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## Abstract

Wielemaker, W.G. \& H.W. Boxem (Eds) (1982). Soils of the Kisii Area, Kenya. Agric. Res. Rep. (Versl. landbk. Onderz.) 922, ISBN 902200816 9, (XIV) +208 p., 30 figs, 54 tables, 75 refs, Eng. summary.

The Kisii Area, named after the Kisii District, is in the south-west of Kenya; the area surveyed covers $3000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and includes parts of South Nyanza and Narok District. Land-use, vegetation, climate and ecological zones, geology, geomorphology and present status of erosion are described on the basis of thematic maps.
Soils are defined by taxonomy and physiography and depicted on a soil map of scale 1 : 100 000; factors in their formation are also discussed. For land evaluation, existing and proposed land utilization types are described in detail as a basis for selection of alternatives, for which land suitability is assessed. A detailed account is given of the rating procedures on the basis of land qualities.

Free descriptors: Land evaluation, soil suitability, land qualities, farm type, ecological zone, erosion, soil formation, geology, geomorphology, climate.

## ERRATUM

Please add to "Participants in the Kisii Training Project
in Pedology" at page XI:

- Department of Plant Taxonomy and Plant Geography: F.J.Ereteler
- Department of Tropical Crop Science: M.Flach


## Table of contents

FOREWORD (by F.N. Muchena and J. Bennema)
LIST OF PARTICIPANTS
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS (by W.G. Wielemaker) ..... 1

1. THE ENVIRONMENT ..... 3
1.1 Situation, communication and population (by H.W. Boxem) ..... 3
1.2 Climate (by H.W. Boxem) ..... 6
1.2.1 Introduction ..... 6
1.2.2 Relation between altitude and climate ..... 6
1.2.3 Adjusted average for annual rainfall ..... 6
1.2.4 Average annual rainfall and evaporation ..... 8
1.2.5 Average seasonal rainfall and evaporation ..... 10
1.2.6 The reliability of monthly and annual rainfall ..... 11
1.3 Geology, geomorphology and hydrology (by w.G. Wielemaker) ..... 13
1.3.1 Geology ..... 13
1.3.2 Geomorphology ..... 15
1.3.3 Hydrology ..... 18
1.4 Erosion (by W.G. Wielemaker) ..... 19
1.4.1 Introduction ..... 19
1.4.2 Factors controlling erosion ..... 20
1.4.3 Present status of erosion ..... 24
1.5 Vegetation (by H.W. Boxem) ..... 24
1.5.1 Introduction ..... 24
1.5.2 Description of the vegetation units ..... 24
1.6 Ecological zonation (by W.G. Wielemaker) ..... 26
1.7 Present land-use (by H.W. Boxem) ..... 26
1.7.1 Land-use units ..... 26
1.7.2 Crops ..... 28
1.7.3 Grazing area and range land ..... 29
1.7.4 Silviculture ..... 30
2 METHODS (by H.W. Boxem) ..... 32
2.1 Soil survey and soil characterisation ..... 32
2.1.1 Office methods ..... 32
2.1.2 Field methods ..... 32
2.1.3 Laboratory methods ..... 33
2.1.4 Cartographic methods ..... 36
2.2 Soil fertility (by F.C.T. Guiking, B.H. Janssen and D. van der Eijk) ..... 36
2.2.1 Field trials ..... 37
2.2:2 Greenhouse trials ..... 37
2.2.3 Sampling and analysis of leaves ..... 37
2.2.4 Sampling and analysis of soils ..... 38
3 THE SOILS (by W.G. Wielemaker) ..... 39
3.1 Previous work ..... 39
3.2 General properties of the soils ..... 40
3.3 Description of the soil mapping units ..... 41
3.3.1 Systematics and nomenclature ..... 41
3.3.2 Soils of the Hills and minor scarps ..... 42
3.3.3 Soils of the Footslopes ..... 43
3.3.4 Soils of the Uplands and plateau remnants ..... 45
3.3.5 Soils of the Plains ..... 57
3.3.6 Soils of the Bottomlands ..... 60
3.3.7 Soils of Minor valleys ..... 65
3.4 Soil classification, correlation and genesis aspects ..... 65
3.4.1 Introduction ..... 65
3.4.2 Soil genesis ..... 65
3.4.3 Volcanic ash ..... 71
3.4.4 Major classification units ..... 73
3.5 Soil fertility (by F.C.T. Guiking, B.H. Janssen and D. van der Eijk) ..... 76
3.5.1 Relations between chemical properties of soil (0-20 cm), and land-use ..... 76
3.5.2 Principles of the Kisii soil fertility rating ..... 77
3.5.3 Relations of chemical properties to availability class ..... 78
3.5.4 Relations between content of nutrients in maize leaf and nutrient availability of soil ..... 80
3.5.5 Additional topsoil properties and subsoil characteristics ..... 81
3.5.6 Relations between soil mapping units and fertility ..... 82
3.5.7 Fertility rating of soil mapping units ..... 85
3.6 Physical properties of soil (by H.W. Boxem and W.G. Wielemaker) ..... 89
3.6.1 Infiltration and percolation ..... 89
3.6.2 Bulk density and porosity ..... 91
3.6.3 Moisture retention and available moisture ..... 92
4 LAND EVALUATION (by W.G. Wielemaker) ..... 94
4.1 Introduction ..... 94
4.2 Attributes of land utilization types ..... 94
4.2.1 Present systems of tenure, size of holdings, availability of land and layout of fields ..... 95
4.2.2 Labour and farm operations ..... 96
4.2.3 Present input of capital ..... 99
4.2.4 Present technical knowledge and its applications ..... 101
4.2.5 Infrastructure and services ..... 103
4.2.6 Production rates and incomes ..... 104
4.3 Identification of possible land utilization types ..... 104
4.3.1 Structure of the suitability classification ..... 105
4.3.2 Diagnostic procedures and criteria (by W.G. Wielemaker, F.C.T. Guiking, B.H. Janssen, D. van der Eijk and H. van Reuler) ..... 107
4.4 Results of the land evaluation ..... 113
4.4.1 Introduction ..... 113
4.4.2 Relevant land utilization types and their suitability ..... 115
4.5 Proposed farm types ..... 129

## Appendices

1 Reconnaissance soil map of the Kisii area (in colour)
scale $1: 100000$. (Separate) scale 1 : 100 000. (Separate)
2 Reconnaissance soil map of the Kisii are (in black and white) scale 1 : 100 000. (Separate)
3 Cross-section through the Kisii area (in black and white) scale 1 : 100000 . (Separate)
4 Sheet with 6 maps on 1 : 250000 scale. (Separate)
4A: Geology. (Simplified)
4B: Altitude
4C: Geomorphology
4D: Soils. (Generalized)
4E: Present land-use
4F: Vegetation. (Simplified)
4G: Soil erosion hazard
4H: Location of detailed surveys and representative profile pits
5 Soil profile characteristics significant for soil classification. (Separate)
6 Detailed descriptions of representative soil profiles and analytical data. (Bound)
7 Mineral percentages of the light (s.g.<2.9) fine sand fraction (50-250 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). (Bound)
8 Land evaluation key. (Separate)
9 Rating of landqualities of the mapping units. (Bound) 181 9.1 Water availability 181
9.1.1 Rootability factor per soil layer for the calculation of Actual Available Moisture Capacity for ten groups of mapping units ..... 181

9.1.2 Actual Available Moisture Capacity per mapping unit,
rooting depth class and rooting intensity type ..... 182
9.1.3 Crop-water requirement types for rating of water availability ..... 183
9.1.4 Monthly surplusses or deficiencies in optimal evapo- transpiration for different crops for one or two stations per ecological zone ..... 184
9.1.5 Criteria for rating of the water availability of the crop-water requirement types ..... 190
9.1.6 Rating of water availability per mapping unit, per ecological zone, per crop-water requirement type and per season ..... 193
9.2 Nutrient availability ..... 194
9.2.1 Normative (good) yields and estimated nutrient requirements per year or per growing season and per half year ..... 194
9.2.2 Grouping of crops according to their nutrient require- ments in a half year period ..... 195
9.2.3 Nutrient availability marks for different crop.groups and soil fertility classes ..... 195
9.2.4 Nutrient availability marks for the crop groups per soil mapping unit ..... 196
9.3 Rating criteria for oxygen availability with and without improvements ..... 197
9.4 Rating of workability of the land ..... 197
9.5 Rating of the tilth ..... 197
9.6 Rating of the landqualities of oxygen availability, workability, tilth, presence of overgrazing, use of agricultural implements and resistance to soil erosion, per mapping unit and per ecological zone ..... 198
10 Soil Engineering map of the Kisii area (in black and white)scale 1 : 100000 . (Separate)
11 Correlation of the map-units with soils of the detailed surveys.(Bound)199
12 Rating of the soil erosion hazard. (Bound) ..... 201
13 Monthly and seasonal labour demand for the land utilization types and farm types, discussed in section 4.4 and 4.5 . (Bound) ..... 202
14 Yield levels, recurrent and invested capital (1978 prices)
for the land utilization types and farm types discussed insection 4.4 and 4.5. (Bound)204
REFERENCES ..... 205

## Foreword

The present report is the fourth in the Kenya Soil Survey series of "Reconnaissance Soil Surveys". It is the first prepared jointly by the Kenya Soil Survey and another institution, the Training Project in Pedology of the Agricultural University, Wageningen, the Netherlands.

The Training Project in Pedology was approved by the Government of Kenya on 29 June 1973. It started in September, 1973 and operated in the Kisii area until March 1979. It then moved to the Kilifi area.

It was established jointly by the Ministry of Agriculture and the Faculty of Agriculture of the University of Nairobi. Its aim is the training of. M.Sc. students of the. Agricultural University, Wageningen in soil survey, land evaluation and related subjects.

Since the Kenya Soil Survey is responsible for all soil surveys in Kenya, a cooperation with the Training Project in Pedology was a logical consequence. As a result of this cooperation, the Project agreed to produce a reconnaissance soil map of Sheet 130 (Kisii) on the scale. 1 : 100000 , as a contribution to the systematic reconnaissance soil mapping of the country which is a.major long term activity of the Kenya Soil Survey. The accompanying report has been produced in the Netherlands, while all maps were printed in Kenya.

The Training Project in Pedology and the Kenya Soil Survey hope that this report will satisfy the need for general information on soils of the Kisii area and that it will aid agricultural development of the area.
F.N. Muchena

Head, Kenya Soil Survey, Nairobi

Prof. Dr J. Bennema

Training Project in Pedology, Agricultural University, Wageningen
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## Summary and conclusions

The Kisii sheet of the Kenya Topographic. Survey (Sheet 130) covers an area of about 300000 ha in the south-west: of Kenya. The altitude ranges from 1200 m in the west to 2130 m in the east. The average annual rainfall; which is roughly correlated with altitude, ranges from 1200 mm in the northwest to slightly over 2000 mm in the Central Kisii Highlands. The wettest season is from March till June, the driest from December till March. A second dry and wet season is not pronounced.

Ecological Zone II occupies nearly the whole map, apart from a small area in the north-western corner, which belongs to Zone.III (Appendix 2). Zone II is subdivided according to the agricultural suitability into a tea zone (IIa) above 1800 m altitude, a coffee zone (IIb), where maize can be grown twice a year and zone IIc, where maize can be grown only once a year because of limited rainfall.

The well drained soils in Zone IIa and IIb (App. 1) are intensively used for permanent smallholdings, so that the original vegetation has practically disappeared. Most. soils in that area are deep, permeable and highly fertile with good physical characteristics. The fertility is largely due to enrichment with volcanic ash from the Rift Valley Volcanoes. Both the thorough mixing of the ash with the original soils by termites and by intensive weathering helped to conceal that origin of part of the parent material. The well drained soils in part of Zone IIb and in Zone IIc and III are on younger erosion surfaces than the deeper soils in Zone IIa and IIb. They are only moderately deep or shallow, and less enriched by ash than in the deeper soils. Their agricultural suitability is restricted not only by fertility but also by shortage of moisture, especially in the dry season. Many of the soils in that area are used for semi-permanent cultivation or grazing.

Poorly drained soils on Plains and Bottomlands are used mostly for extensive grazing: Especially the soils with a bleached A2 horizon (Planosols) have poor physical characteristics and therefore a low agricultural suitability. Particularly the last soils are prone to gully erosion, which occurred already in the north-west. The well drained soils are not so susceptible to erosion, but continued intensive cultivation, especially of annual crops, increases the risk.

The land was evaluated and classed for several land utilization types, defined by various attributes. As types should be fitted within the physical, economic and social environment, the attributes of present land-use are described in detail according to the scheme of FAO (1975). Information on these
attributes (land, labour and farmpower, capital, technology, infrastructure and market orientation, produce and income levels) was used in defining economic constraints and relevant land utilization types.

The high birth rate is causing an increasing fragmentation of farms. More than half the farms in the densely populated Zones IIa and IIb were smaller than 2 ha, and a fifth were less than 1 ha. Lack of land and capital on the small farms causes heavy cropping for food without maintenance of fertility. Since employment outside agriculture was restricted, intensive land utilization types were defined. Unfortunately they require much capital and careful management. So they can succeed only if training and credit are available to the smaller farmer.

Possible land uses can be classed as follows:

1. Smallholder; rain-fed arable farming; low, medium and high capital input level;
2. Tree crops; low to high capital;
3. Livestock; low to high capital;
4. Fish culture;
5. Bee-keeping;
6. Extraction of natural products.

The suitability of the land for particular uses was assessed as follows. First a rating was assigned for each land quality relevant to the particular land-use. By combination of the ratings, a suitability subclass was assigned for each mapping unit, ecological zone, season and land-use. It included an indication of the most limiting land quality. If land improvement is feasible, a. rating is also assigned for potential suitability. The necessary improvement measures are classed by costs or difficulty.

Farm types are distinguished and described for each ecological zone. Each should have such an assortment of produce that production capacity of the soil is maintained and that the farmer earns a reasonable income. Farm-size, produce items, types of farmpower, labour requirements, recurrent and invested capital as well as gross margins of the farm types are summarized in Table 54.

A soil engineering map, Seperate Appendix 10, was prepared by combining several soil mapping units into major soil groups which differ significantly in engineering properties. It is intended for those interested only in engineering properties of the soils.

## 1 The environment

### 1.1 SITUATION, COMMUNICATION AND POPULATION

The map is named after the Kisii District which covers the major part of the sheet; a minor part of it is situated in South Nyanza District. Both Kisii and South Nyanza District belong to Nyanza Province. A small area in the south-west belongs to Narok District, which is part of Rift Valley Province.

The area is bounded by lattitudes $0^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ and $1^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ and longitudes $34^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ and $35^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ (Fig. 1) and comprises about $3063 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. Altitude ranges from $1190 \mathrm{~m}(3900 \mathrm{ft})$ in the far north-western part of the area near Ligisa Omoya (the altitude of Lake Victoria is 1134 m (3720 ft)) to more than 2130 m (7000 ft) in the central eastern part south of Keroka (App. 1).

The area can be subdivided broadly into three equal areas by altitude: $1200-1520 \mathrm{~m}(4000-5000 \mathrm{ft})$ in the west 1520-1830 m (5000-6000 ft) in the centre $1830-2130 \mathrm{~m}(6000-7000 \mathrm{ft})$ in the east
of the surveyed area (Seperate App. 4, Map B).
The surveyed area is administered from different district headquarters (Fig. 2): Kisii Township (Kisii District), Homa Bay (South Nyanza District) and Narok Township (Narok District). The divisional headquarters for Kisii District are Nyamira, Manga, Kisii Township and Ogembo (Fig. 2). The divisional headquarters for South Nyanza District within the area mapped are Rongo and Oyugis (Fig. 2). The divisional headquarters for Narok District are in Kilgoris, which lies just off the area mapped to the south-east. The nearest big town is Kisumu which is the provincianal town for Nyanza. Kisii Town is a fast-growing centre. In most of the area surveyed, there are medium-sized villages with some shops that cater for the majority of the people who live in isolated huts on their farms.

The roads Kisumu - Kisii - Isebania and Kisii - Keroka - Sotik are tarmacked. All-weather roads have been constructed throughout the area (App. 1). Minor roads make the area reasonably accessible but in the rainy season they are only passable by vehicles with four-wheel drive. Nearly all tracks have been upgraded to minor roads. No major difficulties were encountered with access during the survey.

Figure 2 indicates population density for each location, according to the national census of 1969. The highest population density was in the central part of the Kisii District, which is under intensive cultivation. The south


Fig. 1. Situation of published and programmed reconnaissance soil surveys. R1, R2 ... are numbers of the respective reports.
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Fig. 2. Administrative boundaries in Kisii District (centre:east), South Nyanza District (west) and Narok District (south) within the area mapped. Population density per square kilometer for each location according to the Kenyan population census of 1969.
is grazed by Masai cattle and has a low population density. The rate of increase in population in the Kisii District. is 38 per thousand per year (Lanting, 1977).

### 1.2 CLIMATE

### 1.2.1 Introduction

Besides soil, the agricultural potential of an area depends closely on climate, particularly annual and seasonal balance between rainfall and evaporation. The present study of climate was largely in the survey area, the Kisii and South-Nyanza districts having already been studied (van Mourik, 1974). There were sufficient rainfall-recording stations in the survey area.

### 1.2.2 Relation between altitude and climate

Altitude affects rainfall, temperature and evaporation and consequently also vegetation (Trappnell \& Griffith, 1960). Both altitude and vegetation have been used as a guide to the drawing of isohyets and iso-probability lines, discussed in following sections. The relation between altitude and mean temperature is given by EAMD (1970). Braun (1980) relates altitude and thermodynamic temperature for Kenya as follows:
mean max. temperature $\quad=35.5-5.94 x(x=$ altitude in $k m)$
mean min. temperature $=24.8-7.05 x$
mean temperature $=30.2-6.50 x$
absolute max. temperature $=42.5-5.51 \mathrm{x}$
absolute min. temperature $=16.3-6.56 \mathrm{x}$
With these equations, the range of temperatures for the Kisii area can be calculated. The altitude ranges from $1190 \mathrm{~m}(3900 \mathrm{ft})$ to 2130 m (7000 ft), which gives:
altitude
mean max. temperature mean min. temperature mean temperature
absolute max. temperature
absolute min. temperature

| 1190 m | 2130 m |
| :--- | ---: |
| $28.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $22.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $16.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $9.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $22.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $16.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $35.9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $30.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $8.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $2.3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

### 1.2.3 Adjusted average for annual rainfall

Of 25 rainfall stations in Figure 3, 14 had data for at least 15 years, and presumably their averages were reasonably accurate. For the other 11 stations, the annual average was adjusted by adding the annual totals available for each station (A), (EAMD, 1976 and earlier) dividing it by the total for the same years of a nearby station (B), with a longer period of records and multiplying by the long-term average for that station:

$$
\bar{r}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{\Sigma_{n}=\mathrm{i} r_{\mathrm{A}} \times \bar{r}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\Sigma_{n}=\mathrm{i} r_{\mathrm{B}}}
$$
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Fig. 3. Position of rainfall stations; the EAMD code number should be preceded by 90340 (Table 1).

The averages and adjusted averages of annual rainfall for stations in the Kisii area and some stations just outside that area, are given in Table 1. Striking are the differences between the normal and adjusted averages for Kisii Water Supply and Nyamarambe Chief Camp. However the data for Kisii NARS and Kisii Water Supply are, if adjusted, nearly the same. The stations are very close together.

Table 1. Annual rainfall and duration of records for 25 stations within the area mapped. The stations Singoiwek, Homa Bay and Kilgoris are just outside the area. Source and code number: East African Meteorological Department.

| Station name | Number | Duration of records (years) | Average annual rainfall (mm) | Adjusted annual rainfall (mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kisii DC | 9034001 | 66 | 1790 | - |
| Kamagambo T.S. | 9034005 | 37 | 1597 | - |
| Asumbi T.T.C. | 9034006 | 36 | 1598 | - |
| Oyugis A.S. | 9034023 | 24 | 1329 | - |
| Singoiwek | 9034024 | 36 | 1444 | - |
| Nyanturubo F.C.S. | 9034031 | 25 | 2099 | - |
| Morumbo F.C.S. | 9034032 | 34 | 2012 | - |
| Marinde T.C. | 9034041 | 26 | 1756 | - |
| Nyakegogi F.C.S. | 9034042 | 25 | 1706 | - |
| Nyabomite F.C.S. | 9034046 | 22 | 1865 | - |
| Uriri C.C. | 9034047 | 22 | 1379 | - |
| Nyakoe F.C.S. | 9034056 | 22 | 1756 | - |
| Kodera F.S. | 9034066 | 17 | 1630 | - |
| Nyamira D.O. | 9034065 | 15 | 1918 | - |
| Kiamokama F.C. | 9034072 | 13 | 1607 | 1674 |
| Kisii C.R.F. | 9034080 | 29 | 1952 | - |
| Homa Bay | 9034084 | 14 | 1163 | 1182 |
| Kisii NARS | 9034088 | 1.1 | 2450 | 2449 |
| Rakwero Sem. | 9034090 | 4 | 1889 | 1966 |
| Kisii W. Sup. | 9034092 | 12 | 2074 | 2437 |
| Wanjare C.C. | 9034095 | 5 | 2021 | 1911 |
| Nyamarambe C.C. | 9034096 | 3 | 1980 | 2267 |
| Kenyenya | 903409.7 | 5 | 1450 | 1469 |
| Sakwa Jope | 9034098 | 7 | 1633 | 1661 |
| Ndiru C.C. | 9034099 | 5 | 1296 | 1248 |
| Rongo C.C. | 9034100 | 9 | 1809 | 1798 |
| Itibo Sec. S. | 9034109 | 5 | 1792 | 1732 |
| Kilgoris | 9134011 | 24 | 1424 | $\checkmark$ |

### 1.2.4 Average annual rainfall and evaporation

Maps of annual and monthly rainfall on a scale $1: 2000000$ are available for East Africa in 13 North and 13 South Sheets (EAC, 1971). They provide a first approximation to rainfall distribution. The data of Table 1 provide a more detailed distribution pattern. The mean annual rainfall map (Fig. 4) indicates a larger area with an annual precipitation above 2000 mm than on the EAC (1971) maps.

The sharp decrease in rainfall towards the west is striking but is well correlated with physiography (Manga, Marongo Ridge) and altitude. The rainfall stations however, are not regularly distributed over the area mapped, so that data are easily misinterpreted. In general the pattern follows the main altitude lines. The average annual rainfall varies from less than 1200 mm in the north-west near Ligisa omoya to more than 2000 mm in the central Kisii Highlands. A sharp increase in altitude results in extra rainfall as the prevailing direction of rainstorms is from south-east to north-
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Fig. 4. Map of average annual rainfall.
west. Although rainfall maps reflect differences in altitude, they do not account for differences in rainfall due to local differences in topography, for instance between the west and east sides of hills.

The map of the average annual potential evaporation (Fig. 5) is an adaptation of the $1: 3000000$ map by woodhead (1968). The iso-evaporation lines of Figure 5 follow the altitude more closely than the isohyets (Fig. 4). The evaporation varies from less than 1800 mm (but higher than 1600 mm ) in the central part of the Kisii Highlands to more than 2100 mm in the utmost north-west of the mapped area near Ligisa Omoya. The trend in evapora-


- . - provincial boundary
-     - district boundary
—iso-evaporation line with evaporation (mm)
_ـ river
_.... road


Fig. 5. Map of average annual potential evaporation.
tion is opposite to that in precipitation; areas with a low rainfall have a high evaporation. The ratio of rainfall to evaporation varies considerably.

### 1.2.5 Average seasonal rainfall and evaporation

Unlike most parts of Kenya, there are no pronounced wet and dry seasons
in most of the area, but the distribution of rainfall within a year fluctuates considerably from long-term averages. Heavy rain may fall in an expected dry season. The seasons of the year are, however, more clearly marked in the drier area than in the wetter mapped area.

The seasonal fluctuation in evaporation is not great (App. 9.1.4).
Four seasons are distinguished as a general pattern:

- March - May: Major rainy season, precipitation is about $36 \%$ of the annual total.
- June - August: Intermediate season, precipitaion about $21 \%$ of the annual total.
- September - November: Minor rainy season, precipitation about $27 \%$ of the annual total.
- December - February: Dry season, precipitation about $15 \%$ of the annual total.

There are three major discrepancies in this general pattern where the four seasons cannot be distinguished.

- In the higher parts (Kisii Highlands) with a total annual rainfall of over 2000 mm , the intermediate season has the same or even a higher (Morumba) precipitation than the following rainy season. This resembles a monomodal system with an extended rainy season of nine months and a dry season of three months, which still has a precipitation of more than 500 mm .
- July is the driest month of the year in the south and south-east, and January or February are the driest in the remainder. For Kilgoris and Uriri, the driest season is not December - January, but June - August.
- The north-western drier part has a much more pronounced rainy season (41\%) than the general pattern (36\%). The 'intermediate' season is as dry as the 'dry' season.

If a month with an average rainfall of less than 100 mm is taken as dry, 100 mm to 150 mm as a moist month and more than 150 mm as a wet month, then there are 2-8 wet months and $1-6$ dry months (Table 2). January, February and June are the driest months except for Kilgoris and singoiwek in the south-east. Noteworthy is the station of Uriri which has two pronounced dry periods in December - March and June - September.

### 1.2.6 The reliability of monthly and annual rainfall

Figure 6 shows that annual rainfall fluctuates considerably. Optimum growth of crops requires continuous moisture throughout the growing season. It is therefore worthwhile to consider the reliability of rainfall not only for the year but also for each month. The monthly rainfall reached or exceeded in 3 out of 4 years is given in Table 3 for 11 stations with a recording period of more than 20 years.

Crops can presumably be grown if the seasonal rainfall is at least two-

Table 2. The distribution of wet (++), moist (+) and dry (-) months of stations with a recording period longer than 5 years. Wet $=$ more than 150 mm ; dry $=$ less than 100 mm . Source rainfall records from EAMD to 1977.

thirds of potential evaporation (if effectiveness of rainfall be ignored). This fraction of potential evaporation is called potential evapotranspiration, with which the monthly rainfall reliability is compared for stations representative of Ecological Zones IIa, IIb and IIc (Section 1.6 and App. 2) in Figure 7. There Zone III is not represented since Homa Bay has records for less than 20 years. However Appendix 9.1.4 gives data for the average monthly and annual rainfall and potential evaporation for stations representative of all the ecological zones. Chapter 4 and Appendix 9.1 give a. detailed appraisal of the availability of water for crops.

Table 3. Average monthly and annual rainfall (mm), reached or exceeded in three out of four years in the Kisii and South Nyanza Districts.

| Station | J | F | M | A. | M | J J | J | A | S | O | N | D | Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kisii | 30 | 25 | 120 | 245 | 170 | 85 | 50 | 105 | 115 | 75 | 45 | 50 | 1525 |
| Asumbi | 20 | 20 | 95 | 200 | 185 | 85 | 60 | 100 | 105 | 90 | 55 | 50 | 1425 |
| Oyugis | 10 | 20 | 70 | 175 | 165 | 70 | 80 | 100 | 70 | 65 | 50 | 20 | 1125 |
| Nyanturubo | 35 | 25 | 115 | 130 | 175 | 130 | 55 | 115 | 120 | 95 | 70 | 70 | 1500 |
| Morumba | 40 | 30 | 150 | 240 | 210 | 130 | 90 | 110 | 135 | 125 | 85 | 70 | 1800 |
| Marinde | 10 | 20 | 110 | 200 | 145 | 50 | 30 | 75 | 100 | 95 | 85 | 40 | 850 |
| Nyakegogi. | 20 | 10 | 105 | 180 | 105 | 80 | 45 | 75 | 90 | 80 | 110 | 65 | 825 |
| Nyabomite | 20 | 10 | 100 | 140 | 145 | 80 | 65 | 105 | 130 | 160 | 60 | 35 | 1400 |
| Uriri | 20 | 15 | 70 | 165 | 120 | 60 | 10 | 55 | 80 | 80 | 75 | 55 | 1100 |
| CRF | 30 | 55 | 130 | 240 | 205 | 110 | 70 | 135 | 145 | 120 | 80 | 65 | 1800 |
| Kilgoris | 55 | 65 | 130 | 145 | 100 | 60 | 35 | 80 | 60 | 40 | 105 | 95 | 1300 |





Fig. 6. Frequency of occurrence of different annual rainfalls for stations representative of Ecological Zones IIa (Morumba 9034032), IIb (Kisii 9034001) and IIc (Oyugis 9034023).

### 1.3 GEOLOGY, GEOMURPHOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY

### 1.3.1 Geology

The geology of the area was surveyed in 1947-1949 and the map and report were published by Huddleston (1951). To clarify the relationship between geology and soil formation, a simplified geological map has been prepared from Huddlestons' publication (App. 4, Map A).

- Precambrian rocks occupy the major part of the area mapped. They are composed of an older Nyanzian system, below an altitude of about 1500 m in the west and a younger or Bukoban system, occurring above an altitude of 1500 m in the east. Intrusives are especially important in the Nyanzian system. Conglomerates occur both in the Bukoban and Nyanzian rock system and are

Morumba 9034032 , Altitude 1920 m
Ecological zone IIIa


Kisii 9034001 , Altitude 1768 m Ecological zone IIb


Kilgoris 9134011, Altitude 1981 m
Ecological zone IIa


Oyugis 9034023, Altitude 1463 m
Ecological zone IIC


Fig. 7. Average monthly rainfall (solid line), reached or exceeded in three out of four years and the average monthly potential evapotranspiration
$\left(E_{T}=2 / 3 E_{0}\right)$ (broken line), for stations representative for ecological zones IIa, IIb and IIc (Section 1.6 ).
probably late Precambrian.

- Tertiary rocks occupy a small area near Rodi Kopany (McCall, 1958).
- Quaternary rocks occur especially in the south-east.

The Nyanzian system consists of folded lavas: basalts, andesites, diorites, rhyolites and rhyolitic tuffs. Rhyolitic rocks are by far the most widespread. The Bukoban system consists mainly of lava: basalts, felsites, andesites, rhyolites and rhyolitic tuffs. Soapstone occurs locally between the basalts and the quartzites of this system. The Bukoban system is slightly folded. Its synclines and anticlines, but especially the presence of resistant quartzites, govern the present geomorphological structure of the Kisii Highlands. The intrusives are the granites of Kitere, Wanjare and Oyugis. Field work showed Wanjare granites in fact, to be quartz diorites associated with granites (App. 4, Map A). Intrusives of dolerites are of minor importance in the area. Conglomerates belonging to the Kavirondian system were probably deposited during periods of erosion. They often occur as resistant lenses in valleys associated with cataracts and valley narrowing.

The Tertiary rocks are alkali basalts. The Quaternary rocks are volcanic ash deposits originating from the rift valley volcanoes and blanketing the older rocks. In the west of the area mapped, ash deposits are found only in flat-bottomed valleys in layers 4 m thick. The small scale of mapping does not indicate them (App. 4, Map A).

### 1.3.2 Geomorphology

The area has been divided into the following major physiographic units (App. 4 Map C):

- hills and minor scarps,
- footslopes,
- uplands and plateau remnants,
- plains,
- bottomlands.

Hills and minor scarps. Hills occur in the west and are mostly remnants of older erosion surfaces. Slopes range from 6 to over $30 \%$. Scarps are found along the quartzite ridges, and in the area south of Igare and north of Tonga (Fig. 8). The scarps are well formed; the dip slope on the other side follows the slope of the rock layering.

Footslopes are found at the foot of hills and scarps. Their slopes are $8-25 \%$. Debris from scarps and hills may have accumulated and been carried downslope (Fig. 8).

Uplands and plateau remnants. The uplands are divided in four levels. The criteria for subdivision are based on altitude and geomorphology. The uplands


Fig. 8. View from the Marongo ridge to Sameta Hill across the valley of the Gucha River. Geomorphological features are from background to foreground: plateau remnant on top of the hill; quartzite scarp; footslope and flat-topped ridges of the Kisii Upland.
are dissected erosion surfaces, but each upland level does not necessarily correlate with a different erosion surface.

The summits of the highest upland level (Keroka Uplands) are still clearly recognizable on Figure 9. They correspond with the Kisii Surface in the study of Wielemaker \& van Dijk (1981). According to Shackleton (1946), it is probably of Cretaceous age. King (1972) correlates it with the Buganda Surface and places it at the end of the Mesozoic era. The surface has tilted since Tertiary times, when the Great Rift Valley started to form. It attains its highest altitude near Keroka ( 2150 m ) and an altitude of 1800 m at its western most occurrence on the Marongo Range (the area bordered by scarps west of Ogembo). The erosion surface is strongly dissected and slopes of over $20 \%$ are quite common.

The Magombo Uplands occur in the east. Characteristic for the landscape are the flat-topped ridges alternating with bottomlands (Fig. 9). The topography is undulating to rolling. Its altitude is 1700-1950 m. Wielemaker \& van Dijk (1981) call it the Magombo Surface, and suggest that it formed in the early Tertiary just before the formation of the Rift Valley.

The Kisii Uplands resemble the Magombo Uplands south and east of Ogembo, where the ridges are associated with bottomlands. The same type of landscape occurs north of Kisii but at a lower level; it is probably younger. The rest of the Kisii Uplands are more dissected with rolling topography and V-shaped valleys. The altitude is $1450-1800 \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 9. View from Nyambaria across the Magombo Uplands. In the background are the Keroka Uplands.

The Rongo Uplands lie west of the Marongo Range. The landscape consists of parallel flat-topped ridges. The flat-topped parts often carry a 1 m thick ironstone cap. A veneer of riverine deposits, mainly rounded quartzitic stones, are embedded in the ironstone or occur as a stone layer above the weathered rock. In the study of Wielemaker \& van Dijk (1981) one part of the uplands is correlated with an early Pleistocene or Rongo Surface and another part with a Mid-Pleistocene or Magena Surface. The altitude is 1580-1250 m.

Plains are all imperfectly to poorly drained. The plains occupied by units PXa and PGa (App. 1) are somewhat dissected. The undissected parts are remnants of the youngest erosion surface in the area. This surface, equivalent to the Magena Surface, probably matured during the Mid-Pleistocene. Many rounded resistant stones are found at a depth of $50-100 \mathrm{~cm}$ just above the rotten rock. The maximum altitude is slightly more than 1500 m . The plain in the south-east is older and hardly dissected. It occurs at an altitude of slightly less than 1800 m .

Bottomlands. Many poorly drained flat-bottomed valleys occur along the tributaries of the Gucha River and along the upstream part of the Gucha River itself in the east. The incision of streams proceeds irregularly because of very resistant conglomerate and quartzite lenses in the rocks. Near these lenses the valleys narrow, giving cataracts and rapids. The flat-bottomed


Fig. 10. Drainage basins of the following rivers: I Gucha, II Awach Tende, III Awach Kasipul, IV Sondu, V Aoro Modho and Agulo. I is subdivided into: IA Gucha, IB Riana, IC Sare, ID Migori and IE Osani.
valleys south of Ogembo still exist, because the Gucha River starts incising strongly further west of Ogembo. Extensive bottomlands occur also in the west, especially along the Riana River and its tributaries. All bottomlands are filled with fine clayey deposits $4-6 \mathrm{~m}$ thick. Layers of volcanic ash are common and transitions from pure volcanic ash to clay are found everywhere. The clays and ashes are partly eroded. The eroded parts are filled with peat and peaty clays (App. 1, unit BXo).

### 1.3.3 Hydrology

The whole area drains into Lake Victoria. The major all-year rivers draining the area are in order of importance (Fig. 10): Gucha, Awach Tende, Awach

Table 4. Mean monthly discharge and low-flow discharge ( $95 \%$ flow duration) for the rivers Gucha, Riana, Awach and Migori. Data from WHO 1973.

| River | Gauge | Area of catchment ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | Discharge ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low-flow discharge ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) ( $\mathrm{P}=0.95$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | monthly mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | annual mean |  |
|  |  |  | J | F | M | A | M | J | J | A | S | 0 | N | D |  |  |
| Gucha | Gucha | 1110 | 7.9 | 14.5 | 22.4 | 30.6 | 36.7 | 18.0 | 9.5 | 7.4 | 10.4 | 7.7 | 10.4 | 21.7 | 16.1 | 2.7 |
| Gucha | Keumbu | 342 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 10.9 | 15.6 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 6.8 | -6.5 | 0.96 |
| Riana | Magena | 264 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 9.1 | 13.2 | 5.8 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 6.9 | 9.2 | 5.3 | 0.68 |
| Awach | near Kendu Bay | 508 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 5.5 | 8.8 | 20.7 | 14.3 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 3.9 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 0.95 |
| Migori | Migori | 3050 | 7.6 | 13.0 | 17.4 | 31.8 | 27.7 | 13.2 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 13.1 | 13.0 | 0.89 |

Table 5. Volume rate and quality of potable water from boreholes at Kisii town (C3125 and C3126) and Asumbi (C3499).

| Borehole | Water <br> level <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Total <br> depth <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Volume <br> rate <br> $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | Year <br> drilled | Quality |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C 3125 | 4.3 | 121.9 | 63.1 | 1961 | slightly polluted, contains <br> carbon dioxide |
| C 3126 | 7.6 | 121.9 | 2734 | 1961 | contains carbon dioxide <br> C 3499 |

Kasipul, Sondu River, Aoro Modho (with Agulo) River. The Gucha River has several large tributaries: The Riana, the Sare, the Migori and the Osani rivers. For discharge characteristics of the rivers Gucha, Riana, Awach and Migori see Table 4. The river gauges of the Awach and the Migori rivers are off the area mapped.

Numerous springs and small streams occur in the eastern part of the mapsheet, of which some have water the whole year round. A few small dams occur in the western part of the mapsheet. Enough water is stored to provide water for cattle during the whole year. The available borehole data are presented in Table 5.

### 1.4 EROSION

### 1.4.1 Introduction

The erosion hazard after clearing the vegetation is estimated in Appendix 4, Map G. It depends on the interaction of the following factors (Hudson, 1971; Morgan, 1979):

- erosivity or the tendency of the eroding agent to cause erosion,
- erodibility or the susceptibility of a soil to detachment and transport,
- slope,
- slope length,
- crop management,
- conservation practices.

It results mainly in two types of erosion: splash and gully erosion. Transport of particles over short distances by impact is called splash erosion; on slopes without run-off, it is the only form. Where run-off occurs, rills and gullies can be formed.

To assess the hazard of either type of erosion, especially the erodibility of the soil and the slope are rated (App. 12). This is done in relation to the other factors, which were taken as constant for the area mapped. All factors are described separately in the succeeding section; the present status of erosion is discussed in the last section.

### 1.4.2 Factors controlling erosion

### 1.4.2.1 Erosivity

Only rainfall erosivity will be discussed as wind erosivity is not important. It depends on the intensity, duration and frequency of rainstorms.

In the survey area, the number of rainstorms of a high intensity correlated with rainfall (areic volume), which corresponds with the relatively dry and wet seasons (Table 6). The Spearman correlation coefficient between rainfall and the number of "erosive" rainstorms is 0.7. The monthly rainfall distribution (Section 1.2 ) shows a gradual increase in rainfall from the drier to the wetter seasons. So the number of showers of high intensity also increase from the drier to the wetter seasons. The majority of erosive rainstorms fall, when the soil has a vegetative cover, which reduces the erosion hazard.

### 1.4.2.2 Erodibility

The erodibility of the soil is determined by susceptibility of the soil

Table 6. Estimated maximum areic volume rate of rainfall (intensity $\mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) recorded over $10-15$ min. for different seasons and different frequencies of recurrence. Only peaks of more than $5.6 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ were recorded. Casella rain recorder, Kisii Town, April 1974-July 1977.

| Frequency | Dec.-Feb. | March-June | July | Aug.-Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | - . | - | - | - |
| 20 | - | 9.7 | 6.4 | 7.5 |
| 100 | 7.8 | 28.1 | 16.9 | 21.1 |
| 1000 | 19.2 | 90.6 | - | 64.7 |
| Time during which measurements were taken (d) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 159 | 315 | 76 | 302 |

to rainfall erosivity. Factors in this susceptibility are permeability of topsoil and subsoil, cohesion of the surface as determined by texture, content of organic matter and form, grade and size of the structure.

The least erodible soils in the region (Hennemann \& Kauffman, 1975) were the deep permeable red soils in Ecological Zones IIa and IIb (App. 2) with infiltration rates of more than $70 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ (Section 3.6). These high infiltration rates are maintained by the great activity, especially of termites (Section 3.4.2).

Content of organic matter had a clear positive effect on structural stability, and grass roots had a strong cohesive effect on soil aggregates. The most erodible well drained soils were red soils on granite and quartzite and soils with a very high mass ratio of silt to clay (over 0.5) in the eastern part of the Kisii District (App. 1, unit UlPh). Structure decay in the topsoil was also noteworthy in red soils of Ecological Zones IIc and III (App. 1 and 2). The drier and hotter climate of these zones limits biological activity. Restoration of topsoil structure and maintainance of a good pore system by soil animals is less than in the red soils of Zones IIa and IIb. Infiltration rates were $28-70 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. Both the rather low infiltration rates and the weak topsoil structures in these red soils increase the run-off hazard at high rainfall intensities, so that rills and small gullies are formed at certain places.

Low and very low infiltration rates (less than $7 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) occur on dense clay soils, classified as Planosols and Vertisols (App. 4D). By their very low infiltration rates and very compact dense subsoils, Planosols are very prone to run-off. Even after dry periods, most of the water will run-off. Run-off may already start at low rainfall intensities and if the soil is not protected by vegetation, rill and gully erosion will occur, even on gently sloping land (Fig. ll).

In contrast, Vertisols shrink and form cracks when dry, so they absorb large amounts of water till the soil is saturated.

In summary, the erodibility is low for soils with a high biological activity, a fine moderately to strongly structured humic topsoil and a low substance ratio of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (less than l) in Ecological Zones IIa and IIb. These soils were classified as dystro*-mollic* and mollic* Nitosols and luvic Phaeozems (App. 4, Map D).

Soil erodibility is moderate for soils similar to the ones above but without a humic topsoil or with an acid humic topsoil or with a mass ratio of silt to clay above 0.5. It is also moderate for heavy cracking clay soils and the reddish soils with slight drainage problems: humic Acrisols, humic Nitosols, chromic Luvisols, luvic Phaeozems with a high mass ratio of silt to clay, Vertisols, Verto*-luvic Phaeozems, gleyic Phaeozems and gleyic Luvisols. It is moderate for soils of the types mentioned under low erodibility but in Ecological Zones IIc and III (App. 2; App. 4, Map D).

It is high for soils with a leached topsoil and a heavy compact subsoil


Fig. 11. Gully erosion in unit BGa. The compact clayey $B$ horizon stands out and forms a sharp line, where it borders the sandy $A 2$ horizon.
or soils with a high mass ratio of silt to clay in the topsoil and a dense subsoil: Planosols and gleyic Luvisols with a high mass ratio of silt to clay.

It is very high for dense clay soils with a substance fraction of exchangeable sodium of more than 0.15. These soils are classified as Solonetz.

Whether gullies will be formed, depends on the run-off hazard, which is estimated as follows. It is negligible for all soils with a low erodibility in Ecological Zones IIa and IIb. It is low for the soils similar to the above but with a weaker topsoil structure (sealing sensitive soils). It is moderate for permeable soils without a humic surface and for reddish soils with drainage problems and for all soils with a low or negligible run-off hazard but in Ecological Zones IIc and III. It is high for permeable shallow soils and for soils with dense clay at a depth between 50 and 100 cm and for cracking heavy-clay soils. It is very high for shallow soils with bedrock underneath and for soils with dense compact clay within 50 cm of the surface.

### 1.4.2.3 The slope and its length

There is a strong interaction between erosivity of rainfall, erodibility and slope. Erosion increases progressively with slope and length of a slope determines velocity of the running water. Running water is, however, often slowed down by obstacles like hedges.


Fig. 12. Terraces developed from trash-lines. Accumulation of topsoil at lower end of terrace as a result of splash and sometimes gully erosion.

