The amount of substrate required for growth of seeds, fruits, and other storage organs is computed for 23 major crops. The computations are based on knowledge of the biochemical conversion processes that occur during growth, and the biochemical composition of the storage organs. The amount of substrate required for maintenance processes in these organs is estimated from literature data. The procedures in calculating the growth processes are explained and justified. The substrate requirement for synthesis of 1 kg of the total storage organ varies from 1.3 to 2.4 kg glucose, and from 1.6 to 5.5 kg glucose when the substrate is expressed per kg of the storage organ principal component. Synthesis of 1 kg of the total storage organ requires 0.02-0.3 kg amides, or 0.02-0.4 kg amides/kg of the principal component. Respiration during growth is also computed.

There is good evidence that there is no scope for improvement of the efficiency with which plants convert substrates into storage organs. Higher yields per unit of substrate can be achieved only by the production of energetically cheaper storage organs. Maintenance of the storage organs during their development consumes 6 to 25% of the total substrate requirement for their growth. Research should further quantify this fraction and indicate the scope for breeding and selection of varieties with lower maintenance requirements.

The assumption that the efficiency of transfer of nitrogen within the plant toward seeds, fruits, and storage organs is 100% is probably incorrect.
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and requires elaborate measurements for each species. A theoretical approach, however, seems feasible; it has no major problems, and is fast and fairly reliable. We show how to calculate the amount of substrate required for synthesis, transport, and maintenance processes occurring during the growth of seeds, fruits, and other storage organs.

Knowing the substrate requirement for growth, we can compute the yield of a crop using the amount of available substrate. The substrate requirement can also be used inversely to indicate the amount of extra substrate needed for the formation of 1 additional kg of end product.

The substrate for growth consists of carbohydrates and amino acids. Concurrent assimilation processes are almost exclusively the source of substrate for storage organs of tuber crops. In other crops, a considerable fraction of the substrate is supplied by the breakdown of starch and protein in vegetative organs. In grain crops particularly, this process is important and might become even more so (Lupton 1980). Both substrate sources are important in seed legumes. The two types do not come from the same source in the same proportion; the fraction of carbohydrates that generally comes from photosynthesis is larger than that which comes from amino acids. In wheat, for example, most of the grain protein comes from nitrogenous compounds in vegetative parts, whereas most of the carbohydrate comes from photosynthesis (Spiertz 1978). Regardless of where the substrate comes from, the bulk of the organic carbon (C) that is provided to growing seeds, fruits, or storage organs is always in the form of simple carbohydrate molecules (such as glucose and sucrose), and the nitrogen comes almost exclusively in the form of organic nitrogen in amino acids.

The term storage organ is used to indicate the major agricultural product of a crop — grain, fleshy or dry fruits, tubers, or other vegetative storage organs. The term includes components that are indispensable for organ formation, such as chaff, seed coat, hulls, and pods. The total weight of the storage organ, rather than the weight of only the principal fraction, is considered because it represents more truly the energy required by the crop to produce that organ. The relative substrate requirement is defined as the amount of substrate required to form 1 kg of storage organ. The substrate is expressed in glucose and amino acids when it is supplied by photosynthesis directly, and in starch and protein when vegetative tissues are their source. The numerical values of the substrate requirements are slightly different in each situation.

The present approach to determining the substrate requirement is basically a biochemical one (in which conversion processes are analyzed), rather than a statistical one (in which results of agronomical experiments are correlated with environmental factors). The approach has been developed and described earlier (Penning de Vries et al 1974, Penning de Vries and Van Laar 1977). Some of those earlier calculations have been refined for this paper, particularly those pertaining to breakdown of proteins in vegetative tissue, and to synthesis of protein and lignin. Some experiments evaluating the approach are discussed. The emphasis, though, is on the presentation of a simplified scheme to compute the relative substrate requirement of seeds, fruits, and other storage organs, and the scheme's application to the major
crops. All calculations are on a dry matter basis. The nitrogen utilization efficiency within the plant is assumed to be 100%; this assumption is discussed in a separate section. The efficiency of carbon utilization is one of the results of the computations presented.

In this paper, the approach is not applied to vegetative parts of crops, partly because this was done elsewhere (De Wit et al. 1978), and partly because of the more complex situation — involving the direct interaction of growth and photosynthesis, the form in which nitrogen is absorbed from the soil — and uncertainties about the importance of maintenance processes.

**BASIC CONCEPTS**

Growth of storage organs is almost completely heterotrophic because most of the growing cells do not photosynthesize. This is obvious for belowground storage organs, but not quite so for those growing in light. Although their outer cell layers photosynthesize, growth of those cells contributes little to the overall dry weight increase. Photosynthetic products from outer cell layers can be transported to inner cells, but most of the organic carbon is probably transported as very simple molecules. It is assumed that the products excreted by the cells from outer layers are identical or energetically similar to those supplied by the phloem. Therefore, growth of all storage organs is considered as completely heterotrophic. This does not contradict the observation that photosynthesis by aboveground storage organs can contribute significantly to the substrate for growth.

Growth includes the conversion of substrate molecules into specific components and their subsequent incorporation into the cellular structures of the storage organ. Some carbohydrates are combusted to provide the energy to drive the growth reactions, and for translocation of the substances into and out of cells and through the plant. The result is the production of CO$_2$ related to these processes, which is called *synthesis respiration*. Living cells exhibit another carbon-consuming process called *maintenance*. Maintenance occurs continuously in living cells; the CO$_2$ production that results from it is called maintenance respiration (m.r.r.). The distinction of those two components of respiration and of carbon-consuming processes in plants goes back to McCree (1970), and has received a theoretical treatment (cf. Barnes and Hole 1978). There is no indication of other carbon-consuming processes that need consideration.

**Efficiency of synthesis processes**

This section summarizes an earlier paper (Penning de Vries et al. 1974).

