

Research Article

Comprehensive Analysis of PPAR α -Dependent Regulation of Hepatic Lipid Metabolism by Expression Profiling

Maryam Rakhshandehroo,^{1,2} Linda M. Sanderson,^{1,2} Merja Matilainen,³ Rinke Stienstra,^{1,2}
Carsten Carlberg,³ Philip J. de Groot,^{1,2} Michael Müller,^{1,2} and Sander Kersten^{1,2}

¹Nutrigenomics Consortium, Wageningen Centre for Food Sciences, P.O. BOX 557, 6700 AN Wageningen, The Netherlands

²Nutrition, Metabolism and Genomics Group, Division of Human Nutrition, Wageningen University, P.O. BOX 8129, 6700 EV Wageningen, The Netherlands

³Life Sciences Research Unit, University of Luxembourg, 162A Avenue de la Faïencerie, 1511 Luxembourg, Luxembourg

Correspondence should be addressed to Sander Kersten, sander.kersten@wur.nl

Received 17 May 2007; Accepted 25 July 2007

Recommended by Michael L. Cunningham

PPAR α is a ligand-activated transcription factor involved in the regulation of nutrient metabolism and inflammation. Although much is already known about the function of PPAR α in hepatic lipid metabolism, many PPAR α -dependent pathways and genes have yet to be discovered. In order to obtain an overview of PPAR α -regulated genes relevant to lipid metabolism, and to probe for novel candidate PPAR α target genes, livers from several animal studies in which PPAR α was activated and/or disabled were analyzed by Affymetrix GeneChips. Numerous novel PPAR α -regulated genes relevant to lipid metabolism were identified. Out of this set of genes, eight genes were singled out for study of PPAR α -dependent regulation in mouse liver and in mouse, rat, and human primary hepatocytes, including thioredoxin interacting protein (Txnip), electron-transferring-flavoprotein β polypeptide (Etfb), electron-transferring-flavoprotein dehydrogenase (Etfdh), phosphatidylcholine transfer protein (Pctp), endothelial lipase (EL, Lipp), adipose triglyceride lipase (Pnpla2), hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL, Lipe), and monoglyceride lipase (Mgll). Using an in silico screening approach, one or more PPAR response elements (PPREs) were identified in each of these genes. Regulation of Pnpla2, Lipe, and Mgll, which are involved in triglyceride hydrolysis, was studied under conditions of elevated hepatic lipids. In wild-type mice fed a high fat diet, the decrease in hepatic lipids following treatment with the PPAR α agonist Wy14643 was paralleled by significant up-regulation of Pnpla2, Lipe, and Mgll, suggesting that induction of triglyceride hydrolysis may contribute to the anti-steatotic role of PPAR α . Our study illustrates the power of transcriptional profiling to uncover novel PPAR α -regulated genes and pathways in liver.

Copyright © 2007 Maryam Rakhshandehroo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. INTRODUCTION

The peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) play a pivotal role in the regulation of nutrient metabolism. PPARs are ligand-activated transcription factors that belong to the superfamily of nuclear hormone receptors [1–3]. They share a common mode of action that involves formation of heterodimers with the nuclear receptor RXR, followed by binding to specific DNA-response elements in the promoter of target genes. The genomic sequence recognized by PPARs, referred to as PPAR response element or PPRE, consists of a direct repeat of the consensus hexameric motif AGGTCA interspaced by a single nucleotide. Binding of ligands to PPARs

leads to recruitment of coactivators and causes chromatin remodeling, resulting in initiation of DNA transcription and upregulation of specific PPAR target genes [4, 5]. Ligands for PPARs include both endogenous compounds, such as fatty acids and their eicosanoid derivatives, and synthetic agonists. Three different PPAR subtypes have been identified: PPAR α , PPAR β/δ , and PPAR γ . The latter isotype, which is most highly expressed in adipose tissue, is known to play an important role in adipocyte differentiation and lipid storage [6–8]. It is a target for an important class of antidiabetic drugs, the insulin-sensitizing thiazolidinediones. Expression of PPAR β/δ is ubiquitous and has been connected to wound healing, cholesterol metabolism, and fatty acid oxidation in

adipose tissue and muscle [9–12]. Finally, PPAR α is highly expressed in liver where it stimulates fatty acid uptake and activation, mitochondrial β -oxidation, peroxisomal fatty acid oxidation, ketogenesis, and fatty acid elongation and desaturation. In addition, it has a major role in glucose metabolism [13] and the hepatic acute phase response [14, 15]. Importantly, PPAR α is the molecular target for the hypolipidemic fibrate class of drugs that lower plasma triglycerides and elevate plasma HDL (high-density lipoprotein) levels.

In recent years, microarray technology has emerged as a powerful technique to study global gene expression. In theory, microarray analysis is a terrific tool to map PPAR α -dependent genes and further characterizes PPAR α function. In practice, microarray yields a huge amount of data, the analysis and interpretation of which can be very difficult. Numerous studies have examined the effect of synthetic PPAR α agonists on global gene expression using microarrays. While these studies uncovered many possible PPAR α target genes, the manner in which the data were presented often rendered interpretation difficult. Part of the complexity is due to the size of the PPAR α -dependent transcriptome in liver, which easily exceeds one thousand genes.

The aim of the present study was twofold: (1) to generate a comprehensive overview of PPAR α -regulated genes relevant to hepatic lipid metabolism and (2) to identify possible novel target genes and target pathways of PPAR α connected with lipid metabolism. To that end, we (1) combined microarray data from several independent animal experiments involving PPAR α -null mice (in these experiments, mice were either given Wy14643 or fasted for 24 hours), (2) focused on up-regulation of genes by PPAR α in conformity with the general paradigm of transcriptional regulation by nuclear hormone receptors, and (3) reduced complexity by progressively moving from the complete PPAR α -dependent transcriptome towards genes relevant to lipid metabolism, and finally to the identification of possible PPAR α target genes involved in lipid metabolism.

