear why the positive effect of exchange of fat by starch on feed conversion was more pronounced in broilers fed LP diets than in broilers fed HP diets. In practice, an increasing trend has been observed to formulate diets with lower crude protein concentrations with supplementation of free amino acids. Results of this study implicate that it may be interesting to exchange fat by starch to a certain extent in low protein diets to improve feed efficiency. Mortality in broilers fed LP diets was significantly lower than in broilers fed HP diets (0.8 vs. 4.4%; P=0.002).

3.2 Body composition

Two broilers per pen with a body weight close to the mean body weight per pen were selected at 9, 18, 28 and 35 days of age to determine body composition for dry matter (DM), ash, crude protein (CP) and fat (Fat). The deposition of DM, Ash, CP and Fat in the periods from 9 to 18, 18 to 28 and 28 to 35 days was calculated. In Table 4 to 7 the chemical body composition of broilers at 9, 18, 28 and 35 d of age is presented.

			DM	Ash	СР	Fat
			g∕kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg
Protein High Low			270 270	23.2 23.0	154 154	93.1 93.3
Fat High Low			270 270	23.3 23.0	154 154	93.5 92.9
Gender Male Female			267 ^b 273ª	23.3 22.9	152 ^b 155ª	92.3 94.1
Protein High High Low Low	Fat High Low High Low		269 272 271 269	23.4 23.1 23.1 22.8	153 154 154 154	92.8 93.3 94.2 92.5
Protein High High Low Low	Gender Male Female Male Female		267 273 268 272	23.4 23.0 23.2 22.8	152 155 152 155	92.2 93.9 92.4 94.2
Fat High High Low Low	Gender Male Female Male Female		267 273 268 272	23.2ª 23.3ª 23.4ª 22.5 ^b	152 155 153 155	93.2 93.8 91.5 94.3
Protein High High High Low Low Low	Fat High Low Low High High Low Low	Gender Male Female Male Female Male Female Male Female	264 ^c 274 ^a 271 ^a 273 ^a 270 ^{ab} 272 ^a 265 ^{bc} 272 ^a	23.3 23.4 23.5 22.7 23.1 23.2 23.3 22.4	151 155 153 155 152 155 153 155	90.9 ^a 94.6 ^a 93.5 ^a 93.2 ^a 95.4 ^a 93.0 ^a 89.5 ^b 95.4 ^a
P-values Protein Fat Gender Protein x Fat Protein x Gender Fat x Gender Protein x Fat x Gender			0.648 0.878 0.008 <i>0.057</i> 0.556 0.495 0.010	0.221 0.105 <i>0.086</i> 0.956 0.985 0.010 0.854	0.950 0.205 < 0.001 0.311 0.889 0.342 0.379	0.808 0.628 0.247 0.332 0.969 0.352 0.011

Table 4	Chemical body composition (dry matter (DM), ash (Ash), crude protein (CP)
and crude fa	at (Fat)) at 9 d of age (g/kg body weight)

Until 9 days of age, broilers in all experimental groups received a similar commercial starter diet. No differences in chemical composition were observed between dietary treatments (Table 4). Dry matter and CP content in male broilers was significantly lower than in female broilers. Ash and Fat contents were not affected by gender.

	5 (5)	5 / .				
			DM	Ash	СР	Fat
			18 d	18 d	18 d	18 d
			g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg
Protein						
High			291 ^b	23.0 ^b	164ª	106 ^b
Low			297ª	23.4ª	162 ^b	114ª
Fat						
High			291 ^b	23.0	163	106 ^b
Low			297ª	23.3	162	113ª
• •						
Gender						
Male			289 ^b	23.2	162 ^b	107 ^b
Female			299ª	23.1	164ª	113ª
Protein	Fat					
High	High		289	23.0	164	103
			293			
High	Low			23.0	163	109
Low	High		293	23.1	162	109
Low	Low		301	23.7	161	118
Protein	Gender					
High	Male		286	22.9	163	102
High	Female		296	23.1	165	110
Low	Male		292	23.6	160	111
Low	Female		302	23.2	163	116
	- ·					
Fat	Gender					
High	Male		286	23.2	162	110
High	Female		296	22.9	164	117
Low	Male		292	23.3	161	103
Low	Female		302	23.4	164	109
Protein	Fat	Gender				
High	High	Male	284	23.1	163	100
					166	100
High	High	Female	294	22.9		
High	Low	Male	287	22.7	162	105
High	Low	Female	298	23.3	164	113
Low	High	Male	289	23.3	161	106
Low	High	Female	298	22.9	163	113
Low	Low	Male	296	23.9	160	115
Low	Low	Female	306	23.5	163	120
2011	LOW	remaie	500	2515	105	120
P-values						
			0.001	0.007	.0.001	0.004
Protein			0.001	0.027	< 0.001	0.001
Fat			0.004	0.085	0.226	0.003
Gender			<0.001	0.560	0.007	0.014
Protein x Fat			0.231	0.095	0.631	0.601
Protein x Gender			0.910	0.098	0.562	0.649
Fat x Gender			0.765	0.330	0.812	0.951
Protein x Fat x Gend	or		0.968	0.230	0.652	0.643
			0.000	0.200	0.052	0.045

Table 5Chemical body composition (dry matter (DM), ash (Ash), crude protein (CP) and
crude fat (Fat)) at 18 d of age (g/kg body weight)

At 18 days of age, differences in chemical composition were observed between dietary treatments (Table 5). Dry matter, Ash and Fat content in broilers fed HP diets was significantly lower than in broilers fed LP diets. Content of CP in broilers fed HP diets was significantly higher than in broilers fed LP diets. Dry matter and Fat content in broilers fed HF diets was lower than in broilers fed LF diets. Ash and CP content were not affected by dietary fat/starch concentration. Dry matter, CP and Fat content in male broilers was significantly lower than in female broilers. Ash content was not affected by gender.

		5 (5, 5	, ,			
			DM	Ash	CP	Fat
			28 d	28 d	28 d	28 d
			g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg
Protein						
High			308 ^b	24.0	178ª	112 ^b
Low			317ª	24.3	174 ^b	124ª
2011			517	21.5	1/1	121
Fat						
			200h	24.0	176	112 ^b
High			308 ^b	24.0	176	
Low			317ª	24.3	175	124ª
Gender					. - - h	
Male			307 ^b	24.2	175 ^b	112 ^b
Female			319ª	24.1	177ª	124ª
Protein	Fat					
High	High		304	23.9	178	105
High	Low		312	24.1	177	118
Low	High		313	24.1	175	118
Low	Low		322	24.5	174	131
Protein	Gende	<u>e</u> r				
High	Male		304°	24.1	177	107
High	Female	2	312 ^b	24.0	178	116
Low	Male	5	309 ^b	24.3	173	110
		-				
Low	Femal	e	325ª	24.2	175	131
F _+	Cond					
Fat	Gende	er	2050	24.2	175	1000
High	Male		305°	24.2	175	109 ^c
High	Femal	e	312 ^b	23.8	178	115 ^{bc}
Low	Male		308 ^{bc}	24.2	174	116 ^b
Low	Femal	e	325ª	24.4	176	133ª
Protein	Fat	Gender				
High	High	Male	303	24.4 ^{abc}	178	104
High	High	Female	305	23.5°	178	107
High	Low	Male	304	23.7 ^{bc}	176	111
High	Low	Female	319	24.4 ^{ab}	178	125
Low	High	Male	307	24.0 ^{abc}	173	114
Low	High	Female	319	24.1 ^{abc}	177	122
Low	Low	Male	312	24.6ª	173	121
Low	Low	Female	331	24.4 ^{ab}	174	141
Eow	LOW	remale	551	24.4	1/4	141
P-values						
Protein			<0.001	0.208	<0.001	<0.001
			< 0.001		< 0.001	< 0.001
Fat			< 0.001	0.163	0.064	< 0.001
Gender			< 0.001	0.818	0.009	0.001
Protein x Fat			0.564	0.477	0.746	0.978
Protein x Gender			0.038	0.894	0.405	0.180
Fat x Gender			0.007	0.142	0.720	0.006
Protein x Fat x Gende	er		0.486	0.012	0.112	0.989