### 1.4.2.4 crop management and conservation practices

Erosion on the deep red soils is not a serious problem. The increasing population has caused farms to be split into smaller units and cultivation to become more intensive. On the smaller holdings, the proportion of land under food crops is larger than on the larger holdings, where grass and cash crops like tea, coffee and sugar-cane have a larger share. Cultivation of food crops leaves the soil bare and susceptible to erosion for more of the year than does cultivation of such cash crops or a grass cover. Grass, moreover, restores soil structure, which may gradually deteriorate under continuous cultivation. The circumstances probably contribute to an increase in the rill and splash erosion, as suggested by evidence of Hennemann \& Kauffman (1975). They found very thick dark topsoils at the lower end of fields protected by trash-lines (Fig. 12) and rather shallow ones at the top of these fields.

Tillage with a hoe or simple plough leaves a rather rough surface, which is less susceptible to erosion than a finely tilled topsoil. Since the loss of the thick fertile topsoil would be disastrous, terraces must be constructed and maintained. They do exist in Kisii District and developed from trashlines of maize stalks. This method is effective and simple, but should be applied more widely. Recently a start was made with the construction of cut-off drains along some very steep slopes south of Igare (east of Ogembo, App. 1).

Herbicides and fungicides harmful for termites would be catastrophic for red soils. The destruction of termites would decrease permeability and in-
crease erosion. In the drier areas of the north-west, more grazing occurs especially on the heavy-textured soils but overgrazing in the pronounced dry season causes gully erosion, especially on the slopes of soil unit BGa and BXal. Large areas are already severely gullied and denuded of soil (Fig. 11).

### 1.4.3 Present status of erosion

Severe splash and rill erosion are common on steep slopes, scarps, hills, ridges and steep-sided valleys with shallow soils. These areas should be kept under a permanent vegetation of either trees or grass.

The well drained deeper soils on rolling to hilly terrain are not so susceptible to erosion, except under poor management (Section 1.4.4). Compact imperfectly drained heavy clay soils are susceptible to run-off and gully erosion, even on gentle slopes, especially with overgrazing (Section 1.4.2). Run-off and gully erosion are not marked in de area with well drained red soils.

Roads and footpaths, especially if running downhill are sometimes deeply gullied and are a hazard to adjoining cultivated land.

### 1.5 VEGETATION

### 1.5.1 Introduction

The simplified vegetation map (App. 4, Map F) is directly derived from the 1 : 250000 vegetation map by Trapnell et al. (1970, Sheet 3 ) and the legend is an adaption of the original. More than $75 \%$ of the surveyed area has been at least half-cleared (land-use map App. 4E). Former vegetation has influenced soil formation of cleared land. Most of the cultivated land has been cleared recently (15-20 years ago). So the map represents climax vegetation for cultivated areas, as extrapolated from uncultivated areas. The legend of Map 4 F lists the dominant species of each vegetation unit.

### 1.5.2 Description of the vegetation units

(1) Montane Acacia vegetation of probable forest origin. Major parts consist of undifferentiated secondary and valley types and of Intermediate Diospyros forest. A substantial part has been cleared and cultivated but Acacia abyssinica remains in the fields. These areas were cleared about 1965-1970.
(2) Forest clearings and cultivation communities from moist montane intermediate forest consisting of undifferentiated clearings and shrubs, cultivated Croton and Vernonia-Clerodendron and cultivated Triumfetta-Vernonia. The valleys in the north-west of the unit are substantially lower in altit-
ude and carry cultivated Albizia-Bridelia-Vernonia. Near Tombe, they are choked up with papyrus, swamp-grasses and reeds. Somewhat higher valleybottoms carry evergreen clump grasslands.
(3) Forest clearings and cultivation communities from Diospyros-olea intermediate forest consisting of Dombeya and allied clump vegetation, evergreen clump grassland and undifferentiated clearings and shrub. Some very small patches are still under forest, designated as an intermediate Diospyros-olea forest, which is mainly semidecideous.
(4) Combretum and allied broad-leaved savanna, a complex consisting mainly of undifferentiated Combretum types including cultivated areas and Combretum with Euclea schimperi. South of Awendo, a Parinari-Combretum mixture occurs in the complex. In the north, the Combretum semi-evergreen thicket mixtures are included in the unit and in the north-west some derived clearings, cultivation communities and undifferentiated bushland from the intermediate semi-evergreen thicket and associated types.
(5) Evergreen clump grasslands consisting of the following grasses Pennisetum catabasis, Pennisetum clandestinum and Pennisetum hohenackeri, which mostly cover more than $70 \%$ of the surface. Also some Hyparrhenia rufa is found. Other common species are Desmodium histum and Kyllinga erecta. This unit occurs only in the utmost western part, west of Rongo and west of Awendo/Sare and is confined to the soils with impeded drainage.
(6) Open grasslands consisting of Hyparrhenia rufa and Pennisetum catabasis (over 60\%). These grasses occur only on the heavy vertisols around Rodi Kopani. The unit includes small areas of Acacia seyal and Balanites.
(7) Undifferentiated grasslands on well drained soils. These grasslands are derived from forest, mainly from moist montane and intermediate forest. The common grasses found in this unit are Loudetia kagerensis, Brachiaria soluta and Eragrostis atrovireus. Forest remnants and patches are confined to termite hills because of grass burning. The unit occurs solely in the south in the Narok District where Masai cattle graze.
(8) Upland evergreen and semideciduous bushland is mainly dense evergreen woodland. There is a minor inclusion of open evergreen and semideciduous bushland of the central region consisting of an evergreen bush-clump vegetation. More than $60 \%$ of the grasses are Pennisetum species. This unit is found in the south-east along the Ramasha Migori river.
(9) Complex A. Undifferentiated grasslands on poorly and imperfectly drained clay soils. In this complex, much Pennisetum clandestinum (20\%) may generally be found. Also Kyllinga spp. are more prominent than in the units of which
this is a complex (20\%).
(10) Complex of clearings from Diospyros-olea and grasslands on poorly drained soils. In general the clearings show a higher covering of Hyparrhenia spp. (20\%) and Eragrostis atrovirens (20\%). Loudetia kagerensis is the most common gràss on the somewhat better drained soils which are generally shallow.

### 1.6 ECOLOGICAL ZONATION

The boundary between Ecological Zones II and III (App. 2) is based on the ratio of annual rainfall to potential evaporation ( $r / E_{0}$ ). The ratio of 0.64 is close to the boundary value of 0.67 taken for the Kapenguria area (Gelens et al., 1976). Zone II, being the area with the greatest agricultural potential has been subdivided according to agricultural possibilities. As diagnostic criterion between Zones $I I a$ and $I I b$ was taken as altitude 1800 m . The altitude limit corresponds to a mean temperature of $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In Zone IIa above this altitude, tea and pyrethrum are the main cash crops and one crop of maize is grown per year. In Zone IIb below this altitude, coffee and sugarcane and maize can be grown twice a year. Additional cash-crops are tobacco and bananas.

The diagnostic boundary between Zones IIb and IIc was $r / E_{0} 72$, which separates the zone where a second maize crop per year is risky (IIc) from the zone where it is usually safe (IIb). Zone III is the warmer drier area near the lake, where cotton can be grown. Usually a second crop of maize is not possible.

### 1.7 PRESENT LAND-USE

### 1.7.1 Land-use units

The map of present land-use (separate Appendix 4, Map E) was based on interpretation of aerial photographs and field observations. Photo-interpretation was based on aerial photographs of 1967, scale $1: 50000$ and of 1978, scale 1 : 75000 of the entire Kisii District and part of South Nyanza District. A preliminary legend was made and checked by observation in the field. General descriptions were preferably made from hilltops in order to estimate the proportion of land cultivated and the cropping pattern. The basic criteria for the map legend were the area fraction cleared for cultivation and the type of grazing area. The major part of the area is under permanent cultivation (80\%).

The cultivation classes were as follows:

- Class A, Permanent cultivation: three quarters to entirely cleared and cultivated; grazing area all consists of improved grassland (Fig. 9).
- Class B, Permanent cultivation: half to three quarters cleared and cultiv-


Fig. 13. Area with semi-permanent cultivation. Most of the area is extensively grazed. In the cultivated area, cotton is the major cash crop.
ated; three quarters of grazing area is improved grasslands and a quarter of extensive rangeland (or natural grazing land).

- Class C, Permanent cultivation: quarter to half cleared and cultivated; half grazing area consists of improved grasslands and half of extensive rangeland.
- Class D, Semi-permanent cultivation: none to a quarter cleared and cultivated; quarter of grazing area consists of improved grasslands and three quarters of extensive rangeland (Fig. 13).
- Class E, Scattered cultivation: none to a tenth cleared and cultivated; grazing area all consists of extensive rangeland. Improved grasslands was taken as all grazing area with signs of partial clearing; these areas have been cultivated occasionally. Frequently burned rangeland was not included in the improved grassland.

Total areas of each class were measured by planimeter. In each class, the area fraction under each crop was estimated by field survey. Fractions under cash crops were used for division of the classes. The remainder of the cultivated land was mainly used for maize (Zea mays), mostly intercropped with beans (Phaesolus vulgaris).

Class A has the following divisions by cash crop:

1. maize $40 \%$, major cash crop tea $80 \%$, other cash crop pyrethrum $20 \%$; 2. maize $40 \%$, major cash crop tea $50 \%$, other cash crop pyrethrum 50\%;
2. maize $50 \%$, major cash crop pyrethrum $70 \%$, other cash crop tea $30 \%$;
3. maize $50 \%$, major cash crops tea $40 \%$ and coffee $40 \%$, other cash crops pyrethrum 10\% and sugar-cane 10\%;
4. maize $50 \%$, major cash crop coffee $70 \%$, other cash crops bananas $20 \%$, various other crops $10 \%$.
It covers nearly all the Kisii District. The distribution of the subclasses is related to altitude. The land is mostly prepared either with an ox plough or a hoe according to farm size. Other cultivation is by hand.

Class $B$ had the following divisions by cash crops:

1. maize $80 \%$, major cash crop coffee $60 \%$;
2. maize $70 \%$, major cash crop sugar-cane 60\%;
3. maize $70 \%$, major cash crop tobacco $60 \%$.

It covers the remainder of the Kisii District and a strip in South Nyanza bordering the Kisii District. Tobacco was recently introduced into the area and the amount of sugar-cane is steadily increasing because of a newly developed sugar-cane centre in Awendo (Sony Sugar Co.).

Class $C$ had the following divisions:

1. maize 60\%, major cash crop sugar-cane 80\%;
2. maize $60 \%$, major cash crop cotton $40 \%$;
3. maize $70 \%$, various cash crops including sugar-cane, bananas and cotton. Scattered sugar-cane occurs north of Rongo and South of Rodi Kopani. Elsewhere those areas seem too dry; the crop grows on moderately drained soils on the slopes of the ridge landscape. Cane is used mainly for chewing and for local sugar mills and is therefore included in the cash crop. Cotton grows around Ligisa Omoya, which is the driest part. Production tends to be rather low.

Class D had the following divisions:

1. maize 60\%, major cash crop cotton 60\% (Fig. 13);
2. maize $90 \%$, various cash crops including sugar-cane and bananas.

Less than a quarter is cultivated, largely under maize (60\%), so the area for cash crops is not extensive. The major one is cotton but production seems low.

Class E was not divided, since no cash crops occur. Such scattered cultivation as there is, is nearly always maize.

### 1.7.2 Crops

The major grain crop was maize (Zea mays) grown during both the major and the minor wet seasons. In the higher altitudes (> 1800 m ), there was no second crop because growth took more than six months. In the lowest part of the area, there was no second crop because rains in the minor wet season were unreliable. Most of the planted maize was an improved hybrid. In the drier area, some sorghum is found (Sorghum vulgare) and in the centre of the Kisii District a diminishing area of finger millet (Eleusine corocana). Other staple crops in order of decreasing area were sweet potatoes (Ipomoea
batatas), cassava (Manihot esculenta), beans (Phaseolus vulgaris), pigeon peas (Cajanus Cajan), cowpeas (Vigna unguiculata and green grams (Vigna aureus).

Cash crops are mentioned below in order of declining area. In area, pyrethrum (Chrysanthemum cinerariaefolium) was the major cash crop. It covered more than $120 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and formerly even reached $210 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (1972). The total area was declining but the crop remained important, the Kisii District producing about $40 \%$ of world production.

Although considered a coffee-growing area, tea (Camellia sinensis) had overtaken coffee in area. Already four tea factories operated, at Kebirigo, Kiamokama Nyankoba and Nyamache. The total area was estimated at about $90 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. Coffee (Coffea arabica) was the third cash crop with a total of about $80 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. About 60 coffee factories operated in the area.

Bananas (Musa spp.) were grown in most of the area, occasionally in monoculture. It was rather difficult to estimate the area (about $30 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ). A considerable amount of the crop was exported to the larger cities.

Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) was a common cash crop in the drier north-west but only a few successful fields were seen during the field check.

Sugar-cane (Saccharum officinarum) was a common cash crop in Unit b but occurred also to a lesser extent in a large area around Rongo and Rodi Kopani. A recent development was a sugar refinery in Awendo, which has encouraged the area of cane tremendously.

Tobacco (Nicotiana tobacum) was also introduced recently, especially in the south-west. The farmers responded positively to the introduction of that crop.

Other cash crops were passion fruit (Passiflora edulis), groundnuts (Arachis hypogaea), potatoes (Solanum tuberosum), tomatoes (Solanum lycopersicum), onions (Allium cepa), cabbages (Brassica oleracea), sunflower (Helianthus annuus), papaya (Carica papaya) and egg plant (Solanum melongena).

In the drier areas, sisal (Agave sisalana) was grown, mostly in rows for demarcation purposes. A few leaves were harvested for local production of fibre.

### 1.7.3 Grazing area and rangeland

The south-west belonging to the Narok District was used only for grazing (Fig. 14). All other cleared but not actually cultivated parts were grazed too (Table 7).

At least $38 \%$ of the area was used for grazing, of which half has been improved; the other half was extensive grazing or ranging. The improved grassland was grazed mostly by cattle, to a lesser extent by sheep and goats. Browsing was relatively unimportant, about $10 \%$ of the cattle being kept for dairy purposes. Most cattle are kept for traction (e.g. ox-ploughing) and for meat. In the extensive grazing area, one finds large herds of Masai cat-


Fig. 14. The intensively cultivated Kisii District borders on the extensively grazed Narok District in the south.
tle. In the west, cattle graze with sheep and goats. No severe overgrazing occurs except in the driest north-west around the village of Ligisa Omoya.

### 1.7.4 silviculture

Two sites with exotic gymnosperms (Pinus patula) were the young plantations of Nyamweta Forest (2 $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) and Kodera Forest ( $8 \mathrm{~km}{ }^{2}$ ). Charcoal burning was practised in most of the area and in the densely populated Kisii District

Table 7. Area fraction and area of grazing land and of improved grassland in each land-use class.

| Class | Area (km ${ }^{2}$ ) | Area grazed $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ | Area fraction grazed | Area of improved grassland ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1600 | 217 | 0.14 | 217 |
| B | 600 | 217 | 0.36 | 163 |
| C | 400 | 279 | 0.70 | 140 |
| D | 100 | 93 | 0.93 | 23 |
| E | 400 | 372 | 0.93 | - |
| Total | 3100 | 1178 |  | 543 |

nearly exterminated indigenous trees, which had to a small extent, been replaced by blue gums (Eucalyptus spp.), cypress (Cupressus spp.) and black wattle (Acacia mearnsii), mainly planted in rows as demarcation of plot boundaries.
Ornamental trees such as yacaranda (Yacaranda minosifolia) and the nandi flame (Spathodea nilotica), grow in the bigger villages. Striking is the very small number of fruit trees; only guave (Psidium guajava) and a few avocados (Persea americana) occur.

## 2 Methods

### 2.1 SOIL SURVEY AND SOIL CHARACTERISATION

### 2.1.1 Office methods

The initial step in the reconnaissance soil survey was to collect and study available aerial photographs, topographical and geological maps and information about the area. A preliminary survey of a larger area for physiography, climate and land-use, covered both Kisii and South Nyanza District and was published as a preliminary report (Wielemaker, 1974).

The survey area had been covered by four topographic maps on the scale 1 : 50 000, published by Survey of Kenya, comprising sheet numbers 130/1 (1963), 130/2 (1962), 130/3 (1962) and 130/4 (1962). All aerial photographs were acquired from the Survey of Kenya. The entire area is covered by aerial photographs on the scale 1 : 50000 (1967). Most prints are of reasonable quality.

### 2.1.2 Field methods

With results of the preliminary survey, six sample areas were selected and detailed soil surveys began in October 1973. The Marongo area was surveyed in detail first (Boerma et al., 1974), then the Irigonga area (van Mourik, 1974), next the Magombo area (Scholten et al., 1975), the Nyansiongo area (Guiking, 1976), the Rangwe area (Breimer, 1976) and the Ranen area (van Keulen \& van Reuler, 1977). Each detailed survey was followed by a reconnaissance survey of the area surrounding it, so that the general soil pattern of the detailed survey could be extrapolated to a larger area. The separate reconnaissance maps were then compiled into the actual Kisii mapsheet on scale 1 : 100000.

The detailed maps were based on large-scale aerial photographs 1 : 12500. These photographs and stereoscopic interpretation provided a basis for an adjusted base map, also on the scale 1 : 12 500. The photogrammetric slotted template method was used for adjusting the main and wing points and a vertical sketch master was used to copy the necessary data onto the base map. The positions of these detailed surveys are indicated in Separate Appendix 4 , Map H.

For compilation of the soil map of the most western part, the East Konyango semi-detailed soil survey was used, (Miller, 1961).

Table 8. Distribution of records from the detailed and the reconnaissance soil surveys.

| Sample area |  | Augerings |  | Soil pits |  | Selected profiles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | name | detai | reconnaissance | det | rec |  |
| I | Marongo | 2000 | 50 | 50 | 10 | 18 |
| II | Irigonga | 600 | 30 | 12 | 4 | 7 |
| III | Magombo | 800 | 30 | 14 | 6 | 4 |
| IV | Nyansiongo | 600 | 50 | 15 | 10 | 6 |
| V | Ranen | 2000 | 30 | 25 | 10 | 8 |
| VI | Rangwe | 1800 | 80 | 15 | 10 | 133 |

Routine soil augerings were made with an Edelman soil auger (mostly clay type) in general to a depth of 2.00 m (soil depth permitting) at sites chosen from aerial photographs and pinpointed on the field maps. Properties of land and soil were recorded on standard forms obtained from the Kenya Soil Survey. Their method of description is based on that of FAO (1967).

All completed forms went into the Kenya Soil Survey Archives (Table 8).
There were 72 soil pits for other research (e.g. moisture and fertility), of which 10 are described in Appendix 5. About 7800 augerings were made and about 200 profile pits were described, of which 72 were selected as representative. Only 43 of these representative profiles were analysed (completely or partially). Their position is given in Separate Appendix 4, Map H. The position of augerings and profile pits in the various sample areas are given in the Reports mentioned.

In all soil units, representative sites were selected where profile pits were dug. The pits were usually $1.50-2.00 \mathrm{~m}$ deep, but in some units with very deep soils, pits to a depth of $7-10 \mathrm{~m}$ were dug. Each soil horizon was sampled for physical and chemical analysis. From some profiles, undisturbed ring-samples were collected for estimaton of bulk density and moisture tension. A few horizons were sampled for thin-section analysis.

Infiltration was measured with an adaptation of the system developed by Mussgrove (Hennemann \& Kauffman, 1975). Various soils were deep-augered with a powered auger to a depth of 10 m if bed rock permitted. Samples of rotten rock were taken for "total" analysis. Some fifteen soil peels were made from selected profiles for further studies and for demonstration.

### 2.1.3 Laboratory methods

Most measurements were done at laboratories of the Agricultural University in Wageningen, the Netherlands (AU) or at the field laboratory of the training project in pedology at Kisii (TPIP). Later in the survey, some samples were analysed at the (Kenyan) National Agricultural Laboratories (NAL) and
at the Soils and Crops Laboratory at Oosterbeek, the Netherlands (SCL). Sometimes different methods were used as described below. All soil samples entering a laboratory were ground with pestle and mortar. Only soil material passing through a $2-\mathrm{mm}$ sieve was used further. Mass fraction of gravel was calculated from the residue with respect to the whole soil.

## Texture

NAL. Treat mechanically to remove cementing agents; shake overnight with sodium hexametaphosphate and sodium carbonate in an end-over-end shaker. Measure silt and clay ( $<0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with a hydrometer after 40 s and clay ( $<0.002 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) after 6.4 h . The difference represents sand ( $0.05-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) (Day, 1956).

TPIP, AU and SCL. Destroy organic matter with hydrogen peroxide (volume fraction 0.3); remove carbonates and iron coatings with HCL (concent. $2 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{l}$ ). Dilute the sample and siphon three times (TPIP) or filter the soil suspension by suction and wash four times (AU). Sieve wet with a $0.05-\mathrm{mm}$ sieve to separate sand. Collect the rest in a sedimentation cylinder and disperse with sodium pyrophosphate (concent. $120 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) or (SCL) sodium carbonate and pyrophosphate. After shaking, pipette off $<0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ (silt) and $<0.002 \mathrm{~mm}$ (clay). After drying sieve the sand into fractions of 2.0-1.0, 1.0-0.50, $0.05-0.25,0.25-0.10$ and $0.10-0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Remarks. At $A U$, the $H C l$ solution was replaced by a buffered solution of acetate and acetic acid ( pH 5.0 ). An extra amount of this solution was added for removal of carbonates if present.
pH and electrical conductivity
NAL. For soils with an electrical conductivity (EC) $>120 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{m}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, prepare a saturation extract (paste) for measurement of pH (paste) and EC. Measure $\mathrm{pH}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ in a soil--water suspension and $\mathrm{pH}(\mathrm{KCl})$ in a suspension of soil in aqueous KCl (concent. 1 mol/l) of volume ratio $1: 1$.

AU. Shake soil intermittently for 2 d with distilled water, potassium chloride (concent. $1 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) or $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l})$ in a volume ratio (1:2.5) and measure pH of supernatant with a combined glass calomel electrode.

## Mass fraction of carbon

NAL. Walkley and Black method (Black, 1965, p. 1372-1376) for A horizon only. No correction factor was used to compensate for recovery.

TPIP. Walkley and Black with a correction factor of 1.43.
AU. Method of Schollenbergen (in Begheijn and van Schuylenborgh, 1971) with spectrometer, filter of wavelength 594 nm . The correction factor was 1.15. After 1977, the Kurmies (1949) titration was used with a potentiometric end-point.

SCL. Loss of weight after heating at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h .

## Mass fraction of nitrogen

(NAL/AU). Semi micro-Kjeldahl for A horizon only (Black, 1965, p. 1374-1375).

## Substance content of exchangeable cations

NAL. Leach soil with ammonium acetate (concent. 1 mol/l) of pH 7.0 . Estimate $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{K}$ and Ca by emission spectrometry and with addition of lanthanum chloride for calcium. Estimate Mg by atomic absorption spectrometry.

## Cation-exchange capacity

NAL. After leaching out exchangeable cations, wash the soil with aqueous ethanol (vol. fraction 0.95 ) and percolate with acidified NaCl. Steam-distil off the ammonia and titrate against HCl (concent. $10 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ). (Houba et al., 1979).

## Exchangeable acidity

NAL. Extract soil with $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$ (concent. $300 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ), not buffered at any pH and titrate (Mehlich et al., 1962).

## Mass fraction of available nutrients

NAL. Soak for 1 h with acid (concent. of HCl 100 and of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} 12.5 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) in a volume ratio 1 : 5 and shake for 10 min . Estimate Ca.k and Na in the extract by emission spectrometry after anion-resin treatment to remove ca. Estimate by absorption spectrometry with thiazol yellow, $P$ with vanadomolybdophosphoric yellow and Mn with phosphoric acid and potassium periodate (Mehlich et al., 1962).

Bulk density (volumic mass)
Dry a known volume of soil core at $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and weigh (Richards, 1954).

## Moisture tension

TPIP. Estimate mass fraction of moisture in saturated soil and soil after equilibration with sandbox to $\mathrm{pF} 0.4,1.0,1.5$ and 2.0 . A kaolin box (for pF 2.3 and 2.7) and pressure equipment pF 3.0, 3.7 and 4.2 (Stakman et al., 1969).

## Clay mineralogy

AU. Separate the fraction $<0.002 \mathrm{~mm}$ and dry about 15 mg on a porous ceramic plate at reduced pressure to orient the clay. Saturate with different ions $\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}\right)$for X-ray diffraction.

## Elemental analysis

AU. for $X$-ray fluorescence spectrometry, bombard the sample melted in dilithiumtetraborate and mounted on a glass disc with $X$-rays and estimate

Si, Al, Ca, Mg, Mn and $P$ with the $K \alpha$ line, $T i$ with the $K \beta$ line and Ba at the LB line. In wet analysis for $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}, \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}, \mathrm{Na}$ and Mg , remove silicon by volatilization as silicon fluoride and bind liberated water with concentrated sulphuric acid.

## Sand minerology

NAL. Sand (particle size 50-250 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) obtained by the method of AU or TPIP was gently boiled with water or, in the presence of iron, with HCl solution to remove coatings from sand grains. The sand grains as such or, after separation with bromoform, the light and heavy minerals were mounted with Canada balsam on microscopic slides and examined under a polarizing microscope.

### 2.1.4 Cartographic methods

For the survey area, a base map on the scale 1 : 100000 was not available. So four adjoining sheets (130/1-4) of the Survey of Kenya (1962/1963) on the scale 1 : 50000 were assembled to cover the area. Each sheet was simplified and three negatives were prepared for each sheet: a negative with topographical details; one with contour lines; and one with drainage aspects. After duffing out unwanted details, each sheet was reduced to the final scale of $1: 100000$ and the four sheets were joined together as a positive film. New elements not featured on the original sheets were added, for instance new main roads. This additional information was collected during fieldwork and from the recent aerial photographs taken in 1978, scale 1 : 75000 .

The coloured soil map (Separate Appendix 1) was prepared with a sequence of seven plates: a black plate for all topographic details, soil boundaries, symbols and legend; a solid blue plate for rivers and other drainage aspects; a brown plate for contour lines; a black plate to provide symbols or screens for hills, minor scarps and depth classes; and three plates in the primary colours yellow, red and blue for the soil units.

The black-and-white soil map (Separate Appendix 2) required four plates: three were the first three plates for the coloured map; the fourth was a black plate with ecological zones.

The cartographic work was done in the studios of the training project at Kisii, of the Kenya Soil Survey in Nairobi and at the Agricultural University, Department of Soil Science and Geology in Wageningen. The colour separation was done at the Netherlands Soil Survey Institute (Stiboka) in Wageningen: After preparation of all plates, a proof print of the coloured soil map on "Kromecote" was produced with a "Cromalin": proofing machine. The maps were offset-printed by Printpak Ltd in Nairobi::

### 2.2 SOIL FERTILITY

Soil fertility was studied in field and greenhouse trials and by chemical
analysis of soils and leaves. The methods were described by Guiking (1977) and Oenema (1980), and will be discussed in detail by van der Eijk (in preparation). So only an outline is given below.

### 2.2.1 Field trials

Most field trials were set up to find the response of maize to $P$ fertilizers triple superphosphate $\left(\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ on different soils. In one trial, rock phosphate was tested too (Guiking, 1977).

Fertilizer was either placed, or broadcast and incorporated by rotary cultivation. Rates of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ were $12.5,25,50,75,100$ and $300 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ with placement and $75,150,300,600,1200,2400$ and $4800 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ with broadcasting. The highest rates equalled those needed to obtain mass concentration of $P$ in the soil solution of $0.2 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ in laboratory studies on sorption. This concentration was then taken as the requirement for maximum growth of maize (Fox et al., 1974).

Calcium ammonium nitrate was applied as a standard dressing of N ( $60 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ), and in some trials the effects of $K, ~ M g$ and trace nutrients were studied. In other trials, dung, garbage from a town market, sewage sludge and other materials were applied, alone or in combination with triple superphosphate (van der Eijk, in preparation; Oenema 1980).

### 2.2.2 Greenhouse trials

Composite topsoil ( $0-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) samples (50-100 kg) were used in greenhouse trials in Wageningen. The results were described in internal reports and an interim summary of results was given by Janssen et al. (1979). Besides phosphorous fixation and its alleviation, one trial studied the availability of $K$ and another the availability of Zn .

### 2.2.3 Sampling and analysis of leaves

Maize leaves were sampled on farmers' fields and on trial fields. In field trials, grains, axes and stems were also sampled too for estimation of removed nutrients. Often intermediate harvests were made to study the time course of nutrient uptake. Leaves of coffee and tea were sampled on farmers' fields at sites representative of the most important soil units.

Leaves were sampled as follows:

- for maize: youngest fully grown leaf, i.e. about the third youngest leaf of plants with 8-12 leaves; at each site, gather 10-30 leaves into one composite sample.
- for coffee: the fourth pair of leaves at mid-height of the tree at the four points of the compass; 8 leaves per tree and 5-10 trees per site.
- for tea: the first leaf below the fish leaf, about 30 leaves per site.

Wash the sampled leaves free of dust, dry at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and grind the samples. Digest with a mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and salicylic acid and estimate $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$, $K$ and sometimes also Mg and Ca. Estimate N by absorption spectrometry with indophenol blue (Novozamsky et al., 1974), and $P$ with ammonium molybdate blue. Estimate $K$ by emission spectrometry and Ca and Mg by atomic absorption spectrometry. All methods were described by van Schouwenburg \& Walinga (1978).

### 2.2.4 Sampling and analysis of soils

Composite samples of 1 kg of topsoil ( $0-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were taken at about one site in five, whence leaf samples had been taken. All trial fields were sampled before and often several times during the trial, mainly to follow the change in content of available $P$. From about 15 trial fields, subsoil (50-70 cm samples were collected as well. Samples were air-dried and sent to Wageningen. After separation through a $2-\mathrm{mm}$ sieve, $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$, cation-exchange capacity, contents of exchanyeable cations ( $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Ca}$ and Mg ) and organic C were measured (Section 2.1.3). As correction factor for the Kurmies method, the generally accepted value 1.03 was used. By comparison of the two methods, 1.26 was found as correction factor for the Walkley-Black method.

## Phosphorus

Phosphorus-Olsen. Adjust aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (concentr. $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) with NaOH to pH 8.5. Phosphorus-Bray I . With $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ (concentr. $30 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) and HCl ( $25 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ). Phosphorus-water. Soil-water in vol. ratio of $1-60$. Phosphorustotal. Digest with Fleischmann's acid.

## Organic nitrogen

Digest with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and salicylic acid and estimate N colorimetrically with the indophenol blue method as for leaf analysis (Houba et al., 1979).

## 3 The soils

### 3.1 PREVIOUS WORK

Jones \& Scott (see soil map, scale 1 : 3000000 in the atlas of Kenya, published by Survey of Kenya, 1970) distinguished the following soils within the survey area:

- strong brown loams (ando-like soils) in the south-east with 5-8\% organic carbon in the dark-brown topsoil over a strong-brown subsoil derived from volcanic ash (App. 1, unit UlPh).
- dark-red friable clays with very humic often deep topsoil (latosolic soils) (App. 1, red soils of units $\mathrm{U} 1, \mathrm{U} 2$ and U 3 ),
- red friable clays with a medium humic topsoil and red to strong-brown friable clays with laterite horizon (App. 1, U4 and FY),
- shallow and stony soils with rock outcrops (App. 1, shallow soils of units U4 and H),
- black clays (App. 1, unit PPa).

The FAO (1974) "Soil Map of the World" shows the following soil units:

- Humic Nitosols for the red or reddish soils (U1, U2 and U3),
- Orthic and Rhodic Ferralsols for the red and reddish soils (U4),
- Pellic Vertisols (PBd and PPa).

Scott \& Webster (1971) included some soil information in description of land systems and land facets.

Wielemaker (1974) made a reconnaissance survey of the physiography of Kisii and South Nyanza Districts and part of Trans-Mara Division in Narok District.

Miller (1961) provided a semi-detailed soil survey of the East Konyango area on a scale of 1 : 20000 , including a suitability appraisal of the soils for the growth of sugar-cane. Only the eastern part of the East Konyango area falls within the Kisii area (App. 4, Map H).

The soils of the East Konyango area were correlated with the soils of the Kisii area. Some soil profile descriptions from that report were included in this report as representative. App. 4, Map $H$, also shows the situation of sample areas surveyed in detail for the reconnaissance survey. The correlation of soil units between the detailed soil surveys and the reconnaissance survey is given in Bound Appendix 11.

### 3.2 General properties of the soils

The soils in undulating to rolling and hilly well drained areas fell into two main groups (App. 1):

- very deep red to reddish-brown very permeable soils (U1, U2 and U3),
- moderately deep reddish and reddish-brown permeable soils (U4).

The former had a fine clayey texture, mainly of kaolinitic mineralogy except in the eastern part of Kisii, where soils were medium-textured, rich in silt and kaolinitic and illitic. Base saturation and pH varied with parent rock, relief and volcanic ash enrichment.

Soils on basalt had subsoils with base saturations of around 0.5, on granite 0.3 and on quartzite 0.05. More basic subsoils had a pH of 5 and more acid ones about 4-4.5.

Base saturation of topsoils was $0.7-1$ in upland soils in the east, where soils were strongly influenced by volcanic ash (units U1Ph and U2Ihn). On footslopes in the same area, it was lower: about 0.5 for unit FPg and less for unit $F Q h$. In contrast the composition of the parent rock correlated well with base saturation of topsoils in the west: on basalt, base saturation was more than 0.8 and on granite 0.15-0.3 and on quartzite less than 0.05 . All soils were underlain by thick weathered rotten rock. All topsoils were dark and rich in organic matter.

The latter group occurred mainly in the west. They were shallower and had a thinner layer of weathered rock. The influence of the parent rock was therefore more felt, although the clay mineralogy and physical characteristics were about the same. Mass fraction of clay was about 0.25-0.6 (soils on granite). Base saturation was low for soils on granite ( 0.43 in the topsoil and 0.27 in the subsoil), but high for soils on rhyolite, andesite and diorite (>0.8 in the topsoil and 0.5-0.8 in the subsoil). The reddish well drained soils had good physical properties but were sometimes chemically poor. Most of them fixed phosphorous. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was usually 5 or less.

The soils of rather flat plains and bottomlands are imperfectly to poorly drained. The plains carry heavy-textured dense soils with silty top layers except if the parent material is basic, and imperfectly or poorly drained cracking clay soils occur. The bottomlands are practically all filled with quaternary volcanic ash deposits, in which dense clay soils with bleached silty top layers have developed. Some have a slightly to strongly alkaline subsoil (units BXal and BXg). Some have no bleached top layer, but are cracking clay soils (units BBd and BBh). Both plains and bottomlands have subsoils chiefly of poorly crystallized montmorillonitic clay mineralogy. These soils pose physical problems for agriculture.

### 3.3 DESCRIPTIONS OF THE SOIL MAPPING UNITS

### 3.3.1 Systematics and nomenclature

The broadest category of the legend of the soil map (App. 1) is based on geomorphology: hills, uplands and plateau remnants, plains and bottomlands. These land types were subclassed by the parent material on which the soils are developed, such as basalts, and pyroclastic materials (volcanic ash). Abrupt textural changes, humic topsoils, stoniness, rockiness, salinity or sodicity were mentioned only in mapping unit descriptions where they occurred.

Each soil description is followed by the name of. the FAO nomenclature (Chapter 3.4). Each mapping unit is identified in Appendix 1 by a symbol consisting of:

- a soil mapping symbol, including a letter for land type, one for parent material and two for profile characteristics such as drainage, depth, colour, consistence and texture,
- a depth class symbol, if the unit was (partly) less than 80 cm deep, (listed in App. 1),
- a slope class symbol (listed in App. 1)

The following letters were used in soil mapping symbols:

## Physiography

H hills and minor scarps
$F$ footslopes
U uplands and plateau remnants
P plains
B bottomlands
V minor valleys

Soils
$g$ gleyic
$h$ humic
b brown
d dark
a abrupt

- organic
p moderately deep (50-80 cm)


## Geology

| $B$ basalt | $P$ shallow (0-50 cm) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $Y$ rhyolites | $M$ shallow over petroplinthite |
| $P$ pyroclastic deposits (volcanic ash) | $C$ complex of soils |
| $I$ intermediate igneous rocks | n nitic |
| $X$ various parent materials | $t$ rocky |
| $G$ granites | 1,2 general subdivisions |
| Q quartzites |  |

Most of the terms used in the legend of the soil map and in the following description of individual soil mapping units are based on FAO (1967). All colours were described by the Munsell scheme (Munsell Colour Co., 1959); colours mentioned in the mapping unit description are for moist soil condi-
tions, unless otherwise stated. For information contents of weatherable minerals are given in Appendixes 5 and 7.

### 3.3.2 Soils of the Hills and minor scarps

## Unit HBhP

Total area: 3470 ha.
Parent material: porphyritic and non-porphyritic basalts.
Macro relief: usually hilly, (slope class E), but gentle slopes of $2-5 \%$ (slope class B) occur near and on hilltops.
Surface stoniness/rockiness: rock outcrops occur occasionally.
Vegetation/landuse: many trees including Eucalyptus spp., pine, cypress and wattle; some grazing and coffee occurs; annual crops like maize, beans and onions are on the increase.
Soils, general: permeable, somewhat excessively drained and moderately deep; a stone layer occurs at shallow depth and demarcates the layer with significant biological activity above from the layer with little biological activity. The last horizon has both characteristics of strongly weathered rock (C horizon) and a fully developed B horizon. The horizon sequence is usually ABCR.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/3-3/2); B horizon, yellowishred (5YR 4/6-4/8) to reddish-yellow (5YR 6/8).
texture: clayey throughout (mass fraction 0.6-0.7), but gravelly near the surface, especially in the stone layer, with mass fraction of gravel and stones up to 0.8 ; mass ratio of silt to clay 0.5 .
structure: moderate to strong very fine subangular blocky above the stone layer; moderate to strong fine angular blocking grading to massive below the stone layer.
consistence: friable when moist.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon $15-25 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$; $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ about 5.0 ; base saturation is less than 0.5 in the top 50 cm and increases with depth; cation-exchange capacity of topsoil is $210 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ soil, of subsoil $140 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ and of the clay in subsoil $170 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: humic Cambisols, paralithic phase (definition according to Soil Taxonomy, 1975).
Soil Taxonomy: typic Eutropepts.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, Profile 1 and App. 11 (Mugirango and the Ogembo series of the Marongo detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

Unit HXP (Fig. 8)

Total area: 9560 ha.
Parent material: quartzite, granite, rhyolite, andesite, diorite and conglomerate.
Macro and meso relief: steep on scarps and hilly on the hills, slope classes $F$ and $E$.
Surface stoniness/rockiness: rock outcrops are especially common on the scarps. Vegetation/land use: a part of the map unit is planted with cypress, pine, wattle and Eucalyptus spp. The rest of the unit is used for extensive grazing and charcoal production.
Soils, general: soils are very shallow and excessively drained with only an acidhumic horizon over consolidated slightly weathered rock. The horizon sequence is $A R$ or $R$ only. The A horixon has the following characteristics colour: dark brown (7.5YR 3/2) to dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/3). texture: clay loam to clay, often gravelly. structure: strong to weak very fine granular and subangular blocky. consistence: soft when dry; very friable when moist. chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon $24 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$;
the $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is 4.8 ; the base saturation is 0.1 to 0.2 and the CEC of the soil ${ }^{2}$ is $150 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: Lithosols and Rankers.
soil taxonomy: lithic Troporthents.
For a representative profile see App. 6, profiles 2 and 3 and App. 11 (Gesusu and Marongo series of the Marongo detailed survey, the Kebuye and Matiti series of the Irigonga detailed survey and the Oreru series of the Ranen detailed Survey. For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

### 3.3.3 Soils of the Footslopes

## Unit FBh

Total area: 2020 ha.
Parent material: basalt, with minor admixtures of quartzite.
Meso relief: undulating to hilly, slope classes CD and DE.
Vegetation/land use: permanent cultivation of annual crops, cultivation of perannial crops like coffee and bananas; little grazing.
Soils, general: soils are well drained, deep to very deep with clear horizon boundaries, and ABCR horizon sequence and a humic topsoil. Clay cutans are present in the $B$ horizon. The biological activity is very high throughout.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/2); B horizon, dark-red (2.5YR 3/6).
texture: clayey throughout, but with a clear increase in the B horizon; the clay ratio between the $B$ and the $A$ horizon is 0.2 .
structure: moderate to strong very fine subangular blocky in the A horizon, grading into very fine angular blocky in the B horizon.
consistence: friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ is $17 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$; the $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ about 5.5; base saturation is slightly more than 0.5 ; the CEC of the clay is $210 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: luvic Phaeozems and mollic* Nitosols soil taxonomy: oxic Argiudols and typic Paleudolls.
For a representative profile, see App. 6 profile 4. For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit FBht

Total area: 5820 ha.
Parent material: like unit FBh , but with inclusions of quartzite
Meso relief: rolling to hilly, slope class $D E$. Convex outcrops within a rather linear overall slope.
Vegetation/land use: like unit FBh , but with more trees.
Soils: like unit FBh, but with inclusions of soils of unit HBhP or sometimes HXP.
Soil classification: the deeper soils are, FAO/Unesco: mollic* Nitosols with haplic Phaeozems and soil taxonomy: typic Paleudoll and oxic Argiudoll. The shallow soils are, FAO/Unesco: haplic Phaeozems and some humic Cambisols and Soil Taxonomy: Oxic Hapludoll and some lithic Humitropepts.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 5 and App. 11 (Gucha series of the Marongo detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

Unit FYh

Total area: 5820 ha.
Parent material: rhyolites, some being meta-rhyolites.

