Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants (XIII): MANGANESE METABOLISM AND REQUIREMENTS IN RUMINANTS

A.M. van den Top

CVB documentatierapport nr. 45 November 2005 (unchanged reprint 2009)

For valuable feeding values



© Central Bureau for Livestock Feeding 2005

No part of this publication may be reproduced and/or published by means of printing, photocopying, microfilming or otherwise in whatever manner without the prior permission in writing of the Central Bureau for Livestock Feeding.

This publication has been compiled with great care; however, the Central Bureau for Livestock Feeding cannot be held liable in any way for the consequences of using the information in this publication.

Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants (XIII): MANGANESE METABOLISM AND REQUIREMENTS IN RUMINANTS

A. M. van den Top Adviesbureau VOER-RAAD Groenekan

CVB documentatierapport nr. 45 November 2005 (unchanged reprint 2009)

Productschap Diervoeder CVB Postbus 29739 2502 LS Den Haag Telefoon 070 – 3708 503 Telefax 070 – 3708 290 E-mail cvb@pdv.nl Internet www.cvb.pdv.nl

PREFACE

In the Netherlands the 'Handleiding Mineralenonderzoek bij rundvee in de praktijk'¹ is a wellknown publication that has been used already for decades as a guide to trace and treat mineral disorders in cattle. The fifth edition of this guidebook was published in 1996. The content of this publication was largely identical to that of the fourth edition (1990). Therefore the (independent) committee that is responsible for the contents of the guidebook (the 'Commissie Onderzoek Minerale Voeding'², COMV) decided in 2000 that a thorough revision was desired.

The committee was of the opinion that, if possible, the available scientific literature should be summarized and evaluated once again. Furthermore, attention should be paid to the mineral provision of categories of cattle other than dairy cattle, as well as to that of sheep and goats. Finally, the basic principles for the calculation of the mineral requirements should be described in a transparent way.

The intended revision was made possible as the Dutch 'Ministerie van Landbouw, Natuur en Voedselkwaliteit' (LNV), the 'Productschap Diervoeder' and the 'Productschap Zuivel'³ were willing to subsidize this extensive and ambitious project.

The COMV decided to execute the project as follows.

- External experts, invited by the COMV, should summarize and evaluate the relevant literature in a so-called 'basal document' (with two exceptions to be written in English).
- Subsequently, these documents should be critically evaluated by the COMV.
- These basal documents should then be used to write and arrange the several chapters of the revised 'Handleiding'.

The revised 'Handleiding' is available (in the Dutch language) since October 2005, under the title 'Handleiding mineralenvoorziening rundvee, schapen en geiten.'⁴ This book is published by the 'Centraal Veevoederbureau' (CVB; Central Bureau for Livestock Feeding) in Lelystad, as was also the case for the previous edition.

The COMV was of the opinion that the valuable basal documents, that became available during the course of this project, should be published too. By doing so everyone has the possibility to trace the basis for the text of the revised 'Handleiding'. The CVB was gladly willing to issue these documents as CVB Documentation reports. In connection with this the authors and the members of the COMV have disclaimed all rights and have assigned them to the Productschap Diervoeder, of which the CVB is one of the services.

For an overview of the CVB Documentation Reports that will appear in this context, you are referred to an Annex in the back of this report.

For the preparation of the present report on the Manganese provision in ruminants the COMV expresses its gratitude to the author, dr. A.M. van den Top.

Utrecht/Lelystad, November 2005.

Professor dr. ir. A.C. Beynen	Dr. M.C. Blok
Chair of the COMV	Secretary of the COMV and Head of the CVB

The author, Dr. A.M. van den Top, expresses his thanks to the COMV, especially prof. dr. A. Th. van 't Klooster, dr. H. Valk and dr. M.C. Blok, for critically reading the manuscript and their advice.

¹ Guidebook on mineral research for cattle in practice.

² Committee for research on mineral nutrition

³ The Ministry for Agriculture, Nature and Food quality, the Product Board Animal Feed and the Dutch Dairy Board, respectively.

⁴ Guidebook mineral provision cattle, sheep and goats.

MEMBERS OF THE 'COMMISSIE ONDERZOEK MINERALE VOEDING' (COMMITTEE FOR RESEARCH ON MINERAL NUTRITION)

Prof. Dr. Ir. A. C. Beynen	Afdeling Voeding, Faculteit Diergeneeskunde, Universiteit Utrecht (Department Nutrition, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht University) Utrecht
Dr. M. C. Blok	Veevoederbureau, Productschap Diervoeder (Bureau for Livestock Feeding, Product Board Animal Feed) Lelystad / Den Haag
Ir. D. J. den Boer	Nutriënt Management Instituut (NMI) (Nutrient Management Institute) Wageningen
Ir. G. van Duinkerken	Divisie Veehouderij, Animal Sciences Group van WUR (Division Animal Husbandry, Animal Sciences Group of Wageningen University and Research Centre) Lelystad
Dr. Ir. A. W. Jongbloed	Divisie Veehouderij, Animal Sciences Group van WUR (Division Animal Husbandry, Animal Sciences Group of Wageningen University and Research Centre) Lelystad
Prof. Dr. A. Th. Van 't Klooster	Adviseur van de COMV (Advisor of the COMV)
Dr. Ir. W. M. van Straalen	Schothorst Feed Research Lelystad
Dr. Ir. H. Valk	Divisie Veehouderij, Animal Sciences Group van WUR (Division Animal Husbandry, Animal Sciences Group of Wageningen University and Research Centre) Lelystad
Dr. J. Veling	Gezondheidsdienst voor Dieren b.v. (Animal Health Service Ltd) Deventer

CONTENT

Preface	1
Members of the 'Commissie Onderzoek Minerale Voeding' (Committee for research of	on
mineral nutrition)	2
List of abbreviations	4
1 Functions of manganese in the body	5
2 Distribution of manganese in the body and manganese kinetics	5
3 Manganese absorption and metabolism	7
3.1 General	7
3.2 Differences in manganese metabolism due to different manganese sources	7
3.2.1 Cattle and goats	7
3.2.2 Sheep	7
3.2.3 Conclusion	8
3.3 Interactions influencing manganese absorption	8
3.3.1 General	8
3.3.2 Lacking data	8
3.3.3 Interactions of manganese and iron	8
3.3.4 Interactions of manganese and cadmium	8
3.3.5 Interactions of manganese and zinc	9
3.3.6 Interactions of manganese and aluminium, calcium and phosphorus	9
3.3.7 Interactions of manganese and nickel	10
3.3.8 Interactions of manganese and lead	10
3.3.9 Conclusion	10
3.4 Recycling	11
3.5 Excretion	11
4 Manganese requirements	13
4.1 Cattle	13
4.1.1 Dairy cattle	13
4.1.2 Beef cattle	13
4.2 Sheep	13
4.3 Goats	14
5 Allowances	15
6 Criteria to judge manganese status	17
6.1 Potential indicators of Mn status	17
6.2 Conclusions	18
7 Deficiency	19
7.1 General	19
7.2 Direct measures in deficiency cases	19
7.2.1 Direct continuous supplementation	19
7.2.2 Direct discontinuous and slow release oral supplementation	19
8 Toxicity	21
8.1 General	21
8.2 Direct measures in toxicity cases	21
9 Prevention of deficiency	23
9.1 Short-term prevention strategies	23
9.2 Long-term prevention strategies	23
Literature	25
ANNEX: Overview of the series of CVB documentation reports 'Reviews on the miner	al
provision in ruminants'	31

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Unit	Description
BW	kg	Body weight
DM		Dry matter
DMI	kg	Dry matter intake
MnMet		Manganese-methionine chelate
MnSOD		Mn superoxide dismutase

1 FUNCTIONS OF MANGANESE IN THE BODY

Manganese is needed for proper function of the enzymes galactotransferase and glycosyltransferase, which are essential for normal cartilage and bone growth and development (production of mucopolysaccharides and glycoproteins). Furthermore, Mn is involved in blood clotting (prothrombin (via glycosyltransferases), vitamin K), lipid and glucose metabolism (reduced back fat in Mn-deficient goat kids), resistance to oxygen radicals (manganese superoxide dismutase) and reproduction (cholesterol synthesis) [65;75].

