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1. Street foods are an innovation in response to economic constraints by the urban poor and are gradually adopted by higher socio-economic classes as a way of urban food consumption (This thesis).

2. Street foods as provided and served by vendors are not nutritionally adequate, but when there is ready income among consumers, female vendors can provide nutritionally better quality foods than their male counterparts (This thesis).

3. When food is relatively expensive due to taxing regulations, both quality and quantity of the food available to the urban poor will decline and the nutrition and health status will continually dwindle.

4. Universal advocacy of exclusive breast-feeding for six months is unrealistic because it is impossible and out of reach for mothers among poor communities.

5. The poor are not passive victims of poverty because, within the constraints they face, they do their best to cope, to make ends meet, to protect their livelihoods and meet their basic requirements (Rephrased from Maxwell D, 1999. World Development 27 (11): 1939-1953).

6. A dream brought to reality is a great accomplishment but achieving somebody else’s dream is a much greater accomplishment.

7. Knowledge looses its worth when one lacks the facilities to translate it into practice.

8. The world does not have a food production problem but it does have an income entitlement problem.
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ABSTRACT

NUTRITIONAL, HYGIENIC AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC DIMENSIONS OF STREET FOODS IN URBAN AREAS: THE CASE OF NAIROBI


Exceptionally high rates of urbanisation in developing countries have been coupled with lack of employment and increasing urban poverty and undernutrition. Urban populations are thus utilising a variety of initiatives to enable them to survive. Street food vending is one such initiative. It is believed to have a double function in that it addresses the two increasing problems of urban poverty and undernutrition in developing countries. In Kenya, little information is available about street food vending. The sector is not officially permitted and vendors are often harassed. This study describes the scope of street food vending and buying in Nairobi and quality of street foods in terms of food group variety and energy and nutrient provision. It also assesses basic food hygiene knowledge and practice of vendors as well as the extent to which street foods are a source of livelihood for vending households.

We found that street food vending in Nairobi is widespread especially among the urban poor. Its growth reflects trends in economic and urban population growth. Although the sector offers products from all food groups, most vendors are one-food group sellers with cereals as the prominent group. In addition, major meal servings especially in working areas are able to provide more than adequate protein and iron, but their ability to provide adequate energy is limited. Meals are also poor in Vitamin A. However, where there is an income, particularly female vendors are able to sell foods of better nutritional quality than their male counterparts. Knowledge of general aspects of basic hygiene is well established while knowledge of specific issues is less spread among vendors. Nevertheless, vendors do not translate basic hygiene knowledge into safe food practices. Majority of vendors earns above the official minimum wage for Nairobi. For half of the vending households, street food vending is the main income provider. Such households are associated with the lowest socio-economic index and vendors as household heads. The vast majority of vending households feeds from the street food pot on a daily basis and obtains substantial amounts of the daily energy requirement.

Therefore, street food vending (and consumption) is growing with increasing urban population. The foods, however, need improvement in terms of variety and nutrient harmonisation. Vendors are not completely ignorant of basic food hygiene practices but consumers probably do not demand safe food. Poverty and insecurity may also contribute to lack of investment of vendors into safe practices while absence of sanitation amenities at the vending sites makes it impossible to practice. Vending of street foods is a livelihood strategy for most vending households, hence banning it would strip them of a means of survival. What is needed is to officially recognise the sector, reconstruct and organise it with provision of sanitation amenities and put in place vendor training and consumer sensitisation programmes to ensure food safety and nutritional quality.
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GENERAL INTRODUCTION
INTRODUCTION
The issue of urban food supply in developing countries is of growing concern especially due to unprecedented high rates of urbanisation in the recent decades. Urban population shares in low- and middle-income countries increased from 24% in 1965 (von Braun et al., 1993) to 40% in 1997 (World Bank, 1999) and is expected to be more than 50% by 2030 (UN, 2001). Countries in sub-Saharan Africa had the highest and increasing urban population growth rates reaching a share of 38% in 1999 (UNICEF, 2000). Rapid urbanisation has been coupled with declining economies and lack of employment. Recent reviews imply increasing urban poverty and undernutrition with increasing urban population in developing countries (Haddad et al., 1999; Menon et al., 2000; Amis, 2001).

Rapid urbanisation creates new and hasty demands for food in the urban areas (Pearce, 1991) and poses new challenges to food security for urban households and food and nutrition policies. As the urban population grows at high rates, food marketing facilities and channels must also grow fast (FAO, 1985). For many developing countries this is beyond financial resources, civic institutions are not strong enough to deal with it and the political will is often absent. In addition, increasing urban poverty creates the need for sources of cheap, accessible, safe and nutritious food in urban areas. The poor urban populations need adequate food at prices they can afford. At the same time, agricultural food production should not be discouraged by low food prices (Pearce, 1991). It is therefore important to consider ways in food markets that channel resources more effectively to the most deprived sections of the urban community.

The urban poor have been reported to utilise a variety of coping initiatives to enable them to survive, improve their welfare, and most of all, to obtain food (Verbeek, 1975; Undugu Society, undated; Bibagambah, 1992; Drakakis-Smith, 1992; Gefu, 1992; Holm, 1992; Mlozi et al., 1992). Most of these strategies fall under what has been categorised as the informal sector of the economy, whose growth has been corresponding to the lack of growth in employment opportunities (Pearce et al., 1988). One such strategy is the vending of street foods, on which this thesis focuses.

Urbanisation and Street Food Consumption
In urban areas, people loose, as far as the food system at household level is concerned, essential functions, particularly most of their food production, gathering, preservation and storage. Urbanisation is characterised with considerable distances between home and work place, tight time schedules, long working hours, small housing conditions not conducive for cooking and lack of knowledge of cooking by migrants without family (Arámbulo et al., 1994). In response, people tend to take on food consumption outside the household and buy ready-to-eat foods into the homes.

Urbanisation directs more food production to the urban market and this leads to greater availability of diverse types of foods. If these foods are sold at accessible prices the
heterogeneity of food consumption by urban dwellers can improve and maintain the quality of the urban diet. This is because the quality of diet not only depends on the sanitary state of the urban environment but also basically on the purchasing power of the consumer (den Hartog et al., 1995).

Income levels are a major determinant of the degree of an urban population's access to food and of the nature of their choice of foods (Gopalan, 1994). Low income forces people to buy small amounts of food, often on a daily basis, which are more expensive than larger amounts. Furthermore, low-income people in urban areas live in spontaneous settlements or shantytowns generally situated far from central markets where cheaper foods and other commodities can be bought. Because of the public transport involved, these markets are less accessible to them. In addition, fuel for cooking is a relatively high burden for low-income households (Brouwer et al., 1996) and cheap ready-to-eat foods become handy. Ready-to-eat foods sold in licensed establishments are expensive and out of the reach of the urban poor.

There are indications in the literature that street foods are of great importance for the urban diet and that many low-income families would be worse off if there were no street food vendors to serve fast and relatively inexpensive foods (FAO, 1987, 1989, 1990, 1991; Pearce, 1991; Streetfood Project, 1992a). Further indications are that street foods are of importance in children's diets (FAO, 1991, 1992; Chauliac and Gerbounin-Rerolle, 1994). Of the number of meals consumed by children in Cotonou, Bénin, 46% are street foods (van Loon and Ottens, 1996). In Bogor, Indonesia, it was shown that street foods contributed about 30% of energy, 50% of protein, 59% of iron and 2-10% of vitamin A and C for babies (Streetfood Project, 1992b). They have been reported to provide substantial energy and protein in the diets of different urban population groups (Webb and Hyatt, 1988; Streetfood Project, 1989; Oguntona and Kanye, 1995; Sujatha et al., 1997; Ag Bendech et al., 1998; Korir et al., 1998; Oguntona and Tella, 1999). Available literature also suggests them as cheap and tasty (Chakravarty and Canet, 1996; Winarno and Allain, 1991; Tinker, 1997).

For a better understanding of the complex interaction of urban eating practices and street foods in the tropics, Bricas (1994) points out that the dynamics of styles of consumption are activated simultaneously by three types of references, which are of a complementary nature:

1. Traditional rural background: many dishes and consumption practices in urban areas are anchored to rural origins. However, more often, preparation of traditional foods is usually time and fuel consuming for the urbanite. Hence people will tend to buy traditional ready-to-eat foods from sources such as street food vendors.

2. Urban socialisation: in the urban areas new products, dishes and practices arise, fitted to the urban way of life in terms of school, work and other types of income-generating activities and leisure. Hence influences outside the home, at places of work and other places of outside activities play an important role in street food consumption.

3. Individualism: the city allows people to evade the constraints of family meals. Individual
food choices can be made due to the freedom offered by the urban framework. Nevertheless, one reference that Bricas does not mention is purchasing power, which might be fundamental to the three references above.

**Nutritional Quality of Street Foods**

Street food vending has been viewed as undesirable by some governments as it is seen to portray a disorderly appearance in the cities. Yet, it is a common source of food eaten outside the home especially by the low-income population in urban areas of developing countries. The potential contribution of street foods to daily nutritional requirements, however, has not been adequately assessed. In addition, it is not clear whether individual vendors are in a position to provide adequate food variety for a healthy diet.

In Africa street foods are said to offer a wide variety of traditional dishes hardly served in western-styled restaurants and at fairly low prices (Lape, 1992). Although these dishes are thought to be highly nutritious, their nutritional quality is less documented. An attempt to document the nutritional quality of street foods in Africa was carried out in Ibadan, Nigeria, in 1986 - 1987 (FAO, 1987). Food composition analysis of street foods for some nutrients revealed that the foods were all good sources of energy and fairly good sources of protein and minerals especially when the foods were combined. Nevertheless, whether individual portions (as consumed by clients) contributed significantly to their recommended daily allowances was not clear. Only one study in Kenya documents the proximate composition of street foods and their energy and protein contribution to the nutrition of manual workers in Nairobi (Korir et al., 1998). The study, unfortunately, did not include the micronutrient quality of street foods.

**Safety of Street Foods**

The idea that street foods present a health hazard has been used widely by governments to defame street foods and remove traders from the streets (Atkinson, 1994). This is because the safety of street foods in many countries is not assured. Disadvantages of the street food industry usually listed in technical publications, for instance, are that street foods are thought to be a source of microbiological and chemical contamination and undergo unhygienic or improper food preparation and/or handling practices (Jayasuriya, 1994). However, studies in Minia - Egypt, Manikganj - Bangladesh and Iloilo, Philippines, indicated that street foods are as safe as or safer than food prepared in the homes of most customers (Tinker, 1987; Tinker and Fruge, 1982; Tinker, 1997). Gopalan (1994) also reckons that street foods in urban slums of Asia are generally fresh and hot. Some authors reason that sanitary conditions in many poor urban homes (believed to be the main consumers of street foods) are little different from those of street food vendors, and neither vendors nor buyers will perceive any problems with street food hygiene (Cohen and Tinker, 1985). Lack of basic sanitation, like in the unfavourable conditions that exist in slums of cities in many developing countries, can lead to food contamination at home.
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(Mortarjemi et al, 1993). A study comparing microbiological quality of traditional street foods with home-prepared and tourist hotel foods revealed that although some street foods were heavily contaminated, the microbiological quality of some of the foods was comparable to those prepared at home and tourist hotels (van Kampen et al., 1998). Other studies on street foods also reveal that not all foods are unsafe (FAO, 1991; Nasinyama, 1992; Streetfood Project, 1992a). In addition, there appears to exist no convincing evidence that street foods are more implicated in the transmission of infection and intoxication than foods obtained elsewhere (Abduussalam and Käferstein, 1993). However, there is generally incomplete notification of foodborne infections in developing countries. Nevertheless, if a community is to have full benefits of the street-vended foods, they should have minimal risk of foodborne disease. Hence intervention is required to ensure that the standard of safety for such food is the best attainable in the context of the prevailing local situation (WHO, 1996). In fact, it has been shown that with some inexpensive adjustment of the processes involved in the preparation of some heavily contaminated street foods, significant improvement in the microbiological load can be achieved (Streetfoods Project, 1992a).

It is reported that although street food vendors can cook well, they lack the training in public catering, are unaware of the level of hygiene required and have poor hygienic habits and/or health (Lape, 1992). Although literature suggests that vendors are completely unaware of hygienic practices, vendor hygiene knowledge has not been adequately assessed. There is evidence of increasing involvement of educated street food vendors implying that they may not be totally ignorant of basic food hygiene (Dawson et al., 1996). Hence the question arises whether vendors transform the basic hygiene knowledge they have into practice.

Socio-economic Role of Street Food Vending

Street food vending has been reported to be one of the important urban activities in the informal sector of many developing countries (Bricas, 1994: FAO, 1990, 1991, 1992; Nago et al., 1990) and appears to be an important category of economic street activities. A study in 1988 in West Java, Indonesia, indicated that sale of prepared foods made up to 44% - 78% of the economic street activities (Streetfood Project, 1989). Another study in Bogor, (Streetfood Project, 1992a) showed that this proportion was 39% - 84%, depending on whether the vending sites were strategic locations, residential areas or ambulatory units. Vending of street foods in developing countries is said to be expanding with the growth of urban population (FAO, 1990, 1991, 1992). Consumers of goods and services from this economy have probably also increased due to relatively low prices and decreased purchasing power of many people. According to Tinker (1987), far from disappearing as cities grow and become more modern, the number of street food vendors increases as city size increases.

Street food vending is said to supply a large number of people with relatively inexpensive food. It is thought that vendors can buy the raw food and the cooking fuel in bulk
at low prices (wholesale prices), thus minimising the cost of cooked food, and be able to sell it at relatively low prices due to economies of scale (Pearce et al., 1988). This makes such food accessible to the urban poor who probably earn only for the days' living. Their income pattern is such that wages are earned and spent daily, which often compels them to buy their food in small quantities from the neighbourhood rather than central markets which although inexpensive, are not so convenient (Pearce et al., 1988). In addition, their social support networks are less developed and homeless families and children present a special challenge (Garret and Haddad, 1995).

In Africa, revenue from street foods is thought to serve mainly as a complement to household income. Women tend to use it for direct family improvement, supplementing family income to meet basic needs of the household or for re-investment in their businesses (Lape, 1992). However, there is hardly any data on the socio-economic role of street foods for vending households in Africa.

The Food and Nutrition Situation in Urban Kenya
Like many other sub-Saharan African countries, Kenya has experienced high rates of urbanisation in the recent decades in the midst of declining economic growth and high fluctuations in inflation rates. Kenya's urban population share increased from 7.4% in 1960 to 24% in 1990 (CBS, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995; Courier, 1996). The 1999 census showed that the total urban population share had increased to 34.5% with Nairobi holding 22% of the urban population (CBS, 2000).

Economic growth rate fell from 5% in 1989 to a mere 0.2% in 1993 (CBS, 1992, 1994). It improved to 4.4% in 1995 but steadily decreased to -0.3% in 2000 (World Bank, 2001). In addition, there has been lack of growth in employment opportunities in the face of rapid labour force growth. Towards the end of the 1990s, Kenya experienced redundancies in industry and retrenchment in the public sector. Hence unemployment has gone up in the urban areas and real wages have gone down. Absolute poverty\(^1\) in Kenya grew by more than double between 1994 (22%) and 1997 (50%) and is presently estimated to be 52%. Urban absolute poverty (49%) is almost equal to the national poverty level while urban food poverty\(^2\) is estimated to be 38% (Kenya, 2000; 2001). These trends have been accompanied with a corresponding growth of the informal sector of the economy in the country, especially in the urban areas, as a response of people striving to meet their basic needs.

One particular characteristic that has an impact on the health and nutrition of people in the urban environment is the ability to obtain an adequate monetary income. A survey by the

---

\(^1\) The absolute poverty line is the minimum amount of money necessary to afford an adult equivalent their basic minimum food and non-food requirements.

\(^2\) The food poverty line is the amount of expenditure that would, on average, meet the recommended daily

(Note continues next page)
Kenya Consumer Organization (KCO) in 1992 found that the rate of growth of income for the urban poor in Nairobi had been lower than the rate at which prices of basic commodities had increased between 1987 - 1991 (KCO, 1992). This, of course, has detrimental implications on household health, food accessibility and the nutritional status of the urban poor. It means that for the poor population, the ability to pay for food and health services is severely limited and unless they can generate adequate funds, their dietary intake is limited not only in quantity but also in variety. Alternative sources of cheap foods, such as street foods, could possibly be very important for the urban poor in Kenya.

Chronic under-consumption of energy and protein is the most common and most devastating form of malnutrition among low-income urban populations in Kenya (CBS, 1992, 1995; Kenya 1994; Kenya 1998; Kenya/UNICEF, 1998; Kenya/UNDP, 1999). Studies on urban nutrition in Kenya indicate malnutrition levels among the urban poor that match or are even higher than the national rural averages. A Nairobi survey covering nine settlements of low socio-economic status revealed a wasting level of 3% - 13% and a stunting level of 10%-57% among the samples of children below five years of age (Kenya/UNICEF, 1992). The extent to which micronutrient deficiencies affect the population in urban Kenya is not clearly known. Data from localised surveys suggest that at least one-third of all Kenyan children, and probably a bigger proportion of adult women, may be anaemic most being due to iron deficiency (WHO/FAO, 1992). Vitamin A deficiency prevalence, incidence and geographical distribution are essentially unknown but deficiency is thought to be of public health importance (Kenya, 1994; Kenya/UNICEF, 1998). Available data from scattered surveys show that adequate levels of vitamin A intake range from as low as 5% in Eastern Province to less than 60% in Central Province while other studies report that the problem is not prevalent in Kenya (WHO/FAO, 1992). Iodine deficiency is no longer a problem as it is a government requirement that all salt in the country be iodised. By 1996 iodised salt was reported to be used in more than 90% of households in Kenya (UNICEF, 1997). As a matter of fact, the role of street foods in the provision of energy and the above mentioned nutrients (which are important as far as the Kenyan food and nutrition policy is concerned) for urban populations is not known.

Street Foods in Nairobi

According to Drakakis-Smith (1992), the principal components in any urban food supply system for the poor are three: urban food subsistence, the petty commodity retail sector and the fully commercialised retail sector. With rapid urban development in Nairobi, the amount of land available for subsistence food production keeps reducing and poor people are pushed into commercial food marketing systems. In addition, food gifts are no longer popular in the urban setting and social support networks are less developed. As such, it is no surprise that many low-

energy allowance for an adult equivalent.
income people in Nairobi try different ways of supplementing their food supply. A study in 1995 (Mwangi, 1995; Mwangi and Foeken, 1996) revealed that low-income households in Nairobi were unable to adequately feed themselves on their earnings and many tried to supplement their food supply by growing subsistence food. However, for many households, this option was out of reach because of the lack of access to urban land.

In the petty commodity retail sector, street food vending appears to play an important role in the Nairobi urban food supply system especially for the low-income earners. The phenomenon of street food vending in Kenya became more noticeable during the 1990s. From personal observation, there have been indications of an expanding supply of street foods in Nairobi, which may imply an equally growing demand. This is expected given the recent trends in the economy of the country. The study by Mwangi (1995) also revealed that part of the food harvested by urban farmers was sold out, presumably in the form of street foods. Maize and beans were occasionally harvested prematurely (to avoid theft), boiled and then sold. The mode of sale was, however, not investigated.

Study Rationale
There is increased stress by international agencies to give more attention to urbanisation and problems related to food security of the urban poor. Street food vending in urban areas is a world-wide phenomenon and has been incorporated in the agenda of international organisations, particularly the FAO and the WHO. Most studies on street foods have focused on their microbiological quality, energy and protein contribution to daily intake by consumers and the level of income obtained from vending. Despite this, information on the nutritional dimensions, hygiene knowledge and practices of vendors and the role of street foods as a source of livelihood for vending households remain scanty. Given the fact that the street foods sector may be an important source of nutrition for low-income urban populations and employment in the midst of lack of formal employment opportunities, more insight is needed to underscore its benefits for official recognition. In spite of the obvious presence of street food vending in Kenyan cities no information is available about its scope and its nutritional, hygienic and socio-economic dimensions. Only one study (Korir et al., 1998) has assessed the proximate composition of street foods served to low manual workers in Nairobi. In addition to being less studied and documented, the phenomenon is less officially recognised and controlled.

In view of these gaps, a project on street foods in Nairobi was initiated. Because of the complex nature of street foods and of carrying out research in the urban environment, the project consisted of two Ph.D studies. The present study focuses on the socio-economic, nutritional and hygienic dimensions of street food vending. The other study by van’t Riet (2002) pays attention to the relevance of street foods in the diet of low-income urban residents. The two studies are complementary to one another in that the present study centres on vendors and the foods while van’t Riet’s study concentrates on the consumers of street foods. Both studies were
undertaken at the Division of Human Nutrition and Epidemiology of Wageningen University, in close collaboration with the Unit of Applied Human Nutrition of the University of Nairobi and the African Studies Centre, Leiden. The results can be of use for planning and implementation of health and nutrition intervention. They give insight to the policy makers of Kenya and other East African countries on the significance of street foods for the urban poor.

We defined street foods as “ready-to-eat foods and beverages, processed or fresh, which are sold at stationary locations or by mobile vendors in streets and open places, as opposed to stores and licensed establishments”. They include foods like fruit bought and consumed in the street and ready-to-eat foods bought in the street but eaten at home. Excluded are foods sold in restaurants and similar places.

Objectives
The overall aim of this study is to get insight in the nutritional, hygienic and socio-economic dimensions of street foods in Nairobi as a prerequisite to providing nutritious and hygienically safe food for consumers, while sustaining their socio-economic role for urban populations. The objectives are to:

1. Assess the scope of the street food phenomenon in Nairobi, both in the past and present, with the aim of establishing circumstances surrounding its practice and its function in urban food supply.
2. Determine whether street food vendors provide sufficient food group varieties for a healthy diet, with special reference to Nairobi.
3. Assess the nutritional quality of street foods with special reference to Nairobi and the nutrition situation in Kenya.
4. Assess street food vendor's general knowledge of basic food hygiene and how it relates to practice.
5. Determine the extent to which street foods are a source of livelihood for vending households, and its links with socio-economic aspects of the households, in different areas of Nairobi.

Methodological Design
The study was based on interviews by means of a key informant guide and structured questionnaires, as well as on observation of the research population. The field work for this study was carried out in several phases between October 1997 and April 1999. First, a key informant guide was used to establish the genesis of and subsequent trends in street food vending in Nairobi in October 1997. This was followed by a phase of vendor mapping to determine the extent of street food vending in the city. The vendor mapping involved exploration of different districts of the city at different hours of the day. It was established from the vendor mapping that street food vendors frequented very-low income areas (slums), low-
middle income settlements and working areas especially those frequented by low-income workers. Three study areas were selected representing each of the areas frequented by street food vendors. Cross-section surveys were conducted among street food vendors in the selected areas, in three phases, to address objectives 2-5 above.

**Study Area**

The study was carried out in Korogocho slum settlement, Dandora residential area and the Industrial Area of Nairobi, the capital city of Kenya (Figure 1). Nairobi was founded in the late 1890s. At present, Nairobi covers an area of 680 Km² at an average altitude of 1675m (5495 ft) above sea level. Its population has increased tremendously since Kenya became independent in 1963. Nairobi is considered to be one of the largest and fastest growing cities in Africa. The city population in millions was estimated at about 0.27 in 1962, 0.5 in 1969, 1.3 in 1989 (Obudho, 1997; Maxon, 2001) and 2.1 in 1999 (CBS, 2000). Nairobi’s residential areas still reflect the city’s colonial past when residence was determined largely by race and income. Racial barriers disappeared after Kenya became independent in 1963, but the city’s residential pattern continues to be determined by income (Maxon, 2001). Generally, the wealthier residents live in neighbourhoods to the west of the city centre, while the least wealthy residents live in the east. Rapid growth of Nairobi has been associated with increasing urban poverty and the proliferation of slums and squatter settlements adjacent to some of the residential and industrial areas (Obudho, 1997).

Korogocho is a sub-location about 8 Km to the Northeast of the city centre. It is mainly a slum settlement made of 8 villages and was estimated have a population of more than 60,000 in 1995 (Atema and Panayiotopoulos, 1995). Most of the residents were settled here from various locations in Nairobi, where they lived as squatters. They were required to build temporary structures because they were basically still considered as squatters (UNICEF/ODA, 1994). Many of those who were settled in the area have with time put up temporary rental structures, mostly single rooms with an average area of 6 m². The slum has planned earthen roads with numerous footpaths. Rated according to household income, Korogocho is one of the very-low-income districts in Nairobi (World Bank, 1995).

Dandora is a planned residential area built in four phases for low-income people. It is also located to the Northeast of the city centre and is separated from Korogocho by the Nairobi River and a big dumpsite. Due to increasing costs of living, it has become residence for mainly low-middle income people. Several squatter settlements have sprung up in the open spaces around the estate. Construction of illegal buildings, mainly single rooms, by landlords has also encroached in the estate.

The Industrial Area of Nairobi is designated as a general business area. It covers an area of 8 Km², with residence estimated at more than 21,000 in 1989 (Kenya, 1989). The residential areas around the Industrial Area are mainly slum settlements occupied by low-income factory
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workers.

Figure 1: Maps showing the location of Kenya in Africa, Nairobi in Kenya, and the study areas in Nairobi.
Outline of the Thesis.
In Chapter 2, the scope of the street food phenomenon in Nairobi, both in the past and at present, is described. Chapter 3 describes the different food group varieties sold by individual vendors with regards to provision of a healthy diet. In Chapter 4, the nutritional quality of street foods in Nairobi is described in the light of recognised nutrition deficiencies in Kenya. Chapter 5 presents street food vendor’s knowledge of basic food hygiene in Nairobi and its relation to hygienic food practices. Chapter 6 describes street foods as a source of livelihood for vending households. Finally, in Chapter 7, the different methods used in the studies are discussed, the main findings are summarised and the implications of the main findings for urban policy are presented.
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ABSTRACT
Very little is known about street foods in sub-Sahara Africa. We investigated the scope of the street food phenomenon in Nairobi, both in the past and present, with the aim of establishing circumstances surrounding its practice and its function in urban food supply. We found that street food vending and consumption in Nairobi rapidly increased during the previous two decades, instigated by the need for affordable food among low-income urban dwellers and the need for employment. It is an expanding and thriving phenomenon especially among the urban poor and climbs up the socio-economic ladder due to increasing monetary demands. In addition to being a food supply channel for the urban poor, street food vending in Nairobi provides employment opportunities to a labour force that would otherwise be unemployed. There is need to legitimise the sector with simple regulations that make the food safe but not expensive.

Key words: Street foods vending, Nairobi, Urban poor.

INTRODUCTION
Recent unprecedented high and increasing urban population growth rates in sub-Sahara Africa create new demands for food in the urban areas while posing new challenges to urban food security and nutrition policies (World Bank, 1990, 1999; Von Braun et al., 1993; Pearce, 1991). As the urban population grows at high rates, food supply facilities and channels must also grow to ensure that the population has access to adequate food (Hussan, 1990; FAO, 1985). Moreover, rapid urbanisation has been taking place amid low, stagnant or declining economies, high rates of inflation and increasing costs of living in the advent of lack or diminished growth in employment opportunities (World Bank, 1990, 1999). The result is loss of purchasing power for increasingly more people in urban areas and the need for sources of cheap, accessible and safe nutritious food.

Research suggests that street foods in developing countries play an important role in the diets of many urbanites, especially those of low income. Webb and Hyatt (1988) showed that street foods contributed a substantial proportion of energy (15%) and protein (18%) toward the recommended daily allowance for secondary school children in Haiti. Higher contributions (25% and 50% respectively) were found among adolescents attending school (Oguntona and Kanye, 1995) and urban market women in Nigeria (Oguntona and Telia, 1999). Most (91.5%) primary school children in Bamako, Mali, have also been shown to buy food from street vendors (Monnier and Chauliac, 1994). Furthermore, street foods played a considerable role in the daily diet of low-income male urban workers in Hyderadad (Sujatha, et al., 1997), urban construction workers in Nairobi (Korir, et al., 1998) and Calcutta street traders (Chakravarty and Canet, 1996).

