

Weeds as important vegetables for farmers

Gisella S. Cruz-Garcia^{1,2*}, Lisa L. Price³

¹ Centre for Crop Systems Analysis, Wageningen University, Box 658, 6700 AR Wageningen, The Netherlands

² International Center for Tropical Agriculture, Km 17 Recta Cali-Palmira, Apartado Aéreo 6713, Cali, Colombia

³ Department of Anthropology, Oregon State University, 2250 SW Jefferson Way, Corvallis, Oregon 97331, USA

Abstract

The objective of this study was to investigate the multiple uses and cognitive importance of edible weeds in Northeast Thailand. Research methods included focus group discussions and freelistings. A total of 43 weeds consumed as vegetable were reported, including economic, naturalized, agricultural and environmental weeds. The weedy vegetables varied considerably on edible parts, presenting both reproductive (flowers, fruits and seeds) and vegetative organs (shoots, leaves, flower stalks, stems or the whole aerial part). The results of this study show that weedy vegetables are an important resource for rice farmers in this region, not only as a food but also because of the multiple additional uses they have, especially as medicine. The fact that the highest Cognitive Salience Index (CSI) scores of all wild vegetables freelisted corresponded to weeds, reinforces the assertion that weeds are culturally cognitively important for local farmers as a vegetable source. This is a key finding, given that these species are targets of common pesticides used in this region.

Keywords: weed, wild food plant, vegetable, salience, use, edible part, Thailand, Southeast Asia

Introduction

A calculation that one out of every ten plants on Earth is a weed means that there are approximately 30000 weed species globally [1]. Although scientists and agricultural extension officers recommend eradicating them, 89% of the most widespread and aggressive weeds in the world are edible [2]. Moreover, many of these species have a high nutritional value and medicinal properties [1].

There is now substantial evidence that farmers all over the globe incorporate selected wild plant species classified as weeds by agricultural scientists into their normal diet. Other edible weed species may be used only in times of scarcity. One way to explain the use of weeds of agriculture in the diet of farmers is that of the botanical dietary paradox [3,4]. The paradox is that as wild edible species of the forest become more distant from the agricultural fields, farmers eat more wild species from the farming areas, and there is a tendency for farmers to eat more wild food plants. This paradox in part can be explained by the fact that the weeds of agriculture enter the diet as the amount of time spent gathering in old growth/pristine areas becomes too burdensome.

Price and Ogle [4] identify three sorts of use values for edible weeds. The first is direct use value which refers to the benefit from the actual use as vegetables for food (as well as the overlap with medicine among other direct uses). Indirect use value of the weed vegetables would include the cultural and social value of the diversity of wild vegetables (expressed for example in local culinary recipes or ritual use). The last kind of value is option value which is that of having and managing the species as a form of insurance for the future (such as insurance against times of drought).

The consumption of weeds is a world-wide phenomenon that is noted as having an important role for human nutrition. Their consumption has been widely reported on the African, American and European continents [1,5–15]. The consumption of weeds is also widespread in Asia, with Bicol's weed recipes in the Philippines as one example [16]. Other examples include the tribal people in the Indian states of Jharkhand, Orissa and West Bengal [17], the use of weeds in preparing traditional Korean and Chinese dishes [18], and in Thailand, where 30% of weeds are reported as edible [19]. Weeds from rice fields are especially widely consumed in Asia, for example in West Bengal, India [20], in Laos [21] and Thailand [19,22,23].

Edible weeds also possess multiple additional uses besides food, such being a source of animal fodder and medicine [16]. For instance, the multiplicity of uses of edible weeds has been reported in India [20], Vietnam [24] and Thailand [19]. The overall utility of weeds for farmers in various ASEAN countries is expounded upon in "Utility of weeds and their relatives as resources" [25] edited by Kim, Shin and Lee.

Despite the growing recognition that weeds constitute an important component of farmer's diets around the world,

* Corresponding author. Email: g.s.cruz@cgjar.org

This is an Open Access digital version of the article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License (creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits redistribution, commercial and non-commercial, provided that the article is properly cited.

detailed studies on the main characteristics of edible weeds and how important farmers think they are for their families and communities are rare. Moreover, weeds are frequently overlooked as a source of food in Thailand by scientists [19], where most weed research is focused on reducing their population [22]. The objective of this study was to investigate the multiple uses and cognitive importance of edible weeds in Northeast Thailand. In this paper an “edible weed” is defined as a wild food plant species (according to the emic conceptualization of farmers in Northeast Thailand) that is classified as a “weed” in the scientific literature [26,27].