2 DISTRIBUTION OF MANGANESE IN THE BODY AND MANGANESE KINETICS

In the body, Mn is mainly present in the wall of the gastrointestinal tract (\pm 40%), the skin (\pm 25%), the muscles, bones and the liver (\pm 10% each). Besides this, a proportion of total body Mn is present in wool [75].

Excess dietary Mn is not extensively stored in the body. In lambs fed rations⁵ containing 13-45 ppm Mn (DM), liver and bone Mn concentrations did not differ significantly [55]. Similarly, in calves fed a ration⁶ containing either 12 or 50 ppm Mn for 10 weeks, liver, kidney and heart Mn concentrations were hardly different [40]. Liver Mn concentrations were 7.7 and 9.6 ppm (DM) for the 2 treatment groups, respectively. However, in calves fed a milk replacer (5 ppm Mn) supplemented with either 40, 200, 500 or 1000 ppm Mn (DM) for 5 weeks, liver Mn concentrations were 7.2, 10.3, 15.6 and 26.7 ppm (DM). On the other hand, heart, muscle and kidney Mn concentrations did not significantly differ between the groups [43]. Bone Mn concentrations were not determined. In sheep fed a ration⁷ containing either 30 or 4030 ppm Mn, Mn concentrations in liver, kidney, heart, spleen, brain and muscle were significantly higher in the 4030 ppm group [79]. In a group of 32 sheep fed extremely high Mn levels of 8000 ppm for 6 weeks, a breakdown of Mn homeostasis occurred in 3 sheep, resulting in liver Mn concentrations of 1522, 1172 and 970 ppm (DM)) [13]. Apparently, very high Mn concentrations cause Mn accumulation in the liver to a certain extent.

On the other hand, lambs fed diets containing either 0.8 or 29.9 ppm Mn for 22 weeks had heart Mn concentrations of 0.39 and 0.66 ppm and liver Mn concentrations of 1.51 and 2.28 ppm, respectively, whereas bone, kidney and muscle Mn concentrations hardly differed between the groups (\pm 5.4 ppm in ash) [53]. Intestinal Mn concentrations were not determined. In calves (from dams given 13-21 ppm Mn during pregnancy) given milk containing 14.5 ppm Mn for 7 days after birth, liver Mn concentrations ranged from 411-942 ppm (DM), whereas their non-supplemented counterparts had values of 4-9 ppm (DM) [35]. In goats fed a ration⁸ containing > 90 ppm Mn, liver, kidney, spleen, heart, rib and hair Mn concentrations were significantly higher than corresponding values in a depletion group receiving a ration containing only 1.9 ppm Mn [9]. When the 90 ppm Mn-group was compared with a group on a ration containing 5.5 ppm Mn, hardly any significant difference in tissue Mn concentrations could be observed. Thus, in case of extreme Mn deficiency soft tissues (mainly liver and heart) seem to contribute to Mn homeostasis⁹, whereas bone Mn is hardly mobilized.

⁵ Oat straw/lupine seed/urea ration.

 $[\]frac{6}{2}$ Barley/urea ration; extra Mn from MnSO₄.H₂O.

⁷ Grass hay/soybean meal/maize ration; extra Mn from MnCO₃.

⁸ No data on ration composition given.

⁹ Or, in other words, Mn distribution in the body differs between deficient and sufficient dietary Mn supply.

Manganese crosses the placenta, more than 50% of an oral dose of ⁵⁴Mn given to pregnant cows being accumulated in the foetus after 7 days [32].

Manganese uptake from the intestine in ruminants was shown to start in the rumen [80], although this could not be observed in other experiments [24]. Rapid uptake occurs from the small intestine, which is the main site of Mn absorption. Besides this, Mn uptake from the large intestine was shown to occur [24]. As ⁵⁴Mn accumulates in the intestinal tissues, whereas tissues outside the gastrointestinal tract are relatively poor in Mn, Mn uptake into the body may be regulated at the serosal level of the intestines [60]. After uptake, in the bloodstream Mn is mainly transported bound to transferrin [75].

3 MANGANESE ABSORPTION AND METABOLISM

3.1 General

Clear data on true Mn absorption in ruminants are not available. Absorption of Mn is generally assumed to be low (3-4% [60] or even 0.75% [65]). It is not clear what kind of absorption is mentioned. In an experiment with calves on a milk diet containing 0.04 ppm Mn (DM) and given a single oral dose of ⁵⁴Mn ¹⁰ only 43% of the activity could be recovered from the faeces during the next 7 days. Urinary excretion was 14% of dose. When the dietary Mn concentration was raised to 14.5ppm (DM), 77 and 8% of dose could be recovered from the faeces and the urine, respectively [35]. At supplemental Mn levels of 40, 500, 700 and 1000 ppm (DM) apparent Mn absorptions in calves¹¹ were 49.2, 31.5, 21.3 and 19.9%, respectively [43]. In adult sheep fed 4030 ppm Mn, apparent absorption was 2.3 % [79]. For a detailed review of Mn metabolism see reference [37].

3.2 Differences in manganese metabolism due to different manganese sources

Both inorganic ($MnSO_4$, H_2O , MnO, MnO_2 , $MnCO_3$, $MnCl_2$) and organic (MnMet) Mn sources are available for use in ruminant rations. The MnMet is a chelate of Mn and methionine. There have been no reports on differences in Mn availability between forages and concentrates.

3.2.1 Cattle and goats

No experimental evidence is reported on differences in bioavailability between Mn sources for cattle or goats.

3.2.2 <u>Sheep</u>

Hardly any data are available on differences in absorption between different Mn sources for sheep. In an experiment with wether lambs (42 kg BW), the relative bioavailability of different inorganic Mn sources was compared [81]. The basal diet (38 ppm Mn (DM)¹²) was supplemented with 1500, 3000 or 4500 ppm Mn (from MnSO₄.H₂O) or 3000 ppm from either MnSO₄.H₂O, MnO, MnO₂ or MnCO₃. Based on multiple linear regression of bone, kidney and liver Mn concentrations on total dietary Mn concentrations the relative bio-availabilities of these sources were 100, 58, 33 and 28% for MnSO₄.H₂O, MnO, MnO₂ and MnCO₃, respectively. In two other experiments with wether lambs (42 kg BW), performed by the same research group, the availabilities of MnSO₄.H₂O, MnO and MnMet were compared [30]. In the first experiment (21 days), dietary Mn concentration of the basal diet was 34 ppm (DM). The basal diet was supplemented with either 900, 1800 or 2700 ppm Mn (from either MnSO₄.H₂O or MnMet). In the second experiment, the basal diet contained 32 ppm Mn (DM), whereas the experimental groups were supplemented with either 900, 1800 or 2700 ppm (from MnSO₄.H₂O) or 1800 ppm Mn from either MnMet or MnO (two sources). Based on multiple linear regression of bone, kidney and log-transformed liver Mn concentrations on total dietary Mn concentrations the relative bio-availabilities of these sources were 100, 121, 70 and 53% for MnSO₄.H₂O, MnMet or the two MnO sources.

¹⁰ The oral dose was 100 μ Ci from ⁵⁴MnCl₂ in 0.5 N HCl solution.

¹¹ Milk replacer containing 5 ppm Mn (DM); the calves were 3 days old at the start of the experiment ¹² This level is considered to be more or less sufficient (see 14.5).

3.2.3 <u>Conclusion</u>

The scarce data virtually preclude any conclusion as to differences in availability between Mn sources for sheep. However, $MnSO_4$. H_2O and MnMet seem to be the best available sources, the other inorganic sources being less available. As MnMet may be the most expensive of these sources, the differences in availability are not sufficiently large to justify the recommendation of this source and, in turn, $MnSO_4$. H_2O may be the source of choice [65]. Unless proven otherwise, this is assumed to be valid for all ruminants.

3.3 Interactions influencing manganese absorption

3.3.1 <u>General</u>

As discussed in paragraph 6, hardly any suitable indicators of Mn status in the animal are available. However, in several experiments investigating interactions of dietary components with Mn metabolism certain parameters of Mn metabolism (Mn in liver, blood, bone etc.) have been employed. These criteria have to be judged with caution.