Table 6Chemical body composition (dry matter (DM), ash (Ash), crude protein (CP)and crude fat (Fat)) at 28 d of age (g/kg body weight)

At 28 days of age, differences in chemical composition were observed between dietary treatments (Table 6). Dry matter and Fat content in broilers fed HP diets was significantly lower than in broilers fed LP diets. Content of CP in broilers fed HP diets was significantly higher than in broilers fed LP diets. Ash content was not affected by dietary protein concentration. Dry matter and Fat content in broilers fed HF diets was lower than in broilers fed LF diets. Ash and CP content were not affected by dietary fat/starch concentration. Dry matter, CP and Fat content was in male broilers significantly lower than in female broilers. Ash content was not affected by gender.

	u or uge	. (g/ kg bou	y weight)			
			DM	Ash	СР	Fat
			35 d	35 d	35 d	35 d
			g/kg	g/kg	g/kg	g/kg
Protein						
High			323.2 ^b	24.2	180.3ª	122 ^b
Low			335.7ª	24.7	176.7 ^b	138ª
Fat						
High			325.4 ^b	24.0 ^b	178.8	127 ^b
Low			333.5ª	24.9ª	178.2	133ª
Gender						
Male			323.1 ^b	24.5	177.3	125 ^b
Female			335.8ª	24.4	179.7	135ª
Protein	Fat					
High	High		319.4	23.6	180.5	120
High	Low		327.0	24.9	180.2	125
Low	High		331.4	24.3	177.2	134
Low	Low		340.0	25.0	176.2	141
Protein	Gend	er				
High	Male		317.2	24.2	179.2	117
High	Femal	e	329.2	24.3	181.4	127
Low	Male .		328.9	24.9	175.5	132
Low	Femal	e	342.5	24.4	177.9	144
Fat	Gend	er				
High	Male		315.8	23.9	177.1	119 ^b
High	Femal	e	334.9	24.0	180.6	135ª
Low	Male		330.3	25.2	177.6	131ª
Low	Femal	e	336.8	24.7	178.7	136ª
Protein	Fat	Gender				
High	High	Male	309.3	23.4	179.0	111
High	High	Female	329.4	23.8	182.0	128
High	Low	Male	325.1	24.9	179.4	124
High	Low	Female	329.0	24.8	180.9	126
Low	High	Male Female	322.4 340.4	24.4 24.3	175.2 179.3	126 142
Low	High Low	Male	335.5	25.4	179.5	142
Low Low	Low	Female	344.5	24.6	176.5	145
LOW	LOW	remate	544.5	24.0	170.5	145
P-values						
Protein			<0.001	0.298	0.002	<0.001
Fat			0.013	0.031	0.552	0.029
Gender			<0.001	0.659	0.095	< 0.001
Protein x Fat			0.878	0.485	0.748	0.790
Protein x Gender			0.810	0.498	0.959	0.793
Fat x Gender			0.053	0.450	0.276	0.036
Protein x Fat x Gend	der		0.576	0.822	0.648	0.630

Table 7Chemical body composition (dry matter (DM), ash (Ash), crude protein (CP) and
crude fat (Fat)) at 35 d of age (g/kg body weight)

At 35 days of age, differences in chemical composition were observed between dietary treatments (Table 7). Dry matter and fat content in broilers fed HP diets was significantly lower than in broilers fed LP diets. Protein content in broilers fed HP diets was significantly higher than in broilers fed LP diets. Ash content was not affected by dietary protein concentration. Dry matter, ash and fat content in broilers fed HF diets was lower than in broilers fed LF diets. Protein content was not affected by dietary fat/starch concentration. Dry matter and fat content in male broilers was significantly lower than in female broilers. Ash and protein content were not affected by gender.

The deposition of CP and Fat in the periods from 9 to 18, 18 to 28 and 28 to 35 days is presented in Table 8.

		9 – 18	d of age	18 – 28	d of age	28 – 35 d of age	
		CP g	Fat g	CP g	Fat g	CP g	Fat g
Protein High Low		79ª 74 ^b	52 56	152ª 142 ^b	93 ^b 103ª	141ª 134 ^b	109 122
Fat High Low		77 76	52 ^b 56ª	148 146	92 ^b 104ª	137 138	117 113
Gender Male Female		78ª 75 ^b	54 55	153ª 142 ^b	96 100	149ª 126 ^b	121 109
Protein High High Low Low	Fat High Low High Low	80 78 74 74	51 54 53 59	153 152 144 141	87 100 97 108	142 141 132 136	112 105 122 121
Fat High High Low Low	Gender Male Female Male Female	78 76 78 73	51 53 56 57	154 142 151 141	93ª 90ª 98ª 111 ^b	147 127 151 125	112 ^{ab} 122 ^a 130 ^a 96 ^b
P-values Protein Fat Gender Protein x Fat Protein x Gender Fat x Gender Protein x Fat x Gender	ler	0.002 0.422 0.019 0.450 1.000 0.377 0.434	0.109 0.031 0.726 0.395 0.890 0.779 0.929	<0.001 0.200 <0.001 0.541 0.348 0.464 0.642	0.014 <0.001 0.318 0.770 0.205 0.020 0.768	0.021 0.723 <0.001 0.415 0.346 0.295 0.632	0.068 0.618 0.113 0.670 0.822 0.003 0.551

Table 8Deposition of crude protein (CP) and fat (Fat) in the body in the period 9 to 18 d, 18 to28 and 28 to 35 d of age in g per bird

Protein deposition in broilers fed HP diets was significantly higher than in broilers fed LP diets (Table 8). In the period from 18 to 28 days of age fat deposition in broilers fed HP diets was significantly lower than in broilers fed LP diets. Protein deposition was not affected by dietary fat/starch concentration whereas fat deposition in broilers fed HF diets was lower than in broilers fed LF diets up to 28 days of age. Protein deposition in male broilers was significantly higher than in female broilers. Fat deposition was not affected by gender.

3.3 Nutrient digestion

Nutrient digestibility coefficients are presented in Table 9.

		DM %	DM (excl. Arbocel®) %	OM %	CP %	Fat %	Starch & Sugars %
Protein High Low		68 68	70 70	70 70	79 79	81 82	96 96
Fat High Low		65 ^b 71ª	68 ^b 72ª	67 ^b 73ª	79 79	82 81	95 ^b 97ª
Protein High High Low Low	Fat High Low High Low	65 71 65 70	68 72 69 72	67 73 67 72	80 79 79 79	82 80 82 82	95 97 96 97
P-values Protein Fat Protein x F	at	0.638 < 0.001 0.726	0.627 0.004 0.731	0.715 < 0.001 0.677	0.791 0.718 0.912	0.420 0.645 0.757	0.559 0.025 0.498

Table 9Colonic digestibility of nutrients in the experimental diets

Nutrient digestibility was determined at 35 days of age by collecting excreta from the colon of broilers. The nutrient digestibility was determined in male broilers only as a gender effect on digestion was not expected. Dietary protein did not affect nutrient digestibility. Dietary fat however did have an effect on the digestibility of DM, OM and starch+sugars. As Arbocel[®] was included as an inert filler in the experimental diets, DM digestibility was also calculated without Arbocel[®]. Dry matter, OM and starch+sugars digestibility in LF diets was higher than in HF diets. Also by excluding Arbocel[®] the DM digestibility in LF diets was higher than in HF diets. Digestibility of CP and fat were not affected by dietary treatment.

3.4 Blood metabolites and hormones

Results of the blood metabolite and hormone analyses are presented in Table 10.