Other reports suggest that street food vending forms an integral part of urban economies in the informal sector in many Asian, Latin American and African countries (Bricas, 1994; Jayasuriya, 1994; FAO, 1990, 1991, 1992; Tinker, 1987a). A study in West Java, Indonesia (Streetfoods Project, 1989) indicated that sale of prepared foods made up 44 -
78% of the economic street activities. Although started with minimum capital and requiring little education, street food vending is said to contribute a relatively good and reliable income, well above the minimum wage in many countries (FAO, 1997, 1990; Chauliac and Gerbouin-Rerolle, 1994; Nasinyama, 1992; Streetfood Project, 1992; Tinker, 1987b; Winarno and Allain, 1991). Street food vending might therefore be an occupation and a source of employment for many urban dwellers as it supplements marketing facilities and channels for urban food supply.

In this paper street foods are defined as “ready-to-eat foods and beverages, processed or fresh, which are sold at stationary locations or by mobile vendors in streets and open places as opposed to stores and licensed establishments”. Street foods are obviously present in many Kenyan towns but very little is known about them. Only one study (Korir, et al., 1998) known to the authors has attempted to document the proximate chemical composition of street foods and their energy and protein contribution to the nutrition of manual workers in Nairobi. The study focused only on vendors selling foods at construction sites and did not look at the spread of vending in the city. Street food vending is not officially permitted in Kenya and no regulation exists in terms of safety of the foods or other matters. Few studies (if any) have examined the history of the vending practice in large cities and the metamorphosis that has occurred over time, yet this is important when considering ways of regulating street food vending. Therefore, we investigated the scope of the street food phenomenon in Nairobi, both in the past and present, with the aim of establishing circumstances surrounding its practice and its function in urban food supply. This knowledge can contribute to information required for the development of a suitable legislation specific to this service and could be used as an example for other large cities (in the developing world) experiencing a similar phenomenon. This study is part of a wider project that addresses the socio-economic, nutritional and hygienic dimensions of street foods in Nairobi.

STUDY SETTING, DESIGN AND METHODS
The study was carried out in Nairobi City between October and November 1997. It had been reviewed and approved by the Research Authorising Board in the Office of the President, Kenya, in August 1997. Street foods are also found in other large and small cities in Kenya, but Nairobi was selected because, being the capital city, it housed people from all ethnic groups and races in Kenya. In addition, differences in history, intensity and types of food vending in Nairobi compared to other large (metropolitan) cities such as Mombasa and Nakuru were not expected to be significant. This is not necessarily the case with smaller towns like Machakos and Kakamega. Resources, however, did not allow for comparisons.

The study consisted of three phases:
Historical Profile of Street Food Vending and Consumption
To establish the genesis of and subsequent trends in street food vending in Nairobi, a key informant guide was administered to nine adults (four men and five women) living in the city for at least 10 years to over 30 years. They comprised four street hawkers, one village elder, one non-governmental organisation (NGO) worker, one housewife, one senior civil servant and one middle-income civil servant. This was in attempt to gather information from as varied a type of urban dweller as possible. Selection of key informants within each type of urban dwellers was spontaneous provided they met the criteria of years of stay in Nairobi, were adults and were willing to participate in the study. The principal researcher, in the company of a field assistant, visited key informants at home or at their place of work and led the discussions. Each key informant was asked to reflect back from the time s/he moved to Nairobi and only qualitatively describe observed trends in the development of the street foods phenomenon over the period of stay in Nairobi. Information collected included the origination of street food vending, trends in the kind of street foods sold and distribution over the city, place of cooking and selling, and the number and characteristics of vendors and consumers. Informants also gave their views on the importance of street foods in urban food supply. Both the principal researcher and the field assistant recorded the information by making notes during the discussions. The notes were reviewed, compared and summarised at the end of each discussion. Key informant discussions were terminated after the ninth respondent because there was already clear consistence in responses.

Vendor Mapping
This phase was used to determine the extent of street food vending in Nairobi through identification of districts frequented by street food vendors and the kind of ready-to-eat foods and premises specific to different districts. The principal researcher and a field assistant explored two areas each from high-income, upper middle-income, lower middle-income and low-income residential areas, as well as the central business district (CBD), office areas on the outskirts of the CBD, public service vehicle stations, open markets, construction sites, and the Industrial Area. School sites found within these areas were included. Each area was explored for one day at three different times: early morning (7-10 am), mid-day (12 noon-2 pm) and evening (4-7 pm). Information was gathered through observation and was recorded and treated as in the historical profile phase. Collected information included: the presence and density of street food vendors and other premises serving ready-to-eat foods (indicated as many, medium, few and absent) and available types of street foods (by listing and qualitatively indicating the density) at different times of the day. Informal conversations with encountered residents, NGO workers, village heads, street vendors and buyers in the area were conducted to verify the observations.
Survey Phase
This was a follow-up to the vendor-mapping phase. Its purpose was to identify in selected areas some demographic characteristics of street food vendors, the operational characteristics of their units as well as types of food products sold. Three areas, namely a very-low-income residential (slum) area (Korogocho), a lower-middle-income residential area (Dandora) and the general business area (Industrial Area) were selected on the basis of street food vendor presence. Rated according to household income, Korogocho is one of the very low-income districts in Nairobi (World Bank, 1995). Dandora is considered to be a lower-middle income district, while the Industrial Area is the only one designated as the general business area of Nairobi. These areas were thought to be representative since the exploration phase indicated similarities in the type of street foods sold in corresponding income areas within the city.

With the help of field assistants, all street food vendors in the study areas were counted against other street activities during three different times of the day, (7-10 am, 12 noon-2 pm and 4-7 pm). For each time period, counting was done twice, in the first and the last hour of the period. With a small pre-tested questionnaire, all street food vendors were interviewed. Vending sites were visited at least three different times (as above) to ensure that vendors who worked only part of the day were included. The highest counts of vendors were 229 in Korogocho, 153 in Dandora and 264 in the Industrial Area. However, the respondents to the questionnaire were less: 177 in Korogocho, 150 in Dandora and 253 in the Industrial Area, either because some vendors refused to co-operate or were unable to respond correctly. The higher proportion of non-participation in Korogocho was because some vendors feared that the study would work against them since it would make public the unhygienic aspects of their foods. Although the field assistants tried their best to explain that it was not the case, some vendors still refused to co-operate. It was assumed that such vendors were spread over the study area and they were not different from the respondents in terms of the types of food they sold hence their exclusion from the study was not expected to considerably affect the outcome. Information gathered included food types in terms of items, meal type and preparation, number of food varieties sold, vendor mobility, age of the operation, operating days and hours, number of workers, importance of street food vending in terms of employment and income and some demographic characteristics of the vendors.

Data were cleaned and analysed using SPSS for Windows. Frequencies were run to determine distributions. The density of street food vending in the economic street activities (such as vending of second-hand cloths, utensils, raw foods) was determined as the ratio of the highest count of street food vendors to the highest total count of street activities in the study areas. Distribution of street food vendors according to types of food vended, the importance of street foods, the demographic and operating characteristics were determined using frequencies. To compare the study locations according to these variables, the $\chi^2$ as well as $\phi$ and Cramer's V statistics were used. Only the first 10 food products most frequently sold
in each study area were used to determine association of specific street food products with study locations. To assess the progress of street food vending units, the Pearson correlation was run between age of business on the one hand and the number of street food varieties as well as the number of people working on the business. Differences in means between study locations were determined using the one-way analysis of variance. The level of significance in all cases was set at \( p=0.05 \).

RESULTS

Trends in Street Food Vending since the 1960s
It was of general agreement among the key informants living in Nairobi for at least 30 years that in the early 1960s there were no street foods in the city. A few food kiosks and restaurants were present in market places, bus terminuses, the Industrial Area and generally areas where people concentrated. At the end of the 1960s roasted fresh maize, consumed only as a snack, started appearing in some streets and bus stops, especially in the central-east parts of the city, which were populated mainly by low-income people. It was rarely found in the CBD. Vendors were said to be few, mostly uneducated and shabbily dressed males. There were no female vendors at that time. The consumers were also few and mostly males of low income. Roasting was done at the vending site. The maize was consumed either at the vending site or while walking.

In the late 1970s and early 1980s, maize roasting became more common in Nairobi. By this time, it was increasingly seen in residential areas and in the CBD. A few cooked foods, such as boiled sweet potatoes, boiled maize, githeri (dish with maize and beans as the basic ingredients), chapati (pan-fried wheat bread), uji (cereal porridge), tea and processed foods started appearing in the back streets and working places. The latter areas included the Industrial Area, construction sites and sites where informal sector activities were concentrated. During this time cooked street foods started being used as whole meals (specifically as lunch) at working places but were not found in residential areas. It was clear from the key informants that by the early 1980s, only roasted maize was prepared at the vending site while the rest of the foods were brought to the site when ready-to-eat. The number of vendors started to increase in the 1980s as the number of female vendors relative to men was increasing. Differentiation between males and females in the type of foods sold was seen, with females selling mainly cooked foods while males sold either roasted maize or processed foods like bread and soda. The number of consumers was increasing, especially casual labourers and workers in the informal sector.

During the second half of the 1980s, roasting of maize continued, processed foods increased, fruits (previously only sold at market places) came in and the variety of cooked foods grew. Apart from the staple foods, deep-fried fish and roasted meat came into the picture. Cooked foods had extended to residential areas and whole meals were increasingly
being consumed at working places. It was indicated that cooked foods in residential areas started with the frying of fish and roasting of meat in low-income residential areas, especially in the Eastlands. These were seen mainly late in the evenings. Later on, mandazi (a kind of doughnut) and chapati started to be seen, mainly early in the mornings. In lower middle-income residential areas, mostly snacks were found. More female vendors joined and started outnumbering the males. Differentiation between males and females in type of foods was still conspicuous. As females sold mainly cooked foods and deep-fried fish, males sold roasted maize, processed foods and roasted meat. However, some females started taking up maize roasting especially in low-income residential areas. The number of consumers seemed to be rising. Increasingly, low-income civil and private employees started to consume street foods. Some of the foods were taken into homes as whole or part of meals.

Between 1990 and 1993, the number of street food vendors and varieties escalated. In addition to already existing food varieties, fried meat and fruit salads came into the picture. There was a tremendous increase in filling meals. Street foods spilled over to some middle-income residential areas and parts of office areas. Female vendors became the majority and male vendors started selling cooked foods that were previously the domain of females. The number of consumers increased. At the time of this study, the key informants thought that the numbers of street food vendors were still increasing among sexes. Moreover, increasingly educated vendors were involved.

**Distribution of Street Foods in Nairobi**

From the exploratory phase of this study it appeared that the concentration of street foods, and to some extent also the food types, varied from one area to the other (see Table 1 and Figure 1). In high-income residential areas, street food vending was absent except for very rare roasting of maize at isolated bus stops. Food kiosks were also absent but restaurants and snackbars were found at shopping centers in the residential areas. In the middle-income residential areas, there were more street food vendors (though less in the upper-middle income areas) more food varieties and food kiosks. The density of vending was highest in the low-income residential areas. Of all the economic street activities, street foods accounted for 23% in Korogocho and 15% in Dandora residential areas. In the market areas and bus terminuses, it accounted for 8% in Korogocho and 5% in Dandora.

The number of vendors in residential areas was highest early in the morning (before 10 am) and in the evenings (after 3 pm). In the morning, the most common foods were mandazi while in the evening, fried meat and fish were common. At night, vendors selling mainly tea, bread and mandazi were many at major bus stations and stops. There were no vendors at secondary school gates. Processed sweet snack vendors were located at some primary school gates during the mid-morning and lunch hours.
Figure 1: Areas explored and general situation of street foods in Nairobi

In the Industrial Area, some office areas, at informal sector sites and market places meals were served during lunch hours. At matatu (public transport minibuses) terminuses within the city centre, meals were served early in the morning and snacks were sold throughout the day.

In Table 2, the distribution of street food vendors by study location and the category of food products most frequently sold are presented. The association of specific food products with study locations is also indicated. Filling meals were more associated with Korogocho (low-income area; seven different varieties) and the Industrial Area (six different varieties) compared to Dandora (lower middle-income area) with one variety. Light meals or breakfast foods and snacks were more associated with Korogocho and Dandora. Fruits were mainly found in the Industrial Area.
Table 1: General situation of street food vending in various parts of Nairobi city, 1997

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area type</th>
<th>Area description</th>
<th>Area name</th>
<th>Street foods available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential Areas*</td>
<td>High-income</td>
<td>Muthaiga estate</td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring Valley estate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper middle-income</td>
<td>Buruburu estate</td>
<td>Fried fish and roasted maize in isolated locations mainly near bus stops.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Olympic estate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower middle-income</td>
<td>Eastleigh estate</td>
<td>Fried fish, pastries, <em>chapati</em>, cooked and roasted maize, fried and roasted meat, soup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maringo estate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dandora estate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low-income</td>
<td>Kibera slums</td>
<td>As for lower middle income but meats were of lower quality, <em>Githeri</em>, <em>Kienyeji</em> (contains basically maize, beans, potatoes and vegetables), chips, <em>ugali</em> (stiff gruel made from maize meal).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Korogocho slums</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Areas*</td>
<td>Industrial area</td>
<td>Industrial Area</td>
<td><em>Githeri</em>, <em>uji</em>, <em>chapati</em>, meat and vegetable stews, vegetables, fruits, roasted peanuts, boiled maize</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal sector</td>
<td>Muthurwa</td>
<td>Westlands</td>
<td><em>Githeri</em>, <em>uji</em>, <em>chapati</em>, vegetable stews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matatu terminuses</td>
<td>City centre</td>
<td>Country bus terminus</td>
<td><em>Chapati</em>, <em>uji</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus stops and</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kenya bus terminus</td>
<td>Pastries, cookies, fruits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>terminuses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markets</td>
<td>Gikomba market</td>
<td>Korogocho market</td>
<td><em>Githeri</em>, tea, bread, <em>mandazi</em>, <em>ugali</em>, vegetables, fruits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office areas</td>
<td>City centre,</td>
<td>Community, Westlands,</td>
<td>Cookies, sweets, sodas, bread, cakes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Museum Hill.</td>
<td>fruits/fruit salads, sugar cane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>Korogocho</td>
<td>Sweet snacks and goodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gates</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dandora</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kariobangi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See also Figure 1.
Table 2: Distribution (%) of street food vendors by study location and food products most frequently sold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Usual place of food product in diet</th>
<th>Food Product</th>
<th>Percent vendors selling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Korogocho slums (N=177) %</td>
<td>Dandora lower-middle income area (N=150) %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filling meals</td>
<td>Chapati</td>
<td>29***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fried githeri</td>
<td>9***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boiled githeri</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ugali</td>
<td>19***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bean stew</td>
<td>9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vegetable stew</td>
<td>15***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kale</td>
<td>12***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fried meat/meat stew</td>
<td>12***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Green gram stew</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light meal/snack</td>
<td>Uji</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soup</td>
<td>5**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boiled maize</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chips</td>
<td>9***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roasted meat</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Break fast/snack</td>
<td>Mandazi</td>
<td>28***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tea</td>
<td>24***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snack</td>
<td>Roasted maize</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ice</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roasted peanuts</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biscuits</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Candies</td>
<td>15***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>Ripe bananas</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oranges</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p=0.05, **p=0.01 and ***p=0.001 significance between sites ($\chi^2$, $\phi$ and Cramer's V).

Vendor Demographic and Street Food Unit Operating Characteristics

Most (65.7%) of the vendors were female. Their mean age was 27.6 years (SD=9.1) with 90% being aged between 18 and 55 years. Almost half (47%) of the vendors had lived in Nairobi for less than one year.
More than three-quarters (78%) of the vendors were also the owners of the operation. Some vending units belonged to close relatives (12%). In a few (2%) of the operations, the vendor either owned the business with another person or was only standing in for a friend. For the rest of the vending units (8%), the vendors were employees.

Involvement of close relatives and employees was more important with food preparation than with the actual vending. In Korogocho, this involvement was higher than in the other two areas (Figure 2).

Most (88%) of the vendors sold their foods at stationary locations. Mobile vendors were somewhat more common in Korogocho (15%), especially at markets, and in the Industrial Area (13%), compared to Dandora (6%).

In Korogocho and Dandora, street food vending and other street economic activities took place throughout the day. Most vendors (93% in Korogocho and 87% in Dandora) operated their vending units for 6-7 days per week. In the Industrial Area, most food vending premises (92%) operated for 5-6 days per week, between 11 am and 2 pm. There were no other types of street economic activities in this area.

In Table 3, the distribution of vending units by study location and the type of preparation of the street foods is shown. In all areas, most vendors sold only vendor-prepared foods. Of these, the majority in Korogocho and Dandora cooked at the vending site while the majority in the Industrial Area transported cooked food to the vending site. Most of those cooking at the vending site in the Industrial Area were males roasting maize.
In the Industrial Area, foods that did not need preparation (mainly whole fruits) were sold in almost one-third (32%) of the street food vending units. Processed foods (mainly milk, bread, soda and candies) were more found in Korogocho (18%) and the Industrial Area (11%). Some of the candies sold in Korogocho were factory rejects.

Table 3: Distribution (%) of vending units by type of street food preparation and study area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of street food preparation</th>
<th>Korogocho (N=177)</th>
<th>Dandora (Low income, N=150)</th>
<th>Industrial Area (N=253)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Only vendor-prepared</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>60.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only processed</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only foods that need no preparation</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both vendor-prepared and processed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both vendor-prepared and foods that need no preparation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both processed and foods that need no preparation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 shows the distribution of street food vendors according to the number of months they had been operating and study location. Almost half (48%) of the vendors were fairly new in the business, doing it for less than 12 months. On the other hand, 15% were doing this type of activity for more than five years, while some were vending as long as ten years. The three research locations showed no important differences in this respect although the Kruskal Wallis test showed that the street food vendors in Korogocho had been operating the longest and those in Dandora the shortest (p=0.001).

There was a positive and significant (p<0.001) correlation between the age of the business on the one hand and both the number of food varieties (r=0.23) and the number of people working on the vending unit (r=0.18). On average, each vending unit had 2.5 (SD=1.9) food varieties and 1.6 (SD=1.1) workers including the business owners. Both the mean number of people working on the business and the mean number of food varieties were significantly different (p<0.001) between study locations. Food varieties were more in Korogocho and Industrial Area than in Dandora (2.8 compared to 1.7 varieties per vending unit). Workers per unit were more in Korogocho (2.0) followed by Dandora (1.7) and less in the Industrial Area (1.4).

The Importance of Street Foods for Vendors

The three area survey showed that among the vendors who owned the business, 40.2% relied on street food vending for all their income, while a majority (58.4%) of them obtained at least half of their income from this activity. One-quarter (25.6%) said that street food vending
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contributed only a small amount to their income. For male vendors, street food vending seemed to be more important in terms of income than for female vendors, as half (50%) of the male vendors compared to about one-third (36%) of the female vendors relied on food vending for all their income. The proportion of vendors relying on street food vending for all their income tended to increase as the vendors were longer in this business (Figure 3).

Table 4: Length of period of street food operations and % distribution of vendors by study area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of operation in months</th>
<th>Korogocho (low-income) N=177</th>
<th>Dandora (lower-middle income) N=150</th>
<th>Industrial Area (N=253)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 12</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 – 36</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 – 60</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 – 120</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;120</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Proportion of vendors relying on street foods for all their income by age of business.

DISCUSSION

Instigation and Spread of Street Food Vending in Nairobi

Key informant discussions indicate that although street food vending in Nairobi started in the late 1960s, it was not until the mid-1980s that it underwent tremendous growth and change in
characteristics. The phenomenon appears to have been initiated by uneducated males as a form of employment. Trends in the growth of the economy and the urban population during the previous two decades have contributed to the growth and changing nature of street foods vending. The two decades were characterised by high urban population increases in combination with declining economic growth, high rates of inflation, diminished growth in employment opportunities and increasing poverty (Kenya, 1991-1995), causing many urbanites to increasingly participate in informal activities such as street foods vending. Since cooking is one of the customary tasks for African women, it is no wonder that female street food vendors have tended to outnumber males with time. The present state of women being the majority of street food vendors has also been observed in other countries such as Guatemala, Peru, Philippines, Honduras, Thailand, Indonesia, Senegal and Nigeria (FAO, 1990). Selling of roasted meat is, however, dominated by males, a task that can also be traced back, not only to the African cultural tasks for men, but also to the Western countries where meat barbecue tasks were originally for men (oral conversations). The increase in street food vending in Nairobi can therefore be said to be due to:
- increasing labour force resulting from rapid urbanisation and lack of formal employment opportunities;
- increasing economic stress and unreliability of incomes creating the need for income diversification and increased demand for cheap sources of food.

Dawson and Canet (1991) mention a third reason namely increased demand for convenience foods, especially ready-to-eat foods, due to the busy form of life in urban areas. In Nairobi, this may apply only to a small extent since the need for employment and economic stress seem to be more important. Hence street food vending in Nairobi can be said to be a survival, coping and income diversification (income security) strategy driven by both demand (for cheap and convenient food) and supply.

**Convenience of Street Foods**

Street food vending started at public places in low-income residential areas, spreading to working places and eventually to middle-income residential areas. This means that vending and consumption of street foods started among the urban poor as a strategy to cope with their economic problems. Street foods could therefore be thought of as an innovation occurring in times of economic stress, gradually moving up the social scale and being adopted by higher classes (probably comparable to the Irish potato in Europe). It therefore falls in the Emergency Innovation type of foods distinguished by Wiegelman in 1974 (cited in Den Hartog, 1986) as one of the two basic types of food innovations. Food consumption behaviour has been reported to be a result of five types of influences, namely the physiological value, the socio-psychological value, the economic value, the availability and the knowledge of the food products for the consumer (Myburgh, 1995). These influences are constantly in interaction and variable in scope. Since employment and wages, along with
prices and incomes, play a central role in food security of urban populations, the most consequential influence in their consumption behaviour is likely to be the economic value of the food products. The absolute and relative prices of products, i.e. relative to the income flow of the consumer, are important here.

Street food vendors cluster logically where their customers are. Hence there appears to be an inverse relation between the density of street food vending, particularly of filling meals, and the income level of the residential areas concerned. This leads to two observations. Firstly, the poor in Nairobi are the main consumers of street foods and their households use a lot of street foods. Secondly, street foods are not important in the diets of people living in higher-income residential areas although they consume it to a smaller degree.

With increasing costs of living, the difficulty of procuring cooking fuel, and the inability of the market to offer raw foods in small measures leave the urban poor with no food choices other than ready-to-eat foods, which may be expensive. Street foods, being relatively cheaper than other types of ready-to-eat foods, appear to be the best immediate option for low-income urbanites given their amount of wages combined with the flow of their earnings in relation to their other needs. Those who manage to get access to a piece of urban land can start to produce (part of) their own food (Mwangi 1995; Mwangi and Foeken, 1996; Foeken and Mwangi 1998). This explains why street food vending started and is still mainly found in the low-income districts of Nairobi. People living in high-income districts either can afford the foods they prefer or their income flow enables them to spend on other types of food. The issue of affordability and financial means has been observed elsewhere. In Bogor, Indonesia, in the late 1980s a majority of students originating from lower and middle socio-economic classes depended on street foods for most of their nutritional intake, mainly because their financial means were small (Streetfoods Project, 1989). In Haiti, Webb and Hyatt (1988) observed significant differences in the average daily caloric and protein intake values from street foods between socio-economic levels. For family heads and mothers in Bamako, the frequency of buying street food increased with declining socio-economic levels (Ag Bendech et al., 1998).

Street foods are thought to be cheap. One consumer with a family of seven members in Korogocho stated: "Whenever I cook *githeri* for my family, I make enough for two meals, lunch and dinner. I usually spend about 120 Kenyan shillings on raw foods and cooking fuel. But if I go to the street and buy ready *githeri* for two meals, I spend only 60 Kenya shillings, which is twice less expensive." Pearce et al. (1988) also suggest that vendors can buy raw food and cooking fuel in bulk at wholesale prices and sell it cheaply due to economies of scale. However, this is debatable since it is not clear whether those who practice vending are able to buy in bulk or only afford what they can sell on a daily basis. In addition, street foods may be cheap at the expense of the quality (ingredient quality and proportions).

Kennedy and Reardon (1994) state that change by urban dwellers to "convenience foods" is influenced by women’s opportunity cost of time. However, this may not fully apply
to the use of street foods, as in Kenya (generally) working women (if they can afford) employ cooks and/or house-helps who take care of their children, prepare meals for the family and run other domestic chores while the women are away. Hence, family food is most of the times home prepared. Trends in street food vending in Nairobi suggest that street foods were originally "convenient foods" for the low-income urban workers and the urban poor. This indicates that street foods are not necessarily convenient due to women's opportunity cost of time but mostly due to their affordability and the meagre earnings of the urban poor. Certainly, households with single members and/or busy members may find the use of street foods convenient but if financially able, they would probably opt for fast-food restaurants.

With long hours of continuous work at great distances from home and the unavailability of a rapid transport system (or increasing costs of transport), it is logical that the majority of urban workers cannot depend on food prepared at home (Sujatha et al., 1997). However, urban workers will buy ready-to-eat food whose prices fall within their purchasing power. Therefore, low-income workers and increasingly also the middle-income workers with declining purchasing power cause an increase in the demand for affordable food in working areas. In other words, coping strategies such as dietary change and change of eating-places are employed (Maxwell, 1998). Substituting expensive foods (such as restaurant foods) with cheaper ones (say street foods) can be observed. The absence of street foods at some school gates in Nairobi is because the school authorities forbid it but school children have been observed purchasing street foods in school neighbourhoods.

At working places vendors bring cooked food to the vending sites. Informal interviews revealed that food vendors at the Industrial Area usually encounter harassment by city authorities. Cooking at the vending site would require a licensed premise (a food kiosk). Vendors would need more capital and have to sell their food expensively, which is not in the interest of the low-income consumer. Transporting cooked food, on the other hand, requires proper packing (of which most vendors do not have) to ensure food safety. It is no wonder that a large proportion of vendors in the Industrial Area sell foods that do not need cooking. Street food vending is thus illegal but sometimes bribes and protection money are paid. In residential areas (especially in the low-income areas), majority of vendors cook at the vending site, in the vicinity of their homes. They can afford to carry their cooking ware from their houses to the site and back. It is possible for them to serve hot food to their customers. Harassment is less common in these areas except when there is an outbreak of food or water-borne diseases, like cholera, until the situation is brought under control.

**Importance of Street Foods for Employment and Income**

Street food vending can be considered as a survival strategy for the poor and the unemployed. Many vendors, especially males, rely on street food vending for at least half of their income and often using family labour. Married women probably rely on their husbands for part of their income. In addition, the vast majority of vendors are within the age that constitutes the
labour force. Many vending units, especially in the slums, provide employment. Once started, slum dwellers more or less settle to it and the business thrives. These vendors are significantly older than vendors from the middle-income areas, and have lived in Nairobi and operated the longest. They run the business by themselves, they increase the number of food varieties and employ other people with time.

For vendors from lower-middle-income areas, street food vending appears to be a form of temporary employment and constitutes most likely a supplement to the family income. They are more recent migrants than vendors living in the slums. Their units are youngest and have the least number of food varieties. They probably are involved in food vending just for a while as they wait for better employment. Another possibility is that street food vending pays better than casual labour or low-cadre forms of employment. A high school graduate (who previously did casual work) vending only chapati in Korogocho said that he was assured of earning 3,500-4,000 shillings per month while a casual labourer is never sure. As a result, more people who previously worked as casual labourers or held low cadre-employment opt to join street food vending.

