

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Contrasting nitrogen and phosphorus resorption efficiencies in trees and lianas from a tropical montane rain forest in Xishuangbanna, south-west China

Zhi-quan Cai*^{†1} and Frans Bongers[†]

* Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Mengla 666303, China

[†] Forest Ecology and Forest Management Group, Centre for Ecosystem Studies, Wageningen University, P.O. Box 47, 6700 AH Wageningen, The Netherlands
(Accepted 23 September 2006)

Key Words: leaf area shrinkage, leaf mass loss, nutrient relations, resorption efficiency

Tropical montane rain forest is widely considered to be a highly threatened hotspot of global diversity (Brummitt & Nic Lughadha 2003), and one of the least understood humid tropical forest ecosystems in terms of nutrient cycling (Bruijnzeel & Proctor 1995). There is, therefore, an urgent need to improve our understanding of nutrient cycling processes in this ecosystem, including the absorption of nutrients (mainly N and P) from senescing leaves, which may be a key component of adaptive mechanisms that conserve limiting nutrients (Killingbeck 1996). Nutrients which are not resorbed, however, will be circulated through litterfall in the longer term (Aerts 1996). The degree of nutrient resorption affects litter quality, which consequently affects decomposition rates and soil nutrient availability (Aerts & Chapin 2000). The importance of resorption in nutrient conservation has led to general hypotheses that species adapted to nutrient-poor environments have high resorption efficiencies (Richardson *et al.* 2005), and that low leaf nutrient concentrations are associated with high resorption efficiencies within species (Aerts 1996, Kobe *et al.* 2005). Nutrient resorption has also been shown not to differ greatly between growth forms (e.g. shrubs, grasses, forbs and trees) (Aerts 1996). However, its relative importance among plant functional groups is still highly controversial (Richardson *et al.* 2005).

Lianas, which are important and less-understood components of tropical forests (Schnitzer & Bongers 2002), support substantially more leaves per unit basal area than do trees and can account for 20–40% of the

canopy foliage (Gerwing & Farias 2000, Putz 1983), although their total biomass per unit area is usually only 2–4% of the woody biomass of trees. Moreover, the abundance of lianas and the amount of liana leaf litter as a proportion of total litter production has generally increased in tropical forests in recent years (Wright *et al.* 2004). The study presented here investigated changes in leaf size, leaf mass and foliar nutrient concentrations during leaf senescence in two groups of woody species (liana and tree) in a tropical montane rain forest in Xishuangbanna, south-west China. Our objectives were to assess leaf area shrinkage and mass loss during senescence in woody species, and to compare the nutrient conservation strategies of the two plant growth forms.

The study site was located in the undisturbed tropical montane rain forest in Mengsong (21°27'N, 100°25'E), Xishuangbanna, Yunnan. The climate of Xishuangbanna is dominated by the south-west monsoon with distinct wet (May–October) and dry (November–April) seasons. Mean annual rainfall was 1379 mm, of which about 80% occurs in the wet season. The potential natural vegetation at the Mengsong site is described in detail in Zhu *et al.* (2004). Species sampled (listed in Table 1, nomenclature follows Li *et al.* 1996) were the dominants in their respective growth-form groups, and all of them are evergreen species. Mature individuals of each species with comparable diameters at breast height (dbh) were marked. During the late wet season (September 2004), corresponding to the peak vegetative growth period, fully expanded sun canopy mature leaves were collected using a tree pruner attached to a long handle. In the dry season (March 2005) during the peak of leaf drop for individual species, similar collections were made for senescing leaves. Senesced leaves were collected directly

¹ Corresponding author. Email: czq@xtbg.org.cn

Table 1. Leaf area (LA, cm² per leaf), leaf mass ratio (LMA, g m⁻² per leaf), leaf area shrinkage (LAS = 1 – senesced leaf area/mature leaf area, %), leaf mass loss (LML = 1 – senesced leaf mass/mature leaf mass, %), nutrient concentrations (mg g⁻¹) in mature and senesced leaves, and leaf resorption efficiency (%) of 26 woody species in the tropical montane forest. Resorption efficiency = 1 – nutrient concentration of senesced leaves/nutrient concentration of fresh leaves per leaf (Gusewell 2005, van Heerwaarden *et al.* 2003). NRE, nitrogen resorption efficiency; PRE, phosphorus resorption efficiency. Each leaf area and LMA value presented is a mean of 6–8 replicates. The nutrient concentration values are means of four replicates. Significance levels: ns, non-significant ($P > 0.05$); *, $P < 0.05$.