Table 10	Blood metabolites analyses at 35 d of age
10010 10	Blood metabolites analyses at 55 a of age

Glucose Insulin NEFA? T33 T44 TG5 UA* mg/dl Protein -1 mMI ng/ml ng/ml ng/dl mg/dl mg/dl High 273.4 1.089 0.3356 1.395 3.905 170.8 ^b 7.87 ^a Low 280.2 1.146 0.3435 1.491 3.611 199.5 ^b 6.82 ^b Fat High 275.0 1.053 0.4102 ^a 1.509 4.353 ^a 189.8 7.78 ^a Low 278.6 1.182 0.2689 ^b 1.377 3.164 ^b 180.5 6.90 ^b Gender Male 282.7 ^a 1.121 0.3571 1.516 3.497 189.3 7.21 Female 270.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 169.0 8.24 High High 279.2 1.154 0.4105 1.534 4.133 210.6 7.32 Low Z81.2 1.138 0.2765 1.448 3.089										
Frotein High Low Fat 273.4 1.089 280.2 0.3356 1.491 1.395 3.905 170.8 ^b 1.95. ^b 6.82 ^b Fat High Low Z75.0 1.053 0.4102 ^a 0.268 ^b 1.509 4.353 ^a 4.353 ^a 189.8 7.78 ^a 6.82 ^b Gender High High Z78.6 1.182 0.268 ^b 1.509 4.353 ^a 4.020 189.3 7.21 Protein High Fat High Z70.9 ^b 1.113 0.3220 1.369 4.020 181.0 7.47 Protein High Fat High Z76.0 1.226 0.2614 1.305 3.239 122.7 7.49 Low Z76.0 1.226 0.2614 1.305 3.239 172.7 7.49 Low Z81.2 1.540 0.4099 1.484 4.572 169.0 8.24 Low Z81.2 1.380 0.2765 1.448 3.089 188.5 6.31 Protein Male Z70.4 1.140 0.3255 1.448 3.028 185.1 7.70 High Female				Glucose	Insulin	NEFA ²	T3 ³	T4 ⁴	TG⁵	UA ⁶
Protein High Low 273.4 1.089 280.2 0.3356 1.491 1.395 3.905 170.8 ^b 170.8 ^b 4.82 ^b 7.87 ^a 6.82 ^b Fat High Low 275.0 1.053 0.4102 ^a 0.4102 ^a 1.509 1.395 4.353 ^a 189.8 189.8 7.78 ^a 7.78 ^a Gender Male 282.7 ^a 1.121 0.3571 0.516 1.377 3.164 ^b 180.5 6.90 ^b Gender High 747 270.7 0.951 0.4099 0.484 4.572 4.020 164.0 181.0 7.47 Protein Fat High Cow 270.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 4.133 169.0 2.239 8.24 Low 270.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 4.133 166.0 2.614 8.24 High 270.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 4.133 166.7 2.241 8.24 Low 270.2 1.154 0.4105 1.534 4.133 210.6 7.32 Low 270.2 1.138 0.2465 1.448 3.089 188.5 6.31 Protein Female 270.4 1.400				ma/dl		mM	na/ml	na/ml	ma/dl	ma/dl
High 273.4 1.089 0.3356 1.395 3.905 170.8 ^b 7.87 ^b Low 280.2 1.146 0.3435 1.491 3.611 199.5 ^b 6.82 ^b Fat 275.0 1.053 0.4102 ^a 1.509 4.353 ^a 189.8 7.78 ^a Low 278.6 1.182 0.2689 ^b 1.377 3.164 ^b 180.5 6.90 ^b Gender 282.7 ^a 1.121 0.3571 1.516 3.497 189.3 7.21 Female 270.9 ^b 1.130 0.3220 1.369 4.020 181.0 7.47 Protein Fat High 270.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 169.0 8.24 High Low 276.0 1.226 0.2614 1.305 3.239 172.7 7.49 Low 281.2 1.138 0.2765 1.448 3.089 188.5 6.31 Protein Male 276.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 High Female										
High 273.4 1.089 0.3356 1.395 3.905 170.8 ^b 7.87 ^b Low 280.2 1.146 0.3435 1.491 3.611 199.5 ^b 6.82 ^b Fat 275.0 1.053 0.4102 ^a 1.509 4.353 ^b 189.8 7.78 ^a Low 278.6 1.182 0.2689 ^b 1.377 3.164 ^b 180.5 6.90 ^b Gender 282.7 ^a 1.121 0.3571 1.516 3.497 189.3 7.21 Female 270.9 ^b 1.131 0.3220 1.369 4.020 181.0 7.47 Protein Fat High 270.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 169.0 8.24 Low 276.0 1.226 0.2614 1.305 3.239 172.7 7.49 Low 281.2 1.138 0.2765 1.448 3.089 188.5 6.31 Protein Male 276.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 High Male 279.1	Protein									
Low 280.2 1.146 0.3435 1.491 3.611 199.5* 6.82 ^b Fat High 275.0 1.053 0.4102 ^b 1.509 4.353 ^b 189.8 7.78 ^b Gender 278.6 1.182 0.2689 ^b 1.377 3.164 ^b 180.5 6.90 ^b Male 282.7 ^b 1.121 0.3571 1.516 3.497 189.3 7.21 Protein Fat High 270.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 169.0 8.24 Low Low 270.2 0.514 0.4095 1.534 4.133 210.6 7.32 Low Low 270.2 1.540 0.4105 1.544 4.352 165.2 8.08 Low Low 281.2 1.138 0.2765 1.448 3.089 188.5 6.31 Protein Gender Female 270.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 Low Z71.4 1.087 0.3184 1.498 3.694 196.5 7.70 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>273 4</td> <td>1 089</td> <td>0 3356</td> <td>1 395</td> <td>3 905</td> <td>170 8^b</td> <td>7 87ª</td>				273 4	1 089	0 3356	1 395	3 905	170 8 ^b	7 87ª
Fat High Low Z75.0 1.053 0.4102° 0.2689° 1.307 4.353° 3.164° 189.5 7.78° 6.90° Gender Male Female Z82.7° 1.121 0.3571 1.516 3.497 189.3 7.21 Protein High High Low Fat Low Tat Dow Z70.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 169.0 8.24 Protein High Low Fat Dow Z70.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 169.0 8.24 Dow Z76.0 1.226 0.2614 1.305 3.239 172.7 7.49 Low Z81.2 1.138 0.2765 1.448 3.089 188.5 6.31 Protein High Male Low Z76.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 Low Z71.4 1.087 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 Low Z70.4 1.140 0.3731 1.471 4.346 165.2 8.08 Low Z70.9 1.086 0.264 0.4474 1.541 4.349 196.5 7	5									
High Low 275.0 1.053 0.4102° 1.509 4.353° 189.8 7.78° Gender Male 282.7° 1.121 0.3571 1.516 3.497 189.3 7.21 Female 282.7° 1.121 0.3521 1.565 3.497 189.3 7.21 Protein High Low Fat High High Low 270.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 169.0 8.24 Low 276.0 1.226 0.2614 1.305 3.239 172.7 7.49 Low 276.2 1.154 0.4105 1.534 4.133 210.6 7.32 Low 281.2 1.138 0.2765 1.484 3.089 188.5 6.31 Protein Gender Male 270.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 High Male 270.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 Low Male 270.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.654 196.5 7.70	Low			200.2	1.140	0.5455	1.491	5.011	199.5	0.02
High Low 275.0 1.053 0.4102° 1.509 4.353° 189.8 7.78° Gender Male 282.7° 1.121 0.3571 1.516 3.497 189.3 7.21 Female 282.7° 1.121 0.3521 1.565 3.497 189.3 7.21 Protein High Low Fat High High Low 270.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 169.0 8.24 Low 276.0 1.226 0.2614 1.305 3.239 172.7 7.49 Low 276.2 1.154 0.4105 1.534 4.133 210.6 7.32 Low 281.2 1.138 0.2765 1.484 3.089 188.5 6.31 Protein Gender Male 270.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 High Male 270.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 Low Male 270.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.654 196.5 7.70	Fat									
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				275.0	1.053	0.4102ª	1.509	4.353ª	189.8	7.78ª
Gender Premale 282.7° 270.9° 1.121 1.113 0.3571 0.3220 1.516 1.369 3.497 4.020 189.3 7.21 7.47 Protein High Low Fat Low 270.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 169.0 8.24 7.32 Low 276.0 1.226 0.2614 1.305 3.239 172.7 7.49 Low 276.0 1.226 0.2614 1.305 3.239 172.7 7.49 Low 281.2 1.138 0.2765 1.448 3.089 188.5 6.31 Protein Gender Male 270.4 1.140 0.3256 1.241 4.345 155.2 8.08 Low Male 279.1 0.964 0.4474 1.541 4.349 196.5 7.70 High Low Male 279.1 0.964 0.4474 1.541 4.349 196.5 7.70 High Male 279.1 0.964 0.4474 1.541 4.349 196.5 7.70	-									