An example of a simple equation that represents a synthesis process is the formation of the amino acid lysine from glucose and ammonia. From biochemical handbooks (Dagley and Nicholson 1970), it appears that this equation can be represented by:

\[
1 \text{ glucose} + 2 \text{NH}_4 + 2 \text{(NAD)H}_2 + 2 \text{(ATP)} \rightarrow 1 \text{lysine} + 4 \text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{1}
\]

(units = gmol, compounds in parentheses serve only as carriers). The hydrogen and energy (ATP) needed are obtained by the combustion of glucose:
The sum of these equations, expressed in grams (the number of gmol X the respective molecular weights), is:

\[
0.219 \text{ glucose} + 0.316 \text{ O}_2 + 0.684 \text{ H}_2\text{O} - 2(\text{NAD})\text{H}_2 + 2(\text{ATP}) + 1.316 \text{ CO}_2
\]  

(2)

\[
1.000 \text{ g glucose} + 0.155 \text{ g NH}_3 + 0.046 \text{ g O}_2 - 0.665 \text{ g lysine} + 0.264 \text{ g CO}_2 + 0.272 \text{ g H}_2\text{O}
\]

(3)

Similar equations can be derived for synthesis of almost all organic components of plants; the quantity of those compounds for which the biosynthetic pathway is really unknown is small; therefore, their cost of synthesis is usually negligible. During simulation of synthesis of complicated components, such as proteins, the reaction equations of the monomers (amino acids) must be added, weighted according to their relative importance, and the cost of polymerization accounted for. During these processes, some energy must be spent to repair enzymes. It corresponds to the energy of roughly 1 ATP molecule per amino acid formed, according to earlier estimates. There are few additional complications. Almost no energy seems to be required for obtaining the immense degree of organization of polymers into organelles and cells.

For synthesis of highly complex products (such as nucleic acids, organelles, and even biomass), a reaction equation can be derived with only the terms: glucose, oxygen, nitrogen, minerals, the end products themselves, carbon dioxide and water.

The multitude of organic components in plants can be separated by their cost of synthesis into five groups: carbohydrates (glucose, sucrose, starch, fructosan, cellulose, hemicellulose, etc), proteins (true proteins, free amino acids and amides, nucleic acids, nucleotides), lipids (fats, oils), lignin (a polymerized product of components like coniferyl alcohol), and organic acids (tri-carbinic acid cycle acids, oxalic acid, etc.). Values characterizing the synthesis and concurrent respiration of these groups are given in Table 1. They are similar to those published earlier, except that the values for lignin are slightly modified as a result of new information about its synthesis (Sarkanen and Ludwig 1971). The synthesis of components within each group are similar; differences are less than 10%. But the differences between groups are considerable. These conclusions were drawn earlier (Penning de Vries et al 1974) based on an analysis of the sensitivity of the conversion equations to changes in the relative importance of the constituents within each group over a reasonable range.

The processes are assumed to occur at a maximal efficiency and yields in Table I are generally high. Only when the specific energy content (Joule per g) increases considerably (as during lipid synthesis), or when an expensive process takes place (such as the reduction of NO\textsubscript{3} is the yield low and the respiratory loss high. NO\textsubscript{3} reduction during protein synthesis is presented only for reference; it is assumed that storage organs grow exclusively with organic nitrogen).

Absorption of glucose and inorganic molecules into the cells is an active, energy-demanding process. Its cost is not well known (Ziegler 1975), but seems to be on the order of 1 ATP/molecule. Accounting for this cost of import, but neglecting the small effects of interactions between syntheses of different components, the amounts of glucose and amides required for syntheses of components and their concurrent respiration are given in Table 2.
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Table 1. Glucose required for synthesis of 1.000 g of a component, and concurrent respiration, expressed in CO₂ and in O₂.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Glucose consumed (g)</th>
<th>CO₂ produced (g)</th>
<th>O₂ consumed (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proteins (with NH₃)</td>
<td>1.623</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>0.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteins (with NO₃)</td>
<td>2.475</td>
<td>1.666</td>
<td>0.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates</td>
<td>1.211</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipids</td>
<td>3.030</td>
<td>1.606</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignin</td>
<td>2.119</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic acids</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>-0.045</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Glucose and amides required for synthesis of 1.000 g of a component (including the cost of importing glucose, amides, and minerals into the cell) and the concurrent respiration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Glucose consumed (g)</th>
<th>Amides consumed (g)</th>
<th>CO₂ produced (g)</th>
<th>O₂ consumed (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proteins</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates</td>
<td>1.242</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipids</td>
<td>3.106</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.720</td>
<td>0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignin</td>
<td>2.174</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td>0.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic acids</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minerals absorbed</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Much of the basic information used in the computations has been obtained in research with microorganisms. It is likely, though, that the biochemistry of plants is not much different. Moreover, sensitivity analysis has shown that the choice of pathway for synthesis of components is often of minor importance. For these calculations, one additional, but not necessarily correct assumption has been made: that the efficiency of ATP production from glucose is maximal and constant (2 ATP from glycolysis and a P/O ratio of 3). Indeed, such high efficiencies have often been observed in plant cells (Bandurski 1960). Slightly lower values have been reported in germinating seeds (Flavell and Barratt 1977). Considerably lower P/O ratios have been observed in roots (Lambers 1979) as a result of the intensive use of an alternative pathway for NADH₂ oxidation. Although this pathway exists in shoots and storage organs, it seems to be used to a limited extent (Solomos 1977). Moreover, as most of the organic carbon goes into the skeletons of the end product, the relative substrate requirement of the growth process is not very sensitive to the assumed maximum efficiency of energy generation. The efficiency must be reduced considerably to have a noticeable effect (Fig. 1).

