Manure helps feed the world
Integrated Manure Management demonstrates manure is a valuable resource

Overview of Integrated Manure Management
Integrated Manure Management is the optimal handling of livestock manure from collection, through storage and treatment up to application (crops and aquaculture). Through this process it is possible to prevent nutrient losses to a large extent under the site-specific circumstances.

KEY MESSAGES
1 Healthy soils produce more food and are more resilient to climate change.
2 Manure contains nutrients and organic matter essential for good soil fertility and soil health.
3 Manure is a valuable resource of crop fertilizer, soil amendment and renewable energy.
4 Manure is not a waste; not properly using manure is a waste.
Overview of Integrated Manure Management

The key benefit of Integrated Manure Management is the prevention of nutrient losses as much as possible under the site-specific circumstances, while reducing greenhouse gas emissions and improving food security. Manure discharge should be prevented at all times.

The overall nitrogen losses from manure are estimated at approx. 40% (IPCC, 2006). Most nitrogen is lost as ammonia (volatilization) and nitrate (leaching and run-off). A 40% loss of a total of 70 million tonnes of nitrogen applied to soils (including pastures) with manure from swine, poultry and cattle, implies the loss of approx. 28 million tonnes of nitrogen; which accounts for about a quarter of the total nitrogen use with synthetic fertilisers (FAO, 2016).

Benefits of Integrated Manure Management

**Improved crop production:** Manure is a natural fertiliser containing essential elements required for plant growth. Its application to cropland restores or replenishes soil fertility. It reduces soil erosion, restores eroded croplands and reduces nutrient leaching. All these factors positively affect crop yield, and are therefore expected to contribute to food security.

**Clean, renewable energy:** Conversion of animal manure to biogas through anaerobic digestion processes provides added value to manure as a bioenergy resource, and reduces environmental problems associated with animal manure like the methane emissions, bad odour, and flies. New stove technologies and cleaner fuels, like biogas, reduce exposures to the most health damaging air pollutants (e.g. particulate matter) by as much as 90% (MacCarty et al., 2010).

**Improved farm income:** Farm income is the margin between the costs and the benefits. Both can be influenced by integrated manure management. Cost reductions are less expenditures on e.g. synthetic fertiliser, food purchase, energy, and human health. Increased benefits may come from increased farm sales e.g. food, feed, livestock products, manure, energy; and extra income generating activities. Performing integrated manure management may also require investments in housing, manure storage, treatment and application; which in turn will increase costs for depreciation, interest, maintenance etc. The multiple factors affecting farm income greatly complicate any calculation of changes after improving manure management. Often cost-benefit calculations are restricted to one single factor, e.g. the fertiliser replacement value, or the savings on fossil or biomass fuel purchase.
Challenges to adoption of Integrated Manure Management

A global assessment of manure management policies and practices conducted in 34 tropical countries concluded that manure is poorly stored and handled and often discharged into the environment (Teenstra et al., 2014). Besides a general lack of knowledge and awareness, the survey revealed several barriers withholding farmers from improving their manure management, e.g. limited access to credit, illiteracy, lack of labour, the inability to handle liquid manures in a non-mechanised environment; and the fact that incentives are often restricted to the construction of anaerobic digesters and not to other components of integrated manure management. A key observation is the fact that stakeholders engaged in the enabling environment – like policy makers, credit suppliers, farm advisors, agribusiness etc. – are the main facilitators for practice change at farm level.

Investing in improving manure management practices will benefit the whole society by better crop production leading to more food security in the region.

Where can Integrated Manure Management be practiced?

Integrated Manure Management is a universal approach to optimise the use of manure of livestock in (partial) confinement. Therefore, Integrated Manure Management does not have any geographical limitations. Although the presence of livestock is obvious, also manure from landless livestock farming systems needs to be recycled to crops at the right time and with the right dose, which may imply temporary storage, transport and proper application.