Male Female 282.7° 1.121 0.3571 1.516 3.497 189.3 7.21 Protein High High Low Fat Low V V V V V V Protein High High Low Fat Low V <thv< th=""> V V</thv<>										
Female 270.9 ^b 1.113 0.3220 1.369 4.020 181.0 7.47 Protein Fat High 270.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 169.0 8.24 High Low 276.0 1.226 0.2614 1.305 3.239 172.7 7.49 Low Low 279.2 1.154 0.4105 1.534 4.133 210.6 7.32 Low Low 281.2 1.138 0.2765 1.448 3.089 188.5 6.31 Protein Gender Male 276.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 High Male 270.4 1.140 0.3256 1.241 4.346 165.2 8.08 Low Male 270.4 1.087 0.3184 1.498 3.694 196.7 6.86 Fat Gender Male 270.9 1.086 0.2709 1.262 3.684 178.7 7.97 High Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555	Gender									
Female 270.9 ^b 1.113 0.3220 1.369 4.020 181.0 7.47 Protein Fat High 270.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 169.0 8.24 High Low 276.0 1.226 0.2614 1.305 3.239 172.7 7.49 Low Low 279.2 1.154 0.4105 1.534 4.133 210.6 7.32 Low Low 281.2 1.138 0.2765 1.448 3.089 188.5 6.31 Protein Gender Male 276.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 High Male 270.4 1.140 0.3256 1.241 4.346 165.2 8.08 Low Male 270.4 1.087 0.3184 1.498 3.694 196.7 6.86 Fat Gender Male 270.9 1.086 0.2709 1.262 3.684 178.7 7.97 High Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555				282.7ª	1.121	0.3571	1.516	3.497	189.3	7.21
High High 270.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 169.0 8.24 High Low 276.0 1.226 0.2614 1.305 3.239 172.7 7.49 Low Low 281.2 1.154 0.4105 1.534 4.133 210.6 7.32 Protein Gender	Female			270.9 ^b	1.113	0.3220		4.020	181.0	
High High 270.7 0.951 0.4099 1.484 4.572 169.0 8.24 High Low 276.0 1.226 0.2614 1.305 3.239 172.7 7.49 Low Low 281.2 1.154 0.4105 1.534 4.133 210.6 7.32 Protein Gender										
High Low Low 276.0 1.226 0.2614 1.305 3.239 172.7 7.49 Low High 279.2 1.154 0.4105 1.534 4.133 210.6 7.32 Low Low 281.2 1.138 0.2765 1.448 3.089 188.5 6.31 Protein High Male 276.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 High Male 270.4 1.140 0.3256 1.241 4.346 165.2 8.08 Low Male 289.0 1.205 0.3686 1.484 3.528 202.3 6.78 Low Male 279.1 0.964 0.4474 1.541 4.349 196.5 7.70 High Male 270.9 1.141 0.3731 1.477 4.356 183.1 7.87 Low Male 270.9 1.086 0.2709 1.262 3.684 178.5 7.97 High Hale 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5	Protein	Fat								
Low High 279.2 1.154 0.4105 1.534 4.133 210.6 7.32 Low 281.2 1.138 0.2765 1.448 3.089 188.5 6.31 Protein Gender Male 276.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 High Male 270.4 1.140 0.3256 1.241 4.346 165.2 8.08 Low Male 289.0 1.205 0.3686 1.484 3.528 202.3 6.78 Low Female 271.4 1.087 0.3184 1.498 3.694 196.7 6.86 Fat Gender Visual Visual <td>High</td> <td>High</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	High	High								
Low Low 281.2 1.138 0.2765 1.448 3.089 188.5 6.31 Protein High High Low Male Female 276.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 High Low Male 289.0 1.205 0.3686 1.484 3.528 202.3 6.78 Low Male 289.0 1.205 0.3686 1.484 3.528 202.3 6.78 Low Female 271.4 1.087 0.3184 1.498 3.694 196.7 6.86 Fat Gender Male 279.1 0.964 0.4474 1.541 4.349 196.5 7.70 High Male 270.9 1.141 0.3731 1.477 4.356 183.1 7.87 Low Female 270.9 1.086 0.20709 1.262 3.664 178.5 7.97 High Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5 <	High	Low		276.0	1.226	0.2614		3.239	172.7	
Protein High High Low Gender Male Emaile 276.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 Low Male 270.4 1.140 0.3256 1.241 4.346 165.2 8.08 Low Male 289.0 1.205 0.3686 1.484 3.528 202.3 6.78 Low Female 271.4 1.087 0.3184 1.498 3.694 196.7 6.86 Fat Gender Male 279.1 0.964 0.4474 1.541 4.349 196.5 7.70 High Female 270.9 1.141 0.3731 1.477 4.356 183.1 7.87 Low Male 286.3 1.278 0.2669 1.492 2.644 182.2 6.73 Low Female 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5 7.97 High Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5	Low	High								
High Male 276.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 High Female 270.4 1.140 0.3256 1.241 4.346 165.2 8.08 Low Male 289.0 1.205 0.3686 1.484 3.528 202.3 6.78 Low Female 271.4 1.087 0.3184 1.498 3.694 196.7 6.86 Fat Gender	Low	Low		281.2	1.138	0.2765	1.448	3.089	188.5	6.31
High Male 276.4 1.037 0.3457 1.549 3.465 176.4 7.65 High Female 270.4 1.140 0.3256 1.241 4.346 165.2 8.08 Low Male 289.0 1.205 0.3686 1.484 3.528 202.3 6.78 Low Female 271.4 1.087 0.3184 1.498 3.694 196.7 6.86 Fat Gender										
High Low Female 270.4 1.140 0.3256 1.241 4.346 165.2 8.08 Low Male 289.0 1.205 0.3686 1.484 3.528 202.3 6.78 Low Female 271.4 1.087 0.3184 1.498 3.694 196.7 6.86 Fat Gender Male 279.1 0.964 0.4474 1.541 4.349 196.5 7.70 High Male 270.9 1.141 0.3731 1.477 4.356 183.1 7.87 Low Male 286.3 1.278 0.2669 1.492 2.644 182.2 6.73 Low Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5 7.97 High Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5 7.97 High Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5 7.97 High Male 273.7 0.260 0.2839 1.669 4.152			er							
Low Low Male Female 289.0 1.205 0.3686 1.484 3.528 202.3 6.78 Low Female 271.4 1.087 0.3184 1.498 3.694 196.7 6.86 Fat Gender Male 279.1 0.964 0.4474 1.541 4.349 196.5 7.70 High Male 270.9 1.141 0.3731 1.477 4.356 183.1 7.87 Low Male 286.3 1.278 0.2669 1.492 2.644 182.2 6.73 Low Female 270.9 1.086 0.2709 1.262 3.684 178.9 7.07 Protein Fat Gender Gender	5									
Low Female 271.4 1.087 0.3184 1.498 3.694 196.7 6.86 Fat Gender Male 279.1 0.964 0.4474 1.541 4.349 196.5 7.70 High Male 270.9 1.141 0.3731 1.477 4.356 183.1 7.87 Low Male 286.3 1.278 0.2669 1.492 2.644 182.2 6.73 Protein Fat Gender Vision Vision 7.07 High Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5 7.97 High Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5 7.97 High Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5 7.97 High Male 279.3 1.193 0.2388 1.542 2.325 174.2 7.33 High Male 284.6 1.047 0.4423 1.527 4.095 214.6 7.42	5		5							
Fat High High Low Low Gender Male Female 279.1 270.9 0.964 1.141 0.4474 0.3731 1.541 1.477 4.349 4.356 196.5 183.1 7.70 7.87 Protein Fat Female Gender 270.9 1.086 0.2709 1.262 3.684 178.9 7.07 Protein Fat High High High High High Female Gender 268.0 Ion Ion <thion< th=""> <thion< th=""> Ion <thion< th=""></thion<></thion<></thion<>										