Study site

The research on which this paper is based took place in a village in the Northeast region of Thailand. The Northeast is Thailand's largest region and is vulnerable to both drought and floods. The region's rural areas are comprised of poor small-holders who cultivate glutinous rice in paddy fields as the dietary staple and main source of income. There are already substantial indications of the importance of wild foods including plants from the anthropogenic environments of farming areas, to rural households but no specific attention to those species considered weeds.

The earlier research on the region informs us that wild food plants are an important component of the farmer's diet in the Northeast [28–32]. These food plants are gathered from an array of environments related to the farming system and many are commensals to agricultural practices [28,32,33]. These wild vegetables are consumed not only raw but also steamed, in soups and curries [34]. It has been previously proposed [32] that some of these wild food plants were indeed in all probability weeds of agriculture and commensals to farming practices.

Methods

Fieldwork was conducted between 2006 and 2010 in a subsistence oriented rice farming village (Ban Sa-at Tai) in Kalasin Province, Northeast Thailand (Fig. 1). Farming is the main occupation in the village, with lowland cultivation of glutinous rice (or sticky rice) being the main activity. Farmers gather wild food in both the rainy (May through October) and dry season (November to April) [28].

Botanical names of edible weeds were extracted from a previous publication from the authors of this article [28] presenting a thorough list of wild food plants, including weeds, elaborated for the study site. The list of edible weeds consumed as vegetables was compared to the “Global compendium of weeds” [26] and “Weeds reported in South and Southeast Asia” [27].

Focus group discussions provided data on the multiple edible parts and additional uses of edible weeds. The focus groups were comprised of six to nine middle-aged women (34–66 years old) recognized by the villagers to have wide knowledge on wild food plants [35,36]. Women were chosen for the groups given that it has been reported that in Northeast Thailand women are the main wild food plant gatherers and knowledge holders of this resource [37–39].

Freelists were carried out with a total of 130 female villagers as part of a village census with the objective to assess the cognitive salience of wild food plant species. Informants were asked to name all the wild food plants that they know [40].

Freelists were analyzed considering both frequency of mention and mean position of a plant in the lists, combining both measurements in a single index [41]. The Cognitive Saliency Index (CSI) of each plant was calculated as the frequency of mention divided by the weight of the mean position (number of subjects mentioning the species multiplied by the mean position of the term across informants' lists). It is assumed that the items mentioned first in a list are more salient than those mentioned last. The calculation is based on the scoring across informants that can range from 0–1, with 1 representing all informants having mentioned an item first and thus at the top of the list (most salient), and 0 representing no one mentioning an item (least salient).



Fig. 1 Location of Kalasin Province, Northeast Thailand.

Results

Weeds consumed as vegetables in Kalasin, Northeast Thailand

From a total of 87 wild food plants reported for Kalasin, Northeast Thailand [28], 65 plants are consumed as vegetable (51 are consumed only as vegetable and 14 also as fruit). Two thirds of the vegetables (66%) are classified as weeds in the scientific literature [26,27]. These plants include trees, climbers, aquatic and terrestrial herbs, bamboos and shrubs. Out of this total (43 plants), 40 plants were identified to the species level corresponding to 39 different species (two plants are different varieties of the same species), and three plants were identified to the genus level. Given that this study is only focused on weeds consumed as vegetables, the results presented from now onwards are based on the list of 43 weedy vegetables (Tab. 1).

Tab. 1 List of weeds consumed as vegetables in Kalasin, Northeast Thailand, indicating multiple edible parts, multiple uses and weed classification in the scientific literature.