Best practices for Integrated Manure Management depend on local circumstances. It is not a ‘one-size-fits-all’ approach (Teenstra et al., 2015). Key factor is the housing system, because the housing system determines whether dung and urine are collected or collectable and therefore determines the manure characteristics at the start of the chain.

Slurry, high moisture manure, is common in most large-scale livestock operations where animals are kept in confinement. Small-scale operations often produce a more solid and stackable manure, mainly consisting of the dung and perhaps some bedding material. This type of manure is very suitable to produce compost.

How does Integrated Manure Management increase productivity, farm livelihoods, and food security?

Manure applied to soils improves, or restores soil fertility, and increases the potential crop up-take, leading to higher crop yields. Depending on crop nutrient demand and soil nutrient supply, manure application may also reduce the need for supplementary synthetic fertiliser purchase.

Higher crop yields, when sold, increase farm income; or -if used for household consumption- increase food security. When Integrated Manure Management includes biogas production and use, it also saves on fossil or biomass fuel purchase; or time spent on biomass collection, leaving more time available for other income generating activities. For instance in India on average, women spend more than one hour every day collecting firewood (Bloomfield, 2015). Biogas substituting for biomass fuel also has a huge impact on human health, especially that of women and children because they are mostly the ones inhaling the smoke. In 2010...
indoor air pollution was estimated to have caused over 3.5 million premature deaths worldwide (Lim et al., 2012).

How does Integrated Manure Management help adapt to and increase resilience to climate change impacts?

Livestock manure, next to crop residues, is a main source of organic matter in agriculture. The addition of organic matter improves soil physical conditions, particularly aggregation and pore space, which in turn leads to increased water infiltration and water-holding capacity, improved soil tilth, and decreased soil erosion. Organic matter additions also improve soil fertility, since nutrients are released in plant-available mineral forms as organic residues are decomposed (Daniels et al., 2006). Available nutrients and moisture are essential for crop growth and hence soil cover. A good soil cover including a well-developed rooting system reduces the soil eroding effects of wind and rain and thus strengthens the soil’s resilience to climate change. Being 100% inorganic, applying synthetic fertilisers does not contribute to the soil organic matter content.

How does Integrated Manure Management mitigate greenhouse gas emissions?

Integrated Manure Management has the potential to mitigate two powerful greenhouse gases: methane (CH$_4$) and nitrous oxide (N$_2$O). Manure management accounts for about 10% of the total greenhouse gas emissions from livestock supply chains (Gerber et al., 2013). Methane mainly emits from liquid manure when stored in an oxygen-free (anaerobic) environment. Livestock supply chains emit 3.1 Gt CO$_2$eq of methane per year, which accounts for about 44% of the anthropogenic methane emissions (IPCC, 2006), originating from enteric fermentation and manure storage. Methane emissions from storage are estimated at 470 Mt CO$_2$eq per year in 2010 (EPA, 2006). These methane emissions can be prevented by either harvesting the emitted methane as biogas or by changing the manure consistency from liquid to solid and stackable e.g. by composting.

FIGURE 5  Bubble with methane emitting from chicken manure.

Natural emissions of nitrous oxide mainly come from the decomposition of nitrogenous compounds in soils. It is an intermediate product formed during the nitrification of ammonium into nitrate; and during the denitrification of nitrate in soils low in oxygen e.g. waterlogged. Soil oxygen conditions and the time of application are important elements in the last step of the manure chain (Dobbie et al., 1999). Nitrous oxide is also emitted during the decomposition of nitrogen in livestock manure and urine.

Costs and funding for Integrated Manure Management

The costs and funding of integrated manure management largely depend on the scale of operation and the necessary improvements. Site-specific circumstances like the agro-ecological and socio-economic situation will determine what is feasible and what is not. The economies of scale are in favour of large-scale, industrial livestock enterprises, which often have well-developed business plans and access to external capital. Smallholders often lack the funds to invest in change (Steinfeld et al., 2006). Micro-credit programs and possibly subsidies for small investments in improving on-farm manure handling are essential for smallholder operations. Since still the largest share of greenhouse gas emissions comes from more extensive livestock systems dominated by often poor livestock holders, short-term finance will eventually show long-term benefits on micro, meso and macro level.

