An international collaborative network for agricultural systems applications (ICASA)

J. Bouma[1] and J.W. Jones[2]

Abstract

In the 1970s and 1980s much progress has been made in studying agricultural production systems by using simulation modeling of agronomic processes. The IBSNAT group in the US and the group around Prof. Kees De Wit in Wageningen were active in this new area of research which created an important 'niche' within the agricultural sciences because of its integrative, interdisciplinary character and its focus on quantitative, process-based approaches. A first joint scientific meeting of the two groups was held in Bangkok in 1991 (SAAD1 conference: Systems Analysis for Agricultural Development). At the SAAD2 conference at IRRI in 1995, in which also other groups took part, notably the APSRU group from Australia, ICASA was established as a forum for researchers engaged in the study of agricultural systems at different spatial scales ranging from fields, farms to regions and beyond. ICASA is an informal network with a focus on three major activities: (i) sharing experiences and joint development of compatible software, thus allowing more widespread use of models having been developed by various member groups; (ii) organization of joint courses on different aspects of dynamic modeling of agricultural production systems; and (iii) joint research on projects dealing with dynamic characterization of agronomic production systems at different spatial scales. ICASA researchers take part in eco-regional methodology development, through projects that are funded by the Dutch and Swiss governments, with ISNAR acting as the administrative agency. ICASA intends to be an effective platform on which researchers, stakeholders and policy makers can interact.

INTRODUCTION

The International Consortium for Agricultural Systems Applications (ICASA) envisions a future characterized by broad acceptance of systems-oriented methodologies in agriculture and natural resources. To achieve this vision, ICASA's goal is to advance national and international agricultural systems research through the development and application of compatible and complimentary systems analysis tools and methodologies. This goal includes, but is not limited to, the use of crop simulation models because of their increasing importance in decision support systems tailored for use by researchers and decision makers at field, farm, watershed, regional and national scales. ICASA first emerged from two major agricultural systems-oriented research programs operating during the 1980s and early 1990s: IBSNAT (Uehara and Tsuji 1993) and SARP (Ten Berge 1993). The IBSNAT project emphasized a systems approach for technology transfer, a research user-oriented package

[1] Co-chair ICASA, Department of Soil Science and Geology, Wageningen University, P.O. Box 37, 6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands. E-mail: johan.bouma@BodLan.BenG.wau.nl

[2] Co-chair ICASA, Agricultural and Biological Engineering Department, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611

referred to as DSSAT (Jones et al. 1998), minimum data set concepts (Hunt and Boote 1998), and training for adoption and use of crop models and a DSSAT for research purposes. The SARP approach emphasized the training of participants on understanding the mechanisms in rice production systems for model development and application for specific purposes. Leaders of these successful projects recognized potential for progress through closer cooperation. An international symposium entitled 'Systems Approaches For Agricultural Development' (SAAD) was held in 1991 to initiate this cooperation (Penning de Vries et al. 1993). Plans made at that meeting resulted in the formation of ICASA in 1993. Additional agricultural research groups joined the initiative later. Now ICASA consists of a Board of Directors, a secretariat, and an international network of researchers active in agricultural systems research and applications. One purpose of this paper is to describe the methods and approaches used by ICASA to meet its goals.

Approaches and tools are being developed and tested for systems at different levels of aggregation, including field, farm, watershed, and region, to gain insight and to evaluate options for management or development. Central to many applications are crop and other biophysical models capable of simulating real world responses to climate, soil, and management variations at a field scale. After crop models were first introduced in the late 1960s and early 1970s, there was a long period of time, referred to as the 'dark ages' of crop modeling by Acock (1989), when only a few researchers were active in developing and applying crop models. In the 1980s, partly due to advances made by the two projects mentioned above and by a few other groups, several barriers were overcome, leading to more widespread uses and acceptance of crop models (Jones 1998). However, barriers still exist. ICASA activities are aimed, in part, to help overcome these barriers so that models and other systems application tools are scientifically sound, widely available, and effective in supporting agriculture and natural resource management decisions. Four important barriers were recognized to help guide ICASA activities: 1) the need for high quality data for developing and evaluating crop models, (2) the difficulty of obtaining crop model inputs across space and time for real world problems, (3) the time and other resources necessary to develop, validate, document, and maintain crop models, and (4) the focus on simulating potential and water-limited yield with continuing limitations of models to simulate actual yield in farmers' fields. A second purpose of this paper is to present results of ICASA research efforts aimed at overcoming some of these barriers to achieve more widespread acceptance and use of these systems approaches and tools. This includes a brief review of future developments.