3.3.2 Lacking data

No experimental evidence on the influence of Fe, Al and/or P (goats), Cd or Zn (cattle and goats) and Ni (small ruminants) on Mn metabolism is available.

As both Mn and Fe compete for at least some binding sites in the intestinal wall of rats, mutual inhibition of absorption occurs [74]. Therefore, it would not be unlikely that this antagonism also takes place in ruminants.

3.3.3 Interactions of manganese and iron.

3.3.3.1 <u>Cattle</u>

In ruminating calves (89 kg BW) fed a diet¹³ supplemented with 1000 ppm Fe no significant differences in Mn content of tissues (liver, kidney, pancreas, spleen, small intestine, muscle, rib) or bile could be observed when compared with a control group not receiving extra Fe [33].

3.3.3.2 <u>Sheep</u>

In sheep lambs (18 kg BW) fed concentrates containing either 250, 100 or 1750 ppm Fe (DM; from $FeSO_4.7H_2O$) and hay¹⁴ for 84 days, no differences in tissue Mn concentrations (brain, kidneys, spleen, liver, muscle, rib, wool) between the different groups could be observed [20;25].

3.3.4 Interactions of manganese and cadmium

Loading of mice and rats with Cd has been shown to reduce Mn levels in their tissues as compared with non Cd-loaded ones [18]. Therefore, this interaction might occur in ruminants either.

When Cd (0, 5, 15, 30 or 60 ppm) was added to the diet (0.2 ppm Cd, Mn content not given) of ram lambs, liver Mn concentrations were significantly decreased in the highest Cd-group (60 ppm Cd) when compared with the other groups [18]. No differences in Mn concentrations

¹³ Maize/soybean meal diet; 220 ppm Fe and 55 ppm Mn (DM); extra Fe from FeCO₃

¹⁴ No data on Mn content of the ration

due to Cd loading were observed in rumen, abomasum, ileum, heart, spleen, lungs, testicles or kidneys. The experiment lasted for 191 days.

3.3.5 Interactions of manganese and zinc

In sheep given a grain / hay ration containing either 2667 (weeks 0-4) or 4000 (weeks 5-14) ppm Zn, significantly lower Mn concentrations in pancreas tissue were observed when compared with control animals not supplemented with Zn^{15} [4]. In the control animals, pancreas Mn concentration was 205 µmol/kg, whereas Mn concentrations were 106 (no histological kidney lesions) or 66 ppm (histological kidney lesions) in the Zn-treated group.

3.3.6 Interactions of manganese and aluminium, calcium and phosphorus

3.3.6.1 <u>Cattle</u>

3.3.6.1.1 <u>Aluminium</u>

In growing beef steers (226 kg BW) fed additional AI for 84 days^{16,17}), no significant differences in Mn concentrations of liver, kidney, muscle or brain could be observed [77]. Added AI levels were 0, 300, 600 or 1200 ppm. Performance was not significantly influenced.

3.3.6.1.2 Calcium and phosphorus

In calves fed a ration¹⁸ containing either 1.7, 6.7, 13.1 or 23.5 g Ca/kg, Mn content of rib tissue was significantly increased in the 6.7 g Ca/kg-group, whereas rib Mn content in all other Ca groups as well as liver and pancreas Mn concentrations were not different between the groups [3]. In cows fed a diet¹⁹ supplemented with 30 g dicalcium phosphate/kg for one year compared with non-supplemented animals, no differences in blood, bone and kidney Mn levels were observed [70]. Liver Mn concentrations tended to be higher and breaking strength of the humerus was considerably higher in the supplemented group (767 kg of vertical pressure) compared with the non-supplemented group (443 kg). However, no statistical data were given. Similarly, calves from Hereford heifers fed milk diets supplemented with 15 g Ca/kg²⁰ of diet for 7 days after birth, liver and bone Mn concentrations were higher than in non-supplemented calves [35]. Again, no clear statistical evidence on these differences was given.

3.3.6.2 Sheep

Two experiments using wether lambs were carried out investigating the influence of Al and P on tissue mineral composition [71;76]. Rations²¹ were similar and contained 1.5 or 1.7 g P/kg DM, 168 ppm Al (DM), 24 or 26 ppm added Mn (DM; basal diet) and 3.2 or 4.2 g P/kg DM and 2168 or 1618 ppm Al (DM; experimental diet). In both experiments, additional Al significantly depressed DMI and growth. In the first experiment [76], added Al significantly depressed liver Mn concentrations (10.4 and 12.8 ppm (DM) for high- and low Al groups,

¹⁵ No data on the Zn content of the control ration.

¹⁶ Maize/soybean meal/cottonseed hulls ration (210 ppm total AI); 26 ppm Mn added; extra AI from AICI₃.6H₂O.

¹⁷ Although data on normal AI concentrations in feeds and AI requirements are scarce, sweet clover was reported to contain 139 ppm AI (DM) [21]. In the ration of young goats, 25 ppm AI (DM) seemed to be sufficient [6].

¹⁸ Maize/soybean meal/grass pellets/cottonseed hulls ration; \pm 3.4 g P/kg; 30 ppm Mn added; extra Ca from CaCO₃.

¹⁹ Barley (straw)/cottonseed meal/urea diet.

²⁰ Extra Ca from limestone.

²¹ Maize/soybean meal/cottonseed ration; extra Al from AlCl₃.6H₂O.

respectively). Muscle Mn levels were significantly lower (0.2 ppm (DM)) in the high-P group when compared with the low-P group (0.3 ppm (DM)). In the second experiment [71], only in heart tissue Mn concentrations were lower in the high-P than in the low-P group. No differences due to either Al or P were found in Mn concentrations of liver, kidney, muscle or spleen tissues.

In wethers fed diets²² containing either 0 or 2000 ppm added Al/kg for 60 days, no significant (p < 0.05) influences of Al treatment on Mn concentrations in bone, liver, kidney, brain, spleen, pancreas, parathyroid gland or pituitary gland could be observed, although liver Mn concentrations tended to be lower due to Al treatment [5].

3.3.7 Interactions of manganese and nickel

In dairy calves (74 kg BW), no influence of the addition of 5 ppm Ni to a ration²³ containing on average 0.5 ppm Ni and 8 ppm added Mn²⁴ on performance and Mn concentrations in liver, kidney, spleen, lung, heart and muscle could be demonstrated [72].

3.3.8 Interactions of manganese and lead

In cattle²⁵, ingestion of a ration²⁶ supplemented with either 6.3, 7.8, 9.8 of 12.2 mg Pb/kg BW²⁷ even the lowest Pb dose significantly depressed renal Mn concentrations as compared with the unsupplemented control group (3.6 vs. 6.0 μ g/kg DM) [19]. Manganese concentrations in liver, spleen, heart and brain were not affected by Pb loading. Assuming a DMI of 7 kg/day, the lowest Pb concentration in the supplemented rations would be ± 300 ppm (DM). For comparison, in the past Pb concentrations of ± 200 ppm (DM) were reported in forage from the verges of motorways [78].

3.3.9 <u>Conclusion</u>

3.3.9.1 <u>Iron</u>

Although the Fe levels used in all experiments are thought to be more or less comparable with those occurring in practice (e.g. from contamination of feeds with soil) [36], no effects of additional Fe on Mn metabolism could be observed. Therefore, under practical conditions the influence of Fe on Mn metabolism in cattle and sheep will be largely unimportant. Until more information is available, this is assumed to be also the case for goats.

3.3.9.2 <u>Cadmium</u>

As only one experiment with sheep reports on the influence of Cd on Mn metabolism, hardly any conclusion can be drawn. Moreover, only the highest Cd concentration (60 ppm) depresses liver Mn concentrations. This concentration considerably exceeds those normally found in herbage under practical conditions (0.1-0.8 ppm (DM)) or even in Cd-contaminated areas (1-21 ppm (DM))[61]. In sheep, the influence of Cd on Mn metabolism does not seem to be of practical importance.