Tree species	Mature leaves					Senesced leaves					LAS	LML	NRE	PRE
	LA	LMA	N	P	N:P	LA	LMA	N	P					
<i>Alangium chinensis</i>	126.5	53.5	31.5	1.79	17.6	121.0	51.9	12.0	0.66	4.3	7.2	64.6	65.9	
<i>Alseodaphne petiolaris</i>	163.1	128.3	17.8	0.77	23.1	149.8	95.5	7.4	0.36	8.1	31.6	71.5	68.0	
<i>Calophyllum polyanthum</i>	27.2	177.9	16.8	0.84	20.0	26.5	153.8	16.0	0.47	2.4	15.7	19.4	53.1	
<i>Cryptocarya densiflora</i>	85.2	187.9	21.0	0.88	23.9	79.7	172.5	18.1	0.35	6.5	14.2	25.9	66.2	
<i>Cyclobalanopsis sp.</i>	25.9	129.4	17.1	0.72	23.8	23.7	118.8	13.3	0.35	8.8	16.3	34.9	59.1	
<i>Diospyros kerrii</i>	86.1	190.0	18.4	0.78	23.8	85.3	178.6	7.8	0.35	0.9	6.9	60.4	57.8	
<i>Elaeocarpus sylvestris</i>	98.7	76.2	24.0	1.03	23.2	76.7	71.0	9.6	0.47	22.3	27.6	71.0	67.0	
<i>Engelhardtia roxburghiana</i>	67.2	102.2	23.6	1.13	20.9	56.9	95.7	20.8	0.59	15.2	20.6	30.2	58.8	
<i>Euodia trichotoma</i>	56.2	86.1	30.6	1.65	18.5	47.5	76.8	24.5	0.90	15.5	24.7	39.7	59.9	
<i>Hovenia acerba</i>	68.8	51.7	37.2	1.94	19.2	65.5	44.5	16.2	0.68	4.8	18.1	64.4	71.1	
<i>Michelia floribunda</i>	38.1	79.1	20.0	1.51	13.3	34.6	67.8	8.3	0.38	9.1	22.1	67.6	80.3	
<i>Schima superba</i>	70.3	122.1	18.6	0.87	21.4	64.5	104.9	13.3	0.46	8.4	21.3	43.5	58.3	
<i>Toxicodendron succedaneum</i>	27.9	89.6	18.1	1.19	15.2	24.4	84.4	7.0	0.39	12.7	17.9	68.3	72.9	
<i>Toxicodendron sp.</i>	58.8	136.5	24.1	1.78	13.5	56.9	128.2	19.1	0.97	3.2	9.1	27.8	50.3	
Liana species														
<i>Akebia trifoliata</i>	30.0	149.0	18.1	0.89	20.4	25.5	161.7	11.2	0.51	15.2	8.0	42.9	46.8	
<i>Artabotrys hongkongensis</i>	31.8	76.1	23.6	1.23	19.2	24.8	61.5	17.7	1.15	21.9	36.9	44.7	41.0	
<i>Craspedolobium schochii</i>	68.2	96.4	21.5	1.27	16.9	63.5	85.2	15.5	0.72	6.9	17.7	40.6	53.5	
<i>Gnetum pendulum</i>	54.8	110.3	31.1	1.41	22.1	51.3	89.5	27.1	0.81	6.4	24.0	33.9	56.2	
<i>Melodinus henryi</i>	35.6	107.1	22.8	1.90	12.0	31.3	93.0	12.4	0.85	12.1	23.7	58.3	66.0	
<i>Melodinus suaveolens</i>	32.3	91.6	26.9	1.31	20.5	25.8	81.3	18.8	0.90	20.3	29.2	50.6	51.3	
<i>Millettia pachycarpa</i>	41.5	101.5	33.6	1.19	28.3	37.2	91.3	20.4	0.77	10.2	19.1	50.8	47.8	
<i>Smilax corbularia</i>	62.1	100.2	15.8	1.45	10.9	53.2	87.6	10.2	0.81	14.3	25.1	51.6	58.0	
<i>Smilax hypoglauca</i>	15.2	63.2	19.6	0.86	22.8	15.0	60.1	11.6	0.52	1.3	6.1	30.2	43.7	
<i>Smilax microphylla</i>	69.5	87.6	22.9	0.96	23.9	61.6	73.5	16.2	0.71	11.4	25.7	38.5	44.9	
<i>Spatholobus suberectus</i>	114.7	123.5	38.2	1.68	22.7	93.2	103.5	20.4	0.52	18.7	31.9	63.7	79.0	
<i>Uncaria rhynchophylla</i>	27.2	105.9	20.8	0.73	28.4	23.7	96.6	9.5	0.26	12.9	20.6	63.7	71.7	
Mean values														
Tree species	71.4	115	22.8	1.21	19.8	65.2	103.2	13.8	0.53	8.8	18.1	49.2	63.5	
(SD)	39.3	46.4	6.3	0.44	3.8	36.5	42.5	5.5	0.21	5.9	7.3	19.3	8.2	
Liana species	48.6	101	24.6	1.24	20.7	42.2	90.4	15.9	0.71	12.6	22.3	47.5	55.0	
(SD)	24.9	24.4	7.6	0.37	6.5	21.1	26.5	5.4	0.25	5.7	8.8	12.2	15.9	
Significant levels	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	ns	ns	*	