ACTIVITIES

At the core of methods used by ICASA is a network of agricultural systems scientists who are working together to accomplish ICASA goals. A Board of Directors with nine members is responsible for the ICASA network and its activities. Some network members participated in the SARP and IBSNAT projects, that initially formed ICASA, but additional groups have also joined. For example, the Australian research group working on APSIM (McCown et al. 1996) is now represented on the Board, and a new systems research center in India has joined the network. The key to network success is a recognition that greater progress can be achieved through cooperation than can be achieved by working within resource limitations of individual groups or institutions, thereby bringing benefits to each member and to the scientific community.

ICASA carries out six types of activities to meet its goals and objectives. First, the ICASA Board holds meetings once or twice per year to review progress, determine new needs and opportunities, and plan activities. Second, ICASA participates in funded research projects. Instead of a single project providing financial support for their activities, network members receive funds from a number of national and international sources. This will be discussed further below. Third, working meetings are held to focus network expertise on specific objectives, such as writing recommended standards for data, integration of GIS tools with DSSAT for precision agriculture applications, and developing frameworks for modular model development. ICASA does not have a central source of funds to support these activities. Instead, network members use their own resources as they see derived benefits for their own programs.

Fourth, ICASA organizes symposia entitled 'Systems Approaches for Agricultural Development', or SAAD. These symposia provide a forum for an international audience to present, discuss, and exchange formation on methodologies used across disciplines and at multiple scales to obtain a more integrated understanding of agricultural systems. The

2

first in this series, held in Thailand in 1991 (Penning de Vries et al. 1993), led to the development of ICASA. The second SAAD symposium, held in the Philippines in December 1995, emphasized the links between biophysical and social sciences for dealing with complex issues at different scales ranging from a field to the globe (Kroppf et al. 1997; Teng et al. 1997). This is the third SAAD symposium, and its main focus is to review interdisciplinary and multiple scale approaches being used in four large ecoregional projects. At this symposium, ICASA presented a series of papers summarizing results of their initiatives. Fifth, ICASA is active in developing models and various application tools for their own research activities and for the scientific community to use. ICASA members have contributed to new capabilities in DSSAT, such as the addition of new crop models such as sunflower (Villalobos et al. 1996) and application tools, such as incorporating software in DSSAT for analysis and optimization of management in spatially variable fields for precision farming (Booltink and Verhagen 1997). Thus, ICASA members are enhancing and maintaining the DSSAT, with the latest release occurring in late 1998 (Hoogenboom et al. 1999). Finally, ICASA organizes training programs for teaching interested researchers the concepts of crop modeling, approaches for adopting existing models in their research programs, how to provide inputs, how to interpret outputs, how to use models to evaluate risks and uncertainties in crop production, and limitations that currently exist. These will be further analysed below.

SOFTWARE AND TOOLS

Wide ranges of tools are needed for studying agricultural systems at different time and space scales and for different purposes. Many biophysical and economic models have been developed in the past for specific applications. Although many researchers have developed their models with intentions for their use in other locations or for other purposes, many such efforts fail to achieve this goal for various reasons. One of ICASA's goals is to promote cooperation among different groups who are developing and applying agricultural systems models to address practical issues so that duplication of effort will be reduced through the use of existing models or components and compatible data. To a large extent, this level of compatibility has been realized within extended groups of researchers working together, but exchange of data and models among such groups has been time consuming. The approach that ICASA is

using is to promote the evolution of software and tools among major groups of agricultural researchers using compatible data, models, and other components. Because the major emphasis of each of the groups in ICASA has been at the field scale previously, and each group has its own suite of crop models, the first attempt to achieve the needed compatibility is at the field level. Crop models, data management tools, and decision support systems developed by or adopted by ICASA are introduced below.