Botanical name	Status according to the Global Compendium of Weeds ¹	Classified as weed in the rice fields of		Edible part(s)	Additional use(s)
		Southeast Asia ²	Thailand ³		
<i>Adenanthera pavonina</i> L.	cultivation escape, environmental weed, naturalised, noxious weed, economic weed			shoot, flower	food
<i>Aegle marmelos</i> Corrèa	naturalised			shoot, fruit	food, medicine, ritual
<i>Amaranthus viridis</i> L.	agricultural weed, casual alien, cultivation escape, environmental weed, garden thug, naturalised, economic weed	✓	✓	shoot, whole aerial parts	food, medicine, fodder
<i>Asparagus racemosus</i> Willd.	quarantine weed			shoot	food
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> A. Juss. var. <i>indica</i>	agricultural weed, environmental weed, garden thug, naturalised, noxious weed, sleeper weed, economic weed			shoot, flower	food, medicine, agriculture
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> A. Juss. var. <i>siamensis</i>	agricultural weed, environmental weed, garden thug, naturalised, noxious weed, sleeper weed, economic weed			shoot, flower	food, medicine, timber, agriculture
Valeton	economic weed			shoot	food, handicraft, fodder
<i>Bambusa bambos</i> (L.) Voss	agricultural weed, casual alien, naturalised, economic weed	✓	✓	shoot	food, medicine
<i>Basella rubra</i> L.	agricultural weed, casual alien, naturalised, economic weed			shoot	food, medicine, ritual
<i>Blumea</i> sp.	agricultural weed, casual alien, cultivation escape, environmental weed, naturalised, economic weed			seed	food, medicine
<i>Cajanus cajan</i> (L.) Millsp.	agricultural weed, casual alien, cultivation escape, environmental weed, naturalised, economic weed			shoot, flower	food
<i>Careya arborea</i> Roxb.	environmental weed, garden thug, naturalised, economic weed			shoot	food, medicine, cleaning
<i>Cassia siamea</i> Lam.	agricultural weed, naturalised, economic weed			leaves, flower, stalk of flower, stem	food
<i>Cassyntha filiformis</i> L.	agricultural weed, environmental weed, naturalised, economic weed	✓	✓	whole aerial parts	food, medicine
<i>Centella asiatica</i> (L.) Urb.	naturalised, economic weed			shoot, leaves	food, medicine
<i>Cissampelos parvira</i> L.	agricultural weed, cultivation escape, environmental weed, garden thug, naturalised, noxious weed, economic weed	✓	✓	shoot, flower, fruit	food, medicine, fodder
<i>Coccinia grandis</i> (L.) Voigt	agricultural weed, environmental weed, naturalised, economic weed			whole aerial parts	food
<i>Cuscuta chinensis</i> Lam.	agricultural weed, naturalised, economic weed	✓	✓	shoot, flower	food, handicraft, fodder
<i>Eichhornia crassipes</i> (Mart.) Solms	agricultural weed, casual alien, cultivation escape, environmental weed, garden thug, naturalised, noxious weed, sleeper weed, economic weed	✓	✓	whole aerial parts	food
<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i> (L.) DC.	agricultural weed, cultivation escape, environmental weed, naturalised, economic weed	✓	✓	shoot, flower	food, handicraft, fodder
<i>Glinus oppositifolius</i> (L.) Aug. DC.	weedy			whole aerial parts	food
<i>Hydrolea zeylanica</i> (L.) J.Vahl	agricultural weed, environmental weed, naturalised, economic weed	✓	✓	shoot, flower	food, medicine
<i>Ipomoea aquatica</i> Forssk.	agricultural weed, cultivation escape, environmental weed, naturalised, noxious weed, economic weed	✓	✓	shoot	food, medicine, fodder
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lam.) de Wit	agricultural weed, cultivation escape, environmental weed, garden thug, naturalised, noxious weed, economic weed			shoot, leaves, fruit	food, medicine, fuel, fodder, agriculture
<i>Limnorchis flava</i> Buchenau	agricultural weed, environmental weed, naturalised, noxious weed, economic weed	✓	✓	shoot, flower, stalk of flower, fruit	food
<i>Limnophila aromatica</i> Merr.	agricultural weed, economic weed	✓	✓	whole aerial parts	food, medicine

Tab. 1 (continued)