2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

2.1. Materials

Wy14643 was obtained from ChemSyn Laboratories (Lenexa, KS). Recombinant human insulin (Actrapid) was from Novo Nordisk (Copenhagen, Denmark). SYBR Green was from Eurogentec (Seraing, Belgium). DMEM, fetal calf serum, calf serum, and penicillin/streptomycin/fungizone were from Lonza Bioscience (Verviers, Belgium). Otherwise, chemicals were from Sigma (Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands).

2.2. Animals

Male pure-bred Sv129 and PPAR α -null mice on a Sv129 background were used at 3–5 months of age (Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME). Animals were fed normal laboratory chow (RMH-B diet, Arie Blok animal feed, Wolderden, the Netherlands). Study 1: fed mice were killed at the end of the dark cycle. Fasting was started at the onset of the light cycle for 24 hours ($n = 5$ per group). Stud-

ies 2 and 4: wild-type and PPAR α -null mice were fed with Wy14643 for 5 days by mixing it in their food (0.1%, $n = 5$ per group). Studies 2 and 4 were carried out independently and 2 years apart. Study 3: wild-type and PPAR α -null mice fasted for 4 hours received a single dose of Wy14643 (400 μ L of 10 mg/mL Wy14643 dissolved in 0.5% carboxymethylcellulose) and were killed 6 hours later ($n = 5$ per group). Study 5: wild-type and PPAR α -null mice at 2–3 months of age were given a high-fat diet (D12451, Research Diets, New Brunswick, NJ) for 20 weeks (composition available at <http://www.researchdiets.com/pdf/Data%20Sheets/DIO%20Series.pdf>). During the last week, half of the mice were given Wy14643 for 7 days by mixing it in their food (0.1%, $n = 5$ per group). Livers were dissected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen.

All animal experiments were approved by the animal experimentation committee of Wageningen University and were carried out in conformity with the public health service (PHS) policy on humane care and use of laboratory animals.

2.3. Primary hepatocytes

Rat (Wistar) and mouse (sv129) hepatocytes were isolated by two-step collagenase perfusion as described previously [16]. Cells were plated on collagen-coated six-well plates. Viability was determined by Trypan Blue exclusion, and was at least 75%. Hepatocytes were suspended in William's E medium (Lonza Bioscience, Verviers, Belgium) supplemented with 10% (v/v) foetal calf serum, 20 m-units/mL insulin, 50 nM dexamethasone, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μ g/mL of streptomycin, 0.25 μ g/mL fungizone, and 50 μ g/mL gentamycin. The next day, cells were incubated in fresh medium in the presence or absence of Wy14643 (10 μ M) dissolved in DMSO for 24 hours, followed by RNA isolation.

Human hepatocytes and Hepatocyte Culture Medium Bulletkit were purchased from Lonza Bioscience (Verviers, Belgium). Human hepatocytes were isolated from a single donor. Cells were plated on collagen-coated six-well plates. Upon arrival of the cells, the medium was discarded and was replaced by Hepatocyte Culture Medium. The next day, cells were incubated in fresh medium in the presence or absence of Wy14643 (50 μ M) dissolved in DMSO for 12 hours, followed by RNA isolation.

2.4. Affymetrix microarray

Total RNA was prepared from mouse livers and primary hepatocytes using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Breda, The Netherlands). RNA was either pooled per group or treatment (studies 1 and 2, primary hepatocytes), or used individually (studies 3 and 4), and further purified using RNeasy micro columns (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands). RNA integrity was checked on an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) using 6000 Nano Chips according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was judged as suitable for array hybridization only if samples exhibited intact bands corresponding to the 18S and 28S ribosomal RNA subunits, and displayed no chromosomal peaks or RNA degradation products (RNA Integrity Number >8.0).

Ten micrograms of RNA were used for one cycle cRNA synthesis (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). Hybridization, washing, and scanning of Affymetrix Genechip MOE430 (studies 1 and 2) or mouse genome 430 2.0 arrays (studies 3 and 4) was according to standard Affymetrix protocols.

Scans of the Affymetrix arrays were processed using packages from the Bioconductor project [17]. Expression levels of probe sets were calculated using GCRMA [18], followed by identification of differentially expressed probe sets using Limma [19]. Comparison was between fasted wild-type and fasted PPAR α -null mice (study 1) or between Wy14643-treated wild-type and Wy14643-treated PPAR α -null mice (studies 2–4). *P*-values were corrected for multiple testing using a false discovery rate method [20]. Probe sets that satisfied the criterion of FDR <1% (*q*-value <0.01) and fold-change >1.5 were considered to be significantly regulated. Functional clustering of the array data was performed by a method based on overrepresentation of Gene Ontology (GO) terms [21].

For the primary hepatocytes, expression levels were calculated applying the multichip-modified gamma model for oligonucleotide signal (multi-mgMOS) [22] and a remapped chip description file [23].

All microarray datasets were deposited to gene expression omnibus (GEO). The GEO series accession numbers are as follows: study 1: GSE8290, study 2: GSE8291, study 3: GSE8292, study 4: GSE8295, primary hepatocytes: GSE8302.

2.5. RNA isolation and Q-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from tissues with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Breda, the Netherlands). 1 μ g of total RNA was reverse-transcribed with iScript (Bio-Rad, Venendaal, the Netherlands). cDNA was PCR-amplified with Platinum Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen) on a Bio-Rad iCycler or MyIQ PCR machine. Primers were designed to generate a PCR amplification product of 100–200 bp and were taken from Primerbank (<http://pga.mgh.harvard.edu/primerbank>). Specificity of the amplification was verified by melt-curve analysis and evaluation of efficiency of PCR amplification. The sequence of primers used is available upon request. The mRNA expression of all genes reported was normalized to 36B4 or cyclophilin gene expression.

2.6. In silico screening of putative PPREs using a PPRE classifier

Genomic sequences for mouse genes spanning 20 kbp centered at the transcriptional start site (TSS) were extracted from the Ensembl database (NCBI36) and screened for DR1-type REs with predicted binding strength of at least 1%. The binding strength prediction was based on a PPRE classifier that uses a database of in vitro binding data for PPARs to assign predicted binding strength according to a classification scheme (Matilainen et al. submitted). The conservation of the putative PPREs between mouse, human, dog, and rat were evaluated using the Vertebrate Multiz Alignment and Conservation track available from UCSC genome browser

(NCBI releases for human and mouse genomes, hg18 and mm8, February 2006).