off plants rather than from leaf litter, as we were concerned that decomposition of litter and leaching of leaf nutrients would lead to underestimates of nutrient concentrations in senesced leaves. These leaves are easily identified as they are generally a different colour from live leaves (often red or yellow), and can be removed by a gentle flicking of the branch or leaf. Sets of 6–8 mature leaves and 6–8 senesced leaves of each species were collected and analysed in order to assess changes in leaf area, mass and nutrient concentrations that occurred during the senescence process. The surface areas of mature and senesced leaves were determined using a leaf area meter (LI-3000, Li-Cor, USA). The samples were brought to the laboratory in polyethylene bags and oven-dried at 70 °C to a constant weight, and leaf mass ratio (LMA) was then calculated for both mature and senesced leaves of each species. Changes in leaf

size and leaf mass during senescence were determined for each species as the percentage differences in mean values between the mature and senesced leaves. Nutrient concentrations (N, P) of mature and senesced leaves were determined in the Biogeological Laboratory of the Kunming Division of the Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Green and senesced leaves were analysed by the semi-micro-Kjeldahl method (for N) and the plasma emission spectrometry technique (for P; Benton Jones *et al.* 1991). Since changes in leaf structure during senescence (due to losses of mass or shrinkage in leaf area) can heavily bias estimates of resorption efficiency (van Heerwaarden *et al.* 2003), leaf-level nutrient resorption efficiencies were calculated on a leaf unit basis (Gusewell 2005, van Heerwaarden *et al.* 2003). Leaf and litter nutrient concentrations were log-transformed and resorption data were

square-root-transformed prior to statistical analyses to satisfy ANOVA assumptions. The relationships between mature leaf nutrient concentrations and nutrient resorption were evaluated by Spearman rank correlation analysis.