Botanical name	Status according to the Global Compendium of Weeds ¹	Classified as weed in the rice fields of			Additional use(s)
		Southeast Asia ²	Thailand ²	Edible part(s)	
<i>Lobelia begoniifolia</i> Wall.	agricultural weed	✓		whole aerial parts	food
<i>Lobelia</i> sp.	naturalised	✓	✓	whole aerial parts	food
<i>Ludwigia adscendens</i> (L.) H. Hara	agricultural weed, environmental weed, economic weed	✓	✓	shoot, leaves, stem	food, medicine, fodder
<i>Marsilea crenata</i> C. Presl	agricultural weed, environmental weed, naturalised, economic weed	✓	✓	whole aerial parts	food, medicine
<i>Momordica charantia</i> L.	agricultural weed, casual alien, cultivation escape, environmental weed, garden thug, naturalised, economic weed	✓		shoot, fruit	food, medicine
<i>Monochoria hastata</i> (L.) Solms	agricultural weed, environmental weed, naturalised, noxious weed, economic weed	✓	✓	shoot, flower, stalk of flower	food, handicraft, fodder
<i>Monochoria vaginalis</i> C. Presl	agricultural weed, cultivation escape, environmental weed, naturalised, noxious weed, economic weed	✓	✓	whole aerial parts	food, medicine
<i>Neptunia oleracea</i> Lour.	naturalised, economic weed	✓		shoot	food
<i>Nymphaea pubescens</i> Willd.	agricultural weed, casual alien, cultivation escape, environmental weed, naturalised, economic weed	✓	✓	stalk of flower	food, medicine
<i>Nymphaoides indica</i> (L.) Kuntze	agricultural weed, environmental weed, naturalised, economic weed	✓	✓	shoot	food
<i>Oenanthe javanica</i> DC.	agricultural weed, environmental weed, naturalised, economic weed	✓	✓	shoot	food
<i>Ottelia alismoides</i> (L.) Pers.	agricultural weed, environmental weed, naturalised, noxious weed, economic weed	✓	✓	whole aerial parts	food
<i>Passiflora foetida</i> L.	agricultural weed, cultivation escape, environmental weed, naturalised, economic weed	✓	✓	shoot, fruit	food
<i>Phyllanthus acidus</i> (L.) Skeels	cultivation escape, environmental weed, naturalised, economic weed			shoot, fruit	food, medicine, ritual
<i>Senna sophora</i> (L.) Roxb.	naturalised, economic weed			shoot, flower, fruit	food, medicine
<i>Spirogyra</i> sp.	economic weed	✓		whole aerial parts	food, medicine
<i>Spondias pinnata</i> Kurz	naturalised			leaves, fruit	food, medicine, timber
<i>Tamarindus indica</i> L.	cultivation escape, environmental weed, garden thug, naturalised, economic weed			shoot, fruit	food, medicine, timber, fuel, fodder, dye, cleaning

¹ By HEAR [26], the definition of each category is available at <http://www.hear.org/gcw/gcwterms/#status>. ² By Moody [27].

The Global Compendium of Weeds [26] groups species according to different statuses. The reported weedy vegetables include species corresponding altogether to ten different statuses. Eighty eight percent of the plants were classified as “economic weeds” that refers to those with economic impact, 81% of plants were regarded as “naturalized weeds” or plants with self-spreading populations, 65% correspond to “agricultural weeds” that include those present in farming areas, 60% are “environmental weeds” referring to plants that invade native ecosystems, 33% were classified as “cultivation escapes” including plants that escaped from farming areas or gardens, 26% are “noxious weeds” corresponding to species with legal restrictions in some countries, 21% were classified as “garden thugs” or invasive plants that can quickly get out of control in gardens, 14% are “casual aliens” or plants that appear eventually and apparently without direct human intervention, 7% were regarded as “sleeper weeds” including species that pose a future threat, and, finally one species was classified as “quarantine weed” or forbidden to enter a country due to quarantine regulations.

Eighty one percent of the plants showed more than one status. The species classified in more than 50% of the categories were: *Eichhornia crassipes* presenting nine statuses; *Amaranthus viridis*, *Momordica charantia*, *Coccinia grandis*, *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Azadirachta indica* var. *indica* and *A. indica* var. *siamensis* showing seven; *Cajanus cajan*, *Nymphaea pubescens*, *Ipomoea aquatica* and *Monochoria vaginalis* presenting six different statuses.

The weedy vegetables were also categorized according to their classification as weeds in rice fields. These plants included climbers, aquatic and terrestrial herbs only. Trees, shrubs and bamboo species did not appear in the lists of rice weeds. These plants grow in habitats associated to rice cultivation such as shelters and tree rows (but not in rice plots per se), as well as in home gardens, roadsides and secondary woods, which altogether are part of the local farming landscape. More than half of the weedy vegetables (58%), such as the climber *Cuscuta chinensis*, have been classified as weeds in the rice fields of Southeast Asia, and 42%, such as the herb *Ipomoea aquatica*, as rice weeds in Thailand [27].