2.7. Histological examination of liver

5 μ sections were cut from frozen liver pieces. For oil red O staining, sections were air dried for 30 minutes, followed by fixation in formal calcium (4% formaldehyde, 1% CaCl₂). Oil red O stock solution was prepared by dissolving 0.5 g oil red O in 500 mL isopropanol. An oil red O working solution was prepared by mixing 30 mL oil red O stock with 20 mL dH₂O. Sections were immersed on working solution for 10 minutes followed by extensive washes in H₂O. Haematoxylin and eosin staining of frozen liver sections was carried out as described (<http://www.ihcworld.com/histology.htm>).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Global analysis of PPAR α -dependent gene regulation

We analyzed the data from 4 independent microarray studies to obtain a comprehensive picture of PPAR α -dependent upregulation of gene expression in mouse liver. In the first study, mRNA was compared between livers of 24-hour fasted wild-type and PPAR α -null mice. In the second study, mRNA was compared between liver of wild-type mice and PPAR α -null mice fed the PPAR α agonist Wy14643 for 5 days. In these two studies, RNA was pooled from 4–5 mice and hybridized to Affymetrix MOE430A GeneChip arrays. Since no biological replicates were analyzed, only a fold-change threshold criteria could be applied. Using a cutoff of 1.5-fold, expression of a total of 1847 probesets was lower in 24-hour fasted PPAR α -null mice compared with 24-hour fasted wild-type mice (Figure 1(a)) (<http://nutrigene.4t.com/microarray/ppar2007>). Using the same cutoff, 2234 probesets were at least 1.5-fold lower in the livers of PPAR α -null mice fed Wy14643 compared to wild-type mice fed Wy14643 (<http://nutrigene.4t.com/microarray/ppar2007>). The number of probesets that overlapped between the two groups was 569. A large proportion of these genes, which are thus under control of PPAR α under pharmacological and physiological conditions, may represent target genes of PPAR α .

In the third study, mRNA was compared between livers of wild-type mice and PPAR α -null mice treated with Wy14643 for 6 hours, while in the fourth study mRNA was compared between livers of wild-type mice and PPAR α -null mice fed Wy14643 for 5 days. Study 4 was carried out independently of study 2 in a different set of mice. For these two studies, biological replicates (4–5 mice per group) were run using Affymetrix mouse genome 430 2.0 GeneChip array, enabling statistical analysis of the data which was not possible for studies 1 and 2. Applying a false discovery rate of 0.01 and a 1.5-fold cutoff, 1679 probesets were lower in the livers of PPAR α -null mice compared to wild-type mice 6 hours after treatment with Wy14643, and 2207 probesets after 5 days of feeding Wy14643 (Figure 1(b)) (<http://nutrigene.4t.com/microarray/ppar2007>). While the




FIGURE 1: Microarray analysis of PPAR α -dependent gene regulation in mouse liver. (a) Venn diagram showing the number of differentially expressed probesets between livers of 24-hour fasted wild-type and PPAR α -null mice, and between wild-type and PPAR α -null mice treated with the PPAR α agonist Wy14643 for 5 days. Pooled RNA was hybridized to Affymetrix MOE430A arrays. A fold-change of >1.5 was used as cutoff. (b) Venn diagram showing the number of differentially expressed probesets between livers of wild-type and PPAR α -null mice treated with the PPAR α agonist Wy14643 for 6 hours, and between wild-type and PPAR α -null mice treated with the PPAR α agonist Wy14643 for 5 days. RNA from individual mice was hybridized to mouse 430 2.0 arrays. Probesets that satisfied the criteria of fold-change >1.5 and FDR <0.01 were considered to be significantly regulated.

majority of genes regulated by PPAR α after 6 hours of Wy14643 treatment were also, and generally more significantly, regulated after 5 days of Wy14643 treatment (overlap of 1001 probesets), many genes were specifically or more significantly regulated after 6 hours, including the direct PPAR target G0S2 and the EL gene, respectively. The complete set of data from studies 2 and 4, which includes up- and downregulated genes, has been submitted to the Peroxisome Proliferators compendium assembled by Dr. J.C. Corton (US EPA, Research Triangle Park, USA). They will be analyzed in conjunction with numerous other microarray experiments involving peroxisome proliferators to obtain the “peroxisome proliferator transcriptome.” In addition, the datasets have been submitted to GEO.

3.2. Pathway analysis of PPAR α -dependent gene regulation

Functional clustering analysis of the microarray data by Gene Ontology classification indicated that numerous Gene On-

tology classes were overrepresented among the genes that were >1.5 -fold upregulated in 24-hour fasted wild-type compared to 24-hour fasted PPAR α -null mice. The same was true for the comparison between wild-type and PPAR α -null mice treated with Wy14643 for 5 days. Among the overrepresented Gene Ontology classes, we found many classes that are known to be governed by PPAR α , including fatty acid beta-oxidation, acyl-CoA metabolism, leukotriene metabolism, and peroxisome organization and biogenesis (<http://nutrigenet.com/microarray/ppar2007>). Interestingly, we also noticed that numerous Gene Ontology classes were specifically upregulated by PPAR α under fasting conditions or by Wy14643 feeding. The data suggest, for example, that pyruvate metabolism and posttranslational protein targeting to membrane are specifically regulated in a PPAR α -dependent manner by Wy14643 but not by fasting. Indeed, it is clear that some genes (e.g., *Acot2* and *Cd36*) are PPAR α -dependently regulated by Wy14643 and much less so by fasting, whereas others (e.g., *Gpam*, *Hmgcs2*) are PPAR α dependently regulated by fasting and much less so by Wy14643. However, it is important to emphasize that the *ErmineJ* Gene Ontology classification, as any functional clustering analysis, needs to be interpreted carefully.

The Gene Ontology classification analysis of the comparison wild-type versus PPAR α -null mice treated with Wy14643 for 6 hours (study 3) was almost identical to the analysis for mice treated with Wy14643 for 5 days (study 4), suggesting that most of the gene expression changes elicited by Wy14643 treatment are fast transcriptional responses in correspondence with direct regulation of gene expression by PPAR α . One notable exception was the class representing the acute phase response, which was regulated by 5-day but not 6-hour treatment with Wy14643.