High Male 279.1 0.964 0.4474 1.541 4.349 196.5 7.70 High Female 270.9 1.141 0.3731 1.477 4.356 183.1 7.87 Low Male 286.3 1.278 0.2669 1.492 2.644 182.2 6.73 Low Female 270.9 1.086 0.2709 1.262 3.684 178.9 7.07 Protein Fat Gender Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5 7.97 High High Female 268.0 1.021 0.3673 1.412 4.540 159.5 8.51 High Low Male 279.3 1.193 0.2388 1.542 2.325 174.2 7.33 High Low Female 273.7 1.260 0.2839 1.069 4.152 170.9 7.65 Low Female 273.7 1.261 0.3788 1.541 4.172 206.6 7.22 Low High Female	Low	Female	2	271.4	1.087	0.3184	1.498	3.694	196.7	6.86
High Male 279.1 0.964 0.4474 1.541 4.349 196.5 7.70 High Female 270.9 1.141 0.3731 1.477 4.356 183.1 7.87 Low Male 286.3 1.278 0.2669 1.492 2.644 182.2 6.73 Low Female 270.9 1.086 0.2709 1.262 3.684 178.9 7.07 Protein Fat Gender Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5 7.97 High High Female 268.0 1.021 0.3673 1.412 4.540 159.5 8.51 High Low Male 279.3 1.193 0.2388 1.542 2.325 174.2 7.33 High Low Female 273.7 1.260 0.2839 1.069 4.152 170.9 7.65 Low Female 273.7 1.261 0.3788 1.541 4.172 206.6 7.22 Low High Female	- .	• •								
High Low Female 270.9 1.141 0.3731 1.477 4.356 183.1 7.87 Low Male 286.3 1.278 0.2669 1.492 2.644 182.2 6.73 Low Female 270.9 1.086 0.2709 1.262 3.684 178.9 7.07 Protein Fat Gender Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5 7.97 High High Male 279.3 1.193 0.2388 1.542 2.325 174.2 7.33 High Low Male 279.3 1.193 0.2388 1.542 2.325 174.2 7.33 High Low Female 284.6 1.047 0.4423 1.527 4.095 214.6 7.42 Low High Male 284.6 1.047 0.4423 1.527 4.095 214.6 7.42 Low High Female 273.7 1.261 0.3788 1.541 4.172 206.6 7.22 Low			er	270 1	0.004	0 4 4 7 4	1 - 41	4 2 4 0	10C F	7 70
Low Low Male Female 286.3 270.9 1.278 1.086 0.2669 0.2709 1.492 1.262 2.644 3.684 182.2 178.9 6.73 7.07 Protein Fat High Gender Male 273.5 0.822 0.822 0.4525 0.822 1.555 4.604 178.5 7.97 High High Male 279.3 1.021 0.3673 1.412 4.540 159.5 8.51 High Low Male 279.3 1.193 0.2388 1.542 2.325 174.2 7.33 High Low Female 284.6 1.047 0.4423 1.527 4.095 214.6 7.42 Low High Male 284.6 1.047 0.4423 1.527 4.095 214.6 7.42 Low High Female 273.7 1.261 0.3788 1.541 4.172 206.6 7.22 Low Male 293.4 1.364 0.2950 1.442 2.962 190.1 6.14 Low Eemale 269.0 0.912 0.2580 1.455 3.215 186.8 <td>5</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	5		_							
Low Female 270.9 1.086 0.2709 1.262 3.684 178.9 7.07 Protein Fat Gender Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5 7.97 High High Male 279.3 1.021 0.3673 1.412 4.540 159.5 8.51 High Low Male 279.3 1.193 0.2388 1.542 2.325 174.2 7.33 High Low Female 284.6 1.047 0.4423 1.527 4.095 214.6 7.42 Low High Male 284.6 1.047 0.4423 1.527 4.095 214.6 7.42 Low High Female 273.7 1.261 0.3788 1.541 4.172 206.6 7.22 Low Male 293.4 1.364 0.2950 1.442 2.962 190.1 6.14 Low Female 269.0 0.912	5		2							
Protein Fat Gender High High Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5 7.97 High High Female 268.0 1.021 0.3673 1.412 4.540 159.5 8.51 High Low Male 279.3 1.193 0.2388 1.542 2.325 174.2 7.33 High Low Female 272.8 1.260 0.2839 1.069 4.152 170.9 7.65 Low High Male 273.7 1.261 0.3788 1.541 4.172 206.6 7.22 Low High Female 273.7 1.261 0.3788 1.541 4.172 206.6 7.22 Low Low Male 293.4 1.364 0.2950 1.442 2.962 190.1 6.14 Low Female 269.0 0.912 0.2580 1.455 3.215 186.8 6.49										
High High Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5 7.97 High High Female 268.0 1.021 0.3673 1.412 4.540 159.5 8.51 High Low Male 279.3 1.193 0.2388 1.542 2.325 174.2 7.33 High Low Female 272.8 1.260 0.2839 1.069 4.152 170.9 7.65 Low High Male 284.6 1.047 0.4423 1.527 4.095 214.6 7.42 Low High Female 273.7 1.261 0.3788 1.541 4.172 206.6 7.22 Low Low Male 293.4 1.364 0.2950 1.442 2.962 190.1 6.14 Low Low Female 269.0 0.912 0.2580 1.455 3.215 186.8 6.49	LOW	remaie	=	270.9	1.000	0.2709	1.202	5.004	170.9	7.07
High High Male 273.5 0.822 0.4525 1.555 4.604 178.5 7.97 High High Female 268.0 1.021 0.3673 1.412 4.540 159.5 8.51 High Low Male 279.3 1.193 0.2388 1.542 2.325 174.2 7.33 High Low Female 272.8 1.260 0.2839 1.069 4.152 170.9 7.65 Low High Male 284.6 1.047 0.4423 1.527 4.095 214.6 7.42 Low High Female 273.7 1.261 0.3788 1.541 4.172 206.6 7.22 Low Low Male 293.4 1.364 0.2950 1.442 2.962 190.1 6.14 Low Low Female 269.0 0.912 0.2580 1.455 3.215 186.8 6.49	Protein	Fat	Gender							
High High Female 268.0 1.021 0.3673 1.412 4.540 159.5 8.51 High Low Male 279.3 1.193 0.2388 1.542 2.325 174.2 7.33 High Low Female 272.8 1.260 0.2839 1.069 4.152 170.9 7.65 Low High Male 284.6 1.047 0.4423 1.527 4.095 214.6 7.42 Low High Female 273.7 1.261 0.3788 1.541 4.172 206.6 7.22 Low Low Male 293.4 1.364 0.2950 1.442 2.962 190.1 6.14 Low Low Female 269.0 0.912 0.2580 1.455 3.215 186.8 6.49 P-values				273 5	0 822	0 4525	1 555	4 604	178 5	7 97
High Low Male 279.3 1.193 0.2388 1.542 2.325 174.2 7.33 High Low Female 272.8 1.260 0.2839 1.069 4.152 170.9 7.65 Low High Male 284.6 1.047 0.4423 1.527 4.095 214.6 7.42 Low High Female 273.7 1.261 0.3788 1.541 4.172 206.6 7.22 Low Low Male 293.4 1.364 0.2950 1.442 2.962 190.1 6.14 Low Eemale 269.0 0.912 0.2580 1.455 3.215 186.8 6.49										
High Low Female 272.8 1.260 0.2839 1.069 4.152 170.9 7.65 Low High Male 284.6 1.047 0.4423 1.527 4.095 214.6 7.42 Low High Female 273.7 1.261 0.3788 1.541 4.172 206.6 7.22 Low Low Male 293.4 1.364 0.2950 1.442 2.962 190.1 6.14 Low Low Female 269.0 0.912 0.2580 1.455 3.215 186.8 6.49	5									
Low High Male 284.6 1.047 0.4423 1.527 4.095 214.6 7.42 Low High Female 273.7 1.261 0.3788 1.541 4.172 206.6 7.22 Low Low Male 293.4 1.364 0.2950 1.442 2.962 190.1 6.14 Low Low Female 269.0 0.912 0.2580 1.455 3.215 186.8 6.49	5									
Low High Female 273.7 1.261 0.3788 1.541 4.172 206.6 7.22 Low Low Male 293.4 1.364 0.2950 1.442 2.962 190.1 6.14 Low Low Female 269.0 0.912 0.2580 1.455 3.215 186.8 6.49	-									
Low Low Male 293.4 1.364 0.2950 1.442 2.962 190.1 6.14 Low Female 269.0 0.912 0.2580 1.455 3.215 186.8 6.49 P-values										
Low Female 269.0 0.912 0.2580 1.455 3.215 186.8 6.49 P-values										
P-values										
Protein 0.155 0.683 0.720 0.534 0.487 0.021 0.006	P-values									
	Protein			0.155	0.683	0.720	0.534	0.487	0.021	0.006
Fat0.4400.357<0.0010.3960.0070.4430.020	Fat			0.440						
Gender 0.031 0.944 0.437 0.474 0.048 0.396 0.529										
Protein x Fat 0.728 0.302 0.743 0.765 0.732 0.291 0.732										
Protein x Gender 0.224 0.430 0.495 0.302 0.398 0.819 0.636										
Fat x Gender 0.449 0.191 0.080 0.593 0.224 0.675 0.827										
Protein x Fat x Gender 0.511 0.291 0.242 0.596 0.312 0.819 0.602	Protein x Fat x Gende	r		0.511	0.291	0.242	0.596	0.312	0.819	0.602