The Industrial Area constitutes a mixture of vendors from both slums and the lower-middle-income areas and street food vending may be both a survival strategy and a form of employment.

CONCLUSION
Street food vending and consumption in Nairobi has developed and rapidly increased during the last two decades. The trend reflects increases in the economic situation and urban population growth in the country. It has been instigated by rapidly growing and changing urban food demands alongside the need to diversify and/or employ income sources in the face of declining real incomes. Firstly, rapid urbanisation has led to increased unemployed labour force, which ends up in low-income areas. Entry into food vending is easy since it needs no special skills and little investment. It is a quick source of employment as one looks for an alternative. Since women are more skilled and better competitors (given their social roles) they enter into cooked food business and do better. Secondly, with increasing economic stress, the earnings of the poor are no longer stable hence the need for diversified income sources. In addition, daily earnings demand that they spend on what they can afford with what they have (eroded purchasing power). They go for prepared foods, which might not be their option if their earnings were more stable and came in lump sum. Hence, like the rest of the informal sector, street food vending has grown with increasing inflation, unemployment rates and costs of living.

It is expanding and thriving especially among the urban poor. Its convenience is oriented to affordability (given the flow income for the poor) and not to time saving as it has been considered in the past. It is a food supply channel for the urban poor and provides employment opportunities. The phenomenon is therefore not likely to disappear as long as we
have poor and unemployed people. Furthermore, street food vending and consumption is in fact climbing up the socio-economic ladder due to increasing monetary demands.

This underscores the need to legitimise the sector with simple regulations that make the food safe but not expensive to operate or buy. When food is in short supply or relatively expensive due to taxing regulations, both quality and quantity of the food available to the urban poor will decline and the nutrition and health status will continually dwindle. Lack of some form of regulation also bears the risk that the food is unsafe for consumers. Legitimising the operation and improving safety may increase the number of consumers, which may subsequently improve local food production and consequently improve the nutritional status of the urban poor. If indeed street foods are of importance, not only in the diets of urban dwellers but also in the economic sense, there is need for more studies (to get this phenomenon officially recognised).

The local urban authorities, in collaboration with the nutrition and health community, therefore needs to consider the potential health hazards associated with the current state of street food vending and consumption and undertake necessary actions to upgrade performance. Sanitation and issues related to vendor knowledge and practices need to be evaluated. There is also need to quantitatively assess the role of the sector in terms of nutrition of consumers, employment, vendors’ income and local food production for conviction of a worthy cause.
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ABSTRACT
This paper determines whether street food vendors sell sufficient food varieties for a healthy diet. It is hypothesised that vendors sell only cheap food groups to enable buyer affordability while vendors also make a profit. A structured questionnaire was administered to 580 vendors in three selected locations. Data included product names, ingredients, preparation methods and vendor gender. Most (53%) vendors were one-food group sellers with 44% selling cereals. Overall, 36% vendors, mostly males, sold only carbohydrate-rich products. Sellers of foods rich in mixed nutrients were more in a working area (53%) than a slum area (43%, \( p<0.05 \)), who were more than at a lower-middle income area (21%, \( p<0.001 \)). Micronutrient and mixed-nutrient rich products were associated with female vendors. Therefore, most street food vendors in Nairobi are one-food group sellers. However, female vendors are capable of supplying sufficient food group variety where consumers can afford. It appears that consumer purchasing power dictates the food groups provided by vendors, especially cereal-based-foods. A policy on fortification, with inadequate micronutrients, of cereal flours and fats used in popular street food preparation needs to be considered. This could be coupled with consumer and vendor education programmes focusing on the importance of healthy diets.

INTRODUCTION
The majority of urban dwellers in sub-Saharan Africa countries remain highly disadvantaged with very limited purchasing power. Guaranteeing the efficient distribution of low-cost but nutritious food for them is, therefore, a pressing concern (FAO, 1997a). The FAO has indicated that street food vendors provide nutritious and tasty ready-to-eat food at low prices (FAO, 1997b; 1997c). In Calcutta, for instance, an average (500 gram) meal containing 20-30 g protein, 12-15 g fat, 174-183 g carbohydrates and providing approximately 1 000 calories could be purchased for only US$0.25 on the street (Chakravarty and Canet, 19996). Literature indicates that many low-income families would be worse off if there were no vendors to serve fast and relatively inexpensive foods (FAO, 1990; 1991; 1992; Pearce, 1991; Streetfood Project, 1992). Street foods have been shown to contribute a substantial proportion of energy and protein (25% - 50%) of the recommended daily allowance for adolescents attending school (Oguntona and Kane, 1995) and urban market women (Oguntona and Tella, 1999) in Nigeria. Furthermore, they played a considerable role in the daily diet of low-income male urban workers in Hyderadad (Sujatha et al., 1997), urban construction workers in Nairobi (Korir et al., 1998) and Calcutta street traders (Chakravarty and Canet, 1996). Children are also said to benefit from the sector. In Cotonou, for instance, of the number of meals consumed by children, 46% were reported to be street foods (van Loon and Ottens, 1996). In addition, street food vending is said to be a source of employment in many developing countries. One can start with minimum capital and low education, yet it contributes good and reliable income (Bricas, 1994; Chauliac and Gerbouin-Rerolle, 1994; Tinker, 1987). As such,
street food vendors are increasingly gaining recognition as necessary component of daily urban life.

Street food vending is present in many Kenyan urban areas but information about it is scanty. Only one study has been carried out in Kenya on the proximate composition and contribution of energy and protein of street foods in the diet of manual workers at construction sites in Nairobi (Korir, et al., 1998). The study showed that street foods provided energy and protein RDAs of 17-38% and more than 50% respectively to their consumers. Construction site workers usually perform heavy manual work and demand mainly filling and high-energy meals. The mentioned study, therefore, addressed only a section of street foods in Nairobi, as it did not include other vending sites such as low-income residential areas.

Access to a heterogeneous food consumption pattern improves and maintains the quality of diet. Urban diet quality, however, depends basically on the sanitary state of the urban environment and the purchasing power of consumers (den Hartog et al., 1995). Income levels are a major determinant of the degree of access to food and of the nature of food choice (Gopalan, 1994). This does not augur well for the urban poor in sub-Saharan Africa. So, if street foods are cheap such that poor families rely on them, one wonders whether they are heterogeneous enough to provide an adequately nutritious diet, as is frequently alleged in literature. It has been thought that due to economies of scale vendors, who obtain raw foodstuffs and cooking fuel in bulk at wholesale prices, can sell cheaply (Pearce et al., 1988). However, to be able to buy in bulk, a food vendor may require considerably high capital in order to offer variety. Hence, starting with only a little capital, vendors may not be able to provide heterogeneous food group products. In addition, since the main consumers of street foods are the urban poor, the foods must be offered cheaply while still providing profit, thus variety may not be a priority. Therefore, it is hypothesised that vendors sell food items mostly made from cheap food groups and which are not heterogeneous enough to provide an adequately nutritious diet. In addition, being more skilful in food preparation, female vendors are expected to have more food group variety than males. No study known to the present authors has addressed the issue of street food presentation by vendors in terms of food group variety. The objective of this paper is, therefore, to determine whether street food vendors provide sufficient food group varieties for a healthy diet, with a special reference to Nairobi. It also determines the links between food group array and study locations as well as vendor gender. Hence the paper attempts to answer the following questions:
- What food groups dominate the street food sector in Nairobi?
- Do vendors present these food groups in a manner that they provide sufficient variety for nutritional adequacy?
Chapter 3

STUDY SETTING AND METHODOLOGY
The study took place in October-November, 1997 as part of a larger survey on street foods in Nairobi. It had been reviewed and approved by the Research Authorising Board in the Office of the President, Kenya, in August 1997. Nairobi was selected because, being the capital city, it housed people from all ethnic groups and races in Kenya and was thought to be more representative than any other city.

Three areas representing a very low-income slum settlement (Korogocho), a lower-middle income (LMI) residential area (Dandora) and a working area (the Industrial Area) were selected on the basis that they were frequented with street food vendors. Rated according to household income, Korogocho is one of the very low-income districts in Nairobi (World Bank, 1995). Dandora is considered a lower-middle income district while the Industrial Area is the only one designated as the general business area of Nairobi. These areas were thought to be representative enough since a prior exploration of Nairobi had indicated similarities in the type of street foods sold in corresponding income areas within the city. Higher income residential areas were not frequented with street food vendors and hence were not included. A cross-sectional general survey of all vendors found in the selected areas was carried out. To incorporate vendors selling foods for only part of the day vending sites were visited at three different times (7am-10am, 12noon-2pm and 4pm-7pm). Out of 229 vendors in Korogocho slums, 153 in Dandora LMI area and 264 at the Industrial Area, there were 177, 150 and 253 respondents, respectively, included in the study. Non-response was due to vendor inability to respond correctly or refusal to cooperate. The higher proportion of non-participation in Korogocho was because some vendors feared that the study would work against them since it would make public the unhygienic aspects of their foods. Although field assistants tried their best to explain that it was not the case, some vendors still refused to cooperate. It was assumed that such vendors were spread over the study area and they were not different from the respondents in terms of the types of food they sold hence their exclusion from the study was not expected to considerably affect the outcome. Trained field assistants administered a structured and pre-tested questionnaire to the respondents. Data on street food products included the names, ingredients and method of preparation. Vendor gender was also recorded.

Based on the ingredients, street food products were classified according to, firstly, the modified FAO food commodity grouping system and, secondly, the key nutrients. The FAO food commodity grouping system was based on commercial value system that groups foods into cereals, starchy roots & tubers, legumes & nuts, animal products, fruit & vegetables and beverages. Although fruit and vegetables belong to one group, they are separately presented in the results to show study location and vendor gender differences. The mixed dishes group consisted of food items containing more than one food group ingredients. The key-nutrient grouping included carbohydrate (cereals, starchy roots and tubers), protein (animal products,
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legumes and nuts), micronutrients (fruits and vegetables) and mixed nutrients (mixture of the key-nutrients) in dishes.

To determine the nutrient contribution of some typical dishes sold by 'one-food-group' and 'multiple-food-group' sellers, two vendors for each of the three most common dishes per category were selected and a modified list-recall method (Gibson, 1990) was used to estimate the quantities of ingredients used. Following the list of ingredients provided for each product or dish, vendors were asked to recall the usual quantities of each ingredient they used and the number of servings (including those consumed by family members) obtained per pot. The quantities were reported in terms of weight and household or market measures. The household and market measures were converted to weights. This was done through taking weights of at least two of each of the various food ingredients according to the recorded measures. It was assumed that the ingredients used per pot were evenly distributed among the servings. Energy and nutrients provided per serving were calculated using the Kenya food composition table and compared to the recommended daily allowances of an average Kenyan adult male (weighing 65Kg) doing light work, referred to as Adult Equivalents (AE) (Sehami, 1993). The references used were 2600 Kcal energy, 50g protein, ≤30% energy from fat, 10mg iron, 750μg retinol equivalents (RE) for Vitamin A, 500 mg calcium, 1.2mg thiamine and 1.8mg riboflavin (Sehami, 1993). We focused on energy and the listed nutrients because protein-energy malnutrition (PEM) is the most devastating nutritional problem in Kenya while iron and vitamin A deficiencies are reported to be major public health problems (Kenya, 1994). The extent of calcium, thiamine and riboflavin deficiencies are not known in Kenya but they could be a problem where the diet is dominated with cereal grain and especially if the grain is refined. Provitamin A carotenoids were converted to RE using the 6:1 ratio (Sehami, 1993) and not the conversion ratio of 12:1 newly proposed by the Institute of Medicine (2001).

Data were analysed using SPSS for Windows. Frequencies were run to determine distributions. Proportions were compared using the $\chi^2$ as well as $\phi$ and Cramer's V statistics. The level of significance was set at $p=0.05$.

RESULTS

Street Food Products and Food Groups
There were 77 different street food products recorded in the study. Table 1 is a list of the most common products (sold by at least 30 vendors) and their food groups by the selling vendors in Nairobi. Except for the section on energy and nutrient contribution of typical one- and multiple-food group dishes, the rest of the results are based on all the 77 different products recorded in the study. Most of the foods belonged to the cereals food group and they were mainly wheat or maize based.
### Table 1: Commonly sold street food products and food groups by selling vendors in Nairobi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food group</th>
<th>Food product</th>
<th>Product description</th>
<th>Vendors selling*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number (N=580)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male (%)   Female (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed dishes</td>
<td>Githeri</td>
<td>Dish from a mixture of maize and beans</td>
<td>78          7.0    16.3**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereals</td>
<td>Chapati</td>
<td>Pan fried unleavened bread from wheat</td>
<td>130         21.1   23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mandaazi</td>
<td>Deep fried leavened buns from wheat</td>
<td>81          22.1** 9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mahindi chemsha</td>
<td>Boiled maize-on-cob</td>
<td>67          23.3   44.7**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ugali</td>
<td>Stiff porridge from maize flour</td>
<td>59          14.6** 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mahindi choma</td>
<td>Maize-on-cob grilled on charcoal</td>
<td>53          13.1*  6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uji</td>
<td>Fermented porridge from cereal flours</td>
<td>47          2.0    11.0***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biskuti</td>
<td>Manufactured biscuits</td>
<td>34          5.5    6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat, milk, poultry &amp; fish</td>
<td>Nyama</td>
<td>Roasted/fried/stewed meat</td>
<td>39          11.1** 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Samaki</td>
<td>Deep fried fish</td>
<td>38          1.0    9.4***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legumes &amp; nuts</td>
<td>Njugu kararanga</td>
<td>Roasted peanuts</td>
<td>74          7.0    16.0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maharagwe</td>
<td>Stewed beans</td>
<td>38          5.0    7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>Mboga</td>
<td>Cooked cabbage</td>
<td>71          11.1   12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sukuma wiki</td>
<td>Cooked kale</td>
<td>32          8.5*   3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>Ndizi</td>
<td>Ripe bananas</td>
<td>83          3.5    20.2***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Machungwa</td>
<td>Oranges</td>
<td>81          3.0    19.9***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverages</td>
<td>Chai</td>
<td>Tea with milk and sugar</td>
<td>58          16.1*** 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugars &amp; syrups</td>
<td>Peremende</td>
<td>Manufactured candies</td>
<td>47          9.0    7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Some vendors sold more than one food products.

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 with χ², φ and Cramer's V statistics.

there was no significant association between study location and number of varieties within food groups (p<0.05).

Of the 580 vendors interviewed, 66% were female. There were 50%, 63% and 78% female vendors in Korogocho, Dandora and the Industrial Area respectively. Out of the 18 most common food products sold in Nairobi, 13 were significantly associated with vendor gender (Table 1). Food products from the cereal, animal products and fruit & vegetable groups were associated with both genders. Products from the mixed dishes and legumes &
nurs groups were associated with female while those from the beverage group were associated with male vendors. In the animal products group, fried fish was associated with female vendors while roasted and fried meat was a man's business. In the fruits and vegetables group, fruits were associated with female vendors and vegetables with male vendors.

**Street Food Groups and Product Varieties**

Distribution of product varieties within food groups by study location is presented in Table 2. Korogocho, the slum settlement, was placed highest in product diversity with 52 different products compared to 48 in the Industrial Area and 46 in Dandora. Animal products and cereals groups had the highest number of varieties. Except for the number of fruit varieties,

**Table 2:** Food groups and number of product varieties by study location in Nairobi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food group</th>
<th>Number of varieties in each group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed dishes</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereals</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat, milk, poultry and fish</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legumes and nuts</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit*</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starchy roots</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverages</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugars and syrups</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05, between study locations, with $\chi^2$, $\phi$ and Cramer's $V$ statistics.

**Street Food Groups Presentation**

According to food groups, two types of street food vendors were distinguished, namely, one- and multiple-food group sellers. More than half (53%) of the vendors were one-food group sellers, of which 44% sold only cereals or cereals cooked in fat. Table 3 presents the distribution of street food vendors according to food groups they sold by study location. Although many vendors sold only cereal products in all the three study areas, they were mostly associated with Dandora LMI and least with the Industrial Area. There were no starchy root vendors at the Industrial Area. Vendors selling only sugars and syrups were few but most associated with the Korogocho slums ($p=0.01$). The Industrial Area had the highest proportion of vendors selling legume and nut products while Dandora had the highest for animal products ($p=0.001$). Less than half of the vendors sold mixed food groups, which were least associated with Dandora LMI area compared to the other areas ($p=0.001$). It is worth
noting that while fruit was encountered among one-food group vendors, vegetables were only found among multiple-food group sellers.

Table 3: Food groups and proportion (%) of street food vendors by study location in Nairobi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food group</th>
<th>% distribution of vendors</th>
<th>Korogocho (slum) n=177</th>
<th>Dandora (LMI) n=150</th>
<th>Industrial Area (n=253)</th>
<th>Total N=580</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One-food group sellers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereals**</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starchy roots</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugars &amp; syrups*</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.7*</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat, milk, poultry &amp; fish***</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legumes &amp; nuts***</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit**</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple-food groups sellers***</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p=0.05, **p=0.01 and ***p=0.001 between study locations, with \( \chi^2 \), \( \phi \) and Cramer's V statistics.

*Excluded from statistic calculation because expected value <5.

Figure 1: Distribution (%) of street food vendors by study location and the foods they sell, grouped according to their key nutrients, in Nairobi
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Figure 1 presents the percent distribution of vendors by the key food nutrients sold and study location. The proportion of vendors selling carbohydrate-rich foods was significantly lower in the Industrial Area than the other two areas (p<0.001) while the reverse was true for the proportion selling micronutrient-rich foods (p<0.05). Vendors selling only protein-rich foods were significantly more in the Dandora LMI area (p<0.001) compared to both Korogocho slums and the Industrial Area. The proportion selling mixed-nutrient foods was higher in the Industrial Area than in Korogocho (p<0.05) which was higher than in Dandora (p<0.001).

Street food groups and vendor gender.
The proportion (61%) of male vendors selling single food groups was significantly higher than that (49%) of female vendors. Table 4 presents the distribution of street food vendors by sex and food groups in terms of key nutrients. Carbohydrate-rich foods were associated with male vendors while mixed nutrient foods and foods rich in micronutrients were associated with female vendors. Protein-rich foods were equally distributed across gender. However, as earlier shown in Table 1, fried and roasted meat was a male job while deep-fried fish and legumes and nuts were female oriented. Similar gender differences were observed in the Industrial Area but not in the other study areas.

Table 4: Food groups in terms of key nutrients and distribution (%) of vendors by gender in Nairobi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food group in terms of key nutrient</th>
<th>% Street food vendors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male (n=199)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate</td>
<td>48.7***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micronutrients</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed nutrients</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05, ***p<0.001 with $\chi^2$, $\phi$ and Cramer's V statistics.

Energy and Nutrient Contribution of Typical one- and multiple-Food group Dishes
Table 5 shows the proportion of energy from fat and the percent of AE for energy, protein, iron, calcium, vitamin A, thiamine and riboflavin contributed per serving of some typical dishes sold by one- and multiple-food group sellers. Except for a few dishes served with vegetables, energy from fat was below 30% of the total energy per serving. The amount of energy and iron per serving were comparable in both one- and multiple-food group dishes except for mandazi & uji, which contributed a rather low amount of energy and chapati & sukuma wiki whose iron contribution was also low. The multiple-food group dishes that were served with vegetables contributed half or more of the AE for vitamin A. The one-food group dishes and the multiple-food group dishes without vegetables contributed either negligible proportions or only up to 10% of the AE for vitamin A. In general, one-food group dishes
Table 5: Energy and nutrient contribution of typical one- and multiple-food group street dishes in Nairobi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of dish</th>
<th>Fat energy (% of total energy/serving)</th>
<th>Energy</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Iron</th>
<th>Calcium</th>
<th>Vitamin A</th>
<th>Thiamine</th>
<th>Riboflavin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Common one-food group dishes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal (±fat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mandazi &amp; uji</em></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chapati &amp; uji</em></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mahindi chemsha &amp; uji</em></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Common multiple-food group dishes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal &amp; legume (±fat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Githeri</em></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Githeri &amp; uji</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chapati &amp; maharagwe</em></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ugali &amp; maharagwe</em></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal, legume &amp; vegetable (±fat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Githeri &amp; mboga</em></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chapati, maharagwe &amp; mboga</em></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal &amp; vegetable (±fat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chapati &amp; mboga</em></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chapati &amp; sukuma wiki</em></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ugali &amp; sukuma wiki</em></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal, meat, &amp; vegetable (±fat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ugali, nyama &amp; sukuma wiki</em></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*May be cooked in fat.
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provided less thiamine and riboflavin compared to the multiple-food group dishes. A notable observation is that riboflavin was generally low in all the dishes.

DISCUSSION

Street Food Products and Food Groups
Street food items sold in Nairobi represent all the FAO food groups. The cereals group is, however, dominant among street foods as these are known to be generally cheaper than other food groups. This supports the hypothesis that street food vendors sell mainly foods from cheap food groups. The FAO food grouping, however, has some limitations as it masks the fats and oils used in the products. Grouping such products as mixed dishes can also give the wrong impression.

There is absence of traditional coarse grains (like sorghum and millet), roots and tubers (such as taro, sweet potato and cassava) and vegetables (such as amaranth) among the list of foods commonly sold in Nairobi. This suggests a tendency towards adopting the nutrition transition trend associated with increasing income and urbanisation (Vorster et al., 1999; Drewnowski and Popkin, 1997). As Kennedy and Reardon (1994) correctly state, this tendency is worrisome as it poses potential adverse effect on rural production of indigenous foods, some of which (e.g. millets and amaranth) are rich sources of micronutrients. In addition, the production of wheat as opposed to local grains might be expensive and may not augur well for the poor.

Presentation of Food Groups
Food product diversity offered depends on the local food preferences, purchasing power being the limiting factor. Except for fruit varieties, study locations in Nairobi are similar in the number of product varieties within food groups. Generally, cereal and animal-origin products are the most varied. This was expected of cereals as they can be modelled into different products and are generally cheap. Animal products can be modelled into different varieties as well and, moreover, are made from a varied number of animal parts. The presence of more fruits at the Industrial Area and secondly in Dandora, is a reflection of consumer preferences. Fruit are generally expensive, contain a lot of water, are not stomach filling and hence not in the interest of the urban poor.

In general, a high proportion of individual vendors is lacking in food group variety. This is especially so in the Dandora LMI residential area where vendors major in cereal products mostly consumed as petty snacks and animal origin products commonly consumed as part of home prepared dinner and/or lunch. The association of animal origin products with the Dandora LMI area compared to the other areas is probably due to differences in the ability for consumers to afford certain food groups. The cost of animal-origin products is known to
be high and only those who can afford buy them. Hence, although this study does not address street food consumer characteristics the links between food group array and study location is indicative of the type of consumers found in the study areas. This contradicts Blair’s (1999) assertion that street foods are democratic in that everybody consumes the same food. It is clear that the higher-income people are better able to afford animal-origin street food items hence the higher supply. The aspect of purchasing power of consumers is well reflected here.

Differences are found in the proportions of vendors selling single-key nutrient foods between the residential areas and the Industrial Area. The proportion of vendors selling only energy-rich foods is high in both Korogocho slums and Dandora LMI and that selling only micronutrient rich foods (only fruit in this case) is equally low in both areas. Vegetables are never consumed on their own hence they are only sold by vendors selling mixed-nutrient dishes. Although the proportion of vendors selling mixed nutrient foods is significantly higher in Korogocho slums than Dandora LMI, it does not exceed the proportion selling only energy-rich foods. These results support the hypothesis that vendors sell food items mostly made from cheap food groups and do not include sufficient variety to provide an adequately nutritious diet. The significant differences observed between Korogocho slums and Dandora LMI area may be due to a higher reliance on street foods for meals in the very low-income area compared to the LMI area. Nevertheless, it appears that the call for mixed nutrient foods in Korogocho is not higher than that for energy-rich foods because, most likely mixed nutrient foods cost higher. Hence, although FAO (1997c) reports that street foods may be the least expensive and best method of obtaining a nutritionally balanced meal outside the home, the consumer's choice, influenced by knowledge on proper food combination and ability to purchase, is supreme. The present study does not address ways in which consumers combine their foods when buying from vendors but it shows that a large proportion of vendors are one-food group sellers. It is unlikely that consumers buy from different vendors to make proper food combinations for their meals because it might be expensive to buy ready-to-eat food in this manner. In fact, serving dishes of one-food group to consumers has been observed and the results on the energy and nutrient contribution of some typical one- and multiple-food group dishes show wide gaps in the provision of protein and important micronutrients. The one-food group dishes are mainly made of cereal flours, which are especially poor in vitamin A and riboflavin, while dairy products are absent as street foods. However, the results show that adequate iron intake can be achieved through typical street food dishes.

Individual vendors in the Industrial Area are more abounding in food group (and also product) diversity compared to the other areas. Most of them sell multiple food groups providing mixed nutrients. Hence, with proper combinations, street food consumers in the Industrial Area can be able to obtain a healthy diet from street food vendors. This does not support the fore-stated hypothesis that vendors' foods are not heterogeneous enough to provide an adequately nutritious diet. It appears that in working areas people are able to
afford mixed nutrient foods and want to have a proper meal for their lunch. In addition, Bricas' (1994) idea of individualism as one of the frames of reference that activates the dynamics of food habits may be active in working places. In other words, while away from household members, consumers can make individual food choices and eat what they like provided it is within their means of purchase.

**Street Food Groups and Vendor Gender**
The association of more product and food group variety with female vendors is a reflection of women's cultural tasks. They know how to cook and are used to preparing different types of food. As such, they have a competitive advantage over men in that they can prepare foods from different food groups. They are, hence, in a position to provide nutritionally better foods than their male counterparts and probably make more profit. Females comprise, therefore, a large majority of vendors where there is call for proper meals. The finding that females go away from their homes to search for better paying markets is contrary to logical expectation. Normally, women stay at home and men search for better paying markets. This observation indicates the willingness and ability of women to compete using their "competitive advantage" of being skilful in cooking the type of meals that would provide adequate nutrition. Male vendors venture in single food group items probably due to their incompetence unless backed by a female counterpart. This study does not investigate the role of female spouses or labour in male vending units and how it affects food group variety. General observations in residential areas, however, indicated that in many male vending units with multiple food group items, females were involved in food preparation. The association of meat products with male vendors also reflects their cultural roles.

**CONCLUSIONS AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS**
Although the street food sector in Nairobi offers products from all food groups, most vendors are one-food group sellers with cereals as the prominent group. Therefore, a high proportion of individual vendors is lacking in food group variability for a healthy diet. The presentation of street foods in working areas, however, implies that particularly female vendors are capable of supplying foods in a manner that they provide variety for nutritional adequacy. But the consumers' ability to buy dictates what is offered.