3.3. Comprehensive list of PPAR α -targets involved in lipid metabolism

Using these lists of genes that are upregulated by PPAR α in mouse liver, we were able to create a comprehensive picture of PPAR α -regulated genes connected with lipid metabolism. Genes in bold are PPAR α dependently regulated by Wy14643 and during fasting, representing a conservative list of PPAR α targets (Figure 2). Genes in normal font are PPAR α dependently regulated in any of the four studies included. From this picture, it is evident that rather than merely regulating the rate limiting enzyme in fatty acid oxidation, PPAR α appears to regulate virtually every single step in the peroxisomal and mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation pathway. Furthermore, many genes involved in fatty acid binding and activation, lipid transport, and glycerol metabolism were controlled by PPAR α . What is remarkable is that PPAR α also governs the expression of numerous genes involved in the synthesis of fats, which runs counter to the idea that PPAR α mainly regulates fat catabolism. Several genes belonging to the lipogenic pathway have previously been recognized as PPAR α targets, including *Mod1* and *Scd1*, yet the extent of regulation by PPAR α is unexpected [24]. Regulation of lipogenesis by PPAR α was mainly observed




FIGURE 2: Overview of PPAR α -regulated genes involved in hepatic lipid metabolism. Genes in bold are PPAR α -dependently regulated during fasting and by Wy14643, representing a conservative list of PPAR α targets. Genes in normal font are PPAR α dependently regulated in any of the four studies included. Functional classification is based on a self-made functional annotation system of genes involved in lipid metabolism (<http://nutrigene.4t.com/microarray/ppar2007>).

after Wy14643 treatment, and to a much lesser extent after fasting.

3.4. Novel putative targets of PPAR α involved in lipid metabolism

In addition to providing an overview of PPAR α -dependent gene regulation, we were interested in identifying novel PPAR α -regulated genes that are implicated in lipid metabolism. To that end, we went through the array data from studies 1 and 2 on the one hand, and studies 3 and 4 on the other hand, and selected a number of genes to generate a heat map showing their PPAR α -dependent upregulation by fasting and/or Wy14643 (Figure 3). To our knowledge, none of the genes shown, all of which are involved in hepatic lipid metabolism, has yet been reported to be regulated by PPAR α . This includes phosphatidylcholine transfer protein (lipoprotein metabolism), glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase (triglyceride synthesis), very low-density lipoprotein receptor, choline phosphotransferase (phosphatidylcholine synthesis), and leptin receptor. Since all of these genes, except Abcg5, Abcg8, and Lipe, were upregulated 6 hours

after Wy14643 treatment, they possibly represent novel direct target genes of PPAR α in liver, although PPRES have yet to be identified in their respective gene promoters.

Eight genes (shown in bold, Figure 3) were selected for more detailed investigation of PPAR α -dependent gene regulation. Three of these genes are expected to be involved in the breakdown of hepatic triglycerides towards fatty acids: adipose triglyceride lipase (Pnpla2), hormone sensitive lipase (Lipe), and monoglyceride lipase (Mgll). Recent studies suggest that this threesome of genes is responsible for adipose tissue lipolysis [25–27]. In addition, we selected endothelial lipase (EL, Lipg), a recently identified member of triglyceride lipase gene family that is a major determinant of plasma HDL cholesterol [28–30], and electron transferring flavoprotein dehydrogenase (Etfdh) and electron transferring flavoprotein β polypeptide (Etfb), which are components of the electron transport chain and accept electrons from at least nine mitochondrial matrix flavoprotein dehydrogenases [31, 32]. Finally, we selected phosphatidylcholine transfer protein (Pctp), which is involved in lipoprotein metabolism, and thioredoxin interacting protein (Txnip), which was recently identified as a major regulator of the




FIGURE 3: PPAR α -dependent regulation in mouse liver of selected genes involved in lipid metabolism as shown by heat map. The (GCRMA normalized) expression data were derived from 4 separate microarray studies. Expression levels in wild-type mice without treatment were set at 1. (a) Expression data derived from studies 1 and 2. (b) Expression data derived from studies 3 and 4. Genes in bold were selected for expression analysis by Q-PCR and in silico screening for putative PPREs.

hepatic response to fasting, similar to PPAR α . The selection of these genes was based entirely on perceived novelty and potential functional importance of the observed regulation. Using real-time quantitative PCR (Q-PCR), we confirmed that the expression of all 8 genes in liver was increased by Wy14643 feeding in a PPAR α -dependent manner (Figure 4(a)). In addition, we measured regulation of expression of this set of genes by PPAR α during the course of fasting (Figure 4(b)). Expression of all 8 genes went up during fasting which, except for Pnpla2, was PPAR α -dependent.

However, the pattern of expression was remarkably different between the various genes, suggesting for each gene a complex and unique interplay between several fasting-dependent transcription factors, including PPAR α .

3.5. PPAR α -dependent regulation in primary hepatocytes

To examine whether the PPAR α -dependent regulation of the set of genes shown in Figure 3 was not an indirect




FIGURE 4: PPAR α governs expression of selected genes in mouse liver. (a) Regulation of expression of selected genes by Wy14643-feeding (5 days) in liver of wild-type (+/+) and PPAR α -null mice (-/-), as determined by Q-PCR. Error bars represent SEM. Differences were evaluated statistically using two-way ANOVA. Significance (p -value) of effect of genotype (G), treatment (T) and interaction (I) between genotype and treatment is indicated in each figure. (b) Regulation of expression of selected genes by fasting in liver of wild-type (■) and PPAR α -null mice (□), as determined by Q-PCR. Error bars represent SEM. Differences in expression between wild-type and PPAR α -null mice at each time point were evaluated by Student t test. * $P < .05$; ** $P < .01$; *** $P < .001$.

consequence of metabolic perturbations elicited by the experimental challenge, we studied the effect of PPAR α activation in primary mouse, rat, and human hepatocytes. Gene expression was first analyzed by microarray (Figure 5(a)), followed by targeted analysis of the selected 8 genes by Q-PCR (Figure 5(b)). Expression levels were calculated by applying a multichip modified gamma model for oligonucleotide signal (multi-mgMOS) [22] and a remapped chip description file [23] to allow for parallel analysis of the same gene within different species. Expression of almost every gene studied was highly upregulated by Wy14643 in mouse and rat hepatocytes, compared to a more modest or no induction in human hepatocytes. For reasons that are not completely clear, in human hepatocytes, data from Q-PCR and microarray did not always perfectly align. Overall, the data indicate that the PPAR α -dependent regulation observed *in vivo*

can be reproduced in primary hepatocytes. Furthermore, the data suggest that expression of 6 genes is governed by PPAR α in human as well.