Maize (kernels/cobs/gluten meal)/grass hay diet (242 ppm Al; extra Al from AlCl₃ no Mn content given.
 Maize (kernels/cobs/gluten meal)/grass hay diet (242 ppm Al; extra Al from AlCl₃ no Mn content given.

²³ Maize/cottonseed hulls; extra Ni from NiCl₂.6H₂O.

²⁴ From MnO.

²⁵ Two to 3 years of age, mean BW 335 kg.

²⁶ 35% Lucerne, 35% Bermuda grass and 30% maize; DMI not given.

²⁷ From Pb acetate.

3.3.9.3 <u>Zinc</u>

Evidence is very scarce and the Zn levels applied extremely exceed normal Zn requirements (see CVB Documentation report Nr. 44). Only when Zn is administered to combat facial eczema [75], such high dietary Zn levels can occur. As yet, there is no evidence that dietary Zn will hamper Mn metabolism under normal practical circumstances.

3.3.9.4 <u>Aluminium, calcium and phosphorus</u>

The AI concentrations used in the experiment mentioned above, substantially exceed even those found in plants from polluted areas (e.g. 237 ppm AI (DM) in sweet clover grown on fly ash [21]). Nevertheless, evidence on influences of AI on Mn metabolism is conflicting, whereas effects are minor. Even very high Ca concentrations do not significantly influence Mn metabolism. If any, dietary Ca increases tissue Mn concentrations, in contrast with the NRC statement that Ca might reduce Mn absorption [65]. Although the employed P (and Ca (partial)) levels are practical, in a conclusion, the practical importance of AI, Ca and P on Mn metabolism in ruminants seems to be minor.

3.3.9.5 <u>Nickel</u>

Under practical circumstances, influences of Ni on Mn metabolism in ruminants are considered to be irrelevant.

3.3.9.6 <u>Lead</u>

In Pb-contaminated areas, Mn metabolism may be impaired, although it is difficult to judge the relative importance of lowered renal Mn concentrations as compared with the unaffected Mn concentrations in other organs.

3.4 Recycling

Hardly any data are available on the recycling of Mn in ruminants. Re-uptake of Mn excreted via the bile is very limited [75].

3.5 Excretion

The bile is one of the main routes of excretion of excess absorbed Mn, whereas the amount of Mn excreted via the urine is negligible [75]. In steers intravenously loaded with Mn (from MnCl₂), the maximum biliary Mn concentration was 193 ppm, whereas the maximum biliary excretion rate was 1210 µg Mn/min. [28]. Calves on a diet²⁸ supplemented with 1000 ppm Mn for 18 days had more than 7-fold higher Mn concentrations (6.48 ppm (DM)) in their bile than control calves not supplemented with Mn (0.87 ppm (DM)) [33]. Kidney Mn concentrations were not different between the groups. Similarly, in calves fed milk replacer containing either 40, 200, 500 or 1000 ppm (DM) supplemental Mn for 5 weeks, corresponding bile Mn concentrations were 2.1, 3.5, 14.3 and 17.1 µg/mL, respectively, whereas kidney Mn concentrations were not different between the groups [43]. As already suggested by the unchanged kidney Mn concentrations at different dietary Mn intakes, the contribution of urinary Mn excretion is minor. In calves fed a barley/urea ration containing either 12 or 50 ppm Mn (extra Mn from MnSO₄.H₂O), urinary Mn excretion was 0.45 and 0.15% of daily Mn intake, respectively [40]. Similarly, in rams on a grain diet²⁹ and dosed with 10 µCi/kg BW of ⁵⁴Mn (from MnCl₂), within 96 hours 59.2% of dose was excreted via the faeces, whereas 0.17% of dose was excreted via the urine [39]. In sheep fed different maize and lucerne silages faecal Mn excretion ranged from 86-99% of intake, whereas urinary Mn

²⁸ Maize/soybean meal (55 ppm Mn (DM)); extra Mn from MnCO₃.

²⁹ Mn content of the ration not given.

excretion ranged from 0.5-1% of intake [42]. In sheep fed 3431 mg Mn/day, 3352 mg was excreted via the faeces, whereas 1.5% was excreted via the urine [79]. In wethers receiving diets³⁰ containing 38-39 ppm Mn for 18 days, faecal Mn excretion was 31.91 mg, whereas urinary excretion was only 0.06 mg [50].

³⁰ Semi-purified diets containing 83% of either cellulose, native starch or steam-flaked starch.

4 MANGANESE REQUIREMENTS

Although factorial estimation of Mn requirements is preferred, vital information to employ this method (both true Mn absorption and endogenous Mn losses) is lacking for ruminants [60]. Therefore, factorial estimation of Mn requirements is precluded. However, known components of the factorial approach are listed below.

4.1 Cattle

4.1.1 Dairy cattle

During gestation, the pregnant bovine uterus requires about 0.3 mg Mn/day from 190 days of gestation until parturition [34]. This estimate is made employing rations containing as much as 50-60 ppm Mn (DM). No qualification ("minimal" etc.) is given for this estimate.

Growing tissues are reported to contain 0.85 mg Mn/kg [73] or 2.5 mg/kg DM [65]. As these values allow for tissue storage of Mn, they are not truly "minimal".

Colostrum is reported to contain (mg Mn/kg) 0.13-0.16 [49], 0.13 [48], 0.06-0.16 [47] or 0.16 [65]. The Mn content of mature milk is assessed to be (mg/kg) 0.01-0.04 [44], 0.03 [1;65], 0.02-0.1 [49] 0.02-0.11 [47], 0.07 [54] or 0.11 [48]. Moreover, milk Mn concentrations vary depending on soil type [47].

The influence of dietary Mn concentrations on milk Mn content is limited, although results do not fully agree. Manganese intakes of 3-12 g (during 10 days) per day did not influence milk Mn content [49]. Only long-term feeding of 11.3 g Mn (from $MnSO_4$) to dairy cows³¹ (for 5 months) raised the Mn content of the milk from 0.02 to 0.06 mg/kg [11].

The Mn content of bovine hair depends i.a. on the age, feeding and region of the body and ranges from 1.3-13.9 ppm (DM) [29]. For dairy cows, the Mn requirement for hair growth is neglected.

4.1.2 <u>Beef cattle</u>

No separate calculations need to be made for the Mn requirements of beef cattle.

4.2 Sheep

Similarly to growing cattle, growing lambs accumulate 0.85 mg Mn/kg growth [73]. The Mn content of the whole body (except liver and kidney) of Merino sheep (96 ewes) can be calculated using the equation [51]:

Mn content (μ g) = 2460 x BW (kg) – 12.91 x age (months) x BW (kg).

In Merino sheep bearing singletons, Mn content of the conceptus was related to time from mating. Based on slaughter experiments (85 ewes), the Mn content of the conceptus can be calculated using the equation [52]:

net Mn storage in the conceptus (μ g) = $e^{(9.233-13.75 \times e(-0.019 \times t))}$

in which t = time from mating (days). Average daily Mn accretion into the conceptus increased from 19 (70 days) to 73 μ g (150 days from mating) [52]. As only three ewes bore twins, no calculations could be carried out for Mn accretion during twin pregnancy.

³¹ No data on DMI or BW given, so calculation of Mn concentrations per kg DM or per kg BW is precluded.

Lambs consuming a semi-purified diet containing 30 ppm Mn for 22 weeks had a mean wool Mn content of 18.7 ppm [53]. As clean wool yield is 1.0-3.8 kg/year [10], Mn need for wool growth is assessed to be 19-71 mg/year or 52-195 μ g/day.

Sheep milk is reported to contain 0.057 [15] or 0.05-0.09 mg Mn/kg [47].

4.3 Goats

Goat milk is reported to contain (mg Mn/kg) 0.014 [15], 0.033 [66], 0.06 [59] 0.08 [47] or 0.16 [54]. Milk of goats fed either > 90 ppm Mn or 1.9 ppm Mn for several years³² contained 0.28 and 0.15 mg/kg, respectively [9]. In this experiment, colostrum contained 0.21 and 0.14 mg/kg for the two groups, respectively. The reason for these relatively high Mn concentrations is not clear.