¹ Since mouse insulin has been used for calibration curve construction, the unit of the insulin concentrations is "ng mouse insulin equivalent per ml plasma".

² Non-esterified fatty acids.

³ triiodothyronine.

⁴ thyroxine.

⁵ triglyceride.

⁶ uric acid.

Triglyceride concentration in the blood of broilers fed LP diets was significantly higher than in broilers fed HP diets (Table 10). The increased triglyceride levels in the plasma of low protein-fed broilers are most likely the result of a stimulated hepatic lipogenesis (Malheiros et al., 2003). Rosebrough et al. (1996, 2002) observed in related studies with broilers on a low protein diet also elevations in hepatic lipogenic enzyme activities, leading to an increased fat deposition. The increased fat deposition in this experiment at LP diets was only observed in the period from 18 to 28 days of age.

Uric acid concentrations in broilers fed HP diets was significantly higher than in broilers fed LP diets. Excess of ammonium is finally converted into uric acid for excretion (Sturkie, 2000). Therefore, plasma uric acid levels can be considered as a reliable biomarker for protein degradation. Malheiros et al. (2003) showed that lower plasma uric acid levels were derived in broilers with a low protein diet (15.8% crude protein) compared to broilers with an iso-energetic low fat or low carbohydrate (CHO) diet with a normal protein content (19.6% crude protein). These results were also observed in other studies (Rosebrough et al., 1996; Collin et al., 2003; Swennen et al., 2004, 2005, 2007). Studies with substitutions between fat and CHO at a similar protein level didn't show an effect on plasma uric acid levels (Collin et al., 2003). In the current experiment plasma uric acid levels in blood of broilers fed HF diets was significantly higher than of broilers fed LF diets.

Non-esterified fatty acids levels in broilers fed HF diets were significantly higher than in broilers fed LF diets. The plasma level of free fatty acids (FFA) is the net result of lipolysis in combination with cellular uptake of FFA (Swennen et al., 2004). Fasting induced lipolysis in adipocytes and gluconeogenesis in the liver. Lipolysis is the breakdown of lipids and involves hydrolysis of triglycerides into glycerol and free fatty acids. Therefore, FFA's are used as biomarker for lipolysis. Different studies showed that iso-energetic substitutions between protein, fat and CHO revealed no effect on FFA concentration (Malheiros et al. 2003). However, Swennen et al. (2005, 2007) measured increased FFA plasma levels in low protein fed broilers, compared to broilers with a low fat diet. The authors suggested that the low protein diet resulted in a decreased uptake of FFA due to a preference for CHO as energy source. Increased plasma FFA levels were measured in broilers on a low fat (high CHO) diet compared to broilers on a low CHO (high fat) diet (Malheiros et al., 2003). These findings contradicts with those of the current experiment and the study of Tanaka et al. (1983), who showed that adding fat to a diet resulted in increased FFA levels.

Also T4 levels in broilers fed HF diets was significantly higher than in broilers fed LF diets. However, Carew and Alster (1997) observed that iso-energetic substitution of fat by carbohydrates did not alter thyroid hormone metabolism whereas replacing dietary protein with carbohydrates or fat was followed by an increase and a decrease in circulating T3 and T4 levels, respectively. The same results were found by Rosebrough et al. (1999) and Malheiros et al. (2003). They conclude that thyroid hormone metabolism in chickens is very sensitive to the level of dietary protein, but much less to dietary fat and carbohydrate content.

Furthermore, glucose concentration in blood of male broilers was significantly higher than in blood of female broilers. No effects of gender on glucose concentration in blood of broilers have been reported in literature.

Dietary treatments did not affect glucose, insulin and T3 levels in blood of broilers in this experiment. In general, no significant protein x fat interaction effects of dietary treatments on blood metabolites and hormones have been observed.

4 Conclusions

- Protein level and dietary energy source in iso-energetic diets balanced for most limiting essential amino acids affect growth performance and body composition of broilers.
- Lowering dietary crude protein concentration with 30 g/kg in the grower and finisher period, despite supplementation of free amino acids up to concentrations that meet CVB (2012) fecal digestible amino acid requirements, adversely affected growth performance of broilers.
- Partly substitution of fat by starch and sugars as dietary energy source improved growth performance.
- Low fat diets (higher in starch + sugars) resulted in a higher body fat content in birds at 35 days while digestion of fat was not affected by dietary treatment. The observed effects are related to differences in the post-absorptive utilization of amino acids, starch + sugar and fatty acids and retention in the body.
- Triglyceride concentration in the blood of broilers fed LP diets was significantly higher than in broilers fed HP diets most likely caused by increased hepatic lipogenesis and leading to an increased fat deposition.
- Uric acid concentrations in broilers fed HP diets was significantly higher than in broilers fed LP diets and uric acid levels in blood of broilers fed HF diets was significantly higher than of broilers fed LF diets. As a reliable biomarker for protein degradation it can be stated that protein degradation was higher in birds fed HP diets and HF diets as compared to birds fed LP diets and LF diets, respectively.
- Non-esterified fatty acids levels as a biomarker for lipolysis in broilers fed HF diets were significantly higher than in broilers fed LF diets. Effects of exchange of dietary fat by starch on free fatty acid concentrations are not consistent.
- T4 levels in broilers fed HF diets was significantly higher than in broilers fed LF diets. This effect could not be confirmed by results from literature. In literature it was stated that thyroid hormone metabolism in chickens is very sensitive to the level of dietary protein, but much less to dietary fat and carbohydrate content.
- Glucose, insulin and T3 concentrations in blood of broilers were not affected by dietary treatments in this experiment.
- No significant protein x fat interaction effects of dietary treatments on blood metabolites and hormones have been observed in this experiment.