3.6. *In silico* screening of putative PPRES

To evaluate whether the selected eight genes represent possible direct PPAR target genes, the (mouse) genes were analyzed for the presence of putative PPRES using an *in silico* screening method (Figure 6). Ten kbp up- and downstream of the TSS were examined. For each putative PPRES identified, the predicted PPAR subtype specific binding strength was determined. For each gene, at least one PPRES was identified that was conserved among rat, dog, and human. The *Etfdh* and *Txnip* genes were characterized by the presence of two





FIGURE 5: Regulation of selected genes involved in lipid metabolism in primary hepatocytes by Wy14643. (a) Microarray-based heat map showing relative expression levels of genes calculated using a multichip modified gamma model for oligonucleotide signal (multi-mgMOS) and a remapped chip description file. Expression levels in the absence of ligand were set at 1. (b) Relative induction of expression of selected genes in primary hepatocytes by Wy14643, as determined by Q-PCR. The primary hepatocytes used for Q-PCR and microarray analysis were from independent experiments. Genes were not included when expression was extremely low (Ct > 30). Error bars represent SD. The effect of Wy14643 on gene expression was evaluated by Student *t* test. **P* < .05; ***P* < .01.

very strong putative PPREs that were conserved in human. Up to six putative PPREs were identified in the *Mgll* gene, only one of which was conserved in human. A similar picture was found for *Pnpla2*. The putative PPREs located in the *EL* gene were weak and generally not conserved. Interestingly, a strong putative PPRE was identified in the *Pctp* gene, which however was not conserved in human. Conversely, the human *Pctp* gene contained several putative PPREs that were not conserved in mouse (data not shown).

3.7. PPAR α activation prevents hepatic lipid storage after fasting

Our data extend the role of PPAR α in hepatic lipid metabolism and suggest that PPAR α may govern triglyceride hydrolysis. To find out whether activation of the triglyceride hydrolysis pathway by PPAR α is associated with a decrease in hepatic triglyceride stores, we compared wild-type and

PPAR α -null mice fed an HFD for 20 weeks, followed by treatment for one week with Wy14643. Numerous studies, including ours [33], have shown that chronic HFD increases hepatic triglyceride stores. In wild-type mice fed the HFD, treatment with Wy14643 markedly decreased hepatic lipids (Figures 7(a) and 7(b)), as shown by smaller lipid droplets, which was paralleled by significant induction of expression of *Pnpla2*, *Lipe*, and *Mgll* (Figure 7(c)). These data suggest that induction of the triglyceride hydrolysis pathway may contribute to the overall reduction in liver triglycerides elicited by PPAR α activation.

4. DISCUSSION

The aim of our study was twofold: (1) to generate a comprehensive overview of PPAR α -regulated genes relevant to hepatic lipid metabolism, and (2) to identify possible novel




FIGURE 6: In silico screening for putative PPREs for the selected 8 genes, 10 kbp up- and downstream of the transcriptional start site were examined for the presence of putative PPREs. For each putative PPRE identified, the predicted PPAR subtype specific binding strength was determined, as reflected by the height of the bar. The sequence conservation of the PPRE among various species is indicated.

target genes and target pathways of PPAR α connected with lipid metabolism.

It can be argued that to identify possible novel PPAR α targets, the proper comparison should have been between wild-type and wild-type treated with Wy14643, as opposed to wild-type treated with Wy14643 and PPAR α -null treated with Wy14643, in order to avoid inclusion of genes that are differentially expressed between wild-type and PPAR α -null mice under basal conditions (and could represent genes indirectly regulated by PPAR α). The rationale behind our decision was that we wanted to be open-minded about the PPAR α -dependent transcriptome and not exclude genes that are solely regulated by PPAR α under basal conditions. For example, opting for the comparison wild-type versus wild-type treated with Wy14643 would have led to the ex-

clusion of *Etfdh*, which according to our data represents a prime candidate PPAR α target gene in mouse and human. Furthermore, to enable comparison between the effects of fasting and Wy14643, it was essential to include the PPAR α dependency, since the majority of genes regulated by fasting are regulated in a PPAR α -independent manner.

Gene Ontology classification analysis showed that numerous pathways and biological processes beyond lipid metabolism were regulated by PPAR α . We observed that the expression of almost 1700 probesets was significantly increased 6 hours after a single oral dose of Wy14643. Although not all genes regulated may represent direct PPAR α targets, and even though the functional consequences of the observed regulation still needs to be demonstrated, these data




FIGURE 7: Induction of the triglyceride hydrolysis pathway by Wy14643 is paralleled by a decrease in hepatic lipid stores. Hematoxylin and eosin staining (a) and oil red O staining (b) of representative liver sections of wild-type and PPAR α -null mice treated or not with Wy14643 for 7 days (magnification 200X). All mice were given an HFD for 20 weeks prior to Wy14643 treatment. (c) Hepatic expression of Mgl1, Lipe, and Pnpla2 in the 4 experimental groups as determined by Q-PCR. Error bars represent SEM. Differences were evaluated statistically using two-way ANOVA. Significance (p -value) of effect of genotype (G), treatment (T), and interaction (I) between genotype and treatment is indicated in each figure.

at least suggest a major role for PPAR α in hepatic gene expression and overall liver homeostasis.