There is, therefore, the need to improve the street food group array if adequate nutrient supply is to be realised. This is important especially in the urban poor settlements where reliance on street foods might be high. While basic nutrition education of vendors emphasising the need for the use of multiple food groups might help to achieve this, consumers also need to be educated on how to achieve proper food combinations and made aware of the benefits of healthy diets. Street food preparation processes and how these affect the nutrient content of products need to be assessed so that bad preparation habits could be
pointed out in the education package. However, there is a risk that improvement of street foods for nutrient supply may increase prices of the foods, putting them out of reach of consumers. Hence, a policy on fortification of cereal flours used in the preparation of popular dishes with the deficient micronutrients without necessarily passing the cost of fortification to the consumer is desirable. Although there are claims of fortification of some brands of cooking oil in Kenya with vitamin A, there is no governing legislation on this and the quantities are not regulated. In addition, introduction of energy saving cooking techniques could also help reduce costs. For example, there is a project going on in Kenya that has established a firewood-cooking stove that can save energy by as much as 50%. The nutrition and home economics staff, in collaboration with poverty alleviation agencies, needs to venture into developing such cooking technology, appropriate to street food vendors. The use of local food crops can also prevent increase in prices. The agriculture and nutrition staff therefore needs to encourage the use of such foods by educating both consumers and vendors on their benefits. Although food safety and water issues are not addressed in this paper, vendors generally lack enough water and have to buy from water vendors, thus increasing the costs of street foods. The health staff, city authorities (especially planners and economists), interested NGOs and the street food vendors should collaborate so as to develop a better system with necessary amenities such as safe water supply to vending sites.
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To determine the nutritional quality of street foods in Nairobi, in light of recognised nutrition deficiencies in Kenya.

Setting, subjects and methods: A survey of 89 vendors and 240 consumers in a very low-income slum (Korogocho), low-middle income settlement (Dandora) and work place (the Industrial Area). The list-recall method and food composition tables were used to estimate ingredient and nutrient quantities in food items sold by vendors. Daily adult equivalent (AE) requirements were used to estimate nutrient adequacy.

Results: Street foods were commonly used for breakfast, lunch, major afternoon snack and petty snacks. Mean energy, protein, iron and vitamin A per serving of breakfast and snack foods was 2 - 20% of AE. Major meals (lunch), which were hardly served in Dandora, provided significantly higher vitamin A per serving in the Industrial Area than in Korogocho slums (p=0.001). A deduced consumption pattern of a breakfast, major snack, petty snack and lunch per day from the streets did not give adequate daily energy (<70% of adult equivalent). Protein was more than adequate if the major meal was animal- or legume/pulse-based. Iron adequacy was achieved with all major meal types. Vitamin A adequacy was not reached in Korogocho but was achieved with vegetable-based meals in the Industrial Area.

Conclusions: Street foods in Nairobi provide less than adequate energy and vitamin A, but more than adequate protein and iron. Trading off the extra protein with energy dense ingredients in street foods is desirable. A clear policy on vitamin A fortification of commonly used street food ingredients needs to be addressed.

Descriptors: street food quality; Nairobi; urban poor; nutrient adequacy.

INTRODUCTION

Increased reliance on street foods has been identified as one of the characteristics of urban food distribution systems driven by changes in the urban way of life and poverty in developing countries (FAO, 1998). Factors contributing to increased reliance on street foods include constraints on time, the need to substitute labour-intensive foods for more readily available foods and the need for affordable ready-to-eat food (Levin et al., 1999; Argenti, 2000, Mwangi et al., 2001). It is estimated that poor households in some Asian cities spend as much as 25-30% of their household expenditure on street foods (FAO, 1990; Sujatha et al., 1997). Hence street foods can assure food security for low-income urban populations who find the foods cheap compared to those from other ready-to-eat food sources (WHO, 1996).

Yet, it is not clear whether street foods are only a matter of satisfying energy needs or they are also complementary in protein and micronutrient supply.

The micronutrient quality of street foods has been questioned albeit being reported to provide substantial energy and protein in the diets of urban population groups (Webb and Hyatt, 1988; Streetfoods Project, 1989; Monnier and Chauliac, 1994; Oguntona and Kanye, 1995; Sujatha et al., 1997; Ag Bendech et al., 1998; Korir et al., 1998; Oguntona and Tella, 1999), whilst available literature suggests them as cheap and tasty (Chakrabarty and Canet,
According to Levin et al. (1999), street foods in Accra, Ghana, contributed mainly to dietary bulk than quality, while Mwangi et al. (in press) reported most food sold in parts of Nairobi, Kenya, as lacking variety in terms of food groups and mainly based on cereal products.

International organisations like the FAO have paid particular attention to the safety of street foods and much less to the composition and the preservation of their nutritional quality. When studies take nutritional quality of street foods into account, they have mainly focused on their contribution to energy and protein intake while their micronutrient quality is less studied. This is true in studies conducted in Kenya. The only study which has assessed the nutritional value of street food meals served to manual construction workers in Nairobi (Korir et al., 1998), unfortunately, did not include snack foods and meals served to other types of consumers and it focused only on the proximate chemical composition of the foods. Given the devastating and increasing malnutrition rates among Kenyan low-income populations (Mwangi, 1995; Kenya and UNICEF, 1998; Kenya, 1998; Kenya and UNDP, 1999), understanding the micronutrient accessibility through the major food systems of the poor is paramount (WHO/FAO, 1992; Kenya, 1994; Mwadime and Oyunga, 1998).

The objective of this study is to determine the nutritional quality of street foods with special reference to Nairobi and the nutrition situation in Kenya. The study attempts to answer the following two questions: Do street foods satisfy only energy needs or are they more complementary in terms of supplying protein and micronutrients, particularly vitamin A and iron? Do street foods in Nairobi provide adequate energy and nutrients? This study focuses on energy, protein, vitamin A and iron because they are the recognised public health nutritional deficiencies in Kenya (Kenya, 1994). We hypothesise that protein and micronutrient dense foods would be generally more expensive in urban areas and may not be adequately incorporated in street food products in order to maintain low prices. This might place most users of these foods at increased risk of low protein intake and micronutrient deficiency.

**METHODS**

A survey was conducted in a very low-income slum settlement (Korogocho), a lower-middle income residential area (Dandora) and a working area (the Industrial Area) of Nairobi, in February and March 1999. Preliminary exploration of the City of Nairobi (Mwangi et al., 2001) revealed that higher income residential areas were not frequented with street food vendors hence not represented in this study. Selection of vendors involved a 2-stage sampling method. A systematic cluster technique was used to create a sampling frame of the vendors. Korogocho had two main earthen-roads frequented by street food vendors while Dandora had three previously tarred roads. The total length of the roads was approximately 1.5 Km in Korogocho and 2.0 Km in Dandora. Each road was divided into approximately 50-metre stretch clusters separated by roughly 100-metre stretches. The 50-metre stretches formed the
study clusters in the two areas. There were 8 and 11 clusters selected in Korogocho slums and Dandora low-middle income area respectively. In the Industrial Area, there was one main road (Enterprise Road) from which streets frequented by food vendors systematically branched. Every alternate branch formed the study clusters, resulting in 5 selected clusters. All vendors in the clusters were listed according to the type of food products they sold. Because the sampling aim was to include all types of street food products encountered, at least four vendors per type of food product were randomly selected from the lists. For some food products, there were less than four vendors in the sampling list and such vendors were automatically included in the study. The number of vendors selected was 34 in Korogocho slums, 37 in Dandora low-middle income area and 18 in the Industrial Area.

Data Collection
Using a structured pre-tested questionnaire and interviewers, the names of all food products sold by each selected vendor and their ingredients were recorded. Methods of food preparation, quantities of ingredients used per pot, number of pots prepared and average number of portions (including those consumed by family members) obtained from each pot were inquired from the vendors. In addition, the product serving combinations, the kind of daily meal for which the servings were intended, the place of each food item in the meal and the costs per serving were recorded. To ascertain the extent of the pattern of usage of street foods for the various daily meals and snacks, 2 or 3 consumers per vendor were asked how frequently (number of days per week) they used street foods for breakfast, morning snack, lunch, afternoon snack and dinner. Most commonly consumed foods for the various meals and snacks were also recorded. The consumers also identified foods they consumed as a major snack or a petty snack. The number of consumers interviewed was 84 in Korogocho slums, 102 in Dandora low-middle income area and 54 in the Industrial Area.

Estimation of Ingredient Quantities
Although weighing and recording is the most accurate method of estimating food ingredient quantities, it was too complicated to use this method in the urban context of street foods. Many vendors, especially in the Industrial Area, prepared their foods at home and it was difficult to trace their different homes for ingredient weighing. Hence, to estimate the quantities of ingredients used per pot, the list-recall method (Gibson, 1990), modified to suit the street food situation, was used. Following the list of ingredients provided for each food product, vendors were asked to recall the usual quantities of each ingredient they used per pot. The quantities were reported in terms of weight or other measures used in the local markets, such as bunches, heaps, bags and containers of various sizes. Fruit and cabbage were reported in terms of sizes according to food models presented to the vendors. The interviewers then visited the local markets and weighed at least two of each of the various food ingredients according to the recorded market measures and prices. Foods with inedible
portions (such as potatoes, fruit and vegetables) were purchased in form of the recorded market measures and the proportion of edible portions estimated.

Data Treatment and Analysis
Preparation processes of food items were each summarised to give a brief description of individual products. It was assumed that the ingredients used per pot were evenly distributed among the number of portions obtained from each pot of cooked food. Energy and nutrients provided by the foods were calculated using the Kenya food composition table (Sehmi, 1993). For a few foods that were not listed in this table the food composition table for foods commonly eaten in East Africa (West et al., 1988) was used. Proximate composition analysis using official methods of analysis (AOAC, 1984) was carried out on deep-fried foods to ascertain the quantity of fat absorbed by the products. This was achieved by buying two food samples from each of the vendors selling the products, weighing and blending them together before analysis. Vitamin A was expressed in terms of retinol equivalent (RE), using a ratio of 6:1 for conversion of provitamin A carotenoids to retinol (Sehmi, 1993).

The food products were assigned to meal or snack category if more than 25% of the consumers reported to buy the particular food for the given meal or snack. However, the results of only the most commonly sold food products are presented. The most commonly sold foods within a category were considered to be those products whose frequency of encounter was at least a third of the highest product frequency within the category. For example, if the highest frequency of encounter of a product in the breakfast category was 9, then all products whose frequency of encounter as a breakfast food item was less than 3 were not considered to be common breakfast foods. In addition, if one-third or more consumers reported to utilise street foods for a specific meal or snack for at least 4 days per week, then street foods were considered to be important for that particular meal or snack.

To determine energy and nutrient adequacy, the recommended daily allowances (RDAs) of an average Kenyan adult male (weighing 65 Kg) doing light work were used as references known as adult equivalents (AE) (Sehmi, 1993). Adequacy levels were presented as proportions of the AE. The references used were 2600 Kcal (1 Kcal = 4.18 Kj) energy, 50 g protein, 30% energy from fat, 10mg iron and 750μg retinol equivalent for vitamin A (Sehmi, 1993). A deduced pattern of consumers obtaining a breakfast, a major snack, a petty snack and one major meal (lunch) was used to determine the average energy and nutrient adequacy of street foods because street foods were frequently consumed for the particular meals and snacks. The foods were considered to be nutritionally adequate if the combined meals and snacks of the deduced consumption pattern provided at least 70% of the corresponding AE. A parallel study in Korogocho and Dandora had revealed that breakfast, snacks and lunch contributed about 70% of the daily energy intake of consumers while breakfast and snacks alone contributed 40% of the daily energy intake in Dandora (van 't Riet...
et al, in press). Since only five meal observations were encountered in Dandora, the 40% nutritional adequacy of only breakfast and snack foods was used.

The $\chi^2$, $\phi$ and Cramer's V statistic tests were performed to compare proportions. The student's $t$-test and the one-way analysis of variance were used to test mean differences where appropriate. Significance level of $p = 0.05$ was used in all the tests.

RESULTS

Description of the Foods
All the selected 89 vendors and 240 consumers participated in the study. The average number of food items recorded per vendor was 1.3 in Korogocho slums and Dandora low-middle income area and 3.1 in the Industrial Area. Out of a total of 148 food item records, 2.7% (3 records in Dandora and one in Korogocho) was discarded due to incomplete data.

Four main categories of street food products were identified. The categories were breakfast, major snacks, petty snacks and major meals. Major meals were mainly lunch and less often dinner. A fifth category, fruit salads, was encountered in the Industrial Area with only three out of 89 vendors. In Table 1, the most common food products under each category are described. Foods commonly consumed for breakfast were also commonly consumed as major snacks. Mandazi, kaimati and chapati were mostly taken home and consumed in combination with tea for breakfast. Hence they were more common at the residential areas than in the working areas. Chapati was also sold for lunch with a side dish. Petty snack foods were found in all three areas.

The major meals usually consisted of a main and a side dish. The main dishes included cereals, starchy roots and mixed foods. The cereals and starchy roots were often fried in fat. Many mixed foods also included fat. The side dishes were cereal-, animal-, legume/pulse- or vegetable-based. Among the main dishes sold for lunch, chapati in the Industrial Area was always served with a side dish but it was not necessarily so in Korogocho slums and Dandora low-middle income area. Githeri, chips and kienyeji were commonly consumed on their own. Ugali and mchele/wali were always served with a side dish. It was possible to serve a main dish with any one or with different combinations of the (plant or animal origin) side dishes. Combinations counted were as many as 25 in the Industrial Area, 12 in Korogocho slums and only 3 in Dandora. Fruit and fruit salads were occasionally served in the Industrial Area but not always with a major meal.

Portion sizes of breakfast and major snack foods ranged between 42g (mandazi in Korogocho slums) and 485g (chapati na chai in Dandora low-middle income area). Petty snacks weighed between 9.3g (simsim in Dandora) and 108g (samosa vyazi in Korogocho). No significant differences across study locations were observed in the mean portion sizes and the mean price per portion of breakfast, major snack and petty snack foods. Major meal sizes ranged from 155g (chips in Korogocho and Dandora) to 1230g (githeri & uji in the Industrial
### Table 1: Description of street food products commonly sold in Nairobi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Product</th>
<th>Product name</th>
<th>Product description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast/major snacks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal in fat</td>
<td><strong>Mandazi</strong></td>
<td>Deep-fried wheat buns leavened with sodium bicarbonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Kaimati</strong></td>
<td>Deep-fried wheat buns leavened with yeast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Chapati</strong></td>
<td>A pan-fried unleavened wheat bread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal in fat with a beverage</td>
<td><strong>Mandazi na chai</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mandazi</strong> and tea (usually with milk and sugar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Chapati na chai</strong></td>
<td><strong>Chapati</strong> and tea (usually with milk and sugar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petty snacks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legume/pulses</td>
<td><strong>Simsim</strong></td>
<td>Sesame seeds made into small balls using melted sugar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Njugu karanga</strong></td>
<td>Roasted peanuts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed foods</td>
<td><strong>Samosa (ndengu)</strong></td>
<td>Small deep-fried pies filled with spiced green gram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Samosa (nyama)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Samosa</strong> with beef filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Samosa (vyazi)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Samosa</strong> with mashed potato filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal</td>
<td><strong>Maindi ya kuchoma</strong></td>
<td>Green maize grilled on coals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch/main meal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Main dishes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal in fat</td>
<td><strong>Chapati</strong></td>
<td>As above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Mchele/vali</strong></td>
<td>Boiled rice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Ugali</strong></td>
<td>Stiff porridge from maize flour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starchy roots in fat</td>
<td><strong>Chips</strong>*</td>
<td>Chopped and deep fried potatoes (French fries)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed groups</td>
<td><strong>Githeri</strong></td>
<td>Dish from mixed maize and beans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Kienyeji (Irio)</strong></td>
<td>Mashed mixture of maize, beans, potatoes and green vegetables (usually pumpkin leaves)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Side dishes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal</td>
<td><strong>Uji</strong></td>
<td>Porridge, usually from fermented maize, millet, sorghum and cassava flours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Stew ya nyama</strong></td>
<td>Stewed beef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Matumbo</strong></td>
<td>Roasted or stewed goat/cow offal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Nyama fry/choma</strong></td>
<td>Fried or roasted beef/goat meat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legume/pulses</td>
<td><strong>Ndengu</strong></td>
<td>Stewed green grams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Maharagwe</strong></td>
<td>Stewed beans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td><strong>Sukuma wiki</strong></td>
<td>Cooked kale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Mboga ya kabichi</strong></td>
<td>Cooked cabbage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Kachumbari</strong></td>
<td>Raw vegetable salad (tomato, fresh chili, onion, coriander leaves)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Fruits</td>
<td><strong>Pudding</strong></td>
<td>Fruit salad (pineapple, papaya, banana, avocado)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
*Chips were also consumed as major snacks
Area). When all the available types of meals were taken into account (data not shown), the mean portion size for meals was significantly higher in the Industrial Area than in Korogocho and Dandora \((p<0.05)\). But when only the most common types of meals were considered, this difference was not significant between Korogocho and the Industrial Area. The cost per portion was significantly higher in the Industrial Area than in Korogocho slums \((p=0.001)\) but not significantly different from Dandora low-middle income area.

**Use of Street Foods for the Various Daily Meals and Snacks**

Table 2 shows the percentages of consumers using street foods for the various meal types at least four days per week. There was a clear difference between the two residential areas on the one hand and the working area on the other. In Korogocho and Dandora the types of meals for which street foods were most commonly consumed were breakfast, petty snacks and lunch, in that order. For dinner and major snacks, street foods were less frequently bought. The pattern in the Industrial Area was such that almost all consumers bought lunch in the street very frequently, although quite a number also consumed breakfast in that way. Overall street foods were consumed by at least one-third of the consumers for breakfast, one major snack, a petty snack and lunch for at least 4 days per week.

**Table 2: Proportion of consumers buying street foods for various meals and snacks for at least four days in a week by study location in Nairobi.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of meal/ snack</th>
<th>Korogocho slums ((n=84))</th>
<th>Dandora low-middle income area ((n=102))</th>
<th>Industrial Area ((n=54))</th>
<th>Total ((N=240))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% consuming street foods for ≥4 days/week</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major morning snack</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major afternoon snack</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petty snacks</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Energy and Nutrient Adequacy from Street Foods**

The proportions of energy from fat as well as energy and nutrient adequacies in common breakfast and snack portions were not significantly different across study areas and were pooled together as presented in Table 3. The types of commonly sold meals were different across study locations hence they are presented according to study area (excluding Dandora, where only five major meal observations were encountered). The proportion of AE for energy did not vary widely between products of the same category in all the study areas. However, the proportion of AE for the nutrients, especially iron and vitamin A, varied widely as seen
Table 3: Mean weight, % fat energy, energy and nutrient adequacy (mean ± SD) per serving of common street meals and snacks in Nairobi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of food or meal</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean weight per serving (g)</th>
<th>Proportion of fat energy per serving</th>
<th>Energy</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Iron</th>
<th>Vitamin A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>162 ± 153</td>
<td>26 ± 6</td>
<td>14 ± 7</td>
<td>17 ± 8</td>
<td>18 ± 13</td>
<td>5 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major snacks</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>156 ± 139</td>
<td>28 ± 7</td>
<td>15 ± 6</td>
<td>15 ± 8</td>
<td>17 ± 12</td>
<td>4 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petty snacks</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>39 ± 32</td>
<td>42 ± 17</td>
<td>4 ± 3</td>
<td>6 ± 4</td>
<td>9 ± 6</td>
<td>2 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major meals (lunch):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Korogocho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legume/pulse</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>576 ± 190</td>
<td>15 ± 4</td>
<td>30 ± 12</td>
<td>62 ± 27</td>
<td>154 ± 66</td>
<td>2 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>717 ± 314</td>
<td>12 ± 4(^w)</td>
<td>16 ± 4(^w)</td>
<td>15 ± 5(^l)</td>
<td>85 ± 59</td>
<td>3 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal products</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>547 ± 118</td>
<td>36 ± 9</td>
<td>22 ± 8</td>
<td>47 ± 31</td>
<td>112 ± 68</td>
<td>5 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>620 ± 232</td>
<td>17 ± 9(^***)</td>
<td>24 ± 11*</td>
<td>44 ± 30*</td>
<td>125 ± 69</td>
<td>3 ± 5(^t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Industrial Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legume/pulse</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>641 ± 258</td>
<td>20 ± 10</td>
<td>29 ± 8</td>
<td>56 ± 16</td>
<td>131 ± 52</td>
<td>4 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>784 ± 8</td>
<td>22 ± 2(^w)</td>
<td>26 ± 5(^w)</td>
<td>25 ± 7(^l)</td>
<td>112 ± 69</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal products</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>548 ± 156</td>
<td>33 ± 9</td>
<td>28 ± 6</td>
<td>56 ± 11</td>
<td>96 ± 45</td>
<td>16 ± 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal &amp; vegetable</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>837 ± 218</td>
<td>40 ± 9</td>
<td>33 ± 7</td>
<td>55 ± 11</td>
<td>98 ± 20</td>
<td>112 ± 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legume/pulse &amp; vegetable</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>842 ± 190</td>
<td>29 ± 13</td>
<td>32 ± 6</td>
<td>63 ± 11</td>
<td>165 ± 46</td>
<td>77 ± 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>542 ± 251</td>
<td>33 ± 11</td>
<td>26 ± 7</td>
<td>34 ± 10</td>
<td>73 ± 26</td>
<td>71 ± 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>666 ± 241</td>
<td>28 ± 12(^**)</td>
<td>29 ± 7(^**)</td>
<td>50 ± 17(^**)</td>
<td>113 ± 52(^**)</td>
<td>40 ± 54(^**)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\(p<0.05\); **\(p<0.01\); ***\(p<0.001\), ANOVA between meal types within study area. \(^\dagger\)\(p<0.05\); \(^\ddagger\)\(p<0.01\); \(^\ddagger\)\(p<0.001\), t-test between study areas.
from the large standard deviations. Fat contributed about one-quarter of the energy in the breakfast and major snack food servings and almost half of the energy in the petty snack portions. Breakfast and major snack foods provided less than 20% of the AE for energy and nutrients. A portion of the petty snacks provided significantly less energy and nutrients than breakfast or major snack food portions ($p<0.05$).

In Korogocho slums, major meals based on legume/pulse side dishes were the most common, followed by cereal- and animal-based-meals, in that order. The proportion of fat energy per serving was highest in animal-based dishes and lowest in legume/pulse- and cereal-based dishes ($p<0.001$). Cereal-based meals provided the lowest, while legume/pulse-based meals had the highest mean proportions of AE for energy and protein in Korogocho ($p<0.05$). Differences observed between the various meal-types in the provision of iron and vitamin A in Korogocho slums were not significant. On average, meals in Korogocho provided high, though widely varied, amounts of iron but low amounts of vitamin A.

In the Industrial Area legume/pulse-based meals were also the most common. They were followed by vegetable-, animal-, legume/pulse & vegetable-, animal & vegetable- and cereal- based-meals in that order. Fat energy was within the recommended range except for the vegetable-, animal- and animal & vegetable-based meals ($p<0.01$). Differences in energy provided by the various meal-types were not significant. Cereal-based meals provided the lowest proportion of AE for protein and vitamin A while vegetable-based meals provided the lowest amount of iron in the Industrial Area. These differences were significant across meal-types ($p<0.01$). On the average, meals in the Industrial Area provided high but widely varied amounts of iron.

Comparing similar types of meals across study locations, the cereal-based-meals in Korogocho slums provided significantly less energy ($p<0.01$) and protein ($p<0.05$) per serving than similar meals in the Industrial Area. Their proportion of energy from fat per serving followed a similar trend ($p<0.01$). Overall, major meals in the Industrial Area provided significantly higher fat energy and vitamin A per serving than in Korogocho ($p<0.001$).

With the deduced consumption pattern of a breakfast, a major snack, a petty snack and a major meal in Korogocho slums, the average energy, protein and iron adequacy of street foods was lowest when the lunch meal was cereal-based and highest when it was legume/pulse-based (Figure 1). Protein adequacy was achieved when the major meal was animal- or legume/pulse-based but not when it was cereal-based. Iron adequacy was achieved in all cases but vitamin A adequacy was low. In the Industrial Area a similar trend was observed if the meals consumed were cereal-, animal- or legume/pulse-based (Figure 2). Furthermore, when vegetables would also be consumed with any of the meals, vitamin A adequacy was achieved. Energy adequacy was not achieved in any of the situations. Further analysis showed that if fruit salad would also be consumed with the meal in the Industrial Area, energy adequacy would more or less be achieved with all meal types, but vitamin A
**Figure 1:** Proportion of adult equivalent for energy, protein, iron and vitamin A provided when a breakfast product, a major snack, a petty snack and a main meal are consumed from the street by type of main meal in Korogocho, a very-low-income slum area of Nairobi.

**Figure 2:** Proportion of adult equivalent for energy, protein, iron and vitamin A provided when a breakfast product, a major snack, a petty snack and a main meal are consumed from the street by type of main meal at the Industrial Area, Nairobi.
adequacy remained low with cereal- and legume/pulse-based meals. On average, a serving of fruit salad provided 7% and 20% of AE for energy and vitamin A respectively.

For Dandora low-middle income area, consumption of a breakfast, a major snack and a petty snack would achieve the 40% adequacy for iron (45%) but not for energy (33%), protein (38%) and vitamin A (11%).

DISCUSSION

The results of this study indicate that street foods in Nairobi are not just a matter of satisfying energy needs. While breakfast and major snacks are mainly cereal-based, lunch and most petty snacks are more of varied composition. Lunch is mainly legume/pulse-based. One reason for this may be that legumes and pulses are the cheapest sources of protein and are relatively easily accessible. The filling nature of legumes and pulses also contributes to their popularity. With the same amount of money, hunger satiation may be better achieved with legume and pulse meals than with meals based on animal or vegetable products.

It is quite clear from the data that street foods are not commonly served as meals in the low-middle income residential area. This is probably because most residents of such areas usually work away from home during the day or they consume street foods less regularly than those living in the very low-income areas (van 't Riet et al., 2001).

Lunch meals are less varied in Korogocho slums than in the Industrial Area. None of the common dishes in the Korogocho slums contain vegetables and dishes based on animal products are the least encountered. Dishes in the Industrial Area generally have higher amounts of cooking fat compared to those in Korogocho, a factor beneficial for the improvement of the absorption of vitamin A. The tendency for major meals in the Industrial Area to provide more energy per serving than meals in Korogocho could also be attributed to their higher fat content. Further analysis (data not shown) revealed similarity in the cost of energy per kilojoule from major meals across study locations although servings were more expensive in the Industrial Area. This could be due to the more varied ingredients in major meals in the Industrial Area, which may render food servings more costly in reference to their energy content. Hence consumers in the Industrial Area are able to afford more varied types of major meals than in Korogocho slums. The range of energy and protein content of street meals in this study is comparable to the findings by Korir et al. (1998).

From the deduced pattern of consuming a breakfast food, a major snack, a petty snack and a main meal (minus a main meal for Dandora) the results reveal that, on average, the contribution by street foods to daily energy needs from single servings is low in all the study areas. However, in the Industrial Area, a single lunch meal is reasonably adequate hence, when a fruit salad is also consumed as part of lunch, the 70% of AE for energy is readily attained. However, the small number of vendors selling fruit salad implies infrequent usage or demand by consumers. Nevertheless, the street food energy adequacy from the deduced consumption pattern in Nairobi is substantial. It is much higher than findings on energy
contribution of street foods to the RDAs of consumers in Bamako (Ag Bendech et al., 1998) but comparable to Oguntona and Tella's (1999) findings for market women in Nigeria, both of which studies used actual food intake data. Bamako being less urbanised compared to the cities studied in Nigeria and Nairobi, similarities between the more urbanised cities would be expected. This is true from this study although the methods used are different. Nevertheless, from our research data it was clear the most common pattern for street food consumption in Nairobi was breakfast, lunch, major snack and petty snack. We therefore used a theoretical paradigm of this street food consumption pattern to measure energy and nutrient adequacy.

In both Korogocho slums and the Industrial Area, the deduced pattern implies more than adequate protein if the main meal has a legume/pulse or animal-based side dish. In Dandora low-middle income area, protein from street food breakfast and snacks is almost adequate. Albeit most of the protein is of plant origin with a 50-60% quality, the protein adequacy would still be achieved if a similar type and quantity of protein as eaten for lunch were consumed during the evening meal for the consumers. Oguntona and Kanye (1995) also found high intakes (>50% of RDA) of protein from street foods by Nigerian adolescents.

