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Subject

• Last year we presented Artis: a system for model driven development and deployment
• In Artis, workflow is driven by the information need
• Data models and business rules can be used to specify the information need
• In this presentation we explain how we realized workflow enactment by comparing database content with business rules
Workflow enactment

Rule violation entered into workload for responsible employee

Enact procedure
Information need

What? When?
In our case: farming
Regional offices
Information need drives workflow

Central Database

What? When?

Workload

Regional Offices
Information need can be represented as a data structure model, for instance (attributes not shown):

Demo: an artis data model (context)
Data model is not sufficient to define the information need

- We do not need to know all attribute values in all cases
- We want the data to satisfy integrity constraints
- We need the information in time
Attach rules to each attribute

**relevant**  **Relevance rule**

**complete+**  **Integrity rule**

**consistent**  **Actuality rule**

**current**

Demo: RIA rule formulation and representation
Act in case of rule violations

Who is responsible?
What should she do?
Keeper determines workload

Relevant? Complete and consistent? Current?
Who is responsible?

Data repository

rules
facts
responsibility
Keeper algorithm

• for each entity in some designated population as a starting point:
  – for each attribute:
    • determine responsible employee and add rule violation to the workload:
      – if the attribute is relevant and the value is not current
      – or if the attribute is relevant and the value is current but does not satisfy the integrity constraint
Two remarks

1. Attributes may be multi-valued (have a collection of entities as value). In that case, execute the keeper algorithm for all entities in the collection too.

2. Evaluation of actuality rules requires a temporal data representation. We represent the value of facts not as a simple value but as a pair of value and validity time.
Who is responsible?

- We record responsibility for entities in the repository as an entity-employee-association.
- No need to designate responsibility for each entity. The keeper traces back to the entity in the population it used as starting point.
What should she do?

- The workflow is described in procedures.
- We record applicability of procedures as an association of procedure and rule.

We use this knowledge to “enact” workflow: enter first task of procedure into responsible employees to-do list.

Demo: workload (Mole) and start.
Conclusion

• Workflow can be enacted by comparing database content with business rules
• This can only be generically achieved by applying a temporal data model
• We need knowledge about:
  – responsibility (of persons)
  – applicability (of procedures)
Questions?

Data repository

- rules
- facts
- responsibility