

STAR-FLOOD: combined social science and legal research



- STrengthening And Redesigning European FLOOD risk practices: towards appropriate and resilient flood risk governance arrangements;
- Researched diversification of Flood Risk Management Strategies in 6 European countries and 18 case studies;
- 1 October 2012 31 March 2016



EU Floods Directive and EU Research Projects (source: Philippe Quevauviller)























Universiteit Antwerpen





Countries and cases

NL: Dordrecht
Nijmegen
Zuidplaspolder
E: River Thames, London
Hull
Leeds

B: Antwerp
Geraadsbergen
Lessines
S: Gotheburg

S: Gotheburg Kristianstad Karlstad

P: Slubice Poznan Wroclaw

F: Nice Nevers Le Havre



Successful flood risk governance achieves the desired outcomes of resilience, efficiency and legitimacy



STARE

FLOOD

- Recommendations pertain to improving flood risk governance <u>processes</u> and <u>outcomes</u>.
 - Processes: increase the chance that outcomes are met.
 - Outcomes: flood-resilience in terms of capacity to resist, to absorb/recover, and to adapt; resource efficiency and legitimacy





Improving flood risk governance processes - 1



- Need for clarity amongst societal actors (including private parties and citizens) about divisions of responsibilities, actual flood risks – societal expectations need to be managed
 - Public authorities are struggling with risk communication; it is tempting for politicians to undertake a defence paradigm.
- Need for a forward planning approach
 - Use of climate change projections, time horizon of 50-100 years.



Improving flood risk goverance processes - 2



- Knowledge infrastructures and processes of joint knowledge production need to be stimulated
 - More need for inter-country exchange of lessons and good practices and dialogues – establish national/international knowledge exchange platforms.
- Private actors, including businesses, community groups and NGOs should adopt partial responsibility for their own risk.
 - Involvement needed for substantive and normative reasons.
 - Think in terms of "multi-actor co-production" rather than "delegating responsibilities to private parties"



Improving flood risk governance processes - 3



- Need to let flood risk governance take place at the most appropriate level
 - No "blind decentralisation" responsibilities should be met with formal powers and resources.
 - Different roles at different levels: e.g. approving/facilitating at national/EU level; initiating at local/regional level.
- Priority of flood risks in spatial planning should be in line with what societies consider acceptable levels of risk

• Elements: discouraging development in high-risk areas, provisions for when development is unavoidable (accountability)



Improving flood risk governance processes - 4



- Clear, enforceable and enforced rules and regulations
- More experience needed with applying catchmentbased approaches to FRM







Enhancing resilience – some design princples - 1

Capacity to resist is enhanced by

- Approaches **tailored to local circumstances** by putting in place the right actors, discourses, rules, resources.

Capacity to absorb and recover is enhanced by

- Appropriate consideration of flood risks in planning processes.
- Effective forecasting and warning systems; emergency preparation and response systems.
- Availability of strategies to recover from floods.



Cumbria, UK

Enhancing resilience – some design princples - 2

Capacity to learn, innovate and improve is enhanced by

- Creating opportunities for social/institutional learning.



STARE FLOOD





Enhancing resource efficiency – some design principles



- Consideration of societal costs vis-a-vis societal benefits needs due concern (e.g. through welldeveloped CBA practices).
- Think in terms of multi-functionality FRM
 measures can serve multiple societal goals (e.g. Delta
 Dikes); or measures not intended for to be FRM
 measures can serve FRM goals (e.g. hydropower
 dams).



Enhancing legitimacy – some design principles



- Need for high degree of **public participation**, **social equity** and **perceived accessability**.
- Need for accountability mechanisms.
- Citizens are aware of their rights and responsibilities.
- Clear and transparent decision making processes.
- Mechanisms and processes needed to ensure access and delivery of procedural justice.





So: appropriate and resilient flood risk governance arrangements ...



...fit within the existing national and local context

...involve public authorities, companies, community groups and citizens and have a clear division of responsibilities

...manage to align different Flood Risk Management Strategies

...ensure that choices made are accompanied with **sufficient** investments and other resources

...establish flood awareness of all societal actors

...have a built-in **long-term perspective** and use/develop future visions/scenarios

...all this stimulated and facilitated by **appropriate rules and regulations** at the local/regional, national and international level.

Thanks for your attention



d.l.t.hegger@uu.nl

See www.star-flood.eu for:

- Final report
- Practitioner's guide
- Policy briefs
- Country-specific reports
- In progress: special feature in Ecology and Society (open access)