Meso relief: gently undulating, slope class $B C$ and CD.
Vegetation/land use: permanent cultivation of annual crops; cultivaton of annual crops as sugar cane and coffee. Grazing is not common.
Soils, general: deep to very deep, well drained, very permeable with clear and gradual horizon boundaries, an $A B(C) R$ horizon sequence and a humic topsoil. Clay cutans are present in the $B$ horizon. The biological activity is very high throughout.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish grey to reddish-brown (5YR 3-4/2.5); B horizon, reddish-brown (2.5YR 4/4).
texture: clay loam in the $A$ horizon and clay in the $B$ horizon; the mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is 0.5 ; the mass ratio of the clay of $B$ and $A$ horizon is 1.8 .
structure: very fine granular to subangular blocky in the A horizon grading into fine angular to subangular blocky in the $B$ horizon.
consistence: friable to very friable, when moist and slightly sticky, slightly plastic, when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ is $20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} ; \mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ just above 5; base saturation ranges from 0.6-0.75; the CEC-clay is $160 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: luvic Phaeozems and mollic* Nitosols soil taxonomy: oxic Argiudolls and typic Paleudolls.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 6.and App. 11 (Ranen series of the Ranen detailed Survey and the Nyokal and Nduru series of the Marongo detailed Survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit $F P g$

Total area: 1550 ha.
Parent material: quaternary deposits of volcanic ash with some admixture of quartzite.
Meso relief: undulating to rolling, slope class CD. A uniform, linear to slightly concave slope underneath a quartzite ridge.
Vegetation/land use: extensive grazing.
Soils, general: a dense, mottled dark-red clay is overlain by 50-80 cm mottled reddish-brown clay loam. The transition to the dense clay is abrupt and wavy and albic (leached) soil material is found on transition. An interrupted layer of volcanic ash is found in the top of the dense clay. The dense clay is very slowly permeable for water and air. The soils are deep (somewhat) imperfectly drained and very susceptible to gully erosion and landsliding. Clay cutans occur above the dense clay and also in the dense clay. Biological activity is almost restricted to the top $50-80 \mathrm{~cm}$ of the soil.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/2) and B horizon, reddishbrown to dark-red (2.5YR 4/4 to 2.5YR 3/6).
texture: clay, except in the horizon with albic material which has a loam to clay-loam texture. The mass ratio of clay between B and A2 horizon is 1.8 and of silt to clay 0.7 ( $B$ horizon).
structure: moderate fine subangular blocky in the first $50-80 \mathrm{~cm}$ and strong angular blocky below.
consistence: slightly hard when dry, friable when moist. In the dense clay below $50-80 \mathrm{~cm}$ : hard when dry, and firm, when moist.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the $A$ horizon $18 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$; $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ just above 5 ; base saturation is over 0.50 throughout; the CECclay is $180 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Clay minerals: predominantly kaolinite and halloysite; little illite.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: gleyic Luvisols.
soil taxonomy: aquic thapto albic tropaqualfic Tropudalfs.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 7. For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

Total area: 2790 ha.
Parent material: quartzites and quaternary ash.
Meso relief: rolling to hilly, slope classes $C D$ and $D E$. The map unit consists of uniform linear to slightly concave slopes at the foot of quartzite ridges.
Vegetation/land use: extensive grazing, occasionally maize cultivation
Soils, general: permeable, well drained, deep with clear to gradual boundaries, an $A B(C) R$ horizon sequence and an acid humic topsoil. The biological activity is high throughout.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR 2/2) and B horizon, reddishbrown (5YR 4/4).
texture: clay throughout but with a clear clay increase towards and in the $B$ horizon. The clay ratio between $B$ and $A$ horizon is 1.4 ; the silt/ clay ratio in the $B$ horizon is 0.43 .
structure: very fine strong subangular blocky, grading into angular blocky in the $B$ horizon.
consistence: friable when moist; slightly sticky, slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon $29 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$; $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ just below 5; base saturation is around 0.40 ; CEC-clay is $200 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Clay minerals: mainly kaolinites.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: humic Acrisols.
soil taxonomy: oxic Tropudalfs.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 8. For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

### 3.3.4 Soils of the Uplands and Plateau remnants

### 3.3.4.1 Soils of the Keroka Upland

Unit UlPh

Total area: 11260 ha.
Parent material: mainly quaternary volcanic ash deposits.
Macro relief: the major part of the area has a hilly topography, due to strong dissection of the original erosion surface, of which now only isolated undulating remnants are left, slope classes BE and DE .
Vegetation/land use: permanent cultivation of annual crops like maize and beans etc. and perannial crops like tea and pyrethrum.
Soils, general: deep to very deep, well drained, very permeable with an $A B C$ horizon sequence and gradual transitions between the horizons. The humic A horizon is thick and dark. The bulk density is rather low (0.9-1.0). Clay cutans occur in the $B$ horizon. The biological activity is high throughout.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/2-3/3) and B horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR $3 / 4-2.5 \mathrm{YR} 3 / 4$ ). Deeper than 80 cm the soil is mottled and contains manganese concretions.
texture: silty clay loam grading into clay loam below 80 cm depth. Mass ratio of silt to clay is 1.6 and the mass ratio of clay between the $B$ and $A$ horizon is 1.3 .
structure: moderate very fine granular structure in the A horizon grading into very fine subangular blocky in the $B$ horizon.
consistence: friable to very friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon $24 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$; $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ of 6 or slightly below 6; base saturation is 0.50 to 1.00 and CEC- ${ }^{2}$ lay is $210 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.

Clay minerals: mainly kaolinitic-halloysitic with traces of illite.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: luvic Phaeozems.

> soil taxonomy: oxic Argiudolls

Remark: the soils have a high silt content, a low bulk density, a high base saturation and a high organic matter content, all characteristics which are indicative for "andic" properties. According to the classification system these properties are not sufficient to fulfill the requirements for an "ANDOSOL".
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 9 and App. 11, (Ichuni, Narangai and Nyanturago series of the Nyansiongo detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit U1XhP

Total area: 12050 ha.
Parent material: andesites; rhyolitic tuffs with inclusions of conglomerates.
Macro and meso relief: undulating narrow ridge tops in a strongly dissected landscape and the upper part of steep slopes. Undulating to hilly and steep, slope classes $B C, C D, D E, E F$.
Vegetation/land use: extensive grazing; planted trees and natural bushes are used for charcoal production.
Soils, general: somewhat excessively drained, mainly shallow with an acid humic topsoil over weathered and consolidated rock. The horizon sequence is $A(B) C R, A C R$ or $A R$. The A horizon has the following characteristics: colour: dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/3).
texture: gravelly clay loam.
structure: moderate very fine subangular blocky.
consistence: friable-very friable; slightly sticky and slightly plastic.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon $25 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$; $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is around 4.5; the base saturation is $0.1-0.2$ and the CEC is $180 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: Rankers and Lithosols. soil taxonomy: lithic oxic Humitropept.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 10 and App. 11, (Miriri and Loudetia series of the Magombo detailed survey and the Kapsagut and Nyamasibi series of the Nyansiongo detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

Unit U1Xh

Total area: 31170 ha.
Parent material: andesites, rhyolites and some inclusions of felsites and especially on the gently sloping parts a substantial admixture with volcanic ash.
Macro and meso relief: the rather flat ridge tops are gently undulating; the rest of the unit consists of long slopes with slope percentages ranging from 20 to sometimes almost $30 \%$. Undualating and hilly, slope classes $\mathrm{CD}, \mathrm{DE}$ or E .
Vegetation/land use: permanent cultivation of principally maize, beans, tea and pyrethrum.
Soils, general: well drained, deep to very deep, very permeable with gradual horizon boundaries and an $A B C(R)$ horizon sequence. Soils have humic topsoils, except on steep slopes, where the topsoils are acid humic. The B horizon has clay-cutans.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/3-3/2) and B horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/3-3/4 to reddish-brown and red (5YR 3/4-3/4 to 2.5YR 4/6).
texture: clay throughout. The clay ratio between $B$ and $A$ horizon is 1.2 . Mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon 0.3-0.4.
structure: moderate to strong very fine subangular blocky, grading into angular blocky in the $B$ horizon.
consistence: slightly hard when dry; friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon 30-50 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$; The majority of the soils in this unit have a $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ of $5-6$ in the top soil; at a depth of more than 100 cm the pH is usually lower than 5, but not less than 4. In accordance base saturation in the topsoils is between 0.5 and 1.0 and in the lower subsoil less than 0.5 . The very steep parts have a pH of less than 5 and base saturation is less than 0.5 throughout. The CEC-clay is $180 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Clay minerals: mainly kaolinite with traces of illite.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: luvic Phaeozems, dystro*-mollic* Nitosols and humic Acrisols.
soil taxonomy: oxic Argiudoll, typic Paleudoll, orthoxic Palehumult and a humoxic Tropohumult.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profiles 11 and 16 (undulating parts) and 12 (steep parts) and App. 11 (Nyamwanga series of the Magombo detailed survey and the Gesima series of the Nyansiongo detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

Unit U1Bh

Total area: 450 ha .
Parent material: basalts
Meso relief: gently undulating to undulating remnants of the highest erosion surface; slope class BC.
Vegetation/land use: permanent cultivation of annual crops; cultivation of perannial crops like coffee and bananas; little grazing.
Soils, general: well drained deep to very deep with gradual horizon boundaries, an ABCR horizon sequence and a humic topsoil. Clay cutans are present in the $B$ horizon. The biological activity is very high throughout.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/3) and B horizon, dark-red (2.5YR 3/6)
texture: clayey throughout. Mass ratio of clay between the B and A horizon is 1.4. Mass ratio of silt to clay of the $B$ horizon is 0.2 .
structure: strong very fine subangular blocky in the A horizon, grading into angular blocky in the $B$ horizon.
consistence: friable to very friable, when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic, when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon $2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$; $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is 5.0 to 5.5 ; the base saturation is slightly more than 0.50 and the CEC-clay is $110 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: luvic Phaeozems and mollic* Nitosols
soil taxonomy: oxic Argiudolls and typic Paleudolls. Soils are very similar to those of unit U3Bh and FBh , but differ in geomorphological position.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 13. For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit U1Ihn

Total area: 790 ha.
Parent material: felsites with volcanic ash admixture.
Relief: undulating to rolling remnants of the highest erosion surface; slope classes BC and CD.
Vegetation/land use: permanent cultivation of maize, beans, bananas, coffee and tea; some grazing.
Soils, general: well drained, very deep, very permeable with gradual horizon boundaries and an $A B C(R)$ horizon sequence. Clay cutans are present in the B horizon.
colour: A horizon, dark-brown to dark-reddish-brown (7.5YR 3/2-5YR 3/2) and $B$ horizon, dark-reddish-brown to dark red (2.5YR 3/4-3/6)
texture: clay throughout; the mass ratio of clay between the B and A horizon is 1.3. The mass ratio of silt to clay of the $B$ horizon is 0.17. structure: moderate very fine angular to subangular blocky in the $B$ horizon. consistence: friable when moist; slightly sticky, slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon 20-40 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$; The soils have a $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ of 5.5 to 6.5 till about a depth of 100 cm , decreasing to 5 below that depth. The base saturation drops from about 1.00 in the topsoil to 0.35 at a depth of more than one meter. The CECclay is $110 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Clay minerals: predominantly kaolinites, traces of a $14 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}$ mineral.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: dystro-mollic* and mollic* Nitosols. soil taxonomy: orthoxic Palehumult and typic Paleudoll.
Remark: the soils have a high silt content, a low bulk density, a high base saturation and a high organic matter content till a depth of $50-100 \mathrm{~cm}$, all charecteristics indicative for "andic" properties. These properties are however not sufficient to fulfill the requirements for "Andosol".
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 14 and App. 11 (Nyakembene and Skuli series of the Marongo detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit UlQh

Total area: 790 ha.
Parent material: quartzites, with admixtures of siltstone.
Meso relief: undulating to rolling remnants of the highest erosion surface; slope classes $B C$ and CD.
Vegetation/land use: extensive grazing; exploitation of bushes for charcoal; trees; cultivation of tea, coffee and some annual crops.
Soils, general: well drained, deep to very deep, permeable with gradual horizon boundaries and an $A B(C) R$ horizon sequence.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/3) and B horizon, reddishbrown to dark-reddish-brown (5YR 4/6-2.5YR 3/6)
texture: clay throughout with a mass fraction of 0.6-0.75. The mass ratio of clay between $B$ and $A$ horizon is 1 and the mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is 0.3.
structure: moderate to weak very fine and fine subangular blocky.
consistence: friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon 20-40 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is around 5.0 , the base saturation is less than 0.05 throughout and the CEC-clay is $126 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Clay minerals: mainly kaolinites, but poorly crystallized.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: humic Ferralsols.
soil taxonomy: typic Haplohumox.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 15 and App. 11 (Nyangori, Kiabigori and Itumbe series of the Marongo detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

### 3.3.4.2 Soils of the Magombo Upland

## Unit U2Ihn

Total area: 41720 ha.
Parent material: andesites, with inclusions of conglomerate and a substantial enrichment with quarternary volcanic ash.
Macro and meso relief: broad rather flat topped ridges; undulating to rolling, slope class CD.
Vegetation/land use: permanent cultivation of mainly maize, beans, tea and pyrethrum; some grazing.

Soils, general: well drained, very deep, very permeable with gradual horizon boundaries, an ABCR horizon sequence and a humic topsoil. Clay cutans are present in the $B$ horizon. The $C$ and $R$ horizons are found at great depth. Biological activity is very high throughout.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/2-7.5YR 3/2) varying in depth from 30 cm to 100 cm . B horizon, dark-reddish-brown to yellowish-red (5YR 3.5/4 to 5YR 4/6).
texture: clay throughout, with a mass fraction increasing from about 0.6 in the $A$ horizon to over 0.7 in the $B$ horizon. Mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is $4-6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$.
structure: moderate fine subangular blocky in the $A$ horizon grading into moderate fine angular blocky in the B horizon.
consistence: very friable to friable when moist; slightly sticky, slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon 20-40 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ drops from about 5.5 in the topsoil to 4.5 in the $B$ horizon; in accordance the base saturation drops from about 0.6 in the A horizon to as low as 0.25 in the $B$ horizon. The CEC-clay is $180 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Clay minerals: predominantly kaolinites.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: dystro*-mollic* Nitosols. soil taxonomy: orthoxic Palehumult and typic Paleudoll.
Remark: according to the degree of ash-enrichment, soils in flat positions may have a base saturation of more than 0.5 till a depth of more than 125 cm , in which case they meet the requirement for a mollic* Nitosol.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 16 and App. 11 (Nyambaria and Magombo series of the Magombo detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

### 3.3.4.3 Soils of the Kisii Upland

## Unit U3Bhn

Total area: 31970 ha.
Parent material: basalts.
Macro and meso relief: dissected uplands, with straight to slightly convex lateral valley slopes and some rather flat topped ridges. Undulating to rolling, slope classes $B C, C D$.
Vegetation/land use: permanent cultivation of annual crops as maize, beans, onions etc. and perannial crops as coffee and bananas; some grazing.
Soils, general: well drained, very deep, very permeable with gradual horizon boundaries, an $A B C R$ horizon sequence and a humic topsoil. Clay cutans are present in the $B$ horizon.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown to dark-brown (5YR 3/2-3/3 and 7.5YR 3/2). B horizon, dark-red (2.5YR 3/6) to dark-reddish-brown (2.5YR 3/4).
texture: clay throughout; the mass ratio of clay between the $B$ and $A$ horizon is 1.3. The mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is 0.3.
structure: moderate fine subangular blocky in the A horizon grading into strong very fine angular blocky in the $B$ horizon.
consistence: friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon 2-4.5 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ varies between 5.0 and 6.0 . The base saturation is usually above $\sigma .50$ throughout, but occasionally the base saturation in the B horizon is somewhat below 0.50 . The CEC-clay is $160 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Clay minerals: predominantly kaolinite.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: mollic* Nitosols occasionally dystro*mollic* Nitosols.
soil taxonomy: typic Paleudoll and occasionally orthoxic Palehumult:
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 17 (mollic* Nitosols) and 18 (dystro*mollic* Nitosol) and App. 11 (Nyaborumbasi and Changà series of the

Marongo detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit U3Bh

Total area: 15600 ha.
Parent material: basalts.
Meso relief: convex, sometimes dissected valley slopes of incising rivers. Rolling to hilly, slope classes $C D$ and $D E$.
Vegetation/land use: as for unit U3Bhn.
Soils, general: the soils range in depth from moderately deep to very deep; they are well drained, very permeable with gradual and clear horizon boundaries, an $A B C R$ horixon sequence and a humic or acid humic topsoil. The $B$ horizon has clay cutans. The biological activity is high to very high.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown to dark-brown (5YR 3/2-3/3 and 7.5YR 3/2). B horizon, dark-red (2.5YR 3/6) to dark-brown (7.5YR 3/2) in the least deep soils.
texture: like U3Bhn, except for the least deep soils, which have a clay loam texture and a higher mass ratio of silt to clay up to 1.2 .
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic C $30-35 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg} . \mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{0} \mathrm{O}$, base saturation and CEC-clay of the deeper soils as in unit U3Bhn, the less deep soils have a CEC-clay of $240 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Weatherable primary minerals: see U3Bhn for the deeper soils.
Clay minerals: see U3Bhn for the deeper soils.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: luvic Phaeozems, mollic* Nitosols.
soil taxonomy: typic or orthoxic Argiudoll and a typic Paleudoll. Inclusions of rather shallow soils like profile 19 are haplic Phaeozems (FAO/Unesco) and typic Hapludoll (soil taxonomy).
Profiles 17 and 18 of Appendix 6 are representative for the deepest soils; they are called Ikoba and Muma series in the Marongo detailed survey (App. 11). The Machogo series are representative for the moderately deep to deep soils (App. 11), while the least deep and least common soils within the association are represented by profile 19 of Appendix 6 , which is called Mugirango series in the Marongo detailed Survey (App. 11).

## Unit U3Ihn

Total area: 4000 ha.
Parent material: quartz-diorite.
Macro and meso relief: rather broad flat topped ridges with slightly convex linear lateral slopes. Undulating to rolling, slope classes $B C$ and $C D$.
Vegetation/land use: permanent cultivation of annual crops as maize and beans; cultivation of perennial crops as bananas and coffee; some grazing.
Soils, general: well drained, very deep, permeable to very permeable, with gradual and clear horizon boundaries an $A B C R$ horizon sequence and a humic or acid humic topsois. The $B$ horizon has clay cutans. The biological activity is high to very high.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/3-3/2). B horizon, reddishbrown to red (2.5YR 4/5).
texture: clay throughout; increasing from 0.5 in the $A$ horizon to 0.6-0.7 in the $B$ horizon. The mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is about 0.2.
structure: weak to moderate subangular blocky in the $A$ horizon, grading into moderate angular and subangular blocky in the $B$ horizon.
consistence: friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: organic $C$ in the A horizon $20-30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The soils on the rather flat ridgetop have a $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ of 4.5-5.5 throughout and a base saturation of $0.25-0.35$. The soils on the slopes have a $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ of 5-5.5 and a base saturation of 0.60 to 0.90 . The CEC-clay is $65 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ for the soils on the ridge tops and 140 for the soils on the slopes.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: mollic* Nitosols on the slopes and humic Nitosols
on the broad ridge tops. If the narrower Nitosol concept of the Kenya Soil Survey would be applied the classification of the humic Nitosols would read: Ferral* humic Acrisols.
soil taxonomy: typic Paleudoll and orthoxic Palehumult.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 20 (humic Nitosols) and 21 (mollic* Nitosols) and App. 11 (Nyasoka and Kaganga series of the Irigonga detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit U3Ghn

Total area: 1400 ha.
Parent material: Wanjare and Kitere granites.
Macro and meso relief: rather broad flat topped ridges with slightly convex linear lateral slopes. Undulating to rolling, slope classes $B C$ and CD.
Vegetation/land use: see U3Ihn.
Soils, general: well drained, very deep, permeable, with gradual to clear horizon boundaries, an $A B C R$ horizon sequence and an acid humic topsoil. In the $C$ horizon many soft iron concretions occur. The biological activity is high throughout.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/3-3/4). B horizon, yellow-ish-red to red (5YR 4/6-2.5YR 4/5).
texture: A horizon, clay loam to clay. B horizon, clay. The mass ratio of clay between $B$ and A horizon is 1.2. the mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is 0.3.
structure: weak subangular blocky in the A horizon and weak to moderate subangular blocky in the $B$ horizon.
consistence: friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon $15-20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is 4.5-5.0, the base saturation is $0.1-0.35$ and the CEC-clay is $100 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Clay minerals: predominantly kaolinite.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: humic Nitosols. If the narrower Nitosol-concept of the Kenya, Soil Survey would be applied, the classification would read: Ferral -humic Acrisols.
soil taxonomy: orthoxic Palehumult.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 22 and App. 11 (Nyasoka series of the Marongo detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit U3Gh

Total area: 2270 ha.
Parent material: Wanjare granites.
Macro relief: rather strongly dissected area with actively incising rivers. Rolling, slope class CD.
Vegetation/land use: see U3Ihn.
Soils, general: well drained in places somewhat excessively drained, deep to very deep, permeable to very permeable with gradual and clear horizon boundaries, an $A B C R$ horizon sequence and an acid humic topsoil. The $B$ horizon has clay cutans. The biological activity is high throughout.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/2-5YR 3/3)). B horizon, yel-lowish-red to red (5YR 5/8-2.5YR 5/8).
texture: clay loam in the $A$ horizon and clay in the $B$ horizon. The mass ratio of clay between $B$ and $A$ horizon is 1.3 . The mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is 0.2 . The inclusions with gravelly soil have a sandy loam A horizon and a clay loam $B$ horizon.
structure: weak to moderate fine subangular blocky in the $A$ horizon and moderate fine angular to subangular blocky in the $B$ horizon.
consistence: friable to very friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ is $17 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The base saturation is $0.18+$ in the $A$ horizon and $0.18+$ in the $B$ horizon. The CEC-
clay is $110 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Clay minerals: predominantly kaolinite.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: Ferral ${ }^{\stackrel{N}{*}}$-humic Acrisols.
soil taxonomy: orthoxic Tropohumult.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 23 , for the fine textured soils see the Matongo series and for the medium textured soils the Nyamatutu, Riana and Iruma series of the Irigonga detailed survey. (App. 11). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

### 3.3.4.4 Soils of the Rongo Upland

## Unit U4Bh

Total area: 800 ha.
Parent material: melanephelinites (basalts).
Meso relief: usually higher gently undulating and undulating parts of plain, slope classes $A B$ and $B C$.
Vegetation/land use: extensive grazing; cultivation of maize, sorghum, beans and sugar-cane.
Soils, general: moderately well drained, moderately deep and permeable with clear horizon boundaries, an $A B C R$ horizon sequence and a humic topsoil.
colour: A horizon, very dark-grey (10YR 3/1). B horizon, dark-gray (10YR 4/1).
texture: A horizon, clay loam; B horizon, clay. The mass ratio of clay between $B$ and $A$ horizon is 1.4 . The mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is 0.3.
structure: the $A$ horizon has a fine granular structure and the $B$ horizon has a strong medium to fine angular blocky structure.
consistence: hard in the $A$ horizon and very hard in the $B$ horizon when dry; friable in the $A$ horizon and firm in the $B$ horizon when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic in the A horizon when wet, sticky and plastic in the $B$ horizon when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic C is 2.3. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is 6 to 6.5 throughout, the base saturation is about 1.00 and the CEC-clay is 600-700 mmol/kg.
Soil classification, the $B$ horizon has vertic properties and shows a clay increase with respect to the A horizon, for which reasons it qualifies as an argillic horizon
FAO/Unesco: verto ${ }^{\star}-$ Iuvic Phaeozems.
soil taxonomy: vertic Argiudolls.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 24 and App. 11 (the Bhanji series of the East Konyango soil survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit U4YhP

Total area: 4250 ha.
Parent material: mainly rhyolites and some andesites conglomerates and diorites.
Meso relief: narrow ridges and eroded plateau remnants; undulating to rolling, slope class: $B C$ and $C D$.
Vegetation/land use: extensive grazing, bushland for charcoal production; occasional crops like cassava, groundnuts and maize.
Soils, general: somewhat excessively drained; shallow, moderately permeable, with clear horizon boundaries, an $A(B) R$ or $A R$ horizon sequence and a humic topsoil. The biological activity is moderate.
The A horizon has the following characteristics
colour: dark-brown to brown (7.5YR 3/2-7.5YR 4/2).
texture: loam to clay loam; silt to clay ratio $1-1.8$.
structure: moderate fine and very fine subangular blocky.
consistence: hard when dry; friable when moist; slightly sticky and
slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon is 23 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is around 5.5 . The base saturation ranges from 0.50 to almost 1.00 .
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: haplic Phaeozems.
soil taxonomy: typic Hapludoll.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 25 and App. 11 (the Kananga series of the Marongo detailed survey and the Uriri and Kokuro series of the Ranen detailed survey and the Rangwe series of the East Konyango area). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

Unit U4Yhp

Total area: 9890 ha.
Parent material: mainly rhyolites with inclusions of andesites.
Macro and meso relief: ridges with slightly convex lateral slopes; undulating to rolling, slope classes $B C$ and $C D$.
Vegetation/land use: permanent cultivation of mainly sugar-cane and maize; some tobacco and some grazing.
Soils, general: well drained, moderately deep to deep, very permeable with clear and gradual horizon boundaries, an $A B(C) R$ horizon sequence and a humic topsoil. The $B$ horizon has clay cutans. The biological activity is very high throughout.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/2) and B horizon, dark-red (2.5YR 3/2).
texture: clay throughout. The mass ratio of clay between $B$ and A horizon is 1.2. The mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is 0.5 .
structure: moderate very fine subangular blocky in the $A$ horizon grading into moderate and strong fine angular and subangular blocky in the $B$ horizon.
consistence: friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon is 23 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ ranges from 5 to 6 , the base saturation ranges from 0.6 to 0.9 . The CEC-clay is $180 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.

Clay minerals: in view of the rather low CEC-clay, a predominance of kaolinite minerals is expected.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: luvic Phaeozems and some chromic luvisols if the topsoil does not meet the requirements of a mollic $A$.
soil taxonomy: oxic Argiudoll.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 26 and App. 11 (the Nyarega and Kitere series of the Marongo detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit U4Ybp

Total area: 1170 ha
Parent material: mainly rhyolites with admixtures of resistent alluvial gravel of the Magena erosion surface (Wielemaker and Van Dijk, 1981).
Meso relief: gently undulating broad ridge tops, slope class BC.
Vegetation/land use: cassava, groundnuts, sorghum, maize and natural pasture.
Soils, general: well drained, moderately deep, permeable with gradual and clear horizon boundaries, and an ABCR horizon sequence with in places a humic A horizon. The $C$ horizon is still important especially for deeper rooting crops and trees. The biological activity is moderate to high.
colour: A horizon, dark-brown (7.5YR 3/2-3/4) and B horizon, brown to dark-brown (7.5YR 4/3) and reddish-brown (5YR 4/3).
texture: gravelly clay loam to. clay. The mass ratio of clay between the $B$ and the $A$ horizon is 1.3 . The mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is 0.25 .
structure: A horizon, moderate fine and very fine subangular blocky. B
horizon, weak to moderate fine to very fine angular to subangular blocky. consistence: friable to firm when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon is around $25 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ ranges from 5 to 6 , the base saturation from 0.6 to 1.0 and the CEC-clay is $200 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$. In a similar profile a mass fraction of more than 0.01 oriented clay was found, so that in this profile the clay increase is enough to qualify as an argillic horizon.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: orthic Luvisols and luvic Phaeozems (in case the A horizon qualifies as mollic A)
soil taxonomy: mollic Hapludalf and an oxic Argiudoll.
Profile 27 (App. 6) and the Nyandara II series of the Rangwe detailed survey (App. 11) are representative for luvic Phaeozems, while the Rangwe series of the East konyango soil survey (App. 11) are representative for orthic Luvisols. Profile Exc. 15 (sample no.'s $77 / 335,336$ ) is also representative for orthic Luvisols. For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit U4Yg

Total area: 750 ha.
Parent material: mainly rhyolites with inclusions of andesites.
Meso relief: the slightly concave, lateral slopes of ridges and plains in transition to valley bottoms. Undulating, slope class BC.
Vegetation/land use: grazing; cultivation of sugar-cane and maize a.o.
Soils, general: moderately well drained, deep, permeable, with clear horizon boundaries, an $A B C R$ horizon sequence and a humic topsoil. The soils have mottles increasing with depth and concretions in the lower part. The biological activity is high.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-grey to dark-reddish-brown (5YR 4/2-3/2) and $B$ horizon, dark-grey to dark-reddish-grey (5YR 3.5/1-4/2).
texture: A horizon, sandy clay loam to clay loam; $B$ horizon, sandy clay loam to clay, the mass ratio of clay between the $B$ and $A$ horizon is 1.2 and the mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is 0.7 .
structure: A horizon, moderate fine and very fine subangular blocky; $B$ horizon, moderate fine and medium subangular blocky.
consistence: hard, when dry; friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ is $14 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is above 5. The base saturation ranges from 0.8 in the $A$ horizon to 1.0 in the $B$ horizon.

Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: gleyic Phaeozems.
soil taxonomy: aquic Hapludoll.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 28 and App. 11 (the Manyata series of the Ranen detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Associations:

The units $\mathrm{U} 4 \mathrm{YhP}, \mathrm{U} 4 \mathrm{Yhp}, \mathrm{U} 4 \mathrm{Ybp}, \mathrm{U} 4 \mathrm{Yg}$ all occur in a regularly associated pattern. Where possible, units are separately mapped. In some instances separate mapping was not possible due to the intricate soil pattern. Two or more soils were mapped as one map-unit and called soil association. For the characteristics of the members of a soil association one is referred to the respective unit description. The soil associations are:

## Unit U4YhP - U4Yhp

Total area: 4410 ha.
Relief: the Gucha river and its tributaries deeply incised the Rongo erosion surface. Unit U4YhP occupies the steeper convex valley slopes (slope class E, hilly), while unit U4Yhp occupies the rather uniform gentler valley slopes (slope class $D$, rolling). The relief elsewhere is similar
to that of the map units already described.

Unit U4Yhp - U4Ybp

```
Total area: 15830 ha.
Relief: gently undulating to undulating, slope classes \(A B\) and \(B C\).
Unit U 4 Yb p occupies especially the gently undulating rather wide ridge tops, while unit U4Yhp occupies both the slopes and the gently undulating parts of the ridges.
```

Unit U4Yhp - U4Yg

Total area: 5750 ha.
Relief: gently undulating, slope class B.
Unit $U 4 Y g$ occupies the lower lateral slopes of the ridges, transitional to the Bottomlands. Unit U4Yhp occupies the well drained higher parts of the same ridges.

Unit U4YC

Total area: 6650 ha.
The member units of this soil association are: U4Yhp, U4Ybp and U4Yg.
Relief: undulating to rolling, slope classes $B C$ and $C D$.
Unit $U 4 Y b p$ occupies especially the gently undulating rather wide ridge tops, while unit U4Yhp occupies both the upper slopes and the gently undulating parts of the ridges. Unit U4Yg occupies the lower lateral slopes of the ridges, transitional to the Bottomlands.

## Unit U4Gh

Total area: 11660 ha.
Parent material: Wanjare and Kitere granites.
Macro and meso relief: ridges, with slightly convex lateral slopes, or only the lateral slopes of ridges. Undulating to rolling, slope classes BC, CD.
Vegetation/land use: bushes for charcoal production; semipermanent cultivation of maize, groundnuts, cassava, sugar-cane, some grazing.
Soils, general: well drained, predominantly deep, permeable, with an ABCR horizon sequence, clear horizon boundaries and an acid humic topsoil. The B horizon has clay cutans. Biological activity is moderate to high.
colour: A horizon, dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/2) and B horizon, yellowishred (5YR 5/6).
texture: A horizon, loamy sand to sandy loam; B horizon, sandy clay loam. The mass ratio of clay between $B$ and $A$ horizon is 1.4 , the mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is 0.7 .
structure: weak to moderate fine subangular blocky in the A horizon, grading into fine angular blocky in the B horizon.
consistence: slightly hard to hard when dry; very friable to friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ is around $19 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is 4.5 to 5 , the base saturation ranges from 0.4 to 0.2 and the CEC-clay is $150 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Clay minerals: in view of the rather low CEC-clay ( $150 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ soil) a predominance of kaolinitic clay minerals is expected.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: humic Acrisols.
soil taxonomy: orthoxic or typic Tropudult.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 29 and App. 11 (the Paulo
and Ndiwa series of the Marongo detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit U4GhM

Total area: 2890 ha.
Parent material: granite with admixtures of alluvial gravel of the Rongo erosion surface.
Meso relief: the flat to slightly undulating remnants of the former erosion surface, slope class AB.
Vegetation/land use: extensive grazing; bushland used for charcoal production. Occasional crops.
Soils, general: shallow, moderately well drained, moderately permeable with clear to abrupt horizon boundaries, an $A(B) R$ or $A R$ horizon sequence and commonly with a humic topsoil. The biological activity is limited. The $R$ horizon is not very penetrable for roots, since a rather indurated vesicular ironstone layer is found on top of it.
colour: A horizon, brown to dark-brown (7.5YR 3/2-7.5YR 4/4); B horizon, (if present) reddish-brown (5YR 4/4).
texture: clay loam to sandy clay loam. Mass ratio of silt to clay 0.4.
structure: weak to moderate very fine subangular blocky.
consistence: very friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic C in the A horizon is around $15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is 4.5 to 5.0 . The base saturation is around 0.3 and the CEC-clay is less than $240 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: ferralo* humic and ferralic Cambisols "petroferric phase".
soil taxonomy: oxic Humitropepts and oxic Dystropepts.
Profile 30 (App. 6) and the Magina series of the East Konyango soil survey
(App. 11) are representative for the ferralo ${ }^{*}$-humic Cambisols. For ferralic Cambisols see the Rongo and Riosiri series of the Marongo detailed survey (App. ll). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit U4GM

Total area: 790 ha.
Parent material: granite with some admixtures of resistant alluvial gravel of the former erosion surface.
Meso relief: the soils cover the summits of the intergully divides and the upper part of the lateral slopes. Gently undulating, slope class AB.
Surface stoniness/rockiness: many granite boulders occur on the surface
Vegetation/land use: semi permanent cultivation of annual crops like groundnuts, maize and sorghum. Permanent cultivation of cassava as perennial crop.
Soils, general: moderately wel drained and even somewhat excessively drained, mainly shallow with clear and abrupt horizon boundaries, an ACR horizon sequence and sometimes an acid humic topsoil. The biological activity is limited. Soils are susceptible to sealing.
colour: dark-brown (7.5YR 3/2-4/2)
texture: sandy loam to loamy sand, often gravelly. The mass ratio of silt to clay is 0.4 to 0.5 .
structure: weak subangular blocky
consistence: hard when dry; very friable when moist; non sticky and non plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon is 16 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ or less. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is about 5.5 and the base saturation ranges from 0.4 to 0.9 . On transition to the rock mostly a hard layer of iron concretions is found. Because of the low CEC, the weak structure grade and the hard consistency, when dry no mollic A horizon is recognized.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: ferralo humic Cambisols and ferralic Arenosols.
soil taxonomy: oxic Humitropept and typic Ustipsamment.
For a representative profile of the deeper soils in this unit see App. 6, profile 31. For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

### 3.3.5 Soils of the Plains

## Unit PBd

Total area: 2880 ha.
Parent material: melanephelinites (alkali basalts) and volcanic ash of Tertiary age.
Macro relief: flat to gently undulating plain, slope class AB.
Micro relief: termite mounds about $1: 5 \mathrm{~m}$ high and $2-5 \mathrm{~m}$ wide.
Vegetation/land use: semi permanent cultivation of maize, sugar-cane, beans etc. Extensive grazing. Some superficial drainage is practiced.
Soils, general: imperfectly to poorly drained, deep with slowly permeable subsoils, rather abrupt horizon boundaries and an ABCR horizon sequence. The B 2 horizon is an intimate mixture of $A$ and $B$ horizon characteristics. due to the churning characteristics of this soil e.g. strong cracking when dry, and intersecting slickensides. The biological activity is almost confined to the A horizon, apart from termite mounds, where material of the $A, B$ and $C$ horizon is thoroughly mixed.
colour: A horizon, very dark-brown (10YR 2/2); B2 horizon, dark grey to grey (10YR 4.0/1); B horizon grey (10YR 5/l).
texture: ranging from a mass fraction of 0.3-0.4 clay in the A horizon to about 0.5-0.6 in the B 2 and B 3 horizon. The mass ratio of silt to clay in the B2 horizon is around 1.
structure: A horizon, strong very fine granular to subangular blocky. B2 and B3 horizon, strong prismatic and coarse to medium angular blocky. consistence: very hard and hard when dry; very friable when moist in the A horizon, firm in the B2 and B3 horizon; sticky and very plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon is 26 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ varies between 5 and 6 . The base saturation ranges from 0.8-1.0 and the CEC-clay is $800 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Clay minerals: predominantly montmorillonites with small amounts of kaolinites/ halloysites.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: pellic Vertisols
soil taxonomy: typic Pelludert
Remark: the soils intergrade slightly between Vertisols and Planosols, as shown by the lower mass fraction of clay in the A12 than in the $B$ horizon and by the rather abrupt transition of the $A 12$ (A2) to the $A B$ horizon.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 32 and App. 11 (the Rodi ser-
ies of the East Konyango soil survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit PXhM

Total area: 1450 ha.
Parent material: various rocks (The main rocks are rhyolites, then granites, followed by andesites and basalts).
Meso relief: the (gently) undulating, somewhat better drained transitions from plains to bottomland, slope class, $A B$ and $B C$.
Vegetation/land use: semi permanent cultivation of maize, sugar-cane, cassava, beans etc.; some grazing.
Soils, general: moderately well drained to imperfectly drained mainly shallow, moderately permeable, with gradual and clear horizon boundaries, an ABCR horizon sequence and a humic topsoil. Ironstone, containing alluvial gravel and stones, occurs locally and overlies the weathering rock at depths of $50-80 \mathrm{~cm}$. At places, the same
layer is decomposed to form a layer with many concretions.
colour: A horizon, very-dark-greyish-brown (10YR 3/2) and B horizon, darkbrown sometimes mottled (7.5YR 3/2-4/2).
texture: clay loam, often gravelly; the mass ratio of silt to clay of the B horizon is 1.
structure: moderate very fine subangular blocky.
consistence: very friable and friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the $A$ horizon is around $30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ranges from 5 to 6 and the base saturation from 0.7-1.0.

Clay minerals: amorphous with some halloysites and some montmorillonites.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: haplic Phaeozems wth inclusions of gleyic Phaeozems: locally a petroferric phase occurs.
soil taxonomy: typic and aquic Hapludolls.
The soils resemble the Akijo, Kibubu ad Nyangu series of the East Konyango soil survey (App. 11). Profile 33 of App. 6 is representative, apart from consistence and structure. For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit PXa

Total area: 1580 ha.
Parent material: the main rocks are rhyolites followed by andesites. The surface shows clear alluvial influences, like layers of rounded stones and gravel, resistent to weathering. Volcanic ash has been mixed through the A and $B$ horizons.
Meso relief: flat to very gently undulating plain, slope class $A B$. Micro relief: termite mounds of 1.5 m high and $2-6$ meter wide.
Vegetation/land use: mainly extensive grazing.
Soils, general: imperfectly to poorly drained, deep with an A1 and A2 (bleached) horizon, abruptly overlying a dense clay $B$ horizon at a depth of $30-60 \mathrm{~cm}$, which is very slowly permeable. The $B$ horizon has clay cutans. The $C$ horizon is deeply weathered. The biological activity is mainly confined to the top $40-60 \mathrm{~cm}$, apart from the termite mounds where material from A, B and C horizons is thoroughly mixed.
colour: A horizon, very-dark-grey to dark grey (10YR 3/1-10YR 4/1). A2 horizon, dark-greyish-brown to grey (10YR 4/2-10YR 5/1)); B horizon, black to greyish-brown (N2/0-10YR 5/2). The top of the $B$ has distinct red mottles.
texture: A horizon, loam to silt loam. B horizon, the mass ratio of clay between $B$ and $A$ horizon is 2.5 . The mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is 0.21 and in the $A$ horizon around 2 . In the $B$ horizon rounded stones and gravel resistant to weathering, occur in varying amounts.
structure: A horizon, moderate to weak very fine subangular blocky. B horizon, coarse prismatic to columnar and coarse angular blocky. Intersecting slickensides occur in the lower part of the $B$ horizon.
consistence: A horizon, slightly hard when dry; friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
B horixon, very hard when dry; firm when moist; sticky and slightly plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon is 20-40 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ranges from about 5.0 in the A horizon to $5.5-6$ in the $B$ horizon. The base saturation is around 0.5 in the $A$ and A2 horizons and $0.6-0.8$ in the $B$ horizon. The CEC-clay is $600 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ in the B horizon.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: eutric Planosols.
soil taxonomy: abruptic Tropaqualfs.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 34 and App. 11 (the Nyokal series of the East Konyango Soil survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

Total area: 2270 ha.
Relief: gently undulating to undulating; slope classes $A B$ and $B C$.
Unit PXhM usually occupies the more sloping positions; it also occurs on very gently undulating parts of the dissected Plains, where it is difficult to predict its occurrence on the basis of physiography.

## Unit PPa

Total area: 2890 ha.
Parent material: Quaternary volcanic ash deposits.
Macro relief: flat, with locally deeply incised rivers, slope class $A B$.
Micro relief: huge termite mounds occur at regular distances.
Vegetation/land use: extensive grazing. Low trees and bushes grow on the termite mounds.
Soils, general: imperfectly to poorly drained, deep with an A1 and A2 (leached) horizon abruptly overlying a dense clay B horizon at a depth of $20-40 \mathrm{~cm}$, which is very slowly permeable. The $B$ horizon has clay cutans. The C horizon consists of compact somewhat weathered slightly calcareous volcanic ash. The biological activity is low and mainly restricted to the topsoil and the large termite mounds.
colour: A horizon, black (5YR 2.5/1); A2 horizon, dark-brown to dark-grey-ish-brown (7.5YR-10YR 4/2) and dry (7.5YR 7/2); B horizon, black (10YR 2.5/1).
texture: clay loam in the $A$ horizon and clay in the $B$ horizon. The mass ratio of clay between the $B$ and $A$ horizons is 1.8 . The mass ratio of silt to clay in the A horizon is 0.8 , in the $B$ horizon 0.4.
structure: A horizon, strong fine subangular blocky. B horizon, strong coarse prismatic in the upper part and fine angular blocky in the lower part, where also intersecting slickensides occur.
consistence: A horizon, slightly hard when dry; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet. B horizon, very hard when dry; very firm when moist; sticky and plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the $A$ horizon is around $20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The base saturation ranges from 0.6 in the $A$ horizon to $0.7-1.0$ in the $B$ horizon. The CEC-clay is $750 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$. The soil below 80 cm depth is calcareous.
Clay minerals: because of the high CEC-clay ( $750 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), claymineralogy will be montmorillonitic.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: eutric Planosols. soil taxonomy: abruptic Tropaqualf.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 35 and App. 11 (the Nyansiongo, Manga and Isoge series of the Nyansiongo detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit PGa

Total area: 1060 ha.
Parent material: granite with admixtures of alluvial stones and gravel of quartzitic composition. Volcanic ash has been mixed with the $A$ and $B$ horizons.
Relief: as unit PXa.
Vegetation/land use: as unit PXa.
Soils, general: as unit PXa.
colour: A horizon, very-dark-grey to very-dark-greyish brown (10YR 3/1-2). B horizon, very-dark-brown (10YR 2/2).
texture: A horizon, loam to sandy loam. B horizon, the mass ratio of clay between $B$ and $A$ horizon is 3 . The mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is 0.2 and in the $A$ horizon it varies from 0.5-1.2.
structure: A horizon, weak to moderate fine subangular blocky. B horizon,
coarse and medium angular blocky and some slickensides which are not intersecting.
consistence: as in unit PXa.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon is about $30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ ranges from 4.6 in the $A$ horizon to 5.5 in the $B$ horizon. The base saturation is 0.28 in the $A$ and 0.7 in the $B$ horizon. The CEC-clay is $700 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Clay minerals: kaolinites and some montmorillonites.
Soil classification: as unit PXa.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 36 and App. 11 (the Misathe series of the East Konyango soil survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

### 3.3.6 Soils of the Bottom lands

Unit BBh

Total area: 570 ha.
Parent material: fine textured alluvial deposits with volcanic ash admixture.
Meso relief: flat to very gently undulating plain between hills, slope class AB.
Vegetation/land use: semi permanent cultivation of cotton, maize, sorghum etc.; some extensive grazing.
Soils, general: moderately well drained, deep, slowly permeable with gradual and clear horizon boundaries, cracking when dry, but without intersecting slickensides. The biological activity is low.
colour: black to very-dark-grey (5YR 2/1-5YR 3/1).
texture: clay throughout; a mass fraction of clay ranging from 0.55-0.7. The mass ratio of clay between $B$ and $A$ horizon is 1.3. The mass ratio of silt to clay is 0.4-0.6.
structure: strong fine and medium angular blocky.
consistence: A horizon, hard when dry; friable when moist; sticky and plastic when wet. B horizon, very hard when dry; friable to firm when moist and when wet as in A horizon.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the $A$ horizon is around $1.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ranges from $6-7$ and the base saturation is 1.0 throughout. The soil is calcareous from a depth of about 80 cm . The soil has not enough vertic characteristics to qualify as Vertisol.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: vertic Phaeozems.
soil taxonomy: vertic udic Argiustols.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 37. For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

Unit BBd

Total area: 560 ha.
Parent material: alluvial material derived from basalts with volcanic ash admixture.
Meso relief: nearly flat valley bottoms, slope class AB.
Micro relief: termite mounds occur at regular distances.
Vegetation/land use: extensive grazing; occasionally sugar-cane and maize.
Soils, general: imperfectly to poorly drained, deep, very slowly permeable, cracking, with clear and gradual horizon boundaries. The biological activity is low, except in the termite mounds.
colour: dark-grey in the topsoil (10YR 4/1) to dark-grey and very-dark-greyish-brown (10YR 4/1-3/2) in the subsoil. Yellowish-red mottles are common in the subsoil.
texture: clay throughout; the $B$ horizon has a mass ratio of silt to clay of 0.4 .
structure: A horizon, moderate fine to very fine subangular blocky. B
horizon, moderate coarse prismatic and in the subsoil strong medium angular blocky; the clay is dispersible and mobile, which is probably the reason for the weakly developed slickensides.
consistence: hard and very hard when dry; firm when moist; sticky and plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the $A$ horizon ranges from $18-25 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ ranges from 6 in the topsoil to 7 or 8 in the subsoil. The base saturation ranges from 0.75-1.0 The CEC-clay is $570 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Clay minerals: the vertic characteristics indicate a predominantly montmorillonitic clay mineralogy.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: pellic Vertisols, sodic phase. Unfortunately the sodic phase is not mentioned in the legend in Appendix 1. soil taxonomy: entic Pelludert.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 38 and App. 11 (the Aora nam series of the Ranen detailed survey and the Oboke and Kibigori series of the East Konyango soil survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit BXal

Total area: 19020 ha.
Parent material: Quaternary volcanic ash deposits with little alluvial admixture. Meso relief: flat to gently undulating valley bottoms, slope class $A B$.
Micro relief: termite mounds of $1-2$ metres high and 6 metre wide occur at regular distances of about 50 metres.
Vegetation/land use: extensive grazing. Termite mounds are covered with Acacia and Euphorbia spp.
Soils, general: poorly drained, deep, with an A1 and A2 horizon abruptly overlying a dense, very slowly permeable $B$ horizon at a depth of $20-50 \mathrm{~cm}$. The $B$ horizon is underlain by alternating compact volcanic ash layers and heavy clay formed from volcanic ash. The top of the columns is coated with white A2 material, while the ped surfaces and the root channels in the $B$ horizon are coated with thick clay flows. Biological activity is low and mainly restricted to the topsoil and the termite mounds.
colour: A1 horizon, black to dark-grey (10YR 2.5/1-10YR 4/1). A2 horizon, grey (10YR 5/1). B horizon, grey to dark-greyish-brown (10YR 5/1-10YR $4 / 2$ ). The A2 horizon may have prominent reddish-brown mottles.
texture: A horizon, loam to silty clay loam. B horizon, clay. The mass ratio of clay between $B$ and $A$ horizons is 2.5 . The mass ratio of silt to clay in the A horizon is about 1.6 and in the $B$ horizon 0.4-0.6.
structure: A horizon, moderate to strong fine subangular blocky. A2 horizon, massive to weak subangular blocky. B horizon, strong coarse columnar in the upper part and strong medium angular blocky in the lower part, slickensides sometimes occur in the lower part.
consistence: A horizon, slightly hard when dry; friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet. B horizon, very hard when dry; firm when moist; very sticky and very plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the $A$ horizon ranges from $1.4-3.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ranges from around $5-5.5$. The base saturation in the $A$ and $A 2$ horizon ranges from 0.3-0.4, in the $B$ horizon from 0.5-1.0. The CEC-clay is $600 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$. The substance fraction of exchangeable sodium in the $B$ horizon is slightly over 0.06 .
Clay minerals: mainly montmorillonite, little kaolinite.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: solodic Planosols.
soil taxonomy: abruptic Tropaqualf.
Inclusions: soils of unit BBd.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 39 and App. 11 (the Nyamauro series of the East Konyango soil survey and the Riana Kuna and Sare series of the Rangwe and Ranen detailed surveys). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5 .