In agreement with the first aim, we created a comprehensive overview of hepatic PPAR α -regulated genes connected to lipid metabolism (Figure 2). A functional PPRE has been found in the promoter of several of these genes, classifying them as direct PPAR α target genes, and many more genes have been shown to be upregulated by PPAR α without a functional PPRE having been identified [24]. It can be presumed that the far majority of genes presented in Figure 2 (as well as the other genes that were shown to be regulated by PPAR α) are actually direct target genes of PPAR α , but it is beyond the scope and capac-

ity of the present study to address this issue in more detail. Our hope is that by combination of expression arrays with global analysis of promoter occupancy by PPAR α using chromatin immunoprecipitation and tiling or promoter arrays (so-called ChIP-on Chip analysis), the complete picture of direct PPAR α target genes will be available in the future.

The second aim of our study was to identify possible novel target genes of PPAR α representing specific steps in lipid metabolism unknown to be governed by PPAR α . As part of this effort, we identified several genes for which a link with PPAR α has not yet been reported, including VLDL receptor, leptin receptor, and choline phosphotransferase.

We focused our energy on 8 genes for which regulation by PPAR α was deemed most novel and functionally interesting. All 8 genes, except for *Lipe*, were significantly upregulated 6 hours after treatment with Wy14643.

Using an *in silico* method to screen for PPREs, for each gene several putative PPREs could be located within 10 kbp of the transcriptional start site. Within this region, at least one PPRE was identified that was conserved among rat, dog, and human. The presence of multiple strong putative PPREs within the mouse *Mgll* gene is in correspondence with the marked regulation of *Mgll* expression in mouse liver and isolated hepatocytes. To a lesser extent, this is also true for the *Pnpla2* and *Pctp* genes. Furthermore, the predicted presence of 2 strong, well-conserved putative PPREs in the *Etfhd* and *Txnip* genes is in agreement with the highest fold-induction of these genes by Wy14643 in primary human hepatocytes. Although *in silico* screening may not be able to substitute for analysis of direct promoter binding by CHIP, the predictive power of the method explored has been shown to be remarkably robust (Matilainen et al. submitted). Our results also substantiate the developing notion that PPAR-dependent gene regulation is generally mediated by multiple PPREs, rather than a single PPRE.

One remarkable outcome of the global analysis of gene regulation by PPAR α is that PPAR α appears to play a major role in governing lipogenesis. While several genes involved in lipogenesis were already known as PPAR α targets, including $\Delta 5$ and $\Delta 5$ desaturase (*Fads*), stearyl-CoA desaturase (*Scd*), microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (*Mttp*), and malic enzyme (*Mod1*) [24], the extent of regulation of lipogenesis is somewhat surprising, especially since PPAR α is generally considered to stimulate fat catabolism rather than fat synthesis. It can be speculated that upregulation of fatty acid desaturation and elongation enzymes by PPAR α might serve to stimulate production of PPAR α ligands, and is part of a feed-forward action of PPAR α that also includes autoregulation of gene expression.

Although the triglyceride hydrolysis pathway in liver still has to be fully elucidated, it may very well be similar to the pathway operating in adipose tissue [27]. Adipose tissue triglycerides are likely hydrolyzed in a three-step process catalyzed by adipose triglyceride lipase (*Pnpla2*), hormone sensitive lipase (*Lipe*), and monoglyceride lipase (*Mgll*) [25–27, 34]. Remarkably, deletion of the *Pnpla2* gene in mice not only results in more adipose mass but also causes a marked increase in lipid storage in a variety of organs, including liver and heart, suggesting that the triglyceride hydrolysis pathway is conserved between various organs [27]. Disabling the PPAR α gene is known to increase hepatic triglyceride accumulation, especially under conditions of fasting [33, 35, 36]. Conversely, treatment with PPAR α agonists lowers hepatic triglyceride levels in various models of hepatic steatosis [37–40]. The antisteatotic effect of PPAR α has generally been ascribed to stimulation of fatty acid oxidation, which, by decreasing intracellular fatty acid levels, will act as a drain on intracellular triglyceride stores. However, our data suggest that PPAR α may directly govern the triglyceride hydrolysis pathway in liver via upregulation of lipases *Pnpla2*, *Lipe*, *Mgll*, and possibly *Ces1* and *Ces3* (Figure 2). Although it is

impossible to provide definite experimental proof that induction of the triglyceride hydrolysis pathway by PPAR α , or induction of fatty acid oxidation for that matter, is necessary and sufficient for its hepatic triglyceride-lowering effect, it likely contributes to the overall reduction in liver triglycerides elicited by PPAR α agonists.

Our data suggest that expression of EL is under control of PPAR α . EL, synthesized in endothelial cells, plays an important role in governing plasma lipoprotein concentrations and is a major determinant of plasma HDL cholesterol and apoAI concentrations. Indeed, overexpression of EL in the liver results in a significant decrease in HDL cholesterol and apoAI [28–30]. EL has been shown to have some triglyceride lipase but mainly phospholipase activity [41]. Although *in silico* screening failed to detect a strong PPREs in this gene, in our study EL expression was highly increased by 6 hours Wy14643 treatment and by fasting in a PPAR α -dependent manner, suggesting that EL may be a direct PPAR α target gene. As EL expression was minimal in primary hepatocytes, EL transcripts likely originated from liver epithelial cells rather than liver parenchymal cells. Although further work is necessary, we suspect that EL may be a direct PPAR α target in endothelial cells. Considering that, in contrast to EL, PPAR α agonists raise plasma HDL, the functional importance of regulation of EL by PPAR α needs to be further validated.

Another novel PPAR α -regulated gene of relevance to lipoprotein metabolism is *Pctp*. *Pctp* is a steroidogenic acute regulatory-related transfer domain protein that binds phosphatidylcholines with high specificity. Studies with *Pctp*-null mice suggest that it may modulate HDL particle size and rates of hepatic clearance [42]. According to our data, expression of *Pctp* increases during fasting, which is abolished in PPAR α -null mice. Wy14643 markedly upregulated *Pctp* mRNA in mouse liver as well as in mouse, rat, and human hepatocytes, suggesting it may represent a novel PPAR α target gene.