Efficiency of synthesis from glucose plus amino acids

The synthesis from glucose of all nonnitrogenous compounds is similar. The substrate for growth of the storage organs consists not merely of glucose, but includes amides as well. This is unlikely to change the pathways of synthesis of non-nitrogenous compounds. There are indications that the unloading of the phloem near the storage organ is largely a passive process, so the assumption that the energy of 1 ATP molecule is needed for absorption of 1 monomer into the cell is no
underestimation (cf. Mengel 1980). The active process of transport through the phloem probably requires little energy and is neglected. The values characterizing the synthesis of these organic plant components of Table 2 are therefore applicable to storage organs.

The syntheses of nitrogenous compounds from amino acids and from glucose and inorganic nitrogen differ. Synthesis from amino acids varies because of variations in the amino acid compositions of the substrate and the end product. The simplest of the many possible combinations occurs when the amino acid composition of the proteins in the storage organ is the same as the composition of the mixture supplied by the phloem, so that no conversions need to take place. This situation is described by the reaction equation:

\[
1.156 \text{ g amino acids} + 0.206 \text{ g glucose} + 0.220 \text{ g O}_2 + 1.000 \text{ g protein} + 0.302 \text{ g CO}_2 + 0.280 \text{ g H}_2\text{O} 
\]

Equation 4 accounts only for the cost of polymerization, tool maintenance, and uptake into the cells. It is the average equation for synthesis of a few proteins.

A much more expensive synthesis occurs when the organic nitrogen is provided in the form of amides in which each carbon skeleton carries two amino groups. In this situation, many new carbon skeletons of amino acids have to be formed from glucose, and many of the remaining skeletons of glutamine and asparagine are transformed into other molecules. In the phloem of many plants, it is usual for the amides, glutamine, and asparagine to make up more than 80% of the total nitrogen transported, although they are only a small fraction of the amino acids in the proteins (Ziegler 1975). Valine (Tammes and Van Die 1964), proline, or arginine (Pate 1973) can also constitute more than 10% of the nitrogen in the phloem. Nitrate is absent in the phloem (Ziegler 1975). The conversion of amides and glucose into proteins can be represented by the equation:
The composition of the amino acids of equation 5 (64.6% glutamine by weight, 33.5% asparagine, and 1.9% cystein, hence 0.197 g N/g amides) resembles compositions that have often been observed in the phloem. Equation 5 was used to calculate the substrate requirements in Table 2. For every combination of a glutamine-asparagine mixture and the amino acid composition of a protein, there is a specific reaction equation. Equation 5 is the average of nine combinations of the glutamine-asparagine mixture and of three storage proteins. The three proteins gluten corn, arachin, and gluten wheat, were chosen from a group of nine that in an earlier analysis (Penning de Vries et al. 1974) were judged to have the low, medium, and high energy demands. The amide compositions used were those that result from the breakdown of those three proteins (equation 12). It was assumed that the standard pathways for synthesis were followed, always by the most efficient alternatives. A recent review of amino acid metabolism (Miflin and Lea 1977) supports this suggestion.

The computations that yielded equation 5 indicate the variability of the terms of the equation resulting from differences in the biochemical compositions of substrate and product. Of the nine equations computed, the one with the highest amount of glucose involved was

\[
0.76 \text{ g amides} + 0.948 \text{ g glucose} + 0.250 \text{ g } \text{O}_2 - 1.000 \text{ g protein} + 0.544 \text{ g CO}_2 + 0.422 \text{ g H}_2\text{O} \quad (5)
\]

The one with the lowest amount of glucose was

\[
0.869 \text{ g amides} + 0.797 \text{ g glucose} + 0.270 \text{ g } \text{O}_2 - 1.000 \text{ g protein} + 0.485 \text{ g CO}_2 + 0.451 \text{ g H}_2\text{O} \quad (7)
\]

Keeping in mind the types of proteins selected for this exercise, equation 5 may be rewritten as

\[
0.77 \pm 0.1 \text{ g amides} + 0.94 \pm 0.15 \text{ g glucose} + 0.25 \pm 0.02 \text{ g } \text{O}_2 - 1.000 \text{ g protein} + 0.55 \pm 0.05 \text{ g CO}_2 + 0.43 \pm 0.03 \text{ g H}_2\text{O} \quad (8)
\]

It appears that the ratio of amide to glucose is much more variable than their sum, and that their concurrent synthesis respiration is remarkably constant.

**Breakdown of starch and protein**

Transport of organic carbon and of nitrogen toward the storage organs occurs mainly in the form of sucrose and amides (Van Die and Tammes 1975, Ziegler 1975). Because other organic components are present in small amounts, only breakdown into glucose and amides is considered. In vegetative plant parts, only carbohydrates (predominantly starch) and proteins serve as the sources of these components.
As a basis for calculations, glucose is chosen as the substrate carbohydrate, rather than sucrose, merely for convenience. Sucrose is easily formed from glucose:

\[ 2 \text{glucose} + 2 \text{(ATP)} = \text{1 sucrose} + 1 \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  \(\text{(9)}\)

The reaction occurs during phloem loading (c.f. Mengel 1980). The reverse reaction is similar, but it does not yield ATP. Sucrose is 5% lighter than glucose per carbon atom. The breakdown of starch into glucose is a simple enzymatic hydrolysis. This yields the equation:

\[ 1.000 \text{g starch} + 0.094 \text{g H}_2\text{O} + 0.031 \text{g O}_2 \rightarrow 1.082 \text{g glucose} + 0.043 \text{g CO}_2 \]  \(\text{(10)}\)

For protein breakdown, there is a similar choice of what to start with and what to end with. The simplest degradation process is hydrolysis into amino acids. By including energy demand for loading the phloem and assuming that tool maintenance requires the same amount of energy as synthesis (which may be an overestimation), the average equation becomes:

\[ 1.000 \text{g protein} + 0.082 \text{g glucose} + 0.096 \text{g O}_2 + 0.110 \text{g H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 1.166 \text{g amino acids} + 0.122 \text{g CO}_2 \]  \(\text{(11)}\)

The average equation that describes the complex process of the breakdown of gluten corn, arachin, and gluten wheat proteins is:

\[ 1.000 \text{g protein} + 0.095 \text{g H}_2\text{O} + 0.384 \text{g O}_2 \rightarrow 0.768 \text{g amides} + 0.370 \text{g glucose} + 0.341 \text{g CO}_2 + (0.027 \text{gmol ATP}) \]  \(\text{(12)}\)

All amino acids were assumed to be broken down into asparagine or glutamine. Again, the pathways followed for breakdown are standard. If any cysteine was present in the protein, it was assumed to be transported as a sulfur carrier. Remaining carbon skeletons are rebuilt into glucose by gluconeogenesis reactions. Equation 12 is very close to that calculated earlier (Penning de Vries and Van Laar 1977).