5 References

- Bennet, W.M., Connacher, A.A., Scrimgeour, C.M., Jung, R.T., Rennie, M.J. 1990. Euglycemic hyperinsulinemia augments amino acid uptake by human leg tissues during hyperaminoacidemia. American Journal of Physiology - Endocrinology and Metabolism 259:E185–E194.
- Biolo, G., Declan Fleming, R.Y., Wolfe, R.R. 1995. Physiologic hyperinsulinemia stimulates protein synthesis and enhances transport of selected amino acids in human skeletal muscle. The Journal of Clinical Investigation 95:811–819.
- Buyse, J., Decuypere, E., Berghman, L., Kühn, E.R. Van De Sande, F. 1992. Effect of dietary protein content on episodic growth hormone secretion and on heat production of male broiler chickens. British Poultry Science 33:1101-1109.
- Buyse, J., E. Decuypere, V. M. Darras, L. M. Vleurick, E. R. Kuhn, and J. D. Veldhuis. 2000. Food deprivation and feeding of broiler chickens is associated with rapid and interdependent changes in the somatotrophic and thyrotrophic axes. British Poultry Science 41:107–116.
- Calbet, J.A.L., D.A. MacLean. 2002. Plasma glucagon and insulin responses depend on the rate of appearance of amino acids after ingestion of different protein solutions in humans. Journal of Nutrition 132:2174-2182.
- Camp, L.K., Southern, L.L., Bidner, T.D. 2003. Effect of carbohydrate source on growth performance, carcass traits, and meat quality of growing-finishing pigs. Journal of Animal Science 81:2488-2495.
- Carew, L.B. and Alster, F.A. 1997. Dietary carbohydrate and fat do not alter the thyroid response to protein deficiency in chicks. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine 215:82-86.
- Collin, A., Malheiros, R.D., Moraes, V.M.B., Van As, P., Darras, V.M., Tauois, M., Decuypere, E., Buyse, J. 2003. Effects of dietary macronutrient content on energy metabolism and uncoupling protein mRNA expression in broiler chickens. British Journal of Nutrition 90:261-269.
- CVB. 2012. Tabellenboek veevoeding 2012. CVB-reeks nr. 50, Productschap Diervoeder, Den Haag, Augustus 2012.
- Eits, R. 2004. Modelling Responses of Broiler Chickens to Dietary Balanced Protein. PhD Thesis. Wageningen University.
- Flakoll, P.J., Borel, M.J., Wentzel, L.S., Williams, P.E., Lacy, D.B., Abumrad, N.N. 1994. The role of glucagon in the control of protein and amino acid metabolism in vivo. Metabolism 43:1509–1516.
- Fulks, R.M., Li, J.B., Goldberg, A.L. 1975. Effects of insulin, glucose, and amino acids on protein turnover in rat diaphragm. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 250:290–298.
- Fuller, M.F., Weekes, T.E.C., Cadenhead, A., Bruce, J.B. 1977. The protein-sparing effect of carbohydrate. 2. The role of insulin. British Journal of Nutrition 38:489–496.
- Houston, B., O'Neill, I.E. 1991. Insulin and growth hormone act synergistically to stimulate insulinlike growth factor-I production by cultured chicken hepatocytes. Journal of Endocrinology 128:389–393.
- Jackson, S., Summers, J.D., Leeson, S. 1982. Effect of dietary protein and energy on broiler carcass composition and efficiency of nutrient utilization. Poultry Science 61:2224-2231.
- Laurin, D.E., Touchburn, S.P., Chavez, E.R., Chan, C.W. 1985. Effect of dietary fat supplementation on the carcass composition of three genetic lines of broilers. Poultry Science 64:2131-2135.
- Macleod, M.G. 1990. Energy and nitrogen intake, expenditure and retention at 20 degrees in growing fowl given diets with a wide range of energy and protein contents. British Journal of Nutrition 64:625-637.
- Macleod, M.G. 1992. Energy and nitrogen intake, expenditure and retention at 32 degrees in growing fowl given diets with a wide range of energy and protein contents. British Journal of Nutrition 67:195-206.

- Malheiros, R.D., Moraes, V.M.B., Collin, A., Janssens, G.P.J., Decuypere, E., Buyse, J. 2003. Dietary macronutrients, endocrine functioning and intermediary metabolism in broiler chickens:
 Pairwise substitutions between protein, fat and carbohydrate. Nutrition Research 23:567-578.
- Mallette, L.E., Exton, J.H., Park, C.R. 1969. Effects of glucagon on amino acid transport and utilization in the perfused rat liver. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 244:5724–5728.
- Nieto, R., Aguilera, J. F., Fernandez-Figares, I., Prieto, C. 1997. Effect of a low protein diet on the energy metabolism of growing chickens. Archiv für Tierernährung 50:105-119.
- Nuttall, F.Q., Mooradian, A.D., Gannon, M.C., Billington, C., Krezowski, P. 1984. Effect of protein ingestion on the glucose and insulin response to a standardized oral glucose load. Diabetes Care 7:465–470.
- Rabinowitz, D., Merimee, T.J., Maffezzoli, R., Burgess, J.A. 1966. Patterns of hormonal release after glucose, protein, and glucose plus protein. Lancet 2:454–456.
- Rosebrough, R.W., Mitchell, A.D., McMurtry J.P. 1996. Dietary crude protein changes rapidly alter metabolism and plasma insulin-like growth factor-1 concentrations in broiler chickens. Journal of Nutrition 126:2888–2898.
- Rosebrough, R. W., McMurtry, J.P., Vasilatos-Younken, R. 1999. Dietary fat and protein interactions in the broiler. Poultry Science 78:992–998.
- Rosebrough, R. W., Poch, S.M., Russell, B. A., Richards, M. P. 2002. Dietary protein regulates in vitro lipogenesis and lipogenic gene expression in broilers. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology 132:423–431.
- Scanes, C. G. 2009. Perspectives on the Endocrinology of Poultry Growth and Metabolism. General and Comparative Endocrinology 163:24-32.
- Sturkie, P.D. 2000. Avian Physiology, fifth edition, Whittow, G.C. (Ed.) Academic Press, New York, U.S.
- Swennen, Q. G., Janssens, P. J., Decuypere, E. Buyse, J. 2004. Effects of substitution between fat and protein on food intake and its regulatory mechanisms in broiler chickens: Energy and protein metabolism and diet-induced thermogenesis. Poultry Science 83:1997–2004.
- Swennen, Q., Janssens, G.P.J., Millet, S., Van Sant, G., Decuypere, E. and Buyse, J. 2005. Effects of substitution between fat and protein on food intake and its regulatory mechanisms in broiler chickens: Endocrine functioning and intermediary metabolism. Poultry Science 84: 1051-1057.
- Swennen, Q., Decuypere, E., Buyse, J. 2007. Implications of dietary macronutrients for growth and metabolism in broilers chickens. World's Poultry Science Journal 63:541-556.
- Tanaka, K., Ohtani, S., Shigeno, K. 1983. Effect of increasing dietary energy on hepatic lipogenesis in growing chicks. 2. Increasing energy by fat or protein supplementation. Poult. Sci. 62:452–458.

Appendix 1 Feed and nutrient composition of the starter diet (0-9 days of age)

Feed ingredients	Concentration	Nutrients	Units	Units	/kg
				Calculated	
Corn	325.2	DM	g	875	879
Wheat	250.0	ASH	g	61	58
Sunflower meal CFib > 240	0.0	СР	g	210	215
Wheat middlings	15.0	CFATh	g	83	86
Cellulose (Arbocel [®])	7.5	Cfib	g	36	33
Soybean meal	280.0	Carbohydrates	g	481	
Potato prot. ASH<10	10.0	STARCHam	g	360	357
Corn gluten meal	20.0	SUG	g	48	
Corn starch	0.0	NDF	g	90	
Soy oil	49.0	ADF	g	31	
Premix (corn) ¹	5.0	Са	g	9.1	9.5
Limestone fine	14.5	Р	g	7.1	7.0
Mono-Calcium Phosphate	15.0	oP	g	4.2	
Salt	2.0	Ca : oP		2.2	
Sodium bicarbonate	2.7	Mg	g	1.6	
TiO2	0.0	ĸ	g	8.2	8.7
L-Lysine HCl	2.0	Na	g	1.6	1.7
DL-Methionine	1.9	Cl	g	2.0	2.5
L-Threonine	0.4	EB	meq	223	
L-Valine	0.0	MEbroiler	MJ .	11.9	
L-Arginine	0.0	dLYS	g	10.5	
L-Isoleucine	0.0	dMET	g	4.8	
L-Tryptophan	0.0	dCYS	g	2.9	
Potassium carbonate	0.0	dMET+CYS	g	7.7	
		dVAL	g	8.5	
		dARG	g	11.7	
		dILE	g	7.7	
		dTHR	g	6.8	
		dTRP	g	2.1	
		dGLY	g	6.9	
		dSER	g	9.2	
		dLEU	g	16.0	
		dPHE	g	9.4	
		dTYR	g	6.8	
		dPHE+TYR	g	16.1	
		dHIS	g	4.8	
		dALA	g	8.7	
		dASP	g	17.6	
		dGLU	g	36.5	
		dPRO	g	11.8	

¹ Composition of premix: 12,000 IE retinol, 2,400 IE cholecalciferol, 50 mg dl-a-tocopherol, 1.5 mg menadione, 2.0 mg thiamine, 7.5 mg riboflavin, 3.5 mg pyridoxine-HCl, 20 µg cyanocobalamine, 35 mg niacin, 12 mg D-pantothenic acid, 460 mg choline chloride, 1.0 mg folic acid, 0.2 mg biotin, 80 mg iron (265 mg FeSO4.H2O), 12 mg copper (48 mg CuSO4.5H2O), 85 mg manganese 85 mg (140 mg MnO), 60 mg zinc (165 mg ZnSO4.H2O), 0.4 mg cobalt (2 mg CoSO4.7H2O), 0.8 mg iodine (1.2 mg KJ), 0.15 mg selenium (0,33 mg Na2SeO3), 125 mg anti-oxidant Oxytrap PXN.