Fig. 15. Dystric Planosol of map unit BXa2. Abrupt transition from bleached silty A2 horizon to darker clayey $B$ horizon.

Unit BXa2 (Fig. 15)

Total area: 12630 ha
Parent material: Quaternary volcanic ash deposits with little alluvial admixture. Meso relief: flat to gently undulating valley bottom, slope class AB.
Micro relief: numerous small ant hills ( $20-30 \mathrm{~cm} h i g h, 40 \mathrm{~cm}$ wide) and some 50 cm high and $2-3$ metre wide termite mounds occur.
Vegetation/land use: grazing.
Soils, general: imperfectly to poorly drained, deep with an A1 and A2 horizon abruptly overlying a dense, very slowly permeable $B$ horizon at a depth of $30-75 \mathrm{~cm}$. On top of the $B$ horizon a concretionary layer occurs. The biological activity in the $A$ horizon is moderate, and mainly restricted to ants and termite mounds.
colour: A horizon, very-dark-grey to greyish-brown (10YR 3/1-7.5YR 3/1). A2 horizon, grey to dark-greyish-brown (10YR 5/1-7.5YR 4/1). B horizon, dark-greyish-brown to dark-grey (7.5YR 4/l-10YR 4/1). Distinct yellowishbrown iron-mottles occur in the upper part of the $B$ horizon.
texture: A horizon, silt loam to silty clay loam. B horizon, mass fraction of clay ( $0.6-0.8$ ). The mass ratio of clay between the $B$ and $A$ horizon is 2.7. The mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is 0.19 .
structure: A horizon, moderate fine to very fine (sub) angular blocky. B horizon, moderate prismatic in the top part and moderate medium angular blocky below. Slickensides occur at a depth of more than 80 cm .
consistence: A horizon, friable when moist; slightly sticky and slightly plastic when wet. $B$ horizon, firm when moist, sticky and plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon is 30-40 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ ranges from 4.5 in the A horizon to 5.5 in the B horizon. The base saturation ranges from about 0.28 in the $A$ horizon to $0.6-0.7$ in the lower part of the $B$ horizon. The CEC-clay is $520 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Clay minerals: mainly montmorillonite, some kaolinite.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: eutric Planosols and dystric Planosols. Unfortunately the dystric Planosols are not mentioned in the legend of Appendix 1.
soil taxonomy: aeric abruptic Tropaqualfs.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 40 and App. 11 (the Nyachogochogo series of the Magombo detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.
Remark: in some places the base saturation in the $B$ horizon may be lower than 0.5 as e.g. profile no. 40 which meets the requirement of a dystric Planosol. Other, but similar profiles have base saturation figures of more than 0.5.

## Unit $B X g$

Total area: 690 ha .
Parent material: Quaternary volcanic ash deposits with little alluvial admixture.
Meso relief: flat valley bottom, slope class A.
Micro relief: termite mounds as in unit BXal.
Vegetation/land use: mainly grazing with some small patches of maize and sugar cane.
Soils, general: imperfectly to poorly drained, deep, dense soils with a thin $A$ horizon and an abrupt transition to the underlying $B$ horizon, which is capped with a thin layer of leached silty material. The upper part of the $B$ horizon shows thick continuous clay flows on the ped surfaces. The biological activity is low.
colour: A horizon, very-dark-brown to very-dark-greyish-brown (10YR 2.5/2). $B$ horizon, dark-grey to greyish-brown (10YR 4/1-5/2).
texture: ranging from clay loam in the $A$ horizon to clay in the $B$ horizon. The mass ratio of clay between $B$ and $A$ horizon is 1.2 . The mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is 0.7 .
structure: strong very fine subangular blocky in the $A$ horizon. B horizon, strong coarse prismatic to columnar in the upper part and strong medium angular blocky in the lower part.
consistence: hard to very hard when dry; firm when moist; very sticky and very plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon is about $20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ranges from about 5-5.5 in the topsoil to 8-8.5 in the $B$ horizon. The base saturation ranges from about 0.5 in the $A$ horizon to 1.0 in the $B$ horizon. The substance fraction of exchangeable sodium in the $B$ horizon ranges from 0.2-0.4. Lime concretions are found at a depth of $100-180 \mathrm{~cm}$. The CEC-clay is $900 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Clay minerals: mainly montmorillonite, little kaolinite.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: gleyic Solonetz.
soil taxonomy: typic Natraqualf.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 41 and App. 11 (the Marinde series of the East Konyango soil survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

Total area: 2450 ha
Parent material: organic remains (peat) mixed with some silty alluvial material. Humus rich silty alluvial material is found from a depth of about 1.5 metres till 4.00 metres, being the bottom of the valley.
Meso relief: flat lowest part of the valleys, slope class A.
Vegetation/land use: in natural conditions, sedges, ferns and grass. When cultivated and drained, wattle trees, eucalyptus, patches with maize and beans.
Soils, general: dark-reddish-brown (5YR 3/3) to very-dark-grayish-brown (10YR 2/2) rather decomposed peat underlain by less decomposed rather fibrous reddish and black peat to a depth of $100-150 \mathrm{~cm}$. Deeper black to darkolive clay and still deeper, whitish silty alluvial material is found. The soils sometimes have a black humus rich clayey topsoil.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ ranges from more than $300 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ for the peat soils to more than $100 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ for the humus-rich clay soils. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ranges from 4 in the peat soils to 5 in the hum-us-rich clay soils.
Clay minerals: montmorillonite and kaolinite.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: dystric Histosols with inclusions of mollic Gleysols.
soil taxonomy: typic Tropohemist with inclusions of aëric Tropaquoll.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 42 and App. 11 (for mollic Gleysols see Kenyerere series of the Magombo detailed survey). For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

## Unit BGa

Total area: 1770 ha
Parent material: granite with some alluvial admixtures
Meso relief: gently sloping valley sides and valley bottoms, slope class $A B$. Micro relief: termite mounds.
Vegetation/land use: extensive grazing.
Soils, general: imperfectly to poorly drained, deep, with an $A 1$ and $A 2$ horizon abruptly overlying a dense, compact, very slowly permeable $B$ horizon at a depth of 10 to 30 cm . The $B$ horizon has a coarse columnar breaking into angular blocky structure and is susceptible to erosion. The biological activity is low.
colour: A horizon, very-dark-greyish-brown (10YR 3/2) and $B$ horizon, very-dark-grey (10YR 3/1).
texture: A horizon, sandy loam; B horizon, clay. The mass ratio of clay between $B$ and $A$ horizon is 3.6 and the mass ratio of silt to clay in the $B$ horizon is 0.12 .
structure: A horizon, weak medium subangular blocky. B horizon, moderate coarse prismatic to columnar
consistence: A horizon, slightly hard when dry; friable when moist; nonsticky and slightly plastic when wet. B horizon, very hard when dry; very firm when moist; sticky and plastic when wet.
chemical properties: mass fraction of organic $C$ in the A horizon is 11 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is about 7 and the base saturation is $0.7-1.0$. The CEC-clay is $46 \sigma^{2} \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Soil classification, FAO/Unesco: eutric Planosols.
soil taxonomy: abruptic Tropaqualf.
For a representative profile, see App. 6, profile 43. For comparison with other soils see Appendix 5.

Unit VXP

```
Total area: 3580 ha.
Meso relief: convex lateral slopes of incising rivers. Undulating to rolling,
    slope classes CD and D.
Remarks: soils are comparable with those of unit U1XhP and to a minor extent,
    those of unit HXP.
```

3.4 SOIL CLASSIFICATION, CORRELATION AND GENESIS ASPECTS

### 3.4.1 Introduction

The soils in the survey area were classified by the FAO/UNESCO (1976) legend for the soil map of the world. Such a classification serves several purposes. The names represent major chemical and sometimes physical characteristics, which are therefore directly understood. To a certain extent, the names indicate the range in characteristics within the mapping unit. Names are associated with similar soils elsewhere, so that information on management and performance can be exchanged.

The FAO classification is based only on measurable and observable characteristics, which however largely result from soil formation. As background to classification, the soil-forming factors will be discussed in Section 3.4.2: climate, geomorphology, age, parent material, soil fauna and vegetation, drainage and man. The main classification units of the soil map are described in section 3.4.3. Where necessary new categories have been introduced, which are also defined there. Appendix 5 provides detailed evidence.

### 3.4.2 Soil genesis

Soil characteristics result from the combined action of soil-forming factors. An understanding of the influence of these factors is a great aid when mapping and classifying soils. How some of these factors relate to mapping units, soil characteristics and classification names is shown in Appendix 3 and Table 9.

### 3.4.2.1 Geomorphology and age

Slope and geomorphological situation are strongly correlated with soil depth, as they influence rates of erosion and sedimentation. Where slopes are more gentle, as on the rather flat remnants of former erosion surfaces, soil depth and stage of soil development should be correlated with the age of the surface. Wielemaker \& van Dijk (1981) found a good correlation between age of the surface and soil depth, attributable to degree of weathering of
Table 9. Relation between drainage class, soil depth, geomorphological position, type of parent material, soil names and map units. The symbol $X$ refers to a wide range of rocks; in the surveyed area, it refers to soils developed on granite and quartzite.

| Drainage class | Type of parent material, soil names and mapping units |  |  | Depth and geomorphology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | basic (B) | intermediate ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) | acidic ( $G, Q$ ) |  |
| (somewhat) excessively drained | humic Cambisols (HBhP) | ```Lithosols (HXP, U1XhP) Rankers (HXP, UlXhP) haplic Phaeoxems (VXP, U4YhP)``` | haplic Phaeozems (HXP, VXP)* | shallow on hills, scarps, valley slopes and narrow ridge-tops |
| well drained to moderately drained | ```verto*-luvic Phaeozems (U4Bh) luvic Phaeozems (FBh, U3Bh, FBht, U1Bh) mollic*-Nitosols (U3Bhn, FBh, U3Bh, U1Bh)``` | humic Nitosols (U3Ihn) dystro $=-m o l l i c *$ Nitosols (U1Ihn, U2Ihn, U1Xh) <br> orthic Luvisols (U4Ybp) mollic* Nitosols (FYh) luvic Phaeozems (UlPh, U1Xh, U4Ybp, U4Yhp, FYh) gleyic Luvisols (FPg, U4Yg) | ```ferral*-humic Acrisols (U3Gh) humic Acrisols (U4Gh, FQh) Ferralsol (U1Qh) humic Nitosols (U3Ghn)``` | moderately deep to very deep on footslopes and in uplands |
| moderately drained to imperfectly drained |  | haplic Phaeozems (PXhM) | humic and ferralic <br> Cambisols (U4GhM) <br> ferralo* humic Cam- <br> bisols (U4GM) <br> ferralic Arenosols (U4GM) | shallow on plains and flat ridge tops |
| imperfectly drained to poorly drained | pellic Vertisols (PBd) | eutric Planosols (PXa, PPa) | eutric Planosols <br> (PGa) | deep on plains |
|  | vertic* Phaeozems (BBh) pellic Vertisols (BBh) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eutric Planosols (BXa2) } \\ & \text { solodic Planosols (BXal) } \\ & \text { gleyic Solonetz (BXg) } \end{aligned}$ | eutric Planosols (BGa) | very deep on bottomlands |

the parent rock and to amount of ash deposited. Stage of soil development did not correlate with age of the surface because of rejuvenation of the soils by ash deposition.

The excessively drained shallow soils occur on hill tops, scarps (units HBhP, HXP), upper slopes and narrow ridges (units UlXhP and U4YhP) and on steep valley slopes (unit VXP). The moderately drained shallow soils occur on rather gently undulating land associated with Plains (unit PXhM) and flat ridge tops (unit $U 4 G h M$ and $U 4 G M$ ), where an ironstone layer or concretions occur at shallow depth.
The shallow soils undergo constant rejuvenation by erosion.
The well drained soils occur on footslopes (slope class CD) and in a rolling to sometimes even hilly upland with gently undulating ridge tops. These soils are the most developed in the area. The deeper ones occur on older surfaces (Ul and U3 and on footslopes), and the moderately deep upland soils occur on the younger surfaces (U4). The imperfectly and poorly drained soils occur on flat to gently undulating Plains and Bottomlands. In Bottomlands, sedimentation is the major process and soils are deeper.

### 3.4.2.2 Climate and vegetation

Climate and vegetation are related factors, especially under natural conditions. A change in climate means a change in vegetation. Both factors have altered considerably during the past 15000 years (review by Lind \& Morrison, 1974). Much drier periods occurred 14 500-12 000 years ago, when Lake Victoria was without an outlet (Kendall, 1969); much wetter periods occurred afterwards. These changes affected soil formation and erosion considerably.

Deep and very deep soils are much more common in the east, while shallow soils occur on very steep slopes, compared to more gentle slopes in the west. Perhaps soil forms more rapidly in the east with a moister climate and a better cover of vegetation. Such an obvious conclusion is, however, not justified since the climatic effect is probably overruled by two other factors. The youngest erosion surfaces with younger and shallower soils (units U4Yhp, U4Ybp, U4Yg and U4Gh) are in the west. Volcanic ash deposits are much more widespread and thicker in the east (units U1Ph, U2Ihn) than in the west (Section 3.4.3).

### 3.4.2.3 Drainage

Geomorphology depth and drainage conditions are correlated (Table 10).
Excessively drained soils are permeable, but lose part of the rainwater as run-off because of limited moisture-storage capacity, impermeable subsoil and sloping topography. Leaching is limited.

Well drained soils are permeable and have a good moisture-storage capacity. Most of the rainwater is stored and excess feeds groundwater. Run-off is
Table 10. Relation between total chemical composition of basic (basalt), intermediate (felsite, andesite, volcanic ash, quartz diorite) and acidic rocks (rhyolite, granite and quartzite) and the chemical and mineral soil characteristics of some map units on these rock types. The numbers 1 and 2 refer to the two soil types occurring within map unit U3Ihn; . data lacking; -, value exactly zero; $0, \leqq 0,5 ; 0.0 \leqq 0.05$.

| Mass fraction | Basalt | Felsite (andesite) |  | Volcanic ash | Quartz diorite |  | Rhyolite |  | Granite |  | Quartzite |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | 0.56 | 0.67 |  | 0.61 | 0.52 |  | 0.62 |  | 0.77 |  | 0.93 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 0.15 | 0.13 |  | 0.14 | 0.17 |  | 0.13 |  | 0.12 |  | 0.02 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 0.13 | 0.07 |  | 0.10 | 0.07 |  | 0.10 |  | 0.25 |  | 0.03 |
| MnO | 0.002 | 0.001 |  | 0.007 | 0.0 |  | 0.002 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Mgo | 0.06 | 0.006 |  | 0.006 | 0.05 |  | 0.03 |  | 0.001 |  | 0.0 |
| CaO | 0.06 | 0.02 |  | 0.009 | 0.13 |  | 0.07 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | . | 0.02 |  | 0.02 | 0.04 |  | . |  | 0.05 |  | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.02 | 0.06 |  | 0.02 | 0.004 |  | 0.03 |  | 0.03 |  | - |
| $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | 0.01 | 0.005 |  | 0.007 | 0.006 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.002 |  | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 0.001 | 0.001 |  | 0.001 | 0.002 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Map unit | U3Bhn | U1Ihn, | U2Ihn | U1Ph | U3Ihn <br> (1) | (2) | U4Yhp, |  | U3Ghn, | U3Gh | U1Qh |
| Top. soil 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 |
| Sub soil ${ }^{1}$ <br> - base saturation | 0.75 | 0.33 | 0.30 | 0.83 | 0.75 | 0.20 | 0.68 | 0.73 | 0.33 | 0.16 | 0.0 |
| acity-clay (mmol/kg) | 160 | 110 | 180 | 210 | 140 | 65 | 180 | 160 | 100 | 110 | 126 |
| - mass fraction clay <br> - $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ <br> substance ratio | 0.80 2.1 | 0.83 2.1 | 0.68 2.3 | 0.32 3.2 | 0.63 4.0 | 0.63 2.4 | 0.61 2.3 | 0.59 | 0.43 1.3 | 0.48 2.0 | 0.68 1.3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{R}_{2} 0_{3} \\ & \text { substance ratio } \end{aligned}$ | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | - | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.8 |

[^0]limited, but leaching is marked in these soils.
Moderately drained shallow soils occur on gentle slopes with slow run-off; they stay wet longer than excessively drained shallow soils. Leaching is limited.

Imperfectly drained soils are slowly to very slowly permeable, and run-off is slow because of the flat and gently undulating terrain. They are wet for a considerable time. Leaching is limited. Peat developed in the lower parts of the flat bottomed valleys above an altitude of 1700 m .

### 3.4.2.4 Parent material

Parent material will be discussed according to differences in soil depth and drainage, which are the main differentiating criteria (Table 10).

Excessively drained shallow soils have topsoils with base saturation of less than 0.5 , except unit U4Yhp, which occurs on a gently sloping topography in the drier zones. Moderately well drained shallow soils on intermediate parent materials have humic topsoils (unit PXhM), acid parent rock carry soils with an acid humic topsoil or a ferralic $B$ horizon (unit U4GhM and U4GM).

For well drained deep soils, rock composition and soil characteristics were only slightly correlated (see Table 11).

The characteristics of units U3Bhn, U3Ihn (profile description 21 of App. $6)$, U3Ghn, U3Gh and U1Qh depend on the parent rock. Base saturation of topsoil ranges from 0.8 in basalt soils to almost zero in quartzite soils and of subsoil from almost 0 to 0.75 . The cation-exchange capacity of the clay fraction ranges from about $120 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ in the quartzite soils to $160 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ in basalt soils. The clay mineralogy is accordingly kaolinitic.

The relation was less clear in the units UlIhn, U2Ihn, U1Ph, U3Ihn(1), FYh and U4Yhp: Topsoils of units UlIhn and U2Ihn are unusually rich relative to their subsoils. Soil units U3Ihn(1), U1Ph, FYh and U4Yhp were much richer than expected from rock composition. However, unit UlPh and the topsoil of U2Ihn developed in young volcanic ash. In mineral studies, volcanic glass particles were also detected in unit U3Ihn(1). Glass particles were intimately mixed with the existing soil materials. Volcanic ash did not explain all the erratic relations in Table 10 (Section 3.4.3).

For imperfectly drained soils, basic parent materials are covered by vertisols, and intermediate and acid materials by Planosols and Solonetz. The Bottomland soils developed mainly in volcanic ash, and Plain soils to some degree. In the Plain soils, the volcanic ash was intimately mixed with materials derived from the rock. The Planosols over granite (units BGa and PGa) were therefore more sandy than the Planosols over intermediate rock (Section 3.4.3, unit PXa). Most units had a montmorillonitic clay, except unit PGa, which was rich in kaolinite.

### 3.4.2.5 Soil fauna

The well drained reddish soils were extremely permeable and had low bulk densities of $0.9-1.2 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. The intricate pores were maintained by soil animals, especially termites and ants. The fungus chambers of termites were found in almost every pit and many termites were encountered alive to a depth of 3-4 m. They were even active to a depth of over 7 m in the rotten rock of Profile 21 (App. 6). Their enormous activity churns soil materials and promotes weathering of rotten rock.

The commonly encountered stone lines can also be ascribed to their activity. Because of the size of their mandibles, they can move no particles larger than 2 mm . Therefore the larger particles tend to sink relatively in the soil to a level, where biological activity is sharply less, usually where weathered rock starts. Termites are probably also the main cause of soil creep.

In the imperfectly drained soils, animal life was more restricted. At regular intervals, termites (Macrotermes spp.) built mounds $1-2 \mathrm{~m}$ high and, where they built, the soil was rather well mixed. Ants make hills about 50 cm high and 1 m in diameter and excavate soil from a layer above the dense clay. The ants and termites improve aëration and drainage of such soils.

### 3.4.2.6 Man

During the past 50 years, most of the original vegetation in the Kisii Highlands has disappeared. The population grew so rapidly, that all land was needed for agricultural production. Only some poorly drained areas retained their original vegetation but, even there, soils are now being drained and cultivated. In the west, the original vegetation still exists where agricultural potential of the land is limited. The small trees and bushes are cut regularly to provide wood for fuel and charcoal. Destruction of the original forest changed soil climate. Soils are more exposed to direct sun, which raises soil tempeatures (Fig. 16).

The higher soil temperatures and the lower production of organic matter in agricultural crops both decrease content of organic matter in the soil. In soils from Kisii District, van Wissen (1974) found that organic carbon disappeared at a rate of $20 \mathrm{t.ha}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ in the upper 37.5 cm of the soil after two years of cultivation. Afterwards the decrease was less dramatic, 1.3 t.ha ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ between the 2 nd and 18 th year and $0.9 \mathrm{t.ha}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ between the 18 th and 30 th year. Under agriculture, the soil is less protected against the impact of rain and erodes more. Soils would become impoverished by man, unless proper agricultural methods be adopted.


Fig. 16. Relation between average daily temperature and soil depth under tea (•) and without vegetation (o) at an altitude of 1800 m (Oenema, 1978).

### 3.4.3 Volcanic ash

Distribution. Since Tertiary times, volcanic ash has influenced the area. The more recent volcanic ash is especially noticeable in the east (Fig. 17), where it covers the whole landscape (units UlPh and PPa) except the steepest slopes (unit U1XhP). The more westerly unit U2Ihn has young volcanic ash in


Fig. 17. Volcanic ash in a valley bottom in East Kisii. The compact ash-layer sticks out.
the topsoil and associated Bottomlands are filled with $4-6 \mathrm{~m}$ of ash or clay derived from ash (unit BXa2). In the west, at first volcanic ash seemed to be confined to the Bottomlands only, where it occurred in layers 4 m thich (units BXal and BXg). A study of the mineral composition of some soils in the west (units PGa, PXa and U3Ihn), however, revealed that volcanic glass particles were quite common, but intimately mixed with materials derived from parent rock (Section 3.4.2.5). The amount of ash would be difficult to measure. It depends largely on topography, exposure, vegetation, run-off and direction and distance from the source volcano. It may therefore vary from mapping unit to unit, and even within a mapping unit.

Age and origin. The decrease in ash from east to west suggests a source east of Kisii, most probably one or more of the volcanoes in the Rift Valley. Carbon-14 dating of an ash layer 3 m deep in a valley bottom in East Kisii, gave an age of 50000 years. Thus, the ash above that layer is younger than 50000 years (Wielemaker \& van Dijk, 1981).

Influence on soil characteristics. Because of recent ash deposits, ash-derived and ash-influenced soils are still rich in easily weatherable minerals (mainly volcanic glass). If only the topsoil is enriched, chemical and mineral characteristics of topsoil should differ from that of subsoil. Characteristic are the very deep soils of unit U2Ihn (Fig. 18 and 19 and Profile 11


Fig. 18. Relation between base saturation and depth in soil (unit U2Ihn).


Fig. 19. Relation of mass fraction of normative weatherable minerals and 2:1 lattice clays with depth in soil (unit U2Ihn).
of unit UIXh, App. 6).
Base saturation was high in topsoil and low in subsoil. The content of normative weatherable minerals and 2:1 lattice clays was also higher in topsoil than in subsoil. In some well drained soils with ash (e.g. unit U3Ihn) the topsoil and subsoil hardly differed because of the local and introduced parent materials were thoroughly mixed.

The younger ash soils and soil materials were characterized by poorly crystallized clays. Well drained soils contained poorly ordered kaolinitic clay, transitional to halloysite. The cation-exchange capacity of the clay was accordingly higher ( $160-240 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) than in soils with well crystallized kaolinite (60-120 mmol/kg). In the youngest ash-derived soils (UlPh), illite clay mineral occurred too. The imperfectly drained mineral soils were characterized by poorly ordered (2:1) clay minerals, readily dispersible on wetting and readily eluting downwards to cover ped surfaces with a thick skin. This characteristic was most striking in the Planosols and Solonetz soils, but also occurred in the Vertisols.

### 3.4.4 Major classification units

Definitions and descriptions of major units can be found in FAO/UNESCO (1974). This section discusses the units as they occur in the area mapped
(App. 4, Map D). New categories were introduced and defined (Siderius \& van der Pouw, 1980), but only certain of them were established in time to be included in the map legend. The influence of ash on soil characteristics (Section 3.4.3) is not expressed in the soil names but is mentioned in the descriptions of map units (Section 3.3).

The following new classes of soil were defined to take account of volcanic ash (Siderius \& van der Pouw, 1980), not expressed in the present name.

| Unit | present name | proposed name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UlPh | luvic Phaeozems | Ando*-luvic Phaeozems |
| UlXh | luvic Phaeozems and | Ando*-haplic Phaeozems |
|  | dystro*-mollic* Nitosols | Ando*-mollic* Nitosols |
| Ulihn | dystro*-mollic* Nitosols | Ando*-mollic* Nitosols |

The names and the roots marked with an asterisk are tentative, awaiting international agreement.
(a) Arenosols. Only the ferralic subgroup, whose clay fraction has a cationexchange capacity less than $240 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$, occurred in the area (unit U4GM). The soils were sandy with a low content of weatherable minerals and little organic matter. Moisture storage capacity and chemical fertility were low.
(b) Ferralsols. Only the humic subgroup occurred (unit UlQh). Humic Ferralsols were well drained clay soils with good physical characteristics and very low contents of weatherable minerals and nutrients. The clay was kaolinitic. Because of the high content of iron oxide, they fix phosphate.
(c) Nitosols. These soils were widespread with the following subgroups:

- mollic* Nitosols: with a humic topsoil and a eutric subsoil;
- dystro*-mollic* Nitosols: with a humic topsoil but a dystric* subsoil;
- humic Nitosols: with an acid humic topsoil and a dystric* subsoil. They were well drained clays with good physical characteristics and humic or acid humic topsoils. The subgroups with an asterisk were established to account for differences in topsoil and subsoil fertility. The chemical fertility was high, especially of the mollic* subunits. Because of the high content of iron oxide, they fix phosphate (van der Eijk, in preparation).
(d) Acrisols. The humic and ferral*-humic subgroups occurred. Both had an acid humic topsoil. The ferral* ${ }^{*}$ humic subgroup is an intergrade between Ferralsols and Acrisols, distinguished by the low cation-exchange capacity of its clay fraction ( $100 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and the low base saturation in the $B$ horizon (0.6). They were well drained and loamy to clayey with clay cutans in the $B$ horizon and good physical characteristics. The moisture-storage capacity was moderate. Chemical fertility was low. Because of the high content of
iron oxide, they fix phosphate (van der Eijk, in preparation).
(e) Luvisols. The orthic and gleyic subgroups occurred. Orthic Luvisols were well drained, moderately deep, gravelly clayey and good in physical characteristics. Chemical fertility was rather high. The gleyic subgroup was moderately well drained, rather deep and had a dense clayey subsoil, which was physically unfavourable for agriculture. Chemical fertility was high.
(f) Planosols. The eutric and solodic subgroups occurred. They were imperfectly to poorly drained, having a poorly permeable dense clayey subsoil. The lower part of the $B$ horizon had slickensides. The clay was readily dispersible on wetting and flowed easily, covering ped surfaces. This characteristic was not related to the content of exchangeable sodium, but probably to the type of clay mineral (Section 3.4.3.1). Subsoil of the solodic subunit had a substance fraction of 0.06 exchangeable sodium. Soils had poor physical characteristics, but the subsoil had a high chemical fertility.
(g) Solonetz. Only the gleyic subgroup occurred. It was poorly drained with a substance fraction of exchangeable sodium in the subsoil greater than 0.15. The upper part of the $B$ horizon was capped with a thin layer of white silty leached material. They are dense clays with poor physical characteristics but a high chemical fertility.
(h) Vertisols. Only the pellic subgroup occurred within the mapsheet. These imperfectly drained soils were clearly differentiated in texture between topsoil and subsoil by an unstable type of clay also encountered in Planosols. The topsoil had rather good physical characteristics; the subsoil was rather impermeable dense clay with slickensides. Chemical fertility was high.
(i) Cambisols. Humic and ferralic subgroups occurred. They were rather shallow. The humic subgroup had an acid humic topsoil with a weakly developed B horizon over ironstone or rotten rock. In unit HBhP, the rotten rock was completely weathered and penetrable to roots, but still looked more like rock than soil. The ferralic subgroup had a weakly developed A horizon over a cambic B horizon, whose clay fraction had a cation-exchange capacity less than $240 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$. Both subgroups were somewhat excessively drained, except the ones over petroplinthite, which were moderately drained. Moisture storage was limited and the subsoil was slowly permeable, so that the soils wer prone to run-off and erosion, especially on steeper slopes. Chemical fertility was moderate for the humic subunit and low for the ferralic one.
(j) Phaeozems. Haplic, luvic, verto*-luvic, vertic and gleyic subgroups occurred.
- Haplic Phaeozems resembled humic Cambisols, but had a mollic A horizon
and were therefore chemically more fertile.
- Luvic Phaeozems resembled mollic* Nitosols, but were shallower.
- Gleyic Phaeozems were like the luvic ones, but had impeded drainage as indicated by mottles in the subsoil.
- Verto ${ }^{*}$-luvic Phaeozems were too shallow to class as Vertisols. This intergrade was defined because of cracks and the clayey texture. Physical characteristics were good. Chemical fertility was high.
- Vertic* Phaeozems were moderately deep, cracked and were clayey, but had very weakly developed slickensides. This subgroup was defined to take account of these vertic properties. Physical characteristics were moderate. Chemical fertility was high.
(k) Rankers. These soils had an acid humic topsoil directly over rock or slightly weathered rock. They occurred in areas of strong relief, where cultivation was risky.
(1) Lithosols. These soils had less than 10 cm of soil over rock or slightly weathered rock. They occurred in areas of strong relief, where no cultivation should be practised.


### 3.5 SOIL FERTILITY

Fertility of the soils in the Kisii area was evaluated by field and greenhouse trials and by analysis of soils and plants (Section 2.2). How the data were used to assess fertility is briefly explained in sections 3.5.1-5.

### 3.5.1 Relations between chemical properties of soil ( $0-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), and land use

Soils used for perennials or for grazing proved to differ in some characteristics from soils under annual crops (Table ll). On soils with pH-KCl less than 4.0 or a content of exchangeable $K$ less than 4 mol/kg, annuals were hardly ever grown. These soils were often shallow, another objection to annual crops.

If the soils had both $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$ less than 4.0 and less exchangeable K than 4 mmol/ kg, they were considered acid. Such soils were used for grazing. However the low pH of some soils under coffee or tea probably resulted from cropping practices, because similar soils under annual crops in adjacent fields had higher pH .

The soil fertility rating outlined below was primarily for soils under maize. In the section "Nutrient availability", however, it is tried to extend its use to other crops (Section 4.3).

Table 11. Relations of land-use to $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$, content of organic carbon and of exchangeable potassium in topsoil ( $0-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Content of organic N can he obtained by dividing organic $C$ by 10 .

| pH-KCl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Organic C } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | Exchangeable K (mmol/kg) | Land-use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <4.0 | $<18$$18-40$ |  | grazing |
|  |  | $>4$ | grazing |
|  |  | $\geqq 4$ | coffee, tea |
|  | >40 | <4 | grazing |
|  |  | $\geqq 4$ | tea |
| 4.0-4.2 | $<18$ | <4 | grazing |
|  |  | $\geqq 4$ | coffee |
|  | 18-40 | <4 | grazing |
|  |  | $\geqq 4$ | coffee, tea, maize |
|  | >40 | $\geqq 4$ | tea |
| >4.2 | <18 | <4 | grazing |
|  |  | $\geqq 4$ | coffee, maize |
|  | 18-40 | <4 | coffee |
|  |  | $\geqq 4$ | coffee, maize |
|  | >40 | $\geqq 4$ | coffee, tea, maize |

### 3.5.2 Principles of the Kisii fertility rating

The evaluation of fertility by what will henceforth be called the Kisii system is based on uptake of $N, P$ and $K$ by a maize crop during one growing season of a field trial. As maize takes up large amounts of nutrients, values were considered as potential removal and we tried to relate them to chemical properties of soil and leaf.

For each of the nutrients $N, P$ and $K$, three classes of potential removal or availability were distinguished. They were related to yields reached without application of that nutrient (Table 12). For these yields, other cropping factors such as time of planting, weeding and pest control should be optimum, and other nutrients should not limit yield. The upper limit of the lowest class (yield $2500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) was still rather high as compared to yields elsewhere in Kenya under similar climatic conditions and management. So the Kisii soils must be chemically rich by comparison.

Table 12. Classes of nutrient availability, corresponding yields of grain of hybrid maize, and uptake of $N, P$ and $K$. For explanation see text.

| Availability class | Yield (kg/ha) | Uptake (kg/ha) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | P | K |
| 1 | > 5000 | > 120 | > 16 | $>110$ |
| 2 | 2500-5000 | 70-120 | 8-16 | 60-110 |
| 3 | < 2500 | < 10 | $<8$ | < 60 |

Table 13. Ranking of contents of organic $C$ in topsoil ( $0-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) by $N$ availability in relation to other soil properties. Content of organic $N$ can be obtained by dividing organic $C$ by 10 .

| Org. C (g/kg) | Availability <br> class | Other conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<19$ | 3 |  |
| 19-27 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { if } \mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}<4.3, \mathrm{P}-01 \mathrm{sen}<4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \text { and ex- } \\ & \text { changeable } \mathrm{K}<10 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2 | in all other samples |
| 28-39 | 2 | if $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}<4.6, \mathrm{P}-01$ sen $<4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and exchangeable $\mathrm{K}<15 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
|  | 2 | if $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl} 4.6-5.0, \mathrm{P}$-Olsen $<4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and exchangeable $\mathrm{K}<10 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
|  | 1 | in all other samples |
| > 39 | 1 |  |

### 3.5.3 Relations of chemical properties to availability class

Of the 10-20 soil properties investigated, four proved most clearly related to nutrient availability: $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$, organic carbon, and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ol}$ sen and exchangeable $K$. The relationships, however, were rather complicated. Commonly a certain soil property had upper or lower limits for which availability was certainly low or high, respectively, but between those limits other soil properties had to be taken into account as well (Tables 13, 14 and 15).

Table 13 gives the values of organic $C$ or organic $N$ (content of organic $N$ can be obtained by dividing organic $C$ by 10) corresponding with the ranking of $N$ availability. With the range $19-39 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ for constant of organic $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$ availability depended on $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Olsen}$ and exchangeable K . Low pH and low available $P$ would depress $N$ mineralization and crops would not fully exploit the $N$, if yields were limited by $P$ and K. For organic $C$ below 19 or above 39, $N$ availability would be low and high, respectively, whatever the other properties.

In soils with a content of $P$-Olsen less than $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{P}$ availability was primarily related to pH (Janssen et al., 1979). Content of P-Olsen was slight-

Table 14. Ranking of mass fraction of $P$-Olsen in topsoil ( $0-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) by P availability, in relation to $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$ and content of organic C.

| P-0lsen | Availability <br> class | Other conditions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<4.0$ | 3 | if $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}<4.3$ |
|  | 3 | if $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl} 4.3-4.5$ and organic $\mathrm{C}<28 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| $4.0-5.9$ | 2 | in allother samples |
|  | 2 | if pH-KCl < 4.6 |
| $>5.9$ | 1 | in all other samples |

Table 15. Ranking of substance content of exchangeable $K$ in topsoil ( $0-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) by $K$ availability, in relation to other soil properties.

| Exchangeable K <br> (mmol/kg) | Availability <br> class | Other conditions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<4$ | 3 |  |
| $4-9$ | 2 | if $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}<4.6$ <br> $10-14$ |
|  | 2 | if $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl} 4.6-5.0$, organic $\mathrm{C}<28 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ <br> and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Olsen}<4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| $>14$ | 2 | in all other samples |

ly correlated to $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$. For example, if $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$ was less than 4.3 , $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ol}$ sen was never more than $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ on soils used for annual crops and if $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$ was more than 5.0 , P-Olsen was never less than $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. For soils with $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$ $4.2-4.6$ and P-Olsen less than $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{P}$ availability was influenced to a certain extent by organic $C$, probably because it is related to organic $P$ (Table 14).

Table 15 shows that $K$ availability was high in soils with exchangeable $K$ $9-15 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}$ as long as N and P were not too low for growth. In soils under annual crops, exchangeable $K$ was never less than 4 mol/kg, so that $K$ availability was always moderate to good.

The rankings for availability of $N, P$ and $K$ were combined into a fertility ranking. Of the 18 possible combinations ( 3 for $N, 3$ for $P$ and 2 for $K$ ) with soils under annual crops, only eight existed. Except in soil units FPg, BXo and BGa (Section 3.5.7) they were ranked into four fertility classes (Table 16). The main criterion in the ranking was availability of $P$, which is the first yield-limiting nutrient in Kisii soils.

Table 16. Fertility rankings by availability of $N, P$ and $K$, with indicative corresponding grain yields and uptakes of $N, P$ and $K$ by unmanured maize.

| Fertility ranking | Availability ranking |  |  |  | Yield <br> (kg/ha) |  | Uptake (kg/ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | P | K |  |  |  | N |  | P |  | K |  |  |
| A1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | > | 5000 |  | 120 |  | $>16$ | > |  | 110 |
| A2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4500 |  | 95 |  | > 16 |  | 110 |  |
| B1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 3750 |  |  |  | 14 |  | 110 |  |
| B2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 3250 |  | 85 |  | 12 | > | 110 |  |
| C1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2750 |  | 75 |  | 10 |  | 90 |  |
| C2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2250 |  | 55 |  | 8 |  | 70 |  |
| D1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 1500 |  | 70 |  | 6 |  | 70 |  |
| D2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  | $<$ | 1000 |  | 50 |  | 4 |  | 60 |  |

Table 17. Diagnostic criteria for fertility of Kisii soils under annual crops $(0-20 \mathrm{~cm})$. Content of organic $N$ can be obtained by dividing organic $C$ by 10 .

| $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Org. C } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}-01 \mathrm{sen} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | Exchangeable K (mmol/kg) | Availability class |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fertility } \\ & \text { class } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | P | K |  |
| $<4.0$ | $<40$ |  |  |  |  |  | E |
| 4.0-4.2 | $<19$ | $<4.0$ | 4-9 | 3 | 3 | 2 | D2 |
|  | 19-27 | $<4.0$ | 4-9 | 3 | 3 | 2 | D2 |
|  |  |  | 10-14 | 2 | 3 | 2 | D1 |
|  |  | 4.0-5.9 | 4-14 | 2 | 2 | 2 | C1 |
|  |  |  | $>14$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | B2 |
| 4.3-4.5 | < 19 | $<4.0$ | 4-9 | 3 | 3 | 2 | D2 |
|  |  | 4.0-5.9 | 4-9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | C2 |
|  | 19-27 | $<4.0$ | 4-14 | 2 | 3 | 2 | D1 |
|  |  | $4.0-5.9$ | 4-14 | 2 | 2 | 2 | C1 |
|  |  |  | $>14$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | B2 |
|  |  | $>5.9$ | $>14$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | A2 |
|  | $28-39$ | $<4.0$ | 4-14 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Cl |
|  | $>27$ | $<6.0$ | $>14$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | B1 |
|  |  | $>5.9$ | $>9$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | Al |
| 4.6-5.0 | $<19$ | $<4.0$ | 4-14 | 3 | 2 | 2 | C2 |
|  | 19-27 | $<4.0$ | 4-14 | 2 | 2 | 2 | C1 |
|  |  |  | $>14$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | B2 |
|  |  | $>3.9$ | $>9$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | A2 |
|  | 28-39 | $<4.0$ | 4-9 | 2 | 2 | 2 | C1 |
|  | $>27$ | $<4.0$ | $>9$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | B1 |
|  |  | $\geq 3.9$ | $>9$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | A1 |
| $>5.0$ | $19-27$ | $>3.9$ | $>9$ | 2 | 1 | $1$ | A2 |
|  | $>27$ | $>3.9$ | $>9$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | A1 |

Soils with $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$ less than 4.0 were too acid for maize and were classed E.

Combination of Tables 13-16 gave Table 17, presenting diagnostic criteria for fertility on the basis of combinations of $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$, organic $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ol}$ sen and exchangeable K . There are 240 possible combinations of possible availability classes ( 4 for organic $C, 3$ for $P-O l s e n, 4$ for exchangeable $K$ and 5 for $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl})$. However, since many combinations did not exist and several combinations were rated equally, only 24 groups were needed.

### 3.5.4 Relations between content of nutrients in maize leaf and nutrient availability of soil

Interpretation of plant composition is hazardous, being influenced by many factors like drought, age of the plant and of the particular leaf and competition from weeds.