Equation 12 shows that a net production of ATP results from protein breakdown, similar to the amount obtained by the combustion of 0.128 g glucose. That energy is estimated to be 5 to 10 times smaller than that required for maintenance of the tissue during the period in which it exports these amides. The excess energy prevents some other glucose molecules from being combusted. To account for this saving, equation 12 is modified to:

\[ 1.000 \text{g protein} + 0.172 \text{g H}_2\text{O} + 0.247 \text{g O}_2 \rightarrow 0.768 \text{g amides} + 0.498 \text{g glucose} + 0.153 \text{g CO}_2 + (0.023 \text{gmol ATP}) \]  \(\text{(13)}\)

Again, we can estimate the variability of the coefficients of the equation. The extremes calculated are:

\[ 0.880 \text{g protein} + 0.070 \text{g H}_2\text{O} + 0.326 \text{g O}_2 \rightarrow 0.768 \text{g amides} + 0.237 \text{g glucose} + 0.271 \text{g CO}_2 + (0.023 \text{gmol ATP}) \]  \(\text{(14)}\)

and:

\[ 1.118 \text{g protein} + 0.107 \text{g H}_2\text{O} + 0.482 \text{g O}_2 \rightarrow 0.768 \text{g amides} + 0.505 \text{g glucose} + 0.434 \text{g CO}_2 + (0.039 \text{gmol ATP}) \]  \(\text{(15)}\)
The variability can be shown by:

$1.00 \pm 0.15 \, \text{g protein} + 0.1 \pm 0.04 \, \text{g H}_2\text{O} + 0.38 \pm 0.15 \, \text{g O}_2 - 0.768 \, \text{g amides} + 0.37 \pm 0.2 \, \text{g glucose} + 0.34 \pm 0.1 \, \text{g CO}_2 + (0.3 \pm 0.1 \, \text{gmol ATP})$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Combining equations 4 and 11, we see that for the breakdown and resynthesis of 1 g of protein, at least $0.288 \, \text{g glucose}$ is required, and probably $0.450 \, \text{g glucose}$ (equations 5 plus 13). The glucose requirement may even be as high as $0.578 \, \text{g glucose/g protein}$ (equations 5 plus 12). This glucose is converted into CO$_2$ and H$_2$O. Protein breakdown and resynthesis thus appear to be an expensive process.

Effects of species and environmental variables

As there is no indication that different species produce the same product via energetically different biochemical pathways, the efficiencies of synthesis of specific components are assumed to be equal among all species of higher plants. The relative substrate requirement, of course, is not necessarily similar among species as biochemical compositions of storage organs differ.

Temperature and drought stress probably do not affect the efficiency of synthetic processes, though they may modify the rate and the composition of the storage organ as well. Fertilization and other cultural practices may also influence the biochemical composition of the storage organ, and its relative substrate requirement, but only indirectly.

The effect of growth substances on changing the cost of biosynthesis has not yet been analyzed. It seems unlikely that growth substances modify the efficiency, but rather change the biochemical composition of tissues and redirect the flow of substrate to different organs. Growth substances modify morphology more than the carbon balance.

Maintenance processes

This section estimates the intensity of respiration that results from maintenance processes in storage organs. Some of the information presented was discussed earlier (Penning de Vries 1975). Maintenance processes counteract spontaneous degradation of proteins, membranes, and ion gradients in cells. The cost of maintenance processes per unit of time is a multiplicate of the rates of the processes and their specific cost. Degradation and resynthesis of proteins within cells was estimated earlier to require the equivalent of $0.24 \, \text{g glucose/g protein}$. With a turnover rate of about 15%/day, this corresponds to 28-53 mg glucose/g protein per day, or 7-13 mg glucose/g total dry weight per day in vegetative tissues.

Maintenance of ion gradients was estimated to require the energy of 6-10 mg glucose/g dry matter per day. The average rates of maintenance processes, however, are only known approximately, and even less is known of their fluctuations. Therefore, it is still impossible to predict with reasonable certainty from basic data the rate of maintenance respiration (m.r.r.). It is still obtained from measurements: 1) by extrapolation of rates of respiration at diminishing rates of growth, or 2) growth suppression in starvation experiments. Determined in this way, maintenance processes consume 7-60 mg glucose/g dry matter per day in vegetative tissues at normal temperatures.
Such m.r.r. values should not be extrapolated without further analysis of storage organs because they differ functionally from vegetative tissues.

Storage organs may grow relatively fast. Maintenance respiration seems to be more intense in rapidly growing tissue than in slowly growing tissue. The intensity of maintenance processes (m, expressed in gmol ATP per g dry matter per hour) in bacteria at 35°C has been found to be related to the growth rate (μ, in g/g per hour in the following way:

\[
m = 0.0023 + 0.072 \mu
\]  

(Van Verseveld, 1979), which can be transplanted into:

\[
M = 0.26 + 0.34 \times \text{RGR}
\]  

where M is m.r.r. (g glucose/g dry matter per day) and RGR is the relative growth rate (g/g per day). Even after correcting for high temperature at which the observation was made, the basic value of m still appears to be about 10 times higher in bacteria than the m.r.r. in plant cells when expressed in the same units. This may not be amazing, considering the high protein content of bacteria cells and the large surface-to-volume ratio, both of which can be expected to stimulate maintenance processes. However, if such a relation of M with RGR holds in plants — for which there are some qualitative arguments (Penning de Vries 1975) — then it would follow that the m.r.r. in plants is usually dominated by the first term of equation 18 but also that the m.r.r. may be stimulated considerably at the RGR attained by very young organs.