Etfhd and *Etfb* are essential components of the oxidative phosphorylation pathway. They are responsible for the electron transfer from at least 9 mitochondrial flavin-containing dehydrogenases to the main respiratory chain [31, 32]. According to our data, expression of *Etfhd* and *Etfb* is governed by PPAR α , suggesting that besides the β -oxidation pathway, PPAR α also regulates components of the respiratory chain involved in the transfer of electrons from fatty acids and other molecules.

The last gene that we studied in more detail was *Txnip*, which is also known as *Hyplip1*. A spontaneous mutation within the *Txnip* gene gives rise to a complex phenotype that resembles familial-combined hyperlipidemia, including hypercholesterolemia and hypertriglyceridemia [43]. Recent studies suggest that *Txnip* plays an important metabolic role in the fasting-feeding transition by altering the redox status of the cell, which results in stimulation of the tricarboxylic acid cycle at the expense of ketone body or fatty acid synthesis [44]. Indeed, *Txnip*-deficient mice show elevated plasma ketones, elevated free fatty acids, hypercholesterolemia, and hypertriglyceridemia, yet decreased glucose levels [43, 45]. The phenotype is very similar to that of PPAR α -null mice with

the exception of the elevated plasma ketones. Since hepatic expression of Txnip is decreased in PPAR α -null mice, it can be hypothesized that part of the effect of PPAR α deletion on lipid and glucose metabolism is mediated by downregulation of Txnip in liver, which subsequently might affect redox status. It is unclear to what extent Txnip expression is affected by PPAR α deletion in tissues other than liver.

In conclusion, our data indicate that the role of PPAR α in hepatic lipid metabolism is much more extensive than previously envisioned. By generating a schematic overview of PPAR α -dependent gene regulation in mouse liver, and, for a selected set of genes, by providing evidence for direct regulation by PPAR α in rodents and human, we have extended the role of PPAR α in the control of hepatic lipid metabolism.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Wei Zhu, Rene Bakker, and Oskari Uski for their valuable contributions. M. Rakhshandehroo and L. M. Sanderson are joint first authors.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Kersten, B. Desvergne, and W. Wahli, "Roles of PPARs in health and disease," *Nature*, vol. 405, no. 6785, pp. 421–424, 2000.
- [2] R. M. Evans, G. D. Barish, and Y.-X. Wang, "PPARs and the complex journey to obesity," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 355–361, 2004.
- [3] B. Desvergne and W. Wahli, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: nuclear control of metabolism," *Endocrine Reviews*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 649–688, 1999.
- [4] V. Bocher, I. Pineda-Torra, J.-C. Fruchart, and B. Staels, "PPARs: transcription factors controlling lipid and lipoprotein metabolism," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 967, pp. 7–18, 2002.
- [5] S. A. Smith, "Peroxisomal proliferator-activated receptors and the regulation of lipid oxidation and adipogenesis," *Biochemical Society Transactions*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 1242–1248, 1997.
- [6] D. Ren, T. N. Collingwood, E. J. Rebar, A. P. Wolffe, and H. S. Camp, "PPAR γ knockdown by engineered transcription factors: exogenous PPAR γ 2 but not PPAR γ 1 reactivates adipogenesis," *Genes & Development*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 27–32, 2002.
- [7] E. D. Rosen, C.-H. Hsu, X. Wang, et al., "C/EBP α induces adipogenesis through PPAR γ : a unified pathway," *Genes & Development*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 22–26, 2002.
- [8] E. D. Rosen and B. M. Spiegelman, "Molecular regulation of adipogenesis," *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology*, vol. 16, pp. 145–171, 2000.
- [9] Y.-X. Wang, C.-H. Lee, S. Tjep, et al., "Peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor δ activates fat metabolism to prevent obesity," *Cell*, vol. 113, no. 2, pp. 159–170, 2003.
- [10] Y.-X. Wang, C.-L. Zhang, R. T. Yu, et al., "Regulation of muscle fiber type and running endurance by PPAR δ ," *PLoS Biology*, vol. 2, no. 10, p. e294, 2004.
- [11] M. D. Leibowitz, C. Fiévet, N. Hennuyer, et al., "Activation of PPAR δ alters lipid metabolism in db/db mice," *FEBS Letters*, vol. 473, no. 3, pp. 333–336, 2000.
- [12] N. Di-Poi, N. S. Tan, L. Michalik, W. Wahli, and B. Desvergne, "Antiapoptotic role of PPAR β in keratinocytes via transcriptional control of the Akt1 signaling pathway," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 721–733, 2002.
- [13] D. Patsouris, S. Mandard, P. J. Voshol, et al., "PPAR α governs glycerol metabolism," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 114, no. 1, pp. 94–103, 2004.
- [14] P. Gervois, R. Kleemann, A. Pilon, et al., "Global suppression of IL-6-induced acute phase response gene expression after chronic in vivo treatment with the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α activator fenofibrate," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 279, no. 16, pp. 16154–16160, 2004.
- [15] P. Delerive, J.-C. Fruchart, and B. Staels, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors in inflammation control," *Journal of Endocrinology*, vol. 169, no. 3, pp. 453–459, 2001.
- [16] H. Moshage, A. Casini, and C. S. Lieber, "Acetaldehyde selectively stimulates collagen production in cultured rat liver fat-storing cells but not in hepatocytes," *Hepatology*, vol. 12, no. 3, part 1, pp. 511–518, 1990.
- [17] C. L. Bevins, "The Paneth cell and the innate immune response," *Current Opinion in Gastroenterology*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 572–580, 2004.
- [18] P. R. Devchand, A. K. Hihi, M. Perroud, W.-D. Schleuning, B. M. Spiegelman, and W. Wahli, "Chemical probes that differentially modulate peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α and BLTR, nuclear and cell surface receptors for leukotriene B $_4$," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 274, no. 33, pp. 23341–23348, 1999.
- [19] D. B. Allison, X. Cui, G. P. Page, and M. Sabripour, "Microarray data analysis: from disarray to consolidation and consensus," *Nature Reviews Genetics*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 55–65, 2006.
- [20] D. D. Hong, Y. Takahashi, M. Kushi, and T. Ide, "Divergent effects of eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acid ethyl esters, and fish oil on hepatic fatty acid oxidation in the rat," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, vol. 1635, no. 1, pp. 29–36, 2003.
- [21] M. J. Coon, "Cytochrome P450: nature's most versatile biological catalyst," *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology*, vol. 45, pp. 1–25, 2005.
- [22] X. Liu, M. Milo, N. D. Lawrence, and M. Rattray, "A tractable probabilistic model for Affymetrix probe-level analysis across multiple chips," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 21, no. 18, pp. 3637–3644, 2005.
- [23] M. Dai, P. Wang, A. D. Boyd, et al., "Evolving gene/transcript definitions significantly alter the interpretation of GeneChip data," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 33, no. 20, p. e175, 2005.
- [24] S. Mandard, M. Müller, and S. Kersten, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α target genes," *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 393–416, 2004.
- [25] G. Haemmerle, R. Zimmermann, M. Hayn, et al., "Hormone-sensitive lipase deficiency in mice causes diglyceride accumulation in adipose tissue, muscle, and testis," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 277, no. 7, pp. 4806–4815, 2002.
- [26] R. Zimmermann, J. G. Strauss, G. Haemmerle, et al., "Fat mobilization in adipose tissue is promoted by adipose triglyceride lipase," *Science*, vol. 306, no. 5700, pp. 1383–1386, 2004.
- [27] G. Haemmerle, A. Lass, R. Zimmermann, et al., "Defective lipolysis and altered energy metabolism in mice lacking adipose triglyceride lipase," *Science*, vol. 312, no. 5774, pp. 734–737, 2006.
- [28] T. Ishida, S. Choi, R. K. Kundu, et al., "Endothelial lipase is a major determinant of HDL level," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 111, no. 3, pp. 347–355, 2003.
- [29] W. Jin, J. S. Millar, U. Broedl, J. M. Glick, and D. J. Rader, "Inhibition of endothelial lipase causes increased HDL cholesterol levels in vivo," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 111, no. 3, pp. 357–362, 2003.