Multiple edible parts and multiple uses of weeds

The weedy vegetables varied considerably on edible parts, presenting both reproductive (flowers, fruits and seeds) and vegetative organs (shoots, leaves, flower stalks, stems or the whole aerial part). Eight categories were established: young shoots are eaten in 65% of the plants, whole aerial parts in 28%, flowers in 26%, fruits in 23% (however, in most of the cases the fruit is not eaten as a vegetable and for all these species either the shoots or leaves are consumed as vegetable), leaves in 12% of the plants, flower stalks in 9%, stems in 5%, and seeds in one species. Almost half of the plants (49%) had more than one edible part. In this way, for 5% of the plants four parts are eaten, such as *Cassitha filiformis* (leaves, flower, stalk of flower, stem) and *Limnocharis flava* (shoot, flower, stalk of flower, fruit). For 12% of the plants three parts are eaten, for example *Ludwigia adscendens* (shoot, leaves, stem). Finally, 33% of plants have two edible parts, such as *Azadirachta indica* var. *indica* (shoot, flower).

Roughly two thirds of the weedy vegetables (65%) had uses in addition to food, up to a total of nine different uses. Medicine was the most commonly reported additional use for more than half of the plants (58%), followed by fodder (21%

of the plants). Timber (which comprised weedy tree species that are not specifically considered as rice weeds), handicraft manufacture, ritual use and auxiliary uses in agriculture were reported for 7% of the weeds. Two species are used as fuel and for cleaning, whereas one plant is utilized as a dye (natural colorant). The species that had the most additional uses were the trees *Tamarindus indica* (medicine, timber, fuel, fodder, dye, cleaning), *Leucaena leucocephala* (medicine, fuel, fodder, agriculture) and *Azadirachta indica* var. *siamensis* (medicine, timber, agriculture). Rice weeds, which exclude tree, bamboo and shrub species, presented a maximum of two additional uses. Thirty per cent of the weedy vegetables presented two additional uses, such as the aquatic herb *Ludwigia adscendens* (medicine, fodder) and, finally, 23% of the plants have only one additional use.

Weed salience as a measurement of importance as food

The list of weedy vegetables was compared to the results of the freelisting of wild vegetables conducted at the study site. More than half of the freelisted plants (53%, 32 species) are weeds, corresponding to 75% of the weedy vegetables identified in this research. Moreover, 15 of the 19 species that had the highest CSI score are weeds. The wild vegetables with the highest CSI score were *Ipomoea aquatica* (0.359) and *Limnophila aromatica* (0.173), which are aquatic herbs regarded as rice weeds. Both are medicinal annual plants growing in rice fields and home gardens. *I. aquatica* is a common target of herbicides. Other plants that had a high CSI score were the climber *Coccinia grandis* (0.122), the aquatic weed *Limnocharis flava* (0.105), the tree *Leucaena leucocephala* (0.096), the aquatic fern *Marsilea crenata* (0.091) and the herb *Centella asiatica* (0.090). *Glinus oppositifolius*, which showed also to have a high CSI score (0.086), grows in the non-irrigated fields in the

Tab. 2 List of most salient weeds out of the freelist of wild vegetables, indicating the Sutrop's CSI score, frequency of mention ($n = 130$) and percentage of respondents who listed the plant.

Botanical name	Sutrop's CSI	Frequency of mention ($n = 130$)	Percentage of respondents (%)
<i>Ipomoea aquatica</i> Forssk.	0.359	110	84
<i>Limnophila aromatica</i> Merr.	0.175	111	85
<i>Coccinia grandis</i> (L.) Voigt	0.123	73	56
<i>Limnocharis flava</i> Buchenau	0.111	88	67
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lam.) de Wit	0.095	49	37
<i>Centella asiatica</i> (L.) Urb.	0.091	86	66
<i>Marsilea crenata</i> C. Presl	0.091	67	51
<i>Glinus oppositifolius</i> (L.) Aug. DC.	0.087	78	60
<i>Nymphaea pubescens</i> Willd.	0.072	67	51
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> A. Juss. var. <i>siamensis</i> Valetton	0.062	59	45
<i>Amaranthus viridis</i> L.	0.060	60	46
<i>Cassia siamea</i> Lam.	0.059	63	48
<i>Neptunia oleracea</i> Lour.	0.049	33	25
<i>Careya arborea</i> Roxb.	0.039	38	29
<i>Monochoria hastata</i> (L.) Solms	0.032	34	26

hot dry season constituting an important dietary complement in this period of the year. Tab. 2 presents the list of the weedy vegetables that exhibited the highest CSI scores.

Discussion and conclusions

The results of this study show that weedy vegetables are an important resource for rice farmers in Kalasin, Northeast Thailand, not only as food but also because of the multiple additional uses they have. This is certainly important in this region that is the poorest in the country [42]. It is particularly remarkable that more than half of the weedy vegetables identified are also regarded as sources of medicine, which is consistent with previous findings in the research area [43]. The overlapping roles of wild plants as food and medicine have been discussed by various authors [12,44–46], and have been reported in different regions in the world such as Palestine [47], China [48] and, certainly, Thailand [19,22]. Additionally, the use of weeds as medicinal plants has also been discussed in the scientific literature, for instance regarding home garden weeds in South Africa [49,50] and rice weeds in Chhattisgarh, Eastern India [50].