The cropping history needs to be known, though seldom adequately possible for commercial crops. Farmers differ much in their care for crop and land.

A second problem is that a certain nutrient acts in concert with other nutrients, so that critical levels are difficult to establish. For maize,

Table 18. Relations content $N, P$ and $K$ in dry matter of maize leaves and availability class of $N, P$ and $K$ in topsoil.

| Nutrient | Mass fraction (g/kg) | Availability class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | < 26 | 3 |
|  | 26-33 | 3, 2 or 1 |
|  | > 33 | 2 or 1 |
| P | < 14 | 3 |
|  | 14-17 | 3 or 2 |
|  | 18-29 | 2 or 1 |
|  | > 29 |  |
| K | 19-28 | 2 |
|  | 29-39 | 2 or 1 |
|  | > 39 |  |

some contents of $N, P$ and $K$ in leaves were certainly low, and of $P$ and $K$ were certainly high (Table 18) but values within those limits corresponded to a wide range of availability in soil. Leaf composition could be used to support other data on soil fertility, but should not be used as sole criterion.

### 3.5.5 Additional topsoil properties and subsoil characteristics

Besides $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$, organic $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Olsen}$ and exchangeable K , other characteristics were measured and used for additional distinction of topsoil fertility, if necessary. The most important ones were: total $P$ cation-exchange capacity, nutritive base saturation, being exchangeable (Ca + Mg + K) x CEC (Tables 19-22).

Table 19 shows that the additional properties are rather well related to the ranking for $P$ and to a lesser degree, to the ranking for $N$. The relationships are indicated in Table 20.

Table 19. Fertility subclass averages of diagnostic and additional properties of soil ( $0-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Number of samples in parenthesis. CEC, cation-exchange capacity; NBS, nutritive base saturation defined as substance fraction of exchangeable ionic equivalent of $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg}$ and K in CEC.

| Sub <br> class | Ranking |  |  | Diagnostic properties |  |  |  | Additional properties |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | P | K | pH-KCl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { org. C } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P-0lsen } \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exch. K } \\ & (\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CEC } \\ & (\text { mmol } / \mathrm{kg} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | NBS | total P ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) |
| A1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5.1 (10) | 38 (10) | 37 (9) | 20 ( 9) | 285 (9) | 0.85 ( 9) | 1845 ( 8) |
| A2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5.1 ( 5) | 25 ( 4) | 9.3 (4) | 15 (4) | 197 ( 3) | 0.73 ( 3) | 1164 ( 3) |
| B1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4.6 ( 7) | 40 (7) | 3.1 (6) | 16 ( 5) | 239 ( 5) | 0.61 ( 5) | 977 ( 3) |
| B2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4.5 ( 2) | 26 (2) | 5.7 (1) | 23 ( 2) | 174 ( 2) | 0.67 ( 2) | 873 ( 1) |
| C 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4.7 (16) | 24 (16) | 2.8 (12) | 9 (15) | 170 (15) | 0.69 (15) | 767 (12) |
| C2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4.7 ( 2) | 16 (2) |  | 7 ( 2) | 147 ( 2) | 0.54 ( 2) |  |
| D1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4.4 ( 3) | 26 ( 3) | 2.2 (3) | 9 ( 3) | 145 ( 3) | 0.60 (3) | 727 (3) |
| D2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4.1 (4) | 21 (4) | 1.8 (4) | 5 ( 3) | 118 (3) | 0.40 ( 3) | 526 ( 3) |

Table 20. Approximate cation-exchange capacity (CEC) for ranking of $N$ availability, and approximate nutritive base saturation (NBS: see caption of Table 19) and content of total $P$ for ranking of $P$ availability.

| N availability <br> ranking | CEC <br> $($ mmol $/ \mathrm{kg})$ | P availabil- <br> ity ranking | NBS | Mass fraction of <br> total P (mg/kg) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $>200$ | 1 | $>0.70$ | $>1000$ |
| 1 | $<150-200$ | 2 | $0.50-0.70$ | $>750-1000$ |
| 2 |  | 3 | $<0.50$ | $<750$ |

The rating of soil fertility was primarily based on topsoil properties, following the scheme of Table 17. This procedure was adequate if soils had developed from underlying rock and characteristics of topsoils and subsoils were interrelated. In Kisii, however, that was not always so, since many soils, and especially topsoils, contain some volcanic ash. In the field trials, subsoil characteristics had a considerable influence on maize growth. So, for the ranking of soil fertility, one could not rely only on topsoil properties. Where properties of the subsoils clearly contradicted the topsoil ranking, they were taken into account.

### 3.5.6 Relations between soil mapping units and fertility

Soil classification takes account of the whole profile, often with emphasis on subsoil characteristics, whereas topsoil properties predominate in assessment of fertility. So mapping units do not automatically correspond to fertility classes. Fertility assessments have only short-term validity, as cultivation practices may alter the fertility. Fertility of soil mapping units requires more information than only diagnostic criteria for topsoil properties. Such information was obtained by analysis of leaf and profile samples, profile descriptions, field observations and especially field trials with fertilizers. Final decisions were made in close consultation with the soil surveyors, especially for the soil mapping units of which no suitable chemical data were available.

Chemical data on topsoil were available from 24 mapping units, with $1-8$ samples per unit (Table 21). To indicate the variation within one soil mapping unit, Table 22 gives the lowest and highest values for the units where three or more samples were analysed. Samples from fields with annual crops were distinguished from samples form grazing land.

The variation within one mapping unit was too large to allow ranking of averages of the diagnostic properties. The results of ranking of individual samples are shown in Table 23. Most mapping units included more than one fertility subclass. So the final ranking was not valid for each part of any unit. In Section 3.5 .7 and in Table 24 , only one ranking was assigned if at least $70 \%$ of the area fell into that fertility class. Otherwise two fertility
Table 21. Diagnostic and additional properties of soils averaged for each mapping unit ( $0-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). NBS: see caption
of Table 19. see Table 22 .

| Mapping unit | Number of samples | Diagnostic properties |  |  |  | Additional properties |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { org. C } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P-01sen } \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | exch. K ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CEC } \\ & (\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | NBS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { total } P \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ |
| Under annual crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HBhP | 2 | 4.8 | 26 | 10 | 10 | 195 | 0.53 | 1744 |
| HXP | 3 | 4.9 | 22 | 3.6 | 11 | 170 | 0.72 | 728 |
| FYh | 1 | 4.6 | 17 | . | 6 | 174 | 0.47 | . |
| U1Ph | 8 | 5.0 | 38 | 18 | 16 | 221 | 0.79 | 1343 |
| U1XhP | 1 | 4.7 | 38 | 4.8 | 14 | 204 | 0.50 | 873 |
| U1Ihn | 2 | 5.3 | 40 | 5.2 | 20 | 280 | 0.98 | 1391 |
| U2Ihn | 4 | 4.5 | 41 | 3.1 | 19 | 238 | 0.56 | 957 |
| U3Bhn | 6 | 4.6 | 31 | 3.7 | 18 | 219 | 0.68 | 950 |
| U3Bh | 4 | 4.6 | 26 | 3.0 | 13 | 197 | 0.65 | 873 |
| U3Ihn | 5 | 4.5 | 23 | 2.0 | 8 | 141 | 0.58 | 717 |
| U3Ghn | 3 | 4.4 | 21 | * | 7 | 134 | 0.56 | 711 |
| U3Gh | 1 | 4.8 | 14 | . | 8 | 120 | 0.61 |  |
| U4Yhp | 2 | 4.6 | 25 | 2.8 | 11 | 163 | 0.70 | 774 |
| U4Ybp | 1 | 4.9 | 19 | 3.4 | 10 | 174 | 0.92 | 622 |
| U4Yhp-U4Ybp | 1 | 5.0 | 22 | 3.9 | 14 | 177 | 0.90 | 593 |
| U4Gh | 2 | 4.1 | 21 | 3.0 | 8 | 114 | 0.50 | 539 |
| U4GhM | 1 | 3.9 | 12 | 4.0 | 7 | 71 | 0.44 | 437 |
| PBd | 2 | 5.3 | 30 | 104 | 24 | 359 | 0.90 | 3056 |
| PXhM | 1 | 4.8 | 25 | 5.0 | 11 | 193 | 0.71 | 873 |
| BXa2 | 1 | 3.8 | 24 | 3.0 | 8 | 174 | 0.36 | 873 |
| Under Grazing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U1Qh | 1 | 3.9 | 30 | . | . | 118 | - | . |
| BBh | 1 | . | 19 | . | 7 | 360 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | - |
| BXal | 3 | . | 24 | . | 5 | 219 | 0.70 | . |
| BXo | 2 | 3.7 | 101 | 8.5 | 7 | 316 | 0.36 | 1528 |

Table 22. Lowest ( L ) and highest ( H ) values of diagnostic and additional soil properties ( $0-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), for soil mapping units in which three or more samples were analysed. NBS: see caption of Table 19. $*$ Only two samples analysed. + Only one sample analysed.

| Mapping unit | Number <br> of <br> samples | L or H | Diagnostic properties |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { P-0lsen } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exch. K } \\ & (\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | Additional properties |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | pH-KCl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { org. C } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CEC } \\ & (\text { mmol } / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | NBS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { total P } \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ |
| Under annual crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HXP | 3 | L | 4.8 | 22 | 1.3 | 6 | 157 | 0.58 | 437 |
|  |  | H | 5.2 | 23 | 8.3 | 19 | 173 | 0.80 | 873 |
| U1Ph | 8 | L | 4.7 | 27 | 2.8 | 7 | 160 | 0.50 | 770 |
|  |  | H | 5.4 | 73 | 60 | 22 | 353 | 0.97 | 2081 |
| U2 Ihn | 4 | L | 4.4 | 37 | 2.0 | 17** | 228** | 0.55\% | 879* |
|  |  | H | 4.7 | 44 | 4.0 | 21* | 247* | 0.56\% | 1035* |
| U3Bhn | 6 | L | 4.3 | 23 | 2.0 | 11 | 157 | 0.54 | 629 |
|  |  | H | 5.0 | 40 | 6.1 | 28 | 294 | 0.82 | 1310 |
| U3Bh | 4 | L | 4.1 | 19 | $2.0 \%$ | 10 | 144 | 0.58 | .+ |
|  |  | H | 4.8 | 38 | 3.9\% | 17 | 257 | 0.71 | . + |
| U3Ihn | 5 | L | 4.1 | 20 | 1.6 | 4 | 114 | 0.41 | 490 |
|  |  | H | 4.8 | 26 | 2.6 | 10 | 161 | 0.77 | 873 |
| U3Ghn | 3 | L | 4.0 | 19 | 1.6* | 4 | 118 | 0.29 | 549* |
|  |  | H | 4.7 | 22 | $18 *$ | 9 | 158 | 0.74 | 873* |
| Grazing land |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BXal | 3 | L | - | 23 | - | 3 | 138 | 0.43 | - |
|  |  | H | . | 25 | . | 8 | 301 | 0.87 | . |

Table 23. Number of samples of each soil mapping unit with different fertility rankings, based on the analytical results of topsoil ( $0-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) under annual crops.

|  | Subclass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A1 | A2 | B1 | B2 | C1 | C 2 | D1 | D2 | E |  |
| NPK ranking | 111 | 211 | 121 | 221 | 222 | 322 | 232 | 332 |  |  |
| Mapping unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HBhP | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| HXP | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| FYh | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| U1Ph | 4 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| U1XhP | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| U1Ihn | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| U2Ihn | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| U3Bhn | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| U3Bh | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 4 |
| U3Ihn | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 5 |
| U3Ghn | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 |
| U3Gh | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| U4Yhp | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| U4Ybp | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| U4Yhp-U4Ybp | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| U4Gh | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 |
| U4GhM | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| PBd | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| PXhM | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| BXa2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Total number | 10 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 51 |

Classes are mentioned together with the corresponding area fractions.
Much of the area had soils of Classes $A$ and $B$, which could be considered chemically rich. The poorer soils of Classes $C$ and $D$ occurred mainly in the west.

Sometimes characteristics of the subsoils predominated and caused deviation from the ranking of the topsoil.

Fertility is understood in the restricted sense of the capacity of the soil to supply plants with nutrients. Some soils with very poor crop growth, for instance because of bad drainage, could still be ranked as Class A. The chemical richness of the soil would show up after the adverse physical conditions were eliminated.

### 3.5.7 Fertility rating of soil mapping units

HBhP, Class B. Fertility was not the major constraint for these shallow soils.
At some places, they were enriched with volcanic ash; elsewhere they were rather poor. The majority was Class B.

HXP, Class $C(0.4)$ and $D(0.6)$. These soils were too shallow and too steep

Table 24. Soil mapping units grouped according to soil fertility classes A-E.

| A | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U1Ph | HBhP | HXP (0.4) | HXP (0.6) | U1Qh |
| U1XhP | FBh | FYh | FQh | U4GhM (0.5) |
| U1Bh | FBht | FPg | U3Ihn (0.6) | U4GM (0.5) |
| U1Ihn | U1Xh | U3Ihn (0.4) | U3Gh (0.6) | PXa |
| U4Bh | U2Ihn | U3Ghn (0.4) | U4Gh | PXhM-PXa (0.4) |
| PBd | U3Bhn | U3Gh (0.4) | U4GhM (0.5) | PGa |
| PXhM | U3Bh | U4YhP | U4GM (0.5) | BXa 1 |
| PXhM-PXa (0.6) | VXP | U4Yhp |  | BXa2 |
| BBh |  | U4Ybp |  |  |
| BBd |  | U4Yg |  |  |
| BXg |  | U4YhP-U4Yhp |  |  |
| BXo |  | U4Yhp-U4Ybp |  |  |
|  |  | U4Yhp-U4Yg |  |  |
|  |  | U4YC |  |  |
|  |  | PPa |  |  |
|  |  | BGa |  |  |
| Approximate area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 360 | 1350 | 670 | 260 | 410 |
| Area fraction |  |  |  |  |
| 0.12 | 0.44 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.13 |

for arable crops. Fertility depended on the parent material. On the less steep parts, $N$, as indicated by organic $C$, was in moderate supply; $P$ and K were moderate or sometimes high.
FBh, Class B. No suitable data on topsoils were available. Fertility was probably the same as of other soils on basalt, with less available $P$ than N and K .
FBht, Class B. No data were available from fields under annual crops. Since this unit had more quartzite admixtures and since the soils were less deep, the fertility of FBht was expected to be somewhat less than of FBh. FYh, Class C. Analytical data suggested moderate availability of $P$ and $K$; $N$ was moderate to low.
FPg, Class C. With volcanic ash mixed in the profile, $P$ might be high, but the overall fertility was low, as $N$ and $K$ were low. Average rating was probably 222.
FQh, Class D. Although there might be some enrichment in $P$ by volcanic ash, the low pH suggest that it was not readily available; N and K were low to moderate.

UlPh, Class A. These volcanic ash soils were very rich in $K$; $N$ and $P$ were moderate or more often high. This unit was considered the most productive of the Kisii area.
UlXhP, Class A. As these soils are shallow, they were not suitable for arable crops. Where maize grew, leaf analysis showed high $N$ and $K$ and at least
moderate $P$; soil analysis indicated high levels of all three nutrients. The high fertility was due to admixture of volcanic ash.
U1Xh, Class B. Many of these soils were used for tea and pyrethrum. No samples were collected from maize fields. Analysis of tea leaves and soil from the comparable units UlXhP and UlIhn indicate that available $N$ and K would be abundant. Where admixture with volcanic ash was substantial, the soils were rich in $P$ too. Elsewhere $P$ was at least moderate. However, on steep slopes where part of the topsoil had been lost, fertility was less.

U1Bh, Class A. Chemical data on topsoil was not available. Because of admixture of volcanic ash, this unit was considered more fertile than U3Bhn and U3Bh.

UlIhn, Class A. Rich in exchangeable $K$ and often in organic $C$ and in $P$.
UlQh, Class E. There were no data from fields under annual crops. Soil samples from under grass, coffee and tea indicated moderate to high levels of organic $C$ and of P-Olsen. However $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$ ranged from 3.5-3.9.

U2Ihn, Class B. Although P-Olsen, pH and base saturation were lower than in UlIhn, yield of maize grain from these soils was more than $4 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{ha}$ ( 0.4 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) without P fertilizers. Total P was around $1000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ in topsoils and more than $60 \mathrm{cmg} / \mathrm{kg}$ in subsoils, accounting for the rather good $P$ supply to crops.
U3Bhn, Class B. According to the results of both soil and plant analysis, about half of the sampled sites belonged to Class $B$ and the other half to Class $A$ and $C$. For available $N, P$ and $K$, the grading was moderate to high. Variation was probably due to admixture of volcanic ash. Class B was on average the most appropriate evaluation.

U3Bh, Class $B$. The averages of nearly all diagnostic and additional fertility properties were somewhat lower than those of unit U3Bhn (Table 21); P was moderate and $N$ and $K$ varied considerably. On average, soils met criteria for Class B.
U3Ihn, Class $C(0.4)$ and $D(0.6)$. The nutrients $N$ and $P$ were moderate or low; $K$ was moderate. The subsoils were often chemically poor. Only soils on slopes were good enough to qualify for Class C (App. 6, Profile 21), soils on the flat ridge tops being assigned to class D. Variation in fertility was due to volcanic ash.

U3Ghn, Class $C$ ( 0.4 ) and $D(0.6)$. The nutrient $K$ was moderate, but $N$ and $P$ were moderate and low. Where volcanic ash had some influence, soils were rich in $P$ and $K$. However the poor condition of the subsoils made the soils less fertile than indicated by the topsoil.
U3Gh, Class $C$ ( 0.4 and $D(0.6)$. This unit had about the same qualities as U3Ghn, but organic $C$ was still lower.
U4Bh, Class A. Chemical data on Profile 24 (App. 6) indicated high N, P and $K$, as in the adjoining and comparable unit PBd. The high pH might create deficiencies of trace nutrients like Zn and Cu .

U4YhP, Class C. This soil was often too shallow for annual crops. Profile 25 (App. 6) had moderate supply of $N, P$ and $K$.
U4Yhp, Class C. On average, chemical properties fitted the average for Fertility Class C2 (Tables 19 and 21). Leaf analysis and response to $P$ fertilizers showed that $P$ availability was sometimes below par.
U4Ybp, Class $C$. Organic $C$ was somewhat lower, and $\mathrm{pH}-\mathrm{KCl}$ and, as a result, available $P$ was somewhat higher than of unit U4Yhp (Table 21).
U4Yg, Class C. According to data on Profile 28 (App. 6), available $N$ was of Grade 3 , subclass $C 2$. Where organic $C$ was higher, ranking was C1.
U4YhP-U4Yhp, Class C.
U4Yhp-U4Ybp, Class C.
U4Yhp-U4Yg, Class C.
U4YC, Class C. Soil fertility of these associations was the same as of the member units.
U4Gh, Class D. These soils were low in organic $C$ and pH , and moderate to low in K. Subsoils are chemically poor and often compact. Without fertilizers, yield of maize grain hardly reached 1 t/ha, even under otherwise optimum management of field trials.
U4GhM, class D (0.5) and E (0.5). This unit was very poor in $N$ and $P$ and poor to moderate in $K$. The soil was seldom used for annual crops. Where pH-KCl was below 4.0, it fell into Class E.
U4GM, Class D (0.5) and E (0.5). No topsoil data were available, but at best fertility probably equalled that of unit U4GhM.
PBd, Class A. Soils were rich in $N, P$ and $K$. Total $P$ was very high, but greenhouse and laboratory trials showed that $P$ was less available in these Tertiary volcanic ashes than in Quaternary volcanic ash. Nevertheless, available $P$ was high. Trace nutrients like Zn and Cu might be deficient because of the high pH.
PXhM, Class A. Less rich than unit PBd, but the contribution of volcanic ash was large enough to class it as rich in $P$ and $K$; $N$ was moderate.
PXa, Class E. The analytical data of Profile 34 (App. 6) indicate low pH, $N, P$ and K. The soil was only used for grazing.
PXhM-PXa, Class $A(0.6)$ and $E(0.4)$. The fertility of the association was the same as that of its composing parts.
PPa, Class C. Although the soil had developed in rich volcanic ash, the few data (App. 6, Profile 35 ) suggest moderate rather than high nutrient status, perhaps because of leaching of the topsoil.

PGa, Class E. The properties of these soils are essentially the same as of PXa: low pH, P and K (App. 6, Profile 36).
BBh, Class A. This unit was similar to PBd.
BBd, Class $A$. Rich in $P$ and $K$, and moderate in $N$.
BXal, Class E. This soil was not used for annual crops. Although the subsoils were chemically rich, the low pH and the low levels of organic $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}$ and $K$ in topsoils made the soil less fertile.

BXa2, Class E. The low pH of the topsoil made this unit unsuitable for annual crops. Where maize was grown, leaves were low in $N$ and $P$, and moderate in $K$.
BXg, Class A. These soils were chemically rich. Nevertheless the productivity of these soils was low, because of high exchangeable Na (Section 4.3.2).

BXo, Class A. Soil samples were from grazing land. Content of organic $C$ was at least $90 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ and of P -Olsen at least $7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Although pH-KCl was less than 4, the soils were Grade 1 for $N$ and $P$, and Grade 2 for $K$. The rating 112 of these peaty soils was not foreseen in Table 16 but fertility could be ranked as Class $A$, despite the low grading for $K$.
BGa, Class C. The data of Profile 43 (App. 6) was rated 312 or 313 , a combination not foreseen in Table 16. For $P$, the soil could be ranked Class $A$, but with such a low $N$ and $K$ it was ranked as Class $C$.
VXP, Class B. No chemical data were available. The unit was similar to UlXhP and HXP, and its fertility was ranked as Class $B$.

### 3.6 PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF SOIL

### 3.6.1 Infiltration and percolation

Rates of intake and percolation. Run-off is restricted to shallow well drained soils and imperfectly drained soils (Sections 1.4 and 4.3). In the well drained soils, infiltration is so rapid that surface flow does not occur, except if raindrops cause sealing or decay of structure. Measurement of the intake rate gives a good estimate of the capacity of a soil to absorb rainwater. The 'final' intake rates were estimated by the double-ring method (Fig. 20) after a certain time (Table 25 ). The intake rates in the deep well drained soils did not become constant, even after a considerable time.

The intake rates were measured in two ways in order to estimate any effect of sealing or decay of structure.

- Method 1. Water was introduced into the ring from a nozzle and a constant head was maintained with a floater (Fig. 20). The amount of water used was regularly measured.
- Method 2. Water was poured into the ring and the amount of water added was measured every 15 min.
The first method practically avoided sealing whereas pouring in the second method could disturb the topsoil.

All the deeper well drained soils had a rapid to very rapid infiltration - Cultivation enhanced infiltration in unit U3Bhn (Table 25). The rates for the shallow soil (HXP) on quartzite and for the imperfectly drained dense clay soil (BGa) were moderately slow.

The subsoils of Planosols, common on Plains and Bottomlands, had similar rates. These dense clays often had a perched watertable.


Fig. 20. Double ring infiltrometer with floater. The floater consisting of a plastic ball is connected with a nozzle.

Table 25. Final infiltration rate (areic volume rate) in some mapping units by the double-ring method. 1. with a floater; 2. without a floater.

Mapping unit Classification
(with time (FAO)
under cul-
tivation,
years)

U3Bhn (0)
U3Bhn (10)
U3Bhn (30)
HXP
FQh
FQh
U3Ihn
U4Yg
U3GhM
BGa

Vegetation/land-use
Vegetation/land use
Vetation/land


Fig. 21. Permeability measurements in a valley bottom in east Kisii.

Permeability and drainage of valley bottom soils. Especially units BXal and BXa2 suffer from waterlogging. Seepage water from the surrounding permeable hill soils adds water to the perched watertable and aggravates the drainage problem. At many places at the foot of the slopes, there are springs fed by groundwater from the hill soils. A study of the feasibility of drainage should therefore include the study of seepage of water from the hill soils and also the study of the permeability of topsoil and subsoil of the poorly drained valley bottom soils (Fig 21).

A few measurements were made with a ring infiltrometer to assess the permeability of the soils. To $100-\mathrm{ml}$ rings filled with undisturbed soil, a 'constant head' was applied. Flow was regularly measured till it became constant. Table 26 shows the permeability of topsoil and subsoil of the Planosol (BXa2) and of the peaty soil (BXO). Especially the deeper subsoil of unit BXa2 was slowly permeable. Unit BXo was rapidly permeable, but after artificial drainage soils could shrink.

### 3.6.2 Bulk density and porosity

Bulk density is the mass of bulk soil divided by its volume (volumic mass of bulk soil). Porosity is the volume fraction of gas and liquid in soil, in other words the volume fraction not occupied by solid phase. Bulk density was usually measured with cores of volume $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$. The bulk density of clods

Table 26. Permeability of valley bottom soils estimated with a constant head of water on core samples of $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of soil.

| Mapping unit | BXa2 | Mapping unit | BXo (peaty clay) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| depth (cm) | horizon | permeability <br> $(\mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ | depth <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | permeability <br> $(\mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ |
| 33 | A2 | 64 | 40 | 112 |
| 50 | A2 | 137 | 80 | 39 |
| 70 | B2t | 0.69 | 120 | 53 |
| 90 | B2t | 0.35 |  |  |
| 500 | B2t | 0.007 |  |  |

was slighly higher, probably because the pore space of clods does not include the space occupied by cracks between clods.

Bulk density was usually measured in profiles where percolation was also measured. The average bulk density of the $B$ horizon was very low, $1.23 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (Table 27). The lower values were in Nitosols and Ferralsols: assuming a particle density of $2.6 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, their porosity was on average 0.65 , which was much higher than the $B$ horizon of the Acrisols and Planosols, which had an average porosity of 0.49 (Section 3.4.2).

### 3.6.3 Moisture retention and available moisture

Water retention of 16 soils at a range of moisture tensions is given in Appendix 6. Appendix 9.1.2 summarizes available moisture (difference in water retention between pF 2.0 and 3.7 ) for each mapping unit and rooting depth class, corrected for rootability and rooting intensity. Table 28 summarizes the data.

The literature is not consistent in use of pF 2.0 or 2.3 as lower limit in estimating available moisture. The upper limit of 3.7 corresponded better

Table 27. Bulk density in $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and its standard deviation for the major classification units. $\underline{n}$, number of estimates; $\underline{m}$, mean; s, standard deviation.

| Unit | $\underline{n}$ | Bulk density $\pm$ standard deviation( $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A horizon |  | B horizon |  |
|  |  | $\underline{\text { m }}$ | s | $\underline{\text { m }}$ | s |
| Ferralsols | 3 | 0.94 | 0.08 | 1.15 | 0.12 |
| Acrisols | 5 | 1.21 | 0.29 | 1.33 | 0.17 |
| Nitosols | 12 | 0.99 | 0.10 | 1.17 | 0.05 |
| Phaeozems | 12 | 1.15 | 0.14 | 1.22 | 0.12 |
| Planosols | 6 | 1.04 | 0.08 | 1.32 | 0.13 |

Table 28. Readily available moisture ( pF 2.0 to 3.7 ) for different depths in 10 groups of mapping units.

| Number of group | Classification | Depth range (cm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | areic volume of readily available moisture (mm) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0-50 | -80 | 0-120 | 0-180 | 0-300 |
| 1 | mollic* Nitosols and Histosols | 100 | 160 | 230 | 310 | 466 |
| 2 | Phaeozems and mollic* Nitosols | 105 | 155 | 215 | 295 | . |
| 3 | Phaeozems and Luvisols | 100 | 155 | 207 | . | . |
| 4 | humic Nitosols | 60 | 95 | 143 | 203 | 323 |
| 5 | Acrisols | 80 | 110 | 150 | 210 | . |
| 6 | Vertisols | 125 | 225 | 281 | . |  |
| 7 | Phaeozems | 100 | 138 | 198 | - |  |
| 8 | Cambisols and Arenosols | 40 | 60 | . | . | - |
| 9 | Planosols | 104 | 158 | - | - |  |
| 10 | Planosols, Solonetz, Lithosols and Rankers | 97 | 156 | . | . | - |

with studies on crops than pF 4.2 , which is sometimes used. Actual consumption by crops was measured with a neutron probe. Use by sugar-cane was summarized by Kluyfhout (1978).

The studies were complicated by the interruption of the relatively dry season, by wet spells. The upper limit of moisture extraction could hardly be measured, except in a very dry season.

## 4 Land evaluation

### 4.1 INTRODUCTION

Land must be evaluated as an indication of expected performance, when used for specified purposes. Land use planners need guidance in taking decisions on land use so that the resources of the environment are put to the most benefical use with due regard for conservation of those resources for the future (FAO, 1976; Beek, 1978).

Therefore land evaluation involves a study of present agricultural practices, the benefits derived from it, the beneficial or retrograde effects on the environment, and the factors limiting profitability, physical and socio-economic (Section 4.2). This knowledge enables us to indicate ways of improvement within the physical and socio-economic setting. Those types of land utilization can be indicated that remain profitable for the area in the long term and the conditions can be stated under which these types can be introduced (Section 4.4).

Profitability to the farmer is to a large extent determined by yields, price and marketing outlets of the products, and is unpredictable for the long term. Suitability should therefore be primarily based on physical qualities which will be discussed in Section 4.3.1.

### 4.2 ATTRIBUTES OF LAND UTILIZATION TYPES

To characterize a land utilization type certain attributes need to be described as accurately as possible.
Land. The size, distribution and lay-out of land, and the system of land tenure.
Labour and farm operations. What is the productivity of labour? How are tasks assigned to family members (for instance by sex and age)? What is the share of the family in the labour requirement of the farm? What power sources are used: human labour, draught animals or machinery using fuel. Capital. What are the invested capital and the recurrent capital for a given area or per holding?
Technical knowledge and its application. How sophisticated and knowledgable is the farmer and how far does the farmer use available information in management of his holding?
Infra structure and services. What agricultural advisory services are available? Processing factories for farm products, roads and markets.

Produce and profitability. Knowing the suitability of a soil for a certain use, one can predict yields, defined as the amount of produce per unit area, for a certain technology level.
4.2.1 Present systems of tenure, size of holdings, availability of land and layout of fields

Land is a wider concept than soil. It embraces climate, relief, hydrology and vegetation and past and present human activity like clearance, drainage and exposure to soil erosion. Agricultural production is not only determined by physical factors, but by such socio-economic factors as land tenure, size of farms, availability of land, farm lay-out, parcellation, fragmentation, land prices and land rent.

All land in Kisii District and in South Nyanza District is registered, especially in the south of the survey area. In the north-west of the survey area, land registration is in progress. In unregistered areas, cattle can graze anywhere except on land under crops.

Table 29 shows the size of holdings in Kisii District. According to the Kenyan Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS, 1977), the average size of holdings in Nyanza Province is 1.93 ha. In the Koluoch Subdivision of South Nyanza District, the proportion of holdings in various size classes were as follows: $<0.5$ ha $0.39 \% ; 0.5-1$ ha $8.5 \%$; $1-1.5$ ha $13.3 \%$; $1.5-2$ ha $14 \%$; $2-4$ ha $33.3 \%$; 4-6 ha $13.7 \%$; 6-10 ha $11.7 \%$; > 10 ha $5.1 \%$. (J.W.F. Cools, Undergraduate thesis)

In Kisii District, Lanting (1977) found that the proportion of farmers in possession of extra plots decreases with increasing population density, from $52 \%$ in a zone with population density more than $330 \mathrm{~km}^{-2}$ to $15 \%$ in the areas with more than $450 \mathrm{~km}^{-2}$. A similar trend was not found in South Nyanza District, so that many farmers there probably still have more land than they can or need to cultivate. The price of land in Kisii District was rising steadily from 2500-5000 Kenyan shillings per hectare in 1975 to about 7500 Kenyan shillings per hectare in 1978. In Kanyada and North Nyokal, prices in 1978 were around 1700-2300 Kenyan shillings per hectare. The land rent

Table 29. Proportion of holdings in different size classes as a funtion of the area's population density. Kisii District (data from Lanting 1977).

| Population density ( $\mathrm{km}^{-2}$ ) | Size of holding (ha) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<0.2$ | 1.2-2.4 | 2.4-4.8 | > 4.8 |
|  | proportion of holdings in size class (\%) |  |  |  |
| 50-350 | 6 | 37 | 30 | 27 |
| 350-400 | 6 | 42 | 40 | 12 |
| > 450 | 21 | 51 | 15 | 13 |



Fig. 22. Farms in Kisii District occupy a strip of land from the top of the hill to the valley bottom.
in Kisii District was around 500 Kenyan shillings per year in 1978.
A farm in Kisii usually occupies a strip of land from the top of the hill to the valley bottom (Fig. 22). The farmhouse was situated in the upper part.

Holdings become fragmented at an alarming rate, because of the high birth rate and the lack of work outside agriculture (Lanting 1977). This will result in a dramatic increase in the number of very small farms (Tables 29 and 30).

### 4.2.2 Labour and farm operations

The labour requirement of various agricultural activities is given in

Table 30. Present and future farm size (ha), calculated according to present number of children in Kisii District per population density zone.

| Present. | Future in areas with a population density of |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | $350-450 \mathrm{~km}^{-2}$ | $>450 \mathrm{~km}^{-2}$ |
| $0-1.2$ | 0.85 | 0.8 |
| $1.2-2.4$ | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| $2.4-4.8$ | 3.9 | 2.7 |
| $>4.8$ | 3.1 | 2.9 |



Fig. 23. Kisii women fetching water and washing clothes at a spring.

Appendix 13. Those of some crop-independant activities are as follows:

- clearing bushed land with a hachette 100-200 h/ha,
- preparation of pasture land with a hoe $500 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ha}$, and with an ox plough $35 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ha}$,
- preparation of arable land with a hoe 250-400, with an ox plough 20-25 and with a tractor plough $1 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ha}$.

Sowing and planting is done by hand or while ploughing. Fertilizer may be applied during sowing or planting and requires $10 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ha}$. Crops are weeded with a hoe or a fork. Labour requirement is $400 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ha}$ for heavy weeds and $150 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ha}$ for light weeds. Most harvesting operations are by hand. Many products are sold on a local market, which is held once or twice a week. Products not sold there, are usually taken to a centre (e.g. tea, pyrethrum and coffee) or are collected from the farm (sugar-cane and tobacco).

Tasks were assigned within the family by sex and age (S.C.W. Kamil, undergraduate thesis; Müller, 1978). Time spent on farmwork daily varies considerably, but the following data from South Nyanza are indicative. Men spend 3-4 $h$ in the fields and afterwards herd cows and do other things including social activities. Women spend 2 h in the fields, $1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}$ at markets, $5 \frac{1}{2}-6 \mathrm{~h}$ working in the home (Fig. 23) and $1-1 \frac{1}{2} h$ on other activities. Children help during holidays and after school.

The area a family actually cultivated with or without hired labour and the area of the holding was related to the number of wives (Fig. 24). The


Fig. 24. Relation between cultivated area and number of wives in Koluoch, South Nyanza District A, With ox-plough; B, Without ox-plough; a, With hired labour; b, Without hired labour. Data of J.W.F: Cools.
number of dependents on the farm and the number of wives are related, so that the smallest farms also support the smallest number of people. It varies between an average of 8 persons on the smallest farms to 18 on the largest farms.

Hired labour was required especially on larger farms (Table 31). The size of holding for which hired labour was needed, depended on the cropping pattern. In a densely populated area ( $450 \mathrm{~km}^{-2}$ ) where labour-intensive crops such as tea and pyrethum were grown, smaller farms hired labour too. Price and presence of hired labour were correlated with farm size: A higher proportion of small farms in a certain area meant a larger and cheaper supply of labour (Lanting, 1977).

The ox-plough (Victory type) is the common type of plough, especially on

Table 31. Proportion of farmers in holdings of various sizes having a plough and hiring labour for zones of different population density in Kisii District.

| Farm size (ha) | Population density zone |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $350-400 \mathrm{~km}^{-2}$ |  | $450 \mathrm{~km}^{-2}$ |  |
|  | plough | labour | plough | labour |
| 0-1.2 | - | - | - | 10 |
| 1.2-2.4 | 25 | 40 | 12.5 | 25 |
| 2.4-4.8 | 79 | 79 | 28.6 | 75 |
| <4.8 | 80 | 80 | 50 | 100 |



Fig. 25. Ox-ploughing in South Nyanza District.
the bigger farms (more than 2 ha). Two to four oxen are used to pull it (Fig. 25). A span of local oxen usually worked from about 07.00 till $09.000^{\prime}$ clock (A. Adema, undergraduate thesis). The maximum ploughing day was about 4 h . Ox-ploughing as usually practised had several shortcomings: the oxen are insufficiently trained and the plough is often poorly maintained. Oxen could be better trained as in India, where they are led by a rope connected to a ring through the nose. In Kanyada and North Nyokal, farmers paid cash for the ploughing of their fields or sometimes divided the ploughed land with the plougher, who could use his half for one season. Oxen were also used to pull a sledge or an ox-cart, and sometimes to turn a sugar-cane press. Donkeys are also used to carry freight but less often than the ox-sledge.

Individual farmers rarely used wheel tractors, but sugar-mills, the British American Tobacco and Sony Sugar Co. did. Farmers increasingly used these companies to prepare land. The hilly Kisii District with its small farms is not very suitable for 4 -wheel tractors; 2-wheel tractors could have a future.

### 4.2.3 Present input of capital

Four items were distinguished in capital inputs.

1. Permanent structures, usually called invested capital, with low depreciation.
2. Tools that need regular replacement and maintenance, for which deprecia-

Table 32. Capital-input and technology level based on studies in several areas. Ksh, Kenyan shillings.

| Low | Low to medium | Medium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Huts with thatched or occasionally cor-rugated-iron roofs | same | corrugated-iron roofs are common |
| 2-8 granaries | same <br> barn for tobacco | smaller number milkingshed; watertanks and wells, occasionally wires and poles for passion-fr. |
| Fences occasional | Fences common | Fences common |
| 2. 3-20 hoes, oxplough common; hachette; recurrent costs | same | same but also spraying equipment (occ.) |
| 75-100 Ksh/a.ha | 75-100 Ksh/a.ha | 100-150 Ksh/a.ha |
| 3. Local cattle, sheep, goats, chicken, oxen and donkeys | same, occasionally improved cattle | same, but usually improved and graded cattle |
| 4. Local seeds, manure, some cashcrops like cotton and sugarcane. | local and hybrid maize; cashcrops like coffee, tobacco and sugar-cane; fertilizer and pesticides only for tobacco (on credit); occasional dipping of cattle. | cashcrops common, including pyrethrum, tea and passion fruit; spraying and dipping of cattle. |

tion and maintenance are significant costs.
3. Living and productive capital: domestic animals; animals used for traction and transport; perennial cashcrops.
4. The yearly capital inputs are called recurrent capital.

Sample areas (Table 32) ranged in input level from low to medium. Change from a low to a medium input level usually coincides with the adoption of new management techniques, which required a higher capital input level.

A higher level required more investment capital and was usually related to farm size because a bigger farm size meant more available capital for investment, unless money became available from an off-farm job. Many of the farmers with bigger holdings also owned shops and maize mills. Agencies giving loans to farmers considered bigger farms more credit-worthy since their capacity to repay was higher. Off-farm capital and investment opportunities thus became progressively more available as farm size increased. On very small farms in Kisii District, even crop residues and cowdung were used as fuel for cooking. Those farmers had no capital to buy fuel or fertilizers and were exhausting the fertility of their soils, because the organic matter was used for cooking.

### 4.2.4 Present technical knowledge and its application

The degree to which the farmer adopted new more-capital-requiring techniques is linked with the ecological zones and the agricultural potential of the area. Studies of sample areas (Müller, 1978) showed that where cash crops were successful, other innovations were more readily accepted, perhaps explaining why the technology level is so clearly linked with the ecological zones (Section l.6).

The following parameters, which give an impression of the technology and its application, will be discussed for the above mentioned ecological zones. 1. Cropping pattern and proportion cash crops per farm. Zone IIc, III and part of IIb: cotton and sugar-cane 1-10\%, groundnuts 1-2\%, foodcrops 20-45\% and pasture $39-67 \%$. Part of zone IIb: bananas, coffee, sugar-cane and groundnuts (tobacco) 8-15\%, foodcrops $30-45 \%$ and pasture $35-55 \%$. Zone IIa: tea, pyrethrum and bananas $13 \%$, foodcrops $33 \%$, ley $37 \%$ and pasture $6 \%$. Cashcrops were cultivated in all zones, but the type and area fraction differs. Resown grassland (ley) was found only only in zone IIa.
2. Insecticides and fertilizers were mainly applied to cash crops, for which credit and advice were available. Technology correlated with the type of crop and varied considerably on the same farm. In South Nyanza (Zone III, II and part of IIb), fertilizers, pesticides and a rotation were hardly applied. Tobacco, a relatively new crop, was an exception. Dung was applied if available.
3. Livestock traditionally has various functions (Müller, 1978):

- Social, as a dowry or for slaughter on the occasion of visitors, celebrations or funerals.
- Savings and revenues from off-farm jobs and crop sales are invested in livestock. School fees, purchases and dowries can be paid by sale of animals. - Cattle supply milk (Fig. 26), serve for draught or transport, and provide manure. Their value increases by growth, like interest at the bank.

The social function and investment were primary but nowadays the utility function is coming increasingly to the fore. So, not merely the number of livestock units counts, but rather the quality and the production capacity. Especially where land is scarce and has many promising alternative uses, production capacity of the livestock becomes important, as in the east of Kisii District. There the number of grade cows was noteworthy and especially on the bigger farms, wells were being installed and spraying equipment was used. Cows on smaller farms were taken to cattle dips. Where the land had been registered (Kisii District and the east of South Nyanza District), the number of cattle was related to farm size. Very few cattle were kept on farms of less than 1.5 ha. In the rest of South Nyanza, grazing land was not scarce, not registered and communal apart from the homestead and cropped land. The cattle were of the local type and dipping was not regularly practiced. The social function and investment remained primary.


Fig. 26. Milking of zebu cows at a homestead near the north-west border of Kisii District.
4. Availability of credit and training was somewhat correlated to farm size. Farmers with more than 2.4 ha received more credit and training than farmers with less (Lanting, 1977). Some crop concerns (British American Tobacco, BAT, Kenya Tea Development Authority, KTDA, coffee societies and Sony Sugar Co.) did provide services and credit to farmers independently of farm size. Credit consisted of fertilizers, planting material and pesticides. Their costs were deducted from the payment the farmer received on delivery. 5. Use of tools, draught animals and machinery power. Ox-ploughing was somewhat more common in South Nyanza District, where soils were heavier than in Kisii District. The range of tools and instruments was small. Machine-operated tools, small two-wheel tractors or other fuel-operated machines for soil preparation were absent, surprisingly in view of the rather high opportunity costs of labour, especially in zone IIa. The increasing fragmentation of the farms, however, and the lack of work outside agriculture will not encourage mechanization.
6. Drainage. Soil Units BXa2, BXo and PBd had haphazard drainage ditches, usually shallow and made by individual farmers. Units BXa2 and BXo could still be improved further by drainage for a valley as a whole.
7. Terracing. In Kisii District, erosion was controlled by trashlines, which developed over the years into effective terraces. On steep slopes, especially with shallow soils, well organized bench terraces and cut-off drains are needed. Terracing needs much more emphasis in South Nyanza. Units BGa and

BXal were locally severely eroded by overgrazing. Land was not registered there and no measures had been taken to check gully erosion.
8. Fertility maintenance and improvement. Under prevailing intensive agriculture animal husbandry was decreasing. As a result, input of organic matter and content of organic matter in soils was declining (van Wissen, 1974). Economically, animal husbandry may not compete with cash crops for a certain piece of land. Its role in long-term maintenance of fertility is, however, of great importance, not only by production of manure but also by rotation of crops with grass. The manure production of a farm can also alter with the system of animal husbandry (Section 4.4). Farmers were not familiar with the use or production of compost. Town refuse was not used, although field trials with maize (Oenema, 1980) showed that it was beneficial to the crop. It was, however, somewhat inferior to cowdung, probably because of its lower content of $P$. Green manures were not grown. Their introduction in the rotation system could help to raise fertility.
9. Rotation of annual crops should be alternated with grass, which benefits soil structure and microbial life. A sown grass (like Rhodes) would be more productive and could be easier uprooted and ploughed in than those presently used.