The deduced pattern shows that street foods in Nairobi are able to provide adequate dietary iron, and even far in excess of its AE, irrespective of the type of the main meal. Oguntona and Tella (1999) also found enormous contributions of street foods to the dietary intake of iron among market women in Nigeria, often in excess of the RDAs. The high iron adequacy is mainly due to its high content in legumes and nuts and in some of the animal parts (particularly goat offal sometimes mixed with blood) that are sold as street foods. Also worth mentioning is high iron content in sorghum flour, commonly incorporated in preparation of fermented porridge (uji) and sold as part of a meal. However, the bioavailability of iron in all these plant sources might be low (Hurrell, 1997).

According to the deduced consumption pattern, vitamin A adequacy is not achieved by consuming street foods in Korogocho slums. This reflects the lack of fruit and leafy green vegetables among the most commonly served street food dishes in Korogocho. It also implies that poor urban people relying on street foods eat little fruit and vegetables. In the Industrial Area, vitamin A adequacy is achieved if the main meal eaten for lunch has vegetables as one of the side dishes. When a single serving of fruit salad would be included with an animal-based main meal in the Industrial Area, then vitamin A adequacy would be achieved without necessarily including a vegetable side dish. Apart from the use of fortified fats, the high adequacy of vitamin A associated with vegetable dishes can also be attributed to the wide use of kale (sukuma wiki) leaves, which have high levels of β-carotene (Sehmi, 1993). However, recent findings that provitamin A carotenoids provide the body with half as much vitamin A as previously thought (IOM, 2001) and the suggestion that the conversion factor could be lower (West, 2000) imply low adequacies even when street food meals are vegetable-based.

A limitation of this study is that it looks into the overall portrayal of food adequacy through street foods and does not venture into adequacies for individual consumers. Food
choice by consumers for the various meals and snacks tell more precisely the role of street foods for adequacy. For instance, it is not unusual to encounter consumers who choose a meal composed of only cereal products (e.g. *chapati* and *uji*), which does not provide adequate protein. The average adequacy of nutrients obtained in this study is therefore indicative of the general quality of street foods in terms of these nutrients. The variation in the energy content of foods within the same category is not wide and the presented average energy adequacy can be considered as more precise.

A further limitation is that the bioavailability of the micronutrients in street foods has not been addressed. Most of the iron present in street foods is non-haem and is considered to have poor bioavailability (Hurrell, 1997). Presence of high levels of vitamin C from fruit and vegetables can enhance iron bioavailability of cereals and legumes. However, with meals having hardly any fruit and vegetables, there may not be adequate vitamin C for this purpose. Hence, this study may be an eye-opener to researchers to focus more on the dietary intake levels of other micronutrients among the urban poor. Fermentation, as traditionally done with *uji*, can improve the bioavailability of iron in the sorghum. Last but not least, apart from boosting the energy content of the foods, the substantial amount of fat noted is good for improvement of vitamin A absorption.

In conclusion, street foods in Nairobi are not just a matter of satisfying energy needs. On the contrary, they are able to provide more than adequate protein and iron while their ability to provide adequate energy is limited. In the very low-income areas, street foods are also poor sources of vitamin A and the same applies to most meals in the working areas. Hence in view of the Kenyan nutrition problems, inadequate vitamin A and energy are major concerns as far as street foods’ nutritional quality is concerned. Portion sizes are kept low and fruit and vegetables are less utilised, so that the poor can afford. This prompts the need for nutrition educators to organise and facilitate training programmes for vendors to improve and harmonise as much as possible the nutrient content of street foods, and for consumers to be able to make wise food choices. Trading-off the extra protein in the legume meals for energy-rich ingredients, for instance, could be one way of improving energy adequacy. The use of cheap vegetables such as kale needs to be emphasised while the possibilities for vendors incorporating other cheaply available sources of micronutrients in their foods need to be explored. While energy adequacy is of paramount importance, there is also need to focus more on the assessment of levels of micronutrient deficiencies among the urban poor. Exploring ways of fortifying foods commonly used as street foods in poor urban districts is desirable. In addition, there is need for a clear policy on the fortification of fat with vitamin A and to make such fat available and affordable to street food vendors and the poor at large.
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ABSTRACT
We assessed street food vendors' knowledge of basic food hygiene in Nairobi and its relation to hygienic practices. We hypothesised that high levels of formal education of vendors increased knowledge on basic food hygiene principles and consequently led to better food hygiene practices. A survey was conducted in a very-low-income slum settlement (Korogocho), a lower-middle-income residential area (Dandora) and a working place (Industrial Area) of Nairobi. Sixty-six street food vendors participated. Data included vendor gender, age, level of education and whether vendors had received lessons in food hygiene and/or handling. Vendor's knowledge of basic food hygiene was assessed using open-ended questions addressing food temperature treatment, holding time, cross contamination and personal hygiene. Vendor practices were assessed through interviews and observation. Knowledge of basic food hygiene did not vary with vendors' level of formal education. However, vendors who had received lessons on food hygiene and/or handling were 7 times more likely to attain above average scores on knowledge of basic food hygiene than vendors who had not received lessons. Good hygiene practices were not associated with vendors' knowledge of basic food hygiene but significantly varied between study locations. Thus hygiene lessons are important for street food vendors' knowledge of basic food hygiene. However, knowledge of basic food hygiene principles has no direct association with street food vendor's level of safe food practices. Hence, consumer attitude towards food hygiene and an enabling vending environment are probably more important factors in influencing vendor safe food practices.

Key words: Street foods, knowledge and practice, food hygiene, food vendors.

INTRODUCTION
Street food vending in many developing countries has been viewed to provide cheap and nutritious food for low-income people (Abdussalam & Käferstein, 1993; FAO 1997a; 1997b). Hence street foods have been thought to assure food security for low-income urban populations as they also provide livelihoods for a large number of workers (WHO, 1996). Studies assessing the safety of street foods, however, show that they are positive vectors of foodborne diseases (van Kampen et al., 1998; Frees et al., 1998; Umoh & Odoba, 1999). In Manila, it was found that some street vended foods were high risk factors in foodborne cholera transmission (Lim-Quizon et al., 1994) while in Zambia, handling of cooked foods by vendors remained a critical control point for safety (Jermini et al., 1997). Usually listed disadvantages of street foods are that they undergo unhygienic or improper food preparation and/or handling practices (Jayasuriya, 1994) because vendors are uneducated and lack the appreciation of safe food handling (WHO, 1996; Taylor et al., 2000). The WHO (1993) indicates that major hazards responsible for a large proportion of foodborne diseases include prolonged holding at ambient temperatures foods that should be refrigerated or kept hot, insufficiently re-heating food, people with poor personal hygiene handling food and cross contamination of food.
Unlike the industrialised world such as USA (Taylor et al., 2000) and also Greece (Matalas & Yannakoulia, 2000) where generally well-controlled food manufacturers and restaurants (are said to) process street vended foods, most street foods in Kenya are vendor processed without professional guidelines. Most studies on safety of street foods assess their level of microbiological contamination. Studies assessing vendors' knowledge and practices of basic food hygiene are scarce. To our knowledge, only one study showed that food vendors (in a Philippines university campus) were knowledgeable on topics that dealt with health and personal hygiene, food contamination and good manufacturing procedures but a significant gap existed between knowledge and practice on these topics (Azanza Ma, 2000). The study, however, was conducted in an area where street-vending activities were controlled by a campus board that issued vendors with permits upon meeting some minimum requirements.

The purpose of this paper is to assess street food vendors' general knowledge of basic food hygiene and how this relates to practice. This information could be useful in identifying areas of importance for improvement of food hygiene among vendors. It is hypothesised that vendors with higher levels of formal education have a higher level of knowledge on basic food hygiene who consequently practice better hygiene than vendors with lower levels of education and knowledge of basic food hygiene. In addition, female vendors being more experienced in food preparation are likely to be more knowledgeable and to practice better food hygiene than their male counterparts.

METHODS

Setting and Subjects
A survey was conducted in a very low-income slum settlement (Korogocho), a lower-middle income residential area (Dandora) and a working place (the Industrial Area) of Nairobi in February and March 1999. Preliminary exploration of the City of Nairobi had revealed that higher income residential areas were not frequented with street food vendors (Mwangi et al., in press). Selection of vendors encompassed a systematic cluster technique. Korogocho had two main earthen-roads frequented by street food vendors while Dandora had three previously tarred roads. Each road was divided into approximately 50-metre stretch clusters separated by roughly 100-metre stretches. The 50-metre stretches formed the study clusters in the two areas. Out of 8 clusters in Korogocho and 11 in Dandora, 5 clusters each were randomly selected. At the Industrial Area, there is one main road (Enterprise Road) with streets frequented by food vendors systematically branching off. Every alternate branch was included in the study and formed 5 study clusters. All vendors in the study clusters were selected. The respondents were 28 in Korogocho slums, 22 in Dandora and 16 in the Industrial Area. The respondents were thought to be representative enough as the clusters were well spread over the study locations.
Using an interviewer-administered pre-tested questionnaire vendor age, sex and level of education were recorded. Information on whether and when vendors had received lessons in food handling and/or hygiene and the source of the lesson was also sought.

**Basic Hygiene Knowledge Assessment**

Seven open-ended questions addressing food temperature treatment, holding time, cross contamination and some personal hygiene aspects were used. The questions sought to establish vendors' knowledge of:

- factors that make food unsafe for human consumption
- problems of not thoroughly re-heating foods
- problems of ready-to-eat foods coming into contact with uncooked foods
- why cooked foods should not be kept at ambient temperatures for a long time
- instances when hands should be washed
- the correct way of washing hands and
- why it is important to cover/protect foods

Responses were recorded by the interviewers and later reviewed. Correct responses were expected to promote food safety in the sense of contamination and poisoning. Each question was assigned 1 to 3 correct answers (see Table 1). For each correct response, the vendor received a score of one. If the response was almost correct or indirectly pointed to the appropriate response, the vendor received a half a score and for the wrong answer or not knowing the answer, the vendor received a zero.

**Basic Hygiene Practices Assessment**

Vendor practices were measured through interviews and observation. Data collected through interviews included water source, frequency and method of cleaning food preparation, serving and eating utensils, provision of a hand washing facility, packaging of take-away food products, treatment of left-over foods and methods of waste disposal. Except for the water source and food packaging material, each of the mentioned aspects were rated according to the optimal and the worst hygienic practice. The highest possible scores for each aspect ranged between 2 and 4.

Data collected through observation and scored as above included type of items used to cover and to serve food. Issues observed over approximately one-hour period of normal vending and information recorded by ticking yes and no answers involved:

a) food hygiene (covering foods, flies on food, vendors serving food with bare hands, buyers touching foods with bare hands and putting it back) and

b) vendor hygiene (uncovered wounds/skin infections, long fingernails, visibly dirty vendor hands and clothing, running nose, childcare during vending, unsightly vendor habits, use of aprons and use of headgear).
The positive aspects of each of the food and vendor hygiene issues were awarded a score of one while the reverse received a zero. Thus the food hygiene and vendor hygiene issues had maximum possible scores of 4 and 9 respectively. The vendors were unaware of the observation.

**Data Treatment**

Collected data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows. Proportions were compared using the $\chi^2$, $\phi$ and Cramer's V statistics. Differences between means were determined using the t-test for independent samples and the one-way analysis of variance. Significance level was set at $p=0.05$ in all cases.

To create appropriate summated scales for both knowledge and practice, principal components analysis with Varimax rotation was performed on the knowledge and practice variables separately. The guidelines used were a correlation matrix determinant $>0.00001$; Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy $>0.5$; Bartlett test of sphericity $p<0.05$ and extraction of factors with eigenvalues $>1$. Factor loadings $<0.5$ were suppressed. Only the variables loading highly on the resulting factors were used to make summated scales for both vendors' knowledge and practice of food hygiene. Two factors each were extracted resulting in four summated scales as shown in Table 1.

**Table 1:** Names allocated to vendor basic food hygiene knowledge and practice summated-scales and their respective highly loading variables after principal components analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly loading variables</th>
<th>Name of summated scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basic food hygiene knowledge</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General factors that make food unsafe for human consumption</td>
<td>Score on general knowledge of basic food hygiene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problems with contact between cooked and uncooked foods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When and how hands should be cleaned</td>
<td>Score on basic knowledge of prevention of food contamination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance of covering food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why food should be consumed immediately it is prepared</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basic food hygiene practices</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor hygiene</td>
<td>Score on basic hygiene practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food hygiene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Items used to serve food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods used to protect food</td>
<td>Score on basic food contamination prevention practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods of cleaning raw food preparation utensils</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods of waste disposal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To establish the relation between vendor hygiene knowledge, practices and also some socio-demographic factors, multiple logistic regression analysis was performed. The outcomes of interest were the two knowledge scores (Models 1 and 2) and the two practice scores (Models 3 and 4) transformed into dummy variables using the mean score as the cut-off point (0=average and below, 1=above average). Explanatory variables in all the models included age (continuous, in years), sex (male=0, female=1), education level (< primary school=1, >primary school=2), study location (Korogocho=1, Dandora=2, Industrial Area=3) and whether vendors had received lessons in food hygiene and/or handling (0=no, 1=yes). For the knowledge models, the source of basic hygiene knowledge (1= never had lessons, 2= schoolteacher, 3= NGO and/or community workers) and the year lessons were undertaken (1=never had lessons, 2=1980 and earlier, 3=1981-1990, 4= 1991-1999) were also used as explanatory variables. Likewise, the two knowledge scores (continuous variables) were used as explanatory variables in the practice models.

The model-building strategy included the following steps: (i) univariate analysis of each variable considered using the appropriate test statistic ($\chi^2$ and t-tests); (ii) inclusion of variables with univariate $p$-value <0.25; (iii) backward removal of any variable contributing to the model on the ground of the likelihood ratio test, using a cutoff of $p=0.05$; variables whose exclusion altered the coefficient of the remaining variables were kept in the model; (iv) testing of interaction terms using a cutoff of $p=0.15$. Adjusted odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals were calculated.

RESULTS

Vendor Profile

All selected vendors participated in the study. However, 2 questionnaires in the Industrial Area were discarded due to incomplete data. In Table 2, the distribution of street food vendors by sex, age, level of education, whether they received lessons in food hygiene and/or handling and study location is presented. Two-thirds of the vendors were female. Vendors' age ranged between 17 - 48 years. About 80% of the vendors were literate (>4 years of formal schooling) and one-quarter had an education beyond primary school. Of the illiterate vendors, 92% were female. There were no significant differences in the sex and level of education distributions and the mean age of vendors between study locations.

Most (80%) vendors said that they had received some lessons on hygiene and/or food handling. The majority (75%) had their lessons as part of their primary or secondary school curriculum during school time, 12% as special courses organised by non-governmental or church organisations and 13% as infant feeding lessons at health/village centres. Vendors who received lessons through the school curriculum were fewer in Korogocho (58%) than in Dandora (94%) and the Industrial Area (85%). However, Korogocho had the highest
proportion of vendors with lessons on food hygiene and/or handling. The proportion of Dandora vendors with lessons in food hygiene and/or handling was significantly lower than the proportion in Korogocho but not in the Industrial Area. A higher proportion of male (95%) than female (72%) vendors had received the lessons (p=0.03).

Table 2: Selected characteristics of street food vendors in Nairobi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Korogocho (n=28)</th>
<th>Dandora (n=22)</th>
<th>Industrial Area (n=14)</th>
<th>Total (N=64)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>67.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group, years (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD*</td>
<td>30.8 ± 8.7</td>
<td>26.5 ± 6.4</td>
<td>31.6 ± 5.2</td>
<td>29.5 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ lower primary</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper primary</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; upper primary</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendors with lessons on food hygiene and/or handling</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>63.6*</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No difference between study locations.

*p<0.05, different from Korogocho.

Basic Hygiene Knowledge and Practices of Vendors

In general, most vendors had knowledge on the general issues but not on the specific issues of basic food hygiene. In Table 3, >50% of the vendors knew that not thoroughly cooking foods could cause stomach upsets, hands should be washed before food preparation with soap and water and that foods were covered to protect them from animals and dust. However, the proportion of vendors with knowledge on related specific basic hygiene issues was <50%. Significantly higher than female vendors was the proportion of male vendors spontaneously stating that 'bad quality food ingredients and water made food unsafe for human consumption' (p=0.02) and that 'ready-to-eat foods coming into contact with uncooked foods caused food spoilage and stomach upsets' (p=0.048). The proportion of vendors spontaneously stating that 'bad quality food ingredients and water made food unsafe for human consumption' was marginally significantly higher (p=0.05) among vendors with education level above primary school. Vendors stating that hands should be washed after visiting the toilet were more associated with the education level beyond primary school than a lower level of education (p=0.03).
Table 3: Distribution (%) of street food vendors with positive answers on some basic food hygiene factors by sex and level of education in Nairobi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic food hygiene factor</th>
<th>Sex of vendors</th>
<th>Education level of vendors</th>
<th>Total (N=64)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male (n=21)</td>
<td>Female (n=43)</td>
<td>≤ lower primary (n=13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Had lessons on hygiene and/or food handling</td>
<td>95*</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>39**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors that make food unsafe for human consumption</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of germs</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improper food storage/handling</td>
<td>29*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad quality water/ingredients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problems with eating foods not thoroughly heated</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach upsets</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea/vomiting</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worm infestation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problems with ready-to-eat food coming into contact with uncooked foods</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contamination with germs</td>
<td>48*</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food spoils / stomach upset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instances when hands should be washed</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After visiting the toilet</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before food preparation</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct way of washing hands</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean water and soap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance of covering food</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To protect from flies/dust/dirt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages of eating food immediately it is prepared</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It has no germs</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good taste/texture/shape</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05; **p<0.01 (χ², φ and Cramer's V)
Hygiene knowledge and practice of vendors

The rest of the knowledge variables did not show significant differences across vendor sex and level of education.

Table 4: Prevalence of street food vendors with some unhygienic practices by study location in Nairobi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic hygiene practice factor</th>
<th>% vendors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Korogocho (n=28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendors with long finger nails</td>
<td>61*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendors handling foods</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendors with dirty clothing</td>
<td>32*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendors with dirty hands</td>
<td>46*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsightly vendor habits</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buyers handling foods</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unprotected foods</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flies settling on food</td>
<td>70*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No hand washing facility</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendors not covering hair</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendors not using aprons</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendors selling leftover foods</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packaging food directly in printed or used brown paper</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiscriminate dumping of waste</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendors with uncovered wounds</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendors with running nose</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child care while vending</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05 different from the rest; *k p< different from Korogocho with $\chi^2$, $\phi$ and Cramer's V.

Fairly high levels of unhygienic practices were found among vendors on some of the practice variables. From Table 4, most vendors did not cover or protect foods, handled foods with bare hands, displayed unsightly habits, did not cover hair and indiscriminately dumped their waste. Most of the unhygienic practices did not vary with vendor sex or level of education. It is only the covering of hair that was associated with female vendors ($p<0.001$) and the selling of leftover foods that was associated with vendors' education below upper primary school ($p=0.003$). However, most unhygienic practices were more associated with vendors in Korogocho than in Dandora and the Industrial Area (Table 4). Only the vendor unsightly habits were associated with vendors in the Industrial Area.
Factors Associated with Vendor Knowledge and Practice of Basic Food Hygiene

Although the odds ratios were not statistically significant, there was tendency for vendors with lessons in food hygiene and/or handling and vendors with high education levels to obtain high scores on general knowledge of basic food hygiene (Table 5, Model 1). The association between the score on knowledge of sources of food contamination and vendors with lessons in food hygiene and/or handling was statistically significant (Table 5, Model 2). Surprisingly, the score on basic knowledge of sources of food contamination was negatively associated with vendors' level of education. The vendor age, sex, study location, period when vendors received hygiene lessons and the source of the lessons did not enter any of the models involving the knowledge scores.

Table 5: Relationships between street food vendors' basic hygiene knowledge, practices and some demographic factors in Nairobi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>OR¹</th>
<th>SE²</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1: Score on general knowledge of basic food hygiene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2 LL = 79.7, $\chi^2$ = 6.85 (2 d.f.), p = 0.03*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendors with food hygiene lessons</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.73 - 56.73</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of education</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.62 - 6.82</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2: Score on basic knowledge of prevention of food contamination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2 LL = 78.1, $\chi^2$ = 8.8 (2 d.f.), p = 0.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendors with food hygiene lessons</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.37 - 42.09</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of education</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.08 - 0.93</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3: Score on general basic hygiene practices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2 LL = 77.6, $\chi^2$ = 8.4 (3 d.f.), p = 0.04*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Korogocho slums (reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dandora LMI area</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.03 - 14.29</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Area</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.28 - 21.69</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.98 - 1.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4: Score on basic food contamination prevention practices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2 LL = 74.8, $\chi^2$ = 6.7 (3 d.f.), p = 0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Korogocho slums (reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dandora LMI area</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.05 - 0.79</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Area</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.12 - 1.97</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of education</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.65 - 8.63</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹adjusted odds ratio, ²standard error, ³log-likelihood, *p<0.05.

The score on general basic hygiene practices was significantly dependent on the study location (Table 5, Model 3). High scores were more likely to be found among vendors in the
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Industrial Area than in Dandora who, in turn, were more likely to have higher scores than vendors in Korogocho. The vendor age also tended to influence the score on general basic hygiene practices but insignificantly. On the score on basic food contamination prevention practices, none of the variables entering the model produced a significant change in the loglikelihood ratio (Model 4). Nevertheless, there was a tendency to have more favorable scores in Korogocho than in Dandora and the Industrial Area. Vendors with higher levels of education also tended to have higher scores than vendors with lower education but this relation was not significant. The sex of vendors, period when vendors received hygiene lessons, the source of lessons and the two knowledge scores did not enter any of the models involving the practice scores.

Source of Water and Cleaning of Utensils
All the street food vendors used tap water supplied by the city's municipal council for all their water needs. However, 81% of the vendors bought their tap water from kiosks because they did not have their own water connections. In general, ≥73% of the vendors cleaned their raw food preparation, cooking or eating utensils after every use. One-third (32%) either did not use soap to clean or never cleaned their utensils at all. The utensils were washed in buckets, which were in some cases also used for other purposes such as hand washing, serving and storing food.

Vending Environment
All vending sights were self-allocated and were not furnished with sanitary amenities. Most vendors (85%) were selling in dusty surroundings. Table 6 shows some of the unsanitary conditions observed in the vicinity (within 20m radius) of vending units. Piled up waste was spotted in the vicinity of most vendors in Dandora, followed by Korogocho and less in the Industrial Area. Animals (dogs and cats) were common in Korogocho and Dandora while open drains were commonly found in the vicinity of Korogocho vending units.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vending unit vicinity appearance</th>
<th>Korogocho (n=28)</th>
<th>Dandora (n=22)</th>
<th>Industrial Area (n=14)</th>
<th>Total (N=64)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piled up waste</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>40.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animals present</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>53.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open drains present</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION
This is the first study to document street food vendors' knowledge and practice of basic food hygiene in Kenya. The findings indicate that knowledge of general issues of basic food
hygiene is well established while knowledge of specific issues is less spread among street food vendors. Food handlers should have knowledge of all the basic principles of food hygiene.

Earlier studies on the education level of street food vendors were done in the context of low literacy rates. Illiteracy rate among street food vendors in Ile-Ife was high with 60% having no formal education (Pearce et al., 1988). On the contrary, most vendors in Nairobi have attained primary school and higher levels of education. Indeed, increasingly more educated people are joining the street food vending business probably due to lack of other employment opportunities. This is also supported by relatively recent findings in Bangkok (Dawson et al., 1996).

In this study, the level of education is not significantly associated with the score on knowledge of basic food hygiene rather it is the fact that vendors received lessons on food hygiene and/or handling. Although most vendors received hygiene lessons in school, the hypothesis that vendors with high level of formal education are more knowledgeable on basic food hygiene is disputed. This probably has a bearing on the role of NGOs and community workers who educate the community collectively, including vendors with no or little formal schooling. However, NGOs and community workers efforts appear to have reached only a small proportion of vendors and have been mainly directed to slums. The finding that male vendors are more knowledgeable in some hygiene factors than female vendors disagrees with the hypothesis that the experience of female vendors in food preparation renders them more knowledgeable than male vendors in matters of basic food hygiene. This reflects the higher proportion of male than female vendors that had received lessons on food hygiene.

No significant association exists between any of the practice scores and either the vendor education level or sex. In addition, one would expect the scores on safe practice to be associated with the scores on knowledge of basic food hygiene but this is also not the case. Hence all our hypotheses are disputed. The vast majority of vendors, for instance, know that food should be covered to protect it from contamination by flies and/or dust but only few vendors protect their foods. Many vendors do not cover food so as to allow air circulation within the food and delay spoilage at ambient temperatures (informal discussions). Others want the customers to see what they are selling because they do not have appropriate display facilities. It implies that awareness of risks and knowledge of procedures to ensure levels of food safety do not necessarily impact change in behaviour probably due to other factors in play. This phenomenon has also been found in other studies among consumers and other food handlers. Among consumers in the USA, knowledge of specific food-handling principles was found to be more prevalent than the corresponding rates of safe hygiene practices (Altekruse et al., 1996). In Italy, although food handlers had a positive attitude towards hygiene, it was not supported by some of the self-reported practices of hygiene (Angelillo et al., 2000).

Despite the importance of knowledge and experience gained in basic food hygiene by street food vendors, other issues must be addressed for its application. This is supported by
the finding that street food vendors' safe practices are associated with the vending location and not the knowledge of food hygiene. Differences in consumer expectations between study areas may be one of the explanations. Street food consumers in the Industrial Area and Dandora probably have regular income and means to exercise demands on food sellers while it is the reverse for consumers in Korogocho. The Industrial Area vendors are obliged to take demands into account because they have to sell their foods within a limited number of hours, mostly during lunch (Mwangi et al., 2001), while Korogocho and Dandora vendors can sell for the whole day. Therefore, consumer attitude towards food hygiene and probably levels of competition between vendors may affect hygienic practices among street food vendors. The limitations of this study are that it did not address consumer attitude towards food hygiene in the different study areas and how this affects their choice of food vendors. In addition, it does not link given vendor knowledge aspects to specific practices, nor determine probable economic reasons that could be behind the prevailing vendor practices. It however provides an overall evaluation of food hygiene knowledge and practice of vendors in Nairobi.

The lack of amenities at the vending sites and low vendor economic power, are also possible grounds for the missing association between the score on basic hygiene knowledge and the score on hygienic practice. Vendors, for instance, may not wash their hands as required because water is not available at the vending sites and they can only carry a limited amount in containers. Proper means of garbage collection and disposal are lacking and vendors have to put this in place on their own. If vendors do not have the economic power to invest in betterment of the vending environment, then safe practices are hindered. Additionally, the efforts of investing in safe food practices may only be visible to the vendor and not the consumer because of the affability between quality and price. Hence, a modern street food seller may not be appreciated due to the prices s/he may impose as a result of the investment incurred in order to employ safe food practices.

The fact that street food vending in Kenya is not legalised could also contribute to the lack of much investment by vendors. They may not be willing to risk their capital by investing into a venture that the city authorities might demolish any time.