Field scale crop-soil models

Crop simulation models developed by different research groups over the last 10-20 years have been adopted by ICASA for different applications. Models for many of the major food crops of the world have been developed by building on early models of specific crops. For example, the CERES family of crop models evolved from wheat and maize models developed in the 1980s (Ritchie et al. 1998; Singh et al. 1998). Now, the CERES models simulate development, growth and yield for maize, wheat, rice, barley, grain sorghum, pearl millet, sugarcane, potato and taro using the same soil water and nitrogen components and similar subroutines for plant growth. Compatible models were developed for soybean, peanut, and dry bean under the leadership of researchers at the University of Florida (Boote et al. 1998). Similarly, different models evolved from research led by researchers in the Wageningen Agricultural University, including those for wheat, rice, and other crops (Bouman et al. 1996). Finally, models for more than 15 crops, including maize, wheat, barley, soybean, peanut, chickpea, and pasture, were developed by the APSRU group in Australia (McCown et al., 1996). One of the main thrusts by these different groups over the last ten years was to develop user interfaces for the crop models to facilitate their testing and use by researchers not involved in model development. Now, these groups are actively cooperating in ICASA to achieve a practical level of compatibility among models as they continue to evolve.

Data management software

One of the major lessons learned as these models evolved was the need to standardize data formats and protocols so that models from different groups could use the same input data, access observed data

for model evaluation, and be used by the same application packages. Thus, a major effort of ICASA cooperation has been to build on earlier work of Hunt et al. (1994) to create a set of definitions and standards for data exchange and crop model input (Hunt and White, 2000). Because there are differences in data input requirements of crop models developed by different groups in ICASA, software is being developed to translate from these formats and to these formats to facilitate their widespread use (J. W. White, personal communication; G. Wilkerson and G. Buol, personal communication).

Efforts are now needed to define compatible data types and formats for other levels of analysis, such as farm, watershed, and region analyses. One of ICASA's aims is to help guide efforts to define these standards so that software can be developed or adopted to facilitate their widespread use. ICASA recognizes the importance of this and other decision support systems at field, farm, and other levels for supporting a wide range of applications as well as research and educational efforts.

Model development tools

One of the tools that helped many researchers learn how to develop and use crop models is the FSE (Fortran Simulation Environment; Van Kraalingen 1991). This tool was used by the Wageningen group in training courses around the world, and it was used to develop models for many crops by that group and their trainees (e.g., Penning de Vries et al. 1989; Teng et al. 1997; Kropff et al. 1997).

Decision support systems

The DSSAT (Decision Support System for Agrotechnology Transfer) was developed by an international group of researchers in the IBSNAT project (Jones 1993). This software package integrated crop-soil models, data bases, data base tools, and application programs to estimate production and economic risks at the field scale associated with different weather, soil, and management practices. ICASA continued to develop the DSSAT (Jones et al. 1998) after the ten-year IBSNAT project ended in 1993 (Tsuji 1998), adding models for additional crops, adding spatial analysis components for use in precision agriculture and land use management applications (Stoorvogel 1995,

1998; Booltink et al. 1997; Thornton et al. 1997; Engel et al. 1997, Bouma et al. 1999), and maintaining it and releasing additional versions (Hoogenboom et al. 1999). Efforts are now underway to convert DSSAT into a MS Windows application.

The Agricultural Production Systems Simulator (APSIM; McCown et al. 1996) was developed by the Agricultural Production Systems Research Unit in Australia, starting in 1991. This decision support system was engineered to solve many of the problems associated with documentation and maintenance of such comprehensive models. It also operates at the field scale and uses similar input data as other ICASA crop models.