The mature leaves of the woody species investigated in the tropical montane rain forest differed considerably in size and LMA, which showed 6-fold and 3-fold variations, respectively (Table 1). Although the average leaf area and LMA in mature leaves were slightly larger in trees than in lianas, no significant differences were found between the two groups (two t-tests, each $P > 0.05$). During senescence, leaf shrinkage and leaf mass losses were higher in tree species than in liana species, but there were no significant differences between the two growth forms in these respects (two t-tests, each $P > 0.05$). Compared to previously published values for leaf litter in about 50 tropical forests (Proctor 1984) the P concentrations of the senesced leaves (mean = 0.62 mg g^{-1}) of woody plants in our study we examined were generally higher, but the N concentrations (mean = 14.9 mg g^{-1}) were similar. There were no significant differences between the liana and tree groups in terms of the N concentrations of either their mature or senesced leaves ($P > 0.05$), but the liana species produced leaf litter with higher P concentrations than the tree species (one-way ANOVA, $F = 4.3$, $P = 0.048$). N:P ratios in mature leaves of lianas and trees ranged from 12.0 to 28.4 (average value 20.2), suggesting the presence of strong community-level P limitation in this forest (since N:P ratios > 16.0 indicate P limitation according to Tessier & Raynal 2003). High phosphorus resorption efficiency (PRE) should be favoured under P-limited conditions, and according to Killingbeck (1996), P resorption mechanisms in plants can be regarded as highly efficient if the P concentrations in their senesced leaves are $< 0.05 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$. More than half the species we examined met this criterion, in accordance with the hypothesis that high PRE are likely to be promoted by P-limited conditions. The nitrogen resorption efficiency (NRE), calculated on a leaf basis, varied from 19.4% to 71.0% (mean = 48.9%), and PRE varied from 41.0% to 79.0% (mean = 58.5%) for liana and tree species. Liana species showed similar NRE to tree species ($P > 0.05$), but had significantly lower PRE ($F = 5.1$, $P = 0.033$) than the tree species. In accordance with findings of other studies of nutrient relations during leaf senescence (Aerts 1996, Wright & Westoby 2003, Zotz 2004), nutrient resorption was not correlated with the nutrient status of green leaves prior to senescence ($r = 0.19\text{--}0.37$, $P > 0.05$) in either lianas or trees. These findings indicate that the efficiency of the resorption process is not determined by plant nitrogen status, as previously concluded in an analysis of data from temperate species (Aerts 1996) and demonstrated for various tropical forest tree species (Del Arco *et al.* 1991, Lal *et al.* 2001, Wright & Westoby 2003).

NRE and PRE were significantly positively correlated in both lianas ($r = 0.78$, $P = 0.003$) and trees ($r = 0.67$, $P = 0.008$). These correlations suggest that N resorption may be controlled by biochemical processes similar to those that control P resorption, in accordance with the results of studies of woody species in tropical forests (Lal *et al.* 2001) and wetland graminoids (Gusewell 2005). However, other studies have found no significant correlations between the N and P resorption efficiencies of certain plants (Chapin & Kedrowski 1983, Shaver & Melillo 1984). These discrepancies may be due, at least in part, to differences in the methodologies used by the different authors. Reductions in leaf mass during senescence could cause mass-based resorption efficiency to be underestimated by about 20% because the translocation of carbon is not taken into account, while area-based measures can underestimate resorption efficiency by about 10% (van Heerwaarden *et al.* 2003).

In conclusion, the relative leaf shrinkage and mass loss during senescence did not differ significantly, on average, between lianas and trees. The nutrient concentrations in the mature leaves and nitrogen resorption efficiency of the liana species were similar to those of the tree species, but the phosphorus concentrations of the liana litter were higher, and their phosphorus resorption efficiencies were lower, in the strongly P-limited montane rain forest we examined. Our results therefore provide clear evidence in favour of a novel mechanism whereby lianas may influence the ecosystems in which they occur. Through the production of nutrient-rich litter, they have the potential to greatly enhance the availability of nutrients within paths where they are abundant, and thus have significant possible effects on small-scale biodiversity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Chen Ya-Jun and two anonymous undergraduate students for their help in the field work, and Wen Bin and San Tu for identifying species. This study was financially supported by a grant (30500065) from the National Science Foundation in China, and a sandwich-PhD grant from Wageningen University, the Netherlands.

LITERATURE CITED

- AERTS, R. 1996. Nutrient resorption from senescing leaves of perennials: are there general patterns? *Journal of Ecology* 84:597–608.
- AERTS, R. & CHAPIN, F. S. 2000. The mineral nutrition of wild plants revisited: a re-evaluation of processes and patterns. *Advances in Ecological Research* 30:1–67.