In conclusion, street food vendors' awareness of the basic safe food practices is at best average in Nairobi. Their having received hygiene lessons influences their knowledge of basic food hygiene. Efforts focusing on educating street food vendors are, however, clearly absent. Public health and nutrition educators seem to have no responsibility for street food vendors. Besides, neither the vendors' level of education nor knowledge of basic food hygiene principles has a direct influence on their level of safe food practices. Consumer attitude towards food hygiene and an enabling vending environment are probably more important factors in influencing vendor safe food practices. Therefore, public health and nutrition educators need to develop education programmes to improve vendor knowledge and practices as well as street food consumer attitude towards food hygiene. Consumers need to be helped to develop personal responsibility for the safety of the food they eat and be made
more demanding. City authorities need to enact and enforce legislation specific to this sector and allow vendors to put investment in place without introducing obstacles that would disturb the informal system. Numerous appropriate places could be assigned for selling foods and provided with basic sanitary facilities.
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ABSTRACT
This study determines the extent to which street foods are a source of livelihood for vending households, and its links with socio-economic aspects of the households, in different areas of Nairobi. A questionnaire on income and socio-economic issues was administered to vendors from 182 households in a very-low-income slum area (Korogocho), a lower-middle-income residential area (Dandora) and the Industrial Area. A 24-hour recall of the quantities of foods consumed from the street food pot was carried out in a sub-sample of 89 households. Street food vending formed 63% of economic activities among household members, being higher in Korogocho (71%) than in Dandora (57%) but not in the Industrial Area (63%), \( p<0.05 \). Most (54%) vendors earned above the official minimum wage from vending and were more in the Industrial Area (66%) than in Dandora (42%) and Korogocho (56%), \( p<0.05 \). Others earned at least twice the official minimum wage and were more in Korogocho (42%) and the Industrial Area (45%) than in Dandora (23%), \( p<0.05 \). More (54%) male than female (31%) vendors earning at least double the official minimum wage, but male vendors operated for longer hours compared to female vendors \( (p<0.001) \). About half (46%) of the vending households relied on street food vending as the major household income provider and were associated with a low socio-economic index and vendors as heads of households. Mean energy supplied from the street food pot was \( 16 \pm 12\% \) of RDA for households selling snack and/or part of breakfast foods and \( 32 \pm 16\% \) of RDA for households selling main meal foods. Inconveniences most frequently cited by vendors were difficulties of working in the open in all study areas and harassment by city authorities particularly in the Industrial Area. Most vendors cited provision of income as an advantage of street food vending. Therefore, street food vending is an important source of livelihood especially for vending households in the very-low-income urban areas. Vendors, however, operate under insecure circumstances due to lack of recognition.

INTRODUCTION
The role of street foods in the urbanisation process has been said to reflect some of the survival strategies adopted in African cities (Canet and N'Diaye, 1996). In sub-Saharan Africa, unprecedented high urban population growth rates have been taking place in the midst of stagnant or declining economies and has outpaced general development (UNFPA, 1999). Living costs have increased in the recent decades while growth in employment opportunities has diminished (World Bank, 1999; FAO, 1998; von Braun et al., 1993). A review of trends in up to 14 developing countries revealed that urban poverty and undernutrition increased in the majority of the countries during the previous 15-20 years (Haddad et al., 1999). These trends create new demands on urban food supply facilities and channels in that, apart from growing at least as fast as the overall population, they need to supply affordable, safe and nutritious food. The poor sector of the urban population needs to be able to afford the food while at the same time promotion of agricultural production must be sustained not at the expense of farmers.
Due to lack of employment opportunities, many urban dwellers are reported to utilise a variety of initiatives, of which street food vending is one, to enable them to obtain an income and food (Canet and N'Diaye, 1996). Street food vending attracts attention in that it addresses the two reportedly increasing problems of urban poverty and undernutrition. Street foods are said to provide a livelihood for a large number of workers who would otherwise be unemployed while providing affordable food for the urban poor who would otherwise be worse off if there were no street food vendors (WHO, 1996; Tinker, 1997). Nevertheless, some governments have dismissed the phenomenon as marginal and transitory while others view street food vending as an unnecessary nuisance in urban areas (Tinker, 1997).

In Kenya, street foods are illegal but they are tolerated. There is need to point out the role of this sector to the authorities if the sector should be legally recognised. While many studies have documented the nutritional role of street foods for consumers and few their importance in the urban economy, no study known to the authors documents the role of street foods both as an income and a direct food source for vending households. The objective of this study is to determine the extent to which street foods are a source of livelihood for vending households, and its links with socio-economic aspects of the households, in different areas of Nairobi. The study addresses the following questions: To what extent are street foods a source of income for vending households in different parts of Nairobi? Which socio-economic characteristics are associated with street food vending households? How do the socio-economic characteristics relate to the reliance on food vending for income? To what extent are street foods a direct source of food for vending households? What advantages and inconveniences of street food vending do vendors perceive? Do answers on the above questions differ for vending households in different areas of Nairobi?

**METHODOLOGY**

**Design and Household Selection**

A cross-sectional survey was conducted in a very-low-income slum settlement (Korogocho), a lower-middle-income residential area (Dandora) and a working area (the Industrial Area) of Nairobi, in May and June 1998. Preliminary exploration of the City of Nairobi (Mwangi et al, 2001) revealed that higher-income residential areas were not frequented with street food vendors hence not represented in this study. Selection of vendors involved a systematic cluster sampling method. Korogocho had two main earthen-roads frequented by street food vendors while Dandora had three previously tarred roads. The total length of the roads was approximately 1.5 Km in Korogocho and 2.0 Km in Dandora. Each road was divided into approximately 50-metre stretch clusters separated by roughly 100-metre stretches. The 50-metre stretches formed the study clusters in the two areas. There were 8 and 11 clusters selected in Korogocho and Dandora respectively. In the Industrial Area, there was one main
road (Enterprise Road) from which streets frequented by food vendors systematically branched. Every alternate branch formed the study clusters, resulting in 5 selected clusters. All vendors found within the clusters were included in the study (53 in Korogocho, 69 in Dandora and 63 in the Industrial Area).

**General Questionnaire**

A structured and pre-tested questionnaire was administered to the vendors. Data included demographic characteristics of vendors and their household members. Weekly expenditure on ingredients, cooking fuel and transport, average amount of money from food sales per day, number of days they operated per week and importance of the street food operation in household income (reported as main provider, provides half, less than half or negligible amount) were inquired. Vendors were also asked to state why they decided to vend street foods, as well as advantages and inconveniences of street food vending. To develop a socio-economic status index for each household, data were collected on material ownership of the household. These included land, houses, refrigerator, television set, sofa set, pressure lamp, bicycle, radio, lantern lamp, bed, mattress and cooking stove. In addition, type of most frequently used cooking fuel and the type of rental or owned housing in terms of material used to build the floor, wall, and roof were recorded. If a vendor did not own the house, rent paid per month was also established.

**In-depth Study**

An in-depth study of a sub-sample of 89 vendors was carried out for the purpose of assessment of the nutrient quality of street foods (Mwangi et al., see Chapter 4) and their role as a direct food source for vending households. Data collected included whether vending household members consumed food from the street food pot and why, the usual frequency of consumption (number of days per week and times per day) and a 24-hr recall of the quantities of foods consumed from the street food pot by household members.

**Data Treatment**

Frequencies of demographic and socio-economic characteristics were run to determine distributions. Proportions between groups of vendors were compared using the $\chi^2$, $\phi$ and Cramer’s V statistics. Mean differences were determined using the one-way analysis of variance or the student t-test when appropriate. The level of significance in all cases was set at $p=0.05$.

An index was developed as an indicator of variation in the socio-economic status of vending households. This was achieved by assigning weighted scores to the various household material ownership, type of cooking fuel most frequently used, type of housing and rent paid per month. Factor analysis with Varimax rotation was performed on the resulting
score variables in order to determine the variables for use in the development of the socio-economic status index. The guidelines used were a correlation matrix determinant > 0.00001; Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy > 0.5; Bartlett test of sphericity $p < 0.05$ and extraction of factors with eigenvalues > 1. Factor loadings < 0.5 were suppressed. Two factors were extracted. Variables highly loading on the first factor were house rent, type of house building material and ownership of a television set, radio, sofa set and lantern lamp. This factor thus contained variables from two domains, namely characteristics of the dwelling and ownership of durable goods within the urban environment, as underlying variables in socio-economic status of households. Variables loading on the second factor were ownership of land, rural house and type of the house owned, reflecting mainly assets owned at the rural home. The rest of the variables did not load highly on the factors. The first factor was used to develop the socio-economic status index in form of a summated scale, as it was more reliable than the second factor. The range of the resulting index was divided into three equal sub-ranges for classification of households into three groups of the socio-economic index (i.e. lower, middle and upper).

To establish the relation between the role of street food vending in household income and some vending-household socio-economic and demographic factors, multiple logistic regression analysis was performed. The outcome of interest was the role of street food vending in household income transformed into a dummy variable (0=provides half or less, 1=main provider). Explanatory variables included status of vendor in the household (other=0, household head=1), number of dependants, household size, number of other people with an economic activity and the socio-economic status score (all continuous variables). The model-building strategy included: (i) univariate analysis of each variable considered using the appropriate test statistic ($\chi^2$ and $t$ tests); (ii) inclusion of variables with univariate $p$-value < 0.25; (iii) forward inclusion of any variable contributing to the model on the ground of the likelihood ratio test, using a cutoff of $p = 0.05$; (iv) testing of interaction terms using a cutoff of $p = 0.15$. Adjusted odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals were calculated.

Amount of energy per serving of different street food products were estimated as described in Mwangi et al (see Chapter 4). The extend to which street foods were a direct source of food for members of vending households was expressed as the amount of energy directly provided from the street food pot within the previous 24 hours as a proportion of the recommended daily allowance (RDA). The total RDA for the household members consuming foods from the street food pot was presented in consumer units (cu) based on Kenyan recommendations where 1cu = 2,600 Kcal (Sehmi, 1993).
RESULTS

Some Socio-demographic and Socio-economic Characteristics of Vending Households

Of the 185 vendors included in the study, only one vendor from Korogocho refused to participate. One questionnaire, each from Korogocho and Dandora was discarded for incomplete data. Most vendors (80%) were female. Table 1 shows some household socio-demographic and socio-economic characteristics of street food vendors involved in the study. Mean household size was similar in the three study areas. Some households had only a single member, the proportion not being significantly different between study locations. Dependency ratio, the number of household members aged <18 and >60 years divided by the number of household members aged 18-60 years, was approximately one in all the study areas. The proportion of vendors who were also heads of households varied significantly with the study location ($p<0.001$), the proportion of household heads in Korogocho being significantly higher than in both Dandora ($p=0.000$) and the Industrial Area ($p<0.05$). The distribution of female household-head vendors (excluding female heads of one-member households) also significantly varied across study locations ($p<0.001$), the proportion in Dandora being significantly lower than in the other study areas ($p<0.01$). Half of the heads of street food vending households had been to secondary school or higher and were significantly more associated with Dandora than Korogocho and the Industrial Area ($p<0.05$).

The socio-economic status index of vending households as described in the methods ranged from a score of 2.00 to 27.00. In Korogocho slums, most vending households were classified in the lower group of the socio-economic status index while in Dandora and the Industrial Area, they were classified in the middle group. Overall, most of the vending households were classified in the middle, one-third in the lower and one-quarter in the upper group of the socio-economic status index. The mean score varied across study locations ($p<0.001$), being significantly lower in Korogocho than in Dandora and the Industrial Area. The mean score did not vary with vendor gender.

Asked for the main reason why they commenced vending of street foods, most vendors in the three study areas cited lack of employment. This proportion did not vary significantly with study location. Some vendors (all female) needed an own income while some (96% female) needed to supplement their spouses’ income. Vendors who wanted to have their own income tended to be more in Dandora low-middle-income area and least in the Industrial Area but the differences were not significant across study locations. The proportion of vendors who wanted to supplement their spouses’ income was significantly higher in the Industrial Area than in Korogocho ($p<0.05$) but not in Dandora.
### Table 1: Some socio-demographic and socio-economic characteristics of street food vending households by study location in Nairobi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Characteristic</th>
<th>Korogocho (slums) n=51</th>
<th>Dandora (low-middle income area) n=68</th>
<th>Industrial Area n=63</th>
<th>Total n=182</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD household size</td>
<td>4.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-member households</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependency Ratioa</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household head vendorsb</td>
<td>56.9*</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female household headsb</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>2.0**</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level of heads of vending households (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None - Lower primary</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper primary</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥Secondary schoolc</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>63.5*</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-economic status index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower (≤9) %</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle (9-18) %</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper (&gt;18) %</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SDd</td>
<td>9.8 ± 5.5*</td>
<td>15.8 ± 6.3</td>
<td>13.8 ± 6.6</td>
<td>13.4 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main reason why vendors started street food business (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacked employment</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needed own income</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplement spouses income</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>19.0*</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why not other type of business (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheap to start</td>
<td>56.9*d</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of ready market</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best skilled in cooking</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to manage</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>20.6*d</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vending had good income</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05, **p<0.01 different from others; *p<0.05, *p<0.01 across study locations; *p<0.05 different from Korogocho; *p<0.05 different from Dandora; Using χ², φ and Cramer’s V.

Dependency Ratio = Number of household members aged <18 and >60 years/Number of members aged 18-60 yrs. Excludes female heads of one-member vending households.

The most reported reason why vendors opted for street food vending and not another kind of business was that it was cheap to start. It was supported by the fact that 93% of the vendors reported to have started with no more than Kenya shillings 1,000 (approximately USD 13 in 1999). This proportion was significantly higher in Korogocho slums than in Dandora (p<0.05). Presence of a ready market, experience in food preparation and the ease to manage were three other reasons reported by substantial proportions of the vendors. Vendors...
preferring street food vending to other kind of enterprise due to its ease to manage were marginally \( p=0.05 \) more reported in the Industrial Area than in Dandora but not in Korogocho slums. Other vendors preferred street food vending because they thought it had good income.

**Food Vending and Other Household Economic Activities**

The mean number of economic activities per vending household was 1.6. Of all the household members involved in an economic activity, only 1.7% (all found in Korogocho) had a second activity. To place street food vending in the context of other household economic activities, the distribution of vending household adult members according to their employment status and study location is presented in Table 2. Almost two-thirds of the adult household members were involved in self-employment activities. As expected, the rate of unemployment was significantly higher among adult household members in Korogocho slums than in Dandora low-middle-income area and the Industrial Area \( p<0.05 \). Adult household members involved in casual labour or some form of temporary employment were more in Dandora than in Korogocho \( p<0.05 \) but not significantly more than in the Industrial Area. The proportion of regularly employed household members was significantly higher in the Industrial Area than in both Korogocho and Dandora. Overall, most adult members of street food vending households were involved in self-employment activities while the smallest proportion was involved in regular employment. Out of the total number of economic activities among household members, street food vending had a share of 63%. This share was significantly higher in Korogocho (71%) than in Dandora (57%) but was not significantly different in the Industrial Area (63%), \( p<0.05 \).

**Table 2: Employment status of adult members of street food vending households in Nairobi**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment status</th>
<th>Korogocho (n=110)</th>
<th>Dandora (n=136)</th>
<th>Industrial Area (n=126)</th>
<th>Total (n=372)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of street food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vending household</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>60.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>23.6*</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casual/Temporary</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>20.6**</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular†</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>10.3*</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05 different from others; **p<0.05 different from Korogocho; †p<0.05 and ‡p<0.001 across study locations; Using \( \chi^2 \), \( \phi \) and Cramer's V.

**Income Obtained from Street Food Vending**

In Table 3, the percent distribution of vendors by monthly net income from street food vending compared to the official minimum wage for Nairobi and the role of street foods in
household income is presented. The proportion of vendors earning below or an equivalent of the official minimum wage did not vary with study location. More than half of the vendors earned above the official minimum wage. This varied with study location ($p<0.05$) mainly due to a significant difference between Dandora and the Industrial Area ($p<0.01$). The proportion of vendors earning at least twice the official minimum wage was substantial and was significantly different across study locations ($p<0.05$). It was significantly lower in Dandora than in Korogocho ($p<0.05$) and the Industrial Area ($p<0.01$).

**Table 3:** Distribution (%) of vendors according to monthly income from street foods compared to the official minimum wage and the role of street foods in household income by study location in Nairobi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monthly income status</th>
<th>Korogocho (slums) $n=43$</th>
<th>Dandora (low-middle income area) $n=65$</th>
<th>Industrial Area $n=62$</th>
<th>Total $n=170$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of monthly income from street foods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below minimum wage</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal to minimum wage</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than minimum wage</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>66.1*</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥Twice the minimum wage</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>23.1*</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street foods role in household income</td>
<td>n=51</td>
<td>n=68</td>
<td>n=63</td>
<td>n=182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major provider</td>
<td>65*</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides half</td>
<td>16*</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; half</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The minimum wage for Nairobi was 3,000 Kenya shillings (1 USD = 70 shillings).

$^*p<0.05$ different from others; $^{**}p<0.01$ different from Dandora; $^dp<0.05$ and $^vp<0.01$ across study locations; Using $\chi^2$, $\phi$ and Cramer's $V$.

Comparison across gender revealed that the proportion (54%) of male vendors earning at least double the official minimum wage was significantly higher than that (31%) of female vendors earning that amount of net income from street foods ($p=0.01$). Further analysis showed that on average, male vendors operated their vending units for longer hours (mean ± SD = 11.1 ± 4.0) than female vendors (mean ± SD = 6.7 ± 4.4), $p=0.000$.

For 92% of the vendors, street food vending was the major provider of their individual income. This proportion was lower at household level as seen in Table 3. The proportion of households with street food vending as a major income provider varied with the study location ($p<0.05$). It was significantly higher in Korogocho than in the Industrial Area ($p<0.05$) and Dandora ($p=0.001$), but the difference was not significant between the Industrial Area and Dandora. Overall, almost half of the vending households relied on street food vending as the major household income provider.
Logistic regression analysis between some household socio-economic factors and reliance on street foods as a major income provider showed a significant negative association with the socio-economic status index ($OR=0.92$, $p=0.04$, $CI=0.85 - 1.00$). In addition, households in which vendors were not the heads were less likely to rely on street foods as a major income provider than those headed by street food vendors ($OR=0.10$, $p=0.001$, $CI=0.03 - 0.40$). Similar findings were obtained for households with more than one person involved in economic activities ($OR=0.01$, $p=0.001$, $CI=0.00 - 0.12$). Household size and number of dependants were not associated with reliance on street foods as major income provider.

**Street Foods as a Direct Food Source for Vending Households**

Among a sub-sample of 89 vendors studied, 97% reported that their households fed from the street food pot. Three vendors (two in Dandora and one in Korogocho) reported their household members not to feed from the street food pot because the business would not grow, the food was entirely for business or they cooked at home. Reasons given by vendors for their households feeding from the street food pot are shown in Table 4. According to most vendors, it was convenient and economical to feed on the street food pot. This proportion significantly varied across study locations ($p<0.01$), being significantly low in Dandora compared to Korogocho and the Industrial Area. A substantial proportion of vending households fed on the street food pot just to satisfy their appetite and this also varied with the study location ($p<0.001$), being highest in Dandora and none in the Industrial Area. Other reasons were to finish leftovers and that the food was healthy.

Half of the vending households consumed from the street food pot on a daily basis while the majority consumed for at least 4 days per week. The proportion of households consuming from the street food pot on a daily basis varied with study location ($p<0.01$) and was significantly lower for Industrial Area than Korogocho and Dandora ($p<0.01$). However, the proportion of households consuming from the street food pot for at least 4 days per week was comparable in all the study areas. The mean number of days the households fed from the street food pot per week was also not different across the study locations. Households fed from the street food pot mainly for breakfast, lunch and snacks. No significant difference was observed across study locations in the proportion of households feeding on the street food pot for breakfast. However, there were significant differences across study locations for lunch and snacks ($p<0.001$ and $p<0.05$ respectively) mainly because vendors differed in the types of foods they sold across the study locations.

Out of the 89 vendors studied, we obtained 79 records of households with members who fed from the street food pot within the previous 24-hours. About half of the records (54%) concerned street food items commonly sold as snacks and/or part of breakfast while the other proportion concerned foods sold as part of and/or a whole main meal, specifically lunch. The mean RDA for energy of the household members who consumed snack and/or part
of breakfast foods was 2.5 ± 1.3 consumer units (cu) per household. The energy supplied from the street food pot was 0.4 ± 0.3 cu (16 ± 12% of RDA) per household. For the main meal category of foods, the mean RDA for energy of the household members was 2.8 ± 1.8 cu per household. Energy supplied was 0.9 ± 1.1 cu (32 ± 16% of RDA) per household. No significant differences were observed in these figures across study locations (data not shown).

**Table 4: Distribution of vending households according to reasons for, frequency of and the meals replaced by feeding from the street food pot by study location in Nairobi**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Korogocho (slums) n=33</th>
<th>Dandora (low-middle income area) n=35</th>
<th>Industrial Area n=18</th>
<th>Total n=86</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for feeding from street food pot</td>
<td>Convenient and economical†</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>31**</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Satisfy appetite*</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To finish leftovers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The food is healthy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency (days/week)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily (%)†</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>17**</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4 days (%)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>5.5 ± 2.2</td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of meal (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch†</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snack‡</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>6*</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any meal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05 and **p<0.01 different from others; †p<0.05, ‡p<0.01 and §p<0.001 across study locations; Using $\chi^2$, $\phi$ and Cramer's V.

**Vendor Perceived Advantages and Inconveniences of Street Food Vending**

Each vendor mentioned at least one advantage of street food vending. In Table 5, the distribution of vendors by the advantages and inconveniences mentioned is presented according to study location. The advantage most frequently mentioned was that street food vending provided income. The proportion of vendors mentioning this advantage was significantly lower in Korogocho slums compared to the other study areas (p<0.01). All vendors in the Industrial Area mentioned only this advantage. A significantly higher proportion of vendors in Korogocho slums than Dandora and the Industrial Area (p <0.01) cited presence of a ready market. Other advantages were that street food vending required little capital, family could not miss food and the job was easy among others.
Table 5: Distribution (%) of vendors according to their perceived advantages and inconveniences of street food vending by study location in Nairobi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor perceived advantages and inconveniences</th>
<th>Korogocho (slums) n=51</th>
<th>Dandora (low-middle income area) n=68</th>
<th>Industrial Area n=63</th>
<th>Total n=182</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advantages of street food vending</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides income</td>
<td>76.5**</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>91.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is ready market</td>
<td>17.6**</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requires little capital</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconveniences of street food vending</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>3.2**</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Harsh weather</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Eviction/Harassment</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>93.7***</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Others</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-payment problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Credits/drunkards/children</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>8.8*</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Others</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tedious job</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>3.2**</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fluctuating ingredient prices</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cooking fuel expensive</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Others</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other problems</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05, **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001 different from others; ; *^p<0.05 different from Korogocho; *^d*p<0.05 different from Dandora; ^p<0.05 across study locations; Using $\chi^2$, $\phi$ and Cramer's V.

There were 8 vendors each in Korogocho and Dandora and 2 in the Industrial Area (Total = 10%) who said that they did not face any inconveniences with street food vending. It was possible to classify the inconveniences mentioned by the rest of the vendors in four groups namely, vending site problems, non-payment problems, production problems and other problems. Among the vending site problems, harsh weather (rain, cold, hot sun, dust) in the open was the most frequently cited inconvenience in all the study areas. The vast majority of vendors in the Industrial Area but only a few in Korogocho slums and Dandora ($p <0.001$) mentioned uncertainty due to harassment, eviction and displacement. Vendors in the Industrial Area complained of harassment by City Council authorities and factory guards while the few vendors in Dandora and Korogocho complained of having to bribe area leaders to operate and also feared displacement or eviction by fellow vendors. Other inconveniences among the site problems included competition for space with speeding bicycles, push carts,
parking for motor vehicles and pedestrians (crowding). A few (4) vendors mentioned problems of open sewage drains, uncollected garbage and roaming animals.

Non-payment problems were encountered more frequently in the Industrial Area and Korogocho than in Dandora ($p < 0.05$). In the Industrial Area, non-payment problems were more to do with credit defaulters while in Korogocho slums and Dandora it was theft by school children and/or demands by drunkards, insane people and street children. Other problems of non-payments were that consumers first wanted to taste the food, demanded larger servings and family members consumed the food without paying. Production inconveniences included the work being tedious, fluctuating ingredient prices and expensive cooking fuel. Other problems included seasonal customer frequency at different times of the month (workers) or the year (school children), high competition, poor security in the evening and closure of units in the event of food/water-borne disease outbreak and community conflicts.

DISCUSSION

Socio-economic Importance of Street Foods for Vending Households

The results of this study reveal that street food vending is an important livelihood activity for vending households. Vending households are generally small-sized with a dependency ratio of approximately one. However, given the proportion of household members aged 15-60 years involved in an economic activity, every one person earning an income has to support another 1.6 persons. Since street food vending is the most numerous of all the economic activities in which household members are involved, it implies that vending of foods is very important for the livelihoods of these households. This is more observed in the slums of Korogocho where the rate of unemployment among household members is highest and a substantial section of street food vendors are female and/or household heads. It is usual for household members to look to the household heads for their wellbeing. Their socio-economic status index indicates that they are the poorest compared to the vendors in the other study areas. Hence harassment of vendors and banning of street foods by urban authorities means stripping especially the very poor vending households of their livelihood.

The fact that half of the heads of vending households have not attained beyond primary level of education disadvantages them from acquiring highly paying (or even any form of) employment. However, the other half of heads of households has attained high school education or beyond, yet the primary form of earning income among the households is self-employment, particularly street food vending. This reflects the lack of growth in employment opportunities in Kenya during the past few decades (Kenya, 1999). Hence most vendors in all the study areas state that they started vending because they lacked employment. Street food vending has been said to be a source of employment elsewhere. In Bogor,
instance, it was estimated that street food trade absorbed up to 12.2% of the total labour force in 1991 (Streetfood Project, 1992). But since most people opt to vend because they lack employment, it could mean that should more employment opportunities arise, particularly the more educated vendors would probably abandon the vending activity. Nevertheless, the shift to employment might depend on whether it pays better than street food vending and on other aspects such as being one’s own boss and job security. This aspect was, however, not investigated in the present study.

For most forms of business, one always needs starting capital. From the results of the present study, the main reason for opting to vend street foods other than undertaking another type of activity is that street food vending is cheap to start. This is in line with the reports in literature that street food vending is a source of employment that can start with minimum capital and contribute a good and reliable income (Chauliac and Gerbouin, 1994; Streetfood Project, 1992; Tinker, 1987b; FAO, 1990). According to the vendors, often other types of economic activities require more money and investment. With street food vending, one can start with cooking assets already owned by the household and only need money for ingredients and cooking fuel. Frequently, raw food ingredients and the type of cooking fuel (mainly firewood and charcoal) vendors use are cheaper than acquiring manufactured goods for trade. In addition, everybody needs food on a daily basis unlike other goods such as clothing and kitchen utensils that people buy probably once in several months. Hence there are sales on a daily basis and bringing income is an advantage recognised by most vendors. It implies that even poor unemployed urban dwellers can comfortably venture into street food vending and make good income. Hence it is no surprise that more vendors in the very poor area of Korogocho slums consider street food vending cheap to start than in the low-middle income area of Dandora. Literature also reports that street food vending does not require much education (Arámbulo et al., 1994; Chauliac and Gerbouin, 1994; FAO, 1990) although this does not come out in the present study as one of the motivating factors. Although vendors do not mention this, we also think that the vending of street food does not require high levels of education but an understanding of basic food nutrition and hygiene.