COURSES

ICASA has organized a number of workshops to provide researchers with an understanding of agricultural systems approaches, crop models, and practical uses of models using decision support systems such as DSSAT. Courses on application of simulation for crop and fertility management have been held annually in the USA since 1993. In addition, similar courses have been held in other countries in Latin America, Africa, Asia, and Europe. Instructors from different countries and groups have contributed to these training programs. Two courses have also been held on techniques on 'Optimizing Management for Precision Farming: A Systems Approach'. Collectively, over 400 researchers have attended these courses during 1993-1999.

RESEARCH

Developments in systems analysis

Publications in the two SAAD proceedings, cited above, and in many other journals and bulletins clearly demonstrate the function of simulation modeling in characterizing important aspects of agricultural production systems. Models for crop growth as a function of climatic conditions and soil water and nitrogen supply are now operational after extensive field-testing and can widely be applied. The literature provides many examples of such applications. An important problem can be lack of basic data to feed models but measurement techniques are continually improved not in the least because of developments in electronics and information technology. Besides, techniques are

available to relate available data to necessary but unavailable data by regression analysis as exemplified by pedotransfer functions which are used to predict moisture retention and hydraulic conductivity data for soil models (e.g. Bouma and Droogers 1999). Simulation of the occurrence of pests and diseases is as yet more problematic but progress is also being made here (Kropff et.al. 2000).

The ideal situation of joint research in which ICASA researchers work together using models and software from different sources is still rather limited. As an example, DSSAT models were used by Booltink and Verhagen (1997) . More examples are being generated, however. In the context of the ecoregional methodology program, administrated by ISNAR (International Service for National Agricultural Research) and funded by the Dutch and Swiss governments, ten programs, each funded at the rate of $500000, are in progress. These programs now form the core of ICASA research, involving many new partners (Table 1).

Looking at the future, plans are made to establish a phase II of the ecoregional methodology fund dealing with agricultural systems research with emphasis on: (1) independent field testing of developed research methods in an ecoregional context; (2): development of common databases and data structures and (3) training and education.

As methods for agricultural systems analysis are applied at both farm- and regional and higher level, it is important to realize that new developments take place in the role of systems analysis research. This certainly is not a static field of research and any review of ICASA activities should include a scan of future developments. A brief review will therefore be presented under the following two headings for the farm- and regional level, respectively, which are the major focal points for systems research.

Table 1. List of ecoregional methodology projects in progress.

Integrating remote sensing, GIS and modeling for land-use monitoring in the arid/ semi-arid Andes.	CIP: DME-SUR. Oct. 96 - Oct.99.
Regional scaling of field-level economic-biophysical models.	CIP: DME-NOR. Oct. 96 - Oct. 99
Methodologies for integrating data across geographic scales in a data-rich environment: examples from Honduras.	CIAT. Oct. 96 - Oct.99.
A systems research network for ecoregional land use planning in tropical Asia.	IRRI: SYSNET. Oct. 96 - Oct. 99.
Resource use optimization at village district levels in the desert margins of West Africa.	ICRISAT. Oct. 96 - Oct. 99
Methodology and strategy development with crop growth modeling for sustainability in the South African highveld region .	ARC-Graincrops Institute. Dec. 98 - Dec. 2001.
A client-oriented systems tool box for technology transfer related to soil fertility improvement and sustainable agriculture in West Africa.	IFDC. Dec. 98 - Dec. 2001
Methodologies for assessing sustainable agricultural systems in the Hindu Kush-Himalaya region: an ecoregional framework.	ICIMOD
System prototyping and impact assessment for sustainable alternatives in mixed farming systems in high-potential areas of Eastern Africa	ILRI/ICRAF/KAR/WAU
Development of an improved method for soil and water conservation planning at catchment scale in the East African Highlands.	ICRAF/KAR/ARI/RELMA/SECAP/WAU