The Mn content of goat hair (Barbari goats) is reported to be 0.65 ppm [27]. However, the Mn content of the ration was not given. Goats given a ration³³ containing 100 ppm Mn for 3 years, had a hair Mn content of 11.1 ppm, whereas the hair of control goats receiving 20 ppm Mn (first year) or 6 ppm Mn (next 2 years) contained 3.5 ppm [8]. Goats given rations¹⁰ containing either > 90, 5.5 or 1.9 ppm Mn had hair Mn concentrations of 2.9-7.6, 5.5 and 1.5 ppm Mn [9]. As Mn content of hair is very variable and influenced by external deposition from the environment (see paragraph 6), assessment of Mn requirements for hair production is hampered. Arbitrarily choosing a value of 6 ppm Mn, Mn requirement for fibre-production in goats (0.63-3.5 kg fibre/year [10]) will be 10-58 µg Mn/day. However, considering the value of 0.65 ppm for Barbari goats, much lower requirements are possible.

³² No data on ration composition or Mn source given; several goats in the Mn-deficient group died.

³³ No data on ration composition or Mn source given.

5 ALLOWANCES

As factorial estimation of Mn requirements is precluded by lack of data, only rough assessments are possible. Different estimates are given in Table 1.

Ref.	Category	Dietary Mn concentration	Remarks
		(ppm (DM))	
[75]	Growing cattle	10	Sufficient for growth, not for maximum
	-		fertility
[35;70]	Heifers	20	Sufficient for growth and
[29]	Dairy cattle	25	16-21 ppm (DM) did not result in
	-		clinical deficiency symptoms
[10]	Growing cattle	10	
		20-25	Needed for normal skeletal
			development and reproduction
[65]	Dairy cattle	40	
	Pregnant heifers	22	
[64]	Growing/finishing	20 ppm	
	beef cattle		
	Breeding beef	40 ppm	Adding 14 ppm Mn to a diet
	cattle		containing 32 ppm Mn reduces the
			number of services per conception
[63]	All sheep	20 ppm	
[55]	Growing rams	13	Adequate growth and wool growth
		16	Adequate testicular growth
[38]	Cattle and sheep	40	
[46]	All goats	40	
[2]	All goats	60	Relatively high, "safe" value

 Table 1 Different estimates of Mn requirements of ruminants.

All assessments mentioned in Table 1 are relatively rough. Although under Dutch circumstances 25 ppm (DM) was recommended [29], the improvement of fertility caused by addition of Mn to a maize-based ration containing 32 ppm Mn (as fed, Table 1; ref. [64]) seems to plead against the 25 ppm (DM) value with respect to breeding cattle. Therefore 40 ppm (DM) is recommended for breeding cattle, whereas 25 ppm (DM) is recommended for other categories. The value of 40 ppm (DM) is also adopted for goats, whereas 20 ppm (DM) is recommended for sheep.

6 CRITERIA TO JUDGE MANGANESE STATUS

6.1 Potential indicators of Mn status

Assessment of Mn status of ruminants is difficult. Serum, hair, liver and dietary Mn concentrations have been suggested to be suitable. Suggested levels are given in Table 2. However, Mn concentrations in all parts of the body are reported not to bear an accurate relationship to dietary Mn concentrations³⁴ [16;22]. On the other hand, in cases of gross overdosing of Mn (22, 300 or 3000 ppm Mn³⁵) a significant relationship of dietary and liver Mn could be observed [41]. Similarly, in sheep fed diets containing either 70, 300, 600, 1200 or 2400 ppm supplemental dietary Mn) to sheep³⁶, significant relationships could be calculated between dietary Mn and either liver Mn concentrations and (log) liver Mn superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) activities [56]. When dietary Mn concentrations ranged from 8.7 to 45 ppm, no significant relationships of dietary Mn concentrations and liver Mn or liver MnSOD activities could be observed. Activities of MnSOD increase during growth [67]. Finally, in pregnant sheep fed diets³⁷ containing either 8 or 68 ppm Mn for 4 months, significant higher blood Mn concentrations were observed in the 68 ppm Mn group [31], whereas pregnant cows fed 25 ppm Mn³⁸ for 12 months had significantly higher blood Mn concentrations than similar cows fed 16-17 ppm Mn [70]. The calves of the cows fed 25 ppm Mn were clinically normal, whereas those in the other groups revealed Mn deficiency symptoms (see paragraph 7).

Ref.	Qualification	Category	Ration	Liver	Hair	Serum
			ppm (DM)			µg/L
[68]	Deficient		< 1.0	< 4	0.5-50 ^a	
	Marginal		10-20	6-12	0.5-15 ^a	< 5
	Adequate		40-200	10-24	0.5-70 ^a	6-70
	High		1000	16-920	> 80	
	Toxic		2000-4000			80-1450
[22]	Adequate			6-12		6-700
[29]	Marginal		< 25 ³⁹	< 9		
[58]	Normal				0.6	
[69]	????				0.5-25	
[75]	Marginal band	Cattle	10-20	5.0-7.5		5-6
		Sheep	8-20	8.0-9.0		1.8-2.0
		Goat	10-20	3.0-6.0		

Table 2 Suggested levels for potential indicators of Mn status in ruminants

^a it is not clear why the lower limit is the same for all categories.

Pigmented hair contains higher Mn concentrations than white hair [68]. Calves fed a ration⁴⁰ containing either 36 or 9 ppm Mn (DM) for 136 days had hair Mn concentrations of 13.9 and 4.1 ppm, respectively [7]. However, after feeding 1.11 g Mn (from MnCl₂.4H₂O) per day to cows for 12 days⁴¹, no differences in either serum or hair Mn concentrations with pre-treatment values could be observed. Moreover, the Mn content depended on the length of the hairs, the tip of the hairs being approximately 10 times as rich in Mn as the basis. Therefore, sequestration of Mn from the body into the hair does clearly not significantly

No indication of the range of these dietary Mn concentrations given; maybe up to 200 ppm (as fed) [22].

³⁵ Hay/barley/soybean meal diet; extra Mn from $MnSO_4$. H_2O .

³⁶ Oat straw/lupine seed ration containing 8.7 or 30 ppm Mn; extra Mn from MnCl₂.

³⁷ Torula yeast/dextrose/cellulose diet; extra Mn from MnSO₄.H₂O.

³⁸ Barley/cottonseed meal/urea ration; extra Mn from MnCl₂.4H₂O.

³⁹ "Including a certain safety margin"; no further quantification of this margin given.

⁴⁰ Milk/concentrate ration; Mn-source not given.

⁴¹ No data on ration composition given.

contribute to hair Mn content, rendering the hair Mn content useless as an indicator of body Mn status [57]. In summary, hair Mn content represents the exposure of the animal to external deposition of environmental Mn rather than the dietary Mn supply and has, therefore, been rejected as a suitable indicator [29;58;68;69].

Probably the dietary Mn concentration is the best indicator of Mn status in ruminants [16]. However, even when dietary Mn concentrations are adequate Mn deficiency symptoms can occur [45].

6.2 Conclusions

Due to lack of clear evidence, dietary Mn concentrations seem to be the best indicator of Mn status in ruminants [16;29]. Dietary Mn concentrations are easier to check than liver Mn concentrations, whereas as yet no clinical Mn deficiencies are reported from the Netherlands [29]. The reference values as given in Table 2 can be used. When gross overdosing of Mn is suspected, liver and / or serum Mn values can be determined to roughly assess Mn status.

7 DEFICIENCY

7.1 General

Although Mn deficiency is mainly a problem of birds, clinical signs of Mn deficiency can be observed in ruminants as well. One of the first symptoms is a tremor of the tongue. Later on, generalized ataxia and muscle tremors occur [8]. Other symptoms of Mn deficiency are impaired growth and reproduction (reduced estrous behaviour, irregular estrous cycles, lower conception rate, abortion), the development of skeletal abnormalities (weak legs, enlarged joints, stiffness, twisted legs and reduced bone strength) in young animals [10;64;65;70;75]. Growth of young animals is usually not affected at the onset of the clinical symptoms. Moreover, both in cows and goats Mn deficiency resulted in the birth of more male than female offspring, whereas mortality of female calves and kids was also higher than that of male ones [8;22]. Finally, frequent tongue rolling is suggested to be related to Mn deficiency [7;45].