Vending of street foods in Nairobi provides a substantial amount of income for most vendors. Most vendors earn an income above the official minimum wage while a substantial proportion of the vendors make twice or more of this amount. Given that people usually tend to understate their income when asked, it is possible that income from street food vending is actually higher. Although this study tried to reduce this error by using daily expenditures and sales to calculate profit, vendors had no records and their word had to be relied on. Despite this, the study shows that most vendors obtain a good amount of income. However, hours spent on the enterprise per day and family unpaid labour are not accounted for when determining the monthly income. Nevertheless, it has also been shown in other studies that street food vendors earn more than official city or country minimums most of them earning
three to ten times the minimum wage of the country (Chauliac and Gerbouin, 1994; Tinker, 1987b; FAO, 1990; Tinker, 1997).

The results show that for half of the vending households, street food vending is their main income provider. Such households are associated with a low socio-economic status index, vendor-household head-ship and absence of other household members generating income. These are found more among vending households in the slums of Korogocho than the other study areas. Hence vending of street foods is their form of survival. It is worth noting that the proportion of vendors earning more than double the official minimum is significantly higher in the slum area of Korogocho and in the Industrial Area compared to the middle-income area of Dandora. It may imply that the higher the income obtained from street food vending, the more the household relies on it as main income source. It could also mean that street food units on which vending households heavily rely are operated more rigorously.

In a study by Daniels (1999), it was found that almost half of micro and small enterprises in urban areas of Kenya provided all of the household income. This underscores the importance of micro and small enterprises such as street food vending for the livelihood of the households involved. Another explanation could be that snack foods do not fetch as much money as do main meals. Earlier studies revealed that vendors in Dandora lower-middle-income area sold mainly snack foods while those in Korogocho slums and the Industrial Area had more main meals (Mwangi et al., 2001).

Apart from being important for vendors, literature records the importance of street foods also for their consumers. Vendors have been reported to provide inexpensive and tasty ready-to-eat food for especially low-income people, not only close to their workplaces but also other locations (FAO, 1997). They ease the problems of lack of establishments that supply reasonably priced ready-to-eat foods, the busy urban form of life and long distances between workplaces and home. Some studies have shown that street foods make substantial contributions to the diets of different urban groups (Oguntona and Tella, 1999; Oguntona and Kanye, 1995; Korir et al., 1998; Sujatha et al, 1997).

The most important inconveniences of street food vending touch on two areas namely lack of shelter and uncertainty. While the shelter problem is common for all the study areas, the uncertainty problem is more prevalent among vendors in the Industrial Area. City council authorities appear to enforce their restrictions mainly in the areas thought to be economically important for the city and occasional harassment of vendors in the Industrial Area causes them to be insecure. This is linked to the lack of official recognition and the absence of any form of policy governing the street foods sector. The vendors operate in a permanent state of uncertainty, with little or no recourse to justice. Their vulnerability, as Boccas (1996) suggests, inhibits investment and long-term development of their activities.
Gender and Street Food Vending

Although most of the vendors in this study are female, a previous study of a larger sample indicated a substantial proportion of male vendors with up to 50% in the slums of Korogocho (Mwangi et al., 2001). Studies in other countries have also indicated high participation of males in street food vending (Arámbulo et al., 1994; Tinker, 1997). In Pune, India for instance, 95% of the street food vendors are men while in Africa, the preparation and selling of street foods is dominated by women (FAO, 1990; Tinker, 1987a; Tinker, 1997). Hence street food vending is not gender-specific, although women are considered to be the majority on a worldwide basis.

There is a tendency for relatively more women vendors in the lower-middle-income area to engage in street food vending because they want to have their own income to control. Because it had been found in a previous study (Mwangi et al., 2001) that more male- than female-vendors relied on street foods for all their income, it was hypothesised that female vendors, especially those from low-middle-income areas undertook street food vending to supplement their spouses’ income. The present study, however, highlights the other reason of women wanting their own income to control. This could be nutritionally beneficial for the women. While studying the effect of women’s work on their nutrition, Bisgrove and Popkin (1996) found that women’s work and income had positive effects on the overall quality of their diet.

While a substantial proportion of women vendors in the Industrial Area and the lower-middle-income area engage in street food vending to supplement their spouse's income, a good proportion (25%) of female vendors in the slum area are also household heads. For such households, and equally for households headed by male vendors, street food vending is very important because they are looked upon as main household income providers.

There is a tendency for male vendors to make more money per month from street food vending compared to their female counterparts. Daniels (1999) also reports higher profit levels among male- than female-owned micro and small enterprises in Kenya. This could be because women’s roles as entrepreneurs are shaped by their family responsibilities. They are required to be at home early, especially to prepare family dinner and take care of children. They are also more vulnerable when it comes to personal security in the city at late hours. Hence they usually work shorter hours, probably invest less money and earn lower returns. However, returns on their investment (money and time wise) might equal or surpass that of men (Tinker, 1997).

Street Foods as a Direct Source of Food for Vending Households

Street foods do not only provide income for vending households but are also a direct source of food for household members. The vast majority of vending households feed from the street food pot. The proportion of vendors feeding on the street food pot on a daily basis in the
Industrial Area is small because they operate for 5-6 days, according to the operating schedules of the factories in the area. Considering that the majority feed for ≥4 days per week, one can comfortably infer that they feed on the street food pot for the days they operate. It appears that especially vendors selling main meals in Korogocho slums and the Industrial Area find it convenient and economical for the households to feed from the street food pot. Preparation can be done for the family and the business all at once. In addition, cooking in bulk might be cheaper than cooking several times in small quantities. It saves on both time and cooking fuel. Although the study does not prove this, it is possible that buying ingredients in bulk or in big portions is more economical and that vendors take advantage of this to feed their families. Feeding only to satisfy appetite is common in the lower-middle-income residential area of Dandora. This could be because most foods sold in this area are snacks. This is quite clear from the results on the meals replaced by feeding from the street food pot. For Korogocho slums it is mainly breakfast, lunch and snacks; in Dandora it is breakfast and snacks while in the Industrial Area it is breakfast and lunch. In general, dinner is less important in the street food system.

The 24-hour recall results show that street foods play a substantial role as a direct food source for vending households. Households vending breakfast and snack foods obtain almost one-fifth while those vending lunch foods obtain about one-third of their RDA for energy from the street food pot. Although this procedure of estimating usual food intake has shortcomings in that it is only a one-day recall, it does reveal that street food vending is an important food source for vending households. The wide standard deviations in the proportion of energy provided from the street food pot and the fact that vendors were not all interviewed on the same day shows that there are households obtaining substantial energy from the pot and especially that most households consume on a daily basis.

Due to the high response rate among study vendors, we think that the findings of this study can address areas with similar socio-economic characteristics within Nairobi and similar large cities. However, generalisation to smaller cities with less intensity of street food vending is not viable. We note some limitations in the methods used in the present study. An in-depth assessment of the role of income from street food vending against other economic activities in the households would have revealed more details on the usefulness of street food income for vending households. However, since the vending of street foods had the highest share in all the household economic activities, we think that the method used gives sufficient indication of the importance of income from street foods for vending households. In addition, an elaborate food intake record of vending households would have given more information on how street foods affect availability of food variety in the household and the overall nutrient intake among members of vending households. Hence our results should be treated as indicative of the importance of street foods in the diet of vending households.
CONCLUSIONS

Street food vending is an important source of livelihood especially for vending households in the very-low-income urban areas. It forms the highest share in all their economic activities involving household members because it is cheap to start. Hence the households rely on food vending as their main income provider. Street vended foods are also a direct food source for vending household members. Vendors, however, operate under insecure circumstances due to lack of recognition. Since there is increased awareness of the role of street foods not only in the diets of urban dwellers but also in the economic circles, the sector needs to be set in a realistic and effective institutional regulation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Netherlands Foundation for Advancement of Tropical Research (WOTRO); file number WV 96-153, for funding the research. Our gratitude also goes to Prof. J. Imungi and Dr. Wambui Kogi-Makau of Nairobi University for their support; Provide International, Korogocho and Gospel Tabernacle Church, Dandora for providing us with office space in the field. We also appreciate the help of field assistants and the cooperation of all the vendors involved.

REFERENCES


GENERAL DISCUSSION
BACKGROUND
The studies presented in this thesis aimed at providing insight in the nutritional, hygienic and socio-economic dimensions of street foods in Nairobi. The results are intended to be of use for organising the street foods sector for the purpose of providing nutritious and hygienically safe foods for consumers, while sustaining the socio-economic role of street foods for urban populations. The ability to generalise the findings depends on the understanding of the historical and the intensity of the urbanisation process of the cities in question. Therefore, significant differences are not expected in large (metropolitan) African cities where urbanisation processes have much in common with Nairobi. Generalising the findings in respect to smaller towns, however, may require caution. In this chapter, the study methodologies, the main findings and policy implications of the findings are discussed.

Methodological considerations
Street food vending is observed in many large cities and small towns in Kenya. Nairobi was selected because, being the capital city, it represents more people and is better to extrapolate to other large cities in African countries.

In the absence of records on the growth of the street food sector in Nairobi, our method of using key informants living in the city of Nairobi for many years (Chapter 2) was the most suitable to establish the past trends in street food vending and consumption. Since the key informants had different backgrounds, the consistency obtained in their responses by only the fourth informant confirmed the reliability of the method. In addition, the literal exploration of the city at different times of the day was the most accurate method to estimate the scope of vending within the city.

The selection of the study areas was based on the fact that they were frequented by street food vendors and were representative of other such areas in Nairobi. In the initial study (Chapter 2), all vendors in the study areas were targeted making the study sample the most representative. In subsequent studies, we tried as much as possible to randomise our sample selection. Prior to the surveys, interviewers were rigorously trained and the questionnaires pre-tested.

To determine street food quality in terms of food groups displayed by vendors, we used the FAO commodity food grouping system. Although the FAO system masks variety of foods within groups and excludes fats and oils, we overcame this oversight by presenting, in Chapter 4, the actual energy and nutrient quality of the foods. The results in Chapter 4 confirmed what was found in Chapter 3 for the different study areas. We presented the quality of street foods in terms of the energy and nutrients that would be obtained from usual servings of the food. This directly provides the level of adequacy of energy and nutrients obtained from consuming street foods when served in the usual way.

We assessed the energy and nutrient adequacy of street foods by inventory of the foods served, although the way consumers choose their food also influences the potential to
obtain adequate energy and nutrients from street foods. Our aim was to provide the overall quality of street foods as far as meeting energy and nutrient needs were concerned (Chapter 4). We therefore addressed the issue of food choice by including all the possible food and meal combinations as served to the consumers.

The method used in assessing level of basic hygiene knowledge in relation to practice (Chapter 5) was adopted from available literature. Variables such as socio-economic status (Menon et al., 2000), attitude (Angelillo et al., 2000) and food safety knowledge (Azanza et al., 2000) have previously been rated by assigning scores. However, we could not link given vendor knowledge aspects to specific practices with this method but provided an overall evaluation of the problem.

Our findings on street foods as a direct food source for vending households are only indicative of their importance for the observed households (Chapter 6). A more detailed food availability and intake method would be necessary to determine the effect of street food vending and consumption on food varieties and overall nutrient intake of members of vending households.

Research in urban areas is faced with several constraints. At the Industrial Area for instance, we had to conduct our surveys within three hours per day, when vendors were found at the vending sites. In those three hours, vendors were very busy serving and we had to wait until they finished. By the time one or two interviews were over, the rest of the vendors had left their vending sites. Hence only a few interviews could be conducted in a day. Furthermore, due to regulations, insecurity and harassment associated with many urban areas, it takes a lot of effort to win trust from urban communities. Sometimes the environment, particularly in the slums, is quite unfriendly and researchers who are new in the area may need security. In addition, when the people learn that they may not get immediate benefit from the research work, they lose interest. We managed to win trust from Korogocho and Dandora residents by being introduced to the communities through respected organisations working in the two areas and by reporting to the various authorities. The government also provided us with research permits, which became very handy. The use of local field assistants living within the study areas was also beneficial as they also served as security. Nevertheless, it is not always the case that people in the urban environment are unfriendly. Vendors in the Industrial Area, for instance, were very friendly and receptive. Hence we did not need to be introduced by any organisation.

Main findings
Street food vending is an important food supply channel for the urban poor and a source of employment for the unemployed. Women make the majority (about two-thirds) of the street food vendors in Nairobi (Chapter 2). The tendency of the phenomenon to tremendously increase within the past decade reflects the trends in economic growth and rapidly growing and changing urban food needs (Chapter 2 and Chapter 6). Declining economic growth and
increased urban poverty causes many urbanites to increasingly participate in informal activities such as street food vending. In addition, increased economic stress creates need for income diversification on the one hand, while it increases demand for cheap sources of food on the other hand. With decreasing real incomes consumers are bound to employ strategies such as dietary change and change of eating-places, by substituting expensive foods with cheaper ones. A study in Greater Accra, Ghana, showed that change towards cheaper foods and rationing money for street foods were the most common food consumption strategies employed when households did not have enough food or money to buy food (Maxwell et al., 1999).

There is an inverse relation between the density of street food vending activities and the income level of city areas (Chapter 2). This implies that the main users of street foods are the urban poor. Contrary to the belief that the use of street foods is related to the convenience of saving time by busy urban dwellers, the underlying drive is the affordability of street foods, given the financial means and income flow of the consumers, mainly the urban poor. In literature, street foods are said to be cheaper (in many cases) compared to home-prepared meals, especially when time spent shopping and cooking and the cost of transport and fuel are taken into consideration (Tinker, 1997). The poor, therefore, find street foods to be economically beneficial. As such, the poorest have been shown to spend high proportions of their total food expenditures on street foods, ranging from 16% in Manikgani, Bangladesh to 50% in Ile-Ife, Nigeria (Tinker, 1997; Ruel et al., 1999).

Presentation of foods by vendors in terms of food group variety depends on the city location, which reflects the demands of consumers (Chapter 3). Female vendors are able to provide more variety but this is offered only where consumers are able to afford. Hence the socio-economic status of the street food consumers dictates what is offered. Literature shows that nutritional quality of the diet and nutritional status is associated with the number of items in the diet, measured as the food variety score (FVS) and number of food groups measured as dietary diversity score (DDS) (McCorry et al., 1999; Hatloey et al., 2000). Both the number of food items and food groups in the diet have also been shown to be associated with socio-economic status of consumers. From our results it is clear that more food group diversity is found among vendors in the working area (Industrial Area) while less variety is found among individual vendors in the residential areas. Hence, variety is consistent with availability of buyers who can afford. This was confirmed in Chapter 4 in which nutrient quality of street foods appeared to be better in the Industrial Area than the slums and the low-middle income residential area. In spite of this, in general most street food vendors are one-food group sellers and the ability of the foods served to provide adequate energy and micronutrients is limited.

It is not the lack of hygiene knowledge that hinders vendors from applying safe food practices but probably the lack of an enabling environment, low economic power of vendors and buyers, and consumer attitude towards food hygiene (Chapter 5). Street food safety risks
have often been associated with low level of education among vendors, implying lack of knowledge among vendors concerning these risks (Ekanem 1998). Various studies have shown microbiological contamination of some street foods, posing public health problems (Freese et al., 1998; Umoh and Odoba, 1999; Muleta and Ashenafi, 2001). According to Arámbulo et al., (1994), the little knowledge available about street food vendors in Latin America is that their education is limited and their personal knowledge of hygienic food handling practices is slight to nil. However, this had not been studied. In Chapter 2 we note that some vendors from the slum area of Korogocho refused to participate because they feared that the study would point out the unhygienic state of their foods. This means that they knew about their unhygienic practices. The issue that emerges from our study is the question of transforming knowledge into practice, and this also applies to other sources of ready-to-eat foods. A study comparing the microbiological quality of street foods, home prepared foods and five-star restaurant food found similar forms of contamination (van Kampen et al., 1998). It has also been shown that types of pathogens found in street foods are similar to those found in foods prepared and served indoors (FAO, 1990). More recent studies show that food sold in streets are generally not more contaminated than foods sold in local restaurants but levels of contamination in both establishments are clearly potentially harmful to the health of consumers (Tinker, 1997). We found that although vendors had well established knowledge on general issues of basic hygiene, their level of basic hygiene knowledge (and also level of education) did not necessarily influence their practices of food hygiene. Hence other factors such as consumer demands, lack of amenities and insecurity of their investment may have contributed to the poor food handling practices. If consumers of street foods have poor sanitary conditions at their own homes, they may not demand better conditions of street food vendors. Thus it is difficult to improve standards without increasing health education of consumers as well as vendors (Tinker, 1987). Furthermore, if the socio-cultural profiles of consumers are generally similar to those of the vendors, preferences may not relate so much to food safety or hygiene but to their personal tastes and the foods’ affordability (Arámbulo et al., 1994). In addition, the fact that investment can increase the cost of food may also play a role on vendors not investing in food safety measures. They use operating equipment of the least possible cost with no or little observance of sanitary standards. They generally lack the facilities needed to hold food within adequate temperature ranges for long periods of time. Hence existing microorganisms can reach high enough concentrations to produce foodborne disease. Vendors rarely have access to safe running water for cooking, washing utensils and cutlery, personal hygiene etc. Street food vendors typically use the same water over and over throughout the day without changing, thereby providing an ideal medium for bacteria.

Safety of ready-to-eat foods also concerns chemical contamination and use of unauthorised additives. They may include lead residues from vehicle exhausts, toxins from excessive re-use of fats and oils as well as use of prohibited dyes, flavours and preservatives. These problems may not be specific to street food vendors but may be found among other
ready-to-eat food sellers as well. What is needed is to monitor the use of such substances, set aside suitable areas within districts of high demand and provide amenities. There is no reliable information available on the incidences and/or implicating street foods as the main source of foodborne diseases.

Street food vending provides substantial income, with more than one-third of the vendors earning at least twice the official minimum wage (Chapter 6). For about half of the vending households, street foods are their major income provider. High reliance on street foods as a major income provider is associated with vending households in the poorer districts of the city. Apart from the role of providing reliable income, street foods also provide substantial energy for vending household members (Chapter 6). It is convenient and probably cheaper for vending households to consume from the street food pot. According to literature, both food and fuel costs are greater per capita when cooking for only a few people (Ruel et al., 1999). It also avoids wastage from left-over food where refrigeration is not available. The diet of vending household members may therefore be more diversified since the money saved can be spent on other types of foods. In addition, they may have some income left to spend on other household needs (such as health, shelter and school fees). However, it is possible that street food vending households regularly eat from the street food pot and their diet is less diversified since they repeatedly sell the same kinds of food. Although they may have more income to spend on other household basic needs, the quality of their diet may be compromised.

In conclusion, street food vending in Nairobi is a strategy driven by both demand (for cheap and convenient food) and supply (due to the need for income). The urban poor and/or low-income urban dwellers appear to be the main players in the street food phenomenon. The phenomenon is not gender-specific but women make the majority of the vendors. It is favoured by the low-income urban dwellers because of its relatively low entry and product costs. Hence for most consumers, the convenience of street foods is not necessarily linked to women’s opportunity cost of time, but to the affordability of the foods. The vendors are not able to put their hygiene knowledge into practice and the nutritional quality of the foods is less than adequate. However, where there is an income, particularly female vendors are able to provide nutritionally better quality foods than their male counterparts. Last but not least, street food vending is an important source of livelihood for vending households in terms of income and food, especially those households of low socio-economic status.

Summary Findings of the Complementary study by van’t Riet (2002)

The role of street foods in the diet of low-income urban residents, the case of Nairobi.

The study by van’t Riet (2002) shows that it is common for people living in low-income areas in Nairobi to buy non-home prepared foods instead of preparing all their foods at home. Street foods are the major source of non-home prepared foods for poor urban residents. The
frequency of street food consumption is higher in the slum area (Korogocho) than in the low-middle-income area (Dandora) included in the study. Both kiosk foods and street foods are major sources of non-home prepared foods for residents of the low-middle-income area. The main reasons for consumption of street foods are that they are cheap and convenient while the main reason for non-consumption is that preparation and storage of the foods is unhygienic.

Street foods provide a substantial part of the daily intake of energy and nutrients in the diet of 5-7 year old children living in a slum area in Nairobi, with a median contribution to energy intake of 21%. Furthermore, non-home prepared foods provide substantial proportions (13-36%, median) of daily energy and nutrient intake of men, women and 9-14 year old children in a slum and a low-middle-income area of Nairobi. In the slum area, street foods make more than 70% of the energy derived from non-home prepared foods. Men consume larger proportions of their daily intakes from non-home prepared foods compared to women and children. Consumers from the low-middle-income area derive larger proportions of their daily food intake from non-home prepared foods compared to consumers from the slum area. Non-home prepared foods provide relatively high amounts of fat and relatively low amounts of vitamin A.

Therefore, non-home prepared foods are an important part of the diet of low-income residents of Nairobi. Street foods, being relatively cheap, are especially important for the very poor urban dwellers.

Policy implications

People living in urban areas of the developing world are expected to increase rapidly and particularly in the less developed regions (UN, 2001). There is a projected significant increase in poverty, food insecurity and undernutrition in the urban areas unless these aspects are reduced in direct proportion to the growth in urban population (Haddad et al., 1999; Pinstrup-Andersen, 2000). In Nairobi, absolute poverty already more than doubled in only three years, between 1994 (22%) and 1997 (50%) (Kenya, 2001).

With urbanisation, people loose the ability to produce their own food and rely heavily on food purchases (Ruel et al., 1999). In addition, there is a general trend everywhere in cities in the world that people are taking more of non-home prepared foods, due to the urban way of life (Ruel et al., 1999; Solomons and Gross, 1995). With increasing urbanisation, consumption of non-home prepared foods will also increase. However, increasing urban poverty means that there will be need for cheap and nutritious food outlets in the urban areas. Hence, the use of street foods in terms of intake and its economic importance will probably increase with further urbanisation of Nairobi.
The problem is that many governments in sub-Saharan Africa persist in thinking about urban food insecurity primarily in terms of aggregate food supply to the cities, rather than the ability of poor households in urban areas to purchase food (Maxwell, 1999). In addition, most programme and policy analyses rely on simple urban-rural comparisons, which mask enormous differences between socio-economic groups in urban areas (Menon et al., 2000).

The current trends of increasing urban poverty and inequality in developing countries suggest that household and individual empowerment of the urban poor are fundamental to their access to food. For the urban poor formal social nets such as government price subsidies are less evident and coping strategies have tended to be individualistic and household-based (Atkinson, 1994; Maxwell, 1999). Their access to local political processes remains in question. Frequently, municipal governments negatively impact on the poor by destroying employment opportunities through harassment of the informal sector and non-provision of infrastructure. Street food vending is one such informal activity that faces banning and lacks official recognition in developing countries such as Kenya. The authorities in most cases fail to see the positive aspects of these activities.

The results presented here and those by van't Riet (2002) show that street foods are important in providing employment and food for the urban poor. Banning of street food vending in Nairobi will therefore destroy jobs and rob the poor of a means of livelihood and a cheap source of food. Authorities need to be convinced of the potential of street foods for food and nutrition of the low-income urban consumers and of the role of street foods as a source of livelihood for vendors. The lack of recognition of the street foods sector leaves its operators in a state of uncertainty. Absence of some mode of control and monitoring exposes street food consumers to health risks. The challenge to food policy is to provide an empirically sound basis for policy and programme formulation in urban areas, particularly as it relates to low-income people's ability to acquire food, health care, and other basic necessities.

Hence, there is need to recognise and legalise eating out places for the urban poor, such as street foods sites, without imposing tedious requirements that are not achievable by the poor. The goal should be to ensure that the food is safe, nutritious and affordable to consumers and profitable to vendors. This can be achieved when all parties, being the vendors, the consumers and the city authorities, are involved in the process. Non-governmental organisations and academic institutions could also be included to facilitate development and implementation of the necessary policies and programmes.

Efforts need to be directed into putting in place education programmes for street food vendors and consumers on the importance of safe and nutritious food. The programmes should aim at making vendors sensitive to food safety measures and the consumers should also be made responsible for the safety of the food they eat and to make demands for safe foods. Apart from education programmes, policies and programmes that would lead to the
improvement of the micronutrient quality of street foods need to be considered. Thus, the potential role of street foods in nutritional intake and the scope for increasing nutritional contribution needs to be explored and incorporated in the programmes.

City planning and infrastructure needs to cater for street food vending locations especially in low-income areas. Providing vending locations will prevent the obstruction of traffic by vendors. Such locations should be supplied with sanitation facilities such as running water, toilets and garbage disposal facilities. Such amenities are essential for vendors to comply with the necessary hygiene regulations. Vendors should be guided on how to invest in safe food practices at minimum costs. This will involve development of cheap and appropriate technologies that vendors can afford.

Implementation of any policies and programmes should incorporate evaluation and monitoring of their effects on prices of street foods as well as on the socio-economic and nutritional benefits for consumers and vendors.

Finally, one might argue that street foods are only associated with poverty and that they will become less important or disappear when poverty decreases. However, we think that with improved economic status, vendors are bound to develop the street foods business into more than just serving the poor with affordable food. In the Philippines where street food vending has been recognised for instance, vending and consumption of street foods cuts through the cross-section of socio-economic profile of the population ranging from the more affluent sector at one end of the spectrum to the disadvantaged at the other end (Azanza, 2000). Therefore, vendors are bound to adopt the sector to the prevailing clientele. Hence policy measures in terms of legalising the sector and pursuing better street food quality remain necessary.
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Summary

Rapid urbanisation has been coupled with declining economies and lack of employment in sub-Saharan Africa, Kenya being one of the countries. Recent reviews reveal increasing urban poverty and undernutrition with increasing urban population in developing countries. The urban poor have been reported to utilise a variety of informal coping initiatives to enable them to survive, improve their welfare, and most of all, to obtain food. One such strategy is the vending of street foods. Street foods are said to supply a large number of people with relatively inexpensive food and that the urban poor would be worse off if there were no street food vendors. However, street foods are also reported to undergo unhygienic or improper food preparation and/or handling and vendors are thought to be completely unaware of hygienic practices. Literature also indicates that street foods are a source of employment for many unemployed urban dwellers, although the sector is not officially recognised in many countries such as Kenya. Most studies on street foods have focused on their microbiological quality, energy and protein contribution to daily intake by consumers and the level of income obtained from vending. Despite this, information on the nutritional dimensions, hygiene knowledge and practices of vendors and the role of street foods as a source of livelihood for vending households remain scanty. Given the fact that the street foods sector may be an important source of nutrition for low-income urban populations and employment in the midst of lack of formal employment opportunities, more insight is needed to underscore its benefits for official recognition. This study is one of two complementary Ph.D studies on street foods in Nairobi. We defined street foods as “ready-to-eat foods and beverages, processed or fresh, which are sold at stationary locations or by mobile vendors in streets and open places, as opposed to stores and licensed establishments”.

The overall aim of this study was to get insight in the nutritional, hygienic and socio-economic dimensions of street foods in Nairobi as a prerequisite to providing nutritious and hygienically safe food for consumers, while sustaining their socio-economic role for urban populations. The study was based on interviews by means of non-structured and structured questionnaires, as well as on observation of the research population. The fieldwork was carried out in several phases between October 1997 and April 1999. First, a key informant guide was used to establish the genesis of and subsequent trends in street food vending in Nairobi. This was followed by exploration of different districts of the city to determine the scope of vending activities in the city. Three study areas, Korogocho (a very-low-income slum settlement), Dandora (a lower-middle-income residential area) and the Industrial Area were selected to represent each of the areas frequented by street food vendors. Cross-section surveys were conducted among street food vendors in the selected areas, in three phases. The surveys sought to determine the nutritional quality of street foods as well vendor’s general knowledge of basic food hygiene and its relation to practice. Also studied was the role of street foods as a source of livelihood for vending households and its links with socio-economic aspects of the households.

Trends and Scope (Chapter 2)

We found that street food vending and consumption in Nairobi rapidly increased during the previous two decades, instigated by the need for affordable food among low-income urban
dwellers and the need for employment. It was an expanding phenomenon especially found among the urban poor, but was spreading up the higher socio-economic groups due to increasing monetary demands. About one-third of the vendors were men.