On the other hand most of the proteins in storage organs are inactive and stable, and energy requirements for their maintenance are probably near zero. Protein turnover is consuming about half of the total maintenance requirement so that the cost of maintaining storage organs is expected on a theoretical basis to be in the range of 4 to 30 mg glucose/g per day in cereals and in legumes. For tuber crops and tree crops, which accumulate large amounts of starch or oil, this estimate is probably too high.

Actual measurements of the maintenance respiration rate are scarce. Flinn et al (1977) measured in detail the gas exchange and growth of developing pea fruits at an average temperature of 19°C. They calculated an average m.r.r. of 4 mg CO₂/dry matter per day by subtracting the synthesis respiration (0.324 g CO₂/ g biomass formed, computed according to the procedure described in Figure 2) from the total respiration reported. This m.r.r. value may be too low, because the amino acid mixture in the phloem contained a larger variety of amino acids than was assumed in our calculation. A value of 8 mg CO₂/g per day for this experiment is therefore retained.

Hole and Barnes (1980) also analyzed data from similar experiments with developing pea fruits and concluded that the m.r.r. of large fruits was about 15 mg CO₂/g per day at 15°C. Their regression analysis, however, leads to an unrealistically low value for the synthesis respiration of only 0.073 g CO₂/g biomass. When the value of 0.324 g CO₂/g for the synthesis respiration of pea fruits is again adopted, reinterpre-
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#### Fraction of Biomass Consumed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Glucose consumed (g)</th>
<th>Amides consumed (g)</th>
<th>CO₂ produced (g)</th>
<th>O₂ consumed (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates</td>
<td>0.40 (1.211) 0.484</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>(0.123) 0.049</td>
<td>(0.099) 0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteins</td>
<td>0.21 (0.948) 0.199</td>
<td>(0.768) 0.161</td>
<td>(0.544) 0.114</td>
<td>(0.250) 0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipids</td>
<td>0.23 (3.030) 0.697</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>(1.860) 0.369</td>
<td>(0.352) 0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignin</td>
<td>0.08 (2.119) 0.170</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>(0.576) 0.046</td>
<td>(0.189) 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic acids</td>
<td>0.04 (0.906) 0.036</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>(-0.045) -0.002</td>
<td>(0.270) 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minerals</td>
<td>0.04 (0) -</td>
<td>(0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### For import of:

1. glucose $\frac{1.886}{180.} = 0.0088$
2. amides $\frac{0.161}{139.} = 0.0012$
3. minerals $\frac{0.04}{100.} = 0.0004$

#### Generation of ATP: $0.049$ g glucose + $0.052$ g O₂ - $0.071$ g CO₂ + $0.0104$ g ATP

#### Final equation:

$1.635$ g glucose + $0.161$ g amides + $0.251$ g O₂ + $0.04$ g minerals - $1.000$ g biomass + $0.568$ g CO₂

2. Procedure used to compute the glucose and amides required for the synthesis of $1.000$ g biomass. *Numbers within parentheses are those of Table I; those for protein are calculated from equation 5. Numbers outside the parentheses are the results of calculations for cotton bolls. Cost of import of substrates and minerals are expressed in g mol ATP. ATP generation occurs according to the normal pathway; the numbers in parentheses below the equation represent the ratio of the weights of these molecules. If some glucose required for maintenance is to be accounted for, its amount can be added to the equation to calculate maintenance respiration. The final equation is the sum of the conversion and energy generating processes.

- **Schapendonk and Challa (1981)** report an almost linear decrease of the m.r.r. from 23-10 mg CO₂/g dry weight per day in growing cucumber fruits at 22°C. They found a large temperature effect: the m.r.r. was about 4 times as high at 28°C as at 16°C.
- **Thomley and Hesketh (1972)** reported a fairly constant value of 7-11 mg CO₂/g per day for cotton bolls 1 to 40 days old. Mutsaers (1976) concluded that the m.r.r. diminishes during cotton boll development and computed an average rate of 9 mg CO₂/g per day. Particularly for
root crops and tree crops, data on the m.r.r. are lacking. The only value found in literature is 7 mg CO₂/g per day, which Hunt and Loomis (1979) used in sugar beet growth simulation.

On the basis of this limited data, we adopt the preliminary conclusion that the m.r.r. equals 15 mg CO₂/g dry weight per day. Its equivalent of 10 mg glucose/g dry weight per day is used to calculate the substrate requirement for maintenance of growing storage organs of all species with the exception of root and tree crops. For those crops, a fixed value for the whole growth period is adopted. Arguments are provided below.

Maintenance respiration is generally sensitive to temperature. However, this is probably of secondary importance only, because the average temperature during the growth of the storage organs does not vary much from one site to another or from one year to another. In addition, the duration of the storage organ growth period tends to be shorter at higher temperatures. The effects on the m.r.r. of other environmental factors are probably not large, but they have not been quantified.

EVALUATION OF THE BIOCHEMICAL APPROACH

The biochemical approach to conversion and growth processes has been evaluated by comparing computations with experimental results. Unfortunately, synthesis and maintenance processes always occur simultaneously, and their substrate consumption or CO₂ production cannot be separated. Final proof of the correctness of the biochemical approach must wait until the intensity of maintenance processes can be predicted. Moreover, most experimental data are not sufficiently accurate to permit precise evaluation.