7.2 Direct measures in deficiency cases

7.2.1 Direct continuous supplementation

To cure or prevent Mn deficiency, Mn can be added to the ration of ruminants as $MnSO_4$. Approximate daily doses should be 4 g (cows), 2 g (heifers) or 1 g (calves) and should be continued as long as the deficient feed is fed [75]. For small ruminants, no exact doses have been reported. Based on metabolic BW, \pm 1 g ⁴² should be sufficient for this class of ruminants. For maize (silage)-based rations, supplementation with 20 ppm Mn (DM) is recommended [75].

7.2.2 Direct discontinuous and slow release oral supplementation

No information on direct discontinuous supplementation or slow release oral supplementation of Mn is available.

⁴² Metabolic BW of cows and small ruminants differ by a factor of ± 5 , resulting in a dose of 0.8 g for small ruminants. This value is rounded up to 1 g, which is similar to that of calves.

8 TOXICITY

8.1 General

Besides the faulty use of mineral supplements, Mn toxicity can be evoked by the consumption of Mn-rich forages [75], although reports on Mn toxicity in ruminants are rare [65]. In veal calves fed milk replacer containing either 40, 200, 500, 1000 or 5000 ppm Mn (DM) for 5 weeks, performance was slightly worse in the 1000 ppm group, whereas none of the calves survived the 5000 ppm-treatment [43]. Calves from the 5000 ppm Mn group were listless, had poor appetite and performance. No other clinical signs due to Mn toxicity were observed. In wethers fed 22, 300 or 3000 ppm Mn⁴³ for 8 weeks (extra Mn from MnSO₄.H₂O), the animals on the 3000 ppm diet had lower growth and higher feed/gain ratios [41]. No other clinical signs were observed. Adult sheep fed levels of 8000 ppm Mn for 6 weeks survived the experiment [13].

Currently, Mn overload (together with Cu deficiency) is suggested to be involved in the development of spongiform encephalopathies such as scrapie, bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) and Creutzfeld Jakob disease [14].

The NRC suggests a maximum tolerable dietary level of 1000 ppm Mn for dairy and beef cattle [64;65] and sheep [63]. However, sheep fed either 0, 1500, 3000 or 4500 ppm supplemental Mn (basal diet 38 ppm Mn (DM)) showed reduced feed intake only in the 4500 ppm group [81]. No maximum allowable dietary Mn concentration is recommended for goats. In conclusion, 500 ppm (DM; pre-ruminant animals) [43] or 1000 ppm (DM, ruminating animals) can be considered safe, although dietary levels considerably exceeding these limits may not cause serious detrimental effects [81]. Unless proven otherwise, these values are supposed to be also valid for goats.

8.2 Direct measures in toxicity cases

Except lowering the Mn content of the ration no direct measures to be taken in Mn toxicity cases are reported for ruminants.

⁴³ Hay/barley/soybean meal diet.

9 PREVENTION OF DEFICIENCY

9.1 Short-term prevention strategies

No separate short-term prevention measures except the application of Mn-containing supplements (see paragraph 7.1.1) have been recommended.

Long-term prevention strategies 9.2

In the long term, Mn-containing fertilizers (15 kg MnSO₄/ha) have been proven to be successful to increase Dutch soil and plant Mn contents. However, this measure is rarely practised [75]. In cases liming of soils is needed, care has to be taken of Mn supply to animals grazing pastures growing on these soils, as excessive liming of soils has been suggested to cause low uptake of Mn by plants and subsequent Mn deficiency in animals [17].

Table 3 Inventory of Mn allowances for cattle, sheep and goats as used in some foreign countries (ppm (DM))

		Allowance				
Country	Ref.	Cattle	Ref.	Sheep	Ref.	Goat
Great Britain	[10;75]	20-25			[2]	60
USA ^{a,b}	[82]	22-40 (DM; dairy cattle) beef cattle: 20 (growth) 40 (breeding)	[63]	20	[62]	?
Germany	[23]	40-50 (growth) 50 (mature)		?	[12]	60-80
France	[26]	50 (45 is deficiency limit)				

^a Allowances for cattle are expressed in mg/kg feed as fed; as DM contents of the feeds are not given, allowances cannot be calculated in ppm (DM). ^b minimum requirements.

LITERATURE

- 1 Adrian J. Les éléments minéraux. In: Adrian J (ed.), Valeur alimentaire du lait. Paris: La maison rustique; 1973.
- 2 AFRC. AFRC Technical committee on responses to nutrients. Report No. 10. The nutrition of goats. Nutrition Abstracts and Reviews, B 1997; 67: 765-830.
- 3 Alfaro E, Neathery MW, Miller WJ, Crowe CT, Gentry RP, Fielding AS, Pugh DG, Blackmon DM. Influence of a wide range of calcium intakes on tissue distribution of macroelements and microelements in dairy calves. Journal of Dairy Science 1988; 71: 1295-1300.
- 4 Allen JG, Masters HG. Renal lesions and tissue concentrations of zinc, copper, iron and manganese in experimentally zinc intoxicated sheep. Research in Veterinary Science 1985; 39: 249-251.
- 5 Allen VG, Fontenot JP, Rahnema SH. Influence of aluminum-citrate and citric acid on tissue mineral composition. Journal of Animal Science 1991; 69: 792-800.
- 6 Angelow L, Anke M, Groppel B, Glei M, Müller M. Aluminum: an essential element for goats. TEMA 1993; 8: 699-704.
- 7 Anke M. Der Mengen- und Spurenelementgehalt des Rinderhaares als Indikator der Calcium-, Magnesium-, Phosphor-, Kalium-, Natrium-, Eisen-, Zink-, Mangan-, Kupfer-, Molybdän-, und Kobaltversorgung. 4. Mitteilung. Der Mineralstoffgehalt des Haares und verschiedener Organe bei normal ernährten und Eisen-, Kupfer-, und Manganmangelkranken Kälbern. Archiv für Tierernährung 1966; 16: 199-213.
- 8 Anke M, Groppel B. Manganese deficiency and radioisotope studies on manganese metabolism. TEMA 1970; 1: 133-136.
- 9 Anke M, Groppel B, Grün M. Manganmangel beim Wiederkäuer. 5. Mitteilung. Der Einfluß des Manganmangels auf den Mengen- und Spurenelementgehalt erwachsener weiblicher und männlicher Ziegen. Archiv für Tierernährung 1973; 23: 483-500.
- 10 ARC. Trace elements. In: Agricultural Research Council (ed.), Nutrient requirements of ruminant livestock. Londen: 1980: 221-262.
- 11 Archibald JG, Lindquist HG. Manganese in cow's milk. Journal of Dairy Science 26, 325-330. 1943. Ref Type: Computer Program.
- 12 Ausschuss für Bedarfsnormen der Gesellschaft für Ernährungsphysiologie. Recommendations for the supply of energy and nutrients to goats. Frankfurt am Main: DLG Verlag; 2003.
- 13 Black JR, Ammerman CB, Henry PR, Littell RC. Influence of dietary manganese on tissue trace elemental accumulation and depletion in sheep. Canadian Journal of Animal Science 1985; 65: 653-658.
- 14 Brown DR. Metal toxicity and therapeutic intervention. Biochemical Society Transactions 2002; 30: 742-745.