**Nutritional Quality and Adequacy (Chapters 3 and 4)**
Most (53%) vendors were one-food group sellers with 44% selling cereals. Overall, 36% vendors, mostly males, sold only carbohydrate-rich products. Mixed-food sellers were more in a working area (53%) than a slum area (43%, p<0.05), who were more than at a lower-middle-income residential area (21%, p<0.001). Micronutrient rich and mixed-nutrient products were associated with female vendors. Street foods were commonly used for breakfast, lunch, major afternoon snack and petty snacks. Mean energy, protein, iron and vitamin A per serving of breakfast and snack foods was 2-20% of the adult equivalent. Major meals (lunch), which were hardly served in Dandora, provided significantly higher vitamin A per serving in the Industrial Area than in Korogocho slums (p=0.001). A deduced consumption pattern of a breakfast, major snack, petty snack and lunch per day from the streets did not give adequate daily energy (<70% of adult equivalent). Protein was more than adequate if the major meal was animal- or legume/pulse-based. Iron adequacy was achieved with all major meal types. Vitamin A intake adequacy was not reached in Korogocho but was achieved with vegetable-based meals in the Industrial Area. However, the question of bioavailability was not addressed.

**Hygiene Knowledge and Practice of Vendors (Chapter 5)**
Knowledge of basic food hygiene did not vary with vendors' level of formal education. However, vendors who had received lessons on food hygiene and/or handling were 7 times more likely to attain above average scores on knowledge of basic food hygiene than vendors who had not received lessons. Good hygiene practices were not associated with vendors' knowledge of basic food hygiene but significantly varied between study locations.

**Street Foods as a Source of Livelihood for Vending Households (Chapter 6)**
Street food vending formed 63% of economic activities among household members, being higher in Korogocho, a very-low-income area, (71%) than in Dandora, a lower-middle-income area, (57%) but not in the Industrial Area (63%), p<0.05. Most (54%) vendors earned above the official minimum wage from vending. They were more in the Industrial Area (66%) than in Dandora (42%) and Korogocho (56%) residential areas (p<0.05). Others earned at least twice the official minimum wage and were more in the very-low-income settlement of Korogocho (42%) and the Industrial Area (45%) than in lower-middle-income area of Dandora (23%), p<0.05. About half (46%) of the vending households relied on street food vending as the major household income provider and were associated with a low socio-economic index and vendors as heads of households. Mean energy supplied from the street food pot was 16 ± 12% of recommended daily allowance (RDA) for households selling snack and/or part of breakfast foods and 32 ± 16% of RDA for households selling main meal foods.

Inconveniences most frequently cited by vendors were difficulties of working in the
open in all study areas and harassment by city authorities particularly in the Industrial Area. Most vendors cited provision of income as an advantage of street food vending.

**Conclusions and Policy Implications**

We concluded that street food vending in Nairobi is a strategy driven by both demand (for cheap and convenient food) and supply (due to the need for income). The urban poor and/or low-income urban dwellers are the main players in the street food phenomenon. It is an important food supply channel for the urban poor and provides employment to a labour force that would otherwise be unemployed. The phenomenon is not gender-specific but women make the majority of the vendors. It is a major source of livelihood especially for vending households in the very-low-income urban areas. It is favoured by the low-income urban dwellers because of its relatively low entry and product costs. Hence for most consumers, the convenience of street foods is not necessarily linked to women's opportunity cost of time, but to the affordability of the foods. Street foods as provided and served by vendors are not nutritionally adequate. However, where there is an income, particularly female vendors are able to provide nutritionally better quality foods than their male counterparts. Unhygienic handling of the foods is probably due to absence of an enabling vending environment and lack of demand from consumers, but not due to complete lack of hygiene knowledge of vendors. In addition, vendors operate under insecure circumstances due to lack of recognition. Authorities need to be convinced of the potential of street foods for food and nutrition of the low-income urban consumers and of the role of street foods as a source of livelihood for vendors. The challenge to food policy is to provide an empirically sound basis for policy and programme formulation in urban areas, particularly as it relates to low-income people's ability to acquire food, health care, and other basic necessities.

Hence, there is need to recognise and legalise eating out places for the urban poor, such as street foods sites, without imposing tedious requirements that are not achievable by the poor. Absence of some mode of control and monitoring exposes street food consumers to health risks. The goal should be to ensure that the food is safe, nutritious and affordable to consumers and profitable to vendors. Efforts need to be directed into putting in place education programmes for street food vendors and consumers on the importance of safe and nutritious food. The programmes should aim at making vendors sensitive to food safety measures and the consumers should also be made responsible for the safety of the food they eat and to make demands for safe foods. The potential role of street foods in nutritional intake and the scope for increasing nutritional contribution needs to be explored and incorporated in the programmes. City planning and infrastructure needs to cater for street food vending locations especially in low-income areas. Such locations should be supplied with sanitation facilities such as running water, toilets and garbage disposal facilities. Vendors should be guided on how to invest in safe food practices at minimum costs. This will involve development of cheap and appropriate technologies that vendors can afford. Finally, implementation of any policies and programmes should incorporate evaluation and monitoring of their effects on prices of street foods as well as on the socio-economic and nutritional benefits of consumers and vendors.
De snelle urbanisatie in Afrikaanse landen ten zuiden van de Sahara zoals Kenia, hangt samen met de verslechterende economie en toename van de werkloosheid. Recente overzichtsatrtikelen tonen aan dat toenemende stedelijke armoede en ondervoeding samengaan met een toenemende stedelijke bevolking in ontwikkelingslanden. De arme mensen in de steden gebruiken verschillende informele strategieën om te overleven, hun welzijn te verbeteren en vooral om voedsel te verkrijgen. Eén van die strategieën is het verkopen van *street foods*. *Street foods* worden gezien als een relatief goedkope voedselbron voor een groot aantal mensen en de stedelijke armen zouden slechter af zijn als er geen verkopers van *street foods* waren. Soms blijken *street foods* echter op onhygiënische of onjuiste wijze klaargemaakt en/of behandeld te zijn en het lijkt dat verkopers zich totaal niet bewust zijn van hygiënische praktijken. Uit de literatuur blijkt tevens dat de handel in *street foods* werkgelegenheid biedt aan vele werkloze stedelingen, hoewel de sector in vele landen -zoals ook in Kenia- niet officieel erkend wordt. De meeste studies over *street foods* zijn gericht op de microbiologische kwaliteit, energie- en eiwitbijdrage aan de dagelijkse inname van de consumenten en het inkomen verkregen uit de verkoop. Niettemin is de informatie over voedingswaarde van *street foods*, de hygiënische kennis en praktijken van de verkopers en de rol die *street foods* spelen als een inkomstenbron voor huishoudens nog schaars. Gegeven het feit dat de *street food* sector een belangrijke bron van voedsel kan zijn voor de stedelijke bevolking met laag inkomen en tevens kan bijdragen aan werkgelegenheid, bij een gebrek aan formele werkgelegenheid, is er meer inzicht nodig om de positieve aspecten van de handel te benadrukken om tot officiële erkenning te komen. Deze studie is één van twee complementaire studies naar *street foods* in Nairobi. Wij definieerden *street foods* als “kant-en-klare voedingsmiddelen en dranken, bewerkt of vers, die op straat verkocht worden (in tegenstelling tot in winkels en etablissementen met een vergunning) vanaf een vaste plaats of door ambulante verkopers”.

De overkoepelende doelstelling van deze studie was om inzicht te krijgen in de voedings-, hygiënische en sociaal-economische aspecten van *street foods* in Nairobi, als een eerste vereiste om de consumenten van hygiënisch en voedzaam voedsel te voorzien, terwijl de sociaal-economische rol voor de stedelijke bevolking behouden blijft. De studie maakte gebruik van interviews met behulp van vragenlijsten en observatief onderzoek. Het veldwerk vond plaats in verscheidene fasen tussen oktober 1997 en april 1999. Allereerst werden met behulp van sleutelinformanten de oorsprong en trends van de verkoop van *street foods* in Nairobi in kaart gebracht. Vervolgens werd er een verkennend onderzoek gedaan naar de omvang van de verkoopactiviteiten in verschillende wijken van de stad. Drie studiegebieden, Korogocho (een sloppenwijk met zeer lage inkomens), Dandora (een wijk met lage en middelbare inkomens) en het Industriegebied, werden geselecteerd als representatie van de gebieden waar de *street food* verkopers opereerden. De *street food* verkopers in de drie gebieden werden onderzocht in een
cross-sectioneel onderzoek in drie fasen. De onderzoeken richtten zich zowel op de voedingswaarde van het voedsel als op de algemene kennis van de verkoper aangaande hygiëne van voedsel en de toepassing van deze kennis in de praktijk. Tevens werd de rol van street foods als een bron van inkomen voor de huishoudens onderzocht en de relatie met de sociaal-economische kenmerken van de huishoudens.

**Trends en Omvang (Hoofdstuk 2)**
Wij vonden dat de verkoop en consumptie van street foods in Nairobi snel was toegenomen tijdens de afgelopen twee decennia, aangespoord door de behoeften aan betaalbaar voedsel voor stedelingen met een laag inkomen en werkgelegenheid. Dit fenomeen was vooral zichtbaar onder de stedelijke armen, maar door de toenemende financiële lasten had het zich ook verbreid naar de hogere sociaal-economische groepen. Ongeveer eenderde van de verkopers was man.

**Voedingswaarde en Aanbevolen Hoeveelheden (Hoofdstukken 3 en 4)**
De meeste verkopers (53%) verkochten één product, en bij 44% was dat een graanproduct. Totaal verkochten 36% van de verkopers, vooral mannen, alleen koolhydraatrijke producten. Verkopers van gemengde producten waren meer te vinden in het Industriegebied (53%) dan in de sloppenwijk (43%, p<0.05) en het minst in de laag-middeninkomen wijk (21%, p<0.001). Producten die rijk aan micronutriënten en gevarieerd in voedingsstoffen waren, werden het meest verkocht door vrouwelijke verkopers. Street foods werden algemeen genuttigd als ontbijt, als lunch, als grote snack 's middags en als kleine snacks tussendoor. Het gemiddelde energie-, eiwit-, ijzer- en vitamine A gehalte per portie van de ontbijt- en snackproducten was 2-20% van de aanbevolen dagelijkse inname voor volwassen mannen. Volledige maaltijden (lunch), die overigens bijna niet geserveerd werden in Dandora, bevatten significant grotere hoeveelheden vitamine A per portie in het Industriegebied dan in de sloppenwijk Korogocho (p=0.001). De consumptie van een ontbijt, grote snack, kleine snack en een lunch van op straat gekochte producten bij elkaar op een dag, dekte de energiebehoeften niet (<70% van de aanbevolen energie-inname voor volwassen mannen). Indien de lunch op basis van dierlijke producten of peulvruchten was, was het eiwitgehalte meer dan toereikend. De ijzerbehoeften werd gedekt door alle lunches. Vitamine A was onvoldoende aanwezig in de street food lunches in Korogocho maar voldoende in de op groenten gebaseerde lunches in het Industriegebied. De mate van biobeschikbaarheid van de voedingsstoffen uit het voedsel is echter niet in de bepaling meegenomen.

**Kennis van Hygiëne en de Praktijk van de Verkopers (Hoofdstuk 5)**
De kennis van voedselhygiëne varieerde niet met het formele opleidingsniveau van de verkopers. Verkopers die voorlichting ontvangen hadden over voedselhygiëne en/of het behandelen van voedsel hadden echter 7 keer zoveel kans om bovengemiddelde scores te
behalen met betrekking tot kennis van voedselhygiëne dan verkopers die geen voorlichting ontvingen hadden. Goede hygiënische praktijken waren niet geassocieerd met de kennis van de verkopers over voedselhygiëne, maar varieerden tussen de studielocaties.

**Street Foods als Bron van Inkomsten voor de Verkopende Huishoudens (Hoofdstuk 6)**
De verkoop van *street foods* vormde 63% van de economische activiteiten van de leden van de huishoudens. Dit was hoger in Korogocho, een gebied met zeer lage inkomens (71%), dan in Dandora, een laag-middeninkomensgebied (57%), maar was niet verschillend van het Industriegebied (63%), *p*<0.05. De meeste verkopers (54%) verdienden meer dan het officiële minimumloon. Dit percentage lag hoger in het Industriegebied (66%) dan in de wijken Dandora (42%) en Korogocho (56%) (*p*<0.05). Anderen verdienden tenminste twee keer zoveel als het officiële minimum loon en dit percentage lag hoger in de sloppenwijk Korogocho (42%) en in het Industriegebied (45%) dan in de laag-middeninkomen wijk Dandora (23%), *p*<0.05. Voor ongeveer de helft van de verkopende huishoudens (46%) was de verkoop van *street foods* de belangrijkste inkomstenbron. Dit was geassocieerd met een lage sociaal-economische index en dat de verkopers het hoofd van het huishouden was. Het gemiddelde energiepercentage uit de consumptie van de eigen *street foods* was 16 ± 12% van de aanbevolen dagelijkse inname voor huishoudens die snacks en/of ontbijtproducten verkochten en 32 ± 16% voor de huishoudens die hele maaltijden verkochten.

Ongemakken die het meest genoemd werden door de verkopers in alle gebieden waren de problemen om buiten te werken. Vooral de verkopers in het Industriegebied ervaarden ook het lastig worden gevallen door de autoriteiten als een probleem. De meeste verkopers noemden inkomstenvoorziening als voordeel van de verkoop van *street foods*.

**Conclusies and Beleidsimplicaties**
Wij concluderen dat de verkoop van *street foods* in Nairobi een strategie is die gedreven wordt door zowel vraag (naar goedkoop en gemakkelijk verkrijgbaar voedsel) als aanbod (door de behoefte aan inkomsten). De stedelijke armen en/of stedelingen met een relatief laag inkomen zijn de belangrijkste spelers in het fenomeen van de *street foods*. Het is een belangrijk kanaal van voedselvoorziening voor de stedelijke armen en het voorziet in werkgelegenheid voor arbeidskrachten die anders werkloos zouden zijn. Het fenomeen is niet geslachtsspecifiek, maar de meeste verkopers zijn vrouwen. Het is een belangrijke bron van levensonderhoud, met name voor de *street foods*-verkopende huishoudens in de wijken met zeer lage inkomens. De stedelingen met lage inkomens geven de voorkeur aan het verkopen van *street foods*, omdat het relatief makkelijk toegankelijk en goedkoop is. Daarom is voor de meeste consumenten het gemak van *street foods* niet noodzakelijk verbonden met de tijd die vrouwen winnen door het kopen van deze producten, maar vooral met de betaalbaarheid van het voedsel. *Street foods* die gemaakt en geserveerd worden door de verkopers hebben een inadequate voedingswaarde.
Samenvatting

Wanneer er echter voldoende inkomen is, zijn vooral vrouwelijke verkopers in staat producten met een betere voedingswaarde te bereiden dan de mannelijke verkopers. Het onhygiënisch omgaan met het voedsel komt waarschijnlijk door het gebrek aan mogelijkheden op de plaats van verkoop en gebrek aan vraag van de consument naar veilig voedsel, maar niet door een gehele afwezigheid van kennis bij de verkopers. Daarnaast werken verkopers onder onzekere omstandigheden doordat hun handel niet erkend is. Autoriteiten moeten overtuigd worden van het potentieel van street foods voor voedsel en voeding van de consumenten met lage inkomens en de rol die street foods spelen als bron van levensonderhoud voor de verkopers. De uitdaging voor het voedselbeleid is om in een empirische, solide basis te voorzien voor de formulering van beleid en programma's in de stedelijke gebieden, vooral omdat het gerelateerd is aan de mogelijkheden van mensen met een laag inkomen om voedsel, gezondheidszorg en andere basisbehoeften te verkrijgen.

Daarom is het belangrijk om open eetgelegenheden voor de stedelijke armen, zoals plaatsen waar street foods worden verkocht, te erkennen en te legaliseren, zonder hierbij eisen te stellen die niet gehaald kunnen worden door de armen. Door het gebrek aan enige controle en bewaking hiervan worden de consumenten van street foods aan gezondheidsrisico's blootgesteld. Het doel zou moeten zijn om te verzekeren dat het voedsel veilig, voedzaam en betaalbaar is voor de consumenten en winstgevend voor de verkopers. Er zou moeite gedaan moeten worden om educatieprogramma's over het belang van veilig en voedzaam voedsel voor street food verkopers en consumenten op te zetten. Deze programma's moeten gericht zijn op het gevoelig maken van de verkopers voor maatregelen die de voedselveiligheid vergroten. Daarnaast zouden de consumenten meer verantwoordelijkheid moeten leren nemen voor de veiligheid van het voedsel dat ze eten en ook naar veilig voedsel leren vragen. De mogelijke rol van street foods in de voedselinname en de ruimte om de bijdrage van street foods aan de voeding te vergroten zouden onderzocht moeten worden en ingebed in programma's. Stadsplanning en infrastructuur moeten plaats bieden aan verkoopplaatsen voor street foods, vooral in de arme gebieden. Dergelijke locaties zouden voorzien moeten worden van sanitaire voorzieningen zoals stromend water, toiletten en afvalbakken. Verkopers moeten begeleid worden bij het investeren in een veilige voedselpraktijk met minimale kosten. Dit houdt ook de ontwikkeling in van goedkope en toepasbare technologieën die betaalbaar zijn voor de verkopers. Uiteindelijk moet de implementatie van ieder beleid of programma gevolgd worden door controle en evaluatie van zowel de effecten op de prijzen van de street foods, als de sociaal-economische en voedingsvoordelen voor de consumenten en de verkopers.
Ukuwaji haraka wa miji umekuwa ukiambatana na uporomokaji wa uchumi na ukosefu wa ajira katika nchi za Afrika zilizo chini ya jangwa la Sahara, Kenya ikiwa mojawapo. Taarifa za hivi punde zinaonyesha kukua kwa umasikini na lishe dunia, ukiambatana na ukuaji wa idadi ya watu katika miji ya nchi zinazoendelea. Maskini wa miji wa wameripotiwa kutumia mbinu tofauti zisizo za kirasmi za kuwafanya kuishi, kustawi na hasa kwa wakati chakula chako.

kipato cha chini), Dandora (sehemu ya watu wenyewe kipato cha hali ya sehemu-ya-chini-ya-kiwango-cha-kati) na Industrial Area, sehemu ya viwandani kuwakilisha sehemu zote ambazo shughuli za chakula cha mitaani zimekuwa maarufu. Uchunguzi huo ulitaka hasa kuingatia swala la ubora wa lishe katika chakula cha mitaani, na pia ufahamu wa waandaaji juu ya usafi wa chakula na jinsi wanavyo tumia fahamu hiyo kwa vitendo. Pia lengo jingine likuwa ni kuchunguza umuhimu wa chakula cha mitaani kwa jamii na uchumi wa familia za waandaaji.

**Mwelekeo na Kiwango (Sura ya 2)**
Tulipata kuwa uuzaji na utumiaji wa chakula cha mitaani umekuwa ukiongezeka kwa kasi katika kipindi cha miongo milili iliyoopita, kutokana na mahitaji ya chakula cha bei rahisi katika jamii ya watu wenyewe kipato cha chini mijini na kwa mahitaji ya ajira. Ilikuwa ni jambo linalo kuwa na kupanuka hasa kati ya familia maskini ziishizo mijini, lakini likienea hata kwa jamii iliyoendelea kiuchumi kutokana na ongezeko la mahitaji ya fedha. Thuluthi moja ya waandaaji wa chakula cha mitaani walikuwa wanaume.

**Ubora wa Lishe na Utoshelevu (Sura ya 3 na 4).**
Wauzaji wengi (53%) walikuwa wakuuza chakula cha lishe aina moja, 44% wakuuza aina ya nafaka. Kwa ujumla, 36% ya wauzaji, zaidi wanaume, wakuuza wakuuza chakula cha kuongeza nguvu mwili (Carbohydrates). Wauzaji wa chakula chenyenye mchanganyiko wa aina nyingi ya lishe walikuwa wengi zaidi kazi ya familia maeneo ya kazi (53%) kuliko schemu za makaazi za mabanda (43%, p<0.05), nao walikuwa wengi kuliko schemu za makaazi za watu wenyewe kipato cha sehemu-ya-chini-ya-kiwango-cha-kati (21%, p<0.001). Chakula chenyenye lishe mchanganyiko kiliuzwa zaidi na wanawake. Chakula cha mitaani mara nyingi kilitumia kama kifungua kinywa cha asubuhi, milo wa mchana, kitafunwa au makubwe. Katika vifungua vinywa vya asubuhi, vitafunwa na makubwe, nguvu, protini, madini ya chuma (iron) na vitamini A vilipatikana kwa kiasi cha 2 - 20% cha mahitaji ya mtu mzima. Milo kamili kama mlo halisi wa mchana, ambayo ilikuwa ni hala mtaani Dandora, ilikuwa na vitamini A nyingi mitaani Industrial Area kuliko ile iliyo patikana mtaa wa mabanda wa Korogocho (p=0.001). Tukifuata mpango wa ulaji wa chakula cha mitaani wa watumizi wengi, mchango wa lishe wa hivi vyakula hautoshii mahitaji ya nguvu mwili (<70% ya mahitaji ya mtu mzima). Utoshelevu wa protini ulikuwa ni wa kutosha endapo milo ya mchana ulikuwa ni wa cha nyama au borooa/choroko (jamii ya kunde au maharagwe). Madini ya chuma (iron) ilikuwa ni ya kutosho katika milo ya mchaa ya aina yote. Hata hivyo, upatikanaji wa kiasi cha vitamini A kaitika milo mtaani Korogocho kilikuwa hafifu. Katika eneo la Industrial Area, vitamini A ilipatikana kwa kiwango cha kutosha kwenyewe milo ambayo ilikuwa na mboga za majani. Hata hivyo swala la uwezo wa mwili kutumia lishe, hasa madini ya chuma (iron), katika hivi vyakula (bioavailability) halikutafitiwa.
Ufahamu juu ya usafi wa chakula na desturi ya wauzaji (Sura ya 5)

Ufahamu wa usafi wa msingi wa uuzaji wa chakula haukutofautiana kulingana na kiwango cha elimu ya darasani ya wauzaji. Hata hivyo, wauzaji ambao walisha pata mafunzo juu ya utunzaji chakula kwa usafi walikuwa na uwezo mara saba zaidi kuzidi alama ya wastani juu ya usafi wa kimsingi wa utunzaji chakula kuliko wale ambao hawakuwa na mafunzo juu ya usafi. Desturi za usafi wa chakula hazikuwa na uhusiano na ufahamu juu ya usafi wa kimsingi wa chakula waliokuwa nao wauzaji ila ilazitofautia hasa kati ya maeneo tofauti ya utafiti huu.

Chakula cha mitaani kama chanzo cha kuishi kwa viambo husika (Sura ya 6)

Uuzaji wa chakula cha mitaani ulichangia 63% ya shughuli za uchumi katika wanaviambo vinavyohusikana shughuli hii, na hii ikiwa zaidi (71%) mtaani Korogocho, ambako kipato ni cha hali ya chini, kuliko Dandora (57%), kwenyewe kipato cha hali ya sehemu-ya-chini-ya-kiwango-cha-kati, isipokuwa maeneo ya Industrial Area (63%), p<0.05. Asilimia 54 ya wauzaji wa chakula cha mitaani walipata mapato zaidi ya kiwango cha mshahara rasmi wa chini jijini Nairobi, wengi wakiwa katika mtaani wa Industrial Area (66%), kufuatiwa na Korogocho (56%) na Dandora (42%), p<0.05. Wengine walipata kipato angalau mara mbili ya mshahara rasmi wa chini, hasa wala na Korogocho (43%) na Industrial Area (45%) zaidi kuliko wa Dandora, 23% (p<0.05). Karibia nusu (46%) ya viambo vilivyovika fanya shughuli hii vilitegemea uuzaji wa chakula cha mitaani kama sehemu yao kumbwa ya mapato na vilikuwa zaidi kwenyewe maeneo ya chini katika ustawi wa maisha na uchumi na kama wauzaji ndiyo walikuwa viongozi wa hivyo viambo. Chakula cha mitaani kilichangia 16+12% ya mahitaji ya kila siku (RDA) kwa viambo vilivyovika na uuzaji wa makumbwe na vifungua vinywa, na 32+16% kwa vile vilivyovika na milo halisi kama mlo wa mchana.

Vizingiti ambavyo mara kwa mara wauzaji hawa waliripoti kupata katika sehemu zote za utafiti vilikuwa ni ugumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguumu wa uguunu
Hawa (hassa wanawake) wanapokuwa na kipato kizuri huwa wakitoa chakula bora
kinachoweza kukidhi mahitaji ya mwili. Ukosefu wa utunzaji usafi wa chakula pengine
hutokana na ukosefu wa mazingira safi na kwamba wateja wenyewe hawajali na sio kwamba
ni utovu kabisa wa elemu ya usafi kwa wauzaji. Zaidi ya hayo, wauzaji hufanya shughuli zao
katika hali ya mhangaiko kutokana na kutotambuliwa kirasmia. Wanamamlaka wa miji
wanapaswa washawishiwe juu ya umuhimu wa chakula cha mitaani kwa ajili ya wateja kama
lishe kwa wakaazi wa malipo duni na kama njia ya maishilio kwa wenyewe kuwa. Changamoto
twa kuwa za chakula ni kutoa muongozo unaoeleweka kuhusiana na chakula katika sehemu za
mijini hasa kuzingatia uwezo wa watu wenyewe wa chakula cha mitaani kila mmoja kwa
kwa wauzaji kwa ajili ya mazungumzo wengine ya kimsingi.

Basi kuna haja kutambua na kuhalalisha maeneo ya milo ya watu duni mijini, kama
diko sehemu za uchuuzi wa chakula cha mitaani, bila kuweka masharti ambayo maskini
dawaewezi kutimiza. Kutokuweko kwa usimamizi na mwongozo wa usafi wa chakula cha
mitaani ni hatari kwa afya za wateja wa hivi vyakula. Lengo linafaa liwe ni kuwahitaji
chakula kipatika halisi ya usalama, kina lishe bora kwa watumiaji, ni cha bei ambayo
maskini wanunuzi waweza kutimiza kwa pia kina fadhili kwa wauzaji. Wauzaji na wateja wao
wanafaa kuelimishwa juu ya umuhimu wa lishe bora na salama. Elimu hii inafaa ilenge
kuwaweka wauzaji wepesi wa kuchomwa moyo juu ya hatua za usalama wa chakula na
wateja wawajibike juu ya usalama wa chakula na wateja wanachokoula. Umuhimu hasa wa chakula cha
mitaani katika lishe na haja ya kuongezeka kwa mahitaji ya lishe unapaswa kwa upana na
kuhushishwa kwenywe mii na mii mihudhurini na sababu. Utengenezaji wa ramani za miji na miundo-mbinu
inapaswa kuzingatia maeneo ya chakula cha mitaani, hasa katika sehemu za mapato duni.
Maeneo hayo yanapaswa kuweka vifaa maalum vya usafi kama vile maji safi, vyoo na
mahali pa kuhifadhi takataka. Wauzaji wanastahili kuweka mwongozo juu ya kuweka desturi
za chakula bora kwa gharama ndongo. Hii itahitaji teknolojia rahisi na ya kufaa, ambayo ni
nafuu kwa wauzaji. Hatimaye, kutekelezwa kwa mii na mii mihudhurini miongoni mwa
ufahamu jinsi hizo sera za zikapoathiri ama kugeuza bei ya chakula, hali ya maisha na
uchumi wa jamii na pia lishe kwa wanunuzi na wauzaji wenyewe.
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