### 4.2.5 Infrastructure and services

The extension service was run mainly by the Ministry of Agriculture. Cash crops like tea, pyrethrum and recently, sugar-cane received more emphasis than other crops. Marketing and processing was in the hands of semi-government agencies. Coffee was processed and marketed by numerous societies. Cotton was marketed by cotton societies. Other crops could be sold to the National Cereals and Produce Board, a marketing agency of the Government, or on the local market. Tobacco was marketed by the British American Tobacco Company (BAT). The veterinary services were well organized in Kisii District, but milk marketing needed more emphasis (Kenya Ministry of Agriculture, 1975; Hubert, 1973).

The National Agricultural Research Station at Kisii conducted research in animal husbandry, principal food crops like maize and beans, horticultural crops and fruits. The work of the coffee substation of the Coffee Research Foundation (CRF) at Kisii included cultivation, manuring, pruning and spacing trials, pest and disease control, and plant breeding. The National Sugar Research Station (NSRS) substation at Opapo mainly conducted varietal and fertilizer trials on farmers fields. The British American Tobacco (BAT) leaf centre at Oyani conducted fertilizer trials and varietal research.

The Farmer Training Centre at Kisii gave courses to farmers and extension staff, on crop husbandry, animal husbandry, farm management and economics, and home economics.

The Agricultural Finance Corporation supplied loans to farmers, which

Table 33. Household consumption in Kenya shillings (1975) for the ecological zones IIa, IIb and III. Data from CBS (1977).

|  | Ma <br> Tea, <br> west of Rift | IIb <br> Coffee <br> west of Rift | III <br> Cotton <br> west of Rift |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Home-produced food | 1205 | 1466 | 744 |
| Purchased food | 791 | 1237 | 1015 |
| Non-food purchase | 498 | 501 | 325 |
| Miscellaneous | 227 | 250 | 129 |
| Total cash | 1516 | 1988 | 1470 |
| Total consumption | .2721 | 3454 | 2215 |

were administered by the Ministry of Agriculture's Farm Management Division. Farmers producing tea, pyrethrum, tobacco and sugar received credit for recurrent production costs, which were deducted on delivery.

Tea, sugar and milk were processed in Kisii and South Nyanza Districts and pyrethrum, cotton, passion fruit and horticultural products elsewhere. Roads were satisfactory in all the tea growing zones (KTDA). The Sony Sugar Co. had a system of feeder roads for its out-growers. Elsewhere the state of roads left much to be desired. The Kenya Farmers Association (KFA) supplied farm implements, fertilizers, seeds, insecticides and pesticides, and had shops in Kisii and Homa Bay.

### 4.2.6 Production rates and incomes

To assess farm incomes, one must know the consumptive needs of a rural family in terms of food and money (Table 33). School fees were not included. A secondary boarding school costs about 2000 Kenya shillings per year. The total cash need for subsistence of a family ranges from 2500-3000 shillings per year. If at least one child were to attend secondary school, the cash requirement per holding would be at least 5000 shillings and the total consumption would amount to $7500-8000$ shillings. If inflation be taken as $15 \%$ per year, prices would in 1982 be 2.66 times as high as in 1975.

### 4.3 IDENTIFICATION OF POSSIBLE LAND UTILIZATION TYPES

Land utilization type is defined as "a kind of land use described or defined in a degree of detail greater than that of a major kind of land use", which is defined, by examples, as rainfed agriculture, irrigated agriculture, grassland, forestry or recreation. As discussed here, it means a single use of the land. Compound land utilization types (in the sense of Beek, 1978, in contrast to $F A O$, 1976) such as mixed cropping of maize and beans, coffee and bananas, pyrethrum and onions, were not considered for lack of data. Attributes of the land utilization types are described here as accurately
as possible. Production level was estimated, in line with the rating of land qualities and resulting suitability classes. In this way, the types can supply information needed for farm planning and farming systems.

Farming systems as such were not the aim of the land evaluation, since they depend on too many socio-economic variables, which may change rapidly. Decisions should be left to the farming community, which has the sole responsibility for how land should be managed and farmed. Nevertheless the combination of production items and the rotation chosen by the farmer will be important for future fertility. For instance, a farmer with only annual crops, which have heavy nutrient demands could only maintain production capacity, at high cost because of a decline in organic matter and in soil structure. In contrast, a farmer with perennial crops like tea or with annual crops rotated with grass for grazing will spend less on maintaining fertility. In that way, a farmer is restricted in his combinations of crops. Or, more generally expressed, he is restricted in his combinations of land utilization types (Section 4.5).

The land utilization types discussed in Section 4.4 can be grouped under the following major kinds of land use:

- smallholder, rainfed arable farming, low, medium and high capital input;
- trees, low to high capital input;
- livestock, low to high capital input;
- fish culture;
- bee keeping;
- extraction of natural products.


### 4.3.1 Structure of the suitability classification

Two kinds of interpretative classification were used. The current suitability classification appraised suitability for a specific use in the present condition or with "modest improvement". The potential suitability classification appraised suitability for a specific use, granted that major improvements would be made where necessary. The difference between the two classifications indicates to the user whether and how land improvement or land conservation measures have been taken into account in assessing the suitability. "Major improvement" was taken as a substantial capital investment (as opposed to recurrent costs) in land improvement that would effect a major and reasonably permanent change in the characteristics of that land. For most uses, current suitability classification was considered relevant. For some mapping units, drainage of waterlogged land could effect a major improvement for certain uses.

Two orders of land suitability were used (FAO, 1976).

- Order 1, Suitable land: land on which sustained use (continuing use without deterioration) for the defined purpose in the defined manner should yield benefits that justify required recurrent inputs without unacceptable risk
to land resources on the site or in adjacent areas.
- Order 2, Unsuitable land: land whose characteristics appear to preclude its sustained use for the defined purpose or which would create production, upkeep or conservation problems requiring a level of recurrent inputs now unacceptable.

In Order 1 , three classes were distinguished: Class 1.1 , highly suitable; Class 1.2, moderately suitable; Class 1.3, marginally suitable. Each area of land was assessed for current and potential suitability for particular land utilization types. The assessment for potential suitability assumed that major improvements had been made according to the following ranking: - A (low): low technical input; may require some technical advisory services to the land owner; low cost could be borne by land-owner.

- B (moderate): moderate technical input; requires considerable advice to the land-owner; moderate cost.
- C (high): high technical input; specialists needed for planning and execution; special equipment needed; high cost.
- D (very high): high technical input as for $C$; very high cost.

The evaluation data for the Kisii area are presented in Appendix 8. Given the number of land utilization types for which an evaluation was made, the cost of map production prohibited the "ideal" situation of having a colourprinted suitability map for each land utilization type. This problem was solved by presenting, in addition to the coloured soil map, a black-and-white soil map (Seperate App. 2), on which the ecological information was superimposed as an overprint, together with a key for land evaluation (App. 8). The key should enable the user to colour the map by hand according to the suitability for a particular land utilization type he is interested in. Uncoloured copies of the map are available on request from the Kenya Soil survey, National Agricultural Laboratories, Nairobi.

In Appendix 8, the top row shows the respective land utilization types for which an evaluation was made. Each evaluation as such has been considered independantly and without reference to the desirability of their relevant use of the land.

The first column gives the soil units which occur under various slope classes and different ecological zones (see Section 1.4). In parallel horizontal columns the suitability class and subclass of each "mapping unit" (being a combination of soil, slope class and ecological zone) for each land utilization type are shown. The subclasses are indicated by letter suffixes. Each letter stands for a landquality of which the most deficient ones are indicated. For some mapping units both current and potential suitability are given. Also indicated is the level of input required. If the suitability subclass of an annual crop differs according to season, the suitability subclass of the less suitable season is indicated with asterisk(s) explained in Appendix 8.

### 4.3.2 Diagnostic procedures and criteria

Land qualities determine the physical suitability of land. They are defined as a complex attribute of land that acts in a distinct manner in its influence on the suitability of land for a specific kind of use (FAO, 1976). Land qualities are not independent characteristics, but can be evaluated only in relation to a certain use of the land. A land quality rating is based on relevant land characteristics, which are each rated for the particular land-use. To simplify procedure, each characteristic determining land quality is rated independently. Then the characteristic is rated for a particular land utilization type. The criteria for rating are discussed in Section 4.3.2.1 and Appendix 9.

Each land utilization type requires an evaluation for a certain set of relevant land qualities, which leads to the determination of a suitability class and subclass. The relevant land utilization types are discussed in Section 4.4 and their suitability is listed in Appendix 8.

### 4.3.2.1 Land characteristics for land qualities

The following land qualities are relevant for the Kisii area:

- temperature regime,
- climatic hazards affecting plant growth (e.g. hail),
- pests and diseases related to the land,
- availability of water,
- availability of oxygen,
- availability of nutrients,
- difficulty for agricultural implements,
- workability of the land,
- conditions for germination (tilth),
- erosion hazard,
- resistance to tuber development,
- rootability and uprootability,
- alkalinity,
- flooding hazard,
- overgrazing.

The first three land qualities are determined only by climate. They were directly rated for growth of crops, including some not cultivated in the district (Table 34). The criteria for rating of land characteristics for the other landqualities are degree of risk, difficulty, or availability in five grades as follows:

1. very high availability / very low risk
2. high availability / low risk
3. moderate availability / moderate risk

Table 34. Suitability of the climate for crops, except for the landquality of wateravailability


4. low availability / high risk
5. very low availability / very high risk.

The establishment of criteria for rating requires a thorough and systematic comparison with the local situation in the field and situations elsewhere. This allows for the necessary corrections, so that the results are in agreement with the real situation. The advantage of such a rating procedure is that a proper suitability appraisal can be made even for soils from which data on crop performance are scarce.

### 4.3.2.2 Availability of water

For this discussion, a distinction is necessary between 'moisture capacity' defined as the difference in amount of water in a soil between pF 2.0 and 3.7, and 'plant-available moisture', which also depends on rooting volume and rainfall. Moisture capacity, as defined here, is often called 'readily available moisture'. To assess the 'actual available moisture capacity' relevant for the crop, moisture capacity is corrected for rooting depth and rootability (App. 9.1.1) of the soil and rooting intensity of the crop. Without profile hindrances, the crop was assumed capable of extracting all the 'readily available moisture' within its rooting depth. The rootability factor is a reduction factor for moisture extraction, introduced to account for hindrances to root development. It ranges from 1 , for no hindrance, to 0 for complete hindrance. Rooting intensity is the ability of the crop to overcome hindrances to root development.

The rootability factor of crops with a strong rooting intensity (marked with an asterisk in App. 9.1.3) is a quarter more up to a maximum of 1 than the rootability factor of crops without a strong rooting intensity.

The 'actual available moisture capacity' and the effective rainfall (App. 9.1.2 and 9.1.4) determine the amount of water available for the crop (App. 9.1.5). The rating depended on the amount of available water and water requirement of the crop (App. 9.1.3). The crop's water requirement depends on actual consumption (App. 9.1.4) and on tolerance to drought (App. 9.1.3), which differ between crops and between growth stages. The rating applies to two seasons except for vegetables for which three seasons were rated. Since no data on effective rainfall were available, average rainfall was taken. As they were uncorrected for efficiency of use and probability, they could be too optimistic for what is available. Thus water requirement of the crops used in rating of water availability (App. 9.1.5) was increased by a factor.

In summary the following steps are required for the rating of water availability:

- calculation of 'actual available moisture capacity'. With the crop rooting depth and crop rooting type of Appendix 9.1.1 and Appendix 9.1.3 the 'actual available moisture capacity' is found in Appendix 9.1.2.
- With the 'actual available moisture capacity' (App. 9.1.2), the rainfall
and crop evaporation (App. 9.1.4), monthly figures for actual evaporation were calculated. Those figures should be compared with the criteria for rating (App. 9.1.5) to arrive at a crop water availability rating: ++ good, + moderate, - marginal. The ratings for a mapping unit, ecological zone, cropwater requirement type and growing season are presented in Appendix 9.1.6.


### 4.3.2.3 Availability of oxygen in the rooting zone

This land quality is determined by the frequency, duration and degree of waterlogging of the soil, evapotranspiration and by the tolerance of the crop to waterlogged conditions.

To account for differences in rainfall and evaporation two growing seasons and two areas were distinguished based on Ecological Zones IIa and IIb, and IIc and III.

To account for soil characteristics, drainage class was used, modified by depth of any semi-impermeable layer.

To account for differences in crop tolerance to waterlogging, three classes were recognized.

- Very tolerant: rice (not relevant)
- Moderately tolerant: sugar-cane, sorghum, grass species
- Not tolerant: other crops.

The rating criteria are presented in Appendix 9.3 and the rating of each mapping unit in Appendix 9.6.

### 4.3.2.4 Nutrient availability

To assess nutrient availability in soils for different crops, one must know:

- the potential nutrient supply by the soils,
- the nutrient requirements of the crops.

The potential nutrient supply was assumed to equal the amount of nutrients absorbed by maize during one cropping season (Section 3.5.2). So other crops could not absorb more nutrients during a half-year season than does maize. Table 16 in Section 3.5.3 gives the estimated $N, K$ and $P$ supplies for eight soil fertility subclasses.

The nutrient requirements of crops depend on the yield that can be obtained. To judge whether sufficient nutrients are available for a certain crop, one must know what yield is to be expected. For that purpose, 'normative (good) yields' were defined for the major crops in the Kisii area. Appendix 9.2.1, left half, gives these normative yields and the corresponding estimated uptake of $N, P$ and $K$. The data were collected and adapted from the literature (Acland, 1971; de Geus, 1973; Fried \& Broeshart, 1967, p. 119-132; Jacob \& von Uexküll, 1963; Mengel \& Kirkby, 1978, p. 259-266; Purseglove, 1968, 1972; Sanchez, 1976, p. 198-205. If no published data were available,
estimates were made by comparison with probably equally demanding crops. The normative yields are the same as the 'high' yields mentioned in Appendix 14 for high input of capital. The nutrient uptakes refer to one cropping season for annual crops and one year for biennnial or perennial crops.

For comparison of nutrient supply and nutrient requirement, an equal time should be considered: 6 months. Thus, requirements of crops growing longer or shorter than 6 months, were converted to 'half-year requirements' by dividing by a factor (CF, see App. 9.2.1) for relative duration of cropping season. Legumes were taken as self-supporting for half of their nitrogen needs (App. 9.2.1, right half). This procedure is rather crude, but seemed the best possible at the moment.

For ease and simplicity, crops were divided into five groups according to their nutrient requirement (App. 9.2.2. Since phosphorus is commonly the first limiting nutrient in Kisii soils, $P$ requirement should be taken as the principal criterion. The $N$ and $K$ requirements followed almost the same ranking as $P$ requirements. Exceptions were banana and pineapple (Group V), which demand large amounts of $K$.

The next step in the evaluation procedure was to match nutrient requirements and nutrient supplies. Appendix 9.2 .3 gives the results for the soil fertility classes and Appendix 9.2.4 for the individual soil mapping units. Nutrient availability was ranked into four classes by the ratio of potential nutrient supply to nutrient requirement for normative yields as follows: $1:>0.8,2: 0.55-0.8,3: 0.3-0.55,4:<0.3$. This ratio can also be interpreted as the ratio of yield without fertilizers but with all other growth factors optimum to normative yield. On Class A soils, normative yields were obtained, even for the most exacting crops, without application of fertilizers (App. 9.2.3). On Class D soils, fertilizers were needed, even for the least demanding crops, to obtain normative yields.

If other growth factors are more strongly yield-limiting than nutrient availability, Appendix 9.2.1 and 9.2.3 can be applied after some calculations, which are best clarified by an example.

If the target yield rate of sugar from cane was $5000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{ann} u m$, the normative yield rate of sugar is $12000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} . \mathrm{a}$ (App. 9.2.1). Therefore the target yield is about $40 \%$ of the normative yield. Sugar-cane belongs to Group III crops (App. 9.2.2) and yields of Group III crops are higher than $55 \%$ of normative yield for Fertility Classes A, B and C (App. 9.2.3). So only on soils of Fertility Class $D$ is availability of nutrients too low for the target yield rate.

### 4.3.2.5 Difficulty for agricultural implements

The rating was based mainly on steepness of slope. Slope $E$ ( $>16 \%$ ) is unsuitable for the use of 4 -wheel tractors. Slope $D$ is moderately suitable only if regular cultivation is necessary. The last condition is included,
because regular cultivation with machines, may cause compaction of the soil and a decrease in permeability, which aggravates the risk of erosion.

### 4.3.2.6 Workability of the land

The ratio between rainfall and potential evaporation, and the difference in amount of water between the lower tillage limit and upper tillage limit determine the period in which the soil is workable.

The tillage limits are lowest and highest pF at which the soil is workable. The difference in volume fraction of water held between pF 2.0 (field capacity) and the upper limit determines the waiting time before the soil can be tilled. The tractive force needed to cut the soil is a second factor to be considered for rating. A third factor is the type of implement used. Tractive force is overriding for workability with the hoe, but less significant for animal or engine-powered traction. The criteria for rating are presented in Appendix 9.4 and the rating for each mapping unit in Appendix 9.6.

### 4.3.2.7 Conditions for germination or tilth

Tilth is the suitability of a tilled topsoil for germination, emergence and initial root development. The rating depends on moisture conditions of the topsoil after tillage and on susceptibility of the soil to surface sealing.

Moisture conditions in the topsoil depend on the volume fraction of available water remaining at the upper tillage limit. If no available water remains for germination, the farmer must wait for rain. Some soils just do not hold enough available water for germination (sandy soils). The moisture conditions are further determined by climate, which is more critical in the drier ecological zones (IIC, III) than in the wetter zones (IIa, IIb).

The crumbiness of the topsoil after tillage was correlated with the grade and type of structure. The finer the structure the better. The susceptibility of the soil to surface sealing was correlated with the soil type. Slight surface sealing occurred in reddish soils developed from granites and quartzites and in the soils with a mass ratio of silt to clay more than 0.5 (Kauffman, 1975). Criteria for rating are related to soil type and ecological zones and are presented in Appendix 9.5 and the rating of each mapping unit is presented in Appendix 9.6.
Tilth is a relevant land quality for annual crops, which require shallow sowing; moderately relevant for crops which are sown deeper, and irrelevant for perennial crops.

### 4.3.2.8 Resistance to soil erosion

The rating of soil erosion (Section 1.4; App. 4, Map G) for the various
land utilization types reflects the protection a crop affords to the soil. It depends on degree and period of coverage by the crops, grouped as follows: - Crops affording full protection (except when planted): tea and grass spp. - Crops affording moderate protection: coffee and tree crops, shrubs, sugarcane, bananas, groundnuts and sweet potato

- Crops affording little protection: vegetables, pyrethrum, pineapple and most annual crops.
The rating for each mapping unit is presented in Appendix 9.6.
4.3.2.9 Suitability for tuber development and (up)rootability

These two land qualities are relevant for crops with tubers or seeds in the soil. On very shallow soils, tuber development is limited and on heavy clays the uprooting is difficult. Rootability is especially relevant for trees. As these qualities were usually overruled by others, they were not rated.

### 4.3.2.10 Alkalinity

This land quality was relevant only for unit $B X g$, which is moderately alkaline. The rating presented under the respective land utilization types was based on the tolerance of the relevant crops to alkalinity.
4.3.2.11 Flooding

Unit BXo was subject to flooding, unless drained.

### 4.3.2.12 Overgrazing

This land quality was rated for traditional farming and range only. The criteria for rating were based on present status and area fraction of overgrazed land, as estimated by visual observation (Appendix 9.6).

### 4.4 RESULTS OF THE LAND EVALUATION

### 4.4.1 Introduction

To assess the suitability of a mapping unit for a certain use or land utilization type, a more integrated approach is necessary than in Section 4.3. It requires the evaluation of all relevant land qualities in a certain mapping unit for each type. The most deficient land quality actually determines the suitability class. When more than one land quality is deficient, the suitability class may be downgraded. More specific rules cannot be given,
because the suitability rating was largely a matter of comparison and weighting of the importance of various land qualities. The result of the rating procedure is presented in Appendix 8. Only a selection of land utilization types were rated, although the individual land qualities were rated for a more extensive range of land-uses.

A reader interested in the suitability of a mapping unit or ecological zone for a land-use not mentioned in Appendix 8 should consider the relevant land qualities. A study of the land quality allows selection of a crop or land use with requirements matching the one of interest, so that the rating of a comparable type in Appendix 8 should provide all the information needed.

Three levels of capital input were distinguished, which corresponded to increasing management requirements.
(L) Low capital input; low management requirements. Local varieties are used; no hybrids. Livestock are of local types and grass is not improved. Fertilizers, pesticides and insecticides are not applied; manure may be applied, if available. Ground is tilled with a hoe or ox-plough and no measures are taken to check erosion. Yield depends primarily on fertility and environmental conditions. The farmer is self-supporting for seeds, planting material and livestock; only some tools are bought.
(M) Medium capital input; medium management requirements. Improved varieties are used but management leaves to be desired; e.g. insufficient fertilizer, and inadequate disease control and pruning. Good plant material is often not replaced in time, leading to a declining productivity. Ground is usually tilled with an ox-plough and weeded with a hoe. Some measures are taken to check erosion. The recurrent costs are higher than for low capital input, capital being needed to buy fertilizers, hybrid seeds, planting material and insecticides. For some land utilization types, the fixed costs are high too, for instance a milking shed and perennial planting material (tea and coffee).
(H) High capital input; high management requirements. Improved varieties are used: use of fertilizers and control of disease are adequate. Management is as recommended by research stations or such that yields are maximum for the prevailing conditions; ground is tilled with an ox-plough or tractor plough, and weeded with a hoe. For livestock, the system approaches zero grazing. Grade cows are used and receive appropriate care. Pasture is improved. Measures are taken to check erosion. Items of cost are essentially the same as for medium capital input, but larger.

Appendix 13 summarizes the labour requirement for the relevant land utilization types under existing and possible capital input. With the yi.elds for each type (App. 14), productivity of labour (yield divided by labour requirement) can be calculated.

Appendix 14 lists relevant land utilization types, range in production for different capital inputs and for three suitability classes.: " The highest possible yield can be reached only if capital input is high and.if environ-

Table 35. Number of hectares tea per suitability class, per ecological zone and per capital input level.

Capital input level ecological zone

High-IIa

Suitability class

| 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 96180 | 1550 | 14860 | 15080 |

mental conditions are suitable.

### 4.4.2 Relevant land utilization types and their suitability

Areas of suitable and unsuitable land are given only for ecological zones with a suitable*climate for the land utilization types as described below. All the types apply to smallholders using rainfed arable farming.
(1) Tea (high input) starts to produce in the third year after planting and is in full production after the ninth year. Highest yields occur in Kisii District sometimes without fertilizer, but fertilizer is necessary for sustained production (Table 35).


Fig. 27. Pyrethrum is a small perennial flowering herb whose flower heads are used for manufacture of pyrethrins.

Table 36. Number of hectares pyrethrum per suitability class, per ecological zone and per capital input level.

| Capital input level ecological zone | Suitability class |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2 |
| Medium-IIa | 51330 | 4894 | 29856 | 41590 |
| High-IIa | 59824 | 29856 | 12410 | 29180 |

(2) Pyrethrum (medium and high input) is a small perennial flowering herb $50-80 \mathrm{~cm}$ high (Fig. 27). Flower heads are regularly picked and dried in the sun. Dried flowers contain pyrethrin at a mass fraction of $8-20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. Plants produce in the year of planting and are fully productive in the second year. The dried flowers are processed in a factory at Nakuru. The products are insecticides and pyrethrum marc, which is a high quality cattle food. Advanced technology requires the introduction of clones with a higher pyrethrin content. Weeding needs to be done frequently (every 2-4 weeks). The practice of intercropping depresses yield (Table 36).
(3) Coffee (Coffea arabica): (low to medium and high input) produces in the third year after planting and is in full production after 5 years. Lack of proper disease control seriously depresses quality and production. Spraying by society employees is at present inadequate; it might be better to let farmers organize the spraying themselves (Table 37).
(4) Sugar-cane (low and high input) is largely grown by smallholders in a traditional way. The cane is processed in sugar-mills or on the farm if the farmer owns a crusher (Fig. 28). The product is called jaggery sugar, which is sold in lumps of about 1 kg . Only recently the Sony sugar co. introduced modern sugar-cane production on their central estate and among their outgrowers. In order to join the outgrowers scheme, not more than three farmers should have a suitable block of 6 ha available for sugar-cane production. Moreover the distance to the factory should not exceed 32 km . For outgrowers,

Table 37. Number of hectares coffee per suitability class, per ecological zone and per capital input level.

Capital input level -
ecological zone

| Low to medium-IIb | 101430 | 0 | 5290 | 38010 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| High-IIb | 101430 | 3470 | 1820 | 38010 |



Fig. 28. Crushing of sugar-cane on a farm.
the standards of management are prescribed and controlled by the company, which also supplies credit, extension and operational services (Table 38).
(5) Tobacco (high input) is mainly the flue-cured type. The tobacco is planted from February till March and harvested from June till August. It is not grown in the second season to avoid a build-up of fungus (Table 39).
(6) Cotton (low and high input) is not commonly grown with advanced technology because of the high disease incidence, which renders management difficult. cotton cake is a useful cattle feed (Table 40).
(7) Sunflower (high input) is cultivated for its oil, which ranges in mass fraction from 0.25-0.50 in seed, with the variety. The dried heads are a use-

Table 38. Number of hectares sugar-cane per suitability class, per ecological zone and per capital input level.

Capital input level -
ecological zone

| Low-IIb | current | 0 | 49500 | 23440 | 72790 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| and <br> High-IIb | potential | 1690 | 53670 | 17580 | 72790 |

Table 39. Number of hectares tobacco per suitability class, per ecological zone, per season and per capital input level.

| Capital input level - Suitability class, seasonecological zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.3 |  | 2 |  |
|  |  | first | second | first | second | first | second | first | second |
| High-IIb | current | 34710 | 34710 | 64560 | 51780 | 4850 | 41340 | 41610 | 17900 |
|  | potential | 37850 | 36400 | 84860 | 73530 | 3160 | 17900 | 19860 | 17900 |
| High-IIc | current | 8270 | 0 | 5150 | 2850 | 480 | 5950 | 5440 | 12590 |
|  | potential | 10360 | 0 | 7240 | 2850 | 4150 | 5950 | 0 | 12590 |
| High-III | current | 0 | 0 | 2610 | 0 | 590 | 0 | 2320 | 5520 |
|  | potential | 570 | 0 | 2040 | 0 | 2910 | 0 | 0 | 5520 |

ful cattlefeed. It is a drought-resistant crop; some varieties have a growing season of only 3 months. The crop is rather new in the area. Birds are the main pest but can be kept off with wire netting and early harvesting. The oil is extracted in a factory at Nakuru (Table 41).
(8) Castor (Ricinus communis) grows wild throughout the area. It produces a valuable oil, whose mass fraction in seeds is $0.35-0.55$. The plant is very drought-resistant. Although a promising crop for Ecological Zones IIc and III, its suitability for particular mapping units is irrelevant, because there is no extracting mill in Kenya.
(9) Jojoba (Simmondsia chinensis) is a perennial shrub 4 m high, originating

Table 40. Number of hectares cotton per suitability class, per ecological zone and per capital input level.

| Capital input level ecological zone |  | Suitability class |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2 |
| Low-IIC | current | 0 | 8270 | 4860 | 8300 |
|  | potential | 0 | 10360 | 3830 | 7240 |
| Low-III | current | 0 | 1250 | 570 | 4990 |
|  | potential | 0 | 1820 | 1700 | 3290 |
| High-IIc | current | 0 | 10650 | 5340 | 5440 |
|  | potential | 0 | 12740 | 7400 | 1290 |
| High-III | current | 0 | 1840 | 570 | 3110 |
|  | potential | 0 | 4110 | 620 | 790 |

Table 41. Number of hectares sunflower per suitability class, per ecological zone, per season and per capital input level.

| Capital input level ecological zone |  | 1.1 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.3 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | first | second | first | second | first | second | first | second |
| High-IIb | current | 91380 | 91380 | 7050 | 12150 | 4580 | 20470 | 36900 | 15910 |
|  | potential | 97410 | 117710 | 27350 | 9010 | 0 | 0 | 15150 | 13190 |
| High-IIc | current | 11040 | 11040 | 4950 | 4950 | 0 | 0 | 5450 | 5450 |
|  | potential | 13130 | 13130 | 3920 | 3920 | 3090 | 3090 | 1290 | 1290 |
| High-III | current | 2040 | 1250 | 1660 | 1660 | 0 | 0 | 2320 | 3110 |
|  | potential | 2610 | 1820 | 2290 | 2290 | 620 | 620 | 0 | 790 |

from the deserts of Arizona and Mexico. It is extremely drought-tolerant and thrives with as little as 400 mm of rainfall per year. The seeds contain valuable sperm oil, mass fraction 0.5 . At a crop density of $0.2 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$, annual yield of seed from wild plants is about $0.3 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ( $3 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{ha}$ ). Plants start to produce after 3 years, are fully productive after 5 years and remain productive for over 20 years. An extracting plant and market facilities were not available. If such facilities became available, Jojoba would be a promising crop for Ecological Zone III mapping units U4GM, U4Ybp, U4YhP and U4Bh.
(10) Groundnuts (low and medium input) are grown in pure stand or intercropped with maize and sorghum. Dung is sometimes applied, fertilizer seldom; but both measures would help to increase yield. Shelling by hand can be replaced by shelling with a sheller, which would decrease the labour cost considerably (Table 42).
(11) Maize (low and medium to high input) is the main staple food of the population; it is grown throughout the area. The growing season is 4 months in Zone III, 5 months in Zone IIc, 5-6 months in Zone IIb and 7 months in Zone IIa. Hybrid seed is commonly used, but use of fertilizer is not sufficient for top yields (Table 43), and plant spacing is too wide. Striga hermonthica is a serious root parasite (below an altitude of 1600 metres). (Table 44).
(12) Sorghum (low and medium input) has about the same requirement as maize, but more resistant to drought and waterlogging. Hybrids are not used, but with hybrids yields of $3000-5000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}\left(300-500 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ can be reached, whereas present yield is between 500 and $1700 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$. Striga hermonthica, a root parasite, causes serious yield reductions in the zones considered (Table 45).

Table 42. Number of hectares groundnuts per suitability class, per ecological zone, per season and per capital input level.

|  |  | 1.1 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.3 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | first | second | first | second | first | second | first | second |
| Low-IIb | current | 31600 | 31600 | 64400 | 65850 | 1690 | 3650 | 45500 | 42090 |
|  | potential | 33050 | 33050 | 68810 | 68810 | 0 | 1960 | 41330 | 39370 |
| Low-IIc | current | 0 | 0 | 11040 | 10360 | 2090 | 3830 | 8300 | 7240 |
|  | potential | 0 | 0 | 13130 | 10360 | 1060 | 3830 | 7240 | 7240 |
| Low-III | current | 0 | 0 | 1250 | 0 | 2490 | 0 | 2910 | 5520 |
|  | potential | 0 | 0 | 1820 | 0 | 2490 | 0 | 1210 | 5520 |
| Medium- | current | 49000 | 31600 | 52430 | 71280 | 1690 | 23950 | 41610 | 17900 |
| IIb | potential | 50450 | 33050 | 74420 | 90240 | 0 | 3440 | 19860 | 17900 |
| Medium- | current | 11040 | 0 | 4950 | 13610 | 0 | 0 | 5440 | 7820 |
| IIc | potential | 13130 | 0 | 3920 | 13610 | 0 | 4150 | 1290 | 6760 |
| Medium- | current | 0 | 0 | 2610 | 0 | 590 | 0 | 2320 | 5520 |
| III | potential | 570 | 0 | 2040 | 0 | 2910 | 0 | 0 | 5520 |

(13) Finger millet (Eleusine corocana) (low input) is grown throughout the area, but especially in Kisii District. The crop is sown around February, is labour-demanding because of the fine seedbed needed and laborious weeding and thinning. Yields are $600-1600 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}\left(60-160 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$, although yields of $4500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ can be obtained in trials. The seeds of finger millet can be stored for up to 10 years without insect attack; it is therefore popular for famine relief. The crop is similar to maize in suitability.
(14) Sisal (low and high input) is especially suitable for the driest Ecolog-

Table 43. Yield (kg/ha) of a first season maize crop on different soils and with phosphate fertilizer placed near the seed (1) or mixed with the topsoil (2). Optimum yield is yield under good management and optimum conditions. Crop density 5555 km . Rate of N on all plots $60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{ha}$. Data from van der Eijk (in preparation).

| Mapping unit | Fertilizer rate (kg/ha) |  |  |  |  | Optimum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 50 (1) | 100 (1) | 150 (1) | 150 (2) |  |
| U3Ihn, Ghn | 600 | 2200 | 2900 | 1300 | 5500 | 6000 |
| U3Ghn | 1300 | 2200 | 3700 | 3000 | 3700 | 5000 |
| U4Yhp, FYh | 4500 | 6000 | 7000 | 7200 | 8700 | 10000 |
| U3Bhn | 4500 | 5700 | 7200 | 7800 | 8500 | 10000 |
| U1Ihn | 7500 | 8500 | 8700 | 8500 | 8800 | 10500 |
| U1Ph | 9200 | 9300 | 9500 | 9300 | 9500 | 10-11000 |

Table 44. Number of hectares maize per suitability class, per ecological zone, per season and per capital input level.


Table 45. Number of hectares sorghum per suitability class, per ecological zone, per season and per capital input level.

| Capital input level ecological zone |  | Suitability class, season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.3 |  | 2 |  |
|  |  | first | second | first | second | first | second | first | second |
| Low-IIc | current | 0 | 0 | 13130 | 10360 | 1060 | 2900 | 7240 | 8170 |
|  | potential | 0 | 0 | 14190 | 10360 | 0 | 2900 | 7240 | 8170 |
| Low-III | current | 0 | 0 | 1820 | 0 | 1700 | 0 | 2000 | 5520 |
|  | potential | 0 | 0 | 3520 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2000 | 5520 |
| Medium to high-IIc | current | 10360 | 9600 | 5150 | 760 | 1540 | 3380 | 4380 | 7690 |
|  | potential | 10360 | 9600 | 6210 | 1820 | 3570 | 5410 | 1290 | 4600 |
| Medium to high-III | current | 1820 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2910 | 0 | 790 | 5520 |
|  | potential | 1820 | 0 | 1700 | 0 | 1210 | 0 | 790 | 5520 |



Fig. 29. Decortication of sisal strips.
ical Zones (IIc and III). The water requirements are low so that it tolerates considerable drought. The crop can stand waterlogging, if not too serious, so that all soils in these zones are suitable. At present the plant is grown in hedgerows. Leaves are cut into longitudinal strips and decorticated by pulling them through two pieces of a panga blade, set into a stake (Fig 29). The ropes are used locally or sold at the local market, while the flowering stalks are used as building material. A more advanced plantation-like cultivation, of sisal would require a factory.
(15) Cassava (low and high input) is a perennial drought-resistant tuber crop. The leaves can be eaten as a vegetable. It is planted at the start of the rainy season and first harvested after 1 or 2 years. As tubers can stay in the soil much longer, harvesting can be done whenever time is available. Practically all the cassava is infected with cassava mosaic virus. A highcapital input would be justified only if mosaic-free cuttings were used. Cassava is sold on the local market. It could become a cashcrop if a processing factory for the preparation of tapioca were established (Table 46).
(16) Sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas) (low input) is a drought resistant tuber widely grown in the whole area. It is used for home consumption and the vines are often given to cattle. Only local varieties are used, farmyard manure is hardly applied.

Table 46. Number of hectares cassava per suitability class, per ecological zone and per capital input level.

| Capital input level ecological zone |  | Suitability class |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2 |
| Low-IIb | current | 33010 | 65530 | 1690 | 45500 |
|  | potential | 37180 | 67220 | 0 | 41330 |
| Low-IIc | current | 0 | 13130 | 0 | 8300 |
|  | potential | 2090 | 11040 | 1060 | 7240 |
| Low-III | current | 0 | 1250 | 1360 | 2910 |
|  | potential | 0 | 1820 | 2490 | 1210 |
| High-IIb | current | 33010 | 69420 | 1690 | 41610 |
|  | potential | 34460 | 91410 | 2890 | 16970 |
| High-IIc | current | 8270 | 5340 | 2380 | 5440 |
|  | potential | 10360 | 4310 | 5470 | 1290 |
| High-III | current | 0 | 1250 | 1950 | 2320 |
|  | potential | 0 | 3520 | 2000 | 0 |

(17) European potato (Solanum tuberosum) (low and high input) is grown for home consumption or as a commercial crop. A high-capital input hardly exists through lack of good-quality blight-resistant seed potatoes, lack of rotation and lack of knowledge of spraying against diseases. Potatoes should be marketed through collection points, as proposed in the horticultural development study of the Ministry of Agriculture (1978) (Table 47).
(18) Beans, peas and grams (low and medium to high input) are an important source of proteins. Dried beans are extensively cultivated. French beans (Table 48) are not eaten by the population but are occasionally grown for the market. Cowpeas, green grams and particularly pigeon peas are rather drought-resistant. The pigeon pea is a perennial crop, which can last for

Table 47. Number of hectares European potatoes per suitability class, per ecological zone and per capital input level.

| Capital input level <br> ecological zone | Suitability class |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2 |
| Low-IIa | current | 0 | 54480 | 12604 | 60586 |
|  | potential | 0 | 56930 | 12604 | 58136 |
| High-IIa | current | 0 | 67084 | 31406 | 29180 |
|  | potential | 0 | 69534 | 31406 | 26730 |

Table 48. Number of hectares French beans per suitability class, per ecological zone and per capital input level.

| Capital input level ecological zone |  | Suitability class |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2 |
| Low-IIa | current | 54480 | 0 | 12604 | 60586 |
|  | potential | 56930 | 0 | 12604 | 58136 |
| Medium to high-IIa | current | 54480 | 12604 | 31406 | 29180 |
|  | potential | 56930 | 12604 | 31406 | 26730 |

two to three years. Disease control is not practised, and new and higheryielding varieties are not commonly used.

Soya beans are not used for home consumption. Only if a processing factory were built would this type become more important.
(19) Vegetable crops. Many horticultural crops are grown, often at a small scale The most widespread are cabbage, leaf cabbage, potatoes, tomatoes (Table 49), pumpkins, onions and peppers (A). Near Kisii Town, the following vegetables are cultivated: cauliflower, lettuce, French beans, cucumber, squash, beetroot, radish, celery, leek, chard, egg-plant(B). Table 34 also shows scope for cumin, coriander, ginger, garlic and asparagus. There is a rather large demand for Type A vegetables; they are sold in open-air markets and in the town markets of Kisii and Homa Bay. The demand for Type B vegetables is small. Collection and transport to places with larger demand (e.g. Kisumu) is the main problem; but knowledge and the means of controlling diseases is a factor seriously inhibiting production of the Type $B$ vegetables. Besides, the indigenous population uses a variety of vegetables, growing wild or as a garden crop, these are (Kokwaro, 1972)

Local name Latin name

A chak Sonchus schavein furthii
A lot-bo
Apoth
Atipa
Awayo
Bwambwe
Kandhira
Mit oo
Nyayado
Odicla
Okuru
Phaseolus multiflorus
Corchorus olitorius
Asystasia schimperi
Oxalis corniculata, Oxygonum sinnalum
Cyphostema orondo
Brassica oleracea
Crotelaria brevidens
Cassia floribunda
Commelena africana
Alternanthera pungens

Table 49. Number of hectares tomatoes per suitability class, per ecological zone, per season and per capital input level.

a. first season: 8 months, second season (drier): 4 months.
b. first season: 4 months, second season (drier): 8 months.

| Omboga | Amaranthus sp. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Osuga | Solanum nigrum |

Remark: leaves of cassava, cowpeas and beans are eaten as well.
(20) Fruit (low and high input) is not produced on a commercial scale, apart from passion fruit, bananas (Table 50) and pineapple. However, tomatoes, strawberries, citrus, avocado, mango, papaya (Table 51), guava (Table 52), loquat and annona are also grown. The varieties of bananas grown in East Africa are adapted to the drier and cooler conditions prevailing in the Highlands. The two types are the plantain for cooking and the ripening banana. A banana plantation is productive in the second year and remains productive for more than 20 years. Bananas are mulched with the chopped up leaves and remnants of harvested plants. Fertilizers and varietal improvement could increase productivity. Table 34 lists some other fruits, which could be cultivated. Production and income from some fruits could be increased if a processing factory were established; for others, marketing needs to be organized.

Table 50. Number of hectares bananas per suitability class, per ecological zone and per capital input level.

| Capital input level ecological zone |  | Suitability class |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2 |
| Low to medium | current | 0 | 98490 | 14100 | 15080 |
| -IIa | potential | 0 | 100940 | 14100 | 12630 |
| Low to medium -IIb | current potential | 46380 | 42320 | 50 | 56980 |
| High-IIa | current | 0 | 96940 | 15650 | 15080 |
|  | potential | 0 | 99390 | 15650 | 12630 |
| High-IIb | current potential | 46380 | 42320 | 50 | 56980 |

High-input culture hardly exists, except for passion fruit. For it, new varieties should be distributed; knowledge about pruning, fertilizers and spraying needs to be strengthened.
(21) Livestock.(Sections 4.2, especially 4.2.4; Müller, 1978) with

A low input is still important in South Nyanza and will remain so for some time.

A medium to high input is applied in the eastern part of Kisii District. The management is not yet optimum, so that mortality is still rather high.

High input is recommended to increase cattle productivity with a system approaching grazing. Under such management, cows are kept indoors and fed on fodder crops and concentrates. The system is labour-intensive, but requir-

Table 51. Number of hectares papaya per suitability class, per ecological zone and per capital input level.

| Capital input level ecological zone |  | Suitability class |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2 |
| Medium-IIa | current | 0 | 97730 | 760 | 29180 |
|  | potential | 0 | 100180 | 760 | 26730 |
| Medium-IIb | current <br> potential | 54050 | 0 | 50 | 91630 |
| High-IIa | current | 0 | 97730 | 760 | 29180 |
|  | potential | 0 | 100180 | 760 | 26730 |
| High-IIb | current potential | 54050 | 0 | 50 | 91630 |

Table 52. Number of hectares guava per suitability class, per ecological zone and per capital input level.

| Capital input level ecological zone |  | Suitability class |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2 |
| Medium-IIc | current | 11520 | 4470 | 0 | 5440 |
|  | potential | 13610 | 6530 | 0 | 1290 |
| Medium-III | current | 1250 | 1160 | 790 | 2320 |
|  | potential | 1820 | 2910 | 790 | 0 |
| High-IIc | current | 13900 | 0 | 0 | 5440 |
|  | potential | 15990 | 4150 | 0 | 1290 |
| High-III | current | 1250 | 1160 | 790 | 2320 |
|  | potential | 1820 | 2910 | 790 | 0 |

es less land than a grazing system and produces more manure. Land demarcation is a prerequisite for this system. Besides cattle, poultry are kept in traditional fashion. Modern poultry keeping methods are being introduced. This is a promising system for small farms; management requirements are, however, high in order to control diseases and to feed the hens properly. Rabbits, ducks and pigs are not important but may become so for small farms. Marketing of the products is still a constraint to production. For an appraisal of the suitability of the soils for cattle production, the composition and quality of grassland is important (Plaizier, 1980) as indicated below.

On well drained red soils, Pennisetum clandestinum (Kikuyu grass) is the most common species of these soils. It is a strong grass with a good herbage yield and is well palatable. Farmers favour this grass and propagate it vegetatively. It occurs naturally in the Highlands. Rotation grasses recommended for high input are Chloris gayana (Rhodes grass) and Setaria sphacelata. The species are sown. Their herbage yield is high and their palatability is good.