Comparing with previous studies conducted in Thailand, the list of weedy vegetables obtained with the present research includes 11 vegetables that have also been reported by Vongsaraj and Nuntasomsaran [22], as well as 16 vegetables also listed by Maneechote [19]. However, most weeds reported in the list have not been registered by these authors. Some of Northeast Thailand's edible weeds are also consumed in other Asian countries, such as *Centella asiatica* in India and China [20,51], *Glinus oppositifolius* in India [20], *Amaranthus viridis* and *Ipomoea aquatica* in the Philippines and China [16,51,52], *Coccinia grandis* in Vietnam [24], as well as *Momordica charantia* in Vietnam [24] and China [51].

The fact that the highest CSI scores of all wild vegetables freelisted corresponded to weeds, reinforces the assertion that weeds are culturally cognitively important for local farmers as a vegetable source. Indeed these species are a major part of the diet and culinary tradition in the region. This is a crucial finding, given that these plants are not only regarded as weeds to be eliminated by agronomists and rural extension services, but also are targets of common pesticides used in this area.

Acknowledgements

This study belongs to the multidisciplinary project “Wild vegetables, fruits and mushrooms in rural household well-being: An in-depth multidisciplinary village study in Northeast Thailand” funded by the Neys van Hoogstraaten Foundation. This study was supported with a UNESCO-L'ORÉAL Fellowship for Young Women in Science and the economic support of Het Schure-Beijerinck-Popping Fonds from the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (The Netherlands). Part of the research was carried out under the auspices of the Weed Sciences Group of the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI). We are grateful to Paul Peters, Paitoon Nakvanich, Wasana Pupak, Siriporn Kotawinon and Bangon Phetsugan. Our thanks are extended to Dr. Viyouth Chamruspanth from Khon Kaen University and Chantita Setalaphruk for their work on the village census including the freelistings.

References

- Duke JA. Handbook of edible weeds. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 1992.
- Rapoport EH, Raffaele E, Ghermandi L, Margutti L. Edible weeds: a scarcely used resource. Bull Ecol Soc Am. 1995;76(3):163–166. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/20167947>
- Ogle BM, Grivetti LE. Legacy of the chameleon: edible wild plants in the Kingdom of Swaziland, Southern Africa. A cultural, ecological, nutritional study. Part II – demographics, species availability and dietary use, analysis by ecological zone. Ecol Food Nutr. 1985;17(1):1–30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03670244.1985.9990879>
- Price L, Ogle BM. Gathered indigenous vegetables in Mainland Southeast Asia: a gender asset. In: Resurreccion BP, Elmhirst R, editors. Gender and natural resource management livelihoods, mobility and interventions. London: Earthscan; 2008. p. 213–242.
- Grivetti LE, Frentzel CJ, Ginsberg KE, Howell KL, Ogle BM. Bush foods and edible weeds of agriculture: perspectives on dietary use of wild plants in Africa, their role in maintaining human nutritional status and implications for agricultural development. In: Akhtar R, editor. Health and disease in tropical Africa: geographical and medical viewpoints. London: Harwood Academic Publishers; 1987. p. 51–81.
- Tanji A. Edible weeds in Morocco. Weed Technol. 1995;9(3):617–620.
- Diaz-Betancourt M, Ghermandi L, Ladio A, López-Moreno I, Raffaele E, Rapoport E. Weeds as a source for human consumption. A comparison between tropical and temperate Latin America. Rev Biol Trop. 1999;47(3):329–338.
- Casas A, Del Carmen Vázquez M, Viveros JL, Caballero J. Plant management among the Nahua and the Mixtec in the Balsas River Basin, Mexico: an ethnobotanical approach to the study of plant domestication. Hum Ecol. 1996;24(4):455–478. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02168862>
- Vieyra-Odilon L, Vibrans H. Weeds as crops: the value of maize field weeds in the Valley of Toluca, Mexico. Econ Bot. 2001;55(3):426–443.
- Linares Mazari E, Aguirre J. Los quelites, un tesoro culinario. México: National Autonomous University of Mexico; 1992.
- Etkin NL. The cull of the wild. In: Etkin NL, editor. Eating on the wild side: the pharmacologic, ecologic, and social implications of using noncultigens. Tucson AZ: University of Arizona Press; 1994. p. 1–21.
- Etkin NL, Ross P. Pharmacologic implications of “wild” plants in Hausa diet. In: Etkin NL, editor. Eating on the wild side: the pharmacologic, ecologic, and social implications of using noncultigens. Tucson AZ: University of Arizona Press; 1994. p. 85–101.
- Galt AH, Galt JW. Peasant use of some wild plants on the island of Pantelleria, Sicily. Econ Bot. 1978;32(1):20–26.
- Pieroni A. Gathered wild food plants in the upper valley of the Serchio River (Garfagnana), Central Italy. Econ Bot. 1999;53(3):327–341. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02866645>
- Turner NJ, Łuczaj EJ, Migliorini P, Pieroni A, Dreon AL, Sacchetti LE, et al. Edible and tended wild plants, traditional ecological knowledge and agroecology. Cr Rev Plant Sci. 2011;30(1–2):198–225. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2011.554492>
- Marcelino LR, Inocencio AI, Zaballa CC, Paller EC. Bicol's weed recipes. Philipp J Weed Sci. 2005;23:40–43.
- Sinha R, Lakra V. Edible weeds of tribals of Jharkhand, Orissa and West Bengal. Indian J Tradit Know. 2007;6(1):217–222.
- Pemberton RW, Lee NS. Wild food plants in South Korea; market presence, new crops, and exports to the United States. Econ Bot. 1996;50(1):57–70. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02862113>
- Maneechote C. Utilization of weeds and their relatives as resources in Thailand. In: Kim KU, Shin DH, Lee IJ, editors. Utility of weeds and their relatives as resources. Daegu: Kyungpook National University; 2007. p. 107–121.
- Datta SC, Banerjee AK. Useful weeds of West Bengal rice fields. Econ Bot. 1978;32(3):297–310. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02864704>