Farm level

Most studies on crop growth at farm level are backward-looking: calculations are made when the growing season is ended, when measurements of crop and soil characteristics have been made and when weather conditions are known. Calculated yields are compared with measured yields to assess the success of the calculation procedures. This is certainly important to understand processes as they have occurred but this type of research does not address the questions of the farmer who is, at the start of the growing season, faced with unknown conditions, requiring so-called adaptive management (e.g. Bouma 1997a, b). Surprisingly, systems analysis has paid little attention to this forward-looking approach (e.g. Bouma 1997b). Recently, Bouma et al. (1999) have studied precision agriculture in the Netherlands in a forward-looking mode, using daily weather measurements in the farmer's field that were sent by email to our laboratory, driving a simulation model for crop growth, incorporating water and nitrate fluxes. The model, intended to function as a decision support system, was quite effective in predicting N-shortages in soil which would lead to reduced growth when no N-fertilizer would be applied. In fact, the system is being used as an early warning system. The farmer could be advised to adjust his fertilization practices that deviated strongly from the ones dictated by conventional wisdom. This advice not only applies to variation of applications in time but also to variations within the field. The system also calculates fluxes of nitrate to the groundwater and is programmed to avoid fertilization rates that exceed threshold values of nitrates in groundwater defined by environmental laws. The same approach was used, in principle, for grassland in the Netherlands by Hack-Ten Broeke et al. (1999).

Another example can be given for growing bananas in Costa Rica (Stoorvogel et al. 1998) where careful measurement of site-specific yields within defined soil units of a soil map, allowed precision application of fertilizers and biocides. The latter rates were based on detailed simulations of potential leaching rates of a variety of biocides in the various soil types occurring in the banana farm. Risks could be quantified by running the model for different weather conditions. In this case, the systems analysis did not imply real-time simulations of the growth of the banana plant (which is not yet possible) but implied a systematic application of expert knowledge.

The three examples relied strongly on continuous cooperation with farmers who played a crucial role in the research process, as data provided by simulation or external expert knowledge could only contribute a small part to the very complex decision making process by the farmer. Data generated by the systems approach are intended to be used and interpreted by the farmer; they certainly do not represent a set of clear-cut decisions. Ideally, research results are presented to the farmer and are generated upon his request in a continuous process of interaction. Thus, scientific independence is maintained, as the scientist does not pretend to make the decisions for the farmer. The true nature of the process is characterized by constant adaptation of management procedures as a function of weather conditions as the season progresses. This contrasts strongly with backward looking studies and with studies which characterize farming systems by generating lumped input-output coefficients for the entire growing season (Hengsdijk 1998).

Regional level

At the regional level, interaction with stakeholders is also increasingly important. Here, some important stakeholders are planners and politicians. Generating alternative options for land use by using systems analysis is only meaningful when planners can identify with the products that result from such analyses, and when these products are effective when discussing plans with the users of the land. In fact, researchers occupy a special position here between planners and politicians on one side and citizens on the other. The decision-making process is facilitated by independent research on possible land use patterns producing options on demand by either of the two groups. The term land-use planning suggests a top-down approach, which is increasingly irrelevant as citizens become more literate and opinionated. Rather, we should speak of "land-use negotiation" where researchers face the challenge of providing independent and relevant research which can help the decision making process. Researchers may be seen as knowledge brokers (e.g. Bouma 1997a). In a comprehensive study on land use in Costa Rica (Bouman et al. 2000) it was pointed out that diverse questions of different stakeholders can best be addressed by using a methodological toolkit, rather than single methods. Different methods are used as questions vary. In this study, projective, exploratory and predictive methods were presented, which together could answer many of the questions

that were raised by land users and planners. In addition, decision support methods were used to implement land use systems that were designed by the planning exercise. In fact, application of a sequence of methods rather than single methods turned out to be advantageous here.

These developments in land-use negotiation, as briefly explored here, have major consequences for systems analysis on a regional level, which are being made by different ICASA partners. In fact, most attention has been paid so far to agricultural production at field and farm level. Increasing demands on the land will, however, increasingly require regional approaches and ICASA should pay proper attention to this.

REFERENCES

Acock B (1989) Crop modeling in the USA. Acta Horticulturae 248:365-371.