Fallow lands are usually invaded by Pennisetum scrobiculatum. It covers $70 \%$ of the surface within the first year of fallowing. Its nutritional value and yield is rather low. The production of fallow land would be much higher if species like Chloris gayana and Setaria sphacelata were sown; these species have a much higher nutritional value and can be destroyed easily by ploughing.

Shallow soils are characterized by the following species: Loudetia kagerensis, Themeda triandra, Setaria sphacelata, Brachiaria soluta, Exotheca abyssinica. The first is edible, but less valuable than the other species, but if the grazing pressure increases, its coverage also increases. Shallow soils usually carry permanent pastures.

Imperfectly to poorly drained bottomlands and plains carry permanent pasture, as on the shallow soils, but distinguished by the grasses Pennisetum hohenackeri, Dichantium insculptum, Eragrostis exaspera and Hyparrhenia rufa, the sedge Fimbristylis spp. and the herb Justicia anseliana. Herbage yield is low and proportion of nutritive grasses is small. Drainage improves floristic composition of this type of grassland, as a drainage trial near Magombo showed. There $85 \%$ of the original vegetation of sedges and low-quality grasses was replaced after three years by Setaria atrata, which did not occur originally (Table 53).
(22) Fish ponds (Maar et al., 1966) are rare within the area. There exists, however, an outlet for fish, especially in South Nyanza. The turn-over from

Table 33. Number of hectares for dairy grazing per suitability class, per ecological zone and per capital input level.

Capital input level ecological zone

| Low-IIa | current | 112590 | 12630 | 0 | 2450 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | potential | 127670 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Low-IIb | current | 107720 | 38010 | 0 | 0 |
|  | potential | 134740 | 10990 | 0 | 0 |
| Low-IIc | current | 8270 | 7720 | 5440 | 0 |
|  | potential | 10360 | 9780 | 1290 | 0 |
| Low-III | current | 570 | 1840 | 3110 | 0 |
|  | potential | 570 | 4160 | 790 | 0 |
| Medium-IIa | current | 79440 | 33150 | 12630 | 2450 |
|  | potential | 81890 | 45780 | 0 | 0 |
| Medium-IIb | current | 107720 | 16850 | 21160 | 0 |
|  | potential | 134050 | 11680 | 0 | 0 |
| Medium-IIc | current | 8270 | 7720 | 5440 | 0 |
|  | potential | 10360 | 9780 | 1290 | 0 |
| Medium-III | current | 570 | 1840 | 3110 | 0 |
|  | potential | 570 | 4160 | 790 | 0 |
| High-IIa | current | 72230 | 7210 | 33150 | 15080 |
|  | potential | 72230 | 22290 | 33150 | 0 |
| High-IIb | current | 50 | 110810 | 34180 | 690 |
|  | potential | 3190 | 130860 | 11680 | 0 |
| High-IIc | current | 760 | 9600 | 5630 | 5440 |
|  | potential | 2850 | 7510 | 9780 | 1290 |
| High-III | current | 0 | 1820 | 590 | 3110 |
|  | potential | 0 | 1820 | 2910 | 790 |

food and waste material to animal protein is very high. The number of fishponds should be increased and training and extension facilities in this field stepped up to exploit them. A site for a fishpond should meet the following requirements: a continuous water supply and rather flat impermeable soils. These requirements are met in mapping units BXa2 and sometimes also BXal. Existing streams can be rechannelled along the contours of the valley. The water supply and drainage system would need a plan for the whole valley and so cooperation between farmers. Ducks could also be kept.
(23) Beekeeping could become an important cash earner, when done according to the instructions of the beekeeping section of the National Agricultural Laboratories (Mann, 1973). Under traditional beekeeping, bees are removed by fire, which means death for many bees; honey and wax are not properly separated and therefore of poor quality. By recommended beekeeping methods, honey and wax are separated and of good quality; the bees are not killed but calmed by smoke. Capital and labour requirement are low.

## (24) Natural product extraction.

People catch and eat flying termites. They put a basket across the opening of the nest, and when the termites start flying, trap them in the basket. The termites fly under certain weather conditions.

Charcoal production is an important source of income on shallow and poorly drained soils.

Stone carving is a home industry, especially near Tabaka, where soapstone is found. The industry is an important cash earner.

### 4.5 PROPOSED FARM TYPES

By definition, the proposed farm types meet the following requirements.

1. They should make optimum use of existing land, maintaining the production capacity of the soil.
2. Livestock should always be part of the farm type in order to:

- earn cash,
- convert waste materials,
- produce manure,
- benefit structure of soils (by growing grass),
- provide protein for local consumption and
- to utilize land not suitable for other purposes than grazing.

3. They should supply an adequate income to the farmer and his family. A cash earner is part of each farm type, providing a cash income' to the farmer and his family and allowing investment and improvement on the farm.
4. Farm income should be independent of farm size class and land suitability, for instance by more intensive use of land.
5. Family labour is used rationally.


Fig. 30. Situation of the proposed farm types. 1, DzTPyFo, DzHFo; 2, Ge;
3, DzHFo, DgTFo, (FPHFo); 4, DgTFo, FPHFo; 5, DgTFo; 6, DzCBaFo, DgCBaFo, PhFo; 7, DgTo(Pi)Fo, DzTo(Pi)Fo, DzCBaFo, DgCBaFo; 8, DzSlFo, DzTo(Pi)Fo, DgTo(Pi)Fo; 9, DgSlFo, DgTo(Pi)Fo; 10, DzTo(Pi)Fo, DgTo(Pi)Fo; 11, GFrFo, FrBeSuL; 12, (a) GS2Fo, (b) GFo; 13, GCoSuFo, GFrFo. Abbreviations stand for produce items, explained in Table 54.

Some of the farm types (Table 54; Fig. 30) do not yet exist. Their development will require a different approach by extension, training and credit services. These services should to a large degree, be directed to the smaller farmer, who hitherto hardly had access to them. There is no other way to avoid the ever-increasing disparity of income and lack of opportunity for the small farmer. The lack of income for the small farmer will not only im-
poverish the farmer and his family, but also the soil on which he lives (Section 4.2.3).

The data in Table 54 are based on Appendixes 13 and 14 . The proposed farm types are the result of our studies in the area, discussions with staff of the Ministry of Agriculture, the National Sugar Research Station, the Nyanza Agricultural Research Station, the British American Tobacco Company and the Sony Sugar Co., and reports and books mentioned earlier in this chapter in Appendixes 13 and 14.

The costs mentioned in Table 54 are for 1978. The cost-benefit calculations correspond to highly suitable soils. The basic suitability and corresponding physical production (Section 4.3.4, App. 8) remain valid as a base for future cost-benefit calculations.
 indicating which part of the year the soil can be used for the defined produce items.

| Proposed farmtype and ecological zone | Produce | Surface (ha) of the farm and rotation intensity (R) | Farmsize <br> (ha) <br> and <br> land <br> tenure |  | Labour intensity (hour per holding) | Recurrent capital per holding (Ksh) | Gross margin (farm income + hired labour) Ksh. per holding | Conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```medium to high capital input DgTFo - IIa``` | Dg:dairy (grazing) 1.milk <br> T: tea beans cabbage 2.beef <br> Fo:1.maize, fingermillet, <br> 2.sweet potato, beans, | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4-2.0 ; 1 \\ & 0.5-1.0 ; 1 \\ & 0.6-1.0 ; 1 \end{aligned}$ | $2.5-4$ | manual <br> ploughing <br> with oxen | 2600-4550 | 3660-5600 | 10500-18000 | Disease control in cattle and proper milk marketing |
| high capital <br> input <br> DzTPyFo - IIa | Dz: dairy (zero-semizero grazing) : milk, beef <br> T,Py: tea, pyrethrum <br> Fo:foodcrops as for DgTFo and Be (beekeeping) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5-1.8 ; 1 \\ & 0.2-0.5 ; 1 \\ & 0.3-1.0 ; 1 \end{aligned}$ | $1-2.5$ | manual <br> ploughing with oxen or hoe | 3460-3720 | 3260-4720 | 9000-14500 | Disease control in cattle and proper milk marketing; training |
| high capital input <br> DzHFo - IIa and part of IIb | Dz: see DzTPyFo <br> H : horticultural crops like onions, European potato, herbs and fruits (Table 34) <br> Fo: see DgTFo <br> Be: beekeeping | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5-1.0 ; 1 \\ & 0.2-0.8 ; 1 \\ & 0.3-0.7 ; 1 \end{aligned}$ | $1-2.5$ | manual <br> occasional <br> ploughing <br> with oxen | 3740-4200 | 3640-5980 | 11000-23500 | Disease control in cattle and proper milk marketing; training in production techniques; collection and marketing of products |
| high capital input FPHFo - IIa, IIb | F: fishculture <br> P: ducks and or poultry for meat and eggs <br> H: horticultural crops: (see Table 34) <br> Fo: food crops: see DgTFo, but 1 or 2 maize crops per year <br> Fodder crops: <br> leguminous crops | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05-0.1 ; 1 \\ & 0.25-0.5 ; 1 \\ & 0.2-0.34 ; 1 \end{aligned}$ | $0.5-1$ | manual | 2300-3700 | 15500-16300 | 13500-19000 | Training, credit and assistance in fishpond and poultry development; collection and marketing of products |


| Proposed farmtype and ecological zone | Produce | Surface <br> (ha) of <br> the farm <br> and rota- <br> tion in- <br> tensity (R) | Farmsize <br> (ha) <br> and <br> land <br> tenure |  | Labour intensity (hour per holding) | Recurrent <br> capital <br> per hold- <br> ing (Ksh) | Gross margin (farm income + hired labour) Ksh. per holding | Conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| medium to high capital input DgCBaFo - IIb | $\mathrm{Dg}:$ see $\mathrm{DgTF}^{\mathrm{C}}$ <br> CBa: coffee a/o bananas <br> Fo: maize (2 seasons), beans; groundnuts, sw. potato, cabbage | $\begin{array}{r} 1.4-2.0 ; 1 \\ 0.5 ; 1 \\ 0.6-1.0 ; 1 \end{array}$ | $2.5-4$ | manual <br> ploughing <br> with oxen | 2800-3720 | 3900-5500 | 13000-16500 | Disease control in cattle. Farmer, who sprays coffee himself. Milk marketing |
| high capital input <br> DzCBaFo - IIb | Like DgCBaFo, but with zero grazing or semizero grazing | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5-1.0 ; 1 \\ & 0.2-0.5 ; 1 \\ & 0.3-1.0 ; 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | manual <br> occasional <br> ploughing <br> with oxen | 4055-13542 | 5030-13352 | 8000-16000 | Disease control in cattle. Farmer, who sprays coffee himself.Milk marketing |
| high capital input <br> DzSFo - IIb | Dz: zero grazing <br> S: sugar-cane (outgr. scheme) <br> Fo: see DgCBaFo | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 ; 1 \\ & 2.0 ; 1 \\ & 0.5 ; 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | manual <br> and tractor | 3980 | 21525 | 26000 | Proper milkmarketing |
| medium to high input <br> DgSFo - IIb | Dg: dairy (grazing) <br> S: sugar-cane <br> Fo: maize (2nd season) | $\begin{aligned} 1.5-2.5 ; & 1 \\ 2 ; & 1 \\ 0.5 ; & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $2.5-4$ | manual <br> and tractor | 1980 | 21500-23300 | 26000-29000 | Disease control in cattle and proper milk marketing |
| medium to high capital input $\mathrm{DgTo}(\mathrm{Pi}) \mathrm{Fo}^{2}-\mathrm{IIb}$ | Dg: grazing type: 1. milk <br> 2. beef <br> To: tobacco + sunflower <br> or pineapple <br> Fo: see DgCBaFo | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5-3.0 ; 1 \\ 0.5 ; 1 \\ 0.5-1.0 ; 1 \end{array}$ | $2.5-4$ | manual <br> ploughing <br> with oxen <br> or tractor | 2950 | 4650-8150 | 10000-16000 | Disease control in cattle and proper milk marketing |
| high capital <br> input <br> DzTo(Pi)Fo - IIb | Dz: zero grazing: 1.milk 2.beef To and Fo: see DgToFo | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5-1.0 ; 1 \\ 0.5 ; 1 \\ 0.5-1.0 ; 1 \end{array}$ | 1.5-2.5 | as above | 4000-4600 | 4575-5650 | 10000-12000 | Disease control in cattle and proper milk marketing |

Table 54. Continued

| Proposed farmtype and ecological zone | Produce | Surface (ha) of the farm and rotation intensity (R) | Farmsize <br> (ha) <br> and <br> land <br> tenure |  | Labour intensity (hour per holding) | Recurrent capital per holding (Ksh) | Gross margin (farm income + hired labour) Ksh. per holding | Conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| high capital input <br> PHFO - IIb | P: poultry: eggs, meat <br> H: horticultural crops a/o fruits see Table 34 <br> Be: beekeeping <br> Fo: food crops, see DgCBaFo | $\begin{array}{r} 0.05 ; 1 \\ 0.25-0.5 ; 1 \\ 0.2-0.45 ; 1 \end{array}$ | $0.5-1$ | manual | 1850-2300 | 15000-16000 | 9000-14500 | Credit, training and assistance for poultry development. Collection and marketing of products |
| low to medium capital input GFo - IIb, IIc | G: grazing: 1. beef <br> 2. milk <br> Fo: 1st season: maize, sorghum, groundnuts, beans <br> 2nd season: sunflower, sweet potato <br> perennial: cassava, pigeon peas, cowpeas | $3-5 ; 1$ | 4-6 <br> land regis-tration necessary | manual, ploughing with oxen | 2400-3200 | 1020-1700 | 8000-10500 | Land registration, upgrading with Sahiwals and tickcontrol |
| medium capital <br> input <br> GFrFo - IIc, <br> III, lower part IIb | G: grazing <br> Fr: fruits <br> a/o hort. crop <br> see Table 34 <br> Bee keeping <br> GFo: Foodcrops and oil crops | $\begin{gathered} 1.8-3.8 ; 1 \\ \text { H: } 0.6 ; 0.6 \\ \text { Fr:0.6; } \end{gathered}$ $0.6 ; 0.8$ | 3-5 <br> land regis- <br> tra- <br> tion <br> neces- <br> sary | manual <br> ploughing <br> mostly done <br> by oxen | 2240-4640 | 1112-1962 | 11500 | Disease control in cattle and proper milk marketing. Fruit collection and processing |
| low to medium capital input GSFo - IIb (IIc) | G: grazing: meat, milk <br> S: sugar-cane for jaggery (own jaggery) <br> Fo: food crops: maize (1 or 2 times/year) beans, cabbage, cowpeas, pigeon peas | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5-3.5 ; 1 \\ 1 ; 1 \\ 0.5 ; 0.8 \end{array}$ | 3-5 <br> land <br> regis- <br> tra- <br> tion <br> neces- <br> sary | manual <br> ploughing <br> with oxen | 1600-2400 | 310-390 | 5500-8500 | Land registration, upgrading with Sahiwals; tick control land drainage and conservation |


| Proposed farmtype and ecological zone | Produce | Surface <br> (ha) of <br> the farm <br> and rota- <br> tion in- <br> tensity (R) | Farmsize <br> (ha) <br> and <br> land <br> tenure |  | Labour intensity (hour per holding) | Recurrent capital <br> per hold- <br> ing (Ksh) | Gross margin (farm income + hired labour) Ksh per holding | Conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| low to medium capital input GCoSuFo - IIc and III | G: grazing <br> Co, Su: cotton a/o oil crops, sunflower, groundnuts <br> Fo: food crops: sorghum, beans, pigeon peas, cassava | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8-3.8 ; 1 \\ 0.6 ; 0.7 \\ 0.6 ; 0.8 \end{array}$ | ```3-5 land regis- tra- tion neces- sary``` | see above | 1860-2680 | 372-454 | 6500-9000 | Land registration, upgrading with Sahiwals; tick control, land drainage and conservation |
| medium capital input <br> FrBeSuL - IIc <br> and III | Fr: trees and shrubs, see Table 34 <br> Be: beekeeping <br> Su : food and oil crops <br> 1st season: maize, groundnuts, cowpeas, cabbage <br> 2nd season: sunflower, whole year: cassava, pigeon peas <br> L: small livestock | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5-1.0 ; 1 \\ & 0.5-1.0 ; 1 \\ & 0.5-1.0 ; 1 \end{aligned}$ | 1.5-3 <br> land <br> regis- <br> tra- <br> tion <br> neces- <br> sary | manual <br> occasional <br> plouging <br> with oxen | 1420-2810 | 800-1540 | 7500-13500 | Land registration, upgrading with Sahiwals; tick control, land drainage and conservation. <br> Fruit collection and processing |
| low capital input Ge | Extensive grazing beef and milk |  | commun- <br> al land |  |  |  |  |  |



Laboratory data of profile description no: 2

| eldobseravation | 130/2 |  | Ma | apping un | T: AxP |  | soll classification: | Lith | HosoL |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laboratary no. .3., | ${ }^{537}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Dopin ( mm ) | ${ }^{0-10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotizon | $\wedge 1$ |  |  |  |  |  | Gravel \% | 18.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depth (cm) | 0-10 |  |  |  |  |  | Totice ilime |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PH- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Ol}(1: 5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V})$ | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  | Sand $x 2.0-0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |
| OH-KCl | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  | SIII \% $0.05-0.002 \mathrm{~mm}$ | ${ }^{12}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| EC(mmo/cm) .. | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  | Clay $\times 0.002-0 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}($ (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Texiure class | c |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~m})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dispersed clay \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{x})$ | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  | Flocculation index |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(\%)$ | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  | Textur USDA: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C/N | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | Sand $\times 2.0-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CEC (me/1008). PH 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | .. ${ }^{1.0} 1.0-0.50 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CEC .. .. pH 7.0 | 15.4 |  |  |  |  |  | .. $+0.000-0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 11.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exch.Ca (me/fiogs) | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$. $.0 .25-0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$ | ${ }^{14.4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ." M9 . | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$. $\quad .0 .10-0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| . ${ }^{\text {K }}$. | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  | Total sand \% | 32.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\cdots{ }^{-1}{ }^{\text {Na }}$ | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  | SIII $\times$ | 10.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of cations | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  | clay 8 | 56.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baso sal. W. pr 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Texture class | ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| . ${ }^{\text {\% \% \% PH } 7.0}$ | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | Buik donsity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EsP at PH 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Moisture x w/vat: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saturation extract: |  |  |  |  |  |  | pF 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moisture x |  |  |  |  |  |  | pr 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH-paste |  |  |  |  |  |  | pf 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $E_{\text {E }}$ (mmhorcm) |  |  |  |  |  |  | pf 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Na (me/l) |  |  |  |  |  |  | pF 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K . |  |  |  |  |  |  | PF 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ca . |  |  |  |  |  |  | pF 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $M_{0}$.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Laborat | atary no. | 1 |  |
| Sum ol caitions(mefl) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ca (me/100g) | 14.4 | Avaliab |  |  | Total |  |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{me} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Mg}_{\mathrm{g}}$. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{\text {. }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | K | 3.60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $C^{1} \cdot$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | P (ppm) | 274777 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$.. |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mn (me/109g) | ${ }^{0.8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of anions(me/l) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Exch. ecidity (me/ /1000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acl. SAR |  |  |  |  |  |  | PH- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay minemalogy: |  |  |  |  |  |  | c\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{mol})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | N* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \quad$ " |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Fa}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (mmolx) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $x$-ray repor: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | sit/colay ratio | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




LABORATORY DATA OF PROFILE DESCRIPTION NO:4

laboratory data of profile description no:s

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laboratay no. . ${ }^{\prime}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 519 | 520 | 521 | 522 |  |  | Depin (cm) | ${ }^{0-15}$ | 15-60 | \| $60-110$ | ${ }^{110-160}$ |  |  |
| Horizon | A1 | B2. 1 | 82.2 | в |  |  | Textuof inited |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depti (cm) of sampl ins | $0-15$ | 15,60 | 60-110 | 1100160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - ${\mathrm{HH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 5 \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{V})}^{\text {a }}$ | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 4.8 |  |  | Sand \$ $2.0-0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 19 | 13 | 11 | 27 |  |  |
| PHH-KCl .. | 4.5 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.8 |  |  | $\sin \times 0.05-0.002 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 26 | 14 | 20 | 18 |  |  |
| EC(mmno/mm) .. | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.04 |  |  | Clay $\times 0.002-0 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 55 | 23 | 69 | 55 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(x)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Texture class | c | c |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~m})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dispersed clay \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $C$ (s) | 2.3 | 0.90 | 0.41 | 0.73 |  |  | Fliccultation Indox |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x})$ | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |  | Textur USDA: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C/N | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | Sand $\times 2.0-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 3.8 |  |  |
| CEC (me/ 1008 ). PH 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | .. $\cdot 1.0-0.50 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 2.6 | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |
| CEC .. .r PH 7.0 | 22.3 | 16.6 | 12.3 | ${ }^{12.7}$ |  |  | .. .. $0.50-0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Exch.CQ (me/ 1008 ) | 8.0 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.1 |  |  | . H . $0.0 .25-0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 2.4 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 2.5 |  |  |
| $\ldots \mathrm{Mm}_{0}$ | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 |  |  | $\cdots$.. $0.10-0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 |  |  |
| " K . | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  | Tolal sano \% | 8.7 | 8.2 | 6.5 | 29.2 |  |  |
| .. Na " | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |  |  | Silit ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 22.8 | 24.9 | ${ }^{31.0}$ | 39.0 |  |  |
| Sum of cations | 13.5 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.7 |  |  | ciay \% | 88.6 | 66.9 | 62.5 | 39.9 |  |  |
| Base sat. ¢. OHH. 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Texture class | c | c | c | c |  |  |
| -. .- $\times$. OH 7.0 | 63 | 39 | 52 | 33 |  |  | Bulk density |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ESP at pr 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Moisture x w/vat: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saturation extract: |  |  |  |  |  |  | PFO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moistre x |  |  |  |  |  |  | DF 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PH-paste |  |  |  |  |  |  | PF 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ECe (mmno/m) |  |  |  |  |  |  | PF 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Na(me/l) |  |  |  |  |  |  | pf 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| к |  |  |  |  |  |  | PF 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ca |  |  |  |  |  |  | PF 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $M_{8}{ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum of caitions(mo/l) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}(\mathrm{me} / 1008)$ | 6.4 Avaliable |  |  | Total |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{me} /$ / $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mo | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{\text {. }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\kappa$ | 0.40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{\text {. }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {(ppm) }}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Mn}^{(m e / 10009)}$ | 1.16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum ot anions(me/l) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Exen. acially (me/ 1000 ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adj. SAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay mineratiog: |  |  |  |  |  |  | cx |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{2} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}($ mol $/ \mathrm{mol})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | N* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} \mathrm{i}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\text { mmol } \mathrm{x}) \\ & \mathrm{X} \text {-ray report: } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Unit pabt, profite |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Ecological zone: IIbObservation: $130 / 2-\mathrm{CB}$, Kisi |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Vegetation: no natural vegetationLand use: coffec and soac gum trees (Eucalyptus) |  |  |
| Surfaces storiness, nit |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\prime}$ | ${ }_{0-15} \mathrm{~cm}$ | dark reditish brown (5YR 3/2, moist ond STR 4/2. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | many very fine nod tew net |
| в21t | 15-110 | dask rea (2.5re $3 / 6$, megise ond dry) clay; |
|  |  | strong very fine angular blocky; moderate common clay cutans; friable, slightly sticky |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 2 | 10 cm | (2.5YY 4/6, poist and dry) cliy with |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | cutans; friable, slightly sticky and slight plastic; few very fine pores; gradual and |
|  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ | $110 \cdot 160+\mathrm{cm}$ |  |
|  |  |  |

LABORATORY DATA OF PROFILE DESCRIPTION No: 6

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | , | 析 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laboratery no. .? ${ }^{\text {l }}$, | ${ }^{323}$ | ${ }^{326}$ | 325 | 326 | ${ }^{327}$ |  | Depin (cm) | $0{ }^{0.18}$ | ${ }^{18-36}$ | 36-20 | [80-120 | 120-180 |  |  |
| Horizon | $\pm$ | 81 | ${ }_{8}$ | 82 | ${ }^{82}$ |  | Gravel $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depth (cm) | O-18 | 2,-36 | 36-80 | 80-120 | 120-180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DH- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{v})$ | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.2 |  | Sand $\times 2.00-0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH-KCl | ${ }^{4.6}$ | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.2 |  | Sill \% 0.0.05-0.022mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EC(mmmo/am) . |  |  |  |  |  |  | Clay $\times 0.002-0 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{x})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Texure class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}($ (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dissersed clay \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C (*) | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 |  | Flocculation indox |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $N(5)$ | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |  | Texture USDA: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G/N | " |  |  |  |  |  | Sand $\times 2.0-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 2.5 |  |  |
| CEC (me/ /1008). pH 8. 2 | ${ }^{7} .50$ | 16.95 | ${ }^{13.69}$ | 13.69 | 12.7 |  | .. . $1.00-0.50 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 5.7 | 3.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 4.6 |  |  |
| CEC ... .. DH 7.0 | 2.0 | ${ }^{11,8}$ | 12.0 | 2.5 | 8.9 |  | .. . $0.0 .50-0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 13.8 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 5.9 |  |  |
| Exch.Ca (me/1009) | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 2.1 |  | . ... $0.25-0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 17.3 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 4.4 | 13.0 |  |  |
| $\cdots{ }^{\text {Mg }}$.. | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2. 6 | 1.6 |  | $\cdots$. $.0 .10-0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 10.0 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 7.0 |  |  |
| " K . | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |  | Tolai sand \% | ${ }^{188.9}$ | 21.9 | 19.8 | 12.9 | 33.0 |  |  |
| $\cdots{ }^{*} \mathrm{Na}$ - | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |  | Sill x | 18.1 | 47.0 | 28.2 | 27.9 | 36.0 |  |  |
| Sum of cations | 7.7* | 8.2. | ${ }^{8.8 .8}$ | ${ }^{6.9}$ | $4.1+$ |  | Clay \% | 333.1 | 31.3 | 51.9 | 59.3 | 31.0 |  |  |
| Base sal. \%. . pH 8. 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Texture class | scı | Ci | c | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }^{\text {cl }}$ |  |  |
| $\cdots$ - ${ }^{\text {a }}$. PH 7.0 | 6. | 69. | ${ }^{73}$ | 23. | 46 |  | Buik densily | 1.02 |  | 1.04 | 1.16 | 1.01 |  |  |
| ESP at p pH 8. 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Moisture x w/v at: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saturation extract: |  |  |  |  |  |  | OFO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moisture * |  |  |  |  |  |  | pf 2.0 | 4.7 .7 |  | 4.6 .5 | 55.0 | 52.4 |  |  |
| oh-pasto |  |  |  |  |  |  | of 2.3 | ${ }^{46.3}$ |  | 45.7 | 48.6 | 51.0 |  |  |
| ECe (mmmo/ /m) |  |  |  |  |  |  | DF $2 . \varepsilon$ | 45.0 |  | 44.2 | 46.7 | 48.5 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{4}(\mathrm{me} /$ /) |  |  |  |  |  |  | PF 3.0 |  |  | 20.2 | 26.0 | 126.5 |  |  |
| $\kappa$ к. |  |  |  |  |  |  | PF 3.6 | 23.7 |  | 22.9 | 27.9 | 23.8 |  |  |
| Ca .. |  |  |  |  |  |  | PF 4.2 | 17.5 |  | 16.9 | 21.7 | 23.0 |  |  |
| $M_{\theta}$.. |  |  |  |  |  |  | Forinty mitocis: |  |  | Labor | diaty no. | 1 |  |  |
| Sum of cations(me/I) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ca (me/1009) | 6.4 | Avaia |  |  | Total |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (me//) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $M_{9}$.. | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{\text {" }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | к . | 1.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\circ} 1$. |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {(pom) }}$ | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4} \cdot$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Mn}_{n}(\mathrm{me} / 1000)$ | 1.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum ot anions(me//) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Exch.acidily (me/ 1009) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adj. SAR |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left.\mathrm{OH}_{-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1)} \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{N}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay minoralogy: |  |  |  |  |  |  | c\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{mol})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | N* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ _. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\right.$ mmol $\mathrm{m}_{4}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $x$-ray coport: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Laboratory data of profile description no: ?




Wint Erg, Pofitic 7

 Gcol oisical formation: bukboan system and quacerarary volcanic ast depos.











82 c - A2 $\quad 76-104 \mathrm{~cm}$

玉̈

LABORATORY DATA OF PROFILE DESCRIPTION NO: 8


 ash
Local petrography: rhyolite with volcanic ash
Physiography: Keroks upland (Tinderet Range)
Surrounding landfora: rolling

Erosion: slight
Surface stoniness: nil
A1 $\quad 0-23$ co dark readisn brown (STR $3 / 2-3 / 3$, moist) sitly dark reddish brown (SYR 3/2-3/3, moist) silty
clay loam; toderate, very fine granular to
ccuab structure; very friable, silighty stiky
and silightly plastic; many very fine biopores; dark reddish brown (5yR $3 / 2-3 / 4$, moist) silty
clay loasi weak, very fine subngular blocky;
very friable, slighty sticky and slightly
 dark reddish brown (2.5YR $3 / 4$, moist) silty
clay loam; moderate very fine subangular
blocky; very friable, slightly sticky, slight
 $23-55 \mathrm{~cm}$
$35-81 \mathrm{~cm}$

| 83 | $81-96 / 135 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| C1 | $96 / 135-375 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| C2 | $375+\mathrm{cm}$ |


LABoratory data of profile description no: 11

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | can |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laborataty no. . 3 L, | 194 | 495 | 19 9, | $19 ?$ | 19 ? | 199 | Depth (cm) | $0 \cdot 18$ | ${ }^{18-35}$ | 35-47 | 47-100 | 100-140 | 140-190 |
| Horizon | ${ }_{\text {ap }}$ | 11.2 | B2t | ${ }^{83}$ | ${ }^{83}$ | R | Gravel x | 11.0 | 8.7 | 4.2 | 39.0 | 51.1 | 50.3 |
| Depth (cm) | 0-18 | 18 -35 | 35-47 | 47-100 | 100-140 | -40-190 | Textue, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PH- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{v}$ | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 5.2 | Sand $\times 2.0-0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DH-KCl | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.5 | Sill $\times 0.050-0.002 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EC(mmho/cm) .. |  |  |  |  |  |  | Clay $\times 0.002-0 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{x})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Texture class |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{x})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Disporsed clay \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $c(x) \cdot 1$ | 5.0 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 |  | Flocculation index |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $N(\%)$ | 0.4 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.03 |  | Texture USDA: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ | 10 | 15 | 15 | 12 | 7 |  | Sand $\% 2.0-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CEC (me/ 1009), pH 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | .. . $1.00-0.50 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CEC .. .. pH 7.0 | 86.3 | 27.9 | 17.7 | 8.0 | 6.6 | 2.6 | ., .. $0.50-0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excr.Ca (me/1009) | ${ }^{12.1}$ | 11.0 | 5.8 | 0.8 | ${ }^{0.6}$ | 0.3 | $\ldots . .0 .25-0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $M_{9}$ | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | .. .. 0.10-0.05mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .. K .. | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | Total sand X | 11.7 | 11.0 | 8.7 | 35.9 | 28.4 | 32.1 |
| .. Na | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | tr | tr | tr | ${ }^{\text {tr }}$ | ${ }_{\text {tr }}$ | Silt x | 23.6 | 20.5 | 21.0 | ${ }^{27.6}$ | 30.6 | 4,2 |
| Sum of cations | 16.6 | 14.6 | 8.2 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | clay \% | 64.7 | 68.5 | 203 | 36.5 | 41.0 | ${ }^{25.7}$ |
| Base sat. \$. OH 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Texture class | ${ }^{\circ}$ | c | c | ${ }^{\text {ct }}$ | c | 1 |
|  | ${ }^{63}$ | 5. | 46 | 15 | 14 | 31 | Buik density |  | 0.86 |  |  |  |  |
| ESP at pH 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | moiture x m/vat: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saturation extract: |  |  |  |  |  |  | PFO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moisture * |  |  |  |  |  |  | PF 2.0 |  | 40.6 |  |  |  |  |
| pHH-paste |  |  |  |  |  |  | pf 2.3 |  | 28.0 |  |  |  |  |
| ECe (mmo/ $/ \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | PF 2.7 |  | 25.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Na (mefil) |  |  |  |  |  |  | pf 3.0 |  | 2 F , 0 |  |  |  |  |
| K . |  |  |  |  |  |  | PF 3.7 |  | 221.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Ca .. |  |  |  |  |  |  | PF 4.2 |  | 220.1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Forl\|lita aspects: |  |  | Labor | no. | 1 |  |
| Sum of cations(me/l) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ca (me/1000) |  | Avala |  |  | Tota |  |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{me} /$ / $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mu_{0}$ \% .. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{\text {. }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{cl}^{\text {a }}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  | P (pom) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mn (me/ 1008) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum ot anions(me/l) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Exch.ecidity (me/ 1009) | 4.6 | 5.6 | 13.7 | 33.7 | 36.4 | 38.5 |
| Adi. SAR |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{PH}^{\text {H }} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{M}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| clay mineralogy: |  |  |  |  |  |  | cs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\therefore$ | .1 | $\because$ | 2.0 | $\stackrel{7}{ } 9$ | $\therefore$ | N* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \quad$. | 1.6 | 1.5 | ${ }^{1.5}$ | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Fo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\right.$ mmol $\mathrm{S}_{6}$ ) | ${ }^{188}$ | 11 | ${ }^{11}$ | 107 | ${ }^{106}$ | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| x-ray repor: |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sim \mathrm{xm}$ (me/me clay) | 40, 6 | 26.4 | 27.0 | 21.0 | 16. | 10.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | P209 ppa | 85 | so | 25 | 5 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | "Freot ¢r203 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 8.6 | 6.9 | 5.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | "FFree" AL203 | 12.3 | ${ }^{11.3}$ | 11.2 | 16.4 | 2.4 | 5.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .) Method Schollenberger |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


LABORATORY DATA OF PROFILE DESCRIPTION NO: 12



LABoratory data of profile description no: 14

laboratory data of profile description no: 15

Unit U2lhn, Protile 16 *)













※ च $\overline{\tilde{x}}$

ジ

LABORATORY DATA OF PROFILE DESCRIPTION No: 17

LABORATORY DATA OF PROFILE DESCRIPTION NO: 18

| Deppt (cm) | 0-20 | 20-60 | 60-85 | 85-15 | 150 + |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gravel \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texiviciliniod |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand \$ $2.0-0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sill \% 0 0.05-0.002mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay $\times 0.002-0 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texture class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispersed clay \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flocculation Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toxture USDA: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand $\times 2.0-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . $\quad 1.00-0.50 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#. . $0.0 .25-0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . $\quad . .00 .10-0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toial sand \% 3.3 2.6 3.1 2.5 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay \% 73.0 79.9 76.7 79.9 81.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Textura Class c c c c <br> Buik donssly  0.88 0.90 1.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mostsure X W/ate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



LABORATORY DATA OF PROFILE DESCRIPTION No: 19


## 

| Laboratary no. .? | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | ${ }^{63}$ | Depit (cm) | $0-16$ | 16-38 | 38-80 | 80-130 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horizon | $\cdot 1$ | 11.2 | 3 | 82.1 | в2.2 | ${ }^{82} 3$ | Gravel x |  |  |  |  |  |  |





|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| Kenya Soll Survey |
| :---: |
| oumpon ono rooso |

## Laboratory data of profile description no: 21 (part 1)


LABORATORY DATA OF PROFILE DESCRIPTION NO: . 21 (part 2)

Laboratory data of profile description no: 22


```
Unit U3Gh, Profile 23
Soil classification: Ferral* humic Acrisol; ST: orthoxic Tropoplom
*)
*
N
Land use: extensive graz
CE
0-25 cm
25-50 cm
~
```








## Laboratory data of profile description no: 27



|  |  | Phaeozem; ST: oxic Argiudoll <br> South Nyonza District, E 676.5 , N $99312,1365 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | nzian rock system tes and dellinites |
|  | phy; daseecte | itiges |
|  | , mind |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | sithe |  |
|  | coin | of teriiteo |
|  | diens: 8 |  |
| ${ }^{11}$ | $0-22 \mathrm{~cm}$ | dark brown (7.5YR 3/2, moist) graveliy |
|  |  | moderate fine and very tine subangular b and very fine granular; many very fine, |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | nsit ion o : |
| A12 | 22.35 cm | dark brown (1.syra $3 / 2$, moist) very grvelly |
|  |  | clay vith grvel siving yelliovish o |
|  |  | Sulangulir biocky ond very fi ine gran |
|  |  | nd met |
|  |  |  |
| ${ }^{82}$ | 35.56 cm |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | ond corse siopores: very hara, fires |
|  |  | sit ion to: |
|  |  |  |
| ${ }^{822}$ | 56.75 cm |  |
|  |  | froo ceatering gravel very zravelly (s) |
|  |  | mat big grvel) |
|  |  | fine suba gulior blocky; conzon fint |
|  |  | fine biopores; tard, firm, sticky, slight plastic; gradual and wavy mansition to: |
| ${ }^{\text {cı }}$ | 75-130 cm |  |
|  |  | structure: pores only in the joints. |
| c2 | $130-150 \mathrm{ca}$ | siver |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sive } \\ & \text { toug } \end{aligned}$ |


Laboratory data of profile description no: 28

LABORATORY DATA OF PROFILE DESCRIPTION NO: 29

laboratory data of profile description no: 30




```
Unit PBd, Profile 32
Soi, classification: pellic vertisol; ST: typic Pelludere
```



```
*
lol
#%免
! 
```



LABORATORY DATA OF PROFILE DESCRIPTION No: 33

| Deptin (cm) | 8-29 | 29-46 | 46-95 | 95-120 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gravel \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texture, limited |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sand $\% 2.0-0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sill $\times 0.0050 .002 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clay $* 0.002 \mathrm{O} \mathrm{mm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texure class |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispersed clay \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fiocculation index |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| sily clay ratio | 0.96 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |



8
$\frac{n}{0}$
$\vdots$
$=$
$E$
$\stackrel{8}{4}$
$\dot{4}$


sandy clay loam; weak medium rranulart loose
very friable, non-sticky and non plastic;
asy fine and medium roots: abrupt and broke
iransition to:





$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3}$

LABORATORY DATA OF PROFILE DESCRIPTION NO: 35


## laboratory data of profile description no:s6


LABORATORY DATA OF PROFILE DESCRIPTION NO: 37





LABORATORY DATA OF PROFILE DESCRIPTION No: 39


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a } \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
27-46 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

$$
46 \cdot 66 \mathrm{cos}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \\
& 5 \\
& \stackrel{y}{⿺}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\stackrel{8}{\circ}$
105t co
※
Unit BX ${ }_{8}$, Profile 41


Unit BGa, Profile 43
Soil classification: eutric Planosol; ST: abruptic Tropaqualf (sodic
Soil classification: eutric Planosol; ST: abruptic Tropaqualf (sodic
phase)
Ecological zone: 111
Observation: $1130 / 1$-Ex20, South Nyanza District, E $680.7, \mathrm{~N} 9944.1$, 1251 ©
Geological formation: Oyugis granite (Post Nyanzian intrusive)
Geological formation: Oyugis granite (Post Nyanzian intrusive)
Locol petrography: graniles of ridge
Physiography: Iateral slope of
Surround





$30-56 \mathrm{~cm}$
$E$
$\circ$
$\stackrel{0}{6}$
$\vdots$




สั๊



Soit classification:





$\stackrel{\square}{\square}$


Appendix 7. Mineral percentages of the light (s.g.<2.9) fine sand fraction (50-250 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ).

| Soil map- | Depth (cm) | Quartz | Phytol- <br> ite | Volcanic <br> glass | Feldspar |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Opaque

Appendix 9. Rating of landqualities of the mapping units. 9.1. Water availability.

