Reprinted from: Transactions of the International Congress of Soil Science, Amsterdam 1950, Volume II.

123. MOISTURE CONTENT AND DENSITY OF SOME CLAY MINERALS AND SOME REMARKS ON THE HYDRATION PATTERN OF CLAY

C. T. DE WIT and P. L. ARENS

Laboratory of Agricultural Chemistry of the Agricultural University WAGENINGEN, Netherlands

It is a well-known fact that the density of clay particles, determining the velocity of fall in suspension, according to STOKES' law, is greatly affected by hydration of these particles (1).

We determined the density of some clay minerals in dependence of the moisture content, using pyknometer-technique, with a petrol fraction (boiling point 170–230° C) as suspension medium. The solubility of water in this is negligible. The hydration of the clay particles in aqueous suspension, moreover, was approximately determined from viscosity measurements.

The materials used were: montmorillonite (Wyoming bentonite; "thixoton"); illite (Winsum, Holland; "ordovician bentonite"); kaolinite ("Brocades"). The fraction $< 2 \mu$ was separated and converted into H-clay by percolation with 0.05 N HCl and subsequently the excess of acid was washed out.

The results of the density measurements are summarized in Table 1. TABLE 1.

Mineral	Rel. Hum.	Moisture content % ovendry basis	Density *)
Montmorillonite ''thixoton''	0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00	0.0 — 11.6 16.6 28.4 46.0 244 301	2.348 2.608 2.199 2.134 2.001 1.772 1.222 1.186
Illite Holland	 0.0 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 	0.0 <u> </u>	2.649 2.660 2.579 2.504 2.422 2.128 1.441
Illite ''ordovician''	 0.0 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00	0.0 — 8.3 12.0 24.8 76.0	2.642 2.688 2.409 2.310 2.02 1.48
Kaolinite ''Brocades''	0.0 0.25	0.0 —- 0.4	2.667 2.682 2.663

2

From the density of montmorillonite with moisture content above 30 % we calculated a formula, expressing the relation of density (d) and moisture content (m, in %):

$$d = \frac{276 + 2.76 \text{ m}}{100 + 2.76 \text{ . 1.002 m}}$$

where 1.002 represents the specific volume of water.

The formula does not agree with moisture contents below 30 %, the cause being the decreasing value of the specific volume of the bound water. From the density of the samples with moisture contents of 28.4 %, 16.6 % and 11.6 % on one hand and the density of oven-dry material on the other may be calculated a specific volume of the bound water of 0.76, 0.73^5 and $0.71 \text{ cm}^3/g$, respectively.

For the illite samples the relation between density and moisture content is:

$$d = \frac{271 + 2.71 \text{ m}}{100 + 2.71 \text{ . 1.002 m}}$$

This formula holds good for the moisture range above 3 %. Only the calculated value of the density at moisture content zero does not agree with the observed value, the cause being again the decreased specific volume of bound water at moisture contents below 3 %. From our data may be calculated a specific volume of $0.72 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$ for the water bound below a moisture content of 3 %.

In aqueous suspension the clay particles must be considered fully hydrated. The "moisture content" of these fully hydrated particles exerts a decisive influence on the density of clay in aqueous suspension. From viscosity measurements we determined approximately the total volume of the hydrated particles (φ) in montmorillonite suspensions of different concentrations, according to EINSTEIN's formula (I):

$$\eta_s = \eta_w \left(\mathbf{I} + \frac{5}{2} \varphi \right)$$

The results are summarized in Table 2.

Mineral	Conc. g *) per 100 cc water	arphi in cc per 100 cc water
Montmorillonite "thixoton"	0.499 1.025 1.919	8.2 20.8 54.9
Montmorillonite ''Wyoming-bentonite''	0.471 1.003	. 8.0 20.1
Illite "ordovician"	0.513 1.085	4.5 17.1

TABLE 2.

*) ovendry material.

At concentrations below I % the calculated hydration of the mineral appears to be practically independent of the concentration. From the data given and from our first formula it follows that the density of

fully hydrated montmorillonite practically does not exceed the density of water. The results of granulometric analyses of montmorillonite clays in aqueous suspension therefore seem extremely doubtful.

As to the pattern of bound water layers of hydrated clay two suppositions are prevailing in the current literature. HENDRICKS and JEFFERSON (2) suggested the hydrated montmorillonite to contain one or more water layers of a structure, similar to the ice pattern, but with lattice dimensions $2 \times 3.0 \cos 30^\circ = 5.2$ Å, equal to the *a* axis of the elementary cell of the Si₂O₅ plane. Assuming two water layers to be present (corresponding to $20^{\circ}/_{0}$ moisture content) a value of I.II cm³/g may be calculated for the specific volume of this bound water.

MACEY (3) fitted the ice pattern in a different manner upon the Si_2O_5 planes of the 001 surfaces, and calculated a lattice dimension of 5.2 cos $30^\circ = 4.51$ Å, exactly equal to the lattice dimension of ice. Again assuming two water layers to be present (here corresponding to 30 % moisture content), a specific volume of $0.73 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$ of the bound water may be calculated.

The latter value agrees much better with our measurements. The details of these statements will be published elsewhere.

REFERENCES

- (I) BAVER L. D.: Soil Physics, (New York, 1948), p. 87.
- (2) HENDRICKS S. B. and M. E. JEFFERSON: Amer. Min. 23, 863-875, 1938,
- (3) MACEY H. H.: Trans. Cer. Soc. 41, 73-121, 1942.