Observed and predicted growth or respiration rates, or both, have been compared for a maize embryo growing on a glucose solution in darkness (Penning de Vries 1974); the daily respiration of whole plants in relation to their daily photosynthesis at various growth rates (Penning de Vries 1975); and the yield of seedlings of maize, beans, and groundnuts germinating in darkness at two temperatures (Penning de Vries and Van Laar 1977). Generally, there was fair agreement between the measured and predicted substrate requirements, growth rates, and respiration rates. Thornley and Hesketh (1972) analyzed the growth and respiration of cotton bolls and concluded that 0.74 ± 0.1 g boll and 0.38 ± 0.15 g CO₂ were formed per 1.00 g of substrate. This value is a little lower than that computed for cotton in Figure 2: 0.648 g CO₂/g biomass, or 0.33 g glucose/0.74 g biomass. Yokoi et al (1978) measured a value for the synthesis respiration of germinating beans close to the theoretical value. Vos (1981) found a synthesis respiration relative to the growth rate in developing wheat ears of 0.24 g CO₂/g biomass increase a value slightly below the theoretical 0.28 g CO₂/g, possibly because there was little lignin synthesis at the time of measurement, hence less respiration. Schapendonk and Challa (1980) confirmed that the computed synthesis respiration efficiency of a growing cucumber fruit (0.15-0.17 g CO₂/g) was close to the theoretical efficiency (0.16-0.21 g CO₂/g), considering the biochemical composition of the fruit. The theoretical substrate requirements of growth processes in various crops in various stages of development are in line with observations of Yamaguchi (1978).
Except for the growth of fibrous roots no experimental data indicated that the efficiency of biosynthesis deviates significantly from that computed on a theoretical basis. It is confirmed in almost all papers that temperature has no effect on efficiency.

By inverted reasoning, Penning de Vries et al (1979) determined the rate of growth (expressed as synthesis of structural dry matter) in intact plants by measuring the rate of respiration, subtracting maintenance respiration, and computing the rate of synthesis from the remaining synthesis respiration.

**SUBSTRATE REQUIREMENTS FOR GROWTH OF STORAGE ORGANS**

**Data characterizing crops**

To calculate substrate requirements, data on the biochemical composition of storage organs were needed. In addition to the biochemical composition of the final product after threshing, cleaning, and technological processing, the composition of the raw product was needed (final product plus hulls, seed coats, pods, and inflorescences) to fit our definition of storage organ. The fractions these parts contribute to the total weight of the storage organs are presented in Table 3.

The biochemical compositions of whole storage organs of various crops also are given in Table 3. Two problems were involved in constructing Table 3: 1) not all appropriate data could be found and 2) data that were obtained usually concerned only the final product and not the total storage organ, which required adjustments of reported biochemical compositions. The ash fraction reported in literature is interpreted as oxide ash, about 60% of which is minerals. Organic acids are rarely reported. We assumed that the quantity of organic acids equaled that of minerals because both are often chemically coupled into salts. The amount of lignin is also rarely reported. Van der Meer (1979) states that lignin is an important constituent of fiber, although some lignin is present elsewhere in the biomass. The lignin in the principal fraction of storage organs is usually very low (Hartley 1978), but fairly high in the enveloping tissues. The lignin fraction in stems of graminoids is often above 20% (Sarkanen and Ludwig 1971) and is assumed to be similar for other support tissues. Although it is a significant constituent of many aboveground storage organs, its quantification remains difficult. The carbohydrate fraction in Table 3 is equal to the difference between 100% and the sum of the other groups.

The biochemical fractions reported in Table 3 are median values. A range is indicated when several sources of data were encountered. If a few were found, only the most complete data set was used. The data are based on more than 40 literature references. The principal source of information about storage organ components and about the biochemical composition are given. Sugarcane is not really a storage organ but is included as basis of comparison with other crops.