Looking at it from another perspective. What are the long-term societal costs of climate change (e.g. drought, flooding), soil degradation, ending rock phosphate, biodiversity loss, hunger and malnutrition,
Case studies

No wasted manure

Steep hills and mountains often complicate proper application of livestock manure. Mechanised manure application on these pastures is impossible due to the steep slopes and abundant rainfall. Therefore most dairy farmers use synthetic fertiliser and discharge their manure as a waste (ending up in surface waters). A Costa Rican highland farmer found a solution to tackle this bottleneck. His 20 cows are confined in a plastic covered kraal for approx. 8 hrs. a day. The farmer invested in a small rotary tilling machine used in horticulture to mix and turn the topsoil of the kraal consisting of approx. 90% manure. Fresh dung is mixed with the old dung twice a week enhancing the absorption of urine and stimulating air drying in the covered kraal, providing dry bedding for the cows and an easy to store and to handle organic fertiliser for his pastures, thus saving on synthetic fertilisers. Although some nitrogen will be lost in the process; drying manure is a very effective method to prevent methane emissions. Given the circumstances (steep slopes and high rainfall) the application of dry solid manure to the grassland ensures an optimal use of the valuable nutrients.

Interaction with other CSA practices

Whereas Integrated Manure Management deals with the excretions of ruminant livestock like cattle, sheep, goats and camels, it also interacts with Low Emissions Agriculture. Besides agronomical related issues, Low Emissions Agriculture too aims to reduce the methane emission from enteric fermentation of feed and forage by ruminants. In general, improving the quality and digestibility of feed rations will reduce the amount of enteric methane production and thus methane emission, while enhancing the livestock production (FAO, 2013). And since dung contains the undigested fraction of the ingested feed, also the amount of methane emitting from stored dung/manure is reduced (Munandar et al., 2015).

Chicken feed fish

Laying hens are known to produce nutrient rich manure. A Thai poultry and fish farmer uses this knowledge effectively. The farmer raises over 20,000 layer hens in four separate houses that are built over ponds which house over 300,000 fish. Through the metal slatted battery cages, faeces drop directly into the fish ponds. Turbines are used to push water with nutrients from under the hen houses to the connecting ponds. The manure nutrients from the layer hens are completely used up to feed his fish;
directly or indirectly through the higher algae production. With this practice the farmer reduces his spending on pellet fish food by half. Since the fresh chicken manure is used immediately after excretion, this system avoids any losses to the environment. The phosphate-rich sediment of the ponds is regularly removed and used to fertilise nearby trees.

Composting saves nutrients

On many smallholder farms in the tropics urine of livestock is lost through discharge and only the solid cattle droppings are stacked. This growing manure pile is left in the open air until the next cropping season, during which period many nutrients get lost through leaching, run-off; and volatilization. Active composting, meaning building a layered compost pile followed by regularly turning and mixing, prevents a large loss of valuable crop nutrients.
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FIGURE 7  Extension workers building a compost pile during a field training in Malawi.

Composting liquid manure, including bio-slurry, in a pit is also an effective method to improve the handling possibilities of liquid manure on smallholder farms. This method is highly promoted by extension services. In all cases it is essential to protect the compost pits and piles from rain and sun in order to prevent storage losses.
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FIGURE 8  Bio-slurry flowing from a bio-digester outlet chamber into a compost pit where it is mixed with dry crop residues and feed left-overs before being covered with a thin layer of soil.
PRACTICE BRIEFS ON CSA
The Practice Briefs intend to provide practical operational information on climate-smart agricultural practices.
Please visit www.climatesmartagriculture.org for more information.
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