21. Kosaka Y, Takeda S, Sithirajvongsa S, Xaydala K. Plant diversity in paddy fields in relation to agricultural practices in Savannakhet Province, Laos. *Econ Bot.* 2006;60(1):49–61. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1663/0013-0001\(2006\)60\[49:PDIPFI\]2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1663/0013-0001(2006)60[49:PDIPFI]2.0.CO;2)
22. Vongsaroj P, Nuntasomsaran P. Weed utilization in Thailand. Proceedings II of the 17th Asian-Pacific Weed Science Society Conference “Weeds and environmental impact”, 22–27 November, 1999. Bangkok: The Organisation of the 17th APWSS Conference; 1999.
23. Dalodom A. Weed technology in the 2000. Good agricultural year in Thailand. Proceedings II of the 17th Asian-Pacific Weed Science Society Conference “Weeds and environmental impact”, 22–27 November, 1999. Bangkok: The Organisation of the 17th APWSS Conference; 1999.
24. van Chin D. Utilization of weeds in Vietnam. Proceedings II of the 17th Asian-Pacific Weed Science Society Conference “Weeds and environmental impact”, 22–27 November, 1999. Bangkok: The Organisation of the 17th APWSS Conference; 1999.
25. Kim KU, Shin DH, Lee IJ, editors. Utility of weeds and their relatives as resources. Daegu: Kyungpook National University; 2007.
26. Global compendium of weeds [Internet]. Hawaiian Ecosystems at Risk project (HEAR). 2007 [cited 2010 Dec 8]; Available from: <http://www.hear.org/gcw/>
27. Moody K. Weeds reported in rice in South and Southeast Asia. Los Baños: International Rice Research Institute; 1989.
28. Cruz-Garcia GS, Price LL. Ethnobotanical investigation of “wild” food plants used by rice farmers in Kalasin, Northeast Thailand. *J Ethnobiol Ethnomed.* 2011;7(1):33. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1746-4269-7-33>
29. Grandstaff S, Grandstaff TB, Rathakette P, Thomas DE, Thomas JK. Trees in paddy fields in Northeast Thailand. In: Marten GG, editor. *Traditional agriculture in Southeast Asia: a human ecology perspective*. London: Westview Press; 1986. p. 273–292.
30. Lyndon W, Yongvanit S. Biological diversity and community lore in Northeastern Thailand. *J Ethnobiol.* 1995;15(1):71–87.
31. Moreno-Black G, Price L. The marketing of gathered food as an economic strategy of women in Northeastern Thailand. *Hum Organ.* 1993;52(4):398–404.
32. Price LL. Wild plant food in agricultural environments: a study of occurrence, management, and gathering rights in Northeast Thailand. *Hum Organ.* 1997;56(2):209–221.
33. Somnasang P, Moreno-Black G. Knowing, gathering and eating: knowledge and attitudes about wild food in an Asian village in Northeastern Thailand. *J Ethnobiol.* 2000;20(2):197–216.
34. Coomklang A, Sakulcoo S, Prakanong R. *Food dishes in Northeast Thailand*. Bangkok: Institute for Agricultural Training; 2000.
35. Bernard HR. *Research methods in anthropology. Qualitative and quantitative approaches*. Walnut Creek CA: Altamira Press; 2002.
36. Pelto PJ, Pelto GH. *Anthropological research: the structure of inquiry*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1996.
37. Moreno-Black G, Somnasang P, Thamthawan S. Women in Northeastern Thailand: preservers of botanical diversity. *Indigenous Knowledge and Development Monitor.* 1994;2(3):24.