The biochemical compositions of the storage organs are fairly characteristic per species, but are not really fixed. They can be influenced by cultural measures, variety, and weather. Examples of how fertilization affects the protein content were given by Kramer (1979). He also presented examples of differences in protein content of wheat cultivars. Franke (1976) shows that groundnut has higher lipid and lower protein content at higher growth temperatures.
Table 3. Characterization of storage organs of the major crops. The fraction that the principal commercial product forms of the total storage organ is indicated (column 2) to facilitate the use of these data in combination with different types of data about crop yield. The average moisture content of the harvestable storage organ (column 10) is also given. The biochemical composition of the whole storage organ is presented in columns 4-9. Principal sources of information are given; most data are adjusted to account for the different biochemical composition of the hull, seed coat or inflorescence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Storage organ</th>
<th>Major components</th>
<th>Biochemical composition of storage organ (dry product, %)</th>
<th>Avg moisture content (%) of harvestable storage organ</th>
<th>Principal source of data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cassava</strong> (Manihot esculenta)</td>
<td>Tuber</td>
<td>Tuber 100%</td>
<td>Carbohydrate 87, Protein 3, Lipid 1, Lignin 3, Organic acid 3, Minerals 3</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chickpea</strong> (Cicer arietinum)</td>
<td>Pod with seeds</td>
<td>Seed 85-75%</td>
<td>Carbohydrate 50-70, Protein 19, Lipid 6, Lignin 4, Organic acid 3, Minerals 3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coconut palm</strong> (Cocos nucifera)</td>
<td>Copra</td>
<td>Copra 42%, endocarp 33%, pericarp 25%, no lignin and all fat in crop</td>
<td>Carbohydrate 39-42, Protein 4, Lipid 4-28, Lignin 25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cotton</strong> (Gossypium sp.)</td>
<td>Bolls</td>
<td>Seed 65%, lint 35%</td>
<td>Carbohydrate 40-44, Protein 21, Lipid 23, Lignin 8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>E,F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cowpea</strong> (Vigna unguiculata)</td>
<td>Pod with seeds</td>
<td>Pod 15-25%, Seed 85-75%</td>
<td>Carbohydrate 48-60, Protein 29, Lipid 1, Lignin 7, Organic acid 4, Minerals 4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Faba bean</strong> (Vicia faba)</td>
<td>Pod with seeds</td>
<td>Seed 85-75%</td>
<td>Carbohydrate 53-66, Protein 23, Lipid 2, Lignin 7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Field bean</strong> (Phaseolus vulgaris)</td>
<td>Pod with seeds</td>
<td>Seed 85-75%</td>
<td>Carbohydrate 53-66, Protein 23, Lipid 2, Lignin 7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Groundnut</strong> (Arachis hypogaea)</td>
<td>Pod with seeds</td>
<td>Pod 25-40%, Seed 75-60%</td>
<td>Carbohydrate 14-27, Protein 39, Lipid 14, Lignin 3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maize</strong> (Zea mays)</td>
<td>Cob</td>
<td>Seed 70%, rest 30%; no protein and 70% lignin in rest</td>
<td>Carbohydrate 75-80, Protein 8, Lipid 4, Lignin 11, Organic acid 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>D,N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Millet</strong> (Pennisetum typhoides)</td>
<td>Ears</td>
<td>Grain 60%, rest 40%</td>
<td>Carbohydrate 69-73, Protein 9, Lipid 4, Lignin 12, Organic acid 3, Minerals 3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>E,F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oil palm</strong> (Elaeis guineensis)</td>
<td>Palm nut</td>
<td>Stalk 17%, fruit 83% of which is</td>
<td>Carbohydrate 37, Protein 7, Lipid 48, Lignin 4, Organic acid 2, Minerals 2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>G,P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop</td>
<td>Part Description</td>
<td>Woody (%)</td>
<td>Dry Matter (%)</td>
<td>Tuber (%)</td>
<td>Seed (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan)</td>
<td>Pod with seeds</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>60-20</td>
<td>2-10</td>
<td>4-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato (Solanum tuberosum)</td>
<td>Tuber 100%</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>6-13</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice (Oryza sativa)</td>
<td>Inflorescence with seeds 60% grain, 20% chaff, 20% stalk</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>7-13</td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor)</td>
<td>Inflorescence with seeds 60% grain, 20% chaff, 20% stalk</td>
<td>67-74</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>8-16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean (Glycine max)</td>
<td>Pod with seeds 20-40%</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar beet (Beta vulgaris)</td>
<td>Beet 100%</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugarcane (Saccharum sp.)</td>
<td>Whole tops Millable cane 50-60%, in which 9-13% sugar</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower (Helianthus annuus)</td>
<td>Inflorescence with seeds Kernels 44%, hulls 23%, inflorescence 33%; almost all oil and no lignin in kernels</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas)</td>
<td>Tuber 100%</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato (Lycopersicum esculentum)</td>
<td>Fruit 100%</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat (Triticum sp.)</td>
<td>Inflorescence</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yam (Dioscorea sp.)</td>
<td>Tuber 100%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The period during which about 90% of the growth of storage organs occurs must be specified to estimate the total cost of maintenance processes during the growth period. This period is assumed to be 30-40 days for the formation of grain in cereals (Spiertz 1978, Andersen and Andersen 1980), sunflower (Dantuma, pers. comm.), and cotton (Thornley and Hesketh 1972). In legumes, the period of formation of pods with seeds is assumed to be 20-30 days (Flinn et al 1977, Oliker et al 1978). Assuming that the average time that biomass needs to be maintained equals half its growing period, a total of 0.175 and 0.125 g glucose/g dry matter is added to cereals and legumes, respectively. Storage organs of root and tree crops grow for 80 days or more, sometimes up to 400 days. A fixed value of 0.4 g glucose/g dry matter for the whole growth period is adopted for these crops. This value corresponds with a growth period of 80 days and the value of m.r.r. mentioned above. The same total maintenance cost is used to calculate the substrate requirement for growth of cassava, yam, coconut, and oil palms because their longer growth period is probably compensated for by a lower m.r.r. Sugarcane is included in this group with the highest maintenance requirements for comparison with other crops in Figure 3.

Results
The procedure described to compute the cost of synthesis and of maintenance is summarized in Figure 2. This procedure was also applied to calculate the requirements for growth of other storage organs. Two types of results are presented in Table 4: the quantity of substrate provided by the phloem and needed in the growing storage organ for synthesis of 1 kg of product, and the associated quantity of starch and protein broken down if the substrate is supplied at the expense of vegetative tissue.
Table 4. Characterization of substrate requirements for growth of 1 kg of a storage organ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Substrate (kg) involved in growth of 1 kg product&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Substrate (kg) involved in breakdown to form substrate for 1 kg product&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glucose&lt;sup&gt;(1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Glucose&lt;sup&gt;(2)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassava, tuber</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chickpea, pod with seed</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coconut palm, coconut</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton, boll</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cowpea, pod with seed</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field bean, pod with seed (Vicia faba)</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field bean, pod with seed (Phaseolus vulgaris)</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundnut, pod with seed</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize, cob</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millet, car</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil palm, palm nut</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigeonpea, pod with seed</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato, tuber</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice, inflorescence with seed</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The table shows the substrate (kg) involved in growth of 1 kg product and breakdown to form substrate for 1 kg product. The values are calculated according to equations 10 and 13, for situation (I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Glucose (1)</th>
<th>Glucose (2)</th>
<th>Amino acids (1)</th>
<th>CO₂ (1)</th>
<th>CO₂ (2)</th>
<th>Starch</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>CO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum, inflorescence with seed</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>(0.30-0.35)</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean, pod with seed</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>(0.60-0.65)</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar beet, beet</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.794</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>(0.20-0.24)</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugarcane, whole tops</td>
<td>(1.78)</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower, inflorescence with seed</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>(0.56-0.80)</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet potato, tuber</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato, fruit</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat, inflorescence with seed</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>(0.27-0.37)</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yam, tuber</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Processing that occurs in all storage organs; (1) = requirement for synthesis plus maintenance, (2) = requirements for synthesis only; numbers in parentheses represent variations due to variations in biochemical composition of the storage organ. These processes may occur in vegetative tissues. Values are calculated according to equations 10 and 13, for situation (I).
The amount of glucose required for synthesis only and the sum of that needed for synthesis and maintenance are specified. Also given is the CO₂ production for synthesis and for synthesis plus maintenance together. These details will facilitate reconstruction of the final results of the calculations, and, if the reader desires, use of alternative assumptions (e.g. about cost of maintenance) and repetition of the computations. The variations in substrate requirements due to the variations in the biochemical composition are only indicated for the synthesis. The calculations to arrive at columns 7-9 are performed according to equations 13 and 10. The amounts of protein equal those indicated in Table 3, as the efficiency of transfer of nitrogen is supposed to be 100%. If respiration (column 9) is compared with measurements, it should be remembered that maintenance respiration of vegetative organs is not yet accounted for.