Appendix 9.1.1. Rootability factor per soil layer for the calculation of Actual Available Moisture Capacity for ten groups of mapping units (cf. Appendix 9.1.2).

| Number corresponding with group of mapping units (cf. App. 9.1.2). | Rootab | lity | ctor pe | soil | yer |  | ' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0-180 | (1/1) , | 180-300 | (3/4) |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0-120 | (1/1), | 120-180 | (3/4) , | 180-300 | (1/2) |  |
| 3 | 0-80 | (1/1), | 80-120 | (3/4), | 120-180 | (1/2) |  |
| 4 | 0-50 | (1/1), | 50-180 | (3/4), | 180-300 | (1/2) |  |
| 5 | 0-50 | (1/1), | 50-120 | (3/4), | 120-180 | (1/2) | $>180$ (0) |
| 6 | 0-50 | (1/1), | 50-80 | (1/4), | 80-120 | (1/4) |  |
| 7 | 0-50 | (1/1), | 50-80 | (1/2), | 80-120 | (1/4) |  |
| 8 | 0-50 | (1/1), | 50-80 | (1/2), | 80-120 | (1/4) |  |
| 9 | 0-50 | (1/1), | 50-80 | (1/4), | 80-120 | (0) |  |
| 10 | 0-25 | (1/1), | 25-50 | (1/2), | 50-80 | (1/4) |  |

Appendix 9.1.2. Actual available moisture capacity per mapping unit, rooting depth class (1-5) and rooting intensity type (1*-5*) (cf. App. 9.1.3).

| Mapping unit | Group no (App. <br> 9.1.1) | 1 | 1\% | 2 | $2 *$ | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4* | 5 | 5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HBhP | 7 | 100 | 100 | 119 | 128 | 134 | 158 |  |  |  |  |
| HXP | 10 | 75 | 86 | 88 | 112 |  | . |  |  |  |  |
| FBh | 2 | 105 | 105 | 155 | 155 | 215 | 215 | 275 | 295 |  | . $\cdot$ |
| FBht | 3 | 100 | 100 | 155 | 155 | 194 | 207 |  |  |  |  |
| FYh | 2 | 105 | 105 | 155 | 155 | 215 | 215 | 275 | 295 |  |  |
| FPg | 9 | 104 | 104 | 117 | 129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FQh | 5 | 80 | 80 | 103 | 110 | 133 | 150 | 163 | 195 |  |  |
| U1Ph | 2 | 105 | 105 | 155 | 155 | 215 | 215 | 275 | 295 |  |  |
| U1XhP | 10 | 75 | 86 | 88 | 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U 1 Xh | 2 | 105 | 105 | 155 | 155 | 215 | 215 | 275 | 295 |  |  |
| U1Bh | 2 | 105 | 105 | 155 | 155 | 215 | 215 | 275 | 295 |  |  |
| U1Ihn | 1 | 100 | 100 | 160 | 160 | 230 | 230 | 310 | 310 | 427 | 466 |
| U1Qh | 5 | 80 | 80 | 103 | 110 | 133 | 150 | 163 | 195 |  |  |
| U2Ihn | 1 | 100 | 100 | 160 | 160 | 230 | 230 | 310 | 310 | 427 | 466 |
| U3Bhn | 1 | 100 | 100 | 160 | 160 | 230 | 230 | 310 | 310 | 427 | 466 |
| U3Bh | 2 | 105 | 105 | 155 | 155 | 215 | 215 | 275 | 295 |  |  |
| U3Ihn | 4 | 60 | 60 | 86 | 95 | 122 | 143 | 167 | 203 | 227 | 293 |
| U3Ghn | 4 | 60 | 60 | 86 | 95 | 122 | 143 | 167 | 203 | 227 | 293 |
| U3Gh | 5 | 80 | 80 | 103 | 110 | 133 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| U4Bh | 7 | 100 | 100 | 119 | 128 | 134 | 158 |  |  |  |  |
| U4YhP | 10 | 75 | 86 | 88 | 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U4Yhp | 3 | 100 | 100 | 155 | 155 | 194 | 207 |  |  |  |  |
| U4Ybp | 3 | 100 | 100 | 155 | 155 | 194 | 207 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{U4Yg}$ | 7 | 100 | 100 | 119 | 128 | 134 | 158 |  |  |  |  |
| U4Gh | 5 | 80 | 80 | 103 | 110 | 133 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| U4GhM | 10 | 75 | 86 | 88 | 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U4GM | 8 | 40 | 40 | 50 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PBd | 6 | 125 | 125 | 150 | 163 | 164 | 190 |  |  |  |  |
| PXhM | 7 | 100 | 100 | 119 | 128 | 134 | 158 |  |  |  |  |
| PXa | 9 | 104 | 104 | 117 | 129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PPa | 10 | 75 | 86 | 88 | 112 | 101 | 136 |  |  |  |  |
| PGa | 9 | 104 | 104 | 117 | 129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BBh | 6 | 125 | 125 | 150 | 163 | 164 | 190 |  |  |  |  |
| BBd | 6 | 125 | 125 | 150 | 163 | 164 | 190 |  |  |  |  |
| BXa 1 | 9 | 104 | 104 | 117 | 129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BXa2 | 9 | 104 | 104 | 117 | 129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BXg | 10 | 75 | 86 | 88 | 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BXo | 1 | 100 | 100 | 160 | 160 | 230 | 230 | 310 | 310 |  |  |
| BGa | 10 | 75 | 86 | 88 | 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VXP | 10 | 75 | 86 | 88 | 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 9.1.3. Crop-water requirement types for rating of water availability.

```
AlV1: cabbage, asparagus, cucumber, lettuce, carrots, melons, swiss collard,
tomatoes, squash, leaks, egg-plant, french beans, ginger
A2V1: European potatoes, groundnuts, beans (dried), green grams
A2M2 maize, finger millet, pumpkin, cowpeas
A2To2: tobacco, chillies
A2M4*: sorghum
A3Co4*: cotton
A3Su4*: sunflower
BlV1: strawberries, pyrethrum
B1S2: bananas
B1S3*: sugar-cane
B1C4: citrus
B1T4: passion fruit, macadamia nut, papaya, cape gooseberry
B1C5\%: coffee
B1T5: tea, loquat, apples, pears
B2V1: sweet potatoes, pineapple
B2S4*: castor, pigeon peas, grasses
B2C5\%: avocado, guava, anona
B3S2: cassava
B3C5*: mango, jojoba, sisal
```

Explanation of the symbols:
First letter; $A=$ annual crop, $B=$ perannial crop
Second letter; Crop-evaporation type (cf. App. 9.1.4); V = vegetables, $M=$ maize, To $=$ tobacco, $S u=$ sunflower, $C o=\operatorname{cotton}, T=t e a, C=c o f f e e, S=s u g a r-$ cane
First number; $1=$ not tolerant, $2=$ tolerant in certain stages, $3=$ tolerant
Second number: rooting depth class in cm (cf. App. 9.1.2); $1=0-50,2=0-80$, $3=0-120,4=0-180,5=0-300$
Asterisk*: strong rooting intensity (cf. App. 9.1.2)

Appendix 9.1.4. Monthly surplusses or deficiencies (av. R-Ep) in optimal evapotranspiration (Ep) for different crops, for one or two stations per ecological zone, Av. $\mathrm{R}=$ average rainfall, Eo = optimal evapotranspiration, Ep $=$ crop factor (not given) $\times$ Eo.

| Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J | F | M | A | M | J | J | A | S | 0 | N | D |  |

Morumba, nr 9034032 (1941-1972). Ecological-zone IIa (cf. App. 2)

| Av.R | 82 | 96 | 169 | 307 | 225 | 180 | 127 | 190 | 191 | 161 | 167 | 132 | 2027 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eo | 175 | 175 | 150 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 150 | 125 | 150 | 125 | 150 | 150 | 1725 |
| Tea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 140 | 140 | 120 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 120 | 100 | 120 | 120 | 100 | 120 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -58 | -44 | 49 | 207 | 125 | 80 | 7 | 90 | 71 | 41 | 67 | 12 |  |
| Coffee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 90 | 90 | 105 | 100 | 100 | 90 | 105 | 75 | 75 | 65 | 105 | 90 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -8 | 6 | 64 | 207 | 125 | 90 | 22 | 115 | 116 | 96 | 62 | 42 |  |
| Sugar-cane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 160 | 160 | 135 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 135 | 115 | 135 | 115 | 135 | 135 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -78 | -64 | 64 | 192 | 100 | 65 | -8 | 75 | 56 | 46 | -32 | -3 |  |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 125 | 125 | 105 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 105 | 90 | 105 | 90 | 105 | 105 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -43 | -29 | 64 | 217 | 135 | 90 | 22 | 100 | 86 | 71 | 62. | 27 |  |
| Maize |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep |  | 80 | 75 | 90 | 120 | 125 | 115 | 60 |  | , |  | $\therefore$ |  |
| Av.R-Ep |  | 16 | 94 | 217 | 105 | 55 | 12 | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 85 |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 60 | 105 | 120 | 150 | 115 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -3 |  |  |  |  |  | 57 | 130 | 86 | 41 | 17 | 17 |  |
| Tobacco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep |  |  | 60 | 75 | 100 | 100 |  |  | 60 | 75 | 120 | 120 |  |
| Av.R-Ep |  |  | 247 | 150 | 80 | 27 |  |  | 131 | 86 | 47 | 12 |  |
| Sunflower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 140 | 105 | 60 | 75 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 75 | 60 | 75 | 120 | 135 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -58 | -9 | 109 | 232 | 125 | 70 | -7 | 125 | 131 | 86 | 47 | -3 |  |

Appendix 9.1.4 continued.

| Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J | F | M | A | M | J | J | A | S | 0 | N | D |  |

Kilgoris, nr: 9134-011 (1956-1977). Ecological-zone IIa

| Av.R | 95 | 103 | 153 | 184 | 152 | 103 | 53 | 97 | 121 | 75 | 129 | 144 | 1409 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eo | 150 | 150 | 150 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 1725 |
| Tea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 120 | 120 | 120 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -25 | -17 | 23 | 84 | 52 | 3 | -67 | -23 | 1 | -45 | 9 | 24 |  |
| Coffee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 75 | 75 | 105 | 100 | 100 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 75 | 75 | 105 | 90 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | 20 | 28 | 48 | 84 | 52 | 13 | -37 | 7 | 46 | 0 | 24 | 54 |  |
| Sugar-cane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 135 | 135 | 135 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -40 | -32 | 18 | 69 | 37 | 12 | -82 | -38 | 14 | -60 | -6 | 9 |  |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 105 | 105 | 105 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -10 | -2 | 48 | 94 | 62 | 13 | -52 | -8 | 16 | -30 | 24 | 39 |  |
| Maize |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep |  | 68 | 75 | 90 | 120 | 125 | 115 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Av.R-Ep |  | 33 | 78 | 94 | 32 | -22 | -62 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 70 |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 75 | 105 | 141 | 150 | 115 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | 25 |  | , |  |  |  | -17 | 22 | 16 | -66 | -21 | 29 |  |
| Tobacco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep |  |  | 60 | 75 | 100 | 100 |  |  | 60 | 90 | 120 | 120 |  |
| Av.R-Ep |  |  | 93 | 109 | 52 | 3 |  |  | 61 | -15 | 9 | 24 |  |
| Sunflower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\text { Ep }}$ | 120 | 90 | 60 | 75 | 100 | 115 | 120 | 90 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 135 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -25 | 13 | 93 | 109 | 52 | -12 | -67 | 7 | 61 | -15 | 9 | 9 |  |

Appendix 9.1.4 continued.


Kisii, nr.: 9034-001 (1939-1972). Ecological-zone IIb

| Av. R | 65 | 99 | 179 | 259 | 212 | 143 | 105 | 146 | 155 | 143 | 156 | 108 | 1770 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eo | 150 | 175 | 175 | 125 | 125 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 175 | 175 | 150 | 150 | 1850 |
| Tea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 120 | 140 | 140 | 100 | 100 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 140 | 140 | 120 | 120 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -55 | -41 | 39 | 159 | 112 | 23 | -15 | 26 | 15 | 3 | 36 | -12 |  |
| Coffee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 75 | 90 | 90 | 100 | 100 | 105 | 105 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 105 | 90 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | 75 | 85 | 89 | 159 | 112 | 38 | 0 | 56 | 65 | 53 | 51 | 18 |  |
| Sugar-cane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 135 | 160 | 60 | 115 | 115 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 160 | 160 | 135 | 135 |  |
| Av. R-Ep | -70 | -61 | 19 | 144 | 97 | 8 | -30 | 11 | -5 | -17 | 21 | -27 |  |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 105 | 125 | 125 | 90 | 90 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 125 | 125 | 105 | 105 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -40 | -26 | 54 | 169 | 122 | 38 | 0 | 41 | 30 | 18 | 51 | 3 |  |
| Maize |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 105 | 90 | 115 | 100 | 120 | 150 | 105 | 75 | 115 | 140 | 145 | 150 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -40 | 9 | 64 | 159 | 92 | -7 | 0 | 71 | 40 | 3 | 11 | -42 |  |
| Tobacco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep |  |  | 70 | 75 | 100 | 120 |  |  | 70 | 105 | 120 | 120 |  |
| Av. R-Ep |  |  | 109 | 184 | 112 | 23 |  |  | 85 | 38 | 36 | -12 |  |
| Sunflower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 120 | 105 | 70 | 75 | 100 | 135 | 120 | 90 | 70 | 105 | 120 | 135 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -55 | -6 | 109 | 179 | 112 | -8 | -15 | 56 | 85 | 38 | 36 | -27 |  |

Appendix 9.1 .4 continued.

|  | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | J | F | M | A | M | J | J | A | S | 0 | N | D |  |
| Kamagambo, nr: 9034-005 (1939-1969). Ecological-zone IIb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Av.R | 50 | 66 | 136 | 236 | 194 | 112 | 79 | 119 | 127 | 96 | 140 | 107 | 1462 |
| Eo | 175 | 175 | 150 | 150 | 125 | 125 | 150 | 150 | 175 | 175 | 150 | 150 | 1850 |
| Tea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 140 | 140 | 120 | 120 | 100 | 100 | 120 | 120 | 140 | 140 | 120 | 120 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -90 | -74 | 16 | 116 | 94 | 12 | -41 | -1 | -13 | -44 | 20 | 13 |  |
| Coffee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 90 | 90 | 105 | 120 | 100 | 90 | 105 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 105 | 90 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -40 | -24 | 31 | 116 | 94 | 22 | -26 | 29 | 37 | 6 | 35 | 17 |  |
| Sugar-cane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 160 | 160 | 135 | 135 | 115 | 115 | 135 | 135 | 160 | 160 | 135 | 135 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -110 | -94 | 1 | 101 | 79 | -3 | -56 | -16 | -33 | -64 | -5 | -28 |  |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 125 | 125 | 105 | 105 | 90 | 90 | 105 | 105 | 125 | 125 | 105 | 105 |  |
| Av. R-Ep | -79 | -59 | 31 | 131 | 104 | 22 | -26 | 14 | 2 | -29 | 35 | 2 |  |
| Maize |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 125 | 90 | 100 | 120 | 120 | 125 | 105 | 75 | 115 | 140 | 145 | 150 |  |
| Av. R-Ep | -75 | -24 | 36 | 116 | 74 | -13 | -26 | 44 | 12 | -44 | -5 | -43 |  |
| Tobacco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep |  |  | 60 | 90 | 100 | 100 |  |  | 70 | 105 | 120 | 120 |  |
| Av.R-Ep |  |  | .76 | 146 | 94 | 12 |  |  | 57 | -9 | 20 | -13 |  |
| Sunflower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 140 | 105 | 60 | 90 | 100 | 115 | 120 | 90 | 70 | 105 | 120 | 135 |  |
| Av. R-Ep | -90 | -39 | 76 | 146 | 94 | -3 | -41 | 29 | 57 | 9 | 20 | 28 |  |

Appendix 9.1.4 continued.

|  | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | J | F | M. | A | M | J | J | A | S | 0 | N | D |  |
| Oyugis, nr: 9034-023 (1939-1972). Ecological-zone IIc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Av.R | 28 | 49 | 110 | 190 | 213 | 100 | 99 | 127 | 109 | 104 | 109 | 73 | 1311 |
| Eo | 175 | 175 | 175 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150. | 175 | 175 | 150 | 175 | 1950 |
| Tea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 140 | 140 | 140 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 140 | 140 | 120 | 140 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -112 | -91 | -30 | 70 | 93 | -20 | -21 | 7 | -31 | -36 | 11 | -67 |  |
| Coffee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 90 | 90 | 125 | 120 | 120 | 105 | 105 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 105 | 105 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -62 | -41 | -15 | 70 | 93 | -5 | -6 | 37 | 19 | 14 | 4 | 32 | , |
| Sugar-cane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 160 | 160 | 160 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 160 | 160 | 135 | 160 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -132 | -111 | -50 | 55 | 78 | -35 | -36 | -8 | -51 | -54 | -26 | -87 |  |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep (peak use) | 125 | 125 | 125 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 125 | 125 | 105 | 125 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -97 | -76 | -15 | 85 | 108 | -5 | -6 | 22 | -16 | -21 | 4 | -52 |  |
| Maize |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep |  |  | 105 | 120 | 143 | 150 | 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Av.R-Ep |  |  | 15 | 70 | 70 | -50 | -6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tobacco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep |  |  | 70 | 90 | 120 | 120 |  |  | 70 | 105 | 120 | 140 |  |
| Av.R-Ep |  |  | 40 | 100 | 97 | 20 |  |  | 39 | 1 | -11 | -67 |  |
| Sunflower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 140 | 105 | 70 | 90 | 120 | 135 | 120 | 90 | 70 | 105 | 120 | 160 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -112 | -56. | 40 | 100 | 93 | -35 | -21 | 37 | 39 | -1 | -11 | -87 |  |

Appendix 9.1 .4 continued.

|  | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | J | F | M | A | M | J | J | A | S | 0 | N | D |  |
| Homa Bay, nr. 9034-087 (1963-1977). Ecological-zone III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Av.R | 57 | 68 | 112 | 211 | 162 | 95 | 59 | 81 | 76 | 67 | 84 | 91 | 1163 |
| Eo | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 155 | 155 | 155 | 155 | 180 | 155 | 155 | 180 | 2010 |
| Tea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 145 | 125 | 125 | 145 | - |
| Av.R-Ep | -88 | -72 | -33 | 66 | 37 | -30 | -66 | -44 | -79 | -58 | -41 | -54 |  |
| Coffee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 90 | 90 | 125 | 145 | 120 | 110 | 110 | 95 | 90 | 80 | 110 | 130 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -33 | -22 | -13 | 66 | 42 | -15 | -51 | -14 | -24 | -13 | -26 | -39 |  |
| Sugar-cane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 160 | 140 | 140 | 160 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -103 | -92 | -48 | 51 | 22 | -45 | -81 | -59 | -94 | -73 | -56 | -69 |  |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 125 | 110 | 110 | 125 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -68 | -57 | -13 | 86 | 52 | -15 | -51 | -29 | -49 | -43 | -26 | -34 |  |
| Maize |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep |  |  | 110 | 125 | 150 | 155 | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Av.R-Ep |  |  | 2 | 86 | 12 | -60 | -51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tobacco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep |  |  | 70 | 110 | 125 | 125 |  |  | 70 | 95 | 125 | 145 |  |
| Av.R-Ep |  |  | 52 | 101 | 37 | -30 |  |  | 6 | -28 | -41 | -54 |  |
| Sunflower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep | 145 | 110 | 70 | 110 | 125 | 140 | 125 | 95 | 70 | 95 | 125 | 160 |  |
| Av.R-Ep | -88 | -42 | 42 | 101 | 37 | -45 | -66 | -14 | 6 | -28 | -41 | -67 |  |
| Cotton |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ep |  |  |  |  | 60 | 60 | 95 | 130 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| Av.R-Ep |  |  |  |  | 102 | 35 | -36 | -49 | -49 |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 9.1.5. Criteria for rating of the water availability of the crop water requirement types (see App. 9.1.3).

```
++ = good water availability
+ = moderate water availability
- = marginal water availability
Ea = monthly actual evaporation (under optimal.conditions equal to Ep)
Ep = monthly potential evaporation
St = readily available moisture (RAM) which is left when Ea = Ep
m}=\frac{Ea+St}{Ep
m}=\mathrm{ average of m values of several months.
```



A2To2 can be compared with the Initial and Full vegetative growth stages of A2M2
++ if $I$ : $\bar{m}>100$, every $m>90$ and $F:$ _ $\bar{m}>110$, every $m>100$

+ if I : $\mathrm{m}>90$, every $\mathrm{m}>80$
- if not meeting the requirements for ++ and + above

A3Co4 ${ }^{*}$
++ if_m > 110, every m > 100

+ if $\bar{m}>110$ ( 4 months), $m>70$ ( 5 th month)
- if not meeting the requirements for ++ and + above

Appendix 9.1.5 continued.

A3Su4*

+ if $_{-} \bar{m}>120$ ( 4 months) every $m>100$
+ if $\bar{m}>110$ ( 3 months), every $m>100, m>80$ ( 4 th month)
- if not meeting the requirements for ++ and + above

| B1V1 can be compared with AlV1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | lst season | 2nd season |  |
|  | ++ 3rd season (A1V1-type) |  |  |
| + if | ++ | ++ | $++/+$ |
| + if | ++ | ++ | - |
| - | ++ | + | - |
|  | $++/+$ | - | - |

```
B1S
++ if m
    m}>50 (3 months
+ if \overline{m}>120 (9 months), every m > 75 and
    m}>50\mathrm{ (3 months)
- if not meeting the requirements for ++ and + above
```

B1C rated for three seasons
MA.M J m > 120, not more than one mbetween 110 and 120
++ if J A S $0 \mathrm{Nm}>130$, not more than one mbetween 110 and 120
DJF m > 120, not more than one $m$ between 100 and 120
MA M J m > 110 , not more than one mbetween 100 and 110

+ if J A S $0 \mathrm{Nm}>120$, not more than one $m$ between 110 and 120
DJF m > 120, not more than one m between 90 and 110

```
BlT
++ if \
    m > 110 (2 months) and
    m > 100 (1 month)
+ if \tilde{m}}>120 (8 months)
    m}>100 (4 months
    m}>90 (2 months) an
    m > 80 (1 month)
```

b2V1 can be compared with A1V1
1st season 2nd season _3rd season (AlV1-type)
++ if $++/+++/+++/+/-$ and if $\bar{m}$ of the $3 r d$ season
of A1V1 is not less than 30

+ if not meeting the requirements for ++ above
- not relevant
B2S4*
++ if $\bar{m}>100$ ( 9 months), every $\dot{m}>60$ and
m > 30 ( 3 months)
+ if $\bar{m}>80$ ( 9 months), every $m>50$ and
m > 30 ( 3 months)
+ if $\underline{m}>110$ ( 4 months) and
$\overline{\mathrm{m}}>50$ ( 5 months) and $\overline{\mathrm{m}}>40$ (3 months)
- if not meeting the requirements for ++ and + above


## Appendix 9.1 .5 continued.

```
B2C5* rated for three seasons
M A M J m > 110, not more than one m\leqq 80
++ if JAS O Nm > 100, not more than one m\leqq }7
    DJF m > 65, not more than one m\leqq 50
M A M J m > 1002 not more than one m \leqq 60
+ if J A S O Nm > 90, not more than one m\leqq 50
    DJF m > 55, not more than one m\leqq 30
- if not meeting the requirements for ++ and + above
```


## B3S2

++ if $\bar{m}>100$ ( 9 months), not more than one $m \leqq 50$, $\underline{m}>50$ ( 3 months), not more than one $m \leqq 25$
m$>80$ ( 9 months), not more than one $m \leqq 40$
$\bar{m}>30$ ( 3 months), not more than one $m \leqq 10$

B3C5 ${ }^{\text {¹ }}$
The water availability is not limiting for the growth of these crop types.

| Ecological <br> zone and <br> mapping <br> unit | Crop-water requirement type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alvi |  |  | A2V1 |  | A2M2 |  | A2TO2 |  | A2M4*2 |  | A3CO4* | A3Su4* | B1V1 | B152 | B1S3* | B1C4 | B1T4 | B1C5* | B1T5 | B2V1 | B2S4* | B2C5* | B3S2 | B3C5* |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | . | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



1. UlXhP is + in the 2 nd season in the driest south-eastern part of this zone, and + in the 3rd season in the rest of this zone.
2. Waterstorage in the second season is assumed to be complete below 80 cm depth, because a shallow rooting crop has been grown in the first season (thus not sorghum, which roots deeper)
3. Mapping units in this zone are below this mark rated according to the meteorological data of Kamagambo.
. Mapping units are'rated according to meteorological data of kilgoris.
Cf. App. 9.1.3.

Appendix 9.2. Nutrient availability

Appendix 9.2.1. Normative (good) yields (in kg marketable product per ha) and estimated nutrient requirements (in $k g N, P$ and $K$ per ha) per year or per growing season (A), and per half year (B). See for explanation of conversion factor (CF) Section 4.3.2.4. Crops are arranged in the order of $P$ requirement in a half year period.

| Crop | Normative <br> good yield | A |  |  | CF | B |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | P | K |  | N |  | P | K |
| tea | 5000 a | 70 | 5 | 35 | 0.5 | 35 |  | 3 | 20 |
| papaya | 15000 | 40 | 7 | 40 | 0.5 | 20 |  | 4 | 20 |
| $\Rightarrow$ coffee | 7500 b | 50 | 7 | 65 | 0.5 | 25. |  | 4 | 35 |
| -pigeon pea | 1100 c | 35 | 5 | 30 | 1.0 | 20 | k | 5 | 30 |
| pyrethrum | 750 d | 40 | 5 | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |  | 5 | 40 |
| egg-plant | 15000 | 40 | 5 | 50 | 1.0 | 40 |  | 5 | 50 |
| -banana | 30000 | 80 | 10 | 200 | 0.5 | 40 |  | 5 | 100 |
| castor | 1500 c | 50 | 6 | 50 | 1.0 | 50 |  | 6 | 50 |
| sisal | 20000 e | 100 | 12 | 125 | 0.5 | 50 |  | 6 | 65 |
| leeks | 7500 | 25 | 7 | 25 | 1.0 | 25 |  | 7 | 25 |
| tomato | 20000 | 55 | 7 | 65 | 1.0 | 55 |  | 7 | 65 |
| citrus | 30000 | 150 | 15 | 130 | 0.5 | 75 |  | 8 | 65 |
| pineapple | 40000 | 150 | 15 | 275 | 0.5 | 75 |  | 8 | 140 |
| - green gram | 500 c | 35 | 5 | 30 | 2.0 | 35 | k | 10 | 60 |
| onions | 15000 | 55 | 10 | 35 | 1.0 | 55 |  | 10 | 35 |
| sunflower | 1500 c | 70 | 10 | 70 | 1.0 | 70 |  | 10 | 70 |
| swiss collard | 20000 | 75 | 10 | 75 | 1.0 | 75 |  | 10 | $\bigcirc 75$ |
| finger millet cucumber, squash | 1500 | 30 | 7 | 25 | 1.5 | 45 |  | 11 | 40 |
| and pumpkin | 10000 | 20 | 6 | 30 | 2.0 | 40 |  | 12 | 60 |
| european potato | 20000 | 80 | 15 | 120 | 1.0 | 80 |  | 15 | 120 |
| sweet potato | 17500 | 90 | 15 | 140 | 1.0 | 90 |  | 15 | 140 |
| sorghum | 4500 | 120 | 15 | 110 | 1.0 | 120 |  | 15 | 110 |
| - cowpea | 750 c | 50 | 8 | 50 | 2.0 | 50 | k | 16 | 100 |
| cassava | 30000 | 90 | 20 | 175 | 0.8 | 70 |  | 16 | 140 |
| - sugar-cane | 12000 f | 110 | 25 | 225 | 0.7 | 80 |  | 18 | 160. |
| cotton | 1200 c | 110 | 18 | 100 | 1.0 | 110 |  | 18 | 100 |
| groundnuts | 1200 c | 80 | 10 | 70 | 2.0 | 80 | k | 20 | 140 |
| tobacco | 2000 g | 120 | 22 | 160 | 1.0 | 120 |  | 22 | 160 |
| carrots | 30000 | 150 | 18 | 200 | 1.2 | 180 |  | 22 | 240 |
| grasses | 20000 㕱 | 300 | 45 | 300 | 0.5 | 150 |  | 23 | 150 |
| -maize | 6000 | 150 | 25 | 140 | 1.0 | 150 |  | 25 | 140 |
| french beans | 4000 j | 100 | 14 | 70 | 2.0 | 100 | k | 28 | 140 |
| cabbage | 40000 | 180 | 30 | 150 | 1.0 | 180 |  | 30 | 150 |

a. green tea
b. berries
c. seeds.
d. dried flowers
e. leaves
f. sugar per year
g. cured leaf
h. dry matter
j. pulses
k. amount absorbed from soil (see Section 4.3.2.4)

Appendix 9.2.2. Grouping of crops according to their nutrient requirements in a half year period. Requirements in $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{P}$, and kg K per ha per half year. Summarized from Appendix 9.2.1

| Group | Requirements | Crops |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | N 20-75 | tea, papaya, coffee, pigeon pea, pyrethrum, egg-plant castor, sisal, leeks, tomato, citrus |
|  | P 3-8 |  |
|  | K 20-65 |  |
| II | N 35-75 | green gram, onions, sunflower, swiss collard, finger millet, cucumber, squash, pumpkin |
|  | $\mathrm{P} \quad 9-13$ |  |
|  | K 35-75 |  |
| III | N $\quad 50-110$ | european potatoes, sweet potatoes, sorghum, cowpea, cassava, sugar-cane, cotton, groundnuts |
|  | $\mathrm{P} \quad 14-20$ |  |
|  | K 100-160 |  |
| IV | N 100-180 | carrots, grasses, maize, french beans, cabbage |
|  | P 21-30 |  |
|  | K 140-240 |  |
| v | N 40-75 | banana, pineapple |
|  | P 5-8 |  |
|  | K 100-140 |  |

Appendix 9.2.3. Nutrient availability marks for different crop groups and soil fertility classes. Without fertilizer application maximum yield is 1: more than $80 \%, 2: 55-80 \%, 3: 30-55 \%$ and 4 less than $30 \%$ of the normative good yield.

| Soil fertility <br> class | Crop group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | v |
| A | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| B | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| C | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| D | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2/3 |

Appendix 9.2.4. Nutrient availability marks for the groups per soil mapping unit. Marks: 1: more than $80 \%$, 2: 55-80\%, 3: 30-55\%, 4: less than $30 \%$ of normative good yields can maximally be obtained when no fertilizers are applied. For crop groups see Appendix 9.2.2.

| Soil mapping unit | Crop group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | v |
| HBhP | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| HXP 40\% | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 60\% | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| FBh | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | i |
| FBht | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| FYh | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| FPg | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| FQh | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| U1Ph | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| U1XhP | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| U1Xh | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| U1Bh | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ulihn | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| U1Qh | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| U2Ihn | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| U3Bhn | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| U3Bh | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| U3Ihn 40\% | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 60\% | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2/3 |
| U3Ghn 40\% | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 60\% | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2/3 |
| U3Gh 40\% | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 60\% | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2/3 |
| U4Bh | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| U4YhP | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| U4Yhp | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| U4Ybp | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| U4Yg | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| U4YhP-U4YbP | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| U4Yhp-U4Ybp | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| U4Yhp-U4Yg | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| U4YC | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| U4Gh | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2/3 |
| U4GhM 50\% | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2/3 |
| 60\% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| U4GM 50\% | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2/3 |
| 60\% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| PBd | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| PXhM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| PXa | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| PXhM-PXa 60\% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 40\% | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| PPa | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| PGa | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| BBh | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| BBd | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| BXa 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| BXa 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| BXg | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| BXO | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| BGa | 1 | 2 | 2/3 | 3 | 2/3 |
| VXP | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

Appendix 9.3. Rating criteria for oxygen availability with (A, B) and without (-) improvements. Rating for moderately tolerant crops between brackets.

| Soil criteria | Improvements | Rating |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | IIa | IIb | IIc | III |
|  |  | season |  | season |  |
|  |  | 1st | 2nd | 1st | 2nd |
| Well drained to somewhat exces- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moderately well drained | - | +(++) | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| Imperfectly to poorly drained | - | - + + | + + + | + | +(++) |
| Vertisols | A | +(++) | ++ | +(++) | ++ |
| Imperfectly to poorly drained Planosols and shallow soils | B | $-(+)$ $+(++)$ | $+$ | $-(+)$ $+(++)$ | + $+(++)$ |
| over ironstone Poorly drained Solonetz | B | $+(++)$ $-(+)$ | $+(++)$ $-(+)$ | +(++) | +(++) |
|  | B | + | +(++) |  |  |
| Very poorly drained peaty soils | - | - | -(+) |  |  |
|  | B | ++ | ++ |  |  |

Appendix 9.4. Rating of workability of the land.

| Soil criteria | Ecological zone |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IIa, IIb | IIc, III |
| Easy to work, somewhat imperfectly to somewhat excessively drained soils having less than $50 \%$ clay in the topsoil | 1 | 1 |
| Easy apart from work with the hoe, somewhat imperfectly to somewhat excessively drained soils, having more than $50 \%$ clay in the topsoil | 2 | 2 |
| Somewhat difficult for all implements due to impeded drainage, Planosols | 3 | 2 |
| Difficult, especially for the hoe, Vertisols | 4 | 3 |
| Very difficult, especially for the hoe, Solonetz | 5 |  |

Appendix 9.5. Rating of the tilth.

| Soil criteria | Ecological zone |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | IIa, IIb IIc, III |  |
| Nitosols, Luvisols, Acrisols, Phaeozems not developed |  |  |
| from granite and quartzite | 1 | 2 |
| Nitosols, Acrisols, Luvisols, Phaeozems, developed from |  |  |
| granite and quartzite, Cambisols (not sandy) | 2 | 2 |
| Vertisols, Verto* and Vertic* Phaeozems | 4 | 3 |
| Soils with sandy topsoil (Cambisols, Arenosols) | 3 | 4 |
| Planosols | 4 | 4 |

Appendix 9.6. Rating of the landqualities, availability of oxygen (actual and potential), workability, tilth, presence of overgrazing,
use of agricultural implements and resistance to soil erosion, per ecological zone, per season and per mapping unit (see Section 4.3 .3 ).


1. ++ good availability, + moderate availability, - marginal availability
2. N.T. = not tolerant crops
3. M.T. = moderately tolerant crops
. Use of machines (mainly 4 wheel traction) for for perennials, requiring regular operations. crops not requiring regular cultivation. Slope classes if limiting, are indicated.
4. Slope classes, if limiting, are indicated.

Appendix ll. Correlation of the mapping units with soils of the detailed surveys.

MARONGO-DETAILED SURVEY
(Boerma et al., 1974)
Soils on basalts
Mugirango series - HBhP
Ogembo series - HBhP
Gucha series - FBht
Machogo series - U3Bh
Nyaborumbasi series - U3Bhn
Changá a series - U3Bhn
Ikoba series - U3Bhn + U3Bh
Muma series - U3Bhn + U3Bh

## Soils on felsites

Gesusu series - HXP
Nyakembene series - U1Ihn
Skuli series - UlIhn

Soils on rhyolites
Kananga series - U4Yhp
Nyerega series - U4Yhp
Kitere series - U4Yhp
Nyokal series - FYh
Nduru series - FYh

Soils on granites
Shallow over laterite - U4GhM
Rongo series - U4GhM
Riosiri series - U4GhM
Paulo series - U4Gh
Ndiwa series - U4Gh
Nyasoka series - U3Ghn

Soils on quartzites
Marongo series - HXP
Nyangori series - UlQh
Kiabigori series - UlQh
Itumbe series - UlQh

Poorly drained soils
Rakwaro series - PXa
Maraba series - PXa
Olando series - BXal

IRIGONGA-DETAILED SURVEY
(van Mourik, 1974)
Kebuye series - HXP
Matiti series - HXP
Nyasoka series - U3Ihn
Raganga series - U3Ihn
Matongo series - U3Gh
Nyamatutu series - U3Gh
Riana series - U3Gh
Iruma series - U3Gh

MAGOMBO-DETAILED SURVEY
(Scholten et al., 1975)
Shallow and very shallow soils
Mirir series - U1XhP
Loudetia series - UlXhP

Soils on andesites and rhyolites
Nyamwanga series - UlXh
Soils on andesites (rhyolites)
Nyambaria series - U2Ihn
Magombo series - U2Ihn

Soils of the valley-bottoms
Nyachogochogo series - BXa2
Gekano series - BXa2
Kenyamware series - BXo
Kenyerere series - BXo

NYANSIONGO-DETAILED SURVEY
(Guiking, 1976)
Singoiwek series - UlXhP, HXP
Kapsagut series - UlXhP + U1Ph
Nyamasibi series - UlXhP + UlPh
Gesima series - UlXh
Ichuni series - U1Ph
Narang'ai series - UlPh
Nyanturago Series - UlPh
Nyansiongo series PPa
Mango series - PPa
Isoge series - PPa
Kesaili series - BXo

Appendix 11 continued.

RANEN-DETAILED SURVEY
(van Keulen \& van Reuler, 1976)
Oreru series - HXP
Ranen series - FYh
Uriri series - U4YhP
Kokuro series - U4YhP
Marando series - U4Ybp
Manyata series - U4Yg
Awundo series - PBd
Oboke series - PXhM
Aora nam series - BBd
Riana Kuna series - BXal
Sare series - BXal

RANGWE-DETAFLED SURVEY
(Breimer, 1976)
Nyandara series I - U4YhP
Nyandara series II - U4Ybp

SOILS OF THE EAST KONYANGO AREA
(Miller, 1961)
Rangwe series - U4YhP U4Ybp U4Yhp
Bhanji series - u4Bh
Magina series - U4GhM
Kibugo series - PBd
Rodi series - PBd
Obiero series - PBd
Akijo series - PXhM
Kibubu series - PXhM
Nyangu series - PXhM
Nyokal series - PXa
Ongeng series - PXhM - PXa
Misathe series - PGa
Oboke series - BBd
Kibigori series - BBd
Marinde series - BXg

Appendix 12. Rating of the soil erosion hazard.

Soil erodibility
Rating 1 for soils with a high biological activity; a fine moderate to strong structure, a humic topsoil, a $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ molar ratio of less than 1.
Rating 1.5 for soils as with rating of 1 , but with a weaker structure or an acid humic toplayer or with silt/clay ratio above 0.5 and also for heavy cracking clay soils with a fine topsoil structure and for soils with slight drainage problems.
Rating 2 for soils with a leached topsoil and a heavy dense subsoil.
Rating 3 for dense clay soils with an exchangeable sodium percentage of more than 15.

Run-off hazard
Rating 0 for the soils with a soil erodibility rating of 1
Rating 0.5 for soils as above but with a soil erodibility rating of 1.5 .
Raging 1 for soils with not too severe drainage problems.
Rating 2 for permeable shallow soils and for soils with dense clay between 50 and 100 cm depth and for Vertisols.
Rating 3 for shallow soils with hard rock underneath, for soils wit dense compact clay within 50 cm depth and also for soils with an ESP of > 15.

To the rating for soil erodibility and run-off should be added slope class and its rating value: $A, 1 ; B, 2 ; C, 3.5 ; D, 6 ; E, 10 ; F, 15 ; A B, 1.5 ; B C, 3 ; C D, 5 ;$ DE, 8.

To the rating for soil erodibility and run-off is added 1.5 if occurring in ecological zone IIc and III. Gully erosion hazard is only considered for soils with run-off hazard of 2 or more, except when shallow.

## Soil erosion hazard

| Class | Rating |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - 0-5 inclusive slight to moderate splash and slight rill erosion |
| 2 | - 6-12 inclusive moderate splash and rill erosion |
| 2a | - 6-12 inclusive moderate rill and slight to moderate gully erosion |
| 3 | 12-20 inclusive strong splash and rill erosion |
| 3 a | 12-20 inclusive strong rill and moderate gully erosion |
| 4 | 20 inclusive severe splash and rill erosion |
| 4 a | 20 severe rill and gully erosion |
| $2+3$ | moderate to strong splash and rill erosion. |

Appendix 13. Monthly and seasonal labour demand in hrs/ha for the land utilization types and farm types, discussed in Sections 4.4 and 4.5.


 e-pl = land preparation with plough; $W=$ weeding.
Sources: Etherington (1973), Maret al., (1966), Mann (1973), Ministry of Agriculture (1978) Farm Management Div., Extension reports of the the Netherlands.

Appendix 14. Yield levels, recurrent and invested capital (1978 prices) for the land utilization types and farm types, discussed in Sections 4.4 and 4.5.

| Land utilization type |  | Yield levels ${ }^{\text {/ } / \text { ha/year or season }}$ |  |  |  | Price (Ksh.) | Recurrent capital in Ksh. |  |  | Items ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| crop | capital <br> input <br> level | low | medium | high |  |  | low | medium | high |  |
| Tea | H | 3000 | 4000 | 5000 | kg green leaves | 2.25/kg | 480 | 600 | 720 | F, To |
| Pyrethrum | M | 200 | 350 | 500 | kg dried flowers | 50/kg (1-1.2\% pyrethrins) | 75 | 75 | 75 | $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Tr}$ |
|  | H | 250 | 500 | 750 | kg dried flowers |  | 175 | 175 | 175 | $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Tr}$ |
| Coffee | L, M | 1500 | 3000 | 4500 | kg cherries | $3.50 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 50 | 50 | 50 | To |
|  | H | 2500 | 5000 | 7500 | $k g$ cherries |  | 860 | 860 | 860 | F, I, To |
| Sugar-cane | $L$ | 1600 | 2500 | 3400 | kg sugar | 50/ton cane | 100 | 100 | 100 | To |
|  | H | 4000 | 8000 | 12000 | kg sugar | 133/ton cane | 3000 | 5000 | 7000 | $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{Op}$ |
| Tobacco | H | 400 | 800 | 1200 | kg dried leaves: | 8-12/kg | 2658 | 3918 | 5144 | F, P1, I |
| Cotton | L | 100 | 200 | 400 | kg seed | $1.7-3.45 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 30 | 30 | 30 | To |
|  | H | 400 | 800 | 1200 | kg seed | $1.7-3.45 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 450 | 450 | 450 | To, I |
| Sunflower | H | 500 | 1000 | 1500 | kg seed | $1.8 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 450 | 600 | 750 | To, F, S, Tr |
| Groundnuts | L | 200 | 500 | 800 | kg seed | 3.4(shelled)/kg | 50 | 50 | 50 | To |
|  | M | 400 | 800 | 1200 | kg seed | 3.4(shelled)/kg | 800 | 860 | 920 | S,F,Tr,To |
| Maize | L | 1000 | 2000 | 3500 | $k 8$ seed | $0.88 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 50 | 60 | 70 | $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Tr}$ |
|  | H, H | 2000 | 4000 | 6000 | kg seed | $0.88 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1350 | 1350 | 1350 | F,S, I, To, Tr |
| Sorghum | L | 500 | 1250 | 2000 | kg seed | $0.63 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 50 | 60 | 70 | $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Tr}$ |
|  | H | 1500 | 3000 | 4500 | kg seed | $0.63 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1350 | 1350 | 1350 | $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{To}, \mathrm{Tr}$ |
| Sisal | M, H | 6000 | 13000 | 20000 | kg fibre | 700-1000/ton rope | - | - | - |  |
| Cassava | L | 2000 | 6000 | 10000 | kg tubers | $0.2-0.4 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 50 | 50 | 50 | To |
|  | H | 6000 | 15000 | 30000 | kg tubers |  | . | . . | . |  |
| Sweet potato | L | 2500 | 5000 | 10000 | kg tubers |  | - | . | - |  |
| European potato | L | 2000 | 5000 | 8000 | $k_{8}$ tubers | $1.50 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1300 | 1300 | 1300 | $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Tr}$ |
|  | H | 8000 | 14000 | 20000 | kg tubers | $1.50 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 4200 | 4200 | 4200 | S, I, F, Tr |
| Beans | L | 600 | 1200 | 1800 | kg beans | $2.50 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 100 | 150 | 200 | S,To,Tr |
|  | M, H | 1000 | 2500 | 4000 | kg beans |  | 500 | 575 | 650 | S, F, I, Tr |
| Onions |  | 2500 | 5000 | $7500$ |  | 1-2/kg | 100 | 200 | 300 | S, Tr, To |
|  | H | 5000 | 10000 | $15000$ | kg onions |  | 1000 | 1500 | 2000 | S,F,Tr |
| Tomato | L | 4000 | 7000 | 10000 | kg tomatoes | $2.00 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 100 | 200 | 300 | $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Tr}, \mathrm{To}$ |
|  | H | 7000 | 14000 | 20000 | kg tomatoes |  | 3000 | 4000 | 5000 | S, F, I, To, Tr |
| Banana | L, M | 2500 | 5000 | 10000 | kg | 6/bunch | 15 | 15 | 15 | To |
|  | H | 5000 | 15000 | 30000 |  |  | 250 | 500 | 750 | F |
| Pawpaw | L, M | 2500 | 5000 | 10000 | kg fruit | 1/kg |  |  |  |  |
|  | H | 5000 | 10000 | 15000 |  |  | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | F, I, Tr |
| Grazing/dairy | L | 100 | 200 | 400 | kg milk | 1/liter milk |  | 100/LU |  |  |
|  | M | 500 | 1000 | 2000 | kg milk |  |  | 900/LU |  | 1,Fo.To |
|  | H | 1000 | 2500 | 4000 | kg milk |  |  | 1090/LU |  | I,Fo,To |
| Fish-culture | H |  | 800 |  | kg fish/pond | 8/kg |  | 300 |  | Fo, Tr |
| Beekeeping | H | 40 kg honey and 4 kg wax/hive |  |  |  | 10/kg honey; 25/kg wax |  |  |  | Tr |

1. Source: Extension reports of the Naivasha animal research station, Horticultural development Plan, ministry of Agriculture Nairobi, ministry of Agriculture (1975), Etherington (1973), Acland (1971), ministry of Agriculture (1978), Mïler (1978), Mann (1973), Mar et al. (1966), discussions with staff of BAT, SONY-Sugar Co, Pyrethrum Board, NARS-Kisii, the National Sugar Research Station, Fieldwork by TPIP.
2. $B=$ baskets, trays; $F=$ fertilizer; $F o=f o o d ; 1=$ insecticides andor pesticides; Op $=$ operations by company; Pl $=$ plants; $S=$ seed

To $=$ tools; Tr $=$ transport .
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Explanation of abbreviations

| transition $A / B$ | clay cutans (field observation) |
| :---: | :---: |
| a, abrupt | (see however micromorphological |
| c, clear | laboratory data in report) |
| g. gradual |  |
| d, diffuse | quantity grade |
|  | f, few 1, weak/thin |
| structure | c. common 2, moderate |
| pr, prismatic | m, many 3, strong |
| cpr, columnar |  |
| sb, subangular blocky | clay minerals |
|  | +++, predominant |
|  | ++, common |
| consistance | + few |
| $\underbrace{\text { tr, traces }}_{\substack{\text { fr, friable } \\ \text { ver, very friable } \\ \text { fi, }}}$ |  |
| vfr, very friable |  |
| fi, firm | free carbonates |
| 1, loose | 0 , no effervescence with HC C |
|  | 2, moderate effervescence with HCl |
|  | 3, strong effervescence with HC1 , not determined |
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KE 1982.22
LAND EVALUATION KEY


|  | wores ano Examamoun |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $\underbrace{1,2}_{1: 3}$ |  | Sedem |
|  | Moseme |  |
|  | 边 |  |
|  | 为 | Nosemememememe |
|  | 边 |  |
| ，momb |  |  |
|  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{K}}{8,22} \\
& 8
\end{aligned}
$$


[^0]:    1. Central part of the B2 horizon.