Appendix 2 Growth performance of broilers from 9 to 18 days of age

			BW 18d	BW gain	FCR	Feed intake	Mortality
			g	g∕d		g∕d	%
Protein High Low Fat			700 690	51.2 50.2	1.38 ^b 1.45ª	70.5 ^b 72.7ª	1.6^{a} 0.0^{b}
High Low Gender			698 693	50.9 50.5	1.42 1.41	72.2 71.0	1.4 0.3
Male Female Protein	Fat		711ª 680 ^b	52.7ª 48.7 ^b	1.40 ^b 1.43 ^a	73.7ª 69.5 ^b	0.7 0.9
High High	High Low		706 694	51.7 50.6	1.36 ^c 1.40 ^{bc}	70.4 70.6	2.8 0.5
Low Low Protein	High Low Gender		689 691	50.1 50.3	1.48ª 1.42 ^b	73.9 71.4	0.0 0.0
High High Low	Male Female Male		716 684 705	53.2 49.1 52.2	1.37 1.39 1.43	72.9 68.1 74.5	1.4 1.9 0.0
Low Fat	Female Gender		675	48.3	1.45	70.8	0.0
High High Low	Male Female Male		708 687 713	52.4 49.4 53.0	1.41 1.43 1.39	73.9 70.5 73.5	0.9 1.9 0.5
Low Protein	Female Fat	Gender	673	48.0	1.43	68.5	0.0
High High High	High High Low	Male Female Male	715 697 717	53.1 50.3 53.3	1.36 1.37 1.39	71.9 68.9 73.9	1.9 3.7 1.0
High Low	Low High	Female Male	672 702	48.0 51.7	1.41 1.47	67.3 75.9	0.0 0.0
Low Low Low	High Low Low	Female Male Female	677 709 674	48.5 52.6 48.0	1.49 1.39 1.45	72.0 73.2 69.7	0.0 0.0 0.0
P-values Protein			0.264	0.230	<0.001	0.034	0.012
Fat Gender			0.569 0.004	0.578 <0.001	0.505 0.035	0.248 0.001	<i>0.082</i> 0.875
Protein x Fat Protein x Gender Fat x Gender			0.440 0.943 0.292	0.413 0.934 0.212	0.003 0.367 0.479	0.173 0.567 0.411	<i>0.082</i> 0.881 0.323
Protein x Fat x Gende	er		0.634	0.682	0.482	0.322	0.323

Appendix 3 Growth performance of broilers from 18 to 28 days of age

			BW 28d	BW gain	FCR	Feed intake	Mortality
			g	g∕d		g∕d	%
Protein High Low			1504ª 1458 ^b	80.4ª 76.7 ^b	1.62 ^b 1.71ª	129.5 130.9	1.2 0.3
Fat High Low			1486 1475	78.8 78.3	1.69ª 1.64 ^b	132.6ª 127.9 ^b	0.6 0.9
Gender Male Female			1529ª 1432 ^b	81.8ª 75.2⁵	1.68 1.65	136.7ª 123.7 ^b	0.6 0.9
Protein High High Low Low	Fat High Low High Low		1510 1497 1461 1454	80.4 80.3 77.2 76.3	1.63 1.60 1.74 1.68	131.0 128.1 134.2 127.6	0.6 1.8 0.6 0.0
Protein High High Low Low	Gender Male Female Male Female		1555 1452 1503 1413	84.0 76.8 79.7 73.7	1.63 1.60 1.73 1.69	136.2 122.9 137.3 124.5	1.2 1.2 0.0 0.6
Fat High High Low Low	Gender Male Female Male Female		1534 1437 1524 1427	82.6 75.1 81.1 75.4	1.69 1.68 1.66 1.61	139.0 126.1 134.4 121.3	0.6 0.6 0.6 1.2
Protein High High High Low Low Low	Fat High High Low Low High High Low Low	Gender Male Female Male Female Male Female Male Female	1558 1463 1553 1441 1511 1412 1494 1413	84.3 76.6 83.6 76.9 80.9 73.5 78.6 74.0	1.63 1.64 1.57 1.75 1.73 1.70 1.65	136.7 125.2 135.6 120.6 141.3 127.1 133.3 121.9	1.1 0.0 1.2 2.4 0.0 1.1 0.0 0.0
P-values Protein Fat Gender Protein x Fat Protein x Gender Fat x Gender Protein x Fat x Gender			0.004 0.491 <0.001 0.849 0.655 0.996 0.566	0.002 0.620 <0.001 0.728 0.579 0.402 0.680	<0.001 0.006 0.275 0.438 0.723 0.144 0.676	0.360 0.002 0.001 0.206 0.865 0.919 0.285	$0.180 \\ 0.630 \\ 0.631 \\ 0.180 \\ 0.664 \\ 0.664 \\ 0.195 $

Appendix 4 Growth performance of broilers from 28 to 35 days of age

			BW 35d	BW gain	FCR	Feed M intake	Nortality
			g	g/d		g/d	%
Protein High Low			2264ª 2196 ^b	108.6ª 105.4 ^b	1.77 ^b 1.86ª	192.0 196.0	0.4 0.4
Fat High Low			2233 2227	106.7 107.3	1.84ª 1.80 ^b	195.9 192.1	0.0 0.7
Gender Male Female			2347ª 2112 [♭]	116.9ª 97.1 ^b	1.78 ^b 1.86ª	207.7ª 180.3 ^b	0.4 0.4
Protein High High Low Low	Fat High Low High Low		2277 2251 2189 2202	109.5 107.8 103.9 106.9	1.79 1.76 1.89 1.84	195.3 188.7 196.5 195.5	0.0 0.8 0.0 0.7
Protein High High Low Low	Gende Male Female Male Female	9	2394 2134 2301 2091	119.8 97.4 114.0 96.8	1.73 1.82 1.83 1.90	206.9 177.1 208.5 183.5	0.8 0.0 0.0 0.7
Fat High High Low Low	Gende Male Female Male Female	2	2348 2118 2347 2106	116.2 97.2 117.6 97.0	1.81 1.88 1.75 1.84	209.5 182.3 205.8 178.3	0.0 0.0 0.8 0.7
Protein High High High Low Low Low Low	Fat High Low Low High High Low Low	Gender Male Female Male Female Male Female Female	2397 2156 2391 2111 2299 2079 2303 2102	119.9 99.0 119.8 95.8 112.5 95.3 115.4 98.3	1.75 1.83 1.71 1.80 1.87 1.92 1.79 1.88	208.9 181.6 204.8 172.6 210.1 182.9 206.9 184.1	0.0 0.0 1.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.4
P-values Gender Protein Fat Protein x Fat Protein x Gender Fat x Gender Protein x Fat x Gende	er		<0.001 0.760 0.342 0.213 0.798 0.480	<0.001 0.041 0.692 0.134 0.091 0.628 0.607	0.004 <0.001 0.009 0.437 0.539 0.634 0.663	0.001 0.153 0.175 0.315 0.392 0.968 0.406	0.963 0.963 0.164 0.963 0.164 0.963 0.164

To explore the potential of nature to improve the quality of life



Wageningen Livestock Research P.O. Box 338 6700 AH Wageningen The Netherlands T +31 (0)317 48 39 53 E info.livestockresearch@wur.nl www.wur.nl/livestock-research Wageningen Livestock Research creates science based solutions for a sustainable and profitable livestock sector. Together with our clients, we integrate scientific knowledge and practical experience to develop livestock concepts for future generations.

Wageningen Livestock Research is part of Wageningen University & Research. Together we work on the mission: 'To explore the potential of nature to improve the quality of life'. A staff of 6,500 and 10,000 students from over 100 countries are working worldwide in the domain of healthy food and living environment for governments and the business community-at-large. The strength of Wageningen University & Research lies in its ability to join the forces of specialised research institutes and the university. It also lies in the combined efforts of the various fields of natural and social sciences. This union of expertise leads to scientific breakthroughs that can quickly be put into practice and be incorporated into education. This is the Wageningen Approach.