- 15 Coni E, Bocca A, Coppolelli P, Caroli S, Cavallucci C, Marinucci MT. Minor and trace element content in sheep and goat milk and dairy products. Food Chemistry 1996; 57: 253-260.
- 16 Corah LR, Ives S. Trace minerals in cow herd nutrition programs. Part 1 Copper, manganese, and molybdenum. Agri Practice 1992; 13: 29-30.
- 17 Cowgill UM, States SJ, Marburger JE. Smelter smoke syndrome in farm animals and manganese deficiency in northern Oklahoma, USA. Environmental Pollution 1980; 22: 259-272.
- 18 Doyle JJ, Pfander WH. Interactions of cadmium with copper, iron, zinc, and manganese in ovine tissues. Journal of Nutrition 1975; 105: 599-606.
- 19 Doyle JJ, Younger RL. Influence of ingested lead on the distribution of lead, iron, zinc, copper and manganese in bovine tissues. Veterinary and Human Toxicology 1984; 26: 201-204.
- 20 Flachowsky G, Hennig A, Löhnert H-J, Grün M. Überhöhte orale Eisengaben an Schafe. 1. Mitteilung. Verdaulichkeit der Ration, Mast- und Ausschlachtungsergebnisse. Archiv für Tierernährung 1976; 26: 765-771.
- 21 Furr AK, Parkinson TF, Heffron CL, Reid JT, Haschek WM, Gutenmann WH, Bache. Elemental content of tissues and excreta of lambs, goats, and kids fed white sweet clover growing on fly ash. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 1978; 26: 847-851.
- 22 Gelfert CC, Staufenbiel R. Störungen im Haushalt der Spurenelemente beim Rind aus Sicht der Bestandsbetreuung. Teil 1: Klassische Spurenelemente. Disorders in trace element status in cattle under the point of view of herd supervision. Part 1: Classical trace elements. Tierärztliche Praxis 1998; 26 (G): 55-66.
- 23 GfE. Spurenelemente. In: Staudaucher W (ed.), Empfehlungen zur Energie- und Nährstoffversorgung der Milchkühe und Aufzuchtrinder. Frankfurt am Main: DLG Verlag; 2001: 89-104.
- 24 Grace ND. Studies on the flow of zinc, cobalt, copper and manganese along the digestive tract of sheep given fresh perennial ryegrass, or white or red clover. British Journal of Nutrition 1975; 34: 73-82.
- Grün M, Anke M, Hennig A, Seffner W, Partschefeld M, Flachowsky G, Groppel B. Überhöhte orale Eisengaben an Schafe. 2. Mitteilung. Der Einfluss auf den Eisen-, Kupfer-, Zink- und Mangangehalt verschiedener Organe. Excessive oral iron application to sheep. (2) The influence on the level of iron, copper, zinc and manganese in different organs. Archiv für Tierernährung 1978; 28: 341-347.
- 26 Gueguen L, Lamand M, Meschy F. Nutrition minérale. In: Jarrige R (ed.), Alimentation des bovins, ovins & caprins. Paris: INRA; 1988: 98-111.
- 27 Haldar A, Prakash V, Duttagupta R. Zinc, manganese, chromium and nickel status in blood and hair of goat reared on grazing regimen. Indian Veterinary Journal 1998; 75: 514-516.

- 28 Hall ED, Symonds HW. The maximum capacity of the bovine liver to excrete manganese in bile, and the effects of a manganese load on the rate of excretion of copper, iron and zinc in bile. British Journal of Nutrition 1981; 45: 605-611.
- 29 Hartmans J. Tracing and treating mineral disorders in cattle under field conditions. TEMA 1974; 2: 261-273.
- 30 Henry PR, Ammerman CB, Littell RC. Relative bioavailability of manganese from a manganese-methionine complex and inorganic sources for ruminants. Journal of Dairy Science 1992; 75: 3473-3478.
- 31 Hidiroglou M, Ho SK, Standish JF. Effects of dietary manganese levels on reproductive performance of ewes and on tissue mineral composition of ewes and day-old lambs. Canadian Journal of Animal Science 1978; 58: 35-41.
- 32 Hidiroglou M, Knipfel JE. Maternal-fetal relationships of copper, manganese, and sulfur in ruminants. A review. Journal of Dairy Science 1981; 64: 1637-1647.
- 33 Ho SY, Miller WJ, Gentry RP, Neathery MW, Blackmon DM. Effects of high but nontoxic dietary manganese and iron on their metabolism by calves. Journal of Dairy Science 67: 1489-1495.
- 34 House WA, Bell AW. Mineral accretion in the fetus and adnexa during late gestation in Holstein cows. Journal of Dairy Science 1993; 76: 2999-3010.
- 35 Howes AD, Dyer IA. Diet and supplemental mineral effects on manganese metabolism in newborn calves. Journal of Animal Science 1971; 32: 141-145.
- 36 Humphries WR, Phillippo M, Young BW, Bremner I. Influence of dietary iron and molybdenum on copper metabolism in calves. British Journal of Nutrition 1983; 49: 77-86.
- 37 Hurley LS, Keen CL. Manganese. In Trace Elements in Human and Animal Nutrition. New York: Academic Press; 1987: 185-218.
- 38 Interdepartmental Working Party. Mineral, trace element and vitamin allowances for ruminant livestock. In: Haresign W, Cole DJA (eds.), Recent advances in Animal Nutrition. London: Butterworths; 1984: 113-142.
- 39 Ivan M. Metabolism of radiomanganese and radiozinc in sheep effects of intraruminal dosing with nitrilotriacetic acid. Canadian Journal of Animal Science 1979; 59: 283-289.
- 40 Ivan M, Grieve CM. Effects of zinc, copper, and manganese supplementation of highconcentrate ration on digestibility, growth, and tissue content of Holstein calves. Journal of Dairy Science 1975; 58: 410-415.
- 41 Ivan M, Hidiroglou M. Effect of dietary manganese on growth and manganese metabolism in sheep. Journal of Dairy Science 1980; 63: 385-390.
- 42 Ivan M, Ihnat M, Veira DM. Flow and soluble proportions of zinc, manganese, copper and iron in the gastrointestinal tract of sheep fed corn or alfalfa silages. Canadian Journal of Animal Science 1983; 63: 163-171.

- 43 Jenkins KJ, Hidiroglou M. Tolerance of the preruminant calf for excess manganese or zinc in milk replacer. Journal of Dairy Science 1991; 74: 1047-1053.
- 44 Jenness R, Patton S. Principles of dairy chemistry. Londen: Chapman & Hall Ltd; 1959.
- 45 Karatzias H, Roubies N, Polizopoulou Z, Papasteriades A. Zungenspielen und Manganmangel bei Milchkühen. "Zungenspielen" (Tongue rolling) in cattle associated with manganese deficiency. Deutsche Tierärztliche Wochenschrift 1995; 102: 352-353.
- 46 Kessler J. Mineral nutrition of goats. In: Morand-Fehr P (ed.), Goat Nutrition. Wageningen: Pudoc; 1991: 104-119.
- 47 Kiermeier F, Johannsmann H. Über den Mangangehalt der Kuhmilch. Zeitschrift für Lebensmittel Untersuchung und Forschung 1962; 118: 304-308.
- 48 Kirchgessner M. Der Mengen- und Spurenelementgehalt von Rinderblut. Zeitschrift für Tierphysiologie, Tierernährung und Futtermittelkunde 1957; 12: 156-165.
- 49 Kirchgessner M, Friesecke H, Koch G. Fütterung und Milchzusammensetzung. München: BLV; 1965.
- 50 Kreuzer M, Kirchgessner M. Faecal and urinary excretion of iron, copper, zinc and manganese in faunated and defaunated wethers fed semi-purified diets of different carbohydrate composition. Animal Feed Science and Technology 1990; 28: 135-143.
- 51 Langlands JP, Bowles JE, Donald GE, Smith AJ. Deposition of copper, manganese, selenium and zinc in Merino sheep. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 1984; 35: 701-707.
- 52 Langlands JP, Bowles JE, Donald GE, Smith AJ, Paull DR, Davies HI. Deposition of copper, manganese, selenium and zinc in the ovine foetus and associated tissues. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 1982; 33: 591-605.
- 53 Lassiter JW, Morton JD. Effects of a low manganese diet on certain ovine characteristics. Journal of Animal Science 1968; 27: 776-779.
- 54 Lopez A, Collins WF, Williams HL. Essential elements, cadmium and lead in raw and pasteurized cow and goat milk. Journal of Dairy Science 1985; 68: 1878-1886.
- 55 Masters DG, Paynter DI, Briegel J, Baker SK, Purser DB. Influence of manganese intake on body, wool and testicular growth of young rams and on the concentration of manganese and the activity of manganese enzymes in tissues. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 1988; 39: 517-524.
- 56 Masters DG, Paynter DI, Briegel J, Baker SK, Purser DB. The relationship between manganese intake and the activity of manganese superoxide dismutase in tissues of sheep. TEMA 1988; 6: 247-248.
- 57 Mehnert E. Das Widerspiegelungsvermögen der Manganversorgung durch das pigmentierte Deckhaar des Rindes. Potential of pigmented cattle coat to reflect status of manganese supply. Monatshefte für Veterinärmedizin 1983; 38: 69-71.