- BENTON JONES, J., WOLF, B. & MILLS, H. A. 1991. *Plant analysis handbook: a practical sampling, preparation, analysis, and interpretation guide*. Micro-Macro Publishing, Athens. 213 pp.
- BRUMMITT, N. & NIC LUGHADHA, E. 2003. Biodiversity: where's hot and where's not. *Conservation Biology* 17:1442–1448.
- BRUIJNZEEL, L. A. & PROCTOR, J. 1995. Hydrology and biogeochemistry of tropical cloud montane forest: what do we really know? Pp. 38–78 in Hamilton, L. S., Juvik, J. O. & Scatena, F. N. (ed). *Tropical montane cloud forests*. New York, Springer.
- CHAPIN, F. S. & KEDROWSKI, R. A. 1983. Seasonal changes in nitrogen and phosphorus fraction and autumn retranslocation in evergreen and deciduous Taiga trees. *Ecology* 64:376–391.
- DEL ARCO, J. M., ESCUDERO, A. & GARRIDO, M. V. 1991. Effects of site characteristics on nitrogen retranslocation from senescing leaves. *Ecology* 72:701–708.
- GERWING, J. J. & FARIAS, D. L. 2000. Integrating liana abundance and forest stature into an estimate of total aboveground biomass for an eastern Amazonian forest. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 16:327–335.
- GUSEWELL, S. 2005. Nutrient resorption of wetland graminoids is related to the type of nutrient limitation. *Functional Ecology* 19:344–354.
- KILLINGBECK, K. T. 1996. Nutrients in senesced leaves: keys to the search for potential resorption and resorption proficiency. *Ecology* 77:1716–1727.
- KOBE, R. K., LEPCZYK, C. A. & IYER, M. 2005. Resorption efficiency decreases with increasing green leaf nutrients in a global data set. *Ecology* 86:2780–2792.
- LAL, C. B., ANNAPURNA, C., RAGHUBANSHI, A. S. & SINGH, J. S. 2001. Effect of leaf habit and soil type on nutrient resorption and conservation in woody species of a dry tropical environment. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 79:1066–1075.
- LI, Y. H., PEI, S. J. & XU, Z. F. 1996. *List of plants in Xishuangbanna*. Yunnan National Press, Kunming. 555 pp.
- PROCTOR, J. 1984. Tropical forest litterfall. II. The data set. Pp. 83–113 in Chadwick, A. C. & Sutton, S. L. (ed). *Tropical rainforest: the Leeds Symposium*. Leeds Philosophical and Literary Society, Leeds.
- PUTZ, F. E. 1983. Liana biomass and leaf area of a “tierra firme” forest in the Rio Negro basin, Venezuela. *Biotropica* 15:185–189.
- RICHARDSON, S. J., PELTZER, D. A., ALLEN, R. B. & MCGLONE, M. S. 2005. Resorption proficiency along a chronosequence; responses among communities and within species. *Ecology* 86:20–25.
- SCHNITZER, S. A. & BONGERS, F. 2002. The ecology of lianas and their role in forests. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 17:223–230.
- SHAVER, G. R. & MELILLO, J. M. 1984. Nutrient budgets of marsh plants: efficiency concepts and relation to availability. *Ecology* 65:1491–1510.
- TESSIER, J. T. & RAYNAL, D. Y. 2003. Use of nitrogen to phosphorus ratios in plant tissue as an indicator of nutrient limitation and nitrogen saturation. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 40:523–534.
- VAN HEERWAARDEN, L. M., TOET, S. & AERTS, R. 2003. Current measures of nutrient resorption efficiency lead to a substantial underestimation of real resorption efficiency: facts and solutions. *Oikos* 101:664–669.
- WRIGHT, I. J. & WESTOBY, M. 2003. Nutrient concentration, resorption and lifespan: leaf traits of Australian sclerophyll species. *Functional Ecology* 17:10–19.
- WRIGHT, S. J., CALDERON, O., HERNANDEZ, A. & PATON, S. 2004. Are lianas increasing in importance in tropical forests? A 17-year record from Panama. *Ecology* 85:484–489.
- ZHU, H., WANG, H. & LI, B. G. 2004. Plant diversity and physiognomy of a tropical montane rain forest in Mengsong, southern Yunnan, China. *Acta Phytocologica Sinica* 28:351–360.
- ZOTZ, G. 2004. The resorption of phosphorus is greater than that of nitrogen in senescing leaves of vascular epiphytes from lowland Panama. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 20:693–696.