38. Somnasang P. *Indigenous food use: gender issues in rural Northeast Thailand* [PhD thesis]. Eugene OR: University of Oregon; 1996.
39. Price L. Farm women's rights and roles in wild plant food gathering and management in North-East Thailand. In: Howard PL, editor. *Women & plants: gender relations in biodiversity management and conservation*. New York NY: Zed Books; 2003. p. 101–114.
40. Borgatti S. Elicitation techniques for cultural domain analysis. In: Schensul JJ, Le Compte MD, Nastasi BK, Borgatti SP, editors. *Enhanced ethnographic methods: audiovisual techniques, focussed group interviews and elicitation techniques*. Walnut Creek CA: AltaMira Press; 1999. p. 115–151.
41. Sutrop U. List task and a cognitive salience index. *Field Methods.* 2001;13(3):263–276. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1525822X0101300303>
42. National Statistical Office of Thailand. *The 2000 population and housing census*. Kalasin: National Statistical Office; 2001.
43. Price L. Wild food plants in farming environments with special reference to Northeast Thailand, food as functional and medicinal, and the social roles of women. In: Pieroni A, Price LL, editors. *Eating and healing: traditional food as medicine*. New York NY: Food Products Press; 2006. p. 65–99.
44. Ogle BM, Tuyet HT, Duyet HN, Xuan Dung NN. Food, feed or medicine: the multiple functions of edible wild plants in Vietnam. *Econ Bot.* 2003;57(1):103–117. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1663/0013-0001\(2003\)057\[0103:FFOMTM\]2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1663/0013-0001(2003)057[0103:FFOMTM]2.0.CO;2)
45. Pieroni A, Quave CL. Functional foods or food medicines? On the consumption of wild plants among Albanians and Southern Italians in Lucania. In: Pieroni A, Price LL, editors. *Eating and healing: traditional food as medicine*. New York NY: Food Products Press; 2006. p. 101–129.
46. Vandebroek I, Sanca S. Food medicines in the Bolivian Andes (Apillapampa, Cochabamba Department). In: Pieroni A, Price LL, editors. *Eating and healing: traditional food as medicine*. New York NY: Food Products Press; 2006. p. 273–295.
47. Ali-Shtayah MS, Jamous RM, Al-Shafie JH, Elgharabah WA, Kherfan FA, Qarariah KH, et al. Traditional knowledge of wild edible plants used in Palestine (Northern West Bank): A comparative study. *J Ethnobiol Ethnomed.* 2008;4(1):13. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1746-4269-4-13>
48. Wujisguleng W, Khasbagen K. An integrated assessment of wild vegetable resources in Inner Mongolian Autonomous Region, China. *J Ethnobiol Ethnomed.* 2010;6(1):34. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1746-4269-6-34>
49. Geldenhuys CJ. Weeds or useful medicinal plants in the rural home garden? *Food Nutr Bull.* 2007;28(2 suppl):392–397.
50. Oudhia PP. Common rice weeds used for first aid by Chhattisgarh farmers. *Agric Sci Dig.* 2001;21(4):273–274.
51. Hu SY. *Food plants of China*. Hong Kong: Chinese University Press; 2005.
52. Kang Y, Łuczaj Ł, Ye S, Zhang S, Kang J. Wild food plants and wild edible fungi of Heihe valley (Qinling Mountains, Shaanxi, central China): herbophilia and indifference to fruits and mushrooms. *Acta Soc Bot Pol.* 2012;81(4):405–413. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5586/asbp.2012.044>