Table 4 indicates that it is particularly the lipid content of storage organs that makes them expensive to produce. The requirements for maintenance increase the total requirements for growth, but do not disturb the picture that evolved as a result of cost of synthesis, except for tuber crops in which maintenance requires a considerable fraction of the substrate. However, the intensity of maintenance respiration processes is not well known, and deserves further crop physiological research. The efficiency of carbon utilization for synthesis of storage organs can be computed in different crops with the data from Tables 1, 3, and 4 (columns 3, 4). Carbon utilization efficiency appears to be about 0.80 g C/g C; groundnut has the lowest efficiency (0.70) and potato the highest (0.89).

The results given in Table 4 are those of the whole growth period of the storage organ. The concentration of components may change during growth: protein synthesis is sometimes relatively advanced and at later stages the synthesis of carbohydrates and lipids is emphasized. One example is in the growth of lupin seeds (Atkins et al. 1975); both growth efficiency and respiration per unit dry weight change during the growth period. This possible complication requires careful consideration when predicted efficiencies and respiration rates are compared with measured values.

Sinclair and De Wit (1975) calculated the substrate requirement for growth of seeds in a way similar to the one presented here. The most important differences are that we include the requirements for synthesis of components supporting or enveloping the principal product, and account for the cost of maintenance of the storage organ. Figure 3 presents the substrate requirements in a graphical way that permits the distinction of crop groups — cereals, legumes, beets and tubers, and oil-rich seeds — on the basis of substrate requirements.

The requirements for growth expressed per kilogram of the principal component are plotted in Figure 4. This is one way to show the economic cost of the principal product. Figure 4 exaggerates the differences between crops, as valuable by-products are ignored.

EFFICIENCY OF NITROGEN UTILIZATION

Calculations of nitrogen utilization assume that no nitrogen gets lost in the processes of breakdown, transfer, and resynthesis, but the value might not be 100%. There probably is a physicochemical loss from the formation and volatilization of NH₃. During breakdown of some amino acids, NH₃⁺ is formed probably as a normal
intermediate in cells as a result of protein turnover for cell maintenance, and
photosynthesis (Woolhouse 1980). Novozamski and Houba (1977) found 2-25
meq NH$_4^+$/kg dry matter in leaves (or about 0.2-1.4%) of their total organic
nitrogen. NH$_4^+$ is not volatile, but it is in equilibrium with H$^+$ plus volatile NH$_3$. The
pK of this protonization reaction is 9.25, so at the actual pH of the cell protoplasm
of 6.8-7.0 (Kramer 1955) or 6.0-7.0 (Lehninger 1970), the NH$_3$ concentration is
2,000-200 times lower than that of NH$_4^+$. Because of the high solubility of NH$_3$ in
water relative to air, the equilibrium concentration of NH$_3$ (g/m$^3$) is about 600 times
lower in air. This leads to a range of possible NH$_3$ concentrations of 0.007-0.9 mg
NH$_3$/m$^3$ in the air in intercellular spaces. The NH$_3$ concentration in the ambient air
of unpolluted environments is lower than 8 nbar (Farquhar et al 1979), or 0.0006
mg NH$_3$/m$^3$, which is often lower than that in leaves. At a concentration difference
of 0.01 mg NH$_3$/m$^3$ across the stomatal resistance, a flow of about 0.2 kg N/ha per
day occurs in a crop with open stomata and a leaf area index (LAI) of 5 (a resistance
of 100 seconds/m for 10 h per day). Such rate of nitrogen loss could be easily
sustained by NH$_4^+$ that results from the metabolic turnover of proteins in crops,
which amounts to 7-100 kg protein/ha per day. Farquhar et al (1979) measured the
NH$_3$ efflux of maize leaves under natural conditions and observed rates up to 20 g
N/ha per day in a small number of samples.

This loss may proceed continuously and go undetected during the vegetative
period. Its rate might increase in the reproductive phase, during which the break­
down of amino acids and NH$_4^+$ production are stimulated. In addition, nitrogen is
transported through the phloem which has a pH of about 8.0 (Ziegler 1975) and
where the ratio of NH to NH$_4^+$ is 10-100 times higher than that in leaf cells. Atkins et
al (1975) indicated that the concentration of NH$_3$ (presumably of NH$_4^+$) in endo­
spermic fluid of lupin seeds was as high as 70 meq/liter of fluid, about 5 times higher
than the values reported by Novozamski and Houba (1977). Wetselaar and Farqu­
har (1980) reviewed NH$_3$ volatilization and reported nitrogen losses of 0.5-2.0 kg

4. Amounts of glucose and amides
required for growth of 1 kg of the
principal component of the storage
organ. Data from Tables 3 and 4.
N ha per day during a few weeks at the end of the growing season. The losses could not be attributed to leaching or internal redistribution.
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