- [30] U. C. Broedl, C. Maugeais, D. Marchadier, J. M. Glick, and D. J. Rader, "Effects of nonlipolytic ligand function of endothelial lipase on high density lipoprotein metabolism in vivo," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 278, no. 42, pp. 40688–40693, 2003.
- [31] F. E. Frerman, "Acyl-CoA dehydrogenases, electron transfer flavoprotein and electron transfer flavoprotein dehydrogenase," *Biochemical Society Transactions*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 416–418, 1988.
- [32] J. D. Beckmann and F. E. Frerman, "Electron-transfer flavoprotein-ubiquinone oxidoreductase from pig liver: purification and molecular, redox, and catalytic properties," *Biochemistry*, vol. 24, no. 15, pp. 3913–3921, 1985.
- [33] S. Kersten, J. Seydoux, J. M. Peters, F. J. Gonzalez, B. Desvergne, and W. Wahli, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α mediates the adaptive response to fasting," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 103, no. 11, pp. 1489–1498, 1999.
- [34] C. M. Jenkins, D. J. Mancuso, W. Yan, H. F. Sims, B. Gibson, and R. W. Gross, "Identification, cloning, expression, and purification of three novel human calcium-independent phospholipase A₂ family members possessing triacylglycerol lipase and acylglycerol transacylase activities," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 279, no. 47, pp. 48968–48975, 2004.
- [35] T. C. Leone, C. J. Weinheimer, and D. P. Kelly, "A critical role for the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α) in the cellular fasting response: the PPAR α -null mouse as a model of fatty acid oxidation disorders," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 96, no. 13, pp. 7473–7478, 1999.
- [36] T. E. Akiyama, C. J. Nicol, C. Fievet, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α regulates lipid homeostasis, but is not associated with obesity: studies with congenic mouse lines," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 276, no. 42, pp. 39088–39093, 2001.
- [37] G. Svegliati-Baroni, C. Candelaresi, S. Saccomanno, et al., "A model of insulin resistance and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in rats: role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α and n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid treatment on liver injury," *American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 169, no. 3, pp. 846–860, 2006.
- [38] M. M. Haluzik, Z. Lacinova, M. Dolinkova, et al., "Improvement of insulin sensitivity after peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α agonist treatment is accompanied by paradoxical increase of circulating resistin levels," *Endocrinology*, vol. 147, no. 9, pp. 4517–4524, 2006.
- [39] T. Nagasawa, Y. Inada, S. Nakano, et al., "Effects of bezafibrate, PPAR pan-agonist, and GW501516, PPAR δ agonist, on development of steatohepatitis in mice fed a methionine- and choline-deficient diet," *European Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 536, no. 1-2, pp. 182–191, 2006.
- [40] Y. Harano, K. Yasui, T. Toyama, et al., "Fenofibrate, a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α agonist, reduces hepatic steatosis and lipid peroxidation in fatty liver Shionogi mice with hereditary fatty liver," *Liver International*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 613–620, 2006.
- [41] M. G. McCoy, G.-S. Sun, D. Marchadier, C. Maugeais, J. M. Glick, and D. J. Rader, "Characterization of the lipolytic activity of endothelial lipase," *Journal of Lipid Research*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 921–929, 2002.
- [42] M. K. Wu and D. E. Cohen, "Altered hepatic cholesterol metabolism compensates for disruption of phosphatidylcholine transfer protein in mice," *American Journal of Physiology*, vol. 289, no. 3, pp. G456–G461, 2005.
- [43] J. S. Bodnar, A. Chatterjee, L. W. Castellani, et al., "Positional cloning of the combined hyperlipidemia gene *Hyplip1*," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 110–116, 2002.
- [44] K. L. Donnelly, M. R. Margosian, S. S. Sheth, A. J. Lusis, and E. J. Parks, "Increased lipogenesis and fatty acid reesterification contribute to hepatic triacylglycerol stores in hyperlipidemic *Txnip*^{-/-} mice," *Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 134, no. 6, pp. 1475–1480, 2004.
- [45] S. S. Sheth, L. W. Castellani, S. Chari, et al., "Thioredoxin-interacting protein deficiency disrupts the fasting-feeding metabolic transition," *Journal of Lipid Research*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 123–134, 2005.