Booltink H W G, Verhagen J (1997) Using decision support systems to optimize barley management on spatial variable soil. Pages 219-233 in Kropff M J, Teng P S, Aggarwal P K, Bouma J, Bouman B A M, Jones J W, Van Laar H H (Eds.) Applications of Systems Approaches at the Field Level. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Boote K J, Jones J W, Hoogenboom G, Pickering NB (1998) The CROPGRO model for grain legumes. Pages 99-128 in Tsuji G Y, Hoogenboom G, Thornton P K (Eds.) Understanding Options for Agricultural Production. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Bouma J (1997a) Role of quantitative approaches in soil science when interacting with stakeholders. Geoderma 78:1-12.

Bouma J (1997b) General reflections. Pages 231-235 in CIBA Foundation. Precision Agriculture: Spatia and temporal variability of environmental quality. CIBA Foundation Symposium 210. John Wiley and Sons. Chichester, New York, Toronto.

Bouma J et al. (1999) Pedology, precision agriculture and the changing paradigms of agricultural research. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J. (in press).

Bouma J, Droogers P (1999) Comparing different methods for estimating the soil moisture supply capacity of a soil series subjected to different types of management. Geoderma 92:185-197.

Bouman B A M, Van Keulen H, Van Laar H H, Rabbinge R (1996) The 'School of de Wit' crop growth simulation models: A pedigree and historical overview. Agric. Systems 52:171-198.

Bouman B A M, Jansen H G P, Schipper R A, Hengsdijk H, Nieuwenhuyse A (Eds.) (2000) Tools for land use analysis on different scales. With case studies for Costa Rica. Kluwer Academic Publisher. Dordrecht, The Netherlands. (in press)

Engel T, Hoogenboom G, Jones J W, Wilkens P W (1997) AEGIS/WIN - A computer program for the application of crop simulation models across geographical areas. Agron. J. 89(6):919-928.

Hack-Ten Broeke M J D, Schut A G J, Bouma J (1999) Effects of implementing newly developed sustainable land use systems for dairy farming on sandy soils in the Netherlands. Geoderma 91:217-237.

Hengsdijk H, Nieuwenhuyse A, Bouman B A M (1998) LUCTOR: Land Use Crop technical Coefficient Generator. A model to quantify crop systems in the Atlantic Zone of Costa Rica; version 2.0. Quantitative Approaches in Systems Analysis no. 17. C.T. de Wit Graduate School for Production Ecology, Wageningen University, The Netherlands, 65 pp.

Hoogenboom G, Wilkens P W, Tsuji G Y (Eds.) 1999. DSSAT version 3, volume 4. University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, 286 pp.

Hoogenboom G, Van Ittersum M K (2000) Advances in the Development and Application of DSSAT. Proceeding of International Conference on Modeling Cropping Systems (This volume).

Hunt L A, Jones J W, Hoogenboom G, Godwin D C, Singh U, Pickering N B, Thornton P K, Boote K J, Ritchie J T (1994) Input and output file structures for crop simulation models. Pages 35-72 in Uhlir P F, Carter G C (Eds.) Crop modeling and related environmental data. A focus on Applications for Arid and Semiarid Regions in Developing Countries. CODATA, International Council of Scientific Unions, Paris, France.

Hunt L A, Boote K J (1998) Data for model operation, calibration, and evaluation. Pages 9-39 in Tsuji G Y, Hoogenboom G, Thornton P K (Eds.) Understanding Options for Agricultural Production. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Hunt L A, White J (2000) Agronomic data: Advances in documentation and protocols for exchange and use. In Proceedings - Third International Symposium on Systems Approaches for Agricultural Development (CD-ROM computer file]. International Potato Center (CIP), Lima, Peru.

Jones J W (1993) Decision support system for agricultural development. Pages 459-472 in Penning de Vries

F W T, Teng P S, Metselaar K (Eds.) Systems Approaches for Agricultural Development. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Jones J W (1998) Model integration and simulation tools. Acta Horticulturae 456:411-417.