- 58 Mehnert E. Die diagnostische Bedeutung des Mangangehalts im Haar des Rindes. 4. Mitteilung: Die physiologisch begründete Mangankonzentration im Haar des Rindes und Kritik der sogenannten Haartesttheorie. Diagnostic importance of manganese levels in cattle hair. Fourth communication: Physiologically founded manganese concentration in cattle hair and critical appraisal of so-called hair test theory. Archiv für experimentelle Veterinärmedizin 1984; 38: 16-20.
- 59 Meschy F. Recent progress in the assessment of mineral requirements of goats. Livestock Production Science 2000; 64: 9-14.
- 60 Miller WJ. Dynamics of absorption rates, endogenous excretion, tissue turnover, and homeostatic control mechanisms of zinc, cadmium, manganese, and nickel in ruminants. Federation Proceedings 1973; 32: 1915-1920.
- 61 Mills CF, Dalgarno AC. Copper and zinc status of ewes and lambs receiving increased dietary concentrations of cadmium. Nature 1972; 239: 171-173.
- 62 NRC. Nutrient Requirements of Goats: Angora, Dairy, and Meat Goats in Temperate and Tropical Countries. Washington: National Academy Press; 1981.
- 63 NRC. Nutrient Requirements of Sheep. Washington: National Academy Press; 1985.
- 64 NRC. Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle. Washington, USA: National Academy Press; 1996.
- 65 NRC. Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle. Washington: National Academy Press; 2001.
- 66 Park YW. Comparison of mineral and cholesterol composition of different commercial goat milk products manufactured in USA. Small Ruminant Research 2000; 37: 115-124.
- 67 Paynter DI, Caple IW. Age-related changes in activities of the superoxide dismutase enzymes in tissues of the sheep and the effect of dietary copper and manganese on these changes. Journal of Nutrition 1984; 114: 1909-1916.
- 68 Puls R. Mineral Levels in Animal Health. British Columbia Ministery of Agriculture; 1988.
- 69 Ritter M, Hardebeck H, Kowertz D, Sommer H. Mineralstoffe im Rinderhaar und ihre Bedeutung für Vorsorgeuntersuchungen in Milchviehherden. Mineral elements in bovine hair and their importance for disease prognosis in dairy herds. Tierärztliche Umschau 1981; 36: 549-552.
- 70 Rojas MA, Dyer IA, Cassat WA. Manganese deficiency in the bovine. Journal of Animal Science 1965; 24: 664-667.
- 71 Rosa IV, Henry PR, Ammerman CB. Interrelationship of dietary phosphorus, aluminum and iron on performance and tissue mineral composition in lambs. Journal of Animal Science 1982; 55: 1231-1240.
- 72 Spears JW, Harvey RW, Samsell LJ. Effects of dietary nickel and protein on growth, nitrogen metabolism and tissue concentrations of nickel, iron, zinc, manganese and copper in calves. Journal of Nutrition 1986; 116: 1873-1882.

- 73 Suttle NF. Copper, iron, manganese and zinc concentrations in the carcases of lambs and calves and the relationship to trace element requirements for growth. British Journal of Nutrition 1979; 42: 89-96.
- 74 Underwood EJ. Trace elements in human and animal nutrition. 1976.
- 75 Underwood EJ, Suttle NF. The Mineral Nutrition of Livestock, 3rd edition ed. Wallingford: CABI; 1999.
- 76 Valdivia R, Ammerman CB, Henry PR, Feaster JP, Wilcox CJ. Effect of dietary aluminum and phosphorus on performance, phosphorus utilization and tissue mineral composition in sheep. Journal of Animal Science 1982; 55: 402-410.
- 77 Valdivia R, Ammerman CB, Wilcox CJ, Henry PR. Effect of dietary aluminum on animal performance and tissue mineral levels in growing steers. Journal of Animal Science 1979; 47: 1351-1356.
- 78 Verhoeff J, Wende TT, Schotman AJH. Een onderzoek naar het loodgehalte van het bloed van runderen, welke gevoerd werden met ruwvoer, afkomstig van de bermen van autosnelwegen. Lead content of the blood in cattle given roughage from the verges of motorways. Tijdschrift voor Diergeneeskunde 1981; 106: 917-923.
- 79 Watson LT, Ammerman CB, Feaster JP, Roessler CE. Influence of manganese intake on metabolism of manganese and other minerals in sheep. Journal of Animal Science 1973; 36: 131-136.
- 80 Wetzel R, Menke KH. Verhalten der Spurenelemente Kupfer, Zink und Mangan im Pansen des Rindes. 2. Mitteilung. Passagerate und zeitlicher Verlauf der Spurenelementkonzentration im Pansen unter dem Einfluß von Kupfersulfat-Gaben. The behaviour of the trace elements copper, zinc and manganese in the bovine rumen. (2) Passage rate and course of trace element concentration in the rumen as influenced by copper sulphate applications. Archiv fur Tierernahrung 1978; 28: 459-470.
- 81 Wong-Valle J, Henry PR, Ammerman CB, Rao PV. Estimation of the relative bioavailability of manganese sources for sheep. Journal of Animal Science 1989; 67: 2409-2414.
- 82 Woolf GM, Miller C, Kurian R, Jeejeebhoy KN. Diet for patients with a short bowel: high fat or high carbohydrate? Gastroenterology 1983; 84: 823-828.

ANNEX: OVERVIEW OF THE SERIES OF CVB DOCUMENTATION REPORTS 'REVIEWS ON THE MINERAL PROVISION IN RUMINANTS'

- CVB Documentation report Nr. 33: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants I: Calcium metabolism and requirements in ruminants (A.M. van den Top)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 34: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants II: Phosphorous metabolism and requirements in ruminants (H. Valk)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 35: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants III: Magnesium metabolism and requirements in ruminants (J.Th. Schonewille and A.C. Beynen)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 36: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants IV: Sodium metabolism and requirements in ruminants (J.Th. Schonewille and A.C. Beynen)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 37: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants V: Potassium metabolism and requirements in ruminants (J.Th. Schonewille and A.C. Beynen)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 38: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants VI: Chlorine metabolism and requirements in ruminants (J.Th. Schonewille and A.C. Beynen)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 39: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants VII: Cation Anion Difference in Dairy Cows (J.Th. Schonewille and A.C. Beynen)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 40: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants VIII: Iron metabolism and requirements in ruminants (A.M. van den Top)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 41: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants IX: Copper metabolism and requirements in ruminants (A.M. van den Top)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 42: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants X: Cobalt metabolism and requirements in ruminants (A.M. van den Top)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 43: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants XI: lodine metabolism and requirements in ruminants (A.M. van den Top)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 44: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants XII: Zinc metabolism and requirements in ruminants (A.M. van den Top)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 45: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants XIII: Manganese metabolism and requirements in ruminants (A.M. van den Top)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 46: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants XIV: Selenium metabolism and requirements in ruminants (A.M. van den Top)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 47: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants XV: Fluorine, chromium, nickel and molybdenum metabolism and requirements in ruminants (A.M. van den Top)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 48: Reviews on the mineral provision in ruminants XVI: Contaminants: Cadmium, lead, mercury, arsenic and radio nuclides (A.M. van den Top)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 49 (in Dutch): Literatuurstudie over de mineralenvoorziening van herkauwers XVII: Nitraat en nitriet (A.M. van den Top)
- CVB Documentation report Nr. 50 (in Dutch): Literatuurstudie over de mineralenvoorziening van herkauwers XVIII: Kwaliteit van drinkwater (A.M. van den Top)