Jones J W, Tsuji G Y, Hoogenboom G, Hunt L A, Thornton P K, Wilkens P W, Imamura D T, Bowen W T, Singh U (1998) Decision support system for agrotechnology transfer. Pages 157-177 in Tsuji G Y, Hoogenboom G, Thornton P K (Eds.) Understanding Options for Agricultural Production. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Kropff M J, Bouma J, Jones J W (2000) Systems approaches in agronomic systems. In Proceedings - Third International Symposium on Systems Approaches for Agricultural Development (CD-ROM computer file]. International Potato Center (CIP), Lima, Peru.

Kropff M J, Teng P S, Aggerwal P K, Bouma J, Bouman B A M, Jones J W, Van Laar H H (Eds.) (1997) Applications of Systems Approaches at the Field Level. Vol. 2. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 465 pp.

McCown R L, Hammer, G L, Hargreaves J N G, Holsworth D P, Freebairn D M (1996) APSIM: A novel software system for model development, model testing and simulation in agricultural system research. Agricultural Systems 50: 255-271.

Penning de Vries F W T, Jansen D M, Ten Berge H F M, Bakema A H (1989) Simulation of ecophysiological processes of grwoth in several annual crops. Simulation Monograph 29, Pudoc, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

Penning de Vries F W T, Teng P S, Metselaar K (Eds.) (1993) Systems Approaches for Agricultural Development. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 542 pp.

Ritchie J T, Singh U, Godwin D C, Bowen W T (1998) Cereal growth, development and yield. Pages 79-98 in Tsuji G Y, Hoogenboom G, Thornton P K (Eds.) Understanding Options for Agricultural Production. Kluwer Academic Publishers Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Singh U, Matthews R B, Griffin T S, Ritchie J T, Hunt L A, Goenaga R (1998) Modeling growth and development of root and tuber crops. Pages 129-156 in Tsuji G Y, Hoogenboom G, Thornton P K (Eds.) Understanding Options for Agricultural Production. Kluwer Academic Publishers Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Stoorvogel J J (1995) Linking GIS and models: Structures and operationalization for a Costa Rican case study. Neth. J. of Agric. Sci. 43:19-29.

Stoorvogel J J (1998) BanMan: A decision support system for banana management. Pages 13-22 in Stoorvogel J J, Bouma J, Bowen W T (Eds.) Information technology as a tool to assess land use options in space and time. Quantitative Approaches in Systems Analysis no. 16. C.T. de Wit Graduate School for Production Ecology, Wageningen University, The Netherlands.

Ten Berge H F M (1993) Building capacity for systems research at national agricultural research centers: SARP's experience. Pages 515-538 in Penning de Vries F W T, Teng P S, Metselaar K (Eds.) Systems Approaches for Agricultural Development, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Teng P S, Kropff M J, Ten Berge H F M, Dent J B, Lansigan F P, Van Laar H H (Eds.) (1997) Applications of Systems Approaches at the Farm and Regional Levels. Vol. 1. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 468 pp.

Thornton P K, Booltink H W G, Stoorvogel J J (1997) A computer program for geostatistical and spatial analysis of crop model output. Agron. J. 89:620-627.

Tsuji G Y (1998) Network management and information dissemination for agrotechnology transfer. Pages 367-381 in Tsuji G Y, Hoogenboom G, Thornton P K (Eds.) Understanding Options for Agricultural Production. Kluwer Academic Publishers Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Uehara G, Tsuji G Y (1993) The IBSNAT project. Pages 505-513 in Penning de Vries F W T, Teng P S, Metselaar K (Eds.) Systems Approaches for Agricultural Development. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

Van Kraalingen D W G (1991) The FSE system for crop simulation. Simulation Reports CABO-TT No. 23, Centre for Agrobiological Research and Department of Theoretical Production Ecology, Wageningen Agricultural University, Wageningen, The Netherlands, 64 pp.

Villalobos F J, Hall A J, Ritchie J T, Orgaz F (1996) OILCROP-SUN: A development, growth and yield model of the sunflower crop. Agronomy Journal 88:403-415.